



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

*Northwest Regional Office • PO Box 330316 • Shoreline, Washington 98133-9716 • (206) 594-0000
711 for Washington Relay Service • Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341*

July 16, 2021

Conner Homes
ATTN: Aron Golden
12600 SE 38th Street, Suite 250
Bellevue, WA 98006

RE: Water Quality Certification Order No. **20169** for Corps Reference No. **NWS-2020-0022**,
Skagen Property Residential Development, City of Kent, King County, Washington

Dear Aron Golden:

On May 21, 2021, Conner Homes submitted a valid request for a Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) under the federal Clean Water Act for the Skagen Property Residential Development project located in Kent, King County, Washington.

On behalf of the state of Washington, the Department of Ecology certifies that the work described in the Joint Aquatic Resource Permit Application (JARPA) and the public notice complies with applicable provisions of Sections 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the Clean Water Act, as amended, and applicable state laws. This certification is subject to the conditions contained in the enclosed Order.

Please ensure that anyone doing work under this Order has read, is familiar with, and is able to follow all of the provisions within the attached Order.

If you have any questions about this decision, please contact Neil Molstad at (425) 389-5549. The enclosed Order may be appealed by following the procedures described within the Order.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joe Burcar", is written over a horizontal line.

Joe Burcar, Section Manager
Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program
Northwest Regional Office

Enclosures

Conner Homes
July 16, 2021
Page 2

e-cc: Kristin McDermott, Corps of Engineers
Jon Pickett, Soundview Consultants
Loree' Randall, Ecology
Dana Mock, Ecology
Amy Jankowiak, Ecology
ECY RE FEDPERMITS

IN THE MATTER OF GRANTING A)	ORDER # 20169
WATER QUALITY)	Corps Reference No. NWS-2020-0022
CERTIFICATION TO)	Skagen Property Residential Development,
Conner Homes)	Wetlands within the Meridian Valley Creek
pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 1341 (FWPCA)	watershed, located in the City of Kent, King
§ 401), RCW 90.48.120, RCW 90.48.260)	County, Washington.
and Chapter 173-201A WAC)	
)	

Conner Homes
 Attn: Aron Golden
 12600 SE 38th Street, Suite 250
 Bellevue, WA 98006

On April 13, 2021, Conner Homes submitted a pre-filing meeting request to the Department of Ecology (Ecology). Then, on May 21, 2021, Conner Homes submitted a valid request for a Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) under the federal Clean Water Act for the Skagen Property Residential Development project, proposing impacts to wetlands found in the Meridian Valley Creek watershed, located in the City of Kent, King County, Washington. Ecology issued a public notice for the project on May 27, 2021.

The Skagen Property Residential Development project consists of a 28-lot single-family residential plat that includes an internal road system and associated infrastructure including a stormwater pond for water quality treatment. The proposed project will result in direct and indirect impacts to 7,912 square feet of Category IV wetlands. Mitigation for these impacts will be accomplished through enhancing and expanding a remaining on-site wetland along with purchasing in-lieu fee credits from the King County Mitigation Reserves Program.

The project site is located at 23619 116th Avenue SE in the City of Kent, King County, Washington. Specifically, the site is located in the SE ¼ of Section 17, Township 22 N., Range 05 E., within Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 9 (Duwamish-Green).

AUTHORITIES

In exercising authority under 33 U.S.C. § 1341, RCW 90.48.120, and RCW 90.48.260, Ecology has reviewed the WQC request pursuant to the following:

1. Conformance with applicable water quality-based, technology-based, and toxic or pretreatment effluent limitations as provided under 33 U.S.C. §§1311, 1312, 1313, 1316, and 1317;
2. Conformance with the state water quality standards contained in Chapter 173-201A WAC and authorized by 33 U.S.C. §1313 and by Chapter 90.48 RCW, and with other applicable state laws;

3. Conformance with the provision of using all known, available, and reasonable methods to prevent and control pollution of state waters as required by RCW 90.48.010;
4. Conformance with Washington's prohibition on discharges that cause or tend to cause pollution of waters of the state of Washington. RCW 90.48.080; and,
5. The project proponent of the project authorized is responsible for obtaining all other permits, licenses, and certifications that may be required by federal, state, local or tribal authorities.

With this Water Quality Certification (WQC) Order, Ecology is granting with conditions, the Corps request for a Section 401 Water Quality Certification for the Skagen Property Residential Development project, with impacts to wetlands within the Meridian Valley Creek watershed, located in the City of Kent, King County. Ecology has determined that the proposed discharges will comply with all applicable state water quality requirements, provided the project is conducted in accordance with the Section 401 Water Quality Certification request that Ecology received on May 21, 2021, the supporting documents referenced in Table 1 below, **and the conditions of this WQC Order.**

Table 1. Supporting Documents

Date Received	Document Type	Title & Date	Author
April 23, 2021	Joint Aquatic Resources Permit Application (JARPA) Form	Joint Aquatic Resources Permit Application Form (date signed December 9, 2019)	Soundview Consultants
April 23, 2021	State Environmental Policy Act Determination	City of Kent Determination of Nonsignificance (dated July 10, 2020)	City of Kent
April 23, 2021	Wetland/Biological Assessment	Wetland and Fish and Wildlife Habitat Assessment Report – Skagen Property (dated December 11, 2019, Revised June 8, 2020)	Soundview Consultants
April 23, 2021	Mitigation Plan	Mitigation Plan – Skagen Property (dated March 25, 2020, Revised March 9, 2021)	Soundview Consultants
May 26, 2021	Civil Construction Plans	Skagen Plat Grading, Drainage, and Utility Improvements (dated November 17, 2020)	CPH Consultants

Issuance of this Section 401 Water Quality Certification for this proposal does not authorize Conner Homes to exceed applicable state water quality standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC), ground water quality standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC) or sediment quality standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC). Furthermore, nothing in this WQC absolves Conner Homes from liability for contamination and any subsequent cleanup of surface waters, ground waters, or sediments resulting from project construction or operations.

