

Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report

Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington FSID # 33215922

Prepared For:

City of Bothell 18415 101st Avenue NE Bothell, WA 98011

July 14, 2020

Project Number: 82302

Prepared By:

Kane Environmental, Inc. 4015 13th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119



Jeffrey Jensen / Project Geologist

John Kane, LHG President, Principal



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	
1.2	Scope of Work	2
2.0	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	4
2.1	Geologic Setting	4
2.2	Hydrogeologic Setting	
3.0	FIELD METHODOLOGY	5
3.1	Sampling Locations	5
3.2	Monitoring Well Sampling Methods	
4.0	ANALYTICAL METHODS	7
4.1	Laboratory QA/QC Procedures	7
5.0	RESULTS	8
5.1	Shallow Groundwater	8
5.2	Intermediate Groundwater	
5.3	Deep Groundwater	10
6.0	DISCUSSION	11
7.0	LIMITATIONS	12
8.0	REFERENCES	13

FIGURES

Figure 1 – Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Site Plan Figure 3 – Site Plan Detail

TABLES

Table 1 – Summary of HVOCs in Groundwater - Shallow

Table 2 – Summary of HVOCs in Groundwater – Intermediate

Table 3 – Summary of HVOCs in Groundwater - Deep

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - Consent Decree Exhibit D - Schedule of Deliverables

Attachment B – Ecology Correspondence

Attachment C – Groundwater Isopleth Figures

Attachment D – Laboratory Analytical Reports

Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report Bothell Service Center Simon & Son (BSCSS) Bothell, Washington Project #82302



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report was prepared by Kane Environmental, Inc. on behalf of the City of Bothell (the City) to satisfy the requirements of Consent Decree No. 18-2-02852-3 SEA for the Bothell Service Center Simon & Sons (BSCSS) Site located in Bothell, Washington (the Site). This report is identified as deliverable "D3" in the BSCSS Consent Decree's amended schedule of deliverables (Attachment A), which became effective on October 31, 2019. A vicinity map and Site location are shown on Figure 1. A Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RIFS) was prepared on December 19, 2017 to characterize the Site and evaluate proposed remedial actions to address the contamination and based on that evaluation, propose the most appropriate remedial alternative to clean up the Site. A Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) was prepared for Ecology in December 2017 to describe the proposed cleanup action and to set forth the requirements that the cleanup must meet. The CAP was subsequently revised in 2019. Kane Environmental and Dailey Engineering, LLC prepared an Engineering and Remedial Design Report (ERDR) for the Site dated March 12, 2018 in which the selected remedial strategies were described in detail (Kane Environmental, 2018). Following the competition of several of the selected remedial strategies, Kane Environmental began to conduct groundwater performance monitoring on the Site.

1.1 Background

The property containing the source of contamination was previously owned by Bothell Service Center Associates (BSCA) and managed by NLO Property Management. The City of Bothell is the current owner of the BSCSS property and the City owns roadways and the adjacent Wexler property which is now part of the Site, due to commingling of contaminants. The Site is listed in Ecology's database as Bothell Service Center Simon & Sons (BSCSS). The Site is assigned Facility Site ID number 33215922 for dry cleaning solvent contamination in soil and groundwater. The Cleanup Site ID number is 427. The City has a Consent Decree to implement a Cleanup Action Plan for the Site with Ecology and the Washington State Attorney General's Office. The Consent Decree was executed in February 2018, and later amended in October 2019.

The BSCSS property address is 18107 Bothell Way NE, Bothell, WA 98011, located at 47.760 degrees north and -122.209 degrees west in Section 7 of Township 26 north, Range 5 east. The BSCSS property previously included a one-story, masonry, commercial building approximately 8,410 square feet in area, containing five tenant suites. The former building on the BSCSS property and associated aboveground features were demolished in August 2016.

According to available information, the former building on the BSCSS property was constructed in 1988, and Simon and Son Drycleaning, a dry cleaning facility, operated in the westernmost tenant suite from approximately 1989 through 1999. In 1999, a release of the dry cleaning solvent tetrachloroethylene (PCE) was detected in subsurface soils beneath the former building on the BSCSS property. The detected release



of PCE was reported to Ecology by NLO Property Management in a letter dated August 22, 2000. The corresponding and subsequent subsurface investigations and remedial activities conducted on the BSCSS property and vicinity are discussed in Section 1.5 of the RI/FS (Kane Environmental, 2017).

Remedial investigation activities defined the nature and extent of contaminants of concern (COCs) in soil and ground water on the Site, which include PCE and its breakdown products trichloroethylene (TCE), (cis) 1,2-dichloroethylene ((cis) 1,2-DCE), and vinyl chloride. Based on the results of the RI/FS and CAP the remedial alternative selected included:

- Design and Installation of ERH System and accompanying Bioremediation/Recirculation
- Installation of SVE system
- Limited soil excavation and contingency-based soil excavation
- Engineering controls depends on building construction schedule
- Institutional controls, if necessary.

ERH operations were activated in May 2018 and heating of the system concluded in November 2018. The bioremediation and groundwater recirculation system was activated in October 2018 and expanded upon in July and August 2019. SVE operations were activated in March 2019 and concluded in August 2019. Excavation activities commenced in June 2019 and were concluded in September 2019.

The operation of the bioremediation and the groundwater recirculation system is presently ongoing. To assess the performance of the ERH system and monitor the performance of the bioremediation and the groundwater recirculation system on the Site, several rounds of groundwater sampling were conducted in 2019. Performance monitoring wells were selected with the concurrence of Ecology in July 2019 (Attachment B).

1.2 Scope of Work

Kane Environmental was approved by the City of Bothell (the Client) to complete a Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring scope of work to comply with the requirements outlined in the Consent Decree 18-2-02852-3 SEA.

Kane Environmental performed the following tasks to complete this scope of work:

- **Sample Collection:** Groundwater samples were collected from select monitoring wells at the Site. Groundwater monitoring wells at each site are associated with previous remedial operations and current activities being conducted by Kane Environmental.
- **Chemical Analysis:** Groundwater samples collected from the Paint, Hertz, and Landing sites were analyzed for one or more of the following chemical constituents by an analytical laboratory accredited by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology):



- Volatile Organics by EPA 8260D;
- Total Organic Carbon by SM 5310B;
- Dissolved Iron by EPA 6010D;
- Chloride by SM 4500-CL E;
- Sulfate by ASTM D516-11;
- Ammonia (as Nitrogen) by SM 4500-NH3 D; and
- Dissolved gases by RSK 175;



2.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

2.1 Geologic Setting

The Site is located within the Puget Sound Lowland, a north-south trending structural and topographic depression bordered on the west by the Olympic Mountains and on the east by the Cascade Mountains. The area is characterized by gently rolling glacial drift plains covered with small ridges, hills, and depressions formed by the continental ice sheet that covered the area during the Pleistocene Epoch and retreated approximately 12,500 years ago. Most of northwestern King County is mantled by glacial deposits (including gravel, sand, silt, clay, boulders), which are commonly up to and over 150 feet thick (Liesch and others, 1963).

Generally, the geology can be described as glacio-fluvial deposits overlain by varying depths of fill material. Additionally, a consistent glacial till unit was present throughout the Site at depths ranging from 46 to 55 feet bgs. The maximum thickness of the till unit was not determined at the Site, but is at least 50 feet thick based on Site borings.

2.2 Hydrogeologic Setting

The Site is characterized as being underlain by three groundwater zones – Shallow (5-25 feet bgs), Intermediate (25-35 feet bgs), and Deep (35-55 feet bgs). However, the strata containing these zones are discontinuous over short distances and are not separated by confining units; thus, on a local scale, groundwater occurs as a single aquifer flowing southeasterly to discharge points along the Sammamish River.

Shallow groundwater is encountered at the Site between 5 to 25 feet bgs in fill and sandy glacial outwash deposits. Intermediate groundwater occurs from approximately 25 to 35 feet bgs at the Site in medium dense interbedded sand and silty sand glacial outwash. Deep groundwater occurs from approximately 35 to 55 feet bgs at the Site in dense interbedded sand, silty sand, and silty glacial till.

Groundwater measurements conducted by Kane during the 2019 groundwater monitoring events indicated that groundwater at the Site varied from 4.92 to 10.61 feet bgs.



3.0 FIELD METHODOLOGY

3.1 Sampling Locations

Groundwater monitoring and sampling activities were conducted by Kane Environmental at the Site between February 2019 and October 2019. Several groundwater sampling events were conducted in 2019 at the request of Ecology following the termination of the heating element of the ERH system. Select wells were sampled in February and March 2019 to assess ERH performance. During May and June of 2019 all monitoring wells on the Site were sampled. Following the results of the May and June 2019 sampling event performance monitoring wells were selected for the three groundwater zones on the Site through correspondence with Ecology (Attachment B). Quarterly performance monitoring was conducted at these select wells in July 2019 and October 2019.

During the February and March 2019 sampling event, the following monitoring wells were sampled:

- Shallow: MW-3, MW-4, MW-6, MW-23, MW-40, and MW-43.
- Intermediate: MW-11, MW-20, MW-28, and MW-42.
- Deep: MW-8, MW-33, MW-34, and MW-39.

During the May and June 2019 sampling event, the following monitoring wells were sampled:

- Shallow: MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7, MW-21, MW-23, MW-27, MW-40, MW-41, MW-43, MW-45, HZ-MW-1, HZ-MW-4, HZ-MW-14S, HZ-MW-15S, HZ-MW-16, HZ-MW-17, HZ-MW-19, HZ-MW-21, HZ-MW-22, HZ-MW-31, HZ-MW-32, HZ-MW-34, S-MW-1, S-MW-2, S-MW-5, and S-MW-6.
- Intermediate: MW-11, MW-12, MW-20, MW-28, MW-42, MW-44, HZ-MW-14D, HZ-MW-15D, HZ-MW-23, HZ-MW-24, HZ-MW-26, HZ-MW-28, HZ-MW-29, HZ-MW-33, and S-MW-3.
- **Deep:** MW-8, MW-22, MW-29, MW-33, MW-34, MW-35, MW-39, HZ-MW-25, HZ-MW-27, HZ-MW-30, and S-MW-4.

During the July 2019 and October 2019 sampling events, the following monitoring wells were sampled:

- Shallow: MW-6, MW-27, MW-40, MW-43, MW-45, HZ-MW-1, HZ-MW-14S, HZ-MW-15S, HZ-MW-31, HZ-MW-34, S-MW-1, S-MW-2, and S-MW-5.
- Intermediate: MW-11, MW-12, MW-20, MW-42, MW-44, HZ-MW-14D, HZ-MW-15D, HZ-MW-23, HZ-MW-24, HZ-MW-26, HZ-MW-29, and S-MW-3.
- **Deep:** MW-8, MW-29, MW-35, and MW-39.

See Figure 3 for a depiction of the monitoring well locations.



3.2 Monitoring Well Sampling Methods

Prior to collecting groundwater samples, the depth to groundwater at each well was measured with a decontaminated electric water interface probe. Groundwater collected from the well was sampled using a peristaltic pump with new polyethylene tubing that was inserted and extended to the bottom of each well. Field parameters, including pH, temperature, conductivity, oxidation reduction potential (ORP), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were recorded and allowed to stabilize for three consecutive readings prior to collecting each groundwater sample. Groundwater was placed into appropriate laboratory-supplied, precleaned and preserved containers for analysis. Samples were labeled and placed into an ice-filled cooler. Groundwater samples were transported under standard chain-of-custody procedures to an Ecology-accredited analytical laboratory.

