

ADaPT Engineering, Inc 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 Seattle, Washington 98134 Tel (206) 654-7045 Fax (206) 654-7048

NW 0420

March 1, 2000 ADaPT Job No. S-WA99-2641

Walker Subaru 720 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98055

Attention: Mr. Dale Walker

Subject:

Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) Report Walker Subaru Used Car Lot 250 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington

Sound Dubary Walker Subary

Dear Mr. Walker:

ADaPT Engineering, Inc. (ADaPT), is pleased to provide you with the following results of our Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) Report for the above referenced parcel. This report is provided for the information of Walker Subaru and their agents. If this report is to be reproduced and/or transmitted to a third party, it must be reproduced and/or transmitted in its entirety. Any exceptions will be made only with the written permission of ADaPT.

ADaPT appreciates the opportunity to work with you on this project. If you have any questions, or if we can be of further assistance to you, please contact us at (206) 654-7045.

Respectfully Submitted,

ADaPT Engineering, Inc.

Charles C. Cacek Senior Project Manager

Daryl S. Petrarca, R.E.A. Vice President of Environmental Services Senior Reviewer

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY NWRO/TCP TANKS UNIT	
INTERIM CLEANUP REPORT SITE CHARACTERIZATION FINAL CLEANUP REPORT OTHER	
AFFECTED MEDIA: SOIL OTHER GW INSPECTOR (INIT.) 99 DATE 4-7-00	

ADaPT Engineering, Inc

## VOLUNTARY CLEANUP PROGRAM REPORT WALKER SUBARU USED CAR LOT 250 RAINIER AVENUE SOUTH RENTON, WASHINGTON

| |

**Prepared For** 

WALKER SUBARU 720 RAINIER AVENUE SOUTH RENTON, WASHINGTON

February 28, 2000

## ADaPT Engineering, Inc

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

}

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0 INTRODUCTION	3
3.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND/SITE DESCRIPTION.	3
3.1 Site Location	3
3.2 Facility Description	3
Plate 1: Former USTs	
3.3 Historical UST System Owner/Operators	4
3.4 Vicinity Property Usage	
3.4.1 Former Service Station/Safeway Property	
3.4.2 Union 76 Station.	
3.5 Vicinity Utilities & other Subsurface Features	6
Plate 2: Vicinity Utilities	6
3.6 Regional Geography & Climate	7
3.7 Regional & Site Geology	
3.8 Vicinity Environmentally Sensitive Areas	7
3.8.1 Ecologically Sensitive Areas	8
3.8.2 Regional Hydrogeology, Vicinity Water Wells, & Aquifer Classification	8
3.8.3 Local Hydrogeologic Conditions	
4.0 UST DECOMMISSIONING.	
4.1 Regulatory Status	9
5.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION	
5.1 1996 Phase I Environmental Audit	9
5.2 1993 Phase 2 Environmental Study	. 10
5.3 1998 Additional Phase II ESA	. 11
5.4 1999 Supplementary Phase II Assessment	. 11
5.4.1 Purpose and Scope	. 12
5.4.2 Rationale of Sampling Methods	. 13
5.4.3 Geophysical Investigation Summary	13
5.4.4 Subsurface Soil & Groundwater Investigation Summary	14
5.5 Rationale Interim TPH Calculations	. 15
5.6 Soil Remediation-Pump Island	15
5.7 Conclusions	. 17
6.0 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATIONS	. 17
6.1 GTI 1996 Assessment	18
6.2 Flour Daniel GTI Assessment	18
6.3 ADaPT 1999 Assessment	. 19
6.3.1 Geoprobe Assessment	. 19
6.3.2 ORC Treatment	
6.3.3 Groundwater Gaging and Sampling	20
6.4 Site Hydrogeological Conditions	
6.5 Summary of Groundwater Conditions	
7.0 Other Required Information	21
7.1 Regulatory/Records/Permits	21
7.2 Chemical Storage, Management, & Handling Practices	21
7.3 Closure	22
REFERENCES	23

#### APPENDICES

#### **APPENDIX A - Voluntary Cleanup Program Site Summary**

#### **APPENDIX B - Figures**

- Figure 1 Location/Topographic Map
- Figure 2 Site Vicinity Map
- Figure 3 Site & Exploration Plan
- Figure 4 Soil Excavation Plan
- Figure 5 Geologic Cross Section A A'

Figure 6 - Groundwater Contour Map for September 10, 1999

Figure 7 – Groundwater Contour Map for December 14, 1999

#### **APPENDIX C – Tables**

Table 1 - Summary of Analytical Test Results - Soil; Geoprobe Explorations and Test Borings

Table 2 - VPH analysis – Sample GP-10/3'-4'

Table 3 - EPH analysis – Sample GP-3/13'-15'

Table 4 - Summary of Analytical Test Results - Soil; Remedial Excavation

- Table 5 Summary of Analytical Test Results Groundwater; Geoprobe Explorations
- Table 6 Summary of Analytical Test Results Groundwater; Groundwater Monitoring Wells
- Table 7 Summary of Fluid Levels

**APPENDIX D – ADaPT Field Protocols** 

**APPENDIX E – ADaPT Geoprobe Exploration Logs** 

**APPENDIX F – Analytical Test Certificates and Chromatograms** 

**APPENDIX G – Geo-Recon Report** 

**APPENDIX H – TPS Technologies, Inc. Soil Treatment Receipt** 

#### 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes activities conducted by consultants working on behalf of the current owners of the Walker Subaru Used Car lot located at 250 Rainier Avenue South in Renton, Washington. This report provides pertinent information as appropriate in accordance with the Working Draft March, 9, 1994 Guidance on Preparing Independent Remedial Action Reports.

The site was first listed Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) as **LUSTINO**, 4422836 on April 8, 1998 based on site work conducted on behalf of the owner, Walker Subaru. To summarize site conditions, residual soil and groundwater contamination resulting from on-site and possibly-offsite sources have been identified in three areas of the subject parcel:

2 problem proces

- The pump islands;
- The 10,000 gallon gasoline USTs, and;
- The southeast portion of the site.

The following bulleted items discuss the results of past and current site work with relation to soil and groundwater conditions and remedial activities:

- Soil samples collected from boring/well MW-3, advanced by others (Flour Daniel GTI), on the southeastern portion of the site, exhibited elevated concentrations of diesel and motor oil-range total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) concentrations in excess of the Ecology Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup level. The impacted soils occurred at a depth of approximately 13\_feet\_to-15-feet-below-ground-surface (bgs). Two Geoprobe explorations completed by ADaPT revealed similarly impacted soil in the general area of this boring/well. A sample collected from this horizon by ADaPT was analyzed for Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) for risk-based assessment under the MTCA Interim TPH Method B calculations. Based upon the risk-based calculations, the impacted soils\_at\_this\_location-were deemed-acceptable for unlimited site use?
- Soils samples collected from shallow depths in the former pump island area by others (GTI, 1996) and ADaPT confirmed the presence of gasoline-impacted soils. A sample collected from the impacted zone was analyzed for Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons (VPH) for risk-based calculations under Ecology MTCA Method B guidelines. Based upon the risk-based calculations, the sample was in\_excess-of-one-part-million for the soil-to-groundwater pathway, and was therefore not in compliance with the Method B standard. A total of 100.47 tons of near surface, gasoline-impacted soils were excavated from the former pump island area and transported off-site for thermal treatment. A residual-amount of impacted soils near the northwest-corner of the soin opinion, approximately 10 tons of impacted soils remain at this location. One confirmatory soil sample collected on the southwest portion of the service island exhibited 'a-total-lead concentration that was in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level. In our opinion, the source of the lead the fill material. No other samples collected from the site exhibited total lead concentrations in excess of the cleanup criteria.

- Past groundwater sampling results indicated two general areas of the site that have been impacted by hydrocarbons; heavy-end-hydrocarbons-on-the-eastern-portion of the site, and gasoline-range hydrocarbons=in-the-vicinity\_of\_the-gasoline-USTs. Two months prior to the most recent groundwater sampling event, three of the wells (MW-1,-MW-3,-and-MW-4)-were-treated-with  $x_{oxygen}$  release compound (ORC<sup>R</sup>) to increase the rate of biodegradation in the area of the wells. The most recent groundwater sampling at the site-has-confirmed that groundwater samples collected from wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-4, are in compliance with Method A cleanup goals for petroleum constituents. The sample collected from well MW-3 exhibited slightly elevated concentrations of diesel-range hydrocarbons, and these concentrations have significantly reduced since the previous sampling event. No information obtained for this study indicated an on-site source for this contamination, and based upon the assessed groundwater migration direction, the contamination may be the result of an off-site source to the east or northeast.
- Assessment work is currently being performed by others (Environmental Partners, Inc.) on the southeastern portion of the property. Well-MW-1-on-this-parcel-has-exhibited elevated TPH and BTEX concentrations that were in excess-of-MTCA-Method-A cleanup levels. Recently, two additional wells have been installed on this portion of the parcel. Test results from these borings and wells were not made available for our review. Future monitoring of these wells may yield valuable information concerning soil and groundwater conditions on this portion of the subject site.
- No domestic or municipal water wells or other sensitive receptors are located closer than 0.75 miles from the subject site. Based on the characterized nature of hydrocarbon presence at the site, Direct Contact 2. Contact 2. no potential risk exists to these sensitive areas.

#### **Conclusions:**

analysis of the soils encountered at depth on the southeastern portion of the site, we request No ( Further Action status for soils these portions of the site. Based upon the non-detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH or BTEX compounds for

Based upon the minor residual sojl contamination in the pump island area, and the results of EPH

wells MW-1 and MW-2, and the slightly elevated heavy-end TPH concentrations for well MW-3, we request No Further Action status for theses portions of the subject site.

The proceeding is intended for introductory and reference uses only. The complete reading of this report is recommended.

#### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

The following report presents the results of a Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) report conducted at the Walker Subaru Used Car lot located at 250 Rainier Avenue South in Renton, Washington (LUST No. 442836). This VCP report was carried out to assess the nature of petroleum hydrocarbons in soils and groundwater first reported on April 8, 1998, and to address the restoration of subsurface soils and groundwater at the site in a manner that adequately protected the public health, safety, welfare, and the environment. The scope of this VCP was designed to meet applicable regulations outlined in Washington Administrative Code (WAC) Chapter 173-340, the Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation (MTCA).

This VCP report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Walker Subaru or their agents for specific application to this project in accordance with generally accepted geologic and environmental engineering practices.

#### 3.0 **PROJECT BACKGROUND/SITE DESCRIPTION**

#### 3.1 Site Location

The subject parcel is located at 250 Rainier Avenue South in Renton, Washington (Township 23 North, Range 5 East, NE¼ of SW¼ of Section 18). The approximately 35,800 square-foot, irregularly-shaped parcel is bounded on the west by Rainier Avenue South, beyond which resides a newly completed Walgreen's retail store, to the north by an abandoned alleyway, beyond which resides Wendy's Restaurant, to the east by a newly constructed asphalt paved parking lot and Safeway grocery store, and to the south by South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, beyond which lies a Union 76 Service Station/convenience store with petroleum USTs. A vicinity map depicting the general location of the site appears on Figure 2, Appendix B. The approximate site boundaries, structures, former UST system layout, and on-site soil exploration and monitoring well locations appear on the Site & Exploration Plan, Figure 3, Appendix B.

#### 3.2 Facility Description

The current site configuration includes a single-story sales and service building for the existing used car sales lot, along with asphalt paved driveway and parking areas. The entire asphalt-paved lot is utilized for vehicle parking and display. Also, two deep (11 to 12 feet bgs) sewer line easements cross the southern portion of the parcel in a general ENE to SSW direction. Concrete-paved drive slabs are present on the east and north sides of the site building, and minor landscaped areas on the eastern, western, and southern peripheries on the property as shown on, Site & Exploration Plan, Figure 3, Appendix B. The former UST fuel storage area and two service islands are located on the south of the building.