Specific condition justifications and citations required by 40 CFR 121.7(d)(1) are provided below each condition in *italic text*.

A. General Conditions

Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 401 certification is granted with conditions to Conner Homes. Ecology has determined that any discharge from the proposed project will comply with water quality requirements, as defined by 40 CFR 121.1(n), subject to the following conditions pursuant to Section 33 USC §1341(d). Additionally, the following conditions will be incorporated into the Corps permit and strictly adhered to by Conner Homes. This WQC Order does not authorize direct, indirect, permanent, or temporary impacts to waters of the state or related aquatic resources, except as specifically provided for in conditions of this WQC Order.

Specific condition justifications and citations required by 40 CFR 121.7(d)(1) are provided below each condition in *italic text*.

1. In this WQC Order, the term “Project Proponent” shall mean Conner Homes and its agents, assignees, and contractors.
 - *Justification – Ecology needs to identify that conditions of this WQC Order apply to anyone conducting work on behalf of the Project Proponent to ensure compliance with the water quality standards and other applicable state laws.*
 - *Citation – 40 CFR 121.1(j), Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.48.120 RCW, Chapter 90.48.260 RCW, Chapter 173-200 WAC, Chapter 173-201A WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
2. All submittals required by this WQC Order shall be sent to Ecology’s Headquarters Office, Attn: Federal Permit Manager, via e-mail to fednotification@ecy.wa.gov and cc to neil.molstad@ecy.wa.gov. The submittals shall be identified with Order # 20169 and include the Project Proponent’s name, Corps permit number, project name, project contact, and the contact phone number.
 - *Justification – Ecology needs to identify where information and submittals are to be submitted to be in compliance with the requirements of this WQC Order.*
 - *Citation – 40 CFR 121, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.120 RCW, Chapter 90.48.260 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

3. Work authorized by this WQC Order is limited to the work described in the WQC request package received by Ecology on May 21, 2021, and the supporting documentation identified in Table 1 above.
 - *Justification – Ecology has the authority to prevent and control pollution of state waters. By authorizing a discharge into a water of the state, through a WQC, Ecology is certifying the project as proposed will not negatively impact our state's water quality. Therefore, it is imperative the project is conducted as it was presented during the review process. Any deviations from information within the WQC Request package and this WQC Order must be disclosed prior to the initiation of the planned work.*
 - *Citation – 40 CFR 121, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.120 RCW, Chapter 90.48.260 RCW, Chapter 173-200 WAC, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-204 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
4. The Project Proponent shall send (per condition A.2 above) a copy of the final Corps permit to Ecology's Federal Permit Manager within two weeks of receiving it.
 - *Justification - This condition allows Ecology to ensure that all of the conditions of this WQC Order have be incorporated into the Corps Permit to protect water quality.*
 - *Citation – 40 CFR 121.10, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.260 and Chapter 173-201A.*
5. The Project Proponent shall keep copies of this WQC Order on the job site and readily available for reference by Ecology personnel, the construction superintendent, construction managers and lead workers, and state and local government inspectors.
 - *Justification – All parties (including on-site contractors) must be aware of and comply with the WQC Order for the protection of water quality.*
 - *Citation – 40 CFR 121.3, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
6. The Project Proponent shall provide access to the project site and all mitigation sites upon request by Ecology personnel for site inspections, monitoring, and/or necessary data collection, to ensure that conditions of this Order are being met.
 - *Justification – Ecology must be able to investigate and inspect construction sites and facilities for compliance with all state rules and laws.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.090 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
7. The Project Proponent shall ensure that all project engineers, contractors, and other workers at the project site with authority to direct work have read and understand relevant conditions of this Order and all permits, approvals, and documents referenced in this Order. The Project Proponent shall provide Ecology a signed statement (see Attachment A for an example) before construction begins.

- *Justification – Ecology needs to ensure that anyone conducting work at the project, on behalf of the Project Proponent, are aware of and understand the required conditions of this WQC Order to ensure compliance with the water quality standards and other applicable state laws.*
 - *Citation – 40 CFR 121.1(j), Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
8. This Order does not authorize direct, indirect, permanent, or temporary impacts to waters of the state or related aquatic resources, except as specifically provided for in conditions of this WQC Order.
- *Justification – Ecology has the authority to prevent and control pollution of state waters, and to protect designated uses. By authorizing a discharge into a water of the state, through a water quality certification, we are certifying the project as proposed will not negatively impact our state’s water quality and will comply with the state’s water quality requirements. Therefore, it is imperative the project is conducted as it was presented during the review process, and as conditioned herein.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300(2)(e)(i) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-310 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
9. Failure of any person or entity to comply with the WQC Order may result in the issuance of civil penalties or other actions, whether administrative or judicial, to enforce the state’s water quality standards.
- *Justification – Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses; civil penalties and other enforcement actions are the primary means of securing compliance with water quality requirements.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.037 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.48.120 RCW, Chapter 90.48.142 RCW, Chapter 90.48.144 RCW, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
10. The Project Proponent shall provide Ecology documentation for review before undertaking any major changes to the proposed project that could significantly and adversely affect water quality, other than those project changes required by this WQC Order.
- *Justification- Ecology has independent authority to enforce our 401 certification conditions issued through this WQC Order pursuant to RCW 90.48. In order to ensure the project will comply with water quality standards in the event of any major changes, Ecology must be able to review the scope of work involved in the construction and operation of the project, otherwise all work must stop and a new 401 certification pre-filing meeting, followed by a new 401 request (after requisite 30-days) is required.*
 - *Citation- 40 CFR 121.1(k) &(n), 40 CFR 121.3, Chapter 90.48 RCW, and Chapter 173-201 WAC.*

