

# **GEOTECHNICAL SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT**

ROAD & STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS PORT OF PASCO - BIG PASCO INDUSTRIAL CENTER PASCO, WASHINGTON



GNN PROJECT NO. 219-1119

**MAY 2020** 

Prepared for

MACKAY & SPOSITO, INC. 1325 SE TECH CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 140 VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON 98683



Prepared by

GN NORTHERN, INC. CONSULTING GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERS KENNEWICK, WASHINGTON (509) 734-9320

> Common Sense Approach to Earth and Engineering Since 1995

(509) 248-9798 www.gnnorthern.com gnnorthern@gnnorthern.com

Yakima • Kennewick • Spokane Valley • Hermiston, OR • Hood River, OR



At GN Northern our mission is to serve our clients in the most efficient, cost effective way using the best resources and tools available while maintaining professionalism on every level. Our philosophy is to satisfy our clients through hard work, dedication and extraordinary efforts from all of our valued employees working as an extension of the design and construction team. May 20, 2020

MacKay & Sposito, Inc. 1325 SE Tech Center Drive, Suite 140 Vancouver, WA 98683

Attention: Paul Harmsen, PE, MacKay & Sposito, Inc.

Subject: Geotechnical Site Investigation Report Road & Stormwater Improvements Port of Pasco - Big Pasco Industrial Center Pasco, Washington

GNN Project No. 219-1119

Gentlemen,

As requested, GN Northern (GNN) has completed a geotechnical site investigation for the proposed road and stormwater improvements to be constructed at the Port of Pasco's Big Pasco Industrial Center in the City of Pasco, Washington.

Based on the findings of our evaluation, we conclude that the site is suitable for the proposed improvements provided that the geotechnical recommendations presented in this report are followed during the design and construction phases of the project.

This report describes in detail the results of our investigation, summarizes our findings and presents our recommendations concerning the proposed improvements to the existing pavements and stormwater management facilities. It is important that GN Northern provide consultation during the design phase, as well as field compaction testing and geotechnical monitoring services during the construction phase, to review and monitor the implementation of the geotechnical recommendations.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact us at 509-734-9320.

Respectfully submitted, **GN Northern, Inc.** 

Karl A. Harmon, LEG, PE Senior Geologist/Engineer



M. Yousuf Memon, PE Geotechnical Engineer



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES	3
2.0 PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS	4
3.0 FIELD EXPLORATION & LABORATORY TESTING	5
4.0 SITE CONDITIONS	6
5.0 EXISTING PAVEMENT SECTIONS & SURFACE CONDITIONS	7
6.0 REGIONAL & LOCAL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS	8
7.0 SUBSURFACE SOIL CONDITIONS	<b>9</b> 10
8.0 GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	
9.0 SOIL INFILTRATION TESTING	
10.0 PRELIMINARY GROUNDWATER MOUNDING ANALYSIS	14
11.0 PAVEMENT ANALYSIS	17
<b>12.0 GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS</b> 12.1 Site Development – Grading 12.2 Temporary Excavation 12.3 Utility Excavation, Pipe Bedding and Trench Backfill 12.4 Flexible Pavement Construction 12.5 Mitigation of Unsuitable Subgrade Soils	<b>19</b> 19 21 21 22 24
13.0 REFERENCES	26
14.0 ADDITIONAL SERVICES	27
15.0 LIMITATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT	

#### APPENDICES

Appendix I – Vicinity Map (Figure 1), Site Exploration Maps (Figure 2A-2C), Points of Exploration Overlayed on EDA Drawings (Figure 3A-3C), Pavement Core Location Maps (Figure 4A-4N) Appendix II – Boring, Test-Pit & Infiltration Test Logs, Key Chart (for Soil Classification) Appendix III – Laboratory Testing Results

- APPENDIX IV SITE & EXPLORATION PHOTOGRAPHS
- APPENDIX V PAVEMENT CORE PHOTOGRAPHS
- APPENDIX VI NRCS SOIL SURVEY
- APPENDIX VII WA DOE WELL LOGS
- $\label{eq:appendix} Appendix \ VIII-City \ of \ Pasco \ Typical \ Details$

#### 1.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES

This geotechnical site investigation report has been prepared for the proposed roadway and stormwater disposal facility improvements to be constructed at the Port of Pasco's Big Pasco Industrial Center (BPIC) in the City of Pasco, Washington; site location is shown on the *Vicinity Map* (Figure 1, Appendix I). Our investigation was conducted to collect information regarding subsurface soil and groundwater conditions, and present findings and recommendations for suitability of the subsurface materials to support the planned site improvement, along with appropriate mitigation measures to address potential adverse geotechnical conditions.

GN Northern, Inc. has prepared this report for use by the client and their design consultants in the design of the proposed project site improvement. Do not use or rely upon this report for other locations or purposes without the written consent of GN Northern, Inc.

Our study was conducted in general accordance with the Section 6.0, *Geotechnical Engineering*, of the *Scope of Work* document (Exhibit A) of the Master Subcontract Agreement (MSI Project #17240 – Road and Storm at Big Pasco), prepared by MacKay Sposito. Our understanding of the proposed project was based on communication between our office, MacKay Sposito, and representatives of the Port of Pasco (Port); notice to proceed was provided in the form of a fully executed Master Subcontract Agreement (MSI Project #17240 – Road and Storm at Big Pasco) issued by MacKay Sposito on June 21, 2019. A copy of the *Grant Request Locational Drawings* (Sheets G-01 to G-02 & C-01 to C-23, dated August 22, 2018) prepared by the Port, showing areas of proposed improvements at the Big Pasco Industrial Center, was provided for our use by MacKay Sposito via email on March 22, 2019.

Field exploration, consisting of twenty-eight (28) pavement cores, fifteen (15) borings, five (5) test-pits, and eighteen (18) infiltration tests, along with the installation of three (3) groundwater monitoring wells within the boreholes, was completed at the project site between July 30<sup>th</sup> and August 5<sup>th</sup>, 2019. The exploration and testing locations are shown on Figures 2A-2C, 3A-3C & 4A-4N (Appendix I). Detailed boring, test-pit and infiltration test logs are presented in Appendix II, and results of our laboratory testing are presented in Appendix III. Photographs of the site and our exploration are presented in Appendix IV, along with photographs of the asphalt cores in Appendix V.

As part of this study, we performed a detailed desktop study to review available information related to the Big Pasco Industrial Center (BPIC). Results of the field exploration and laboratory testing were analyzed to develop recommendations for the proposed roadway pavement and stormwater management facilities improvements. To assess potential groundwater impacts as it relates to stormwater design, we have identified areas of the BPIC that may be impacted by relatively shallow groundwater conditions, as well as analyze the effects of groundwater mounding beneath proposed infiltration facilities. This report has been prepared to summarize the data obtained during this study and to present our recommendations based on the proposed improvements and the subsurface conditions encountered at the site to support the project civil design. Design parameters and a discussion of the geotechnical engineering considerations related to the proposed improvements are included.

#### 2.0 PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS

We understand that the Port was successful in obtaining a grant from the Economic Development Administration (EDA) to construct site improvements at the Big Pasco Industrial Center (BPIC) located in the City of Pasco, Washington. The primary components of the proposed improvements project will include repairing and reconstructing roadway pavement surfaces along with the construction of new stormwater management facilities throughout the BPIC site. Portions of roadways evaluated as part of this study included E. Warehouse Street, E. Ainsworth Avenue, E. Cargo Street, SE Road 18, SE Road 21, Avenue C, SE Road 33, SE Road 36, as shown in the following excerpt from the EDA Grant Drawings:



Design of proposed stormwater management facilities improvements for the project have not been finalized at the time of this report. We understand that initial conceptual plans generally call for dividing portions of the project site into various distinct drainage basins that would each drain into infiltration trenches of various lengths and widths.

## 3.0 FIELD EXPLORATION & LABORATORY TESTING

Prior to conducting field investigation activities, GNN personnel completed a project site walkthrough with representatives of MacKay Sposito and the Port on July 23, 2019 to coordinate and pre-mark the proposed points of exploration. Our field exploration activities at the Big Pasco Industrial Center project site were completed between July 30<sup>th</sup> and August 5<sup>th</sup>, 2019. A local public utility clearance was obtained prior to the field exploration. Exploration and testing activities performed at the site included twenty-eight (28) pavement cores, fifteen (15) exploratory borings, five (5) exploratory test-pits, and eighteen (18) infiltration tests, along with the installation of three (3) groundwater monitoring wells within the boreholes.

The exploratory test-pits were excavated by Big D's Construction using a John Deere 50G Miniexcavator to depths ranging from approximately 7 to 10 feet below existing ground surface (BGS). Borings were drilled by Johnson Exploration Drilling using a Mobile B-53 drill rig utilizing 8-inch outside diameter hollow stem augers (HSA) to depths of approximately 6.5 to 16.5 feet. The exploratory test-pits and borings were logged by a GNN field engineer.

Following completion of three (3) selected exploratory borings (B-3, B-7 & B-12), groundwater monitoring wells were constructed in general accordance with WA DOE guidelines extending to depths of approximately 14 to 15 feet BGS with the intent of intercepting the anticipated range of fluctuating groundwater levels. Test-pit excavations were loosely backfilled with the excavated spoils upon completion. Locations of the pavement cores, test-pits, borings/monitoring wells, and infiltration tests are shown on Figures 2A-2C, 3A-3C & 4A-4N (Appendix I).

The soils observed during our field exploration were classified according to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), utilizing the field classification procedures as outlined in ASTM D2488. A copy of the USCS Classification Chart is included in Appendix II. Photographs of the site and exploration are presented in Appendix IV. Depths referred to in this report are relative to

the existing ground surface elevation at the time of our investigation. The surface and subsurface conditions described in this report are as observed at the time of our field investigation.

Representative samples of the subsurface soils obtained from the field exploration were selected for testing to determine the index properties of the soils in general accordance with ASTM procedures. The following laboratory tests were performed:

Test	To determine
Particle Size Distribution (ASTM D6913)	Soil classification based on proportion of sand, silt, and clay-sized particles
Natural Moisture Content (ASTM D2216)	Soil moisture content indicative of in-situ condition at the time samples were taken
Cation Exchange Capacity (EPA 9081)	Relative ability of soils to store one particular group of nutrients, the cations

Table 1: Laboratory T	<b>Fests Performed</b>
-----------------------	------------------------

Results of the laboratory tests are included on the boring, test-pit and infiltration test logs and are also presented in graphic form in Appendix III.

## 4.0 SITE CONDITIONS

The approximate 400-acre Big Pasco Industrial Center (BPIC) project site is located along the northeast shoreline of the Lake Wallula reservoir on the Columbia River in the City of Pasco, Washington. The large site is situated in portions of Section 32, 33, & 34, Township 9 North and Range 30 East, as well as portions of Section 3 & 4, Township 8 North and Range 30 East, Willamette Meridian. The BPIC facility is generally bound to the east by Sacajawea State Park, to the south by Lake Wallula, to west by S. Oregon Avenue, and to the north by the Burlington Northern railroad tracks.

We understand that the facility was originally developed by the US Army Corps of Engineers during World War II and was later purchased by the Port in 1958. Existing facilities at the BPIC include eight (8) 160,000 square foot warehouses, each separated into three or four bays. The facility includes four primary northwest-southeast aligned parallel roads, including E. Warehouse Street, E. Ainsworth Avenue, E. Cargo Street, and E. Dock Street, from north to south. Cross streets include SE Road 18, SE Road 21, Avenue C, SE Road 27, SE Road 30, SE Road 33, and SE Road 36 from west to east.

The BPIC site has been historically graded with cuts and fills. It is presumed that cuts from the northern portion, particularly across the BNSF rail yard, were likely placed as fill in the southern portions. Based on a review of Google Earth topography that uses USGS elevation data, it appears that surface grades are typically higher in the north/northwestern potion at elevation  $\pm 366'$ , generally descending toward the east t elevation  $\pm 347'$ . Google Earth elevations appear to be higher than the local vertical elevation data on the order of approximately 4 feet.

#### 5.0 EXISTING PAVEMENT SECTIONS & SURFACE CONDITIONS

Existing pavement conditions and structural sections were observed to vary significantly throughout the BPIC facility. While some selected areas were noted to have recently been paved with new asphalt, several areas revealed significant degradation, cracking and surface weathering. Our exploration of existing pavements included twenty-eight (28) 6-inch diameter core holes. Thickness of the asphaltic concrete section, as well as the crushed rock base course, was observed and recorded at each location. The AC core samples obtained were secured and returned to our laboratory for additional review and documentation. The thickness of the as-built asphalt layers was noted to vary significantly from approximately 1 to 6 inches. Thickness of the base course layers commonly ranged from 1 to 3 inches, with some selected areas noted with up to approximately 6 inches. The locations of the exploratory test cores are shown on Figures 2A-2C & 4A-4N (Appendix I). The core holes were backfilled and restored with a quick-setting concrete mix. The following table provides core hole locations along with the existing pavement section data acquired at each location:

Cone#	Dood	Pavement Section		Subbasa Matarial
Core#	Noau	Asphalt	<b>Base Course</b>	Subbase Wateriai
1	E Ainsworth St.	5.3"	2"	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)
2	SE Road 18	5.8"	2"	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)
3	SE Road 18	4.5" (*)	3"	Sand with Gravel (SP)
4	SE Road 18	2"	3"	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)
5	E Warehouse St.	3.5"	3"	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)
6	E Cargo St.	3.5"	3"	Sand with Gravel (SP)
7	E Ainsworth St.	3"	6"	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)
8	E Warehouse St.	3.25"	2"	Silty Sand (SM)
9	SE Road 21	2"	3"	Silty Sand (SM)
10	SE Road 21	3.75"	4"	Silty Sand (SM)
11	E Cargo St.	4"	2"	Sand with Gravel (SP)

 Table 2: Pavement Sections & Subgrade

12	E Cargo St.	3.4"	2"	Sand with Gravel (SP)
13	Avenue C	3"	2"	Sand with Gravel (SP)
14	Avenue C	3.75"	2"	Sand with Gravel (SP)
15	E Ainsworth St.	3"	2"	Sand with Gravel (SP)
16	Avenue C	3.5"	(**)	Sand with Gravel (SP)
17	E Warehouse St.	3.5"	1"	Silt with Gravel (ML)
18	E Cargo St.	1.25"	2"	Sand with Gravel (SP)
19	E Ainsworth St.	4.9"	1"	Sand with Gravel (SP)
20	E Warehouse St.	2.2"	1"	Silt with Gravel (ML)
21	E Warehouse St.	3.25"	1"	Sand (SP)
22	E Warehouse St.	3"	6"	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)
23	E Warehouse St.	3.4"	1"	Sand with Silt (SP-SM)
24	E Warehouse St.	2"	3"	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)
25	E Warehouse St.	3.8"	3"	Sand with Silt (SP-SM)
26	SE Road 36	5"	3"	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)
27	SE Road 36	1"	3"	Sand with Gravel (SP)
28	SE Road 36	2.2"	3"	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)

(\*) Combined thickness of upper and lower layers of asphalt; (\*\*) No base course material encountered

#### 6.0 REGIONAL & LOCAL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS

The City of Pasco lies in the Yakima Fold Belt region of the Columbia Plateau, a broad plain situated between the Cascade Range to the west and the Rocky Mountains to the east. The Columbia Plateau was formed by a thick sequence of Miocene-Age Tholeiitic basalt flows, called the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) that erupted from fissures in north-central and northeastern Oregon, eastern Washington, and western Idaho. The Columbia Plateau is often called the Columbia Basin because it forms a broad lowland surrounded by mountains. The Columbia River Basalt Group is underlain by continental sedimentary rocks from earlier in the Tertiary Period. In the project area, the uppermost layers of the CRBG are fractured bedrock of the Saddle Mountain Basalt formation. The Pliocene-Age lower Ringold formation sediments, primarily composed of silt and clay, are known to locally overlie the Saddle Mountain Basalt.