The single-story, metal-sided site building that was originally constructed in 1966 as a car wash. Prior to our recent site work, the concrete slab of the service island also remained on-site. This area is now asphalt paved. The three 10,000 gallon capacity gasoline USTs were installed on the property in 1971, and were apparently utilized between approximately 1971 and 1983. Former site work by others (ERM, Environmental Associates, Inc.) indicated that the gasoline USTs were removed in 1983 or there abouts,

though no records or permits were available at Ecology or the City of Renton Fire Department concerning this issue.

The estimated capacities of the USTs removed in 1983, their former contents, are summarized below.

Plate 1: Former USTs					
Tank No.	Tank Capacity (gallons)	Tank Material	Former Contents	Date Closed/ Method	
1	10,000	Unknown	Gasoline	1983 Removed	
2	10,000	Unknown	Gasoline	1983 Removed	
3	10,000	Unknown	Gasoline	1983 Removed	

#### 3.3 Historical UST System Owner/Operators

Based upon information obtained for a Phase I ESA completed on the site by others (EAI, 1996), the parcel was operated as a Texaco Service Station and carwash between 1971 and approximately 1982 or 1983. The portion of the property located approximately to the north of the service islands and including the former gasoline UST area is currently and has been owned by Walker Subaru. The area to the south and southeast, including the pump islands and areas south of the former USTs is the former Lake Street Right-of-Way, which has historically been the property of the City of Renton. It is our understanding that the City of Renton is granting this easement to Walker Subaru. The triangular-shaped parcel on the southeast portion of the property that includes the current espresso kiosk and driveway was previously owned by Safeway Corporation, and was recently sold to Walker Subaru. The kiosk was formerly operated as the Photo Chalet.

The Phase I ESA also indicated the presence of a small service station on the southwest portion of the parcel between approximately 1918 and 1953. This service station reportedly supported three 550-gallon gasoline USTs. No other information was available concerning this facility on the premises, or whether the USTs had been closed or removed.

Questions concerning the environmental status of the property should be referred to:

Walker Subaru 720 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98055

#### 3.4 Vicinity Property Usage

The site is located in a small commercial usage area, including: A Wendy's fast food restaurant adjacent to the north of the site; Rainier Avenue South to the west, beyond which resides a new Walgreens drug store; an asphalt-paved parking lot and a new Safeway grocery store adjacent to the east. The area just east of the southern portion of the site formerly supported a service station and eight (8) groundwater monitoring well monuments were observed, three of which are located on the southeast corner of the subject parcel. The property is bordered to the south by 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue South, beyond which lies a Union 76 mini-service and convenience store with a service island and USTs. Adjacent properties are depicted on the Site Vicinity Map, Figure 4, Appendix B.

#### 3.4.1 Former Service Station/Safeway Property

The adjacent property to the east of the subject parcel formerly supported a gasoline service station. This site is listed as a LUST facility (Ecology Release No. 422679, reported 5/29/97). Subsurface environmental assessments completed by others (Environmental Partners, Inc.) have indicated that a release of petroleum hydrocarbons had occurred on the parcel. An August 22, 1996 report by EPI indicated that three soil borings/monitoring wells were completed as wells on this parcel. A soil sample collected from the 8-foot depth from boring MW-1 (shown as "MW-A" on Figure 3), exhibited a gasoline-range TPH concentration of 7,100 parts per million (ppm). Groundwater samples collected from wells MW-1 and MW-2 (located to the east of MW-1) exhibited gasoline-range TPH concentrations of 9,800 and 15,000 parts per billion, and MW-3 (located to the north of the other wells) exhibited a motor oil-range TPH concentration of 8,000 ppb. Groundwater depths at the site ranged from approximately 8 to 11 feet bgs, with an inferred groundwater migration direction to the southwest, approximately cross gradient to upgradient from the subject site, as depicted in their report. Results of a subsequent Geoprobe subsurface environmental assessment by EPI indicated that the gasoline-related groundwater contamination plume generally located between MW-1 and MW-2 and the espresso stand. А groundwater sample collected from Geoprobe exploration GW-3, located downgradient from the espresso stand did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline through motor oil-range TPH and BTEX. In our opinion, it is possible that contaminants from well MW-1 location may have migrated onto the subject parcel. It is also possible that oil-range hydrocarbons detected in MW-3 location on the Safeway parcel have migrated onto the subject site. A recent site visit revealed that the original wells-MW-2-and-MW-3 had been removed, and an additional seven well had been installed on this property, including twos adjacent to the espresso kiosk-Results of this work were not made available at the time of this writing.

#### 3.4.2 Union 76 Station

The site is bordered to the south by 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue South, beyond which lies a Union 76 mini-service and convenience store. This facility supports two service islands, two 10,000 to 20,000 gallon unleaded gasoline USTs and one 10,000 to 20,000 gallon diesel UST. According to the Ecology UST report for 11/1/99, the current USTs were installed in 1998. During a site visit, we did not observe any groundwater monitoring well monuments on this site. In addition, no information we were able to obtain indicated that a release had occurred on the parcel. Also, the UST field is located on the south side of the building,

i

1

approximately 150 feet south of the subject site. Based upon the age of the system and the separation distance of the UST field, it does not appear likely that this site has impacted the subject parcel.

#### 3.5 Vicinity Utilities & Other Subsurface Features

Based on a site reconnaissance conducted by ADaPT in 1999, as well as plans obtained from the City of Renton Public Works Department, underground utilities at the site include sanitary sewer, a storm sewer, natural gas, electrical power, and water. Overhead power and telephone lines were observed on the southwest corner of the property, along Rainier Avenue. Sanitary and storm sewer lines are located on the southern portion of the parcel, as depicted on Figure 3, Appendix B. Shallow power lines serve the sign on the south-central portion of the site, and a shallow water line enters the property from the west.

Plate 2: Vicinity Utilities						
Utility	Location	Distance from USTs				
Sanitary Sewer Main	SW to SE side of parcel. Site lateral connection on south side of building	Along South side of former USTs.				
Storm Sewer Main	SW to SE side of parcel, with distribution lines to main manhole.	Along South side of former USTs.				
Water Service Main	West side of property, extending to the southwest corner of the building.	30 feet south of western UST				
Natural Gas Service	East side of building	40 feet south of western UST				
Electrical Service	Overhead; underground from building to sign.	East side of former USTs; adjacent to service island.				
Telephone Service	Overhead					

Both the storm water and sanitary sewer lines extend roughly along the south side of the former gasoline UST tank locations. A representative from the City of Renton Public Works Department could not discern the age of the respective sewer lines, suggesting that they dated to at least the 1940s. He also did not know the depth of the utilities, though he suggested that they may be on the order of 12 feet bgs. While onsite, we measured the storm water manhole/catch basin on the southeastern portion of the site, which was approximately 12 feet bgs. The flow observed in the base of the manhole was from the ENE to the SSW. The storm water and sanitary sewer easements parallel one another across the site, and were likely installed at the same time. Both lines appear to follow the old Black River Channel across the site and beyond to the northeast, as it appears on a City of Renton Planning department maps from 1947 and 1967, and the USGS topographic Map "Renton Area" dated 1950. The Black River formerly drained Lake Washington prior to the time of the Montlake Cut in 1917-18, flowing from northeast to southwest across the current site. After the cut was complete, the level of Lake Washington dropped approximately 10 feet, and the Black River was abandoned. The Cedar River, which formerly connected to the Duwamish River, was redirected into Lake Washington. Based upon the location of the USTs relative to the sewer alignments and the reported abandoned river channel, there is a risk that contaminants could exploit this possible pathway.

#### 3.6 Regional Geography & Climate

The subject site is relatively flat with a total relief of approximately three feet. The site elevations range from approximately 29.5 feet to 32.5 feet MSL based upon a preliminary survey map for the City of Renton planning department. Physiographically, the parcel is located in a lowland amidst glacial drumlinoid topography. Near surface bedrock is exposed in the vicinity, and past active underground coal mines are located with 0.75 mile to the west of the site.

Climatological data indicates that the annual precipitation for this site is approximately 37 inches per year, with the majority of precipitation occurring between November and March. Because the site is completely covered or paved, as are the bulk of the adjacent and nearby properties, actual moisture available for infiltration and percolation should be significantly less than precipitation. Based on Ecology formulas provided in the "Soil Matrix" portion of the UST Cleanup guidelines (total area of the site/paved area of the site, or 50% (whichever is smaller) x precipitation), actual precipitation which could be available for percolation would be less than 18 inches.

#### 3.7 Regional & Site Geology

Based on subsurface investigations conducted at the site in 1996 (Groundwater Technology), 1998 (Flour-Daniel GTI), and 1999 (ADaPT), site soils consist of generally consisted of variable silty gravelly sand with deleterious material (asphalt, concrete, wood debris, etc.) interpreted to be fill that extended to depths of approximately 3 feet to 15 feet bgs. The fill soils were underlain by moist to saturated, gray to black, silty fine sand with variable gravel and silt-rich interbeds. While the area is mapped as "modified land" by local geologic maps, the native soils encountered at depth in several site explorations were likely alluvial in nature, given the former Black River Channel location.

Detailed descriptions of the soils encountered in ADaPT's site explorations have been included on the provided Geoprobe exploration logs in Appendix E. A detailed cross section showing the lithology is presented in Figure 5, "Cross Section A-A', Appendix B.

#### 3.8 Vicinity Environmentally Sensitive Areas

As defined by the RCW 90.76.040, "a city, town, or county may apply to the Department of Ecology to have an area within its jurisdictional boundaries designated an environmentally sensitive area. A city, town or county may adopt proposed ordinances or resolutions establishing requirements for underground storage tanks located within an environmentally sensitive area which are more stringent than the state wide standards established under RCW 90.76.020." Identification of pertinent vicinity ecologically sensitive areas were made by examination maps provided by the City of Renton Planning Department, and field verification of location of each identified sensitive area with respect to the subject site.

#### 3.8.1 Ecologically Sensitive Areas

The subject site is located within a commercially zoned area of Renton, and is approximately 0.6 miles to the southwest of the Green-River and approximately-1.4-miles-south of Lake Washington. An area designated as a wetland (The Black River Riparian Forest) is located approximately 0.9 miles east-southeast of the subject site. As pointed out in Section 3.6, topography in the site vicinity slopes gently west. Based on the distance of these sensitive features from the subject site, and the known characteristics of the petroleum hydrocarbons in the soils and groundwater at the site, conditions at the site could not conceivably pose a threat to these sensitive areas.

#### 3.8.2 Regional Hydrogeology, Vicinity Water Wells, & Aquifer Classification

The site is on public water supplied by the City of Renton Water Department, which obtains its potable water supplies from deep wells, the nearest of which are located near the intersection of Houser Way North and Mill Avenue South, which is approximately 0.75 miles east of the subject parcel. These six wells, along with other wells located to the north and east access the City of Renton's water supply, which is designated as a sole source aquifer. According to representatives from the city of Renton, the primary and secondary aquifers obtain water supplies from depths greater than 250 feet bgs. According to a map obtained from the City of Renton planning Department, the site is located approximately 2200 feet to the west of the western limit of the APA-Zone 1 Aquifer Protection Area.