11. Nothing in this WQC Order waives Ecology's discretionary authority to issue additional Orders if Ecology determines that further actions are necessary to implement the water quality laws of the state.

- *Justification - Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
- *Citation - Chapter 90.48.120 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

12. This Order will automatically transfer to a new owner or operator if:

- a. A written agreement between the Applicant and new owner or operator with the specific transfer date of the WQC Order's obligations, coverage, and liability is submitted to Ecology per condition A.2.;
 - b. A copy of this Order is provided to the new owner or operator; and,
 - c. Ecology does not notify the new Applicant that a new WQC Order is required to complete the transfer.
- *Justification – Ecology needs to ensure that anyone conducting work at the project, including any new owners or operators, are aware of and understand the required conditions of this WQC Order to ensure compliance with the water quality standards and other applicable state laws.*
 - *Citation – 40 CFR 121.3, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

B. Notification Requirements

1. The following notification shall be made via phone or e-mail (e-mail is preferred) to Ecology's Federal Permit Manager via e-mail to fednotification@ecy.wa.gov and cc to neil.molstad@ecy.wa.gov. Notifications shall be identified with Order # 20169 and include the Project Proponent name, project name, project location, project contact and the contact phone number.

- a. Immediately following a violation of state water quality standards or when the project is out of compliance with any conditions of this Order.
 - b. At least ten (10) days prior to all pre-construction meetings
 - c. At least seven (7) days prior to the start of impacts to wetlands.
- *Justification – Ecology must be aware of when a project starts and ends and whether there are any issues. This allows Ecology to evaluate compliance with the state water quality requirements.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

2. In addition to the phone or e-mail notification required under B.1.a. above, the Project Proponent shall submit a detailed written report to Ecology within five (5) days that describes the nature of the event, corrective action taken and/or planned, steps to be taken to prevent a recurrence, results of any samples taken, and any other pertinent information.
 - *Justification – Ensure the Project Proponent remains in full compliance with state water quality requirements for the duration of the project.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.120 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
3. If the project construction is not completed within 13 months of issuance of this Order, the Project Proponent shall submit per Condition A.2 a written construction status report and submit status reports every 12 months until construction is completed.
 - *Justification – Ecology must be aware of when a project starts and ends and whether there are any issues. This allows Ecology to evaluate compliance with the state water quality requirements.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

C. Timing

1. This Order is not effective until the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) issues a permit for this project and will remain valid for the duration of the associated permit for the project.
 - *Justification – Certifications are required for any license or permit that authorizes an activity that may result in a discharge of fill material into waters. This WQC Order is not valid until the Federal agency issues a permit. Additionally, Ecology needs to be able to specify how long the WQC Order will be in effect.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 173-201A, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

D. Wetland Compensatory Mitigation Conditions

1. The Project Proponent shall mitigate wetland impacts as described in the *Mitigation Plan – Skagen Property* (hereafter called the “Mitigation Plan”) prepared by Soundview Consultants, and dated March 25, 2020, revised March 9, 2021, as identified in Table 1 or as modified by this Order.
 - *Justification - Alteration of water quality necessitates the use of mitigation as a method of controlling pollution. When mitigation is provided, the impacts are not considered significant enough to water quality, at least in the long-term. The water quality standards, along with mitigation, protect wetlands as well as permitting some level of degradation where unavoidable or necessary.*
 - *Citation - WAC 173-201A-300, Chapter [90.48](#) RCW, Chapter [90.54](#) RCW, Water Resources Act of 1971, and 40 C.F.R. 131.12. WAC 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii). Chapter 90.74 RCW*

2. The Project Proponent shall submit any proposed changes to the Mitigation Plan in writing to Ecology (per Condition A.2 above) for review before implementing the changes.
 - *Justification - When mitigation is provided, the water quality impacts are offset and not considered significant, at least in the long-term.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter [90.48](#) RCW, Chapter [90.54](#) RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
3. The Project Proponent shall have a qualified wetland professional at the wetland mitigation site to supervise during construction and planting.
 - *Justification - Mitigation success is critical to achieving control of pollution. Supervision of qualified professionals helps ensure success.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter [90.48](#) RCW, Chapter [90.54](#) RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