Groundwater monitoring well sampling nomenclature identified each sample with the well identification number, followed by a "W". For example, sample "MW-4:W" was a groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-4.



4.0 ANALYTICAL METHODS

Following collection, groundwater samples were placed in a cooler and submitted to the OnSite Environmental laboratory in Redmond, Washington or Fremont Analytical in Seattle, Washington. The following analyses were conducted on selected samples:

- Halogenated Volatile Organics (HVOCs) by EPA 8260D;
- Total Organic Carbon by SM 5310B;
- Dissolved Iron by EPA 6010D;
- Chloride by SM 4500-CL E;
- Sulfate by ASTM D516-11;
- Ammonia (as Nitrogen) by SM 4500-NH3 D; and,
- Dissolved gases by RSK 175;

All analyses were performed in accordance with the analytical laboratories' in-house Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plans. Sample analyses were performed in compliance with EPA analytical methods and Ecology guidelines. Samples were analyzed within specified holding times. All detection limits were within method requirements and no factors appeared to adversely affect data quality.

4.1 Laboratory QA/QC Procedures

Internal test methods run by the laboratory to ensure data accuracy and reproducibility include method blanks, laboratory control standards, sample duplicates, matrix spikes, and matrix spike duplicates.



5.0 RESULTS

Detailed below is a summary of data from groundwater samples collected from the BSCSS Site during groundwater sampling events conducted in February-March 2019, May-June 2019, July 2019, and October 2019. The type of analysis performed at each well, along with contaminant concentrations have been described. These results are also summarized in Tables 1 through 3. Isopleth figures which depict the analytical results are included as Attachment C. Additionally, laboratory analytical reports containing results for the groundwater samples discussed below are included in Attachment D.

5.1 Shallow Groundwater

HVOCs, including PCE, TCE, (cis) 1,2,-DCE, and vinyl chloride, were analyzed in all groundwater samples collected at the Site. See Table 1 for the shallow groundwater analytical results. In the shallow aquifer (approximately 0 to 25 feet bgs) PCE was detected at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level of 5.0 μg/L at fourteen of the twenty-eight shallow wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, PCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A cleanup level at MW-4, MW-6, MW-27, MW-40, HZ-MW-1, HZ-MW-14S, HZ-MW-15S, S-MW-1, S-MW-2, and S-MW-5. MW-4 has consistently reported the highest concentrations of PCE detected during each sampling event, with concentrations ranging between 5,500 μg/L in May 2019 to 1,900 μg/L in October 2019.

TCE was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level (5.0 μ g/L) at seven of the twenty-eight shallow wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, TCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level at MW-4, MW-6, MW-40, and HZ-MW-14S. MW-6 contained the highest concentration of TCE detected (1,100 μ g/L) during the July 2019 sampling event and MW-4 reported the highest concentration (1,900 μ g/L) during the October 2019 sampling event.

(cis) 1,2-DCE was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level (16 μ g/L) at seven of the twenty-eight shallow wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, (cis) 1,2-DCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level at MW-4, MW-6, MW-40, and HZ-MW-34, and during the October 2019 sampling event, at MW-43. MW-40 contained the highest concentrations of (cis) 1,2-DCE during both the July 2019 and October 2019 sampling events, with concentrations of 4,700 μ g/L and 1,500 μ g/L, respectively.

Vinyl chloride was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level (0.2 μ g/L) at six of the twenty-eight shallow wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, vinyl chloride was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level at MW-4, MW-6, MW-40, and HZ-MW-34, and during the October 2019 sampling event, at MW-43. MW-40 contained the highest concentrations of vinyl chloride during the July 2019 sampling event (11 μ g/L)



and MW-4 contained the highest concentration during the October 2019 sampling event (7.5 μ g/L). It should be noted that due to elevated concentrations of PCE, several samples were diluted so that the reporting limit for vinyl chloride was greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level.

5.2 Intermediate Groundwater

Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from the intermediate wells is included in Table 2. In the intermediate aquifer (approximately 25 to 35 feet bgs) PCE was detected at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level of 5.0 µg/L at five of the fifteen intermediate wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, PCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A cleanup level at MW-12, HZ-MW-14D, and HZ-MW-15D. MW-11 and HZ-MW-26 reported concentrations of PCE in exceedance of the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level during the July sampling event, but by the October sampling event, reported concentrations below the cleanup level. HZ-MW-15D has consistently reported the highest concentrations of PCE detected during each sampling event, with concentrations ranging between 10,000 µg/L in May 2019 to 7,700 µg/L in October 2019.

TCE was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level (5.0 µg/L) at five of the fifteen intermediate wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, TCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level at MW-12, MW-20, and HZ-MW-15D. MW-11 reported a concentration of TCE in exceedance of the cleanup level during the July sampling event, but the concentration was below the cleanup level during the October sampling event. Conversely, HZ-MW-14D reported a concentration of TCE below the cleanup level during the July sampling event, but above the cleanup level during the October sampling event, but above the cleanup level during the October sampling event, but above the cleanup level during the October sampling event, but above the cleanup level during the October sampling event, but above the cleanup level during the October sampling event, but above the cleanup level during the October sampling event, but above the cleanup level during the October sampling event. HZ-MW-15D contained the highest concentration of TCE detected during both the July and October 2019 sampling events, reporting concentrations of 390 µg/L and 410 µg/L, respectively.

(cis) 1,2-DCE was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level (16 μ g/L) at eight of the fifteen intermediate wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, (cis) 1,2-DCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level at MW-12, MW-20, HZ-MW-15D, HZ-MW-24, and HZ-MW-29, and during the October 2019 sampling event, at MW-11. MW-12 contained the highest concentrations of (cis) 1,2-DCE during the July 2019 sampling event (630 μ g/L) and HZ-MW-15D contained the highest concentration during the October 2019 sampling event (360 μ g/L).

Vinyl chloride was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level (0.2 µg/L) at six of the fifteen intermediate wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, vinyl chloride was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level at MW-11, MW-12, HZ-MW-14D, HZ-MW-24, and HZ-MW-29, and during the October 2019 sampling



event, at MW-44. MW-12 contained the highest concentrations of vinyl chloride during the July 2019 sampling event ($6.2 \mu g/L$) and MW-12 contained the highest concentration during the October 2019 sampling event ($1.5 \mu g/L$). It should be noted that due to elevated concentrations of PCE, several samples were diluted so that the reporting limit for vinyl chloride was greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level.

5.3 Deep Groundwater

Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from the deep wells is included in Table 3. In the deep aquifer (approximately 35 to 55 feet bgs) PCE was not detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level of 5.0 μ g/L in any of the eleven deep wells sampled in 2019. Detectable concentrations of PCE have ranged between 4.98 μ g/L at MW-8 in February 2019 and 0.33 μ g/L at MW-39 in May 2019.

TCE was not detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level ($5.0 \mu g/L$) in any of the eleven deep wells sampled in 2019. Detectable concentrations of TCE ranged between 2.9 $\mu g/L$ at MW-8 in February 2019 and 0.41 $\mu g/L$ at MW-39 in May 2019.

(cis) 1,2-DCE was not detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level (16 μ g/L) in any of the eleven deep wells sampled in 2019. Detectable concentrations of (cis) 1,2-DCE ranged between 7.33 μ g/L at MW-8 in February 2019 and 0.34 μ g/L at MW-8 in October 2019.

Vinyl chloride was not detected at concentrations greater than the laboratory reporting limit in any of the eleven deep wells sampled in 2019.



6.0 **DISCUSSION**

Analytical results from the 2019 sampling events indicate that PCE concentrations in groundwater remain greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level in both the shallow and intermediate aguifers. No HVOCs were detected above their respective cleanup levels in the deep aguifer during the 2019 sampling. PCE concentrations are generally decreasing across the Site. Decreasing concentrations of PCE are frequently accompanied by increases in the concentrations of (cis) 1,2-DCE or vinyl chloride. This is most apparent at wells MW-4 and MW-6 in the shallow aquifer, and wells MW-11, MW-12, HZ-MW-15D, and HZ-MW-29 in the intermediate aquifer. These results, coupled with increasing total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations and negative ORP readings, suggest that reductive dechlorination is effectively breaking down the PCE in large portions of the Site. There are, however, several wells where concentrations of PCE are not consistently decreasing and (cis) 1,2-DCE and vinyl chloride are not being produced. These wells also generally contain low or non-detectable concentrations of TOC and contain positive ORP readings. In the shallow aquifer this is primarily seen in wells located in the eastern portion of the Site, where injection of Carbstrate enhanced water has been limited, such as MW-27, S-MW-1, and S-MW-5, and is also seen in the intermediate aquifer at HZ-MW-14D. It should also be noted that full scale injection at the Site was not initiated until July and August of 2019. It may be necessary to supplement the bioremediation and groundwater recirculation system with either temporary or permanent injection points in the eastern and northeastern portions of the Site. In general, it appears as though the ERH system and subsequent vadose zone excavation effectively remediated the original source area as well as the deep aquifer on the Site. Initial groundwater results from 2019 indicate that the bioremediation and groundwater recirculation system is stimulating reductive dechlorination in large scale at the Site, effectively reducing PCE concentrations in Site groundwater. Kane Environmental will continue groundwater performance monitoring at the Site to assess the effectiveness of bioremediation and groundwater recirculation system.



7.0 LIMITATIONS

Kane Environmental has performed this work in general accordance with generally accepted professional practices using the standard of the industry today, for the nature and conditions of the work completed in the same locality and at the same time as the work was performed, and with the terms and conditions as set forth in our proposal.

Kane Environmental shall not be responsible for conditions or consequences arising from relevant facts that were concealed, withheld, or not fully disclosed at the time the report was prepared. Facts and conditions referenced in this report may change over time and the conclusions and recommendations set forth herein are applicable only to the facts and conditions as described at the time the work was performed. This Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report does not include other services not specifically described in the scope of work in Section 1.2 of this report. Conclusions were made within the operative constraints of the scope of work, budget, and schedule for this project.

Our assessment of the property may change as new data become available, either from persons familiar with the site or during additional site studies, exploration or sampling. This report is intended for the exclusive use of the City of Bothell, and its designated assignees, for specific application to the referenced property. It is not meant to represent a legal opinion. No other warranty, express or implied, is made.



8.0 REFERENCES

- Kane Environmental, 2017, *Remedial Investigation & Feasibility Study, Bothell Service Center Simon & Son, 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington,* December 19, 2017.
- Kane Environmental, 2018, *Engineering and Remedial Design Report*, Bothell Service Center Simon & Son, Bothell, Washington, March 12, 2018.
- Kane Environmental, 2019a, *Memorandum: Post-ERH Groundwater Sampling Select Groundwater Wells, Bothell Service Center Simon & Son (BSCSS), Bothell, WA 98011*, March 28, 2019.
- Kane Environmental, 2019b, *Memorandum: Bothell Service Center Simon & Son May 2019 Vadose Zone Soil Sampling*, June 5, 2019.
- Kane Environmental, 2019c, Vadose Soil Excavation in ERH Treatment Area, Bothell Service Center
 Simon & Son, 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington, June 7, 2019 (and further amended in July
 & September 2019; Amendment No. 1 & Amendment No.2 respectively).
- Liesch, B.A., C.E. Price, and K. Walters. 1963. *Geology and Ground-Water Resources of Northwestern King County, Washington.* US Geological Survey.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), 2019, *Cleanup Action Plan, Bothell Service Center Simon & Son, 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington*, revised July 19, 2019.