Overlying sediments in the project site area consist of localized areas of Quaternary alluvium and a sequence of Pleistocene-age flood deposits, locally identified as Pasco Gravels. These deposits generally consist of boulder and cobble to granule-sized basaltic gravel, with lesser deposits of sand, silt, and non-basaltic gravel. Surficial deposits consist of Plio-Pleistocene loess, silt and fine-grained sand. Based on the *Geologic Map of the Richland 1:100,000 Quadrangle, Washington* (Reidel, 1994), surface geology is mapped as Quaternary alluvium [Qa] deposited by the Columbia

8

River across the majority of the site, with Quaternary outburst flood deposits, gravel [Qfg(4)] of glacial Lake Missoula (a.k.a. Pasco Gravels of the Hanford Formation) along the northern end.

## 7.0 SUBSURFACE SOIL CONDITIONS

Our understanding of the subsurface soil conditions is based on the results of our site-specific exploration through borings and test-pits, along with a review of selected geotechnical investigations previously completed by others. In general, subsurface soils across the BPIC consist of near-surface fine-grained alluvial sand and silt typically underlain by flood-gravels and sands locally known as Pasco Gravels.

Due to historic grading and previous development across the BPIC, majority of the site is mantled with a relatively thin layer of artificial fill soils. In general, fill soils consisted of a mix of onsite silty sands and gravels that appear to have been locally derived from the cut areas across the north side of the BPIC, as well as imported crushed aggregate beneath existing pavements and as surfacing gravel. Some evidence of miscellaneous wood and metal debris was noted in isolated areas. Depth of these fill soils typically ranged from less than 6 inches to approximately 2 feet BGS. Slightly deeper fills, to approximately 3 to 3.5 feet BGS, were noted in test-pit TP-4 where an abandoned buried storm drain was encountered.

Native near-surface soils predominantly consisted of fine-grained Silty Sand (SM) across the majority of the western three-quarters of the project area. Test-pit TP-1 near the northwest corner of the project did not encounter these near-surface sands, likely due to historic cut grading in this area. These silty sand soils were typically noted to exhibit 'loose' to 'medium dense' relative in-place density based on SPT blow-counts. These sands were also noted to grade to a cleaner sand (SP-SM & SP) with depth, especially when mixed with gravels. Layers of predominantly sandy and predominantly gravelly soil were commonly noted to be interbedded within the borings.

Sandy Gravels with cobbles (GP) and some silt (GP-GM) are present across most of the project site at depths as shallow as approximately 2 feet BGS in the western portion, to approximately 6 to 10 feet BGS or greater across the central portion, roughly between Avenue C & Road 33. The gravels/cobbles were primarily rounded to subrounded, and typically included a fine- to coarse-grained sand matrix. Infiltration test-pit P-16 along the south side of Warehouse No. 7 encountered

Silty Gravel with Sand (GM). Slight cementation was observed near the top of the gravel unit in the exposed profile within a few test-pits in the western portion. While artificially elevated due to the presence of oversized gravels and cobbles, SPT blow-count data suggests 'medium dense' to 'very dense' in-place relative density for these gravel soils.

Silty soils, generally classified as Sandy Silt (ML) and Silt with Sand (ML), were primarily encountered in the eastern portion of the project area, typically east of Road 27. Near-surface silts were observed in the eastern/northeastern-most portion around Warehouse No. 1 & 2, while silt layers underlying silty sands were encountered at approximately 3 to 7 feet BGS in the east-central portion in the vicinity of Warehouse No. 3. Evidence of ferrous staining was also noted within the deeper silty soils in borings B-4 & B-5 near the groundwater table. Based on the SPT blow-counts, the silt soils generally exhibited 'loose' to 'medium dense' relative in-place density.

Deeper borings completed for the Big Pasco Business Park located southwest of BPIC further confirm that these predominantly sandy/gravelly soils (Pasco Gravels) extend to approximately 50 feet BGS, underlain by a hard silt unit likely associated with the lower Ringold Formation (Landau Associates, 2009).

### 7.1 NRCS Soil Survey

While known to have been significantly altered by historic grading and development activities, the soil survey map of the site prepared by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) identifies the near surface site soils across the BPIC project site as *Urban land-Torripsamments complex*. The parent material of the *Torripsamments* soils are described as *mixed eolian sands* with a typical soil profile of *loamy fine sand* over *fine sand* (see Appendix VI).

## 8.0 GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

Based on our subsurface exploration, groundwater was encountered at depths ranging from approximately 3.5 to 14 feet BGS. The shallowest groundwater was noted in the eastern/northeastern-most portion at depths of approximately 3.5 to 4.5 feet BGS, generally coinciding with the relatively low surface elevations of the project area, within exploration completed along the north and east sides of Warehouse No. 6. Groundwater was measured at depths of approximately 5 to 10 feet BGS within exploration completed around Warehouse No. 3,

4 & 5 and the eastern portion of Warehouse No. 2. Depth to groundwater is generally greater than 10 feet BGS in the western/southwestern portion of the project area. These depths roughly correlate to groundwater elevations ranging from  $\pm 341'$  to  $\pm 338.5'$ , with the higher elevation contour typically along Warehouse Street and the lower elevation contour generally along Cargo Street. The following tables provide depths to un-stabilized groundwater readings measured within the borings and test-pits at time of exploration (Table 3a), as well as stabilized groundwater depths and elevations recorded within the monitoring wells (Table 3b):

Boring/	Depth to Groundwater		
Test-Pit	at time of Exploration		
B-1	4.5'		
B-2	5'		
B-3 (MW-1)	9.25'		
B-4	6.5'		
B-5	8.75'		
B-7 (MW-2)	12.25'		
B-9	14.25'		
B-10	13'		
B-12 (MW-3)	12.75'		
B-13	7.8'		
B-14	8.4'		
B-15	12.4'		
P-6	6'		
P-8	3.5'		
TP-2	8'		
TP-3	4.25'		
TP-4	8.5'		

Table 3a: Summary of Groundwater Depths

Table 3b: Stabilized Groundwater Depths & Elevations

Monitoring	Monitoring Aug 5, 201		5, 2019 Aug 19		Aug 2	6, 2019	Sep 3	3, 2019
Well	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.
B-3 (MW-1)	9.27'	338.62'	9.37'	338.52'	9.39'	338.50'	9.34'	338.55'
B-7 (MW-2)	11.74'	340.65'	11.86'	340.53'	12.24'	340.15'	11.90'	340.49'
B-12 (MW-3)	12.47'	339.83'	12.81'	339.49'	13.92'	338.38'	12.57'	339.73'

Geotechnical investigation conducted for the Big Pasco Industrial office building, located southwest of Ainsworth Street & Road 30, did not encounter groundwater to depths of approximately 8 feet BGS in early May (Shannon & Wilson, 2007). Another geotechnical investigation completed for the Big Pasco Business Park located southwest of BPIC, which

includes the existing Port of Pasco office building, measured groundwater levels ranging from approximately 8 to 16.5 feet BGS in January and July (Landau Associates, 2009).

Groundwater in this area of Pasco is known to discharge into the Columbia River and the groundwater table slopes directly towards the river (Drost et al., 1997). This condition was further confirmed through stabilized groundwater measurements recorded in the three monitoring wells, yielding a groundwater flow direction of approximately 192° to 205°. Hydraulic gradients (i) of approximately 0.001 to 0.005 were calculated based on these available data points. Therefore, while we believe that the groundwater table is influenced by the river stages in the adjacent Columbia River (Lake Wallula), local variation in the groundwater elevations will likely be controlled by recharge from upgradient sources including the applied irrigation across the center-pivot crop fields located north of the BPIC. Groundwater fluctuation of up to approximately 1.5 feet has been observed over a period of about four weeks of groundwater measurements within the monitoring wells. While not anticipated to be significant, a full understanding of the seasonal groundwater fluctuation across the BPIC will require collecting groundwater depth data within the monitoring wells over a 1-year period.

## 9.0 SOIL INFILTRATION TESTING

Infiltration testing was completed using a small-scale Pilot Infiltration Test (PIT) in test-pits P-1, P-13 & P-16. To the degree possible, care was exercised during excavation to attempt to maintain relatively uniform side walls, and the resulting size and geometry of the finished test-pit was carefully recorded in the field. The infiltration test-pit was filled with water from a nearby hydrant. The excavation was continuously filled at a measured constant inflow rate until the water head within the test-pit was observed to be relatively stable. Water flow into the test-pit at the noted rate was continued for a sufficient period to flood the pit and fully saturate the surrounding soils.

Soil infiltration testing utilizing the single ring infiltrometer method was conducted at all infiltration locations except for test-pits P-1, P-13 & P-16. The single ring infiltrometer consists of a 10-inch diameter steel pipe driven into the ground at the test depth. After an initial pre-soak period, a constant water level was maintained in the ring with the use of a float valve and timed intervals of the water demand volumes were recorded. Continuous readings of the infiltration rates

of water volumes required to maintain the constant head were recorded until a relatively constant rate was achieved and the average infiltration rate was determined.

Based on the gravelly nature of the soils encountered within test-pit P-17, a falling head infiltration method was performed by placing a 12-inch diameter steel pipe into the ground at the test depth. The pipe was filled with water and timed readings of the measured falling water level within the ring were taken and the procedure was repeated until the timed intervals generally yielded a constant rate.

The test results are indicative of the infiltration characteristics of the subsurface soils encountered at the test location/depth using the specific test method. The following table presents the results of the infiltration tests:

Test ID	t Test T Method De		Test Depth	Soil Tested	CEC (meq/100g)	% Fines from #200 Wash	Field Infiltration Rate
P-1	PI	Т	4	Gravel with Sand (GP)	-	-	>20 in./hr.
P-2	SRI	CH	3	Silty Sand (SM)	4.3	20%	2.3 in./hr.
P-3	SRI	CH	3	Silty Sand (SM)	-	25%	1.2 in./hr.
P-4	SRI	CH	3	Silty Sand (SM)	_	28%	2.2 in./hr.
P-5	SRI	CH	3	Silty Sand (SM)	5.5	38%	2.1 in./hr.
P-6	SRI	CH	3	Silty Sand (SM) <sup>[1]</sup>	-	33%	0.1 in./hr.
P-7	SRI	CH	3	Sandy Silt (ML) <sup>[2]</sup>	6.4	54%	0.76 in./hr.
P-8				no testing conducted due to groundwa	ater at ~3.5 fee	et BGS	
P-9	SRI	СН	3	Gravel with Sand (GP) <sup>[2]</sup>	4.2	1%	7.6 in./hr.
P-10	SRI	CH	3	Silty Sand (SM)	-	26%	1.7 in./hr.
P-11	SRI	CH	3	Sand with Silt (SP-SM)	2.7	11%	9.0 in./hr.
P-12	SRI	CH	3	Silty Sand (SM)	_	13%	6.4 in./hr.
P-13	PI	Т	5	Gravel with Sand (GP)	-	-	>20 in./hr.
P-14	SRI	CH	3	Silty Sand (SM)	-	34%	0.7 in./hr.
P-15	SRI	CH	3	Silty Sand w/ Gravel (SM)	5.1	13%	1.0 in./hr.
P-16	16 PIT 4.5		4.5	Silty Gravel with Sand (GM)	-	29%	3.8 in./hr.
P-17	SRI	FH	3	Gravel w/ Silt and Sand (GP-GM)	-	-	>20 in./hr.
P-18	SRI	СН	4	Silty Sand (SM)	-	34%	8.5 in./hr.

 Table 4: Infiltration Test Results

Notes: SRI = Single ring infiltrometer; PIT = Small-scale Pilot Infiltration Test; CH = Constant head; FH = Falling head

[1] Groundwater encountered at 6 feet BGS, ~3 feet below test depth

[2] Moist to wet soil at test depth, with groundwater anticipated to be less 5 feet below test depth

The native onsite silty sands typically yielded a field infiltration rate on the order of 1 to 2 inches/hour, while the relatively cleaner sands yielded field rates of 6 to 9 inches/hour. The predominantly gravelly soils typically resulted in infiltration rates in excess of 20 inches/hour, with the siltier gravels yielding a relatively slower rate. However, notably slower infiltration rates were recorded where shallow groundwater conditions were noted; i.e. separation to the groundwater table anticipated to be less than 5 feet. Therefore, tests performed in areas of relatively shallow groundwater (P-6 through P-9) yielded field infiltration rates that were generally lower by an order of magnitude.

The infiltration rates presented herein represent the un-factored field soil infiltration rate. An appropriate factor of safety should be applied to the field infiltration rate to determine long-term design infiltration rate. Determination of safety factors for long-term design infiltration should consider the following: pretreatment, potential for biofouling, system maintainability, horizontal and vertical variability of soils, and type of infiltration testing. Typical factors of safety for these soils generally range from 2 to 3.

Furthermore, we used the soil grain size analysis method outlined in Section 6, Appendix 6.B.4, of the 2019 Eastern Washington Stormwater Manual (EWSWM). This method is a laboratory testbased method that estimates saturated hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{sat}$ ) using empirical relationships to grain size, including the fines content and various other grain-size characteristics ( $d_{10}$ ,  $d_{60}$  &  $d_{90}$ ). Based on the results of this empirical correlation, using appropriate correction factors as outlined in section 6.3.3 of the 2019 EWSWM, we have estimated a design infiltration rate of **0.6 inches/hour** for the native onsite silty sands, considering no reduction due to minimal separation from groundwater.