Implementation

4. Unless otherwise authorized by this WQC Order, the Project Proponent shall begin the compensatory mitigation project before, or concurrently with, impacting wetlands, or Ecology could use its discretionary authority to require the Project Proponent to provide additional compensation to account for additional temporal loss of wetland functions.
 - *Justification - Mitigation that is not emplaced concurrent with impacts will result in degradation of existing beneficial uses of the wetlands affected by the proposed action.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter [90.48](#) RCW, Chapter [90.54](#) RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
5. If the mitigation site cannot be established and/or the in-lieu fee credit purchase cannot be completed within 13 months of the date of this Order, the Project Proponent shall inform Ecology, in writing, of the status of:
 - a. The Skagen Property Residential Development project.
With the:
 - b. Reason for the delay.
 - c. Expected date of completion.
 - d. The Project Proponent shall submit an updated written notification every 12 months thereafter until the Skagen Property Residential Development project, its associated wetland mitigation site, and the purchase of the required In-Lieu Fee credits are complete.
 - *Justification - Mitigation that is not emplaced concurrent with impacts will result in degradation of existing beneficial uses of the wetlands affected by the proposed action.*

- Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter [90.48](#) RCW, Chapter [90.54](#) RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.
6. The Project Proponent shall ensure that all excess excavated site material is disposed of in an appropriate location outside of wetlands and their buffers and landward of the 100-year floodplain, unless otherwise provided for in the Mitigation Plan.
- *Justification - Placement of excess material in wetlands, buffers or floodplains may adversely affect the functions of the wetlands onsite and contribute to a failure of the mitigation plan. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.
7. The Project Proponent shall ensure that no material is stockpiled within existing wetlands or their buffers at the wetland mitigation site(s) at any time, unless otherwise provided for in the Mitigation Plan.
- *Justification - Placement of excess material in wetlands or buffers may adversely affect the functions of the wetlands onsite and contribute to a failure of the mitigation plan. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.
8. The Project Proponent shall ensure that no construction debris is deposited within existing wetlands or their buffers at the wetland mitigation site(s) at any time, unless otherwise provided for in the Mitigation Plan.
- *Justification - Placement of construction debris in wetlands or buffers may adversely affect the functions of the wetlands onsite and contribute to a failure of the mitigation plan. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.

9. The Project Proponent shall not use polyacrylamide at the mitigation site(s).
 - *Justification - Polyacrylamide breaks down in soils and in the environment to acrylamide, which is a compound of concern and pollutant that would adversely affect water quality in Washington. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
10. The Project Proponent shall not use hay or straw on exposed or disturbed soil at the mitigation site(s), unless otherwise allowed for in the Mitigation Plan.
 - *Justification - Straw can be a source of noxious weeds which are a subset of invasive species that have been classified according to the seriousness of the threat they pose. Governments and landowners are required to control them. Noxious weeds can inhibit the success of a mitigation site.*
 - *Citation - Chapter 16-228-1400 WAC, Chapter 173-225-010 WAC, and Chapter 173-226-110 WAC.*
11. Aquatic herbicides can be used or applied only by certified applicators or persons under the direct supervision of a certified applicator, and only for those uses covered by the certified applicator's license category.
 - a. Applicators are required to be permitted under Ecology's Noxious Weed Control Permit.
 - b. Applicators shall comply with all conditions of the Noxious Weed Control Permit.
 - *Justification - Noxious weeds are a subset of invasive species that have been classified according to the seriousness of the threat they pose. Governments and landowners are required to control them. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation - Chapter 16-228-1400 WAC, Chapter 173-225-010 WAC, and Chapter 173-226-110 WAC.*
12. If weed-barrier fabric is used on the site, the Project Proponent shall use only water-permeable, fully biodegradable, non-toxic weed-barrier fabric for the entire-site and/or individual plant weed control, unless otherwise approved by Ecology. If non-biodegradable plastic weed-barrier fabric is approved by Ecology, it shall be used only at the base of individual plants and shall be removed before it starts to break down, before it interferes with plant growth, or before the end of the monitoring period, whichever comes first.
 - *Justification - The establishment of hydrophytic vegetation and substrate characteristics, is a necessary element of the mitigation plan and is promoted by*

- weed suppression. Suppression of weeds is necessary until hydrophytic vegetation is established, after which time the presence of the fabric will hinder vegetation establishment and may affect mitigation success.*
- *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
13. If seeding is used for temporary erosion control, it must be a seed mix consisting of native, annual, non-invasive plant species, unless otherwise approved by Ecology.
- *Justification - Establishment of native species are a necessary element of wetland mitigation. Planting mixes must not contain non-native, invasive species, including noxious weeds since they will inhibit the success of the mitigation site and plan. Noxious weeds are a subset of invasive species that have been classified according to the seriousness of the threat they pose. Governments and landowners are required to control them.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 16-228-1400 WAC, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, Chapter 173-225-010 WAC, and Chapter 173-226-110 WAC.*
14. If solid or mesh plant protector tubes are used on the mitigation site(s), Ecology strongly recommends that the Project Proponent use fully biodegradable options. If non-biodegradable plant protection options are used, they shall be removed before they interfere with plant growth or before the end of the monitoring period, whichever comes first.
- *Justification - This requirement provides assurance that the mitigation site has the best chance at being successful in achieving wetland conditions. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, and Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC.*
15. The Project Proponent shall place signs at the mitigation area's(s') boundaries, including buffers, as depicted and described in the Mitigation Plan, to mark the area as a wetland mitigation site(s).
- *Justification - This requirement provides assurance that the mitigation site has the best chance at being successful in achieving wetland conditions.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, and Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC.*