In December 1999, a search of recorded well logs was made by ADaPT at Ecology's Northwest Regional office for vicinity well logs. In addition, we obtained a water well location map from the City of Renton's Planning Department. Water well logs are catalogued in Ecology's archives by range, township, & section. Based on the reviewed records, five domestic well were identified within a one-mile, fradius of the subject parcel. However, the above-mentioned nearest beneficial use wells were approximately 0.75 miles east to southeast of the subject beneficial use wells as well as demonstrated near surface groundwater migration direction (see Section 6.0 of this report), it appears unlikely that contaminants from the subject site has impacted water quality in any of the above-mentioned beneficial use wells.

#### 3.8.3 Local Hydrogeologic Conditions

Hydrological studies completed on the subject site indicate that groundwater-depths have ranged from approximately 28½-feet-to-12½-feet below ground surface. Seasonally, water-levels-have-varied as:much as 3.35 feet in individual-wells. Previous assessments have reported groundwater migration direction varying from southwest to west. Hydraulic gradient across the area is generally quite flat, ranging from approximately 0.0007 ft/ft to 0.0009 ft/ft, based upon measurements taken from the respective contour

maps (Figures 6 and 7, Appendix B). No information we were able to obtain indicated aquifer parameters, such as transmissivity, storativity. Groundwater conditions are further discussed in Section 6.0 of this report.

#### 4.0 UST DECOMMISSIONING

Based upon information obtained from previous site reports, the three 10,000-gallon gasoline USTs were removed at some unknown time in 1983 from the southern portion of the subject site. The location of the former USTs is depicted on Figure 3. No information we were able to obtain from Ecology or City of Renton Fire Marshall's office indicated any permits associated with tank decommissioning.

#### 4.1 Regulatory Status

Ecology lists the site as LUST Site No. 442836, Release ID No. 442838, first listed in April 8, 1998, while operating as Sound Subaru. Ecology's LUST database indicates the site status as "monitoring". The Ecology LUST database indicates the site as having both soil and ground water as media affected by petroleum hydrocarbons. During the 1998 Phase II Environmental Study conducted by Flour Daniel GTI, soils and groundwater identified as containing petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations above MTCA Method A cleanup levels were identified and reported to Ecology. Monitoring of groundwater quality was initially carried out during the 1996 activities, as well as in April 1998 and December 1999. Groundwater monitoring results are summarized in Section 6.0 of this report.

#### 5.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION

Three previous investigations have been conducted at the site. These investigations (listed in the *References* attached to this report) were reviewed to prepare this VCP report and are summarized briefly below.

#### 5.1 1996 Phase I Environmental Audit

Environmental Associates Inc. (EAI) completed a Phase I Environmental Audit (Phase I) for the subject site in March 1996 on behalf of the current owners, Sound Subaru. The Phase I was conducted to evaluate current and past activities that may have the potential to adversely impact the environment beneath the subject site. The Phase I identified a small former service station that occupied the southwestern portion of the property between approximately 1918 and 1953. The station reportedly supported three 550-gallon gasoline USTs. No records obtained for the report indicated that these USTs had been removed. The report also indicated that a service station resided on the southern half of the property from 1971 until approximately 1983. The station reportedly supported three 10,000-gallon capacity gasoline USTs. A permit drawn in 1983 for remodeling the station and car wash into an auto sales facility indicated that a permit would be required from the City of Renton Fire Department for UST removal, though no such permit was reviewed. The report also noted that a building formerly resided on the northwest corner of the parcel from approximately 1918 until the early 1980s. This building was formerly occupied by a carpet cleaning business and later a transmission repair facility. This report also referenced a 1988 environmental report completed by ERM that ADaPT did not review. According to the

EAI Phase I, the ERM report indicated that the USTs associated with the most recent service station were removed in 1982 or 1983, though no specific information obtained for the report confirmed this. The report did not indicate any reported environmental issues associated properties adjacent to the subject site, though an apparent service station was noted bordering the subject site to the east from the 1950s through 1980s based upon review of aerial photography.

#### 5.2 1996 Phase II Environmental Study

In April 1996, Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI) conducted a Phase II Environmental Study (Phase II) of the site to assess the potential environmental concerns they had identified in the earlier Phase I. GTI advanced eight (8) Geoprobe explorations (numbered SB-1 through SB-8) to a maximum depth of 15 feet below ground surface (bgs). Two of the explorations were advanced in the area of the 10,000 gallon USTs (SB-1 and SB-2), two in the area of the former pump islands (SB-1 and SB-2), one near the older service station, (SB-5), and three others located on the northern portion of the parcel (SB-6, SB-7, and SB-8). The exploration locations are depicted on the Site and Exploration Plan, Figure 3, Appendix B.

Collected soil samples were screened for staining and odors as well as with a photoionization detector (PID). According to the report and soil logs, samples collected from the 10-foot depths from SB-1 and SB-2, advanced in the area of the 10,000 gallon USTs, exhibited mild petroleum odors. Also, samples collected from the 4-foot depths from SB-3 and SB-4, advanced between the former service islands, exhibited PID readings of up to 440 ppm and strong petroleum odors. Other samples collected from the site explorations did not exhibit obvious signs of contaminant impacts, such as stains, odors, or significant PID readings.

Soil samples collected from the 10-foot depths from explorations SB-1 and SB-2, advanced in the area of the former USTs, exhibited gasoline-range TPH concentrations of 74 parts per million (ppm) and 75 ppm, respectively, and low level concentrations of BTEX compounds, all of which were below MTCA Method A cleanup levels. Soil samples collected from the 3-foot depth from explorations SB-3 and SB-4, advanced within the pump island area, exhibited gasoline-range TPH concentrations of 1,200 ppm and 2,600 ppm, both of which are in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level. Soil samples collected from the 5-foot and 7-foot depths from explorations SB-6 and SB-7 did not exhibit significant concentrations of gasoline-range TPH or BTEX compounds. A sample collected from the 5-foot depth from exploration SB-8, advanced near the northeast corner of the site, exhibited a diesel-range TPH concentration of 60 ppm, which was below the MTCA Method A cleanup level. Analytical results are summarized on Table 1, Appendix C.

According to the report, groundwater was encountered in six of the explorations at approximately 11 to 12 feet bgs. Water samples were collected from five of the explorations using Geoprobe micropurge technology. Groundwater quality is discussed in Section 6.0 of this report.

#### 5.3 1998 Additional Phase II ESA

In February 1998, Flour Daniel GTI conducted an additional subsurface environmental assessment to further delineate the extent of gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons in the area of the former service station USTs and pump islands on the southern portion of the site. The assessment included advancing four soil borings to a depth of 20 feet, collection screening and analytical testing of selected soil samples, installation of 4-inch I.D. PVC wells in each boring, and analytical testing of water samples collected from each well. Two of the borings/wells were installed in the area of the former gasoline USTs (MW-1 and MW-2), one installed in the center of the former pump islands (MW-4), and the fourth installed adjacent to the eastern property boundary (MW-3). The boring/well locations are depicted on the Site and Exploration Plan. Figure 3, Appendix B. Soil samples were collected at regular intervals of 5 feet and soil samples were visually and olfactory classified and screened with a PID as a basis for sample selection for analyses.

A total of eight soil samples (two per each boring) were submitted to the analytical laboratory for analytical testing. All selected samples were analyzed for WTPH-G/BTEX and total lead, and one sample (MW3-C) was analyzed for diesel and motor oil-range organics using Ecology Method WTPH-Dx. Analytical results are summarized in Table 1, Appendix C.

None of the soils samples exhibited detectable concentrations of BTEX, and only one sample exhibited a detectable concentration of gasoline-range TPH that was below the MTCA Method A cleanup level. However, the soil sample collected from the 15-foot depth from MW-3, advanced near the eastern property boundary, exhibited diesel and motor oil-range TPH concentrations of 884 ppm and 4,420 ppm, respectively, that were in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level.

#### 5.4 1999 Supplementary Phase II Assessment

As a follow up to the previous site work, Walker Subaru retained ADaPT in August 1999 to review the work conducted to date and to prepare a work plan to close the environmental files on the site. Based on ADaPT's review, the source, the type, and extent of petroleum impact had not been adequately defined to prepare a remedial action plan. A Supplementary Phase II Site Assessment for the site was designed to evaluate these areas, to close data gaps, and delineate the extent of any petroleum contamination. Based on GTI and Flour Daniel GTI's previous work, three separate areas of the site had been identified as having some petroleum presence. These included:

#### Pump Islands

The 1996 GTI Assessment indicated the presence of near surface gasoline range TPH and BTEX impacts associated with the former service islands associated with the 1970's era service station. This included the areas associated with explorations SB-3 and SB-4. However, the soils encountered in boring/well MW-4, advanced in the central portion of the service island did not exhibited detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH or BTEX compounds. Also, the soils appeared to limited to within 5 feet of the ground surface based upon the results of exploration SB-4.

#### Southeast Portion of Site

A soil sample collected from the 15 foot-depth from boring/well MW-3, as well as a groundwater sample collected from the well, advanced on the southeastern portion of the site, exhibited diesel and motor oil-range TPH concentrations in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level. The source of the TPH encountered at this location was not readily obvious based upon past site work, and may have resulted from an off-site source to the east.

#### Gasoline USTs

Soil samples collected explorations SB-1 and SB-2, associated with the 1996 GTI assessment, indicated detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH that were below the MTCA Method A cleanup level. In addition, water samples collected from Geoprobe exploration SB-2 and monitoring Well MW-1 exhibited gasoline-range TPH and BTEX concentrations in excess of MTCA Method A cleanup levels. Considering the former presence of three 10,000 gallon gasoline USTs, it was our opinion that a paucity of data points were present in this area, and additional explorations supplemented with analytical testing would offer a higher confidence level. The source of the hydrocarbon impacts in this area appeared to be related to a release from the gasoline UST system.

The report for this investigation by ADaPT was not previously prepared. Boring logs, sampling protocols, and laboratory reports have been attached to this VCP report.

#### 5.4.1 **Purpose and Scope**

The objective of the supplementary site assessment was to provide information which would assist in closing the site, either by active remediation methods, or otherwise, and to determine appropriate cleanup goals if active remediation is necessary. ADaPT's scope of services for this assessment included:

- A limited (one day) geophysical survey including magnetometer and ground penetrating radar was conducted at the site. The purpose of the survey was to evaluate the possible presence of abandoned UST systems, and to help site Geoprobe exploration locations based upon the results.
- Advancing eleven Geoprobe explorations and submitting soil and water samples for analysis. The locations of the Geoprobe explorations were based on the inconclusive results of the previous investigations.
- Based upon the presence of near surface gasoline-impacted soils in the service island area, remedial overexcavation was performed. The excavated soils were transported to a local thermal treatment facility.
- Treat the existing on-site ground water wells that previously exhibited elevated contaminant concentrations with oxygen release compound (ORC<sup>R</sup>).
- Purge and resample the onsite wells.

#### 5.4.2 Rationale of Sampling and Analytical Methods

In order to adequately investigate each of these areas, exploration using a Geoprobe direct drive sampling technology was deemed as the best method which would allow for both visual inspection as to the nature of the problem area and collection of the most representative samples of the remnant soils. Each exploration was continuously screened for visual and olfactory indication of petroleum hydrocarbon presence. Soil samples were collected, handled and screened on-site with a photoionization detector (PID) using standard soil sampling protocols (a copy of ADaPT's procedures is presented in Appendix D). Geologic conditions, observations, and PID screen results are presented on the boring logs in Appendix E.

Sample recovery was variably difficult in the upper five feet across the site, due to the occasional presence of gravels and broken concrete or asphalt. In general, however, sample recovery was sufficient in most cases to collect representative samples in areas of interest for screening field logging and analytical testing purposes.