#### **10.0 PRELIMINARY GROUNDWATER MOUNDING ANALYSIS**

A preliminary groundwater mounding analysis was performed as part of this geotechnical site investigation to simulate the mound of groundwater beneath proposed stormwater infiltration facilities. The mounding analysis was performed using a spreadsheet developed by the USGS that numerically integrates the Hantush (1967) equation for groundwater mounding beneath an infiltration facility. Hantush's equations define the shape of groundwater mounds beneath the infiltration facilities, and are based on several simplifying assumptions, including that the aquifer is homogeneous and isotropic, flow is strictly horizontal, the change in aquifer saturated thickness relative to original saturated thickness is trivial, and the infiltration rate is constant.

Using the Hantush method, several input parameters are required in order to compute the groundwater mound height. All input parameters used have been derived using standard practices and readily available information from site-specific subsurface soil exploration and infiltration testing. The design storm at the site is 1.6 inches based on a 25-year return period 24-hour storm event. We also considered a 24-hour design storm event and a 48-hour period for the basin to fully drain, resulting in 3 days of total duration of infiltration period. Onsite infiltration tests yielded field infiltration rates ranging from approximately 0.1 to 9 inches/hour. Based on the field readings, our analyses assumed a vertical hydraulic conductivity ( $K_v$ ) of 1.5 inches/hour to estimate a horizontal hydraulic conductivity ( $K_h$ ) of 30 feet/day (assuming  $K_h \approx 10 \cdot K_v$ ). Specific yield of the unsaturated zone was estimated to be 20% from values provided within the *Specific Yield- Compilation of Specific Yields for Various Materials* publication (Johnson, 1967). Based on our site-specific subsurface exploration and review other available data (Appendix VII), we have estimated a conservative initial thickness of the saturated zone to be 40 feet.

We understand that infiltration trenches constructed in accordance with City of Pasco Public Works Department standard details for single-outlet 4-feet wide or multiple-outlet 6-feet wide designs (see Appendix VIII) will be employed for onsite disposal of stormwater at the BPIC site. However, the final design inputs with regard to the number, length, and location of infiltrations trenches and associated impervious surface areas conveyed to the various infiltration trenches is currently undetermined.

To assist with the final design of the onsite stormwater facilities, we have performed the mounding analyses using a range of surface areas to be drained and conveyed into standard infiltration trenches constructed in 50-foot lengths. Logically the resulting height of the groundwater mound beneath a given infiltration trench increases as the volume of recharge increases. The following charts provide the results of the mounding analyses expressed as a linear graph of the anticipated mound height resulting from increased impervious surface area conveyed to each 50-feet long, 4-feet or 6-feet wide infiltration trenches:



Chart 1a: Mound Height vs. Impervious Surface Area (50'x4' Infiltration Trench)



Chart 1b: Mound Height vs. Impervious Surface Area (50'x6' Infiltration Trench)

We understand that final stormwater management design at the project site will also include various sized swales throughout the development. Based on the information provided we have performed additional mounding analyses for the various infiltration facility scenarios being considered for the project. The resulting calculated groundwater mound heights beneath the center of the various swales proposed for the project using Hantush method are presented in the table below:

Swale Dimensions	Tributary Area (sq-ft)	Mound Height (inches)
8' x 260'	42,000	4.3
8' x 260'	130,000	7.3
8' x 174'	20,000	2.7
8' x 290'	91,000	8.7
8' x 80'	71,000	8.9
15' x 75'	59,000	10.5
15' x 75'	46,580 (79% of 59,000)	8.3
overflowing to 3 x 100'	12,390 (21% of 59,000)	2.1

**Table 5: Mounding Analyses for Various Stormwater Facilities** 

Design of the new stormwater management facilities for the BPIC facility, including design criteria for appropriate biofiltration and separation, are under the purview of the project civil engineer. Based on our analyses, short-term groundwater mounding from the design storm event can be limited beneath the proposed infiltration trenches. Final design of stormwater facilities at the site should allow for appropriate separations with regard to the anticipated short-term mounding conditions and seasonal high groundwater levels.

## **11.0 PAVEMENT ANALYSIS**

Various pavement structural sections for the proposed roadway improvements were calculated using the 1993 AASHTO pavement design method. The 1993 AASHTO pavement design method calculates a relative strength of the pavement section referred to as the Structural Number [SN]. Determination of the Structural Number is based on several factors including the design reliability, initial and terminal serviceability, subgrade strength and anticipated traffic loads of the proposed roadway. Subgrade strength in terms of the  $M_R$  and anticipated traffic loading in terms of predicted 18,000 lb. equivalent single axle loads (ESALs) over the design life of the roadway are key parameters in the design. The analyses of the strength of various pavement sections further assumes construction over competent subgrade soils prepared in accordance with the recommendations of Section 12.4 of this report. The total strength of the pavement section is then determined by summing the various relative strengths of each layer incorporating layer strength and drainage characteristic coefficients.

A CBR value of 6% was estimated for the project based on our field exploration (SPT blowcounts), laboratory testing, and our experience with similar soils in the site vicinity. Using a common empirical correlation, we estimated a resilient modulus of subgrade ( $M_r$ ) of ~9,000 psi ( $M_r \approx 1,500 \text{ x CBR}$ ). Our calculations of SN for the asphalt pavements used the following input parameters:

Design life (years)	20
Reliability (R%)	80%
Combined Standard Error $(S_0)$	0.50
Initial Serviceability (P <sub>0</sub> )	4.5
Terminal Serviceability (Pt)	1.7

While a traffic count study of BPIC roadways has not been conducted, it is well understood that Port roadways experience a significant number of ESALs from daily operations. Since actual traffic loading conditions and traffic count were unavailable, our analyses consisted of backcalculating allowable design ESALs that each of the various pavement section options can support.

We understand that the EDA Grant for the project includes a proposed section for Port roadway improvements to consist of 4-inches of HMA, over 2-inches of CSTC, over 6-inches of CSBC, constructed atop the prepared compacted subgrade. The following table presents various options of pavement sections for the project, presenting the Structural Number (relative strength) and associated allowable ESAL traffic volumes:

<b>Pavement Design</b>	Section	Sn	Allowable ESAL
EDA Grant Section	4" HMA/2" CSTC/6" CSBC	2.78	800,000
	4" HMA/2" CSTC/7" CSBC	2.81	1 000 000
Alternate	5" HMA/2" CSTC/4" CSBC	2.97	1,000,000
Sections	4" HMA/2" CSTC/9" CSBC	3.16	1 500 000
Sections	5" HMA/2" CSTC/5" CSBC	3.10	1,300,000

**Table 6: Recommended Pavement Section Design Options** 

Notes:

CSTC = Crushed Surfacing Top Course; CSBC = Crushed Surfacing Base Course;

Assumed Drainage Coefficients: HMA-1.0, CSTC-0.95, CSBC-0.90

The selection of the appropriate recommended pavement section shall be based on budgetary constraints, actual traffic loading conditions for a given roadway, and the owner's acceptance of future maintenance and repair demands.

It shall be noted that pavement design recommendations assume proper and positive drainage and construction monitoring. Asphalt pavements tend to develop thermal and fatigue cracking over time from environmental factors and traffic loads. Asphalt, being a viscoelastic material, weakens from temperature influx. Timely preventative measures for continual flexible maintenance such as crack filling and seal coating at 8-10 year intervals to control the progression of surface cracking and distress to prevent water from infiltrating into the base course and subgrade shall be considered. Performing this intermediate level of maintenance will net at least a 20-year service life/performance life.

## **12.0 GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS**

The following geotechnical recommendations are based on our current understanding of the proposed project as depicted on the Port of Pasco Grant Request Locational Drawings, dated 8/22/2018. We recommend that we be engaged to review project plans in order to provide revised, augmented, and/or additional geotechnical recommendations as required.

## 12.1 Site Development – Grading

A representative of the GER should observe site clearing, grading, and the bottoms of excavations before placing fills. Local variations in soil conditions may warrant increasing the depth of overexcavation and recompaction. Seasonal weather conditions may adversely affect grading operations. To improve compaction efforts and prevent potential pumping and unstable ground conditions, we suggest performing site grading during dryer periods of the year.

Soil conditions shall be evaluated by in-place density testing, visual evaluation, probing, and proof-rolling of the imported fill and re-compacted on-site soil as it is prepared to check for compliance with recommendations of this report. A moisture-density curve shall be established in accordance with the ASTM D1557 method for all onsite soils and imported fill materials used as structural fill.

<u>Clearing and Grubbing</u>: At the start of site grading, areas of proposed improvements should be cleared of existing vegetation, large roots, non-engineered fill, any construction debris, trash, and abandoned underground utilities. The surface should be stripped of organic growth and removed from the construction area. The actual depth of stripping may be reduced through real-time observation during clearing activities. Areas disturbed during clearing should be properly backfilled and compacted as described below.

<u>Re-Use of Onsite Soils as Engineered Fill</u>: The onsite soils are generally suitable for use as engineered fill and utility trench backfill, provided it is free of significant organic or deleterious matter, and rocks greater than 3 inches. Fill soils should be placed in maximum 8-inch lifts (loose) and compacted to at least 95% relative compaction (ASTM D1557) near its optimum moisture content. The fine-grained silty soils are moisture-sensitive, and will therefore require compaction to be performed within a strict range of  $\pm 2\%$  of optimum moisture to achieve the proper degree of compaction. Compaction should be verified by testing.

<u>Use of Imported Soils as Engineered Fill</u>: If needed, imported fill soils should be non-expansive, granular soils meeting the USCS classifications of SM, SP-SM, or SW-SM with a maximum rock size of 3 inches, minimum 70% passing the No. 4 sieve, and 5 to 25% passing the No. 200 sieve. The GER should evaluate the import fill soils before hauling to the site. However, because of the potential variations within the borrow source, import soil will not be prequalified by GNN. The imported fill should be placed in lifts no greater than 8 inches in loose thickness and compacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density (ASTM D1557) near optimum moisture content.

### 12.2 Temporary Excavation

It shall be the responsibility of the contractor to maintain safe temporary slope configurations since the contractor is at the job site, able to observe the nature and conditions of the slopes and be able to monitor the subsurface conditions encountered. Unsupported vertical cuts deeper than 4 feet are not recommended if worker access is necessary. The cuts shall be adequately sloped, shored or supported to prevent injury to personnel from caving and sloughing. The contractor and subcontractors shall be aware of and familiar with applicable local, state and federal safety regulation including the current OSHA Excavation and Trench Safety Standards, and OSHA Health and Safety Standards for Excavations, 29 CFR Part 1929, or successor regulations.

According to chapter 296-155 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC), it is our opinion that the near-surface soil encountered at the site is classified as Type C soils. We recommend that temporary, unsupported, open cut slopes shall be no steeper than 1.5 feet horizontal to 1.0 feet vertical (1.5H:1V) in Type C soils. No heavy equipment should be allowed near the top of temporary cut slopes unless the cut slopes are adequately braced. Where unstable soils are encountered, flatter slopes may be required.

The native sandy/gravelly soil will be prone to caving and sloughing in open excavations. We anticipate excavation bank stability problems will be encountered due to the non-cohesive granular nature of the on-site soils. Excavation stability may be achieved by sloping excavation banks or widening shallow excavations in the anticipation of caving. Deeper excavations may require external support such as shoring or bracing to provide excavation bank stability.

## 12.3 Utility Excavation, Pipe Bedding and Trench Backfill

To provide suitable support and bedding for the pipe, we recommend the utilities be founded on suitable bedding material consisting of clean sand and/or sand & gravel mixture. To minimize trench subgrade disturbance during excavation, the excavator should use a smooth-edged bucket rather than a toothed bucket.

Pipe bedding and pipe zone materials shall conform to Section 9-03.12(3) of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) 2018 Standard Specifications. Pipe bedding should provide a firm uniform cradle for support of the pipes. A minimum 4-inch thickness of bedding material beneath the pipe should be provided. Prior to installation of the pipe, the pipe bedding should be shaped to fit the lower part of the pipe exterior with reasonable closeness to provide uniform support along the pipe. Pipe bedding material should be used as pipe zone backfill and placed in layers and tamped around the pipes to obtain complete contact. To protect the pipe, bedding material should extend at least 6 inches above the top of the pipe.

Placement of bedding material is particularly critical where maintenance of precise grades is essential. Backfill placed within the first 12 inches above utility lines should be compacted to at least 90% of the maximum dry density (ASTM D1557), such that the utility lines are not damaged during backfill placement and compaction. In addition, rock fragments greater than 1 inch in maximum dimension should be excluded from this first lift. The remainder of the utility excavations should be backfilled and compacted to 95% of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D1557.

Onsite soils are considered suitable for utility trench backfill provided they are free of oversize material and can be adequately compacted. All excavations should be wide enough to allow for compaction around the haunches of pipes and underground tanks. We recommend that utility trenching, installation, and backfilling conform to all applicable federal, state, and local regulations such as OSHA and WISHA for open excavations.

Compaction of backfill material should be accomplished with soils within  $\pm 2\%$  of their optimum moisture content in order to achieve the minimum specified compaction levels recommended in this report. However, initial lift thickness could be increased to levels recommended by the manufacturer to protect utilities from damage by compacting equipment.

## 12.4 Flexible Pavement Construction

Proposed pavement areas shall be cleared of all soils containing roots or organic materials and any unsuitable artificial fill soils prior to subgrade construction. The upper 12 inches of subgrade soils beneath the pavement section shall be scarified, moisture conditioned and re-compacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D1557. Where predominantly gravelly subgrade conditions are exposed, we recommend moisture-conditioning and proof-compaction of the subgrade. All fills used to raise low areas must be compacted onsite soils or structural gravel fill and shall be placed under engineering control conditions. The finished surface shall be smooth, uniform and free of localized weak/soft spots. All subgrade deficiency corrections and drainage

provisions shall be made prior to placing the aggregate base course. All underground utilities shall be protected prior to grading.

Imported crushed surfacing top course (CSTC) shall be <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" minus and crushed surfacing base course (CSBC) shall be 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" minus aggregate meeting the requirements of Section 9-03.9(3) of the 2018 WSDOT Standard Specifications (see Table 6 below). The crushed surfacing rock shall be placed in uniform lifts not exceeding 8 inches in loose thickness and each lift compacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D1557 method. Aggregate base or pavement materials should not be placed when the surface is wet.

Siovo Sizo	Percent Passing (by Weight)			
Sieve Size	CSTC	CSBC		
11⁄4"		99 - 100		
1"		80 - 100		
3⁄4"	99 - 100			
5/8"		50 - 80		
1⁄2''	80 - 100			
No. 4	46 - 66	25 - 45		
No. 40	8 - 24	3 - 18		
No. 200	10.0 max.	7.5 max.		
Sand Equivalent	40 min.	40 min.		

 Table 7: WSDOT Standard Spec. 9-03.9(3)

If required, granular subbase material placed under the required crushed surfacing gravel sections shall consist of 2- to 4-inch minus granular material meeting the grading and quality requirements of WSDOT Standard Specification Section 9-03.14(1), Gravel Borrow, as presented below:

Table 8: WSDOT Standard Spec. 9-03.14(1)			
Sieve Size	Percent Passing (by Weight)		
4"	99 - 100		
2"	75 - 100		
U.S. No. 4	50 - 80		
U.S. No. 40	30 max.		
U.S. No. 200	7.0 max.		
Sand Equivalent	50 min.		