16. Upon completion of site grading and prior to planting, the Project Proponent shall submit to Ecology written confirmation (email or signed letter) from a surveyor or project engineer that the finished grades are consistent with the approved Mitigation Plan and also indicate how final elevations were determined.
 - *Justification - This requirement provides assurance that the mitigation site has the best chance at being successful in achieving wetland conditions.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii)WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
17. After completing construction and planting of the mitigation sites(s), the Project Proponent shall submit to Ecology (see Condition A.2) an as-built report, including plan sheets, documenting site conditions at Year Zero. The as-built report must:
 - a. Be submitted within 90 days of completing construction and planting.
 - b. Include the information listed in Attachment B (Information Required for As-built Reports).
 - c. Include documentation of the recorded legal site protection mechanism required in Condition D.18.
 - *Justification - This condition is necessary to ensure the mitigation site was constructed and planted per the approved mitigation plan and serves as a baseline for monitoring performance standards, which must be met to ensure success of the mitigation site*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
18. The Project Proponent shall provide Ecology with documentation of a recorded restrictive covenant for the Skagen property residential development wetland creation/enhancement area. The Project Proponent shall:
 - a. Request a restrictive covenant template from Ecology or use an appropriate alternative to develop a draft restrictive covenant.
 - b. Send the draft restrictive covenant to Ecology for review prior to recording.
 - c. Record the restrictive covenant with the County Recording Office, Registrar of Deeds, or other official responsible for maintaining records for, or interest in, real property.
 - d. Record the restrictive covenant with a figure that corresponds with the legal description showing the area that is being protected, a copy of this Order, and a site map showing the location of wetlands and their buffers that are being protected.
 - e. Send a copy of the recorded restrictive covenant to Ecology with the As-Built Report (see D.17).
 - *Justification - The mitigation plan needs to ensure that measures will be taken to protect the site for the long term to ensure that wetland functions and values are replaced, thereby preserving beneficial uses and offsetting pollution.*

- *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

Monitoring and Maintenance

19. The Project Proponent shall water and maintain all mitigation site plantings so as to meet the Mitigation Plan's performance standards. If an irrigation system is installed, it shall be removed by the end of year three unless Ecology authorizes in writing the system to remain for a longer period.
 - *Justification - Designing and implementing an appropriate maintenance plan is crucial to the success of a mitigation site.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
20. The Project Proponent shall monitor the mitigation site for a minimum of 10 years. The Project Proponent shall use the monitoring methods described in the Mitigation Plan.
 - *Justification - A monitoring plan describes the methods used to collect and analyze data needed to show that performance standards are being met. Monitoring plans are necessary to track environmental changes at mitigation sites to ensure success of the mitigation site.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
21. The Project Proponent shall submit to Ecology (see Condition A.2) monitoring reports documenting mitigation site conditions for years 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, and 10. The monitoring reports must:
 - a. Be submitted by December 31 of each monitoring year.
 - b. Include the information listed in Attachment B (Information Required for Monitoring Reports).
 - *Justification- Monitoring reports track the environmental progress of the mitigation site and are necessary to track environmental changes at mitigation sites to ensure success of the mitigation site.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

22. The Project Proponent shall implement the Mitigation Plan's contingency measures if the Mitigation Plan's goals, objectives, or performance standards are not being met.
- *Justification - A contingency plan is necessary in case the actions undertaken for the mitigation fail or only partially succeed. A contingency plan contains corrective measures that will be taken if monitoring indicates that performance standards are not being met. The contingency plan outlines the steps that will be taken for each performance standard if it is not met.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
23. Prior to implementing contingency measures not specified in the Mitigation Plan, the Project Proponent shall consult with and obtain written approval from Ecology for the contingency measures.
- *Justification - A contingency plan is necessary in case the actions undertaken for the mitigation fail or only partially succeed. A contingency plan contains corrective measures that will be taken if monitoring indicates that performance standards are not being met. The contingency plan should outline the steps that will be taken for each performance standard if it is not met.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
24. When necessary to meet the performance standards, the Project Proponent shall replace dead or dying plants with the same species, or an appropriate native plant alternative, during the current or upcoming planting season and note species, numbers, and approximate locations of all replacement plants in the subsequent monitoring report.
- *Justification - Performance standards must be met to ensure success of the mitigation site.*
 - *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
25. For monitoring years five (5) and ten (10), the Project Proponent shall use the currently approved federal wetland delineation manual and appropriate regional supplement to delineate all compensatory wetlands and include delineation information (e.g., data sheets, maps, wetland size, etc.) in the monitoring reports.
- *Justification - Delineation of wetlands helps to determine if the wetland area is adequately replaced, achieving no net loss. Delineation also informs whether mitigation is on track for success or if changes need to be made.*

- *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

26. If the Project Proponent has not met all compensatory mitigation conditions by the end of the monitoring period, Ecology may require additional monitoring, additional mitigation, or both. Conditions include specifications in the approved Mitigation Plan, such as performance standards for the mitigation site.

- *Justification - If the mitigation site is not meeting all compensatory mitigation conditions then the water quality impacts will not be offset by the mitigation.*
- *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, 40 CFR 230, subpart J, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC*

In-Lieu Fee Conditions

27. The Project Proponent shall obtain a Statement of Sale from the Sponsor as documentation of purchase of 7.784 in lieu fee credits for this impact project (see A.2). The Project Proponent shall submit a copy of the Statement of Sale to Ecology (see A.2) before impacting wetlands.