FIGURES



TABLES



ATTACHMENT A CONSENT DECREE EXHIBIT D – SCHEDULE OF DELIVERABLES



ATTACHMENT B ECOLOGY CORRESPONDENCE



ATTACHMENT C GROUNDWATER ISOPLETH FIGURES



ATTACHMENT D LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	
1.2	Scope of Work	2
2.0	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	4
2.1	Geologic Setting	4
2.2	Hydrogeologic Setting	
3.0	FIELD METHODOLOGY	5
3.1	Sampling Locations	5
3.2	Monitoring Well Sampling Methods	
4.0	ANALYTICAL METHODS	7
4.1	Laboratory QA/QC Procedures	7
5.0	RESULTS	8
5.1	Shallow Groundwater	8
5.2	Intermediate Groundwater	
5.3	Deep Groundwater	10
6.0	DISCUSSION	11
7.0	LIMITATIONS	12
8.0	REFERENCES	13

FIGURES

Figure 1 – Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Site Plan Figure 3 – Site Plan Detail

TABLES

Table 1 – Summary of HVOCs in Groundwater - Shallow

Table 2 – Summary of HVOCs in Groundwater – Intermediate

Table 3 – Summary of HVOCs in Groundwater - Deep

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - Consent Decree Exhibit D - Schedule of Deliverables

Attachment B – Ecology Correspondence

Attachment C – Groundwater Isopleth Figures

Attachment D – Laboratory Analytical Reports

Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report Bothell Service Center Simon & Son (BSCSS) Bothell, Washington Project #82302



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report was prepared by Kane Environmental, Inc. on behalf of the City of Bothell (the City) to satisfy the requirements of Consent Decree No. 18-2-02852-3 SEA for the Bothell Service Center Simon & Sons (BSCSS) Site located in Bothell, Washington (the Site). This report is identified as deliverable "D3" in the BSCSS Consent Decree's amended schedule of deliverables (Attachment A), which became effective on October 31, 2019. A vicinity map and Site location are shown on Figure 1. A Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RIFS) was prepared on December 19, 2017 to characterize the Site and evaluate proposed remedial actions to address the contamination and based on that evaluation, propose the most appropriate remedial alternative to clean up the Site. A Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) was prepared for Ecology in December 2017 to describe the proposed cleanup action and to set forth the requirements that the cleanup must meet. The CAP was subsequently revised in 2019. Kane Environmental and Dailey Engineering, LLC prepared an Engineering and Remedial Design Report (ERDR) for the Site dated March 12, 2018 in which the selected remedial strategies were described in detail (Kane Environmental, 2018). Following the competition of several of the selected remedial strategies, Kane Environmental began to conduct groundwater performance monitoring on the Site.

1.1 Background

The property containing the source of contamination was previously owned by Bothell Service Center Associates (BSCA) and managed by NLO Property Management. The City of Bothell is the current owner of the BSCSS property and the City owns roadways and the adjacent Wexler property which is now part of the Site, due to commingling of contaminants. The Site is listed in Ecology's database as Bothell Service Center Simon & Sons (BSCSS). The Site is assigned Facility Site ID number 33215922 for dry cleaning solvent contamination in soil and groundwater. The Cleanup Site ID number is 427. The City has a Consent Decree to implement a Cleanup Action Plan for the Site with Ecology and the Washington State Attorney General's Office. The Consent Decree was executed in February 2018, and later amended in October 2019.

The BSCSS property address is 18107 Bothell Way NE, Bothell, WA 98011, located at 47.760 degrees north and -122.209 degrees west in Section 7 of Township 26 north, Range 5 east. The BSCSS property previously included a one-story, masonry, commercial building approximately 8,410 square feet in area, containing five tenant suites. The former building on the BSCSS property and associated aboveground features were demolished in August 2016.

According to available information, the former building on the BSCSS property was constructed in 1988, and Simon and Son Drycleaning, a dry cleaning facility, operated in the westernmost tenant suite from approximately 1989 through 1999. In 1999, a release of the dry cleaning solvent tetrachloroethylene (PCE) was detected in subsurface soils beneath the former building on the BSCSS property. The detected release



of PCE was reported to Ecology by NLO Property Management in a letter dated August 22, 2000. The corresponding and subsequent subsurface investigations and remedial activities conducted on the BSCSS property and vicinity are discussed in Section 1.5 of the RI/FS (Kane Environmental, 2017).

Remedial investigation activities defined the nature and extent of contaminants of concern (COCs) in soil and ground water on the Site, which include PCE and its breakdown products trichloroethylene (TCE), (cis) 1,2-dichloroethylene ((cis) 1,2-DCE), and vinyl chloride. Based on the results of the RI/FS and CAP the remedial alternative selected included:

- Design and Installation of ERH System and accompanying Bioremediation/Recirculation
- Installation of SVE system
- Limited soil excavation and contingency-based soil excavation
- Engineering controls depends on building construction schedule
- Institutional controls, if necessary.

ERH operations were activated in May 2018 and heating of the system concluded in November 2018. The bioremediation and groundwater recirculation system was activated in October 2018 and expanded upon in July and August 2019. SVE operations were activated in March 2019 and concluded in August 2019. Excavation activities commenced in June 2019 and were concluded in September 2019.

The operation of the bioremediation and the groundwater recirculation system is presently ongoing. To assess the performance of the ERH system and monitor the performance of the bioremediation and the groundwater recirculation system on the Site, several rounds of groundwater sampling were conducted in 2019. Performance monitoring wells were selected with the concurrence of Ecology in July 2019 (Attachment B).

1.2 Scope of Work

Kane Environmental was approved by the City of Bothell (the Client) to complete a Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring scope of work to comply with the requirements outlined in the Consent Decree 18-2-02852-3 SEA.

Kane Environmental performed the following tasks to complete this scope of work:

- **Sample Collection:** Groundwater samples were collected from select monitoring wells at the Site. Groundwater monitoring wells at each site are associated with previous remedial operations and current activities being conducted by Kane Environmental.
- **Chemical Analysis:** Groundwater samples collected from the Paint, Hertz, and Landing sites were analyzed for one or more of the following chemical constituents by an analytical laboratory accredited by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology):



- Volatile Organics by EPA 8260D;
- Total Organic Carbon by SM 5310B;
- Dissolved Iron by EPA 6010D;
- Chloride by SM 4500-CL E;
- Sulfate by ASTM D516-11;
- Ammonia (as Nitrogen) by SM 4500-NH3 D; and
- Dissolved gases by RSK 175;



2.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

2.1 Geologic Setting

The Site is located within the Puget Sound Lowland, a north-south trending structural and topographic depression bordered on the west by the Olympic Mountains and on the east by the Cascade Mountains. The area is characterized by gently rolling glacial drift plains covered with small ridges, hills, and depressions formed by the continental ice sheet that covered the area during the Pleistocene Epoch and retreated approximately 12,500 years ago. Most of northwestern King County is mantled by glacial deposits (including gravel, sand, silt, clay, boulders), which are commonly up to and over 150 feet thick (Liesch and others, 1963).

Generally, the geology can be described as glacio-fluvial deposits overlain by varying depths of fill material. Additionally, a consistent glacial till unit was present throughout the Site at depths ranging from 46 to 55 feet bgs. The maximum thickness of the till unit was not determined at the Site, but is at least 50 feet thick based on Site borings.

2.2 Hydrogeologic Setting

The Site is characterized as being underlain by three groundwater zones – Shallow (5-25 feet bgs), Intermediate (25-35 feet bgs), and Deep (35-55 feet bgs). However, the strata containing these zones are discontinuous over short distances and are not separated by confining units; thus, on a local scale, groundwater occurs as a single aquifer flowing southeasterly to discharge points along the Sammamish River.

Shallow groundwater is encountered at the Site between 5 to 25 feet bgs in fill and sandy glacial outwash deposits. Intermediate groundwater occurs from approximately 25 to 35 feet bgs at the Site in medium dense interbedded sand and silty sand glacial outwash. Deep groundwater occurs from approximately 35 to 55 feet bgs at the Site in dense interbedded sand, silty sand, and silty glacial till.

Groundwater measurements conducted by Kane during the 2019 groundwater monitoring events indicated that groundwater at the Site varied from 4.92 to 10.61 feet bgs.



3.0 FIELD METHODOLOGY

3.1 Sampling Locations

Groundwater monitoring and sampling activities were conducted by Kane Environmental at the Site between February 2019 and October 2019. Several groundwater sampling events were conducted in 2019 at the request of Ecology following the termination of the heating element of the ERH system. Select wells were sampled in February and March 2019 to assess ERH performance. During May and June of 2019 all monitoring wells on the Site were sampled. Following the results of the May and June 2019 sampling event performance monitoring wells were selected for the three groundwater zones on the Site through correspondence with Ecology (Attachment B). Quarterly performance monitoring was conducted at these select wells in July 2019 and October 2019.

During the February and March 2019 sampling event, the following monitoring wells were sampled:

- Shallow: MW-3, MW-4, MW-6, MW-23, MW-40, and MW-43.
- Intermediate: MW-11, MW-20, MW-28, and MW-42.
- Deep: MW-8, MW-33, MW-34, and MW-39.

During the May and June 2019 sampling event, the following monitoring wells were sampled:

- Shallow: MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7, MW-21, MW-23, MW-27, MW-40, MW-41, MW-43, MW-45, HZ-MW-1, HZ-MW-4, HZ-MW-14S, HZ-MW-15S, HZ-MW-16, HZ-MW-17, HZ-MW-19, HZ-MW-21, HZ-MW-22, HZ-MW-31, HZ-MW-32, HZ-MW-34, S-MW-1, S-MW-2, S-MW-5, and S-MW-6.
- Intermediate: MW-11, MW-12, MW-20, MW-28, MW-42, MW-44, HZ-MW-14D, HZ-MW-15D, HZ-MW-23, HZ-MW-24, HZ-MW-26, HZ-MW-28, HZ-MW-29, HZ-MW-33, and S-MW-3.
- **Deep:** MW-8, MW-22, MW-29, MW-33, MW-34, MW-35, MW-39, HZ-MW-25, HZ-MW-27, HZ-MW-30, and S-MW-4.

During the July 2019 and October 2019 sampling events, the following monitoring wells were sampled:

- Shallow: MW-6, MW-27, MW-40, MW-43, MW-45, HZ-MW-1, HZ-MW-14S, HZ-MW-15S, HZ-MW-31, HZ-MW-34, S-MW-1, S-MW-2, and S-MW-5.
- Intermediate: MW-11, MW-12, MW-20, MW-42, MW-44, HZ-MW-14D, HZ-MW-15D, HZ-MW-23, HZ-MW-24, HZ-MW-26, HZ-MW-29, and S-MW-3.
- **Deep:** MW-8, MW-29, MW-35, and MW-39.

See Figure 3 for a depiction of the monitoring well locations.