Table 8: WSDC	<b>)T Standard S</b>	Spec. !	9-03.14	4(1)	

A fifty (50) pound sample of each imported fill material shall be collected by GNN personnel prior to placement to ensure proper gradation and establish the moisture-density relationship (proctor curve).

Cement-treated base (CTB) shall consist of a mixture of Portland cement and CSBC, and shall be placed in max. 8-inch thick loose lifts and each lift shall be compacted to 95% of ASTM D1557. We estimate 6% cement content (percent dry weight) will be required for the CTB using the recommended CSBC. Minimum unconfined compressive strength in 7-days for CTB section shall be 700 psi. Construction of the CTB section, i.e. placement, spreading, mixing, blending, processing, and compaction and quality control testing shall be in general accordance with the methods and procedures outlined in Soil Cement Construction Handbook (Portland Cement Association, 1995) and Soil Stabilization for Pavements (Unified Facilities Criteria, 2004).

In accordance with Section 9-03.21(1)E of the 2018 WSDOT Standard Specs, recycled asphalt subbase shall consist of a blend of crushed surfacing base course (CSBC) with no more than 25% pulverized asphalt (by weight).

The Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) utilized for this project should be designed and produced in accordance with Section 5-04 Hot Mix Asphalt of the 2018 WSDOT Standard Specifications. GNN recommends that HMA should be placed two uniform lifts and shall be constructed using a Superpave asphalt binder PG 64-28. The contractor shall submit an HMA Mix Design to the Engineer for review and approval. The asphalt paving materials shall be compacted to minimum 92% (but not to exceed 95%) of the maximum theoretical specific gravity ASTM D2041 (Rice's density). The gradation of the HMA aggregate shall conform to the gradation control points for ½-inch mixes as presented in Section 9-03.8(6), HMA Proportions of Materials, of the 2018 WSDOT Standard Specifications. The HMA shall be compacted in accordance with the requirements of Section 5-04.3(10), Compaction, of the 2018 WSDOT Standard Specifications.

## 12.5 Mitigation of Unsuitable Subgrade Soils

The degree to which construction grading problems develop is expected to be dependent, in part, on the time of year that construction proceeds and the precautions which are taken by the contractor to protect the subgrade. Proceeding with site earthwork operations using these soils during wet weather could add project costs and/or delays. The stability of exposed soils may rapidly deteriorate due to a change in moisture content. Onsite silty soils, especially in the eastern/northeastern portion of the BPIC site, are considered moisture and disturbance sensitive due to their fines content and may become unstable (pumping) if allowed to increase in moisture content and are disturbed (rutted) by construction traffic if wet.

The site shall be graded to prevent water from ponding within construction areas and/or flowing into excavations. Accumulated water must be removed immediately along with any unstable soil. It may be necessary to amend the on-site soils or import granular materials for use as structural fill. If soils become unstable, the following actions may be required for mitigation:

- Pumping soils shall be removed and replaced with structural compacted gravel fill, or mechanically stabilized with a coarse crushed aggregate (Quarry Spalls, per WSDOT 9-13.1(5)) punched into the subgrade;
- A stabilization geotextile fabric, such as Mirafi 600X, may be placed atop the pumping subgrade to provide for favorable working conditions;
- To prevent soil disturbance, the size or type of equipment may have to be limited;
- Excavation and fill placement should be observed on a full-time basis by a representative of GER to determine that unsuitable materials are removed and that suitable compaction and site drainage is achieved.

#### **13.0 REFERENCES**

- Drost, B.W., Cox, S.E., and Schurr, K.M., 1997. Changes in Ground-Water Levels and Ground-Water Budgets, from predevelopment to 1986, in parts of the Pasco Basin, Washington, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 96-4086.
- Hantush, M.S., 1967. Growth and decay of groundwater mounds in response to uniform percolation: Water Resources Research, v. 3, p. 227-234.
- Johnson, A.I., 1967. Specific Yield- Compilation of Specific Yields for Various Materials: U.S. Geologic Survey Water-Supply Paper 1662-D.
- Landau Associates, November 3, 2009. Geotechnical Report, Big Pasco Business Park, Pasco, Washington.
- MacKay Sposito, Inc., August 22, 2019. *17240\_TP1\_082119.dwg*, CAD file providing survey elevations for monitoring wells.
- Port of Pasco, August 22, 2018. Port of Pasco Big Pasco Industrial Center, Pasco, WA, Grant Request Locational Drawings, Sheets G-01 to G-02 & C-01 to C-23.
- Port of Pasco, July 16, 2019. Port of Pasco BPIC Locational Map, Pasco, WA, Sheets T-01 to T-06.
- Portland Cement Association, 1995. Soil Cement Construction Handbook (EB003).
- Reidel, S.P., and Fecht, K.R., June 1994. Geologic Map of the Richland 1:100,000 Quadrangle, Washington: Washington State Department of Natural Resources, Open File Report 94-8.
- Shannon & Wilson, Inc., June 1, 2007. Geotechnical Engineering Study; Big Pasco Industrial Office Building, Pasco, Washington, S&W Project No.: 22-1-02472-001.

Unified Facilities Criteria, January 16, 2004. Soil Stabilization for Pavements (UFC 3-250-11).

### **14.0 ADDITIONAL SERVICES**

The Client should maintain an adequate program of geotechnical consultation, construction monitoring, and soils testing during the final design and construction phases to ensure compliance with GNN's geotechnical recommendations. For this purpose, GNN, the Geotechnical Engineer-of-Record, shall be retained as the geotechnical consultant from beginning to end of the project to maintain continuity of services.

GNN can provide construction monitoring and testing as additional services. The costs of these services are not included in our present fee arrangement, but can be obtained from our office. The recommended construction monitoring and testing includes, but is not necessarily limited to, the following:

- > Consultation during the design stages of the project.
- Review of the grading and drainage plans to monitor compliance and proper implementation of the recommendations in GNN's Report.
- Observation and quality control testing during site preparation, grading, and placement of engineered fill as required by the local building ordinances.
- Geotechnical engineering consultation as needed during construction

#### **15.0 LIMITATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT**

This GEOTECHNICAL SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT ("Report") was prepared for the exclusive use of the Client. GN Northern, Inc.'s (GNN) findings, conclusions and recommendations in this Report are based on selected points of field exploration, laboratory testing, and GNN's understanding of the proposed project at the time the Report is prepared. Furthermore, GNN's findings and recommendations are based on the assumption that soil, rock and/or groundwater conditions do not vary significantly from those found at specific exploratory locations. Variations in soil, bedrock and/or groundwater conditions may not become evident until during or after construction. Variations in soil, bedrock and groundwater may require additional studies, consultation, and revisions to GNN's recommendations in the Report.

In many cases the scope of geotechnical exploration and the test locations are selected by others without consultation from the geotechnical engineer/consultant. GNN assumes no responsibility and, by preparing this Report, does not impliedly or expressly validate the scope of exploration and the test locations selected by others.

This Report's findings are valid as of the issued date of this Report. However, changes in conditions of the subject property or adjoining properties can occur due to passage of time, natural processes, or works of man. In addition, applicable building standards/codes may change over time. Accordingly, findings, conclusions, and recommendations of this Report may be invalidated, wholly or partially, by changes outside of GNN's control. Therefore, this Report is subject to review and shall not be relied upon after a period of **one (1) year** from the issued date of the Report.

In the event that any changes in the nature, design, or location of structures are planned, the findings, conclusions and recommendations contained in this Report shall not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed by GNN and the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of this Report are modified or verified in writing.

This Report is issued with the understanding that the owner or the owner's representative has the responsibility to bring the findings, conclusions, and recommendations contained herein to the attention of the architect and design professional(s) for the project so that they are incorporated

into the plans and construction specifications, and any follow-up addendum for the project. The owner or the owner's representative also has the responsibility to verify that the general contractor and all subcontractors follow such recommendations during construction. It is further understood that the owner or the owner's representative is responsible for submittal of this Report to the appropriate governing agencies. The foregoing notwithstanding, no party other than the Client shall have any right to rely on this Report and GNN shall have no liability to any third party who claims injury due to reliance upon this Report, which is prepared exclusively for Client's use and reliance.

GNN has provided geotechnical services in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices in this locality at this time. GNN expressly disclaims all warranties and guarantees, express or implied.

Client shall provide GNN an opportunity to review the final design and specifications so that earthwork, drainage and foundation recommendations may be properly interpreted and implemented in the design and specifications. If GNN is not accorded the review opportunity, GNN shall have no responsibility for misinterpretation of GNN's recommendations.

Although GNN can provide environmental assessment and investigation services for an additional cost, the current scope of GNN's services does not include an environmental assessment or an investigation for the presence or absence of wetlands, hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, surface water, groundwater, or air on, below, or adjacent to the subject property.



# **APPENDICES**



Appendix I

Vicinity Map (Figure 1)

Site Exploration Maps (Figures 2A-2C)

Points of Exploration Overlayed on EDA Drawings (Figures 3A-3C)

**Pavement** Core Location Maps (Figures 4A-4N)





FIGURE 2A: SITE EXPLORATION MAP



FIGURE 2B: SITE EXPLORATION MAP

**PROJECT NO. 219-1119**


FIGURE 2C: SITE EXPLORATION MAP

**PROJECT NO. 219-1119** 





































Appendix II

Boring, Test-Pit & Infiltration Test Logs, Key Chart (for Soil Classification)









GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINT STD US LAB. GDT - 8/30/19 17:28 - C./USERSIGN NORTHERNDROPBOX6-ACTIVE PROJECTS/219-1119 PORT OF PASCO - BIG PASCO INDUSTRIAL/219-1119 LOGS. GP.



¢	6	GN North 11115 E. Spokane Telephon Fax: (509	ern Inc. Montgomery, Suit Valley, WA, 99206 e: (509) 248-9798 9) 248-4220	e C			BORING NUMBER B-6 PAGE 1 OF 1
CLIEN	NT Mack	Kay Sposito	, Inc.				PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center
PROJ	ECT NUM	IBER _ 219-	-1119				PROJECT LOCATION Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA
DATE	STARTE	<b>D</b> <u>8/1/19</u>	COM	PLETE	<b>D</b> 8/1	1/19	GROUND ELEVATION _341 ft HOLE SIZE _6 inches
DRILL		ITRACTOR	Johnson Explora	tion D	rilling		GROUND WATER LEVELS:
DRILL	ING MET	HOD Mob	ile B-53 w/ HSA ar	nd Rop	be & Ca	athead	AT TIME OF DRILLING
LOGG	GED BY _	MYM	CHEC	KED	<b>ВҮ</b> <u>К</u>	AH	AT END OF DRILLING
NOTE	S Appro	x. GPS Co	ords.: 46°13'1.69"N	<b>I</b> , 119'	° 4'15.7	76"W	AFTER DRILLING
o DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	TESTS	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
				GM		FILL: SI	ILTY GRAVEL WITH SAND, (GM) grayish brown, subrounded to ular, drv to damp
	-			SM		2.5	SAND, (SM) brown, fine grained, moist, loose to medium dense
	SPT	24-27-25 (52)	MC = 3% Fines = 5%			POORL moist, v	Y GRADED GRAVEL WITH SAND, (GP) gray, rounded, damp to rery dense, with cobbles
5	SPT	19-25-22 (47)		GP		- becom	nes dense 334.5
						- Groun	dwater not encountered at time of drilling

- Groundwater not encountered at time of drilling - Referenced elevations are approximate and based on Google Earth topography

Bottom of borehole at 6.5 feet.

GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 8/30/19 17:28 - C:/USERS/GN NORTHERNIDROPBOX(5-ACTIVE PROJECTS/219-1119 PORT OF PASCO - BIG PASCO INDUSTRIAL/219-1119 LOGS.GPJ





GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINT STD US LAB. GDT - 8/30/19 17:28 - C./USERS/GN NORTHER/NDROPBOX6-ACTIVE PROJECTS/219-1119 PORT OF PASCO - BIG PASCO INDUSTRIAL/219-1119 LOGS. GP.





¢	G	GN North 11115 E. Spokane Telephon Fax: (509	ern Inc. Montgomery, Suit Valley, WA, 99206 e: (509) 248-9798 9) 248-4220	e C S			BORING NUMBER B- PAGE 1 O	<b>11</b> F 1
CLIEN	IT Mack	Kay Sposito,	, Inc.				PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center	
PROJ		IBER _ 219-	-1119				PROJECT LOCATION _ Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA	
DATE	STARTE	<b>D</b> 8/5/19	COM	PLETE	<b>D</b> 8/5	5/19	GROUND ELEVATION _350 ft HOLE SIZE _6 inches	
DRILL	ING CON	ITRACTOR	Johnson Explora	tion D	rilling		GROUND WATER LEVELS:	
DRILL	ING MET	HOD Mob	ile B-53 w/ HSA ar	nd Rop	e & Ca	athead	AT TIME OF DRILLING	
LOGG	ED BY	KS	CHEC	KED	<b>вү</b> _к/	АH	AT END OF DRILLING	
NOTE	S Appro	x. GPS Co	ords.: 46°12'56.80'	'N, 119	9° 4'8.6	67"W	AFTER DRILLING	
o DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	TESTS	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	
				GP- GM		FIL bro 1.0	L: POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH SILT AND SAND, (GP-GM) light own, subrounded, damp	349.0
				SM		2.0	TY SAND, (SM) brown, fine grained, moist, (APPARENT NATIVE)	348.0
		24-37-	MC = 3%			PO sub	OORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH SILT AND SAND, (GP-GM) brown to gray, bangular, dry, very dense	
	SPT	50/5"	Fines = 5%	GP-				
5				GM		, he	ecomes dry to damp	
	SPT	17-42-46 (88)				- De	ecomes dry to damp	343 5
		1		1	L /Nd	- Bi - C	orehole terminated at ~5.5' BGS due to auger refusal	0-0.0

- Groundwater not encountered at time of drilling - Referenced elevations are approximate and based on Google Earth topography

Bottom of borehole at 6.5 feet.