Documentation from the Sponsor shall include:

- a. Ecology's Order number,
 - b. Order issuance date,
 - c. Description of mitigation credits purchased,
 - d. Signatures from the Sponsor and the Project Proponent.
- *Justification - When mitigation is provided, the water quality impacts are offset and not considered significant, at least in the long-term. The water quality standards, along with mitigation, protect wetlands as well as permitting some level of degradation where unavoidable or necessary.*
 - *Citation - WAC 173-201A-300, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Water Resources Act of 1971, and 40 C.F.R. 131.12. WAC 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii). Chapter 90.74 RCW 3.*

28. The Project Proponent shall complete the purchase of credits before the impacts to wetlands occur.

- *Justification - When mitigation is provided, the impacts are not considered significant enough to water quality, at least in the long-term. The water quality standards, along with mitigation, protect wetlands as well as permitting some level of degradation where unavoidable or necessary. Mitigation that is not emplaced concurrent with impacts will result in degradation of existing beneficial uses of the wetlands affected by the proposed action.*

- *Citation - WAC 173-201A-300, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Water Resources Act of 1971, and 40 C.F.R. 131.12. WAC 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii). Chapter 90.74 RCW*

29. The Project Proponents obligation to compensate for wetland impacts under Condition D.1 is not met until the Project Proponent has received written notice from Ecology that the obligation is met.

- *Justification - If the mitigation site is not meeting all compensatory mitigation conditions then the water quality impacts will not be offset by the mitigation.*
- *Citation - 40 CFR 131.12, Chapter 47.85.040 RCW, Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.54 RCW, Chapter 90.74 RCW, Chapter 173-201A-260 (3)(i-ii) WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

E. Construction

General Conditions

1. All work in and near waters of the state shall be conducted to minimize turbidity, erosion, and other water quality impacts. Construction stormwater, sediment, and erosion control Best Management Practices (BMPs) suitable to prevent exceedances of state water quality standards shall be in place before starting maintenance and shall be maintained throughout the duration of the activity.
 - *Justification – Disturbed areas without appropriate BMP’s and construction methods can discharge excess sediment to waters of the state and degrade water quality. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
2. All clearing limits, stockpiles, staging areas, and trees to be preserved shall clearly be marked prior to commencing construction activities and maintained until all work is completed for each project.
 - *Justification – Ensures that the project proponent preserves sensitive areas from discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

3. No stockpiling or staging of materials shall occur at or below the OHWM of any waterbody.
 - *Justification – Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
4. The Project Proponent shall obtain and comply with the conditions of the Construction Stormwater Permit (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System – NPDES) issued for this project.
 - *Justification – Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
5. Within the project limits¹ all environmentally sensitive areas including, but not limited to, wetlands, wetland buffers, and mitigation areas shall be fenced with high visibility construction fencing (HVF) prior to commencing construction activities. Construction activities include equipment staging, materials storage, and work vehicle parking. Note: This condition does not apply to activities such as pre-construction surveying and installing HVF and construction zone signage.
 - a. If the project will be constructed in stages² a detailed description and drawings of the stages shall be sent to Ecology for review at least 20 days prior to placing HVF.
 - b. Condition 2.a. shall apply to each stage.
 - c. All field staff shall be trained to recognize HVF, understand its purpose and properly install it in the appropriate locations.
 - d. HVF shall be maintained until all work is completed for each project or each stage of a staged project.
 - *Justification - Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation - Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300-330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

¹ Project limits include mitigation sites, staging areas, borrow sources, and other sites developed or used to support project construction.

² A stage is part of a project that has been separated into at least two distinct areas to be built during separate timeframes.

6. All clearing limits, stockpiles, staging areas, and trees to be preserved shall clearly be marked prior to commencing construction activities and maintained until all work is completed for each project.
 - *Justification - Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation - Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300-330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
7. No petroleum products, fresh concrete, lime or concrete, chemicals, or other toxic or deleterious materials shall be allowed to enter waters of the state.
 - *Justification – Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
8. All construction debris, excess sediment, and other solid waste material shall be properly managed and disposed of in an upland disposal site approved by the appropriate regulatory authority.
 - *Justification – Ecology must be assured that the Project Proponent is managing and disposing of material to protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

Equipment & Maintenance

9. Staging areas will be located a minimum of 50 feet and, where practical, 200 feet, from waters of the state including wetlands.
 - *Justification – Requiring a minimum setback ensures that material will not end up in waters of the state. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

10. Equipment used for this project shall be free of external petroleum-based products while used around the waters of the state, including wetlands. Accumulation of soils or debris shall be removed from the drive mechanisms (wheels, tires, tracks, etc.) and the undercarriage of equipment prior to its use around waters of the state, including wetlands.
 - *Justification – Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
11. No equipment shall enter, operate, be stored or parked within any sensitive area except as specifically provided for in this WQC Order.
 - *Justification – Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
12. Fuel hoses, oil drums, oil or fuel transfer valves and fittings, etc., shall be checked regularly for drips or leaks, and shall be maintained and stored properly to prevent spills into state waters.
 - *Justification – Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*
13. Wash water containing oils, grease, or other hazardous materials resulting from washing of equipment or working areas shall not be discharged into state waters. The Project Proponent shall set up a designated area for washing down equipment.
 - *Justification – Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, and Chapter 173-225-010 WAC.*