The soil samples were submitted to the OnSite Environmental of Redmond, Washington based on results of PID screen and field indications of the presence of constituents of concern. Where no detectable presence of petroleum hydrocarbons was observed, the sample collected from just above the groundwater surface, just below the groundwater table and/or the bottom sample was submitted for analytical testing.

Soil samples collected from the areas of the former service islands and the UST field were analyzed for WTPH-G extended/BTEX, and samples collected from explorations near the eastern portion of the property were additionally analyzed for diesel and motor oil-range TPH by Ecology Method WTPH-D extended. In addition, obviously impacted samples collected from explorations advanced on the southeastern portion of the site and in the pump island areas were analyzed using the Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbon (EPH) and Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbon (VPH) Interim TPH test methods, respectively.

#### 5.4.3 Geophysical Investigation Summary

The geophysical survey was completed on August 17, 1999 by Geo-Recon International under the observation of a geologist from ADaPT. The survey was completed in three general areas across the site; in the vicinity of boring/well MW-3, where heavy-end TPH was previously encountered; in the area of the on-site, 1918 vintage service station on the southwestern portion of the site; and in the area of the former 10,000 gallon USTs. The survey in the area of the older on-site service station did not reveal the presence of USTs or other associated anomalies. The survey completed in the area of the former 10,000-gallon USTs revealed the presence of possible backfill materials in this area, but not the presence of the USTs. The results of the survey completed in the vicinity of boring/well MW-3 revealed the possible presence of a possible abandoned piping and fill material at a depth of approximately 4 feet bgs, but no geophysical signatures typical of USTs. A copy of Geo-Recon International Geophysics report appears in Appendix G.

#### 5.4.4 Subsurface Soil & Groundwater Investigation Summary

Eleven (11) Geoprobe explorations were completed by Cascade Drilling on August 23, 1999 under the observation of a geologist from ADaPT. The explorations were advanced using Cascade's Truck-mounted Geoprobe rig. The explorations were advanced to a maximum of approximately 16 feet depth bgs in the three areas of interest as discussed in Section 5.4 of this report. The Geoprobe explorations, designated GP-1 through GP-11, are depicted on Figure 3, Appendix B. Sampling protocols used for the soil sampling from the Geoprobe explorations are included in Appendix D. Analytical test results are included on Table 1, Appendix C, unless otherwise specified.

#### Service Island

Six Geoprobe explorations (GP-2, GP-6, GP-7, GP-8, GP-9, and GP-10) were advanced in the area of the former pump islands to help quantify the amount of gasoline impacted soils in this area identified from the 1996 GTI work at the site. The explorations were advanced to depths of approximately 8 feet bgs, with a continuous sampling interval from 2 feet to 8 feet depth. Soil samples recovered from exploration GP-2, advanced near the northwest corner of the former service island, did not exhibit obvious signs of petroleum impacts. Samples recovered from the 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 5-foot depths from explorations GP-6, GP-7, GP-8, advanced on the eastern portion of the service islands, exhibited evidence of minor petroleum impacts, exhibiting PID readings of up to 15 ppm. Selected soil samples collected from these explorations did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH, and exhibited non-detectable to low level concentrations of BTEX compounds that were below MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The soil sample recovered from the 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 4-foot depth from exploration GP-9 and the 3 to 4 foot depth from exploration GP=10, advanced on the western portion of the service islands, exhibited signs of significant contaminant impacts and PID readings of up to 288 ppm. Sample GP-9/3.5-4 exhibited a gasoline-range TPH? concentration of 1,800 ppm. Sample GP-10/3-4 was analyzed for Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons (VPH) for assessment of the soils relative to calculated Method B levels. Results of the VPH analysis are discussed in Section 5.5 of this report.

#### Southeast portion of the Site

Three Geoprobe explorations (GP-3, GP-4, and GP-5) were advanced in the general vicinity of well MW-3, where diesel and heavy-end TPH contamination was identified from the 1998 Flour Daniel GTI work at the site. The explorations were advanced to depths of approximately 13 to 16 feet bgs, with a continuous sampling interval from approximately 4 feet to 13/15 feet for explorations GP-3 and GP-4 and 11 feet to 16 feet depth for GP-5. The soil samples from just above the groundwater table for each exploration were submitted to the laboratory for analytical testing for petroleum hydrocarbons constituents using WTPH-Dx. Sample GP-4/12-13 did not exhibit detectable concentrations of diesel through motor oil-range TPH. Soil sample GP-3/13-15 was analyzed for Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) for risk-based evaluation under Ecology's interim Method B method for assessing TPH. Results of the EPH analysis are discussed in Section 5.5 of this report.

#### UST Vicinity

Two Geoprobe explorations (GP-1 and GP-11) were advanced in the general vicinity of the former 10,000 gallon gasoline USTs to help fill data gaps in this area, and supplement previous site characterization work by GTI (1996) and Flour Daniel GTI (1998). The explorations were advanced to depths of

approximately 13 feet and 16 feet bgs, respectively, with a continuous sampling interval from 4 feet to total exploration depth. Soil samples recovered from exploration the 10-foot to 13-foot depth interval from each of these explorations did not exhibit signs of significant petroleum impacts. The soil samples collected from just above the groundwater table for each exploration were submitted to the laboratory for analytical testing for petroleum hydrocarbons constituents using WTPH-G/BTEX. These samples did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH or BTEX compounds.

#### 5.5 Rationale Interim TPH Calculations

In order to evaluate whether the EPH concentration observed in sample GP-3/13'-15' would constitute an environmental risk and impact groundwater at concentrations above MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater, Ecology's Interim TPH guidance was used. The calculations also assess the potential human health cancer risk. The calculations are shown in Table 2, Appendix C. Based on the soil to groundwater pathway, the petroleum concentration observed in the soil sample would not impact groundwater above the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 1.0 mg/l for TPH. In addition, human health cancer risk was calculated to be less that one in one million, and therefore ,based upon these calculations unlimited site usage is deemed acceptable.

In addition, the VPH concentration in sample GP-10/3-4 used to assess the environmental risk of these contaminants potentially impacting groundwater at the site. The calculations are shown in Table 3 Appendix C. Based on the soil to groundwater pathway, the petroleum concentration observed in the soil sample would impact groundwater above the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 1.0 mg/l for TPH. Therefore, the concentration was in excess of the Method B level with respect to the soil to groundwater pathway.

#### 5.6 Soil Remediation-Pump Island

1 1

Site Assessment work completed by Groundwater Technology, Inc. in 1996 and ADaPT in 1999 indicated the presence of near surface soil contamination in the area of the former pump islands on the south-central portion of the property. Soil samples collected from this vicinity exhibited gasoline-range TPH concentrations of up to 2,600 ppm, and benzene of up to 4.6 ppm. In addition, sample analysis by Ecology Interim TPH methods indicated a VPH concentration was in excess of the Method B level with respect to the soil to groundwater pathway. Based upon these elevated concentrations, as well as the shallow nature of these soils, it was deemed most effective to remove these soils by overexcavation and transport the impacted materials to a suitable thermal desorption facility.

Over-excavation work was completed on October 11, 12, and 13, 1999. Initially, the concrete slab of the pump islands was demolished and removed from the property. After the concrete was removed, four test pits were completed in the area of the four former pumps. The purpose of the test pits were to observe and screen the soils in the area of identified contaminated soils. The test pit excavations advanced on the northwestern and southeastern portions of the pit generally disclosed gasoline-impacted soils from a depth of approximately 2 to  $2\frac{1}{2}$  feet bgs to 4 to  $4\frac{1}{2}$  feet bgs. The soils exposed in the pit advanced on the northeast corner of the pit only exhibited minor petroleum impacts and PID readings of up to 8 ppm. Soils encountered in a limited area on the southwest portion of the service island, at a depth of

approximately 3½ to 4 feet bgs, exhibited PID readings of up to 350 ppm. However, the significantly impacted soils in this area appeared very limited and approximately 3 to 4 tons of impacted material was removed from this location and stockpiled. One soil sample each was collected from a depth of approximately 4 to 4½ feet bgs from each location (samples SS-1 through SS-4). All of the samples exhibited gasoline-range TPH and BTEX concentrations that were below MTCA Method A cleanup levels. Samples SS-1, SS-2, and SS-3 did not exhibit detectable concentrations of total lead. However, sample SS-4 exhibited a total lead concentration of 425 ppm, which is in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level. Sample locations are depicted on Figure 4, Appendix B, and sample results are shown on Table 4, Appendix C.

Soil over-excavation activities were initiated on the southeast corner of the service island. In general, over-excavation was performed by removing the non-odorous over burden soils to a depth of approximately 2 feet below ground surface. The overburden soils were then reserved for later use as site backfill. Excavated soils deemed "clean" exhibited PID readings of 25 ppm or less, and deemed "contaminated" if they exhibited higher readings. An area of approximately 14 feet by 16 feet by 3½ to 4 feet deep was excavated. Two samples (SS-5 and SS-6) were collected from the excavation sidewalls. However, these samples exhibited gasoline-range TPH concentrations in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level. These areas were subsequently over-excavated and resampled. The subsequent samples (SS-11 and SS-12) did not exhibited TPH or BTEX concentrations in excess of MTCA Method A cleanup levels.

Over-excavation was subsequently completed on the northwest portion of the service island. The gasoline impacted soils in this area-were-more extensive than anticipated, extending beyond the limits of the service island slab to the west. During excavation, several 2-inch steel product lines, as well as two one-inch steel electrical lines were encountered. Overexcavation of impacted soils-was discontinued in this direction, due to the presence of the electrical-lines, even though only a minor amount of impacted soils appeared to be in the immediate area of the service lines and electrical lines. The final excavation measured approximately 13 feet by 25 feet, with a depth of generally 3½ feet to 4½ feet. Soil samples SS-8 and SS-13, along the west and southwestern limits of the excavation, exhibited benzene concentrations of 5.9 ppm and 4 ppm, both of which were in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level, though the samples did not exhibit TPH concentrations in excess of the cleanup level. Soil sample SS-7, collected from the north side of the excavation, did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH or BTEX.

Excavated soils that were deemed impacted were excavated and directly loaded and hauled to TPS Technologies the matthematic facility in Tacoma, Washington. A total of 1000447 tons of petroleume impacted soil were excavated from the site and, loaded transported to the facility for freatment. An approximate 20 cubic yard "clean" stockpile was used for backfill on the southeastern excavation. Two samples (SP-3 and SP-4) collected from the stockpile were composited and tested. The sample exhibited detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH and BTEX compound that were below MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The remaining portion of the excavation was backfilled with compacted gravelly sand from Lloyd's gravel pit in Federal Way, Washington. The area was then finished with a gravel base course and paved on October 14, 1999 with 2½ to 3 inches of asphalt-treated base (ATB).

#### 5.7 Conclusions

Soil samples collected in all but the two areas on the site were in compliance with MTCA Method A cleanup levels;

- Soil samples collected from the northwestern limits of the service island excavation exhibited benzene concentrations that were in excess of the MTCA method. A cleanup level. In addition, a soil sample collected from the 4-foot depth from the southwest corner of the service island exhibited a total lead concentration that was in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level.
- A soil sample previously collected from the 13-foot depth from well MW-3 on the southeast portion-of-the-site exhibited diesel and motor oil-range TPH concentrations in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level.