	C	5	GN 111 Spo Tele Fax	Northe 15 E. N kane \ ephone : (509	ern Inc. Montgomery, Suite C Valley, WA, 99206 e: (509) 248-9798 8) 248-4220	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-1 PAGE 1 OF 1				
c		Mack	Kay Sp	osito,	Inc.	PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center				
P	ROJE		IBER	219-1	1119	PROJECT LOCATION Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA				
	ATE	STARTE	D _7/3	30/19	<b>COMPLETED</b> _7/30/19	GROUND ELEVATION _360 ft TEST PIT SIZE _24 x 60 inches				
E	XCA	ATION	CONT	RACTO	OR Big D's Construction	GROUND WATER LEVELS:				
E	XCA	ATION	METH	OD _J	Iohn Deere 50G Mini Excavator	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION				
L	OGGI	ED BY _	KAH		CHECKED BY _MYM	AT END OF EXCAVATION				
N	IOTES	Appro	ox. GP	S Coo	ords.: 46°13'8.60"N, 119° 4'24.60"W	AFTER EXCAVATION				
	o UETIN (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION				
	-		GM		FILL: SILTY GRAVEL, (GM) brow	<i>i</i> n to gray, damp, appears medium dense				
			GP-	<b>F</b>		359.2359.2				
2002			<u>GM</u>		1.5 medium dense, with cobbles (AP	PARENT NATIVE)358.5				
	-			000	to coarse grained sand	TH SAND, (GP) gray, subrounded, damp, appears dense, with cobbles, fine				
2										
	-			° () °						
2										
2_	-			0.0°.						
	5				- no cobbles					
2/2	5									
			GP							
	_			$\dot{O}$						
	4			$0 \odot \odot$						
	10			b.O.C	10.0 - Groundwater not encountered a	t ime of excavation 350.0				
					- Referenced elevations are appro	Desimate and based on Google Earth topography Bottom of test pit at 10.0 feet				
5	Bottom of test pit at 10.0 feet.									
1										
2										
ò '										
0.0										
5										
2										
Į.										

GN Northern Inc. 11115 E. Montgomery, Suite C Spokane Valley, WA, 99206 Telephone: (509) 248-9798 Fax: (509) 248-4220						TEST PIT NUMBER TP-2 PAGE 1 OF 1
CLIEN	NT Mack	Kay Sp	posito, In	IC.		PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center
PROJ	ECT NUM	IBER	219-11	19		PROJECT LOCATION Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA
DATE	STARTE	D _7/	30/19		<b>COMPLETED</b> 7/30/19	GROUND ELEVATION _351 ft TEST PIT SIZE _24 x 60 inches
EXCA	VATION	CONT	RACTO	R Big	D's Construction	GROUND WATER LEVELS:
EXCA	VATION	метн	IOD Joł	nn Deei	re 50G Mini Excavator	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION
LOGG	SED BY	KAH			CHECKED BY MYM	AT END OF EXCAVATION
NOTE	S Appro	ox. GF	S Coord	ls.: 46°	12'57.60"N, 119° 4'0.18"W	▲ FTER EXCAVATION _ 8.00 ft / Elev 343.00 ft
o DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
			0.	.5	~6" CRUSHED GRAVEL	350.5
					SILTY GRAVEL, (GM) dark grayi	sh brown, damp to moist, appears dense, trace trash/debris (wood, rebar)
	1	GM				
				.7	SILTY SAND. (SM) brown, fine a	and
				3		TH SAND (GP) gray rounded damp appears medium dense to dense
5		GP	$\begin{array}{c} \overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\overset{\bullet}{\bullet$	₹ 3	Slightly cemented in upper portion	h, interbedded fine to coarse grained gravel
					- Groundwater encountered at ~8 - Referenced elevations are appro	' BGS after excavation oximate and based on Google Earth topography Bottom of test pit at 8.3 feet.

- BIG PASCO INDUSTRIAL/219-1119 LOGS.GPJ 219-1119 PORT OF PASCO -ń Ē - 8/30/19 17:28 - C:\USERS\GN NORTHERN\DROPBOX\5 GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINT STD US LAB.GDT
| ₹             | 6                     | GN<br>111<br>Spo<br>Tele<br>Fax | Northo<br>15 E.<br>okane '<br>ephono<br>:: (509 | ern Inc.<br>Montgomery, Suite C<br>Valley, WA, 99206<br>e: (509) 248-9798<br>9) 248-4220 | TEST PIT NUMBER TP-3<br>PAGE 1 OF 1  |  |  |  |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| CLIE          | NT Mack               | ay Sp                           | posito,   | , Inc.   | PROJECT NAME _ Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center   |  |  |  |
| PROJ          |                       | IBER                            | 219-  | -1119  | PROJECT LOCATION Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA  |  |  |  |
| DATE          | STARTE                | D _7/                           | 30/19   | <b>COMPLETED</b> <u>7/30/19</u>  | GROUND ELEVATION _344 ft TEST PIT SIZE _24 x 60 inches   |  |  |  |
| EXCA          |                       | CONT                            | RACT  | OR Big D's Construction  | GROUND WATER LEVELS:   |  |  |  |
| EXCA          |                       | МЕТН                            |   | John Deere 50G Mini Excavator  | <b>AT TIME OF EXCAVATION</b> _4.25 ft / Elev 339.75 ft   |  |  |  |
| LOGO          | GED BY                | KAH                             |   | CHECKED BY _MYM  | AT END OF EXCAVATION   |  |  |  |
| NOTE          | S Appro               | x. GF                           | S Coc   | ords.: 46°12'38.00"N, 119° 3'1.30"W  | AFTER EXCAVATION   |  |  |  |
| DEPTH<br>(ft) | SAMPLE TYPE<br>NUMBER | U.S.C.S.                        | GRAPHIC<br>LOG                                  |  | MATERIAL DESCRIPTION   |  |  |  |
|               |                       |                                 | ××××  | ۵.2. 🤈 ~2.5" ASPHALT/CHIP SEAL   | ∽343.8   |  |  |  |
| INDU          |                       |                                 | TTT<br>T  | <sup>40.5</sup> ┐ ~4" CRUSHED GRAVEL   |  |  |  |  |
|               |                       |                                 |   | SILT WITH SAND, (ML) olive brov  | vn, moist, appears medium dense, (APPARENT NATIVE)   |  |  |  |
| i PAX         |                       | ML                              |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <br>-         |                       |                                 |   | - 3" lense of SILTY SAND (SM)  |  |  |  |  |
|               |                       |                                 |   | 3.0  | 341.0  |  |  |  |
| 21<br>-       |                       |                                 |   | POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WIT   | TH SAND, (GP) gray, subrounded, moist to wet, appears medium dense to  |  |  |  |
|               |                       |                                 |   | dense, trace cobbles, slightly cem   | ented at top of layer  |  |  |  |
| <br>          |                       |                                 | pQ C  | Į Į  |  |  |  |  |
|               |                       |                                 | 0.  | <  |  |  |  |  |
| C IS          | -                     | GP                              | 000   | - becomes POORLY GRADED SA   | AND WITH GRAVEL (SP), fine to coarse grained   |  |  |  |
| EC            |                       |                                 | 000   | $\leq$   |  |  |  |  |
| <u>ğ</u>      | -                     |                                 | $\frac{10}{10}$                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ≦<br>≥        |                       |                                 | [0.0°.  | 7  |  |  |  |  |
| -ACI          |                       |                                 | 60  | .]7.0<br>- Groundwater encountered at ~4'  | 337.0<br>3" BGS at time of excavation  |  |  |  |
|               |                       |                                 |   | - Groundwater encountered at ~4'<br>- Referenced elevations are appro                    | 3" BGS at time of excavation<br>ximate and based on Google Earth topography<br>Bottom of test pit at 7.0 feet. |  |  |  |

¢	6	GN 111 Spo Tel Fax	North 15 E. okane ephon :: (509	ern Inc. Montgor Valley, \ e: (509) 9) 248-42	mery, Suite C WA, 99206 ) 248-9798 220	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-4 PAGE 1 OF 1
CLIEN	IT Mack	Kay Sp	oosito,	Inc.		PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center
PROJ	ECT NUM	/IBER	219-	1119		PROJECT LOCATION _ Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA
DATE	STARTE	D _7/	30/19		<b>COMPLETED</b> 7/30/19	GROUND ELEVATION _348 ft TEST PIT SIZE _24 x 60 inches
EXCA	VATION	CONT	RACT	OR Big	g D's Construction	GROUND WATER LEVELS:
EXCA	VATION	МЕТН		John De	ere 50G Mini Excavator	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION
LOGG	ED BY	KAH			CHECKED BY MYM	AT END OF EXCAVATION
NOTE	S Appro	ox. GF	S Coo	ords.: 46	6°12'46.96"N, 119° 3'38.85"W	<b>⊈ AFTER EXCAVATION</b> _8.50 ft / Elev 339.50 ft
O DEPTH	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
		GP- \ <u>GM</u> SM		0.4	FILL: POORLY GRADED GRAV FILL: SILTY SAND, (SM) brown, drain encountered at ~2.5' BGS	EL WITH SILT AND SAND, (GP-GM) gray, subrounded to subangular347.6 fine grained, damp to moist, appears medium dense, abandoned storm
5				₹3.3	SILT WITH SAND, (ML) olive bro	own, moist, appears medium dense, slightly cemented (APPARENT NATIVE)
5		SM		4.5	SILTY SAND, (SM) brown, fine g	rained, damp to moist, appears medium dense
				10.0		338.0
					<ul> <li>Groundwater encountered at ~{</li> <li>Referenced elevations are appr</li> </ul>	roximate and based on Google Earth topography Bottom of test pit at 10.0 feet.

₫	G	GN 111 Spo Tel Fax	Northe 15 E. okane ephone c: (509	ern Inc. Montgomery, Suite C Valley, WA, 99206 e: (509) 248-9798 a) 248-4220	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-5 PAGE 1 OF 1				
CLIE	NT Mack	Kay Sp	oosito,	Inc.	PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center				
PRO.	JECT NUM	<b>/</b> BER	219-	1119	PROJECT LOCATION Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA				
DATE	E STARTE	D _7/	30/19	<b>COMPLETED</b> 7/30/19	GROUND ELEVATION _357 ft TEST PIT SIZE _24 x 60 inches				
EXCA	VATION	CONT	RACT	OR Big D's Construction	GROUND WATER LEVELS:				
EXC	VATION	метн	IOD _J	John Deere 50G Mini Excavator	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION				
LOG	GED BY	KAH		CHECKED BY _MYM	AT END OF EXCAVATION				
NOTE	ES Appro	ox. GF	S Coc	ords.: 46°12'56.22"N, 119° 4'21.68"W	AFTER EXCAVATION				
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION				
STRI				۹۵۰ – <u>~3" CRUSHED GRAVEL</u>					
NDN				FILL: SILTY SAND WITH GRAVE	EL, (SM) brown, fine grained, damp, appears loose to medium dense, with				
	1	SM							
G PA		SM	XXX						
B .	-			POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WI	TH SAND. (GP) gray, rounded, damp to moist, appears medium dense to				
ASCO				dense, slightly cemented at top o	f layer				
Ч Ч – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	-		000						
RTO									
9 BC	-		0 C						
-111 			0.0°.						
G 8/216	-	GP	$\frac{10}{10}$	-					
ECT		GF	0. ()°. (						
- <u>-</u> 80	-		0.0	-					
ЧЕ Р И			0.0.0						
ACTI	_		0.0						
DX/5-				- -					
BAG	_		00						
VDR(			p.Ò. (⊂	8.5	348.5				
LL - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 8/30/19 17:28 - CAUSERS/GN NORTHERN				- Test-pit terminated at ~8.5' BGS - Groundwater not encountered a - Referenced elevations are appro	a due to caving of excavation t time of excavation oximate and based on Google Earth topography Bottom of test pit at 8.5 feet.				
GENERAL BH / TP / WE									

<b>M</b>	GN N 11115 Spoka Telep	orthern 5 E. Mor ane Vall hone: (	Inc. ntgomery, Suite C ley, WA, 99206 (509) 248-9798	TEST PIT NUMBER P-1 PAGE 1 OF 1
CLIENT <u>Mac</u>	Fax: 1 Kay Spos VBER 2	(509) 24 <u>sito, Inc</u> 219-111	48-4220 :	PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center PROJECT LOCATION Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA
DATE START	<b>ED</b> 7/30	/19	<b>COMPLETED</b> 7/30/19	GROUND ELEVATION 352 ft TEST PIT SIZE 27 x 78 inches
EXCAVATION	CONTR	ACTOR	Big D's Construction	GROUND WATER LEVELS:
EXCAVATION	METHO	<b>)</b> Johr	n Deere 50G Mini Excavator	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION
LOGGED BY	MBB		CHECKED BY KAH	AT END OF EXCAVATION
NOTES Appr	ox. GPS	Coords	.: 46°13'2.94"N, 119° 4'17.78"W	AFTER EXCAVATION
o DEPTH (ft) SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	DOG DOT		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
	GM 🖁		FILL: SILTY GRAVEL, (GM) grayish medium dense	brown, subrounded to subangular, dry to damp, appears loose to
			SILTY SAND, (SM) brown, fine grain	ed, moist, appears loose to medium dense, (APPARENT NATIVE)
	SM	20		350.0
	GP		POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH with cobbles	SAND, (GP) gray, subrounded, damp to moist, appears medium dense,
				348.0
				Bottom of test pit at 4.0 feet.