3.2 Monitoring Well Sampling Methods

Prior to collecting groundwater samples, the depth to groundwater at each well was measured with a decontaminated electric water interface probe. Groundwater collected from the well was sampled using a peristaltic pump with new polyethylene tubing that was inserted and extended to the bottom of each well. Field parameters, including pH, temperature, conductivity, oxidation reduction potential (ORP), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were recorded and allowed to stabilize for three consecutive readings prior to collecting each groundwater sample. Groundwater was placed into appropriate laboratory-supplied, precleaned and preserved containers for analysis. Samples were labeled and placed into an ice-filled cooler. Groundwater samples were transported under standard chain-of-custody procedures to an Ecology-accredited analytical laboratory.

Groundwater monitoring well sampling nomenclature identified each sample with the well identification number, followed by a "W". For example, sample "MW-4:W" was a groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-4.



4.0 ANALYTICAL METHODS

Following collection, groundwater samples were placed in a cooler and submitted to the OnSite Environmental laboratory in Redmond, Washington or Fremont Analytical in Seattle, Washington. The following analyses were conducted on selected samples:

- Halogenated Volatile Organics (HVOCs) by EPA 8260D;
- Total Organic Carbon by SM 5310B;
- Dissolved Iron by EPA 6010D;
- Chloride by SM 4500-CL E;
- Sulfate by ASTM D516-11;
- Ammonia (as Nitrogen) by SM 4500-NH3 D; and,
- Dissolved gases by RSK 175;

All analyses were performed in accordance with the analytical laboratories' in-house Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plans. Sample analyses were performed in compliance with EPA analytical methods and Ecology guidelines. Samples were analyzed within specified holding times. All detection limits were within method requirements and no factors appeared to adversely affect data quality.

4.1 Laboratory QA/QC Procedures

Internal test methods run by the laboratory to ensure data accuracy and reproducibility include method blanks, laboratory control standards, sample duplicates, matrix spikes, and matrix spike duplicates.



5.0 RESULTS

Detailed below is a summary of data from groundwater samples collected from the BSCSS Site during groundwater sampling events conducted in February-March 2019, May-June 2019, July 2019, and October 2019. The type of analysis performed at each well, along with contaminant concentrations have been described. These results are also summarized in Tables 1 through 3. Isopleth figures which depict the analytical results are included as Attachment C. Additionally, laboratory analytical reports containing results for the groundwater samples discussed below are included in Attachment D.

5.1 Shallow Groundwater

HVOCs, including PCE, TCE, (cis) 1,2,-DCE, and vinyl chloride, were analyzed in all groundwater samples collected at the Site. See Table 1 for the shallow groundwater analytical results. In the shallow aquifer (approximately 0 to 25 feet bgs) PCE was detected at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level of 5.0 μg/L at fourteen of the twenty-eight shallow wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, PCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A cleanup level at MW-4, MW-6, MW-27, MW-40, HZ-MW-1, HZ-MW-14S, HZ-MW-15S, S-MW-1, S-MW-2, and S-MW-5. MW-4 has consistently reported the highest concentrations of PCE detected during each sampling event, with concentrations ranging between 5,500 μg/L in May 2019 to 1,900 μg/L in October 2019.

TCE was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level (5.0 μ g/L) at seven of the twenty-eight shallow wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, TCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level at MW-4, MW-6, MW-40, and HZ-MW-14S. MW-6 contained the highest concentration of TCE detected (1,100 μ g/L) during the July 2019 sampling event and MW-4 reported the highest concentration (1,900 μ g/L) during the October 2019 sampling event.

(cis) 1,2-DCE was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level (16 μ g/L) at seven of the twenty-eight shallow wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, (cis) 1,2-DCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level at MW-4, MW-6, MW-40, and HZ-MW-34, and during the October 2019 sampling event, at MW-43. MW-40 contained the highest concentrations of (cis) 1,2-DCE during both the July 2019 and October 2019 sampling events, with concentrations of 4,700 μ g/L and 1,500 μ g/L, respectively.

Vinyl chloride was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level (0.2 μ g/L) at six of the twenty-eight shallow wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, vinyl chloride was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level at MW-4, MW-6, MW-40, and HZ-MW-34, and during the October 2019 sampling event, at MW-43. MW-40 contained the highest concentrations of vinyl chloride during the July 2019 sampling event (11 μ g/L)



and MW-4 contained the highest concentration during the October 2019 sampling event (7.5 μ g/L). It should be noted that due to elevated concentrations of PCE, several samples were diluted so that the reporting limit for vinyl chloride was greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level.

5.2 Intermediate Groundwater

Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from the intermediate wells is included in Table 2. In the intermediate aquifer (approximately 25 to 35 feet bgs) PCE was detected at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level of 5.0 µg/L at five of the fifteen intermediate wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, PCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A cleanup level at MW-12, HZ-MW-14D, and HZ-MW-15D. MW-11 and HZ-MW-26 reported concentrations of PCE in exceedance of the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level during the July sampling event, but by the October sampling event, reported concentrations below the cleanup level. HZ-MW-15D has consistently reported the highest concentrations of PCE detected during each sampling event, with concentrations ranging between 10,000 µg/L in May 2019 to 7,700 µg/L in October 2019.

TCE was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level (5.0 µg/L) at five of the fifteen intermediate wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, TCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level at MW-12, MW-20, and HZ-MW-15D. MW-11 reported a concentration of TCE in exceedance of the cleanup level during the July sampling event, but the concentration was below the cleanup level during the October sampling event. Conversely, HZ-MW-14D reported a concentration of TCE below the cleanup level during the July sampling event, but above the cleanup level during the October sampling event, but above the cleanup level during the October sampling event. HZ-MW-15D contained the highest concentration of TCE detected during both the July and October 2019 sampling events, reporting concentrations of 390 µg/L and 410 µg/L, respectively.

(cis) 1,2-DCE was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level (16 μ g/L) at eight of the fifteen intermediate wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, (cis) 1,2-DCE was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level at MW-12, MW-20, HZ-MW-15D, HZ-MW-24, and HZ-MW-29, and during the October 2019 sampling event, at MW-11. MW-12 contained the highest concentrations of (cis) 1,2-DCE during the July 2019 sampling event (630 μ g/L) and HZ-MW-15D contained the highest concentration during the October 2019 sampling event (360 μ g/L).

Vinyl chloride was detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level (0.2 µg/L) at six of the fifteen intermediate wells sampled in 2019. During the performance monitoring events in July 2019 and October 2019, vinyl chloride was detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level at MW-11, MW-12, HZ-MW-14D, HZ-MW-24, and HZ-MW-29, and during the October 2019 sampling



event, at MW-44. MW-12 contained the highest concentrations of vinyl chloride during the July 2019 sampling event ($6.2 \mu g/L$) and MW-12 contained the highest concentration during the October 2019 sampling event ($1.5 \mu g/L$). It should be noted that due to elevated concentrations of PCE, several samples were diluted so that the reporting limit for vinyl chloride was greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level.

5.3 Deep Groundwater

Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from the deep wells is included in Table 3. In the deep aquifer (approximately 35 to 55 feet bgs) PCE was not detected at concentrations above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level of 5.0 μ g/L in any of the eleven deep wells sampled in 2019. Detectable concentrations of PCE have ranged between 4.98 μ g/L at MW-8 in February 2019 and 0.33 μ g/L at MW-39 in May 2019.

TCE was not detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level ($5.0 \mu g/L$) in any of the eleven deep wells sampled in 2019. Detectable concentrations of TCE ranged between 2.9 $\mu g/L$ at MW-8 in February 2019 and 0.41 $\mu g/L$ at MW-39 in May 2019.

(cis) 1,2-DCE was not detected at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method B Cleanup Level (16 μ g/L) in any of the eleven deep wells sampled in 2019. Detectable concentrations of (cis) 1,2-DCE ranged between 7.33 μ g/L at MW-8 in February 2019 and 0.34 μ g/L at MW-8 in October 2019.

Vinyl chloride was not detected at concentrations greater than the laboratory reporting limit in any of the eleven deep wells sampled in 2019.



6.0 **DISCUSSION**

Analytical results from the 2019 sampling events indicate that PCE concentrations in groundwater remain greater than the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level in both the shallow and intermediate aguifers. No HVOCs were detected above their respective cleanup levels in the deep aguifer during the 2019 sampling. PCE concentrations are generally decreasing across the Site. Decreasing concentrations of PCE are frequently accompanied by increases in the concentrations of (cis) 1,2-DCE or vinyl chloride. This is most apparent at wells MW-4 and MW-6 in the shallow aquifer, and wells MW-11, MW-12, HZ-MW-15D, and HZ-MW-29 in the intermediate aquifer. These results, coupled with increasing total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations and negative ORP readings, suggest that reductive dechlorination is effectively breaking down the PCE in large portions of the Site. There are, however, several wells where concentrations of PCE are not consistently decreasing and (cis) 1,2-DCE and vinyl chloride are not being produced. These wells also generally contain low or non-detectable concentrations of TOC and contain positive ORP readings. In the shallow aquifer this is primarily seen in wells located in the eastern portion of the Site, where injection of Carbstrate enhanced water has been limited, such as MW-27, S-MW-1, and S-MW-5, and is also seen in the intermediate aquifer at HZ-MW-14D. It should also be noted that full scale injection at the Site was not initiated until July and August of 2019. It may be necessary to supplement the bioremediation and groundwater recirculation system with either temporary or permanent injection points in the eastern and northeastern portions of the Site. In general, it appears as though the ERH system and subsequent vadose zone excavation effectively remediated the original source area as well as the deep aquifer on the Site. Initial groundwater results from 2019 indicate that the bioremediation and groundwater recirculation system is stimulating reductive dechlorination in large scale at the Site, effectively reducing PCE concentrations in Site groundwater. Kane Environmental will continue groundwater performance monitoring at the Site to assess the effectiveness of bioremediation and groundwater recirculation system.



7.0 LIMITATIONS

Kane Environmental has performed this work in general accordance with generally accepted professional practices using the standard of the industry today, for the nature and conditions of the work completed in the same locality and at the same time as the work was performed, and with the terms and conditions as set forth in our proposal.

Kane Environmental shall not be responsible for conditions or consequences arising from relevant facts that were concealed, withheld, or not fully disclosed at the time the report was prepared. Facts and conditions referenced in this report may change over time and the conclusions and recommendations set forth herein are applicable only to the facts and conditions as described at the time the work was performed. This Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report does not include other services not specifically described in the scope of work in Section 1.2 of this report. Conclusions were made within the operative constraints of the scope of work, budget, and schedule for this project.

Our assessment of the property may change as new data become available, either from persons familiar with the site or during additional site studies, exploration or sampling. This report is intended for the exclusive use of the City of Bothell, and its designated assignees, for specific application to the referenced property. It is not meant to represent a legal opinion. No other warranty, express or implied, is made.


8.0 REFERENCES

- Kane Environmental, 2017, *Remedial Investigation & Feasibility Study, Bothell Service Center Simon & Son, 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington,* December 19, 2017.
- Kane Environmental, 2018, *Engineering and Remedial Design Report*, Bothell Service Center Simon & Son, Bothell, Washington, March 12, 2018.
- Kane Environmental, 2019a, *Memorandum: Post-ERH Groundwater Sampling Select Groundwater Wells, Bothell Service Center Simon & Son (BSCSS), Bothell, WA 98011*, March 28, 2019.
- Kane Environmental, 2019b, *Memorandum: Bothell Service Center Simon & Son May 2019 Vadose Zone Soil Sampling*, June 5, 2019.
- Kane Environmental, 2019c, Vadose Soil Excavation in ERH Treatment Area, Bothell Service Center
 Simon & Son, 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington, June 7, 2019 (and further amended in July
 & September 2019; Amendment No. 1 & Amendment No.2 respectively).
- Liesch, B.A., C.E. Price, and K. Walters. 1963. *Geology and Ground-Water Resources of Northwestern King County, Washington.* US Geological Survey.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), 2019, *Cleanup Action Plan, Bothell Service Center Simon & Son, 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington*, revised July 19, 2019.