The remedial excavation of the service island was discontinued due to the presence of shallow utilities in the area. In our opinion, approximately 10 tons of impacted soils remain in this vicinity. The elevated lead concentration may be due to the presence of debris within the fill soils, and may not be related to a petroleum release associated with the service islands. The heavy-end-impacted TPH soils on the southeastern portion of the site appeared to occur at a one to two foot-thick interval at depths of greater than 13 feet bgs. Also, risk-based EPH analysis indicated that based on the soil to groundwater pathway, the petroleum concentration observed in the soil sample would not impact groundwater above the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 1.0 mg/l for TPH. In addition, based upon the lack of an apparent on-site source, the assessed groundwater migration direction, and the former presence of a service station located offsite to the east (and hydrologically upgradient from) the subject site, it appears that these heavy-end hydrocarbons may have resulted from the release from an offsite source to the east (see Section 3.4.1). In our opinion, no additional active remedial effort is warranted for soils on these portions of the site, nor required to protect human health or the environment. As such, it is our belief that the site has met the requirements for a "No Further Action" status for soils for the previously reported incident for which a LUST No. was assigned.

#### 6.0 **GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATIONS**

There here have been two groundwater investigations at the subject site prior to the current work by ADaPT:

- 1996 by Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), and:
- 1998 by Flour Daniel GTI.

1

#### 6.1 GTI 1996 Assessment

The GTI Assessment included advancing eight (8) Geoprobe explorations across the site. Groundwater seepage was encountered at depths ranging from 11½ feet to 12½ feet bgs. Groundwater samples were collected from five of the explorations by means of micropurge technology. Water samples collected from explorations GP-6 and GP-8, advanced on the northern portion of the site, did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline through motor oil range TPH and BTEX compounds. Samples collected from explorations GP-1 and GP-2, advanced in the area of the gasoline USTs, exhibited benzene concentrations of that were in excess of the MTCA method A cleanup level. In addition, the sample collected from SB-1 exhibited a gasoline-range TPH concentration of 2,100 ppb. A water sample collected from explorations SB-5, advanced in the area of the 1918 vintage service station, did not exhibit detectable concentrations of diesel and motor oil-range TPH and BTEX compounds. Exploration locations are depicted on Figure 3, Appendix B, and analytical test results are summarized on Table 5, Appendix C.

#### 6.2 Flour Daniel GTI Assessment

Flour Daniel GTI completed a subsurface assessment of the property in February and March, 1998. Their scope of work included advancing four soil borings to depths of approximately 20 feet bgs. Each boring was completed as a 4-inch I.D. PVC resource protection well. Two of the wells (MW-1 and MW-2) were installed in the vicinity of the 10,000 gallon gasoline USTs, one in the center of the service island (MW-4), and one on the southeastern portion of the parcel (MW-3). After completion, the wells were reportedly developed, and subsequently purged and sampled using standard bailing technique. In addition the well casings were surveyed relative to an arbitrary datum of 100 feet. Prior to sampling, groundwater depths measured on March 4, 1998. Groundwater depths ranged from 10.96 feet bgs (MW-2) to 12.53 (MW-3), with a preferred migration direction roughly to the west. The sample collected from well MW-1, located near the former 10,000 gallon gasoline USTs, exhibited a gasoline-range TPH concentration of 5,230 ppb, benzene of 10.2 ppb, ethylbenzene of 145 ppb, and xylenes of 502 ppb, all of which are in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level. This samples also exhibited a diesel-range TPH concentration of 553 ppb. The sample collected from well MW-2, located along the north side of the former gasoline USTs location, did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline through motor oilrange TPH or BTEX. The sample collected from well MW-4, located in the center of the former service island, exhibited motor oil and diesel-range TPH concentrations of 564 ppb and 1,000 ppb, respectively. This sample did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH or BTEX. The sample collected from MW-3, located on the east side of the site, exhibited motor oil and diesel-range TPH concentrations of 3,510 ppb and 757 ppb, respectively. This sample did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH or BTEX concentrations. Exploration locations are depicted on Figure 3. Appendix B, and analytical test results are summarized on Table 6. Appendix C.

#### 6.3 ADaPT 1999 Assessment

#### 6.3.1 Geoprobe Assessment

The ADaPT Geoprobe assessment, completed on August 23, 1999, included advancing eleven Geoprobe explorations across the site. Groundwater seepage was encountered in the explorations at depths ranging from 10½ feet to 11½ feet bgs. Groundwater samples were collected from four (4) of the explorations by means of micropurge technology. Water samples collected from explorations GP-1 and GP-11, advanced on the southwestern portion of the site, did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline range TPH and BTEX compounds. The sample collected from exploration GP-4, advanced approximately 30 feet to the northwest of MW-3, did not exhibit detectable concentrations of diesel and motor oil-range TPH. The sample collected from exploration GP-3, advanced approximately 30 feet to the west of well MW-4, exhibited a motor oil-range TPH concentration of 1,100 ppb. This sample did not exhibit a detectable concentration of diesel-range TPH. Exploration locations are depicted on Figure 3, Appendix B, and analytical test results are summarized on Table 5, Appendix C.

On September 10, 1999, ADaPT resurveyed the existing wells to an arbitrary datum of 100.00 feet. In addition, a well located by the espresso kiosk near the southeast corner of the property, was incorporated into the survey. This well, referred to here as well "MW-A", was well MW-1 from work performed by others (EPI) on the adjacent site to the east. Groundwater depths were also gaged at this time. Groundwater depths ranged from approximately 11.35 feet (MW-1) to 12.82 feet (MW-3) bgs, with an inferred migration direction to the west-southwest. The water table was again relatively flat, with a gradient of approximately 0.0009 Feet/foot. Fluid level measurements are summarized on Table 7, Appendix C, and groundwater gradient for this event is graphically depicted on Figure 6, Appendix B.

#### 6.3.2 ORC Treatment

On September 10, 1999, wells MW-1, MW-3, and MW-4 were treated with oxygen release compound  $(ORC^R)$ . This product was purchased from Regenesis, and, according to the manufacturer, is a patented form of magnesium peroxide  $(MgO_2)$ , which releases oxygen slowly to the water. The hydratred compound is magnesium hydroxide  $(Mg(OH)_2)$ . Dependant upon site factors, ORC releases oxygen to the subsurface for 6 months to one year. Supplying oxygen to the subsurface can augment aerobic biodegradation. According to the manufacturer, the product is not harmful to the aquifer.

Two 5-gallon buckets of the product were applied equally to the above-mentioned on-site wells. The product was blended into a thin mixture with de-ionized water and slowly poured into each of the treated wells. Each well was then surged with a surge block to force the mixture through the sand pack and into the aquifer. This was performed until the dosage of the product was placed into the wells. All of the wells were then surged again after approximately 30 to 45 minutes to further help force the mixture into the aquifer.

#### 6.3.3 Groundwater Gauging and Sampling

On December 14, 1999, ADaPT measured fluid levels in all five wells and purged and sampled wells MW-1 through MW-4. The wells were purged and sampled using micropurge technology (See Appendix D for a description of this technique). Groundwater depths were also gaged at this time. Groundwater depths ranged from approximately 8.87 feet (MW-1) to 10.17 feet (MW-3) bgs, with an inferred migration direction to the west-southwest. The water table was again relatively flat, with a gradient of approximately 0.0007 ft/ft. Fluid level measurements are summarized on Table 7, Appendix C, and groundwater gradient for this event is graphically depicted on Figure 7, Appendix B.

The samples collected from wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4 did not exhibit detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH and BTEX compounds. The samples collected from MW-3 and MW-4 exhibited diesel-range TPH concentrations of 1,680 ppb and 716 ppb, respectively, and neither well exhibited detectable concentrations of motor oil-range TPH. Analytical test results are summarized on Table 6, Appendix C.

#### 6.4 Site Hydrogeological Conditions

Groundwater contours inferred from static groundwater water levels measured in site wells from September 10 and December 14, 1999 are depicted on Figures 6 and 7, Appendix B, respectively. A summary of groundwater levels measured in wells has been included in Table 7, Appendix C. A description of the lithologic characteristics of the unconfined aquifer material underlying the site was provided in Section 3.7, and consists of Holocene alluvial deposits, along with fill soils in the area of the former Black River Channel on the site. The assessed groundwater migration direction for three groundwater monitoring events at three different seasons was generally to the west, which was generally the former channel alignment as well as the alignment of the deep sewer lines. In our opinion, these site features may have an influence on groundwater migration direction. Based upon groundwater sampling results from Geoprobe explorations completed downgradient from the former gasoline USTs, it does not appear that a large release had occurred from the former gasoline UST system. Also, given the general flat nature of the groundwater table, it does not appear likely that gasoline-impacted groundwater has migrated beyond the property boundaries to the west.

#### 6.5 Summary of Groundwater Conditions

Previous groundwater sampling in the vicinity of the former on-site gasoline USTs has indicated gasoline-range TPH and benzene concentrations in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level. Results of water samples collected from both Geoprobe explorations and installed groundwater monitoring wells indicated that the original area of groundwater impacts were limited in nature, and did not extend beyond the property boundary. Recent groundwater sampling of the formerly impacted well (well MW-1) did not

indicate detectable concentrations of gasoline-range TPH or BTEX concentrations. Based upon the work completed to date, we request that Ecology grant "No Further Action " status for this portion of the property.

A groundwater sample collected from well MW-3, and Geoprobe exploration GP-3, located on the southeastern portion of the site, exhibited heavy-end TPH concentrations that were in excess of the MTCA Method A cleanup level. Recent sampling of well MW-3 indicated reduced concentration of diesel and motor oil-range petroleum constituents. Based upon the results of our geophysical survey in the immediate area, no obvious on-site sources for these petroleum constituents were identified. Also, our groundwater assessment indicates that the groundwater migration direction on the property in generally toward the west. This information suggests that the contaminants on this portion of the site may be the result of off-site contaminant migration from the east. We therefore recommend that this portion of the property be granted "No Further Action" status. This contention is further supported by the elevated heavy-end TPH concentration contained in a water sample collected from an off-site well to the east the subject site (see Section 3:4.4.4.of-this teport).

The triangular-shaped area located at the on the southeastern portion of the property that includes the " espresso kiosk was recently sold to Walker Subaru by Safeway. Reports reviewed by others (Environmental Partners, Inc.) indicated that soil and water samples collected from well MW-1 (Shown as "MW-A" on Figures 3, 6 and 7 of this report) exhibited gasoline-range TPH and BTEX concentrations in excess of MTCA Method A cleanup levels. During a recent site visit, we observed two additional wells installed on this portion of the property, as well as other new wells installed on the adjacent property. However, test results from these borings and wells were not made available for our review at this time. Future monitoring of these wells may yield valuable information with respect to ADaPT's conclusions concerning this portion of the subject site.

#### 7.0 Other Required Information

#### 7.1 Regulatory/Records/Permits

No permits were specifically required for ADaPT's work completed at the site.

#### 7.2 Chemical Storage, Management, & Handling Practices

A discussion of the number, capacity, and contents of the former USTs in use at the site was provided in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. To our knowledge, no UST systems remain at the site, exclusive of some remaining steel product lines associated with the former pump islands. Current site activities include periodically car washing. Generally, the effluent collects into a catch basin with an oil-water separator, which, inturn, is directed into the sanitary system. Only minor amounts of non-hazardous car washing compounds are currently stored on the premises.

#### 7.3 Closure

This summary report of voluntary cleanup activities at the subject property was prepared in general accordance with the "Guidance on Preparing Independent Remedial Action Reports Under the Model Toxics Control Act" using information provided by Walker Subaru and employing generally accepted environmental engineering practices. On behalf of Walker Subaru, we request that Ecology issue a letter granting No Further Action for the subject site, under Chapter 70.105D RCW, based on the information summarized in this report. We ask that the environmental files be closed regarding this facility and that the LUST database be updated to reflect the new status.

Should you have any further questions regarding this report, or desire additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned and/or Walker Subaru.

Respectfully submitted,

ADaPT Engineering, Inc.