¢	6	GN Northern Inc. 11115 E. Montgo Spokane Valley, Telephone: (509) Fax: (509) 248-4	mery, WA, 9 ) 248-9 220	Suite ( 9206 9798	5	TEST PIT NUMBER P-9 PAGE 1 OF 1			
CLIEN	T MacK	ay Sposito, Inc.				PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center			
PROJI		IBER 219-1119				PROJECT LOCATION Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA			
DATE	STARTE	<b>D</b> 7/31/19		OMPL	ETED 7/31/19	GROUND ELEVATION _344 ft TEST PIT SIZE _30 x 60 inches			
EXCA			g D's (	Constru	uction	GROUND WATER LEVELS:			
EXCA		METHOD John De	ere 50	)G Min	i Excavator	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION			
LOGG	ED BY	MBB	c	HECK	ED BY KAH	AT END OF EXCAVATION			
NOTE	S Appro	x. GPS Coords.: 46	6°12'3	7.29"N	, 119° 3'4.01"W	AFTER EXCAVATION			
o DEPTH (ft)	DEPTH DEPTH (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) DEPTH TESTS C.S. C.					MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			
			GM SM		FILL: SILTY GRAVE loose to medium der SILTY SAND WITH (APPARENT NATIV 2.0 POORLY GRADED	EL WITH SAND, (GM) grayish brown, subrounded, damp, appears inse GRAVEL, (SM) brown, fine grained, moist, appears medium dense, /E) GRAVEL WITH SAND, (GP) gray, subrounded, moist, appears			
	🖑 GB	MC = 3%	GP		medium dense, fine 3.0 - becomes moist to	to coarse grained sand wet 341.0			
	Fines = 1% For the second seco								



GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINT STD US LAB. GDT - 8/30/19 17:29 - C:\USERS\GN NORTHERNDROPBOX5-ACTIVE PROJECTS\219-1119 PORT OF PASCO INDUSTRIAL\219-1119 LOGS. GR,





GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINT STD US LAB. GDT - 8/30/19 17:29 - C:\USERS\GN NORTHERNDROPBOX5-ACTIVE PROJECTS\219-1119 PORT OF PASCO INDUSTRIAL\219-1119 LOGS. GR,

₫	5	GN 111 Spo Tele Fax	Northe 15 E. N kane V ephone	rn Inc. /ontgome /alley, W/ : (509) 2 ) 248-422	ery, Suite C A, 99206 48-9798 0		TEST PIT NUMBER P-13 PAGE 1 OF 1					
CLIE	ENT Mack	av Sr	osito. I	nc.			PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center					
PRC		IBER	219-1	119			PROJECT LOCATION Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA					
DAT	DATE STARTED 8/1/19 COMPLETED 8/1/19						GROUND ELEVATION 352 ft TEST PIT SIZE 30 x 48 inches					
EXC			RACTO	<b>)R</b> Bia [	 )'s Construction		GROUND WATER LEVELS:					
FXC	AVATION	METH	OD .le	ohn Deer	e 50G Mini Exca	avator						
		MRR	00_00			V KAH						
NOT			S Cool	rds · 46°1	2'56 01"N 119	° 4'4 93"W/						
				40+0	200.0111, 110	++.00 W						
AL/219-1119 LOGS.GP, DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG				MATERIAL DESCRIPTION					
		GP- GM		F a	ILL: POORLY Oppears loose to	GRADED GRAVEL V medium dense	VITH SILT AND SAND, (GP-GM) light brown, subrounded, damp,					
- BIG PASCO II	-	SM		<u></u> S	ILTY SAND, (S	M) brown, fine graine	ed, moist, appears medium dense, (APPARENT NATIVE)					
ò		GM		<u>2.5</u> S	ILTY GRAVEL.	(GM) brown, subrou						
F PAS	_			<u>3.0                                    </u>		ED GRAVEL WITH	SAND. (GP) grav. subrounded, moist, appears medium dense, with					
1119 PORT 0	-	GP		n	nedium to coars	e grained sand						
ROPBOX(5-ACTIVE PROJECTS()				-	Groundwater no Referenced ele	ot encountered at tim vations are approxim	ie of excavation iate and based on Google Earth topography Bottom of test pit at 5.0 feet.					
ERS/GN NORTHERN/DF												
30/19 17:29 - C:\USI												
US LAB.GDT - 8												
ELL - GINI SIU												
ENERAL BH / TP / WI												
8												







₹	GN Northern Inc. 11115 E. Montgomery, Suite C Spokane Valley, WA, 99206 Telephone: (509) 248-9798 Fax: (509) 248-4220				TEST PIT NUMBER P-17 PAGE 1 OF 1				
CLIE	NT Mack	ay Sp	osito, li	nc.	PROJECT NAME Road and Storm at Big Pasco Industrial Center				
PRO		IBER	219-1	119	PROJECT LOCATION Port of Pasco, Pasco, WA				
DATE	E STARTE	<b>D</b> 8/	1/19	COMPLETED 8/1/19	GROUND ELEVATION 352 ft TEST PIT SIZE 30 x 60 inches				
EXCA		CONT	RACTO	Big D's Construction	GROUND WATER LEVELS:				
EXCA		метн	<b>OD</b> Jo	ohn Deere 50G Mini Excavator	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION				
LOG	GED BY	MBB		CHECKED BY KAH	AT END OF EXCAVATION				
NOTE	ES Appro	x. GP	S Coor	 ds.: 46°12'54.00"N. 119° 4'10.30"W	AFTER EXCAVATION				
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION				
		GM		FILL: SILTY GRAVEL WITH SAN	D, (GM) light brown, subrounded, dry to damp				
SCO - BIG PASCO IN	-	GP- GM		1.0 POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WI (APPARENT NATIVE)	POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH SILT AND SAND, (GP-GM) gray, subrounded, damp to moist, (APPARENT NATIVE)				
NERAL BH / TP / WELL - GIN I S ID US LAB.GD I - 8/30/19 1/229 - C.:UDSERSIGN NOR I HERNIUROFBUARD-AUTIVE FRUGEU וסובי וסובים									



GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINT STD US LAB. GDT - 8/30/19 17:29 - C:\USERS\GN NORTHERNDROPBOX5-ACTIVE PROJECTS\219-1119 PORT OF PASCO INDUSTRIAL\219-1119 LOGS. GR,



## **KEY CHART**

	RELATIVE DENSITY OR CONSISTENCY VERSUS SPT N-VALUE									
	COARSE-0	GRAINED SOILS	FINE-GRAINED SOILS							
DENSITY	N (BLOWS/FT)	FIELD TEST	CONSISTENCY	N (BLOWS/FT)	FIELD TEST					
Very Loose	0-4	Easily penetrated with <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> -inch reinforcing rod pushed by hand	Very Soft	0 – 2	Easily penetrated several inches by thumb					
Loose	4 - 10	Difficult to penetrate with <sup>1</sup> /2-inch reinforcing rod pushed by hand	Soft	2-4	Easily penetrated one inch by thumb					
Medium -Dense	10 - 30	Easily penetrated with <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> -inch rod driven with a 5-lb hammer	Medium-Stiff	4 – 8	Penetrated over <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> -inch by thumb with moderate effort					
Dense	30 - 50	Difficult to penetrate with ½-inch rod driven with a 5-lb hammer	Stiff	8 – 15	Indented about <sup>1</sup> /2-inch by thumb but penetrated with great effort					
Name Danas	> 50	penetrated only a few inches with 1/2-inch	Very Stiff	15 - 30	Readily indented by thumb					
very Dense	> 50	rod driven with a 5-lb hammer	Hard	> 30	Indented with difficulty by thumbnail					

	USCS SOIL CLASSIFICATION								
	MAJOR DIVIS	IONS		GROUP DESCRIPTION			2S	2" OD Split	
	Gravel and	Gravel	62	GW	Well-graded Gravel			3" OD Split	
Coarse-	Gravelly Soils	(with little or no fines)	12	GP	Poorly Graded Gravel		3S	Spoon	
Grained	fraction passes	Gravel		GM	Silty Gravel		NS	Non-Standard	
Soils	#4 sieve	(with >12% fines)		GC	Clayey Gravel			Split Spoon	
<50%	Sand and	Sand		SW	Well-graded Sand		ST	Shelby Tube	
passes #200	Sandy Soils	(with little or no fines)		SP	Poorly graded Sand		CR	Core Run	
sieve	fraction passes	Sand		SM	Silty Sand		PC	Pag Sampla	
	#4 sieve	(with >12% fines)	[]]	SC	Clayey Sand		ЪŬ		
Fine-	Silt a	and Clay		ML	Silt		TV	Torvane Reading	
Grained	Liquid	Limit < 50		CL	Lean Clay	T	рр	Penetrometer	
Solis	1			OL	Organic Silt and Clay (low plasticity)			Reading	
>50% passes #200	Silts	and Clay		MH	Inorganic Silt		NR	No Recovery	
	Liquid	Limit > 50		СН	Inorganic Clay	$\Box$			
510 40	1				Organic Clay and Silt (med. to high plasticity)		GW	Table	
	Highly Organic	Soils	Ð	РТ	Peat Top Soil	Ţ			

Mod	IFIERS				
DESCRIPTION	RANGE	DESCRIPTION	FIELD OBSERVATION		CLA
Trace	<5%	Dry	Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch		]
Little	5% - 12%	Moist	Damp but not visible water	1	Gro
Some	>12%	Wet	Visible free water	1.	010

MAJOR DIVISIONS WITH GRAIN SIZE									
	SIEVE SIZE								
1	2"	3" 3/4	4" 4	4 1	0	40	200		
			GRAIN	SIZE (INCH	ES)				
1	2	3 0.7	75 0.	19 0.0	079 0.0	171 0.	0029		
Pouldars	Cobblas	Gra	Gravel		Sand	Silt and Clay			
Bounders	Cobbles	Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Sint and Cray		

## SOIL SSIFICATION INCLUDES

- oup Name
- Group Symbol 2.
- Color 3.
- 4. Moisture content
- Density / consistency 5.
- 6. Cementation
- 7. Particle size (if applicable)
- 8. Odor (if present)
- 9. Comments

Conditions shown on boring and testpit logs represent our observations at the time and location of the fieldwork, modifications based on lab test, analysis, and geological and engineering judgment. These conditions may not exist at other times and locations, even in close proximity thereof. This information was gathered as part of our investigation, and we are not responsible for any use or interpretation of the information by others.



Appendix III

Laboratory Testing Results





C:/USERS/GN NORTHERN/DROPBOX/5-ACTIVE PROJECTS/219-1119 PORT OF PASCO - BIG PASCO INDUSTRIAL/219-1119 LOGS. GPJ 17:38 8/30/19 GDT ESSE ATE TEMPL



C:\USERS\GN NORTHERNDROPBOX\5-ACTIVE PROJECTS\219-1119 PORT OF PASCO - BIG PASCO INDUSTRIAL\219-1119 LOGS.GPJ 17:38 -8/30/19 GDT JESSE. ATE TEMPL



C:/USERS/GN NORTHER/IDROPBOX/5-ACTIVE PROJECTS/219-1119 PORT OF PASCO - BIG PASCO INDUSTRIAL/219-1119 LOGS.GPJ 17:38 -8/30/19 GDT JESSE. ATE TEMPL







2545 W Falls Avenue Kennewick, WA 99336 509.783.7450 www.nwag.com lab@nwag.com



GN NORTHERN INC 722 N. 16TH AVE #31 YAKIMA, WA 98902

Report: 48636-1-1 Date: August 8, 2019 Project No: 219-1119 Project Name:

Sample ID	Cation Exchange Capacity
P-2 @ 3.0'	4.3 meq/100g
P-5 @ TD	5.5 meq/100g
P-7 @ TD	6.4 meq/100g
P-9 @ 3.0'	4.2 meq/100g
P-11 @ 3.0'	2.7 meq/100g
P-15 @ 3.0'	5.1 meq/100g
Method	EPA 9081



Appendix IV

Site & Exploration Photographs



Drilling at borehole B-1, looking west



Split-spoon sample obtained from borehole B-1 @ 15' BGS



Drilling at borehole B-4, looking south



Split-spoon sample obtained from borehole B-4 @ 10' BGS



PLATE 1: SITE & EXPLORATION PHOTOGRAPHS



Drilling at borehole B-6, looking south/southeast



Split-spoon sample obtained from borehole B-6 @ 5' BGS



Drilling at borehole B-7/MW-2, looking east



View of auger cuttings at borehole B-7/MW-2



Drilling at borehole B-8, looking west



Split-spoon sample obtained from borehole B-8 @ 10' BGS

PLATE 2: SITE & EXPLORATION PHOTOGRAPHS



Drilling at borehole B-9, looking northeast



Split-spoon sample obtained from borehole B-9 @ 2.5' BGS



Split-spoon sample obtained from borehole B-9 @ 5' BGS



Drilling at borehole B-10, looking north



Split-spoon sample obtained from borehole B-10 @ 5' BGS



Drilling at borehole B-11, looking southeast

PLATE 3: SITE & EXPLORATION PHOTOGRAPHS



Drilling at borehole B-12/MW-3, looking south



Drilling at borehole B-13



Split-spoon sample obtained from borehole B-13 @ 10' BGS



Drilling at borehole B-14, looking west



Split-spoon sample obtained from borehole B-14 @ 5' BGS

PLATE 4: SITE & EXPLORATION PHOTOGRAPHS



Excavation of test-pit TP-1, looking west



View of exposed soil profile within test-pit TP-1



Excavation of test-pit TP-2, looking southeast



View of exposed soil profile within test-pit TP-2



PLATE 5: SITE & EXPLORATION PHOTOGRAPHS


Excavation of test-pit TP-4, looking west



View of exposed soil profile within test-pit TP-4



Excavation of test-pit TP-5, looking north



View of exposed soil profile within test-pit TP-5



Infiltration test setup at P-1



Infiltration test setup at P-2

PLATE 6: SITE & EXPLORATION PHOTOGRAPHS

**PROJECT NO. 219-1119** 



Infiltration test setup at P-3

Infiltration test setup at P-4



Infiltration test setup at P-5



Infiltration test setup at P-7



PROJECT NO. 219-1119

PLATE 7: SITE & EXPLORATION PHOTOGRAPHS



Infiltration test setup at P-16

Infiltration test setup at P-17

PLATE 8: SITE & EXPLORATION PHOTOGRAPHS

**PROJECT NO. 219-1119** 



Appendix V

Pavment Core Photographs























Appendix VI

NRCS Soil Survey



United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

# Custom Soil Resource Report for Franklin County, Washington

**Big Pasco Industrial Center** 





### Franklin County, Washington

#### 89—Quincy loamy fine sand, 0 to 15 percent slopes

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 2dtt Elevation: 350 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 6 to 12 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 54 degrees F Frost-free period: 150 to 200 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

#### **Map Unit Composition**

*Quincy and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Quincy**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces Parent material: Mixed eolian sands

#### **Typical profile**

*H1 - 0 to 4 inches:* loamy fine sand *H2 - 4 to 60 inches:* fine sand

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (6.00 to 20.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 3 percent
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 4.9 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: SANDS 6-10 PZ (R007XY502WA) Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Sagehill

Percent of map unit: 15 percent Landform: Dunes, terraces Hydric soil rating: No

#### 90—Quincy loamy fine sand, 15 to 30 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2dv0 Elevation: 350 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 6 to 12 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 54 degrees F Frost-free period: 150 to 200 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Quincy and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Quincy**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces Parent material: Mixed eolian sands

#### **Typical profile**

*H1 - 0 to 4 inches:* loamy fine sand *H2 - 4 to 60 inches:* fine sand

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 30 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (6.00 to 20.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 3 percent
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 4.9 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 6e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: SANDS 6-10 PZ (R007XY502WA) Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Sagehill

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Terraces, dunes Hydric soil rating: No Royal

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Dunes, terraces Hydric soil rating: No

#### 186—Urban land-Torripsamments complex, gently rolling

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2djv Elevation: 300 to 500 feet Mean annual precipitation: 6 to 9 inches Mean annual air temperature: 50 to 54 degrees F Frost-free period: 180 to 200 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Urban land: 65 percent Torripsamments and similar soils: 25 percent Minor components: 10 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Urban Land**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Torripsamments**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces Parent material: Mixed eolian sands

#### **Typical profile**

*H1 - 0 to 8 inches:* loamy fine sand *H2 - 8 to 31 inches:* loamy fine sand *H3 - 31 to 60 inches:* fine sand

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 10 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (6.00 to 20.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None

*Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile:* 5 percent *Available water storage in profile:* Low (about 5.2 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: SANDY 6-10 PZ (R007XY501WA) Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Royal

