August 25, 2022

Panattoni Development Company, Inc.
Attn: Bart Brynestad
1821 Dock Street, Suite 100
Tacoma, WA 98402

Re: Administrative Order No. 21297 to permanently fill/impact 0.29 of non-federally regulated wetlands, which are waters of the state, to construct the Boeing Land Development project in Pierce County, Washington

Dear Bart Brynestad:

The Department of Ecology (Ecology) received your Joint Aquatic Resources Permit Application (JARPA) on June 10, 2022, requesting authorization for proposed work in non-federally regulated wetlands, which are waters of the state. Ecology has determined that the proposed work, as conditioned by the enclosed Order, will comply with applicable provisions of Chapter 90.48 RCW and other applicable requirements of state law.

This approval is subject to the conditions contained in the enclosed Order. You must familiarize yourself with and abide by the conditions in the Order, including all notification requirements. If you have any questions, please contact Zach Meyer at 360-481-9885 or zachary.meyer@ecy.wa.gov. The enclosed Order may be appealed by following the procedures described in the Order.

Sincerely,

Lauren Driscoll, Section Manager
Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program

Enclosure

ec: Jim Carsner, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Scott Sissons, Pierce County
Ben Wright, Soundview Consultants
ECYREFEDPERMITS@ECY.WA.GOV
TO: Panattoni Development Company, Inc.
    Attn: Bart Brynestad
    1821 Dock Street, Suite 100
    Tacoma, WA 98402

This is an Administrative Order requiring Panattoni Development Company to comply with Chapter 90.48 RCW and the rules and regulations of the Department of Ecology (Ecology) by taking certain actions which are described below. RCW 90.48.120(2) authorizes Ecology to issue Administrative Orders requiring compliance whenever it determines that a person has violated or creates a substantial potential to violate any provision of Chapter 90.48 RCW.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) issued a jurisdictional determination on June 3, 2022, concluding that Wetlands 2, 2A, 3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14; and Ditch 1 are not waters of the U.S. On June 10, 2022, Ecology received a request to impact 0.29 acres of non-federally regulated wetlands, which are waters of the state, to construct the Boeing Land Development in Pierce County. The project is located at 6402 180th St E and 18001 Canyon Rd E, Puyallup, WA, 98375; NE, NW, and SE 31, 19N, 04E; NE and NW 6, 18N, 04E; NE 40, 19N, 04E; Pierce Co; WRIA 12 Chambers/Clover.

This Administrative Order authorizes 0.09 acres of Category III wetland and 0.2 acres of Category IV wetland impacts at the project location. Onsite mitigation for this proposal will consist of 0.48 acres of wetland creation, and all other actions as proposed in the Fred 310 Final Mitigation Plan dated August 19, 2022. The mitigation will occur in the approximate location of 47.095480N, -122.342656W in the northeast corner of the project site.

For purposes of this Order, the term “Project Proponent” shall mean Panattoni Development Company and its agents, assigns, and contractors.

In view of the foregoing and in accordance with RCW 90.48.120(2):

IT IS ORDERED that the Project Proponent shall comply with the following:
A. General Conditions:

1. The Project Proponent shall construct and operate the project in a manner consistent with the project description contained in the JARPA received by Ecology on June 10, 2022, or as otherwise approved by Ecology.

2. For purposes of this Order, all submittals required by its conditions shall be sent to fednotification@ecy.wa.gov and a cc to zachary.meyer@ecy.wa.gov. Any submittals shall reference Order No. 21297.

3. The Project Proponent shall provide access to the project site and mitigation site upon request by Ecology.

4. Copies of this Order shall be kept on the job site and readily available for reference by Ecology personnel, the construction superintendent, construction managers and forepersons, and state and local government inspectors.

5. Nothing in this Order waives Ecology’s authority to issue additional orders if Ecology determines further actions are necessary to implement the water quality laws of the state. Further, Ecology retains continuing jurisdiction to make modifications hereto through supplemental order, if additional impacts due to project construction or operation are identified (e.g., violations of water quality standards, downstream erosion, etc.), or if additional conditions are necessary to further protect the public interest.