Charles C. Cacek, M.Sc. Senior Project Manager

Daryl S. Petrarca, R.E.A., VP Environmental Services

#### REFERENCES

ì.,

Environmental Associates, Inc. "Phase I Environmental Audit, Sound Subaru, 240/250 Rainier Avenue South, Renton, Washington." EAI Job No. JN 6057, dated March 5, 1996.

Environmental Partners, Inc., "Report for Phase II Investigation, 100 and 108 South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, Renton, Washington." EPI Project No. 08219.0, dated August 22, 1996.

Environmental Partners, Inc., "Additional Groundwater Investigation, 100 and 106 South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, Renton, Washington." EPI Project No. 08222.0, dated December 6, 1996.

Flour Daniel GTI, "Site Assessment Report", Sound Subaru 240/250 Rainier Avenue South, Renton, Washington." Project No. 103562, dated March 25, 1998.

Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), "Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment, 240/250 Rainier Avenue South, Renton, Washington." Project No. 020600266, dated May 1, 1996.

Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, "Guidance for Remediation of Petroleum Contaminated Soils." April 1994.

Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, "Interim Interpretative and Policy Statement, Cleanup of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)." January 1997.

## **APPENDIX A**

1

i i

11

Ĵ.

1

## **VOLUNTARY CLEANUP PROGRAM SITE SUMMARY**





Voluntary Cleanup Program

Washington State - Department of Ecology - Toxics Cleanup Program

### **Site Summary**

This Summary is a required component of your request for assistance under the Voluntary Cleanup Program

X

Which of the following apply?

Requesting assistance on a planned cleanup Requesting assistance on an ongoing cleanup. Requesting review of a completed cleanup.

Note: If you submitted your Request for Assistance (ECY 020-74) previously without a Site Summary (this form) or this is a revised Site Summary, Please provide this completed form to Ecology at least five (5) working days prior to the meeting/site visit/documentation review (whichever comes first).

A) Site Identification:					
Name of Site: Walker Subaru Used Car Lot					
Alternate Name(s) for Site: Sound Subaru					
Street Address of Site: 250 Rainier Avenue	South				
City: Renton	State: Washington	Zip: 98055			
County: King	UBI Number:				
Mailing Address (if different from above):W	alker Subaru 720 Rainier Avenue	e South			
City: Renton	State: Washington	Zip: 98055			
Township T23N       Range R5W       Section 18 Quarter-Quarter NE of SW         If Known:       Latitude:       Degree 47       Minute 48       Second         Longitude:       Degree 122       Minute 13       Second         Method used to calculate Latitude and Longitude: Delorme Map       How large (in acres) is the site? <1					
Please attach two maps to this form. INCL	JDED WITHIN PROVIDED REPO	RT			
<ol> <li>An area map, showing general location highways, and streets. (Please marks)</li> </ol>	n of the site in relation to surrou				

2) A site diagram showing surrounding cross-streets, labeled building outlines, sampling and well locations, etc.

B) Person/Organization Making Re	quest for Assistance/I	Review:		
Name: Charles Cacek				t
Firm: ADaPT Engineering, Inc.				<u> </u>
Street Address: 800 Maynard Avenue So	uth, Suite 403		 . <u> </u>	
City: Seattle	State: WA	Zip: 98134	 <u> </u>	
Telephone Number: 206-654-7045	Extension:		 	
Fax Number: 206-654-7048	e-mail address:		 ·	

### Which best describes your involvement with the site? (Check as many as apply.)

	Former Owner Former Operator		
Attorney	for		,
Insurance Carrier	for		
Other (specify)	for		
C) Release Inform			
Date of Release (if		Discovery: reported to Ecolo	
Are there ar If yes, has a	ny drinking water systems Ilternate drinking water be	r Supply Wells within 1/2 mile s affected?	10
Aquatics: Are ther	e an creeks, streams, po	onds, wetlands, or shoreland	S

on or adjacent to the site? I yes I no 🕅 no

Within	1/4 mile	of	the s	ite?	yes

Where are they located?

Are they impacted by contamination from the site? 
yes I no

General Hazardous Substance Categories: Please complete the chart below. List the contaminants known or suspected at the site prior to cleanup, and mark the appropriate medium (i.e. soil) with: C (confirmed and above MTCA); B (confirmed but below MTCA); S (suspected); N/A (not-applicable); O (tested and not present); or U (unknown).

Contaminant	Class (for office Use	Affected Soil	Media: Ground- Water	Surface Water	Air	Sediment	Date of Release (if known)
Example: Lead		C	0.	S	U	S	1967-82
1) TPH		с	С				100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
2) BTEX		С	с				
3) Lead		С					—
4)							
5)							
6)							

### D) Report Information of Assessment or Remediation Work Done to Date

#### Assessment:

Has site assessment work been done at this site? yes 🖾 no 🛄 In-progress If yes, when? 1996 to 1999. Were results reported to Ecology? yes in no Date April 8, 1998 Describe: (list reports in "E" below)

#### **Remediation:**

Ł

Has any site cleanup work been done at the site? yes  $\square$  no  $\square$  in-progress  $\square$ If yes, please continue to answer the remaining questions in this section to the best of your ability.

When was the cleanup work done? Octobe to December, 1999 Were results reported to Ecology? yes no ate Describe: (list reports in "E" below)

Does contamination remain on-site after cleanup activities? yes X no I lf yes, describe: (list reports in "E" below)

For each contaminant listed in *Part C) Release Information (above)*, please describe the quantity of the contaminant (in pounds) which was removed or treated as a result of the cleanup activities:

Contaminant	Class (for	Pounds of C	ontaminant:			
	office Use	Incinerated Washed		Removed	Treated	Contained)
Example: Lead		10	20	40 3 5	10	
1) TPH/BTEX		100.47 tons		in a second second		
2)	CARLES NOW A LODGE				ĺ	
3)						
4)			-			
5)						
6)						
7)	ipheriosi ana kana ang pan Santang sa Katang ang pan					
8)						
9)						
10)				Ì	1	
11)						
12)	and a second second Second second					

#### As a result of the cleanup:

How many acres of land were returned to *unrestricted* use? How many acres of land were returned to *restricted* use? How many tons of contaminated soil was remediated or contained? 100.47 tons How many gallons of contaminated soil was remediated or contained? How many people are now at reduced risk as a result of the cleanup action? How many pounds of potential pollution was prevented as a result of the cleanup action?

Methods/Treatments Used	Soil	Groundwater	the same look of the light factor	Drinking Water	Air	Wastes
Method A	X	X	vvalei	vvalei		
Method B		~				
Method C						+
Have these levels been met through the site ? Y or N	N					
Destruction or Detoxification		·····				
Carbon Adsorption <sup>1</sup>	N/A –				<u> </u>	N/A
Biological Treatment					 N/A	
Chemical Destruction						-
Incineration	X	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Carbon followed by regeneration: use of granular activated of					les as volume	e reduction
and off-site landfill						
Media Transfer	ľ			· · · ·		
Air stripping/Air Sparging	N/A					N/A
Aeration/Vapor Extraction	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Thermal Desorption	X	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A
Immobilization						
Vitrification		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Solidification/Stabilization		N/A	N/A	N/A		1
Reuse/Recycling <sup>2</sup>				· · · · ·		1
Specify						
<sup>2</sup> For example, reuse of free petroleum product recovered in a	pump and t	reat system.				•
Separation/Volume Reduction						
Solvent Extraction		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Soil Washington		N/A	N/A	N/A	·····	
Physical Separation <sup>3</sup>						
<sup>3</sup> For example, oil/water separators.		· · · · ·				•
Land Disposal/Containment						
Containment or On-site Landfill			N/A			
Off-site Landfill		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Institutional Controls						
Specify						
Others						1
Specify Treatment Method oxygen release		X				
compound (ORC)	1					

-

.

.

- -

 - , , - , - \_ /

-----. ! \_

-

#### E) Documentation:

Please list titles of all site reports below. Include name of consulting firm and year completed. (If there is not enough room for the entire list, please attach additional page(s) as necessary.)

Title:	By:	Date
Phase I Site Assessment	Environmental Associates, Inc.	March 5, 1996
Phase 2 Site Assessment	Groundwater Technology, Inc.	May 1, 1996
Site Assessment Report	Flour Daniel GTI	March 25, 1998
Voluntary Cleanup Report (current report)	ADaPT Engineering, Inc.	March 1, 2000
		1

Is additional information concerning the	contaminants treated or removed, or cleanup or remediation meth-	ods
used available in a data base? yes	no 🕅 If yes, what programming software is use?	
Is a copy included for our use? yes	no 🖂	

F) Property Type:	Commercial 🔀	Industrial 🗍	Residential	Other [] (Please specify)
Property currently be	eing used? yes 🔀	👖 no 🛄 🛄		
Plans for change in	use? yes 🔲 no	🔯 lf yes, ple	ase specify:	

#### G) Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Codes:

List all that apply. If none apply, or if you don't know your SIC code, list activities conducted at the site (i.e. automotive repair and maintenance, construction equipment storage, etc.).

Used auto sales and exterior car washing; auto repairs and other maintenance are not performed on the premises.

#### H) Dangerous Waste Facilities:

Does the facility have a dangerous waste identification number? yes I no I lf yes, what is the number? WAD

#### I) Tank Information:

Complete this table for ALL tanks, whether underground (UST) or aboveground (AST), including unregulated tanks.

(\*Unleaded, leaded diesel, bunker-C, waste oil, heating oil, aviation fuel, other (identify))

(\*\* Tank status: Left in Place, Removed, Closed in Place)

	and the second se		Was Free encounter	A DAME AND A DAME OF		
Tank ID	AST/UST	Size	*Product	On GW	In Excavation	**Tank Status
	UST	10K gal	no	no		Removed 1983
	UST	10K gal	no	no		Removed 1983
	UST	10K gal	no	no		Removed 1983
	·					
				<u> </u>		
					[	

### J) Owner/Operator History

(Please photocopy and attach copies if additional owners and/or operators are known.)

Т 1 1

1 ]

11

1

· · ·

Type (code) of Owner/Operator (for below): Private (1) Municipal (2) County (3) Federal (4) State (5) Tribal (6) Mixed (7) Other (8) Unknown (9) Public Entitle Acquisition via Bankruptcy (11)

1) Current Site Owner: Walker Subaru			Туре:
Street Address: 720 Rainier Avenue Sou	ith		
City: Seattle	State: V	NA ZIP: 98055	
Contact Persons (if different than owner,	above): Mr. Dale V	Walker	
Street Address:			
City:	State:	ZIP:	
Telephone Number: 425-226-2775	Extensi	ion:	
Fax Number:	e-mail a	address:	
Dates of Ownership:	to		

2) Current Facility Operator:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Туре:	
Street Address:			
City:	State:	ZIP:	
Contact Persons (if different than owner, abov	/e):		
Street Address:			
City:	State:	ZIP:	
Telephone Number:	Extension:		
Fax Number:	e-mail addres	SS:	
Dates of Operation: to	)		

3) Former Site Owner:		Туре:
Street Address:		
City:	State:	ZIP:
Contact Persons (if different than owner, above):		
Street Address:		
City:	State:	ZIP:
Telephone Number:	Extension:	
Fax Number:	e-mail address:	
Dates of Ownership: to		

4) Former Facility Operator:		Туре:
Street Address:		
City:	State:	ZIP:
Contact Persons (if different than owner, abov	/e):	
Street Address:		
City:	State:	ZIP:
Telephone Number:	Extension:	
Fax Number:	e-mail address:	:
Dates of Operation: to	)	

### K) Other Involved Parties:

(Please photocopy and attach copies if additional parties are involved)