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces Hydric soil rating: No

#### Sagehill

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces Hydric soil rating: No

#### 220—Water

#### Map Unit Composition

Water: 100 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Water**

#### Setting

Landform: Alluvial cones

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydric soil rating: Unranked



Appendix VII

WA DOE Well Logs

HONIE

ć

	780165								
	at algo and raturn t	to the Department of Feelegy							
RESOURCE PROTECTION V	VELL REPORT	CURRENT Notice of Intent No. <u>SE46697</u>							
(SUBMIT ONE WELL REPORT PER WE	LL INSTALLED)	Type of Well ("x in hox)							
Construction/Decommission ("x" in box) Construction Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION Notice of Intent Number: Consulting Firm SHANNON & WILSON		Image: Second Solution         Image: Second Soluti							
					Unique Ecology Well IDTag No.				
					WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION: 1 constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards. Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief. Driller  Engineer  Trainee Name (Print Last, First Name) <u>ADAMS</u> , JASON Driller/Engineer /Trainee Signature Driller or Trainee License No. 3075		Location <u>NW</u> 1/4-1/4 <u>WE</u> 1/4 Sec <u>2</u> Twn <u>8</u> R <u>30</u> EWM $\square$ or WWM $\square$		
							Still REQUIRED) Long DegMinSec Tax Parcel No. <u>113010036</u>		
Cased or Uncased Diameter <u>4.5</u> " Static Level <u>72.3</u>									
	· · · ·	Work/Decommission Start Date 11-13-12							
If trainee, licensed driller's Signature and L	License Number:	Work/Decommission Completed Date 11-16-12							
Construction Design	Well D	Data Formation Description							
CASING ADVANCE. TO A DEPTH	HOLE #1								
OF 70 BGS. THEN HQ CORE TO		40-93 BROWN SAND/ GRAVEL							
A DEPTH OF 140 BGS.		103 5-140' BLUE GRAY BASALT							
		103.3-140 DECE CIVIT DAGAET							
		JAN 0 4 2013							
		EASTERN REGIONAL OFFICE							
L		AGE OF							

WATER WELL REPORT Original & 1 <sup>st</sup> copy - Ecology, 2 <sup>nd</sup> copy - owner, 3 <sup>rd</sup> copy - driller
DEFARTMENT OF         ECOLOGY         Construction         Construction         Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION         Notice of Intent Number
PROPOSED USE:       Domestic       Industrial       Municipal         DeWater       Irrigation       Test Well       OtherCathodic
TYPE OF WORK:       Owner's number of well (if more than one)         Image: New well       Image: Reconditioned       Method : Image: Dug       Image: Bored       Image: Driven         Image: Deepened       Image: Cable       Image: Rotary       Image: Jetted
DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 8 inches, drilled 300 ft. Depth of completed well300 ft.
Casing       Welded       8       " Diam. from       20       ft. to       74       ft.         Installed:       Liner installed       " Diam. from       ft. to       ft.         Threaded       " Diam. From       ft. to       ft.         Perforations:       Yes       No
Type of perforator used
SIZE of perfs in. by in. and no. of perfs from ft. to ft.
Screens: Yes IN No K-Pac Location
Type Model No.
Diam. Slot size from ft, to ft.
Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.
Gravel/Filter packed: Yes I No Size of gravel/sand Materials placed from ft. to ft.
Surface Seal: Ves No To what depth? 120 ft
Material used in seal Bentonite Grout
Type of water? Depth of strata
Method of sealing strata off
PUMP: Manufacturer's Name N/A
Туре: Н.Р.
WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level <u>N/A</u> ff. Static level ft. below top of well Date
Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date
Artesian water is controlled by (cap, valve, etc.)
WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level
Was a pump test made? Yes No If yes, by whom?
Yield:gal./min. withft, drawdown afterhrs.
vield:gai./min. withR, drawdown afterhrs.
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well too to under level)
Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level
Date of test N/A
Bailer test gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.
Airtest gal/min. with stem set at fl. for hrs.
Artesian flow g.p.m. Date
Temperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? 🔲 Yes 🔲 No

#### CURRENT

ique Ecology Well ID Tag No BJK	842		
ater Right Permit No. N/A	042		
and Aight Ferlint No. 1974	1 Cae		
Sperty Owner Name Cascade Natura	il Gas		
ell Street Address S. 4th St. & E. W	ashington	1	
ty Pasco County F	ranklin		
cation NV1/4-1/4SE11/4 Sec 32_Twi t, r Still REQUIRED)	9 R	<u>30 E</u> EV	VM 🔳 Dr
Lat/Long		W	VM 🔳
Lat Deg	Lat Min	Sec	
Long Deg	Long Mi	n/Sec	
Tax parcel No. (Required) N/A			
Formation: Describe by color, charact and the kind and nature of the materia least one entry for each change of info SHEETS IF NECESSARY.) MATERIAL	er, size of ma l in each stra ormation. (U	aterial and st tum penetrat SE ADDITI	ructure, ted, with a ONAL
Gravels and Cobbles w/Sand	Tan	0	35
Sand w/Gravels & Cobbles	Black	35	64
Sandy Siltstone	Br/Tan	64	81
Sandy Siltstone w/ some Clay	Gr/Br	81	152
Basalt - Pourous/Vesicular	PI	1.04	
	DI	152	174
Baslt - Very Hard	Bl	152	174 300
Baslt - Very Hard RECE JAN 2	BI EIVE 8 2015	152 174	174 300
Basit - Very Hard RECE JAN 2	BI EIVE 8 2016	D	174 300
Basit - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wast	BI EIVE 8 2016 of Ecc	152 174 D	
Basit - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash	BI EIVE 8 2016 of Eco ington	D Office	
Basit - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash	BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI B	D logy Office	
Baslt - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash	BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI B	D logy Office	
Baslt - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash Cathodic Protection We	BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI B	D logy Office	
Basit - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash Cathodic Protection We 20 Anodes were installed eve	BI BI BI EIVE 8 2016 of Eco ington Ington	D logy Office	
Baslt - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash Cathodic Protection We 20 Anodes were installed eve starting from bottom of hole (	BI BI EIVE 8 2016 of Eco ington	D Office	
Basit - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash Cathodic Protection We 20 Anodes were installed eve starting from bottom of hole ( Coke Breeze was placed from 1	BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI B	D logy Office	
Baslt - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash Cathodic Protection We 20 Anodes were installed eve starting from bottom of hole ( Coke Breeze was placed from 1 Bentonite Grout was placed from	BI BI EIVE d 2016 of Eco ington Ington I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	D logy Office	
Basit - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash Cathodic Protection We 20 Anodes were installed eve starting from bottom of hole ( Coke Breeze was placed from 1 Bentonite Grout was placed from	BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI B	D D D D D D D D D	
Baslt - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash Cathodic Protection We 20 Anodes were installed eve starting from bottom of hole ( Coke Breeze was placed from 1 Bentonite Grout was placed from	BI BI BI EIVE 8 2016 of Eco ington Ington Ington 20'-300' n 4'-120'	D logy Office	
Basit - Very Hard RECE JAN 2 Department Eastern Wash Cathodic Protection We 20 Anodes were installed eve starting from bottom of hole ( Coke Breeze was placed from 1 Bentonite Grout was placed from	BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI BI B	D logy Office	

WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION: 1 constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards. Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.

Driller Engineer Trainee Name Chad N. Gregory	Drilling Company Gregory Drilling Inc	
Driller/Engineer/Trainee Signature	Address 17609 NE 70th St	
Driller or trainee License No. 2369	City, State, Zip Redmond, WA 98052	
IF TRAINEE: Driller's License No:	Contractor's	
Driller's Signature:	Registration No. GREGOCN247MN Date 1/6/16	

ECY 050-1-20 (Rev 02-2010) To request ADA accommodation including materials in a format for the visually impaired, call Ecology Water Resources Program at 360-407-6872. Persons with impaired hearing may call Washington Relay Service at 711. Persons with speech disability may call TTY at 877-833-6341.



## Appendix VIII <u>City of Pasco Typical Details</u>





#### APPENDIX B

#### STORMWATER QUALITY – MEDIA CARTRIDGE FILTRATION SYSTEM STANDARD SPECIFICATION

- 1. <u>GENERAL</u>
  - 1.1. The Contractor shall furnish and install the Stormwater filtration system, complete and operable as shown and as specified herein, in accordance with the requirements of the plans and contract documents. The water quality treatment flow shall be as determined and approved by the Engineer of Record.
  - 1.2. The Stormwater filtration system shall consist of an aboveground or underground precast concrete, steel or plastic structure that houses passive, radial flow, siphon-actuated, and rechargeable media filled filtration cartridge(s). The rechargeable media-filled filter cartridges shall incorporate a protective hood over the media cartridge and a siphon-actuated surface self-cleaning mechanism to increase the effective life of the filter media and to reduce the accumulation of material on the cartridge/media interface. Each radial-flow filter cartridge shall operate at a predetermined flow rate through the use of an integrated flow control orifice located within each filter cartridge outlet manifold. The media-filled cartridges shall trap particulates (TSS) and have the ability to adsorb pollutants such as dissolved metals, nutrients and hydrocarbons. The media cartridge filtration system shall consist of no less than 0.12 cubic feet of filter media for each 1-gallon per minute of water quality treatment flow.
  - 1.3. The Stormwater filtration system shall be of a type that has been installed and in use for a minimum of five (5) consecutive years preceding the date of installation of the system. The manufacturer shall have been, during the same consecutive five (5) year period, engaged in the engineering design and production of systems deployed for the treatment of storm water runoff and which have a history of successful production, acceptable to the Engineer of Record and/or the approving Jurisdiction.
  - 1.4. Submittals:
    - 1.4.1.Manufacturer or supplier shall submit to the Contractor shop drawings for the Stormwater filtration system structure, filter cartridges and accessory equipment. Drawings shall include principal dimensions, filter placement, location of piping and unit foundation.
    - 1.4.2. Manufacturer or supplier shall submit Installation Instructions to the Contractor.
    - 1.4.3. Manufacturer or supplier shall submit an Operation and Maintenance Manual to the Contractor.
  - 1.5. Substitution: Any proposed equal alternative product substitution to this specification must be submitted for review and approved by the Engineer of Record 10 days prior to bid opening. Review package should include third party reviewed performance data for both flow rate and pollutant removal. Pollutant data should follow TAPE protocols. The system must have a GULD approval for Basic treatment through the department of Ecology.
  - 1.6. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Reference Specifications
    - 1.6.1.ASTM C857: Standard Practice for Minimum Structural Design Loading for Underground Precast Concrete Utility Structures
    - 1.6.2.ASTM C858: Standard Specification of Underground Precast Concrete Utility Structures

- 1.6.3.ASTM C478: Standard Specification for Circular Precast Reinforced Concrete Manhole Sections
- 1.6.4.ASTM C497: Standard Test Methods for Concrete Pipe, Manhole Sections or Tile
- 1.6.5.ASTM C109: Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Hydraulic Cement Mortars
- 1.6.6.ASTM A615/A615M: Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon-Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement
- 1.6.7.ASTM D698: Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Standard Effort
- 1.6.8.ASTM F628: Standard Specification for ABS Schedule 40 Plastic Drain, Waste and Vent Pipe with a Cellular Core
- 1.6.9.ASTM D1785: Standard Specification for PVC Plastic Pipe, Schedules 40, 80 & 120
- 1.6.10. ASTM D2466: Standard Specification for PVC Plastic Pipe Fittings, Schedule 40
- 1.6.11. ASTM A36: Standard Specification for Carbon Structural Steel
- 1.6.12. ASTM A48: Standard Specification for Gray Iron Castings
- 1.6.13. ASTM D4101: Standard Specification for Polypropylene Injection and Extrusion Materials
- 1.7. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Reference Specifications
  - 1.7.1.AASHTO M199: Standard Specification for Precast Reinforced Concrete Manhole Sections

#### 2. MATERIALS

- 2.1. Internal Components:
  - 2.1.1.All internal components including ABS and PVC manifold piping, filter cartridge(s), filter media (as specified on the plans in the Stormwater filtration system data block or by the Engineer of Record) shall be provided by the manufacturer. This includes sump covers, flow spreaders, energy dissipaters and outlet risers with scum baffles where appropriate.
  - 2.1.2.ABS manifold pipe shall meet ASTM F628. PVC manifold pipe shall meet ASTM D1785 and PVC fittings shall meet ASTM D2466.
  - 2.1.3.Filter cartridge bottom pan, inner ring, and hood shall be constructed from linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) or ABS. Filter cartridge screen shall consist of 1" x ½" welded wire fabric (16 gauge minimum) with a bonded PVC coating. Internal parts shall consist of ABS or PVC material. Siphon-priming float shall be constructed from high-density polyethylene (HDPE). All miscellaneous nuts, bolts, screws, and other fasteners shall be stainless steel or aluminum.

- 2.1.4.An orifice plate shall be supplied with each cartridge to restrict flow rate to a maximum of 22.5 gpm at system design head or as specified on drawings.
- 2.1.5.If a sump cover/overflow, baffle/inlet, sump/outlet, sump/inlet, tower/outlet overflow is provided, they shall be constructed of ABS and sealed to the interior vault walls and floor with a polyurethane construction sealant rated for use below the waterline, SikaFlex 1a or equal. Contractor to provide sealant material and installation unless completed prior to shipment.
- 2.1.6.Where an Underdrain Design is provided, the size of the underdrain will provide a minimum of 0.067 in<sup>2</sup> of underdrain cross sectional area per 1 gpm of design flow rate. (example: 105 gpm maximum design flow rate will require an underdrain with 7.035 in<sup>2</sup> of cross sectional area, which is equal to one 3" diameter pipe).
- 2.1.7.Filter media shall be provided by the same manufacturer as the Stormwater filtration system or an approved alternate source. Filter media shall consist of one or more of the following, as specified in the Stormwater filtration system data block, or by the Engineer:
  - 2.1.7.1. Perlite Media: Perlite media shall be made of natural siliceous volcanic rock free of any debris or foreign matter. The perlite media shall have a bulk density ranging from 6.5 to 8.5 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> and particle sizes ranging from that passing through a 0.50 inch screen and retained on a U.S. Standard #8 sieve.
  - 2.1.7.2. CSF Media: CSF media shall be made exclusively of composted fallen deciduous leaves. Filter media shall be granular. Media shall be dry at the time of installation. The CSF leaf media shall have a bulk density ranging from 40 to 50 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> and particle sizes ranging from that passing through a 0.50 inch screen to that retained on a U.S. Standard #8 sieve.
  - 2.1.7.3. Metal Rx Media: Metal Rx media shall be made exclusively of composted fallen deciduous leaves. Filter media shall be granular. Media shall be dry at the time of installation. The Metal Rx media shall have a bulk density ranging from 40 to 50 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> and particle sizes ranging from that passing through a U.S. Standard #8 sieve to that retained on a U.S. Standard #14 sieve.
  - 2.1.7.4. Zeolite Media: Zeolite media shall be made of naturally occurring clinoptilolite, which has a geological structure of potassium-calcium-sodium aluminosilicate. The zeolite media shall have a bulk density ranging from 44 to 48 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>, particle sizes ranging from that passing through a U.S. Standard #4 sieve to that retained on a U.S. Standard #6 sieve, and a cation exchange capacity ranging from 1.0 to 2.2 meq/g.
  - 2.1.7.5. Granular Activated Carbon: Granular activated carbon (GAC) shall be made of lignite coal that has been steam activated. The GAC media shall have a bulk density ranging from 28 to 31 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> and particle sizes ranging from that passing through a U.S. Standard #4 sieve to that retained on a U.S. Standard #8 sieve.
  - 2.1.7.6. Zeolite-Perlite-Granular Activated Carbon (ZPG): ZPG is a mixed media that shall be composed of a 1.3 ft<sup>3</sup> outer layer of 100% Perlite (see above) and a 1.3 ft<sup>3</sup> inner layer consisting of a mixture of 90% Zeolite (see above) and 10% Granular Activated Carbon (see above).