6. The Project Proponent shall ensure that all appropriate project engineers and contractors at the project site have read and understand relevant conditions of this Order and all permits, approvals, and documents referenced in this Order. The Project Proponent shall provide Ecology a signed statement (see Attachment A for an example) from each project engineer and contractor that they have read and understand the conditions of this Order and the above-referenced permits, plans, documents and approvals. These statements shall be provided to Ecology before construction begins at the project.

B. Notification Requirements:

1. The Project Proponent shall provide written notification to Ecology in accordance with condition A.2 above for the following activities:

   a. Immediately following a violation of the state water quality standards or when the project is out of compliance with any condition of this Order

   b. Within seven (7) days of completing work activities in wetlands.

   c. Within seven (7) days of completing the wetland mitigation site.
C. **Wetland Mitigation Conditions:**

1. The Project Proponent shall mitigate wetland impacts as described in the *Fred 310 Final Mitigation Plan* (hereafter called the “Mitigation Plan”) prepared by Soundview Consultants, and dated August 19, 2022, or as modified by this Order or revised and approved by Ecology.

2. The Project Proponent shall submit any changes to the Mitigation Plan in writing to Ecology (see A.2) for review and approval before implementing the changes.

3. The Project Proponent shall have a qualified wetland professional at the wetland mitigation site to supervise during construction and planting.

**Implementation**

4. Unless otherwise approved by Ecology in writing, the Project Proponent shall begin the compensatory mitigation project before, or concurrently with impacting wetlands, or Ecology may require additional compensation to account for additional temporal loss of wetland functions.

5. If the mitigation site(s) cannot be completed within 13 months of the date of this Order, the Project Proponent shall inform Ecology, in writing, of the status of:
   
   a. The work activities in wetlands at the Boeing Land Development.
   
   b. Fred 310 Mitigation Site.

   With the:

   c. Reason for the delay.

   d. Expected date of completion.

   The Project Proponent shall submit an updated written notification every 12 months thereafter until the wetland impacts at Boeing Land Development and Fred 310 Mitigation Site are complete.

6. The Project Proponent shall ensure that all excess excavated site material is disposed of in an appropriate location outside of wetlands and their buffers at the wetland mitigation site and above the 100-year floodplain.

7. The Project Proponent shall ensure that no material is stockpiled within existing wetlands or their buffers at the wetland mitigation site(s) at any time, unless provided for in the Mitigation Plan.
8. The Project Proponent shall ensure that no construction debris is deposited within existing wetlands or their buffers at the wetland mitigation site(s) at any time, unless provided for in the Mitigation Plan.

9. The Project Proponent shall not use polyacrylamide at the mitigation site(s).

10. The Project Proponent shall not use hay or straw on exposed or disturbed soil at the mitigation site(s), unless otherwise approved by Ecology.

11. Aquatic herbicides can be used or applied only by certified applicators or persons under the direct supervision of a certified applicator, and only for those uses covered by the certified applicator’s license category.

   a. Applicators are required to be permitted under Ecology’s Noxious Weed Control Permit.

   b. Applicators shall comply with all conditions of the Noxious Weed Control Permit.

12. If weed-barrier fabric is used on the site, the Project Proponent shall use only permeable, fully biodegradable, non-toxic weed-barrier fabric for entire-site and/or individual plant weed control, unless otherwise approved by Ecology. If non-biodegradable plastic weed-barrier fabric is approved by Ecology, it shall be used only at the base of individual plants and shall be removed before it starts to break down, before it interferes with plant growth, or before the end of the monitoring period, whichever comes first.

13. If seeding is used as a best management practice for temporary erosion control, it must be a seed mix consisting of native, annual, non-invasive plant species, unless otherwise approved by Ecology.

14. If solid or mesh plant protector tubes are used on the mitigation site(s), Ecology strongly recommends that the Project Proponent use fully biodegradable options. If non-biodegradable plant protection options are used, they shall be removed before they interfere with plant growth or before the end of the monitoring period, whichever comes first.