F. Emergency/Contingency Measures

1. The Project Proponent shall develop and implement a spill prevention and containment plan for this project.
 - *Justification – Ecology must ensure that the Project Proponent has a plan to prevent pollution from entering waterways. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 90.56.280 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, Chapter 173-225-010 WAC, and Chapter 173-303-145 WAC.*
2. The Project Proponent shall have adequate and appropriate spill response and cleanup materials available on site to respond to any release of petroleum products or any other material into waters of the state.
 - *Justification – Ecology must have assurance that the Project Proponent has the material readily available in order to address any spills that might occur to protect waters of the state. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 90.56.280 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, Chapter 173-225-010 WAC, and Chapter 173-303-145 WAC.*
3. Fuel hoses, oil drums, oil or fuel transfer valves and fittings, etc., shall be checked regularly for drips or leaks, and shall be maintained and stored properly to prevent spills into state waters.
 - *Justification – Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 90.56.280 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, Chapter 173-225-010 WAC, and Chapter 173-303-145 WAC.*
4. Work causing distressed or dying fish and discharges of oil, fuel, or chemicals into state waters or onto land with a potential for entry into state waters is prohibited. If such work, conditions, or discharges occur, the Project Proponent shall notify Ecology's Federal Permit Manager per condition A2 and immediately take the following actions:

- a. Cease operations at the location of the non-compliance.
 - b. Assess the cause of the water quality problem and take appropriate measures to correct the problem and prevent further environmental damage.
 - c. In the event of a discharge of oil, fuel, or chemicals into state waters, or onto land with a potential for entry into state waters, containment and cleanup efforts shall begin immediately and be completed as soon as possible, taking precedence over normal work. Cleanup shall include proper disposal of any spilled material and used cleanup materials.
 - d. Immediately notify Ecology's Regional Spill Response Office and the Washington State Department of Fish & Wildlife with the nature and details of the problem, any actions taken to correct the problem, and any proposed changes in operation to prevent further problems.
 - e. Immediately notify the National Response Center at 1-800-424-8802, for actual spills to water only.
 - *Justification – This condition is necessary to prevent oil and hazardous materials spills from causing environmental damage and to ensure compliance with water quality requirements. The sooner a spill is reported, the quicker it can be addressed, resulting in less harm. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 90.56.280 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, Chapter 173-225-010 WAC, and Chapter 173-303-145 WAC.*
5. Notify Ecology's Regional Spill Response Office immediately if chemical containers (e.g. drums) are discovered on-site or any conditions present indicating disposal or burial of chemicals on-site that may impact surface water or ground water.
- *Justification – Oil and hazardous materials spills cause environmental damage. The sooner a spill is reported, the quicker it can be addressed, resulting in less harm. Ecology must protect waters of the state from all discharges and potential discharges of pollution that can affect water quality to protect aquatic life and beneficial uses.*
 - *Citation – Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 90.48.030 RCW, Chapter 90.48.080 RCW, Chapter 90.56 RCW, Chapter 90.56.280 RCW, Chapter 173-201A WAC, Chapter 173-201A-300–330 WAC, Chapter 173-204-120 WAC, Chapter 173-225-010 WAC, and Chapter 173-303-145 WAC.*

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

You have a right to appeal this Order to the Pollution Control Hearing Board (PCHB) within 30 days of the date of receipt of this Order. The appeal process is governed by Chapter 43.21B RCW and Chapter 371-08 WAC. "Date of receipt" is defined in RCW 43.21B.001(2).

To appeal you must do both of the following within 30 days of the date of receipt of this Order:

- File your appeal and a copy of this Order with the PCHB (see addresses below). Filing means actual receipt by the PCHB during regular business hours.
- Serve a copy of your appeal and this Order on Ecology in paper form - by mail or in person. (See addresses below.) E-mail is not accepted.

You must also comply with other applicable requirements in Chapter 43.21B RCW and Chapter 371-08 WAC.

ADDRESS AND LOCATION INFORMATION

Street Addresses	Mailing Addresses
Department of Ecology Attn: Appeals Processing Desk 300 Desmond Drive SE Lacey, WA 98503 Pollution Control Hearings Board 1111 Israel Road SW STE 301 Tumwater, WA 98501	Department of Ecology Attn: Appeals Processing Desk PO Box 47608 Olympia, WA 98504-7608 Pollution Control Hearings Board PO Box 40903 Olympia, WA 98504-0903

CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions about this Order to:

Neil Molstad
Department of Ecology
(425) 389-5549
neil.molstad@ecy.wa.gov


MORE INFORMATION

- **Pollution Control Hearings Board Website**
<http://www.eluho.wa.gov/Board/PCHB>
- **Chapter 43.21B RCW - Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office – Pollution Control Hearings Board**
<http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=43.21B>
- **Chapter 371-08 WAC – Practice And Procedure**
<http://app.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=371-08>

- **Chapter 34.05 RCW – Administrative Procedure Act**
<http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=34.05>
- **Chapter 90.48 RCW – Water Pollution Control**
<http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=90.48>
- **Chapter 173.204 WAC – Sediment Management Standards**
<http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-204>
- **Chapter 173-200 WAC – Water Quality Standards for Ground Waters of the State of Washington**
<http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-200>
- **Chapter 173-201A WAC – Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Washington**
<http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-201A>

SIGNATURE

Dated this 16th day of July, 2021 at the Department of Ecology, Shoreline, Washington.