<u></u>			 
1) Environmental Consultant: Charles Cacek / Dar	ryl Petrarca		
Representing:			
Firm: ADaPT Engineering			
Street Address: 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite	e 403		 
City: Seattle	State: WA	ZIP: 98134	
Telephone Number: 206-654-7045	Extension:		
Fax Number: 206-654-7048	e-mail address:		

Fax Number:	e-mail address:	
Dates of involvement with site:	to:	

3) Name:		
Relation to site/owner/operator:		
Firm:		
Street Address:		
City:	State:	ZIP:
Telephone Number:	Extension:	
Fax Number:	e-mail address:	
Dates of involvement with site:	to:	

4) Name:			
Relation to site/owner/operator:			
Firm:			
Street Address:			
City:	State:	ZIP:	
Telephone Number:	Extension:		
Fax Number:	e-mail address:		
Dates of involvement with site:	to:		

# **APPENDIX B**

## **FIGURES**




1

12/15/99 Job #: S-WA-99-2641

Date :



Inter 204 - DK? Via Gui - Inpaceted Gui - Inpaceted dieser / 571 LEGEND: GEOPROBE EXPLORATION No. AND LOCATION (GROUNDWATER TECH, 1996) GEOPROBE EXPLORATION No. AND APPROXIMATE LOCATION (ADAPT, 1999) MONITORING WELL No. AND APPROXIMATE Ð LOCATION (GROUNDWATER TECHNOLGY, 1998) PROP MONITORING WELL No. AND APPROXIMATE  $\bigcirc$ LOCATION (EPI, 1996) STORMDRAIN LINE SHOWING FLOW DIRECTION S SANITARY SEWER LINE SHOWING FLOW D DIRECTION CB CATCH BASIN A A' GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION ..... A-A' (SEE FIGURE 5) map pil FORMER SAFEWAY PARCEL NOT TO SCALE

FIGURI	E 3 - Site Plan	
Location :	Walker Subaru Used Car Lot	
	250 Rainier Avenue South	
	Renton, Washington 98055	1
Client :	Walker Subaru	~
Date :	2/22/00 <b>Job #</b> :S-WA-99-2641	_





-

.

\_\_\_\_\_\_



baru	•				
		Job	#	:	S-WA-99-2641



# **APPENDIX C**

÷

| ) | \_ )

> 4 i 1 '

# **TABLES**

١,

# TABLE 1:SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS - SOIL<br/>GEOPROBE EXPLORATIONS AND TEST BORINGS<br/>SOUND SUBARU, 250 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH<br/>RENTON, WASHINGTON<br/>ADAPT JOB NO. S-WA99-2641

Sample Number	Depth	PID	WTPH-DX	WTPH-D	WTPH-G	B	T	E	X	Total Pb		
	(feet)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)		
			ted on April 17									
SB1-B	10	NA	NT	NT	75	0.10	<0.5	0.15	3.4	NT		
SB2-B	10	32	NT	NT	74	<0.05	0.1	0.24	0.37	NT		
(\$B3⁼B⊃	3	C4400	NT	NT	Į <u>;</u> 2005)	4:6	25	17	ND	NT		
SB4-A-	3	@380>	NT	NT	× <b>\$2,600</b>	2.3	<0.4	<b>831</b> 50	,100-,	NT		
SB5-B	10	12	NT	NT	ND	ND	ND	ND	48	NT		
SB6-A	5	6	NT	NT	<1.0	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.10	NT		
SB7-A	7	10	NT	NT	2.1	<0.05	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.10	NT		
SB8-A	5	5	NT	60	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT		
Flour Daniel, samples collected on February 26 1998												
MW-1B	10-11.5	0	NT	NT	<5.0	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.10	27.4		
MW-1C	15-16.5	0	NT	NT	<5.0	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.05	<0.10	33.6		
MW-2A	5 to 6	0	NT	NT	<5.0	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.05	<0.10	77.7		
MW-2B	10-11.5	0	NT	NT	<5.0	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.10	<25		
MW-3B	10-11.5	0	NT	NT	<5.0	<0.05	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.10	<25		
-MW-3C-	15-16.5	0.1	47420	<b>*884</b>	30	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.10	232		
MW-4A	5-6.5	0	NT	NT	<5.0	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.10	<25		
MW-4B	10-11.5	0	NT	NT	<5.0	< 0.05	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.05	<25		
ADaPT Eng	ineering, sam	ples collected	on August 23,	1999	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
GP-1/10-13	10 to 13	5.3	NT	NT	<30	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.3	NT		
GP-6/3-4	3 to 4	5	NT	NT	<29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	45		
GP-7/3-4	3 to 4	3	NT	NT	<28	<0.28	<0.28	<0.28	<0.28	220		
GP-8/4-4.5	4 to 4 1/2	2	NT	NT	<29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	74		
GP=973-5-4	3.5 to 4	¢1,030>	NT	NT	N:8005	0:97	0.34	12	s1+15	240		
GP=10/3-4,	3 to 4	.986	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	36		
GP-11/10-13	10 to 13	0	NT	NT	<28	<0.28	<0.28	<0.28	<0.28	<6.1		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
MTCA					100	0.5	40	20	20	250		

Notes:

ppm: all concentrations reported in parts per million, which is equivalent to milligrams/kilogram PID: Photoionization detector

WTPH-G: Washington Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - Gasoline range

BTEX : benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes by EPA Method 8020

MTCA: Model Toxics Control Act (Method A cleanup levels shown)

: exceeds MTCA cleanup level

### TABLE 2 - VPH Calculations

I.

## Sample GP-10/3' to 4'

-----

	010 00	•	181 a vi									·
0-1-1-1-1-1-				sheet:			Sail to Cro					l
Galculatio	ns for Usin	g the TPH Inter	IM POLICY (I WO	Pathways	Human H	lealth and a	5011-to-Gro	undwater)				
					<del>_</del>		) - <sup>1</sup> 1 O 11 -				<sup> </sup>	
1. As in "Calculations											<u> </u>	
2. Examine the hazar						cal or fractio	on, and the	Conc. at th	e well."			
3. Hazard quotients for											ļ	
4. The hazard index (												
5. The risk for individu					residential I	and use or	1x10E-05 fc	or commerc	ial or indust	rial.	ļ	
<ol><li>The risk for the tota</li></ol>						_					ļ	
7. The "concentration												
8. If any exceedence	occurs in 3	-7 above, then th	ne cleanup level	for TPH ha	is not been	met.						L
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Soil Conc.	RfD	OCPF	Resid	lential	Comm	nercial	Indu	strial	Mol. Frac.	Effect. Sol.	Conc.@ well
Compound	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg*day)	(kg*day/mg)	HQ	Risk	HQ	Risk	HQ	Risk	(percent)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)
Aliphatics												
EC 5 - 6	19						-			0.01	0.4	0.020
EC >6 - 8	350									0.21	0.89	0.044
EC >8 -10	100									0.05	0.015	0.0008
EC >10 -12	480									0.18	0.0047	0.00024
EC >12 -16												
EC >16 - 21												
Total aliphatic	949	0.06		0.20		0.05		0.00				
Aromatics												
EC >8 - 10	660									0.33	21.6	1.082
EC >10 - 12	370									0.17	4.3	0.215
EC >12 - 16	84							-		0.03	0.20	0.010
EC >16 - 21												
EC >21 - 35												
Total aromatic	1114	0.03									-	
Benzene	3.5		0.029		1.02E-07		2.54E-08		7.73E-09	0.00	4.8	0.24
c-PAHs	0		7.3		0.00E+00		0.00E+00		0.00E+00			
Ethylbenzene	28	0.10		0.00		0.00		0.00				
Toluene	6.1	0.20	-	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	2.1	0.104
Xylenes	146	2.00		0.00	-	0.00		0.00		[		
Total aromatic:+B-E-X		0.03		0.39		0.10		0.01				
Total				×0.60	<1.02E-07		2.54E-08	·	7.73E-09	1.00000	N. S. C. S.	
*Note: This worksheet calculates Methods B and C soil cleanup levels for TPH for two pathways:												
"direct contact human												
must be considered (s	ee "Interim	Policy"). In Add	ition to not exce	eding a TPI	H level in th	e groundwa	iter of 1.0 m	ıg/L,				
there cannot be excee	edance in the	e groundwater fo	or individual sub	stances suc	ch as the "B	SETX" comp	ounds.					

- -

-----

ï

# **TABLE 3 - EPH Calculations**

1

## Sample GP-3/13'15'

			Worl	sheet:								
Calculation	ns for Usin	g the TPH Inter	im Policy (Two	Pathways:	Human H	ealth and S	Soil-to-Gro	undwater)*				
1. As in "Calculations	for Using th	ne TPH Interim P	olicy" example	out the soil of	concentratio	ons in the "S	Soil Conc" c	olumn.				
2. Examine the hazard									e well."			
3. Hazard quotients fo	or individual	substances or fi	actions cannot	exceed 1.0								
4. The hazard index (												
5. The risk for individu	ial substanc	ce or fractions ca	innot exceed 1x	10E-06 for 1	residential la	and use or	1x10E-05 fc	or commerci	al or indust	rial.		
6. The risk for the tota												
7. The "concentration												
8. If any exceedence	occurs in 3	-7 above, then th	ne cleanup level	for TPH ha	s not been	met.						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Soil Conc.	RfD	OCPF	Resid	ential	Comm	nercial	Indu	strial	Mol. Frac.	Effect. Sol.	Conc.@ well
Compound	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg*day)	(kg*day/mg)	HQ	Risk	HQ	Risk	НQ	Risk	(percent)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)
Aliphatics	(119,119)	(inging duj)	(ng daying)							(p. 61 6 6 1 m)	(37	(
EC 5 - 6										0.00	0.0	0.000
EC >6 - 8	0									0.00	0.00	0.000
EC >8 -10	0									0.00	0.000	0.0000
EC >10 -12	0				-					0.00	0.0000	0.00000
EC >12 -16	14				-				· · ·	0.05	0.00003	0.00000
EC >16 - 21	60									0.15	0.0000002	0.000000
Total aliphatic 🦛 🖬 🔒	<b>M</b> . 374	0.06		0.02		0.00		0.00				
Aromatics												
EC >8 - 10	0			-						0.00	0.0	0.000
EC >10 - 12	0									0.00	0.0	0.000
EC >12 - 16	0									0.00	0.00	0.000
EC >16 - 21	36									0.13	0.066	0.0033
EC >21 - 35	236									0.67	0.00443	0.0002
Total aromatic	* 272	0.03									·	
Benzene	0		0.029		0.00E+00		0.00E+00		0.00E+00	0.00	0.0	0.00
c-PAHs	0		7.3		0.00E+00		0.00E+00		0.00E+00			
Ethylbenzene	0	0.10		0.00		0.00		0.00				
Toluene	0			0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	0.000
Xylenes	0	2.00		0.00		0.00		0.00				
Total aromatic:+B-E-X	272	0.03		0.11		0.03		0.00				
Total				set, 50.13	≨0.00E+00	€ <u>5</u> 2≍0.03	*0.00E+00	<b>≩</b> ⊘.00	0.00E+00	1:00000		r. 0.0
*Note: This workshee	t calculates	Methods B and	C soil cleanup le	evels for TP	H for two n	athwavs:						<u>├</u>
"direct contact human							nd surface v	vater				
must be considered (s												
there cannot be excee								<u> </u>	·			
						···· • •••••P		L			1	· _ · · · ·

-----

# TABLE 4:SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS - SOIL<br/>PUMP ISLAND EXCAVATION<br/>SOUND SUBARU, 250 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH<br/>RENTON, WASHINGTON<br/>ADAPT JOB NO. S-WA99-2641

Number	T		PID	WTPH-G	В	Т	E	Х	Total Pb		
	Location	(feet)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)		
SS-1	Southeast	4	1.2	<5.8	<0.058	<0.058	<0.058	<0.116	<5.8		
SS-2	Southeast	4	8	<5	<0.058	<0.058	<0.058	<0.058	<5.6		
SS-3	Northwest	4	4	<5.3	0.10	<0.53	<0.53	0.27	<5.3		
SS-4	Southwest	3.5	20	48	0.13	<0.58	2.20	15.1	425		
*SS-5	Southeast	3.5	88	330	<0.29	<0.29	2.00	4.21	NT		
*SS-6	Southeast	3.5	49	250	<0.29	<0.29	1.50	2.6	NT		
SS-7	Northwest	4	3	<30	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	<0.60	NT		
SS-8	Northwest	3	2.2	92	5.9	0.39	4.30	20.8	NT		
SS-9	Northwest	3	4	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT ·		
*SS-10	Northwest	3	938	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT		
SS-11	Southeast	2.5	16.5	<6	<0.06	<0.06	0.06	0.36	NT		
SS-12	Southeast	3.5	12.9	<6	0.071	<0.06	<0.06	<0.12	NT		
SS-13	Northwest	2.5	67	100	4	0.15	2.80	14.5	NT		
Soil Stockpile -	Soil Stockpile - used as backfill at site										
SP-3/SP-4				36	0.074	<0.057	0.63	3.91	NT		

MTCA	100	0.5	40	20	20	250

Notes:

ppm: all concentrations reported in parts per million, which is equivalent to milligrams/kilogram PID: Photoionization detector WTPH-G: Washington Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - Gasoline range

BTEX : benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes by EPA Method 8020

MTCA: Model Toxics Control Act (Method A cleanup levels shown) .