15. The Project Proponent shall place permanent signs at the mitigation area’s boundaries, including buffers, every 100 feet to mark the area as a wetland mitigation site.

16. Upon completion of site-grading and prior to planting, the Project Proponent shall submit to Ecology written confirmation (email or signed letter, see A.2), from a surveyor or project engineer, that the finished grades are consistent with the approved Mitigation Plan or subsequent Ecology-approved plan changes. The confirmation should indicate how final elevations were confirmed.
17. After completing construction and planting of the mitigation sites(s), the Project Proponent shall submit to Ecology (see A.2) an as-built report, including plan sheets, documenting site conditions at Year Zero. The as-built report must:

   a. Be submitted within 90 days of completing construction and planting.

   b. Include the information listed in Attachment B (Information Required for As-built Reports).

   c. Include documentation of the recorded legal mechanism required in Condition C.18.

18. The Project Proponent shall follow the steps below to record a legal site protection mechanism for the Fred 310 Mitigation Site:

   a. Request a template from Ecology or use an appropriate alternative to develop a draft site protection mechanism.

   b. Send the draft site protection mechanism to Ecology for review and approval.

   c. Record the Ecology-approved site protection mechanism with the County Recording Office, Registrar of Deeds, or other official responsible for maintaining records for, or interest in, real property.

   d. Record the site protection mechanism with a figure that corresponds with the legal description showing the area that is being protected, a copy of this Order, and a site map showing the location of wetlands and their buffers that are being protected.

   e. Send a copy of the recorded site protection mechanism to Ecology with the As-Built Report (see C.17), unless otherwise approved by Ecology.

**Monitoring and Maintenance**

19. The Project Proponent shall water and maintain all mitigation site plantings so as to meet the Mitigation Plan’s performance standards. If an irrigation system is installed, it shall be removed by the end of year three unless permission is received in writing from Ecology to allow the system to remain for a longer period.

20. The Project Proponent shall monitor the mitigation site for a minimum of 10 years. The Project Proponent shall use the monitoring methods described on page 8 of the Mitigation Plan.

21. The Project Proponent shall submit to Ecology (see A.2) monitoring reports documenting mitigation site conditions for years 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 10. The monitoring reports must:
a. Be submitted by December 31 of each monitoring year.

b. Include the information listed in Attachment C (Information Required for Monitoring Reports).

22. The Project Proponent shall implement the Mitigation Plan’s contingency measures if the Mitigation Plan’s goals, objectives, or performance standards are not being met.

23. Prior to implementing contingency measures not specified in the Mitigation Plan, the Project Proponent shall consult with and obtain written approval from Ecology for the contingency measures.

24. When necessary to meet the performance standards, the Project Proponent shall replace dead or dying plants with the same species, or an appropriate native plant alternative, during the current or upcoming planting season and note species, numbers, and approximate locations of all replacement plants in the subsequent monitoring report.

25. For monitoring years five (5) and ten (10) the Project Proponent shall use the currently approved federal wetland delineation manual and appropriate regional supplement to delineate all compensatory wetlands and include delineation information (e.g. data sheets, maps, etc.) in the monitoring reports.

28. If the Project Proponent has not met all conditions, including performance standards, for the mitigation site at the end of the monitoring period, Ecology may require additional monitoring, additional mitigation, or both.

29. The Project Proponent’s obligation to compensate for wetland impacts under Condition C.1 is not met until the Project Proponent has received written notice from Ecology that the obligation is met.

D. Timing

1. This Order is valid until the Project Proponent meets all its requirements and the Project Proponent has received written notification from Ecology to that effect.

Failure to comply with this Order may result in the issuance of civil penalties or other actions, whether administrative or judicial, to enforce the terms of this Order.