FIGURES



Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington

Figure 1 Vicinity Map



LEGEND

- Location of BSCSS Site Boundary
- Location of parcel boundary
- Location of Lot D property boundary



Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington

Figure 2 Site Plan



LEGE	END				
	Location of BSCSS Site Boundary			MW-1	Location of shallow monitoring well (screened 5-25 ft bgs)
	Approximate location of BSCSS property			₩-2	Location of intermediate monitoring well (screened 25-35 ft bgs)
⊕	Location of shallow injection/extraction well (screened 5-25 ft bgs)	Е	Extraction well	MW-3	Location of deep monitoring well (screened 35-55 ft bgs)
+	Location of intermediate/deep injection/extraction well (screened 25-55 ft bgs)	I	Injection well	0	Location of ERH electrode



Annual Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report Bothell Service Center Simon & Son

18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington **Figure 3** Site Plan Detail



TABLES

Table 1 Summary of HVOCs in Groundwater - Shallow Bothell Service Center Simon Son

Well Type and Water Bearing Zone	Screened Depth, (ft bgs)	Top of Casing (TOC) Elevation (feet)*	Date Sampled	Depth to Water (ft below TOC)	GW Elevation (feet)	Sampled By	PCE (µg/L)	TCE (µg/L)	(cis) 1,2-DCE (µg/L)	Vinyl Chloride (µg/L)	pH (units)	Temp (°C)	Conductivity (μS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)	Dissolved Iron (ug/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Ammonia as N (mg/L)	Methane (mg/L)	Ethane (mg/L)	Ethene (mg/L)	Tota Organ Carbo (mg/l
Shallow	5 to 20	47.957	2/12/19	7.79	40.167	Kane	<1.00	<0.50	<1.00	<0.20	6.03	12	57.5	8.2	141.5	<100	4.16	3	<0.10	<0.00863	<0.0162	<0.0151	2.3
Shallow	10 to 25	45.717	6/4/19 2/22/19	7.96 7.23	39.997 38.49	Kane Kane	0.72 4,080	<0.20 343	<0.20 1790	<0.20 9.72	6.35 6.49	15.5 13.9	62.1 311.5	9.97 0.22	3.6 19.9	<56 <100	<5.0 16.2	3.4 16.5	<0.05 <0.10	<0.001 4.12	<0.0005 <0.0162	<0.0005 <0.0151	2.1
			5/23/19	7.59	38.13	Kane	5,500	370	1,100	<30	6.57	19.5	353.5	0.12	27.4	2,100	17	16	< 0.050	9.5	< 0.50	< 0.50	2.9
			10/18/19	8.13	37.59	Kane	3,700	390	<u>1,400</u> 940	9.1	6.26	20	354.3 321.2	0.06	-69	6,300 5,400	15	15	<0.050	5.2	<0.0005	< 0.0005	2.3
Shallow	10 to 25	44.297	6/10/19	6.93	37.37	Kane	140	81	280	4.1	6.53	16.4	548.0	0.22	-6.2	20,000	6.6	12.0	1.8	1.6	< 0.250	<0.250	3.8
Shallow	10 to 25	47.142																		0.706			13.
			7/25/19	9.06	38.08	Kane	3,600	1,100	490	7.4	6.16	41.5	401.0	0.04	-108.1	9,200	<5.0	14	0.18	0.73	< 0.0005	0.019	22
Shallow	10 to 25	45 527				Kane			1,200		6.08			0.04		13,000			0.12		<0.0005		19 2.3
Shallow	10 to 15	45.717	5/24/19	7.69	38.03	Kane	82	1.40	0.5	<0.40	6.08	14.3	248.0	3.51	2.7	<56	12	3.7	<0.050	0.0026	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.
Shallow	6 to 16	48.027	2/12/19	8.18	39.85	Kane	2.11	< 0.50	<1.0	<0.20	5.34	11.6	75.1	5.16	128.7	<100	6.02	1.46	<0.10	< 0.00863	<0.0162	<0.0151	1.1
Shallow	6 to 16	48.177	5/24/19	9.65	39.46	Kane	0.94 110	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	5.73	14.9	131.9	8.54	41.5	<56	24	4.7	<0.050	<0.001	<0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.
			7/16/19	10.39	37.79	Kane	91	<0.40	<0.40	< 0.040	4.53	16.3	120.9	6.39	155.7	<56	23	3.6	< 0.050	< 0.001	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.
Shallow	15 to 25	44.521				Kane			-														8.7
			5/29/19	6.49	38.03	Kane	560	600	4,300	<20	6.41	30.7	268.1	0.23	3.8	7,600	<5.0	11	0.35	0.47	0.011	<0.025	11
				7 6.82																			12
Shallow	5 to 15	-	6/7/19	8.12	01.10	Kane	1.30	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	6.31	15.1	84.9	5.26	43.2	<56	6.6	4.5	<0.050	< 0.001	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.
Shallow	10 to 25	-	3/18/19	8.42		Kane	1.66	< 0.50	1.20	<0.20	6.61	33.3	183.6	0.10	-4.6	286	14.4	3.34	<0.10	0.0336	< 0.0162	< 0.0151	8.2
			6/5/19 7/30/19	8.68 9.17	1	Kane Kane	9.10 <0.20	7.60 0.23	35.0 2.0	<0.20	6.86	24.1 26.0	168.3 711.0	0.09	-281	450 280	15 11	3.7 5.7	0.08	0.53	<0.038	<0.038	5.8
01	7		10/22/19	9.67		Kane	0.80	<0.20	24.0	0.29	6.17	19.2	552.0	0.06	-40.2	18,000	9.3	10	0.43	0.32	<0.0005	<0.0005	11(
Shallow	/ to 17	-		7.29 7.96		Kane Kane			6.0 0.75	<0.20 0.043		45.7 44.1				770 2.000		38 21		0.11		<0.0075	120
			10/22/19	7.44		Kane	<0.20	<0.20	0.88	<0.020	6.28	32.5	569.0	0.14	51.6	1,600	12	15	0.75	1.5	<0.0005	< 0.0005	33
Shallow	5 to 15	41.637				Kane	11	<0.20	<0.20		6.55			7.81		<56					<0.0005		1.1
			10/21/19	7.45	34.19	Kane	15	<0.20	0.61	<0.020	5.65	15.9	172.5	4.58	200	<56	6.5	5.1	<0.050	<0.001	<0.0005	< 0.0005	1.1
Shallow	8 to 18	40.177	5/30/19	6.37	33.81	Kane	0.41	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20		16.3	446.1	0.30	45.3	<56	45	21	< 0.050	0.0016	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	2.6
Shallow	5 to 15	42.377	5/21/19	6.31	35.95	Kane Kane	160	6.8	3.2	<2.0		20.8	339.2	0.11	-26.3	490 160	18	7.2	<0.050	0.053	<0.005	< 0.005	1.7
			10/16/19	6.99	35.39	Kane	78	5.9	3.6	<0.04	6.41	18.7	295.1	0.05	103.9	<56	17	8	<0.050	0.29	<0.0005	<0.0005	1.9
Shallow	10 to 15	41.747																-					1.1
			10/17/19	5.87	35.88	Kane	9.8	0.39	<0.20	<0.02	6.01	16.3	202.4	0.23	189	<56	13	5.1	<0.050	0.0076	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	1.2
		-	6/5/19	5.8	21.40	Kane	2.00	0.30	0.61	<0.20		15.0		0.29	26.7	<56	16	7.6	< 0.050	< 0.001	<0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.0
Shallow	5 to 15	42.177	5/30/19	6.25	35.93	Kane	<0.20 0.21	0.20 0.25	<0.20	<0.20	6.25	18.1	424.6	0.11	-30.1	240	28	3.9	< 0.050	0.25	< 0.015	<0.015	3.5
Shallow	6 to 16	39.517	5/23/19	6.55	32.97	Kane	<0.20	< 0.20	<0.20	< 0.20		15.3	500.7	0.11	-0.1	550	21	8.1	0.29	0.14	0.00093	< 0.0005	14
Shallow	15 to 25	40.827	5/21/19	9.34	35.11	Kane	0.78	< 0.20	<0.20	<0.20	6.58	14.3	320.7	0.50	-19.1	20,000	<5.0	8.1	<0.050	0.004	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	2.3
			7/24/19	9.45		Kane	2.5	<0.20	0.69	0.048	6.33	16.9	295.9	0.21	-64	19,000	<5.0	8.3	0.27	0.62	<0.0005	< 0.0005	4.4
Shallow	15 to 25	_										15.1			-								4.8
Shallow	15 to 25	-	5/31/19	5.88		Kane	0.83	3.3	24	0.26		14.7	550.0	0.16	-17.2	10,000	5.7	13	< 0.050	1.1	<0.05	< 0.05	42
			7/17/19	6.41		Kane	1.4	3.3	20	0.28	6.24	17.3	508.5	0.08	-158.7	11,000	5.2	13	< 0.050	3.1	< 0.00050	< 0.0005	24 5.8
Shallow	5.5 to 15.5	43.527	6/6/19	6.00	37.53	Kane	8.9	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	6.25	14.4	256.6	3.46	5	<56	50	4.6	<0.050	<0.001	<0.0005	< 0.0005	1.4
			7/24/19	6.61	36.92	Kane	6.5	<0.20	<0.20	< 0.020	6.01	18.8	200.8	3.10	-74.5	<56	26	4.8	0.15	< 0.001	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.
Shallow	5 to 15	42.297															-						<1.
			7/24/19	5.34	36.96	Kane	6.2	3.8	4.1	0.11	6.18	18.5	338.0	0.14	-129.2	<56	21	7.4	<0.050	0.027	<0.0005	<0.0005	1.3
Shallow	15 to 25	41 357																					1.6
Challow	10 10 20	41.007	7/24/19	5.72	35.64	Kane	530	<4.0	<4.0	<0.40	6.22	17.6	169.8	1.93	-76.1	<56	15	7.5	<0.050	< 0.001	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.
Shallow	4 to 14		10/17/19	5.88	35.48	Kane	820	<4.0	<4.0	<0.40			159.8	1.78	198.6	<56	17	5.3	< 0.050	< 0.001	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.
Shallow	4 10 14	-	0/7/19		Mothod A (<0.20		0.1	13.5	182.8	4.90	8.7	<00	29	1.3	<0.050	0.0016	<0.0005	<0.0005	<1.
						•	0.0	0.0	16	0.2													+
lyzed or not available letected ed – Analyte exceeds M stion limit exceeds respec	TCA A/B cleanup tive cleanup leve			MTCA	Method B 0	Cleanup Levef			16														
	Bearing Zone Shallow S	Bearing Zone Depth, (ft bgs) Shallow 5 to 20 Shallow 10 to 25 Shallow 10 to 15 Shallow 10 to 15 Shallow 10 to 15 Shallow 6 to 16 Shallow 6 to 16 Shallow 5 to 15 Shallow 10 to 25 Shallow 5 to 15 Shallow 10 to 20 Shallow 10 to 20 Shallow 10 to 20 Shallow 10 to 20 Shallow 15 to 25 <	Well Type and Water Bearing ZoneScenend Depth, (ft bgs)(TOC) Elevation (feet)*Shallow5 to 2047.957Shallow10 to 2545.717Shallow10 to 2544.297Shallow10 to 2544.297Shallow10 to 2544.521Shallow10 to 1545.717Shallow10 to 1545.717Shallow10 to 1545.717Shallow10 to 1544.521Shallow6 to 1648.027Shallow5 to 15-Shallow5 to 15-Shallow5 to 15-Shallow5 to 15-Shallow5 to 1544.521Shallow5 to 1544.521Shallow5 to 1544.521Shallow5 to 1544.521Shallow5 to 1544.521Shallow10 to 25-Shallow10 to 25-Shallow10 to 1544.527Shallow10 to 1544.577Shallow10 to 1542.377Shallow15 to 25-Shallow15 to 25-Shall	Well Type and Water Bearing Zone Screened Depth, (ft bgs) (TOC) Elevation (rest)*** Date Sampled Shallow 5 to 20 47.957 2/12/19 5/23/19 5/23/19 7/16/19 7/16/19 7/16/19 7/16/19 7/16/19 7/16/19 7/16/19 5/22/	Well Type and Water Bearing Zone Screened Depth, (ft bgs) Top of Casing (fteet)* Date Sampled Water (ft bold) Shallow 5 to 20 47.957 2/12/19 7.796 Shallow 10 to 25 45.717 2/22/19 7.396 Shallow 10 to 25 44.297 6/10/19 6.83 Shallow 10 to 25 44.297 6/10/19 6.83 Shallow 10 to 25 44.297 5/22/19 8.46 7/25/19 9.06 5/22/19 8.46 7/25/19 9.06 Shallow 10 to 25 44.297 5/24/19 7.69 5/22/19 8.46 7/25/19 9.06 5/22/19 8.46 7/25/19 9.06 10/11/19 8.75 Shallow 10 to 15 44.571 5/24/19 7.69 5/29/19 6.49 7/23/19 7.67 Shallow 5 to 15 - 6/7/19 8.12 5/29/19 6.49 7/23/19 7.7 Shallow 5 to 15 - 6/7/19	Well Type and Water Bearing Zone Screened Depth, (ft bgs) Top of Casing (feet)* Date Sampled Weller, ft Voc GW (feet)* Shallow 5 to 20 47.957 2/12/19 7.79 40.167 Shallow 10 to 25 45.717 2/22/19 7.723 38.43 Triff 19 8.13 37.50 30.997 30.35 37.37 Shallow 10 to 25 44.297 670/1019 6.93 37.37 Shallow 10 to 25 44.527 572/19 9.66 38.08 7725/19 9.06 38.08 772/19 8.18 38.08 725/19 9.06 38.08 772/19 8.18 38.08 Shallow 10 to 15 45.527 572/19 6.43 38.08 Shallow 10 to 25 44.521 572/19 6.43 38.03 Shallow 15 to 25 44.521 572/19 6.43 38.03 Shallow 10 to 25 - 67/19 8.12 70.71 Shall	Weater (Note) Screened Depth, (ft bg) ToC / Lessing (ToC): Elevation (feet) Water (ft sampled For (ft) GW Elevation (fteet) Sampled For (ft) Shalow 5 to 20 47.97 21/219 7.98 39.97 Kame Shalow 10 to 25 45.717 22/219 7.79 30.13 Kame Shalow 10 to 25 44.297 67.01 3.83 Kame Shalow 10 to 25 45.527 57.419 3.68 Kame Shalow 10 to 25 44.521 57.219 3.83 Kame Shalow 10 to 25 44.521 57.219 8.84 Kame Shalow 10 to 25 10.7 64.19 3.82 Kame Shalow 10 to 25 10 67.19 3.82	Weiler Type and Weiler (the GeV) Sormeled (rec)! Top of Casing (rec)! Date Sampled (rec)! Weiler (the GeV) (rec)! Sampled (rec)! PCE (upL) Shallow 10 to 25 47.97 21/219 7.96 40.167	Weit Type and Water Beaming Zone Screened Dept. (t bg) (100) Top (100) Beaming Samples Weiter (t DCC) Weiter (t Bewatin TOC) Samples PCE (ugl) TOE (ugl) CCE (ugl) CCE (ugl)	Weil Type and Weiler Sevened Dept. (h, th ps) Top of Cock levels (refer) Water (h (refer) Sampled (refer) PCE (refer) Top (refer) Cock levels (refer) Shalow 5 to 20 47.957 20.2119 7.76 30.907 Kane 40.22 40.20	Weil Type and Yater Bearing Zont Descend Dept. (http:// Dept. http:// Dept. http:// Dept	Wein Type and Water Beaking Zaheel Top of Campo (Netry) Description Example Feature (Netry) Feature (Netry)	Weith Type and Water Boards 22xes Sore weith Dept. Top of Campo (best) Dept. Sore weith (best) Dept. (best) Dept. (best) <thdept. (best) <thde< td=""><td>Wind Date and Wale Desk The Bar Top of Clanks Desk The Bar Point Point</td><td>Number of the location Top of Case Number of the location Number of the location</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thde<></thdept. 	Wind Date and Wale Desk The Bar Top of Clanks Desk The Bar Point Point	Number of the location Top of Case Number of the location Number of the location								