Joe Burcar, Section Manager
Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program
Northwest Regional Office

Attachment A
Statement of Understanding
Water Quality Certification Conditions

Skagen Property Residential Development
Conner Homes
Water Quality Certification Order No. **20169**
and
Corps Reference No. **NWS-2020-0022**

I, _____, state that I will be involved as an agent or contractor for Conner Homes in the site preparation and/or construction of the Skagen Property Residential Development project located in the City of Kent, King County, Washington. I further state that I have read and understand the relevant conditions of Washington Department of Ecology Water Quality Certification Order No. 20169 and the applicable permits and approvals referenced therein which pertain to the project-related work for which I am responsible.

Signature

Date

Title

Phone

Company

Attachment B

Information Required for As-built Reports

Skagen Property Residential Development

Water Quality Certification Order # 20169

And

Corps Reference # NWS-2020-0022

Ecology requires the following information for as-built reports submitted under this Order. Ecology will accept additional information that may be required by other agencies.

Background Information

- 1) Project name.
- 2) Ecology Order number and the Corps reference number.
- 3) Name and contact information of the person preparing the as-built report. Also, if different from the person preparing the report, include the names of:
 - a) The applicant
 - b) The landowner
 - c) Wetland professional on site during construction of the mitigation site(s).
- 4) Date the report was produced.

Mitigation Project Information

- 5) Brief description of the **final** mitigation project with any changes from the approved plan made during construction. Include:
 - a) **Actual** acreage of Cowardin classes and mitigation type(s) (re-establishment, rehabilitation, creation, enhancement, preservation, upland, buffers).
 - b) Important dates, including:
 - i. Start of project construction.
 - ii. When work on the mitigation site began and ended.
 - iii. When different activities such as grading, removal of invasive plants, installing plants, and installing habitat features began and ended.
- 6) Description of any problems encountered and solutions implemented (with reasons for changes) during construction of the mitigation site(s).
- 7) List of any follow-up actions needed, with a schedule.
- 8) Vicinity map showing the geographic location of the site(s) with landmarks.
- 9) Mitigation site map(s), 8-1/2" x 11" or larger, showing the following:
 - a) Boundary of the site(s).
 - b) Topography (with a description of how elevations were determined).
 - c) Installed planting scheme (quantities, densities, sizes, and approximate locations of plants, as well as the source(s) of plant material).
 - d) Location of habitat features.
 - e) Location of permanent photo stations and any other photos taken.

Include the month and year when each map was produced or revised. The site map(s) should reflect on-the-ground conditions after the site work is completed.

- 10) Photographs taken at permanent photo stations and other photographs, as needed. Photos must be dated and clearly indicate the direction from which each photo was taken. Photo pans are recommended.
- 11) A copy of any deed notifications, conservation easements, or other approved site protection mechanism.

Attachment C

Information Required for Monitoring Reports

Skagen Property Residential Development

Ecology Order # 20169

And

Corps Reference # NWS-2020-0022

Ecology requires the following information for monitoring reports submitted under this Order. Ecology will accept additional information that may be required by other agencies.

Background Information

- 1) Project name.
- 2) Ecology Order number and the Corps reference number.
- 3) Name and contact information of the person preparing the monitoring report. Also, if different from the person preparing the report, include the names of:
 - a) The applicant
 - b) The landowner
 - c) The party responsible for the monitoring activities.
- 4) Dates the monitoring data were collected.
- 5) Date the report was produced.

Mitigation Project Information

- 6) Brief description of the mitigation project, including acreage of Cowardin classes and mitigation type(s) (re-establishment, rehabilitation, creation, enhancement, preservation, upland, buffers).
- 7) Description of the monitoring approach and methods. For each performance standard being measured provide the following information:
 - a) Description of the sampling technique (e.g., monitoring point for soil or hydrology, line or point intercept method, ocular estimates in individually placed plots). If you are using a standardized technique, provide a reference for that method.
 - b) Size and shape of plots or transects.
 - c) Number of sampling locations and how you determined the number of sampling locations to use.
 - d) Percent of the mitigation area being sampled.
 - e) Locations of sampling (provide a map showing the locations), how you determined where to place the sampling locations (e.g., simple random sample), and whether they are permanent or temporary.
 - f) Schedule for sampling (how often and when).
 - g) Description of how the data was evaluated and analyzed.
- 8) Summary table(s) comparing performance standards with monitoring results and whether each standard has been met.

- 9) Discussion of how the monitoring data were used to determine whether the site(s) is meeting performance standards.
 - 10) Goals and objectives and a discussion of whether the project is progressing toward achieving them.
 - 11) Summary, including dates, of management actions implemented at the site(s), for example, maintenance and corrective actions.
 - 12) Summary of any difficulties or significant events that occurred on the site that may affect the success of the project.
 - 13) Specific recommendations for additional maintenance or corrective actions with a timetable.
 - 14) Photographs taken at permanent photo stations and other photographs, as needed. Photos must be dated and clearly indicate the direction the camera is facing. Photo pans are recommended.
 - 15) Vicinity map showing the geographic location of the site(s) with landmarks.
 - 16) Mitigation site map(s), 8-1/2" x 11" or larger, showing the following:
 - a) Boundary of the site(s).
 - b) Location of permanent photo stations and any other photos taken.
 - c) Data sampling locations, such as points, plots, or transects.
 - d) Approximate locations of any replanted vegetation.
 - e) Changes to site conditions since the last report, such as areas of regrading, a shift in the location of Cowardin classes or habitat features, or a change in water regime.
- Include the month and year when each map was produced or revised. The site map(s) should reflect on-the-ground conditions during the most recent monitoring year.