Your right to appeal

You have a right to appeal this Order to the Pollution Control Hearings Board (PCHB) within 30 days of the date of receipt of this Order. The appeal process is governed by RCW 43.21B and WAC 371-08 WAC. “Date of receipt” is defined in RCW 43.21B.001(2). More information is available at https://eluho.wa.gov/content/11.
To appeal you must do all of the following within 30 days of the date of receipt of this Order:

- File your notice of appeal and a copy of this Order with the PCHB (see filing options below). “Filing” means actual receipt by the PCHB during regular business hours as defined in WAC 371-08-305 and -335. “Notice of appeal” is defined in WAC 371-08-340.

- Serve a copy of your notice of appeal and this Order on the Department of Ecology, in paper form, by mail or in person (see addresses below). E-mail is not accepted.

You must also comply with other applicable requirements in RCW 43.21B and WAC 371-08.

**Filing an appeal with the PCHB:**

For the most current information regarding filing with the PCHB, visit:  
www.eluho.wa.gov/content/11

**Filing by mail**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing Address:</th>
<th>Street Address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollution Control Hearings Board PO Box 40903 Olympia, WA 98504-0903</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filing electronically**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Email address:</th>
<th><a href="mailto:pchb-shbappeals@eluho.wa.gov">pchb-shbappeals@eluho.wa.gov</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Serving a copy of the appeal on Ecology:**

Electronic copies of appeals are not accepted at the Department of Ecology per WAC 371-08-305(10).

**Filing by mail**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing Address:</th>
<th>Street Address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Ecology Attn: Appeals Processing Desk PO Box 47608 Olympia, WA 98504-7608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filing in person (or by certified mail/courier)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Ecology Attn: Appeals Processing Desk 300 Desmond Drive SE Lacey, WA 98503</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contact information**

Please direct all questions about this Order to:

Zach Meyer  
Department of Ecology  
Southwest Regional Office
More information

- Pollution Control Hearings Board Website
  https://eluho.wa.gov/content/11

- Chapter 43.21B RCW - Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office – Pollution Control Hearings Board
  http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=43.21B

- Chapter 371-08 WAC – Practice And Procedure

- Chapter 34.05 RCW – Administrative Procedure Act
  http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=34.05

- Chapter 90.48 RCW – Water Pollution Control
  http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=90.48

- Chapter 173.204 WAC – Sediment Management Standards

- Chapter 173-200 WAC – Water Quality Standards for Ground Waters of the State of Washington

- Chapter 173-201A WAC – Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Washington
  http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-201A

Signature

Dated this 25th day of August, 2022 at the Department of Ecology, Lacey, Washington.

Lauren Driscoll, Wetland Section Manager
Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program
Attachment A
Statement of Understanding
Administrative Order Conditions

Boeing Land Development
Fred 310 Mitigation Site
Panatonni Development Company, Inc.
Administrative Order No. 21297

I, _________________________, state that I will be involved as an agent or contractor for Panatonni Development Company, Inc. in the site preparation and/or construction of the Boeing Land Development and Fred 310 Mitigation Site located at the Boeing Fredrickson facility, near Puyallup, Pierce, Washington. I further state that I have read and understand the relevant conditions of Washington Department of Ecology Administrative Order No. 21297 and the applicable permits and approvals referenced therein which pertain to the project-related work for which I am responsible.

I have and will continue to ensure that all project engineers, contractors, and other workers at the project site with authority to direct work have read and understand the conditions of this Order and any permits, plans, documents, and approvals referenced in the Order.

__________________________________________  __________________________
Signature                                    Date

__________________________________________  __________________________
Title                                        Phone

__________________________________________
Company
Attachment B  
Information Required for As-built Reports

Boeing Land Development  
Fred 310 Mitigation Site  
Ecology Order # 21297

Ecology requires the following information for as-built reports submitted under this Order. Ecology will accept additional information that may be required by other agencies.

**Background Information**
1) Project name.
2) Ecology Order number and the Corps reference number.
3) Name and contact information of the person preparing the as-built report. Also, if different from the person preparing the report, include the names of:
   a) The applicant
   b) The landowner
   c) Wetland professional on site during construction of the mitigation site(s).
4) Date the report was produced.