Table 2 Summary of HVOCs in Groundwater - Intermediate Bothell Service Center Simon Son

Well	Well Type and Water Bearing Zone	Screened Depth, (ft bgs)	Top of Casing (TOC) Elevation (feet)*	Date Sampled	Depth to Water (ft below TOC)	GW Elevation (feet)	Sampled By	PCE (µg/L)	TCE (µg/L)	(cis) 1,2-DCE (µg/L)	Vinyl Chloride (µg/L)	pH (units)	Temp (°C)	Conductivity (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)	Dissolved Iron (ug/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Ammonia as N (mg/L)	Methane (mg/L)	Ethane (mg/L)	Ethene (mg/L)	Total Organic Carbon (mg/L)
MW-11	Intermediate	25 to 33	47.207	2/21/19	7.9	39.31	Kane	16.9	14.6	9.58	<0.20	5.96	47.2	316.3	0.16	-70	1,240	10.3	14.4	<0.10	0.87	<0.0162	<0.0151	23.7
				5/22/19	8.48	38.73	Kane	75	69	14	<0.40	6.13	45.7	468.0	0.04	-18	810	13	13	<0.050	0.49	<0.0005	<0.0005	27
				7/25/19	9.12	38.09	Kane	39	41	7.7	0.34	6.2	40.8	407.0	0.04	-43.8	660	10	11	0.068	1.1	<0.0005	<0.0005	26
				10/21/19	8.92	38.29	Kane	3.5	3.8	220	1.5	6.33	27.8	522.0	0.08	-59.4	1,500	<5.0	15	<0.050	1	<0.0005	<0.0005	34
MW-12	Intermediate	25 to 33	45.467	5/24/19	7.92	37.55	Kane	5,400	400	780	<30	6.25	14.1	383.9	0.30	-89.5	530	24	9.5	<0.050	3.7	<0.250	<0.250	2.5
				7/22/19	8.4	37.07	Kane	910	240	630	6.2	6.12	18.6	672.0	0.05	-341	3,400	18	42	<0.050	3.2	<0.0005	<0.0005	2.8
			10.077	10/18/19	9.07	36.40	Kane	360	68	240	0.84	5.85	16.2	361.6	0.12	40	6,000	14	36	< 0.050	3.3	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	2.1
MW-20	Intermediate	25 to 30	46.857	3/14/19	7.55	39.31	Kane	<0.841	136	163	<2.0	6	-	219.3	0.2	68.3	1,460	0.348	7.8	1.07	0.0463	<0.0162	<0.0151	45.3
				6/6/19	8.03	38.83	Kane	0.43	51	31	<0.40	6.45	55.6	218.1	0.08	4.4	950	<5.0	7.4	0.75	0.51	< 0.05	<0.05	16
				7/25/19	8.64	38.22	Kane	0.82	36	27	0.052	6.36	52.5	210.2	0.13	-82	800	<5.0	6.4	0.89	0.67	<0.0005	< 0.0005	8
		05 1 05	40,407	10/22/19	8.47	38.39	Kane	0.46	19	68	0.15	6.32	36.6	375.7	0.07	-47.2	1,200	< 5.0	13	0.81	3.1	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	9.8
MW-28	Intermediate	25 to 35	48.187	2/19/19	9.07	39.12	Kane	<1.0	< 0.50	<1.0	< 0.20	5.73		108.6	2.56	202.9	<100	8.78	5.65	< 0.10	< 0.00863	< 0.0162	< 0.0151	0.618
N// 40		001.45		5/24/19	9.85	38.34	Kane	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	5.54	13.6	116.0	1.8	-74.6	<56	9.5	6.2	< 0.050	0.0096	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.0
MW-42	Int./Deep	30 to 45	-	3/18/19	8.79		Kane	<1.00	< 0.50	<1.0	< 0.20	6.63	32.8	155.4	0.06	76.4	821	1.99	3.57	0.266	0.177	< 0.0162	< 0.0151	1.9
			-	6/5/19	9.11		Kane	<0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	6.92	22.9	216.9	0.13	5	5,500	<5.0	6.3	0.2	4.1	< 0.25	< 0.25	2.3
			-	7/30/19	9.65		Kane	<0.20	< 0.20	0.72	0.053	6.49	26.4	713.0	0.05	-321.9	5,300	8	8.1	0.27	2.5	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	1.7
MW-44	Intermediate	05 to 25		10/22/19	9.29		Kane	< 0.20	1.90	1.9	0.056	6.04	18.3	254.0	0.10	-10.2	7,600	7.3	13	0.28	÷.=	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	3.9
10100-44	Intermediate	25 to 35	-	6/6/19	7.49		Kane	<0.20	1.70	28.0	< 0.20	6.35	51.4	229.6	0.18	-1.9	1,700	<5.0	7.6	0.49	0.24	< 0.025	< 0.025	19 20
				7/25/19 10/22/19	8.11 9.85		Kane Kane	<0.20 <0.20	1.50 0.77	2.7 14.0	0.047	6.15 5.94	47.4	254.1 450.1	0.34	-77 15.4	2,200 3.900	<5.0 <5.0	6.6 12	0.71	0.13	<0.0005 <0.0005	0.0039	20
HZ-MW-14D	Intermediate	30 to 40	42.397	5/21/19	9.85	36.24	Kane	<0.20 65	2.9	14.0	<0.29	6.09	14.7	500.3	0.07	-40.7	3,900 <56	10	87	< 0.050	0.18	<0.0003	< 0.01	<1.0
	memeulale	30 10 40	42.397	7/30/19	6.92	35.48	Kane	100	4.7	28	<0.20 0.30	5.84	14.7	454.8	0.06	-40.7	<56	10	69	< 0.050	0.18	< 0.001	<0.0005	1
			-	10/16/19	7.7	35.48	Kane	190	4.7 7.9	48	0.50	6.13	16.3	434.8	0.22	-60.5	<56	9.3	75	< 0.050	1.5	<0.0005	<0.0005	<1.0
HZ-MW-15D	Intermediate	20 to 30	41.787	6/4/19	6.11	35.68	Kane	10.000	390	260	<100	6.25	15.1	337.4	0.02	20.9	<56	23	14	<0.050	5	<0.0003	<0.0003	1.50
112-10100-100	internediate	201000	41.101	7/24/19	6.83	34.96	Kane	9.200	390	340	<5.0	5.93	16.9	324.0	0.12	-56.6	<56	23	14	< 0.050	5	< 0.20	<0.20	1.60
			·	10/17/19	7.02	34.77	Kane	7.700	410	360	<5.0	5.83	15.1	292.1	0.12	173.5	100	18	13	< 0.050	5	< 0.0005	<0.0005	1.30
HZ-MW-23	Intermediate	28 to 38	41.677	5/30/19	7.17	34.51	Kane	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	<0.20	6.74	10.1	358.2	0.20	11.5	7.500	13	10	< 0.050	0.75	< 0.05	<0.05	3.4
	internediate	201000		7/30/19	7.98	33.70	Kane	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.020	6.65	18.6	281.2	0.20	-79.8	4.900	11	6.8	<0.050	0.21	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	3.4
			-	10/24/19	8.61	33.07	Kane	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	< 0.020	6.40	14.9	290.2	0.17	-5	8,700	8.1	7.1	< 0.050	0.92	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	24
HZ-MW-24	Intermediate	25 to 35	40.997	5/31/19	6.06	34.94	Kane	2.0	0.92	21	0.77	6.61	15.3	533.7	0.13	-11.7	8,500	<5.0	13	0.19	5.4	< 0.25	<0.25	3.5
				7/17/19	7.10	33.90	Kane	2.7	1.1	16	0.58	6.39	17.1	557.4	0.07	-167.7	15.000	7.8	13	0.39	6.3	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	3.8
				10/24/19	6.82	34.18	Kane	<0.40	< 0.40	93	0.76	6.21	16.0	442.3	0.16	10	20.000	<5.0	14	1.1	9.7	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	4.7
HZ-MW-26	Intermediate	25 to 35	40.692	5/30/19	6.85	33.84	Kane	9.7	<0.20	1.4	<0.20	6.70	16.6	329.9	0.18	17	<56	28	9.3	<0.050	0.0042	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.0
				7/30/19	7.34	33.35	Kane	5.0	<0.20	1.0	0.053	6.42	16.5	327.9	0.21	-96.7	<56	23	9.3	0.063	0.01	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.0
				10/16/19	7.91	32.78	Kane	2.8	<0.20	0.53	0.055	6.61	15.1	322.7	0.03	152.3	<56	24	11	<0.050	0.022	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.0
HZ-MW-28	Intermediate	25 to 35	38.744	5/31/19	6.35	32.39	Kane	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	6.75	14.6	416.1	0.14	-7.1	<56	45	16	<0.050	0.053	< 0.003	< 0.003	1.4
HZ-MW-29	Intermediate	25 to 35	40.309	5/31/19	6.29	34.02	Kane	1.4	0.6	32	0.26	6.52	15.8	705.0	0.35	-2.3	10,000	<5.0	18	0.65	3.9	<0.25	<0.25	52
				7/17/19	7.03	33.28	Kane	1.2	0.58	32	0.47	6.20	15.9	627.0	0.09	-93.7	9,300	<5.0	16	0.79	9.5	<0.0005	<0.0005	15
				10/24/19	7.98	32.33	Kane	<1.0	<1.0	100	0.94	6.15	15.2	466.6	0.14	-9.6	9,500	<5.0	13	1.6	9.9	<0.0005	<0.0005	2.1
HZ-MW-33	Intermediate	25 to 35	-	5/31/19	6.82		Kane	0.51	<0.20	1.7	<0.20	6.77	15.6	271.0	0.14	-12.5	<56	16	7.3	<0.050	0.0027	<0.0005	<0.0005	<1.0
S-MW-3	Intermediate	25 to 35	42.807	6/5/19	6.05	36.76	Kane	2.2	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	5.88	14.5	113.8	0.19	-9.3	<56	13	3.6	<0.050	0.49	<0.025	<0.025	<1.0
				7/24/19	6.75	36.06	Kane	2.8	<0.20	<0.20	<0.020	5.31	16.5	108.6	0.14	-177.5	<56	12	3.9	<0.050	0.47	<0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.0
				10/17/19	6.08	36.73	Kane	3.7	<0.20	<0.20	<0.020	5.20	15	84.7	0.14	218.6	<56	13	4.4	<0.050	0.51	<0.0005	<0.0005	<1.0
					MTCA I	Method A C	Cleanup Level ¹	5.0	5.0		0.2													
					MTCA I	Method B C	leanup Level ²			16														1