- 2.1.7.7. Zeolite-Perlite (Zeo/Perl): Zeo/Perl is a mixed media that shall be composed of a 1.3 ft<sup>3</sup> outer layer of 100% Perlite (see above) and a 1.3 ft<sup>3</sup> inner layer consisting of 100% Zeolite.
- 2.1.7.8. CSF Leaf Media Granular Activated Carbon (CSF/GAC): CSF/GAC is a mixed media that shall be composed of a 1.3 ft<sup>3</sup> outer layer of 100% CSF media (see above) and a 1.3 ft<sup>3</sup> inner layer consisting of 100% Granular Activated Carbon (see above).
- 2.1.7.9. Perlite Metal Rx : Perlite/Metal Rx is a mixed media that shall be composed of a 1.3 ft<sup>3</sup> outer layer of 100% Perlite (see above) and a 1.3 ft<sup>3</sup> inner layer consisting of 100% Metal Rx (see above).
- 2.1.7.10. PhosphoSorb: PhosphoSorb media shall be made from Perlite pellets with activated alumina bound to the surface. The PhosphoSorb media pellets shall be granular and have a bulk density from 18 to 25 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>. The pellet size should range from that passing through a U.S. Standard ¼ inch sieve and retained on a #8 sieve.
- 2.1.8. Overflow Assembly (Where Provided):
  - 2.1.8.1. Flow spreader shall be constructed of Linear Low-Density Polyethylene (LLDPE). Contractor to provide sealant material and installation unless completed prior to shipment.
  - 2.1.8.2. Energy dissipater shall be constructed of polyolefins. Contractor to provide sealant material and installation unless completed prior to shipment.
  - 2.1.8.3. Outlet riser with scum baffle shall be constructed of HDPE. Outlet riser shall have an outlet stub outside dimension (O.D.) of 12-inch diameter PVC, SDR 26 and a secondary outlet stub O.D. of 8-inch diameter PVC, SDR 26.
- 2.2. Steel Catch Basin & Roof Drain Components:
  - 2.2.1.Basin shall be all welded steel construction, fabricated from ASTM A36 ¼-inch steel and shall be designed to withstand AASHTO H-20 wheel loads when placed below ground in a location that could receive direct loading.
  - 2.2.2.Basin Grate: Grating shall be ductile iron construction and shall meet AASHTO H-20 loading requirements, and shall be provided according to ASTM A48.
  - 2.2.3.Basin Solid Lid (below ground system design): Solid lid shall be gray cast iron, treated with nonslip surfacing, and shall meet AASHTO H-20 loading requirements, and shall be provided according to ASTM A48.
  - 2.2.4.Basin Solid Lid (above ground system design): Solid lids shall be PVC plate with pick holes. Covers to be cut as required for top inlet roof drain pipes.
- 2.3. Precast Concrete Structure Components:
  - 2.3.1.Precast concrete vault shall be provided according to ASTM C857 and C858. Precast concrete manhole shall be provided according to ASTM C478.

- 2.3.2. Vault and manhole joint sealant shall be Conseal CS-101 or approved equal.
- 2.3.3.If interior concrete baffle walls are provided, baffle walls shall be sealed to the interior vault walls and floor with a polyurethane construction sealant rated for use below the waterline, SikaFlex1a or equal. Contractor to provide sealant material and installation unless completed prior to shipment.
- 2.3.4. Frames and covers shall be gray cast iron and shall meet AASHTO H-20 loading requirements, and shall be provided according to ASTM A48.
- 2.3.5.Doors shall have hot-dipped galvanized frame and covers. Covers shall have diamond plate finish. Each door to be equipped with a recessed lift handle. Doors shall meet H-20 loading requirements for incidental traffic, at a minimum, or per project specific traffic loading requirements.
- 2.3.6.Steps shall be constructed of copolymer polypropylene conforming to ASTM D4101. Steps shall be driven into preformed or drilled holes once concrete is cured. Steps shall meet the requirements of ASTM C478 and AASHTO M199. The ½" Grade 60 deformed reinforcing bar shall meet ASTM A615, where required.
- 2.3.7.Ladders shall be constructed of aluminum and steel reinforced copolymer polypropylene conforming to ASTM D4101. Ladder shall bolt in place. Ladder shall meet all ASTM C497 load requirements. Ladders provided upon request or where required, and shall not conflict with the operation and accessibility to perform maintenance of the Stormwater filtration system.
- 2.4. Contractor Provided Components (below ground installation):
  - 2.4.1.All contractor-provided components shall meet the requirements of this section, the plans specifications and contract documents. In the case of conflict, the more stringent specification shall apply.
  - 2.4.2.Sub-base: Crushed rock base material shall be six-inch minimum layer of ¾-inch minus rock. Compact undisturbed sub-grade materials to 95% of maximum density at +/-2% of optimum moisture content. Unsuitable material below sub-grade shall be replaced to engineer's approval.
  - 2.4.3.In-situ concrete, if required, shall have an unconfined compressive strength at 28 days of at least 3000 psi, with ¾-inch round rock, a 4-inch slump maximum, and shall be placed within 90 minutes of initial mixing.
  - 2.4.4.Silicone Sealant shall be pure RTV silicone conforming to Federal Specification Number TT S001543A or TT S00230C or Engineer approved.
  - 2.4.5.Grout shall be non-shrink grout meeting the requirements of Corps of Engineers CRD-C588. Specimens molded, cured and tested in accordance with ASTM C109 shall have minimum compressive strength of 6,200 psi. Grout shall not exhibit visible bleeding.
  - 2.4.6.For manhole systems, Contractor shall connect to 12-inch or 8-inch diameter outlet riser with Fernco flexible coupling, or approved equal.

- 2.4.7.Rebar used on applicable Catch Basin & Roof Drain systems shall meet ASTM A615M Grade 420 (60 ksi) or as otherwise specified in the general technical specifications.
- 2.4.8.Backfill material shall be ¾-inch minus crushed rock, or approved equal.

#### 3. <u>PERFORMANCE</u>

- 3.1. Cartridge Operation: Each Stormwater filtration system shall contain one or more siphon actuated media filter cartridges that maintain a uniform pressure profile across the face of the filter during operation. At the design flow rate the maximum filter hydraulic loading rate is not to exceed 2.1 gallons per minute per square foot of filter surface area. Stormwater shall enter the filter cartridges through sides and shall flow through the filter media radially from the outer perimeter to the inner cartridge lumen and shall have an average contact time no less than 38 seconds. These media filter cartridges will incorporate a self-cleaning mechanism to remove accumulated material from the cartridge media surface that is activated when the siphon breaks.
- 3.2. Documentation of Sediment Removal: The Filtration system shall have the State of Washington Department of Ecology, General Use Level Designation (GULD) Certification and current approval status from the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP).
- 3.3. Cartridge Sediment Loading: Filter cartridges shall be of a design that has demonstrated a minimum sediment retention capacity of 22 pounds of silty loam per cartridge in laboratory tests without a reduction in hydraulic capacity. Laboratory data shall be corroborated with field observations/data demonstrating equivalent or improved longevity without impacting normal hydraulic performance of the Stormwater filtration system. All laboratory and field tests submitted in support of this specification must have undergone peer review by outside entity other than the manufacturer of the Stormwater filtration system.
- 3.4. Overflow:
  - 3.4.1.Vault Configuration: Stormwater filtration system shall have a baffled, non-siphoning internal overflow with a minimum capacity of 1.8 cfs.
  - 3.4.2.Manhole Configuration: The filter system will have a baffled, non-siphoning internal overflow with a minimum of 1.0 cfs capacity.
  - 3.4.3.Peak Diversion Configuration: Each Stormwater filtration system shall include an internal, offline overflow bypass. Water first enters an inlet bay that is separate from the cartridge bay and separate from the outlet bay. Low flows travel from the inlet bay, through a transfer opening and into the cartridge bay. High flows enter the outlet bay by topping a weir separating the inlet and outlet bay. Flow rates beyond the treatment design flow shall bypass, and not enter the cartridge bay.
  - 3.4.4.Catch Basin Configuration: Each Stormwater filtration system shall include an internal, offline overflow bypass. Water enters through the grate into the inlet bay that is separate from the cartridge bay and separate from the outlet bay. Low flows travel from the inlet bay, through a transfer opening and into the cartridge bay. High flows enter the outlet bay by topping the baffled weir separating the inlet and outlet bay. Flow rates beyond the design flow (overflow)

will not enter the cartridge bay. Minimum of 0.5 cfs overflow capacity.

- 3.4.5.Roof Drain Configuration: Minimum of 1 cfs overflow capacity.
- 3.4.6.Infiltration Manhole Configuration: The filter system will have a baffled, non-siphoning internal overflow with a minimum of 1.0 cfs capacity.
- 3.5. Linear Grate Configuration Vault Access: All portions of the vault, inlet bay, outlet bay and filtration bay shall be directly accessible from the surface through removable grated openings or solid covers.

#### 4. EXECUTION

- 4.1. Precast Concrete Structure:
  - 4.1.1.Set precast structure on crushed rock base material that has been placed in maximum 6-inch lifts, loose thickness, and compacted to at least 95-percent of the maximum dry density as determined by the standard Proctor compaction test, ASTM D698, at moisture content of +/-2% of optimum water content.
  - 4.1.2.Structure floor shall slope 1/4 inch maximum across the width and slope downstream 1 inch per 12 foot of length. For manholes "Length" is defined by a line running from the invert of the outlet through the center of the manhole and "width" is the perpendicular to the "length". Structure top finish grade shall be even with surrounding finish grade surface unless otherwise noted on plans.
  - 4.1.3.Inlet and outlet pipes shall be stubbed in and connected to precast concrete structure according to Engineer's requirements and specifications. All connections to be water tight. If grout is used, Contractor to grout all inlet and outlet pipes flush with or protruding up to 2 inches into interior of structure.
  - 4.1.4. When required, ballast shall be placed to the dimensions specified by the engineer and noted on the data block. Ballast shall not encase the inlet and/or outlet piping. Provide 12" clearance from outside diameter of pipes.

#### 4.2. Steel Catch Basin:

- 4.2.1.Catch basin floor shall slope 1/4 inch maximum across the width and slope downstream 1 inch per 12 foot of length. Catch basin top finish grade shall be even with surrounding finish grade surface unless otherwise noted on plans.
- 4.2.2.Contractor shall prevent sediment and debris from entering the filter unit during construction.
- 4.2.3.If necessary, the inlet chamber may be filled with clean water to assist in preventing flotation during construction until the structure is backfilled and the concrete collar is poured.
- 4.2.4.Catch basin outlet shall be connected to downstream (and upstream, if applicable) piping using a flexible-type coupling.
- 4.2.5.Concrete perimeter slab shall be constructed 1 foot wide and 6 inches thick. Slab shall include

two #4 rebar hoops with minimum 6-inch overlap at closure. Allow 2-inch vertical spacing between hoops and minimum 2-inch clearance from concrete surfaces, or as directed by the engineer.

- 4.3. Clean Up:
  - 4.3.1.Remove all excess materials, rocks, roots, or foreign material, leaving the site in a clean, complete condition approved by the engineer. The project site shall be clean and free of dirt and debris and the inlet/outlet chamber(s) and filter chamber(s) shall be free of construction debris and sediment before the allowing runoff to enter and place the system in operation. All filter components shall be free of any foreign materials including concrete and excess sealant.
  - 4.3.2. Where applicable, Contractor shall remove the temporary filter fabric around the inlet grate to place the system in operation.
  - 4.3.3.Where required, the 4-inch cleanout plug in the overflow weir wall shall remain in place for proper operation of the system.
- 4.4. Filter Cartridges:
  - 4.4.1.Filter cartridges shall be delivered installed in the structure, unless otherwise agreed upon with the manufacturer. Contractor shall take appropriate action to protect the cartridges from sediment and other debris during construction. The method ultimately selected shall be at Contractor's discretion and Contractor's risk. Some methods for protecting the cartridges include, but are not limited to:
    - 4.4.1.1. Remove cartridges from the structure and store appropriately. Cartridges shall be reinstalled to operate according to 4.4.2 (see below).
    - 4.4.1.2. If structure is equipped with underdrain bypass piping, Contractor may leave cartridges in the vault and allow stormwater entering collection system to bypass filter bay through underdrain bypass piping.
    - 4.4.1.3. Leave cartridges in the structure and plug inlet and outlet pipe to prevent stormwater from entering the vault, and provide means for stormwater to bypass the Stormwater filtration system.
  - 4.4.2.Filter cartridges shall not be placed in operation until the structure is clean and the project site is clean and stabilized (construction erosion control measures no longer required). The project site includes any surface that contributes storm drainage to the Stormwater filtration system. All impermeable surfaces shall be clean and free of dirt and debris. All catch basins, manholes and pipes shall be free of dirt and sediments. Contact the manufacturer to assist with system activation and/or inspect the system for proper installation once site is clean and stabilized.
- 4.5. Contractor to install filter cartridges. Specifications for alternate cartridge installation methods available by contacting the manufacturer directly.
  - 4.5.1.*Filter Cartridges with ¼-Turn Connector Fittings:* Tape shall be cleanly and completely removed from manifold fitting openings. ¼-turn connects shall be glued and inserted into all manifold fittings to be equipped with a filter cartridge. Filter cartridges shall be turned onto the connector until they reach the hard stop on the connector approximately ¼ revolution, with care to not

"over turn" the cartridge, or turn with such force to damage the hard stop mechanism. Plugs shall be inserted without glue in all manifold fittings not equipped with a filter cartridge.

#### 5. INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE

- 5.1. Maintenance and Inspection shall be in performed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations for maintenance and inspection.
- 5.2. Maintenance and inspection intervals shall be per the manufacturer's recommendations, or per the approving/local jurisdiction/agency requirements; whichever is more frequent.
- 5.3. Surface access for personnel and equipment for inspection and maintenance activities shall be provided.

#### END OF SECTION