**Mitigation Project Information**
5) Brief description of the final mitigation project with any changes from the approved plan made during construction. Include:
   a) **Actual** acreage of Cowardin classes and mitigation type(s) (re-establishment, rehabilitation, creation, enhancement, preservation, upland, buffers).
   b) Important dates, including:
      i. Start of project construction.
      ii. When work on the mitigation site began and ended.
      iii. When different activities such as grading, removal of invasive plants, installing plants, and installing habitat features began and ended.
6) Description of any problems encountered and solutions implemented (with reasons for changes) during construction of the mitigation site(s).
7) List of any follow-up actions needed, with a schedule.
8) Vicinity map showing the geographic location of the site(s) with landmarks.
9) Mitigation site map(s), 8-1/2” x 11” or larger, showing the following:
   a) Boundary of the site(s).
   b) Topography (with a description of how elevations were determined).
   c) Installed planting scheme (quantities, densities, sizes, and approximate locations of plants, as well as the source(s) of plant material).
   d) Location of habitat features.
   e) Location of permanent photo stations and any other photos taken.
   Include the month and year when each map was produced or revised. The site map(s) should reflect on-the-ground conditions after the site work is completed.
10) Photographs taken at permanent photo stations and other photographs, as needed. Photos must be dated and clearly indicate the direction from which each photo was taken. Photo pans are recommended.
11) A copy of any deed notifications, conservation easements, or other approved site protection mechanism.
Attachment C
Information Required for Monitoring Reports

Fred 310 Mitigation Site
Ecology Order # 21297

Ecology requires the following information for monitoring reports submitted under this Order. Ecology will accept additional information that may be required by other agencies.

**Background Information**
1) Project name.
2) Ecology Order number and the Corps reference number.
3) Name and contact information of the person preparing the monitoring report. Also, if different from the person preparing the report, include the names of:
   a) The applicant
   b) The landowner
   c) The party responsible for the monitoring activities.
4) Dates the monitoring data were collected.
5) Date the report was produced.

**Mitigation Project Information**
6) Brief description of the mitigation project, including acreage of Cowardin classes and mitigation type(s) (re-establishment, rehabilitation, creation, enhancement, preservation, upland, buffers).
7) Description of the monitoring approach and methods. For each performance standard being measured provide the following information:
   a) Description of the sampling technique (e.g., monitoring point for soil or hydrology, line or point intercept method, ocular estimates in individually placed plots). If you are using a standardized technique, provide a reference for that method.
   b) Size and shape of plots or transects.
   c) Number of sampling locations and how you determined the number of sampling locations to use.
   d) Percent of the mitigation area being sampled.
   e) Locations of sampling (provide a map showing the locations), how you determined where to place the sampling locations (e.g., simple random sample), and whether they are permanent or temporary.
   f) Schedule for sampling (how often and when).
   g) Description of how the data was evaluated and analyzed.
8) Summary table(s) comparing performance standards with monitoring results and whether each standard has been met.
9) Discussion of how the monitoring data were used to determine whether the site(s) is meeting performance standards.
10) Goals and objectives and a discussion of whether the project is progressing toward achieving them.
11) Summary, including dates, of management actions implemented at the site(s), for example, maintenance and corrective actions.
12) Summary of any difficulties or significant events that occurred on the site that may affect the success of the project.
13) Specific recommendations for additional maintenance or corrective actions with a timetable.
14) Photographs taken at permanent photo stations and other photographs, as needed. Photos must be dated and clearly indicate the direction the camera is facing. Photo pans are recommended.
15) Vicinity map showing the geographic location of the site(s) with landmarks.
16) Mitigation site map(s), 8-1/2” x 11” or larger, showing the following:
   a) Boundary of the site(s).
   b) Location of permanent photo stations and any other photos taken.
   c) Data sampling locations, such as points, plots, or transects.
   d) Approximate locations of any replanted vegetation.
   e) Changes to site conditions since the last report, such as areas of regrading, a shift in the location of Cowardin classes or habitat features, or a change in water regime.

Include the month and year when each map was produced or revised. The site map(s) should reflect on-the-ground conditions during the most recent monitoring year.