Notes:

PCE – Tetrachloroethene

- TCE Trichloroethene 1,1-DCE 1,1-Dichloroethene

(cis) 1,2-DCE - (cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene

(trans) 1,2-DCE - (trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene

Blank – Not analyzed or not available

Bold - Analyte detected

Bold / highlighted – Analyte exceeds MTCA A/B cleanup level

Italicized - Detection limit exceeds respective cleanup level

< – Analyte not detected at listed reporting limit

mg/L – micrograms per liter MV – Millivolts

ES – Estimated concentration because analyte concentration was outside of lab instrument calibration range

DNAPL – Dense Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid

1 – Table 720-1, WAC 173-340-900

2 - WA Dept. of Ecology CLARC ground water data table (https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/FocusSheets/Groundwater%20Methods%20B%20and%20A%20and%20ARARs.pdf)

NA – Not Applicable

Table 3 Summary of HVOCs in Groundwater - Deep Bothell Service Center Simon Son

			Top of Casing		Depth to Water (ft	GW				(cis)	Vinyl				Dissolved	Oxidation Reduction				Ammonia				Total Organic
Well	Well Type and Water Bearing Zone	Screened Depth, (ft bgs)	(TOC) Elevation (feet)*	Date Sampled	below TOC)	Elevation (feet)	Sampled By	PCE (µg/L)	TCE (µg/L)	1,2-DCE (µg/L)	Chloride (µg/L)	pH (units)	Temp (°C)	Conductivity (µS)	Oxygen (mg/L)	Potential (mV)	Dissolved Iron (ug/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	as N (mg/L)	Methane (mg/L)	Ethane (mg/L)	Ethene (mg/L)	Carbon (mg/L)
MW-8	Deep	45 to 50	47.387	2/22/19	8.75	38.64	Kane	4.98	2.9	7.33	<0.20	6.28	28.8	183.2	0.24	65	<100	4.95	7.14	<0.10	0.0173	<0.0162	<0.0151	1.82
				5/22/19	8.99	38.40	Kane	3.1	1	1.3	<0.20	6.3	32.9	212.0	0.16	-8.4	300	5.8	7.8	<0.050	0.036	<0.005	< 0.005	2
				7/22/19	9.65	37.74	Kane	1.9	0.48	0.53	<0.020	6.04	34.4	221.5	0.11	54.2	450	7.5	8.4	<0.050	0.14	<0.0005	< 0.0005	2.1
				10/21/19	9.54	37.85	Kane	1.0	0.35	0.41	<0.020	6.06	25.8	222.7	0.14	101.3	460	9.6	9.4	<0.050	0.49	<0.0005	< 0.0005	2.6
MW-22	Deep	54 to 59	44.957	6/20/19	7.91	37.05	Kane	0.43	<0.20	0.87	<0.20	7.31	15.1	233.0	0.27	-106.6	250	<5.0	3.9	0.3	0.001	<0.0005	<0.0005	1.3
MW-29	Deep	45 to 55	48.242	6/4/19	10.08	38.16	Kane	0.26	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	7.40	16.3	265.3	0.25	15	450	<5.0	26	0.25	0.32	<0.015	<0.015	2.2
				7/16/19	10.61	37.63	Kane	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.020	6.88	16.2	274.4	0.11	-106.2	460	<5.0	5.2	0.3	0.35	<0.0005	<0.00050	2.1
				10/18/19	10.48	37.76	Kane	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.020	6.99	14.5	207.7	0.11	7.4	610	<5.0	6.4	0.29	0.39	<0.0005	<0.0005	2.2
MW-33	Deep	40 to 50	49.547	2/19/19	9.17	40.38	Kane	<1.0	<0.50	<1.0	<0.20	6.35	15.1	164.3	0.18	204.8	<100	11.5	6.45	<0.10	<0.00863	<0.0162	<0.0151	1.44
				6/4/19	10.56	38.99	Kane	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	6.42	16.1	196.6	0.19	31.6	<56	13	6.6	<0.050	0.0012	<0.0005	<0.0005	1.5
MW-34	Deep	40 to 50	46.597	2/21/19	7.59	39.01	Kane	1.29	<0.50	1.52	<0.20	5.95	27.5	255.8	0.22	91.9	367	14.6	32.7	<0.10	0.0274	<0.0162	<0.0151	10.49
				6/3/19	8.28	38.32	Kane	1.3	<0.20	3.2	<0.20	6.16	32.4	263.9	0.19	18.6	440	15	29	<0.050	0.14	<0.0075	<0.0075	1.8
MW-35	Deep	48 to 58	44.247	6/3/19	7.41	36.84	Kane	0.66	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	6.45	14.8	221.6	1.66	19.3	1,900	5.4	12	0.15	0.15	<0.0075	<0.0075	1.6
				7/25/19	7.92	36.33	Kane	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.020	6.31	18.2	590.0	0.08	-224	2,700	5.2	12	0.23	0.21	< 0.0005	<0.0005	1.9
		10 / 50		10/18/19	7.97	36.28	Kane	4.0	< 0.20	0.44	< 0.020	5.76	15.1	166.7	0.15	83.2	<56	14	14	< 0.050	0.0016	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<1.0
MW-39	Deep	40 to 50	44.524	3/13/19	6.32	38.20	Kane	<1.00	<1.00	1.99	< 0.20	6.08	26.6	63.3	1.33	82.2	4,380	< 0.300	3.76	0.445	0.552	< 0.0162	<0.0151	4.15
				5/29/19	6.49	38.03	Kane	0.33	0.34	< 0.20	<0.20	6.61	28.4	219.2	0.14	1.8	4,500	<5.0	4.3	0.48	1.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	3.3
			-	7/23/19	7.02	37.50	Kane	0.52	0.63	1.3	< 0.020	6.33	28.2	215.5	0.25	-96.6	4,300	<5.0	4.3	0.44	1	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	2.9
	Dava	44.00 1 54.00	44.007	10/24/19	6.94	37.58	Kane	0.52	0.52	1.6	< 0.020	6.1	26.2	250.0	0.24	19.8	4,600	<5.0	4.5	0.48	0.91	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	3.1
HZ-MW-25 HZ-MW-27	Deep	44.33 to 54.33	41.907	5/30/19	7.60	34.31	Kane	< 0.20	< 0.20	<0.20	< 0.20	6.36	15.0	259.6 223.5	0.32	21.3	330 1.200	12	22	< 0.050	0.056	< 0.005	<0.005	<1.0
HZ-MW-27 HZ-MW-30	Deep Deep	45 to 55 40 to 50	41.597	5/30/19 5/19/19	7.30 7.88	34.30	Kane Kane	<0.20 <0.20	<0.20 <0.20	<0.20 <0.20	<0.20	6.51 7.68	15.8	223.5	0.22	18.6 22.8	1,200	18	8.7	<0.050 0.61	0.093	< 0.005	<0.005 <0.05	1.4
S-MW-4	Deep	40 to 50 40 to 50	- 42.367	6/5/19	6.04	36.33	Kane	<0.20 0.56	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20 <0.20	7.68 6.17	14 7	260.5	0.17	-4.6	410	<5.0 15	4.8 4.5	<0.01	0.91 0.084	<0.05 <0.005	< 0.05	1.8 <1.0
3-1111-4	реер	40 10 30	42.307	0/0/19						<u></u> \0.∠0	0.20	0.17	14.7	100.2	0.15	-4.0	410	15	4.0	<u><u></u>~0.050</u>	0.004	~0.003	~0.003	<u> </u>
					-	-	leanup Level ¹	5.0	5.0		0.2												 '	<u> </u>
					MTCA	Method B C	leanup Level ²			16														

Notes:

PCE – Tetrachloroethene

TCE – Trichloroethene 1,1-DCE - 1,1-Dichloroethene

(cis) 1,2-DCE - (cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene

(trans) 1,2-DCE - (trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene

Blank – Not analyzed or not available

Bold – Analyte detected

Bold / highlighted – Analyte exceeds MTCA A/B cleanup level

Italicized - Detection limit exceeds respective cleanup level < – Analyte not detected at listed reporting limit

mg/L – micrograms per liter

MV – Millivolts

ES - Estimated concentration because analyte concentration was outside of lab instrument calibration range

DNAPL – Dense Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid

1 – Table 720-1, WAC 173-340-900

2 – WA Dept. of Ecology CLARC ground water data table (https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/FocusSheets/Groundwater%20Methods%20B%20and%20A%20and%20ARARs.pdf)

NA – Not Applicable

EXHIBIT D

Exhibit D Site Schedule of Work and Deliverables

	Deliverables	Due (Calendar Days)
	A. Adm	inistrative
A.1	Consent Decree entered by the King County Superior Court (Effective Date of the CD)	Within 5 days of the execution by the Parties
A.2	Notification of selected contractor name and qualifications	Within 5 days of the effective date of Consent Decree (A.1)
A.3	Progress Reports	Quarterly on the 10 th of the month beginning after the effective date of the Consent Decree (A.1)
A.4	Financial Assurances – submit cost estimate for Ecology review and approval	Within 60 days of the effective date of Consent Decree
A.5	Financial Assurances - provide proof of financial assurances	Within 60 days after Ecology approves cost estimate (A.4)
	B. L	Design
B.1	Draft Pre-Remedial Design (PRDI) Project Plans ²	Within 5 days of the effective date of Consent Decree (A.1)
B.2	Draft PRDI Data Report and Draft Engineering Design Report (EDR) ³	Within 5 days of Ecology approval of Final PRDI Project Plan (B.1)
B.3	Final PRDI Data Report and EDR Report	Within 5 days of receipt of Ecology's comments on the Draft PRDI Data and EDR Reports (B.2)
B.4	90 % Plans and Specs [per WAC 173- 340-400(4)(b)]	Within 5 days of receipt of Ecology comments on Final EDR Report (B.3)
B.5	100 % Plans and Specs	Within 5 days of receipt of Ecology comments on 90 % plans and specifications (B.4)
	C. Field C	Construction
C.1	Complete Construction Procurement	Within 5 days of completion of the 100% plans and specifications (B.1)
C.2	ERH System installation	Within 2 months of the effective date of Consent Decree
	ERH Operation	Within 6 to 8 months of the effective date of Consent Decree
C.3	Start install and begin operation of bioremediation-groundwater recirculation/SVE systems	Within 2 months of the effective date of Consent Decree
C.4	Install compliance monitoring well network	Within 2 months of the effective date of Consent Decree
C.5	Complete Construction	Within 2 months of the effective date of Consent Decree
C.6	ERH soil performance sampling	Within 6 to 8 months of the effective date of Consent Decree
C.7	Contingent soil excavation in ERH treatment area	Within 6 to 9 months of the ERH system shutdown

C.8	Decommission ERH; install and operate SVE system	Within 4 to 6 weeks of ERH system final shutdown. SVE system operation beginning March 2019.
C.9	Cleanup Action Report and As-Built Drawings and Report; Draft Environmental Covenant(s); and an updated Title Report	Within 60 days of decommission of SVE systems
	D. Post Con	struction Work
D.1	Final Environmental Covenant(s)	Within 30 days of receipt of Ecology comments on the Draft Environmental Covenant(s).
D.2	Record Final Environmental Covenant(s) with King County Auditor	Within 5 days after completion of the Final Environmental Covenant or Ecology's signature as grantee of the Final Environmental Covenant(s), whichever occurs last.
D.3	Performance Groundwater Monitoring Quarterly Performance Monitoring Biannual Performance Monitoring	Quarterly performance monitoring for one year starting Summer 2019; Biannual performance monitoring until PCE, and its breakdown products reach their applicable cleanup levels in the selected performance monitoring wells provided in CAP
D.4	Decommission Bioremediation/Groundwater Recirculation system	Upon attainment of cleanup levels in performance monitoring wells
D.5	Indoor Air Sampling (two rounds)	1st round - post-construction and pre-occupation of buildings 2nd round - upon completion of Groundwater Closure report per Section 7.0 of the BSCSS Final CAP
D.6	Groundwater Confirmation Monitoring Quarterly Compliance Monitoring	Quarterly for two years following completion of performance monitoring. As described in CAP, contingency of an additional year of quarterly sampling if cleanup levels not attained. After one additional year, if COC groundwater cleanup levels have not been reached, include a 5-year compliance sampling event for the duration of the environmental covenant.
D.7	As Built Drawings and Report of vapor intrusion mitigation measures (vapor barrier and passive venting systems), and other engineering and institutional controls (if any).	Within 30 days of the City's receipt from the developer
D.8	Five Year Compliance Monitoring and Periodic Review reports	To follow Groundwater compliance monitoring (D.6). Groundwater monitoring required once every five years for the duration of the institutional controls on groundwater (if present) under the environmental covenant.

1) Schedule is in calendar days. Deliverable due date may be modified with Ecology concurrence without amendment to the Consent Decree.

2) Project Plans include the following: Work Plan, Sampling and Analysis Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, and Health and Safety Plan, to be submitted for Ecology review and approval. All plans will include a schedule for implementation as applicable.

 The Engineering Design Report includes: a Construction Quality Assurance Project Plan, a Compliance Monitoring and Contingency Response Plan, Proposed Best Management Practices, Water Quality Monitoring Plan, and Substantive Requirements of Procedurally Exempt Permits. Ecology will not approve the Final EDR until the required permits have been obtained.



ATTACHMENT A CONSENT DECREE EXHIBIT D – SCHEDULE OF DELIVERABLES



ATTACHMENT B ECOLOGY CORRESPONDENCE

Jeff Jensen

From:	Cruz, Jerome (ECY) <jcru461@ecy.wa.gov></jcru461@ecy.wa.gov>
Sent:	Monday, July 8, 2019 3:23 PM
To:	Jeff Jensen; John Kane
Cc:	Tebeau, Kara J. (ATG); Nduta Mbuthia
Subject:	RE: [EXTERNAL] Comments on Wexler DCAP

Hi Jeff and John,

I've reviewed the contaminant concentration maps and wells that you provided for me for your proposed compliance wells. I think I've arrived at a list of compliance wells based on the information provided.

I concur with your list* and would ask you add the following: MW-6 MW-12 I propose that the following can be monitored on a limited basis: HZ-MW-14S (limited duration only – you can decommission at an agreed upon time such as 4 quarters below cleanup levels) HZ-MW-23 (limited duration only – you can decommission at an agreed upon time) MW-45 (limited duration only – you can decommission at an agreed upon time) MW-42 (limited duration only – you can decommission at an agreed upon time) MW-44 (limited duration only – you can decommission at an agreed upon time) MW-39 (limited duration only – you can decommission at an agreed upon time)

* Your list of compliance wells, based on maps provided are:

Shallow: HZ-MW-1 HZ-MW-15S HZ-MW-31 HZ-MW-34 S-MW-1 S-MW-2 S-MW-5 MW-4 **MW-27 MW-40 MW-43** Intermediate: HZ-MW-14D HZ-MW-15D HZ-MW-24 HZ-MW-26 **HZ-MW-29** S-MW-3 **MW-11 MW-20 MW-44** Deep: **MW-8 MW-29**

MW-35

Let me know if you agree or not and would like to discuss further as I'm open to further discussion or negotiation. If you agree, we can add this to the BSCSS and Wexler DCAPs to prepare the documents for the comment period.

Thanks,

Jerome



Jerome B. Cruz, Ph.D. Toxics Cleanup Program, Northwest Regional Office 3190 - 160th SE Bellevue, WA 98008 Tel: (425) 649-7094 Fax: (425) 649-7098 Jerome.Cruz@ecy.wa.gov http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/cleanup.html

From: Jeff Jensen [mailto:Jeff@kane-environmental.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 3, 2019 4:13 PM
To: Cruz, Jerome (ECY) <JCRU461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Cc: Tebeau, Kara J. (ATG) <kara.tebeau@atg.wa.gov>; John Kane <jkane@kane-environmental.com>; Nduta Mbuthia <Nduta.Mbuthia@bothellwa.gov>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Comments on Wexler DCAP

Jerome,

I have attached a figure which depicts all of the monitoring wells on the BSCSS Site which have reported concentrations of PCE and breakdown products less than their respective cleanup levels, for at least 4 quarters (surrounded by a green box). The figure also depicts monitoring wells which have reported less than 4 quarters below state cleanup levels (typically 3), due to a missed sampling event (surrounded by an orange box). Finally, I included a blue asterisk to denote the monitoring wells that are included within the Bothell Hertz compliance monitoring and cannot be decommissioned.

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments. Thanks,

Jeff Jensen, Project Geologist

Kane Environmental, Inc. | Environmental Issues. Business Solutions. PO Box 31936, Seattle WA 98103 Headquarters 4015 13th Avenue West, Seattle, WA 98119 Direct: 206-673-5731 Cell: 425-344-3707 Toll Free 1-844-529-KANE Jeff@kane-environmental.com www.kane-environmental.com

Seattle, WA | Phoenix, AZ | Nationwide Services



ATTACHMENT C GROUNDWATER ISOPLETH FIGURES







Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington

Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Shallow Wells PCE - February 2019





















Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington

Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Shallow Wells TCE - February 2019















Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington







Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington

Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Shallow Wells cis-1,2-DCE - February 2019

















Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington

Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Shallow Wells Vinyl Chloride - February 2019













Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington





Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington

Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Intermediate Wells PCE - February 2019














Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Intermediate Wells PCE - Fall 2019





Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Intermediate Wells TCE - February 2019













Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Intermediate Wells TCE - Fall 2019





Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Intermediate Wells cis-1,2-DCE - February 2019















Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Intermediate Wells cis-1,2-DCE - Fall 2019



Bothell Service Center Simon & Son 18107 Bothell Way NE Bothell, Washington Figure Groundwater Concentration Isopleths in Intermediate Wells Vinyl Chloride - February 2019