: exceeds MTCA cleanup level

\* = Areas susequently overexcavated and resampled

# TABLE 5:SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS - GROUNDWATER<br/>GEOPROBE EXPLORATIONS<br/>SOUND SUBARU<br/>250 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH, SNOHOMISH, WASHINGTON<br/>ADAPT JOB NO. S-WA99-2641

- |

1

Well	WTPH-D	WTPH-D-X	WTPH-G	В	T	E	X				
Number	Motor oil-range	(Diesel-range)									
	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)				
Samples collected by Groundwater Technology on April 17, 1996											
SB-1 NT NT 2,100 13 1.4 42											
SB-3	NT	NT	560	4.3	8.1	8.6					
SB-5	NT	NT	66	1.5	2.2	<0.5	2.9				
SB-6	NT	<0.25	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<1.0				
SB-8	NT	NT	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0				
Samples coll	ected by ADaPT	on August 23, 19	999								
GP-1, W-1	NT	NT	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0				
GP-3, W-1	1,100	<250	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT				
GP-4, W-1	<500	<250	NT	NT	NT	NT_	NT				
GP-11,W-1	NT	NT	<100	<1	<1	<1	<1				
MTCA	1,000	1,000	1,000	5	40	30	20				

Notes

ppb:

1

All concentrations reported in parts per billion, which is equivalent to micrograms per liter (ug/l)

- -

· · · · · ·

WTPH-G: Washington Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons-Gasoline Range

BTEX: Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes by EPA Method 8020.

WTPH-D: WTPH-Diesel Range

WTPH-D-X: WTPH-Diesel Range extended (motor oil-range)

MTCA: Model Toxics Control Act (Method A cleanup levels shown)

#### 

# TABLE 6:SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS - GROUNDWATER<br/>GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS<br/>SOUND SUBARU<br/>250 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH, SNOHOMISH, WASHINGTON<br/>ADAPT JOB NO. S-WA99-2641

Well	Date	WTPH-DX	WTPH-D	WTPH-G	В	Т	E	X
Number	Collected	(Motor oil-range)	(Diesel-range)					
		(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)
MW-1	4-Mar-98	553	<750	5,230	10.2	6.06	145	502 -
	15-Dec-99	NT	NT	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0
MW-2	4-Mar-98	<250	<750	<50	<0.5	<1.0	<0.5	<1.0 ,
	15-Dec-99	NT	NT	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0
MW-3	4-Mar-98	3,510	757	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0
	15-Dec-99	<750	1,680	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0
MW-4	4-Mar-98	1,000	564	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0
	15-Dec-99	<750	716	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0
MTCA		1,000	1,000	1,000	5	40	30	20

Notes

ppb:

All concentrations reported in parts per billion, which is equivalent to micrograms per liter (ug/l)

WTPH-G: Washington Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons-Gasoline Range

BTEX: Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes by EPA Method 8020.

WTPH-D: WTPH-Diesel Range

WTPH-D-X: WTPH-Diesel Range extended (motor oil-range)

MTCA: Model Toxics Control Act (Method A cleanup levels shown)

# TABLE 7:SUMMARY OF FLUID LEVELSWALKER SUBARU250 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH, RENTON, WASHINGTONADAPT JOB NO. S-WA99-2641

Well No.	Top of Casing	Date Collected	Depth to Water	Groundwater
	Elevation (feet)		(feet)	Elevation (feet)
MW-1	*99.16	4-Mar-98	11.05	88.11
	**96.27	10-Sep-99	11.35	84.92
		14-Dec-99	8.87	87.40
MW-2	*99.14	4-Mar-98	10.96	88.18
	**96.24	10-Sep-99	11.29	84.95
		14-Dec-99	8.75	87.49
MW-3	*100.76	4-Mar-98	12.53	88.23
	**97.88	10-Sep-99	12.82	85.06
		14-Dec-99	10.17	87.71
MW-4	*100.08	4-Mar-98	11.87	88.21
	**97.22	10-Sep-99	12.18	85.04
		14-Dec-99	9.52	87.70
MW-A	**97.03	9-Oct-99	11.99	85.04
L		14-Dec-99	9.21	87.82

Notes:

1

\* = Casing elevation based on relative survey by Flour Daniel, utilizing a tempory benchmark of 100.00 feet.

\*\* = Casing elevation based on relative survey by ADaPT on 9/10/99, utilizing a tempory benchmark of 100.00 feet.

# **APPENDIX D**

T

; --.

# ADAPT FIELD PROTOCOLS

## **ADAPT FIELD PROTOCOLS**

#### ADAPT Field Screening Techniques/Sample Location Selection

The field screening techniques conducted by ADaPT and sample locations selected by ADAPT are discussed below.

Soil samples collected from the pump island excavations by ADaPT were, in general, collected in accordance with Ecology Guidance for Site Checks and Site Assessments for USTs (Publication #90-52. revised 10-92). ADaPT cannot comment on the sampling technique and protocols used by the other consultants who performed work at the site. Soil samples were collected from the sidewalls and base of each pump island excavation based on the Ecology criteria and field indications of petroleum hydrocarbon presence in the exposed soil. Field indications included visual and olfactory screening conducted within health and safety guidelines specified for the site and potential contaminants of concern. Field indications also included results of photoionization detector (PID) screening. PID screening was also completed on soil samples collected from borings completed ADAPT.

Geoprobe explorations completed at the site were positioned in areas deemed most likely to be affected by previous site activities. These areas included the locations of the former dispensers, gasoline USTs, and the southeastern portion of the site. Subsequent Geoprobe exploration locations were selected based on groundwater flow direction and other factors to delineate the lateral and vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbon impacts.

#### SOIL SAMPLING

Soil sampling methods conducted by Groundwater Technology, Inc. and Flour Daniel GTI were not observed by ADaPT personnel and, therefore, ADaPT cannot comment on their methods. ADaPT soil sampling methods are described below.

Soil samples collected from excavations were obtained by digging soil from the desired locations using a backhoe. Samples were then collected from freshly exposed surfaces in the backhoe bucket using a clean steel spatula and placed directly into laboratory provided glass containers. The samples were collected from areas which were inferred to have not come into contact with the backhoe bucket.

Prior to initiation of Geoprobe exploration activities at each location, the downhole equipment (such as steel rods, casing and measuring equipment) were thoroughly cleaned using a high-pressure, hot-water washer. All cleaning water was contained for later disposal. Soil samples were collected during Geoprobe exploration procedures for lithologic description, field screening and laboratory analysis. Soil boring logs which present lithologic descriptions, field screen results and other relevant information are presented in Appendix B. Soil samples were collected during Geoprobe advancement utilizing direct drive sampling technology, which included advancing a 3-foot long, 2-inch diameter split spoon sampler. Prior to each sampling event, the split-barrel sampler was thoroughly cleaned using a mixture of non-phosphate detergent and a stiff brush. The sampler was then thoroughly rinsed with clean water and placed in a decontaminated location prior to use.

Soils recovered from the explorations were continuously monitored for lithologic description and PID, olfactory or visual indications of petroleum hydrocarbon presence. Soil samples were screened, on-site, using a hand held photoionization detector (PID) as described below.

Upon collection, each soil sample was evenly divided into field screen and laboratory splits. The laboratory split was immediately contained in labeled, laboratory provided, glass jars with teflon lined lids and placed in a cooler to maintain a storage and transport temperature of 4°C. The field screen split was contained in a laboratory provided glass jar filled to approximately half of its total volume. The field screen jar was covered with aluminum foil secured to the top with the jar cap. The field split was then allowed to sit for approximately 15 minutes. The resulting headspace was screened by inserting a photoionization detector (PID) probe through the aluminum foil. The PID screen provided a qualitative assessment of total volatile organic constituent (VOC) concentration in the sample headspace and aided in selection of samples which were submitted for quantitative laboratory analysis. Soil samples were submitted under chain-of-custody documentation to the selected laboratory for quantitative analysis.

#### Approach Used to Select Number and Location of Samples for Analysis

Soil samples were selected for laboratory analysis on the basis of depth of collection, visual and olfactory observations, and PID field screening. Excavations sample locations were selected to characterize the distribution of contaminant concentrations at the limits of the excavation.

In general, samples were collected from areas which appeared most likely to be impacted based on field observations and field screening results, and were sampled in accordance with Ecology guidelines. In the absence of any field indications of petroleum constituents, samples collected from depths where contaminants were known to exist in other parts of the site were selected for laboratory testing. In soil borings performed by ADaPT, this was typically near the groundwater interface.

#### GROUNDWATER SAMPLING Well Micro-Purging Procedures

Prior to sample collection, all wells were purged. Of approximately 3 well casing volumes of groundwater or until temperature, pH, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen readings do not vary by more than 10 percent for three consecutive readings whichever is less. Purging of the well(s) will be considered complete when these readings have stabilized within at least 10% of the two preceding measurement over a time period at least three minutes apart (USEPA,1992). If these readings are not being taken, ADaPT personnel will remove at least 3 well casing volumes of water to ensure that the amount of water removed was adequate. All readings and observations (turbidity, color, odors...) should be recorded in the field notebook/file and transposed in accordance with the documentation standards.

Purging of the wells was accomplished using a low flow peristaltic pump. A purge rates of approximately 0.2 liters/minute was utilized so that measurable drawdown of the well did not occur. All purge water was placed in containers as indicated in until it is properly characterized for disposal purposes. All equipment used for purging will be decontaminated accordingly to standard procedures. Purging of the wells was completed in order of increasing contamination to minimize the risk of cross contamination.

#### POST SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

Once the samples was collected, the sample bottles were then properly labeled, covering both the lid and the container so the seal has to be broken to open it. The sample were then placed in a plastic bag and preserved

at approximately 4°C in a cooler with ice. Associated paperwork (e.g. Chain of Custody forms, Sample Analysis Request forms) was then completed and stayed with the samples until they were delivered to the analytical testing laboratory. The samples are packaged in a manner that will allow the appropriate storage temperature to be maintained during shipment to the lab.

#### SURVEYING

In order to establish a groundwater gradient, or direction of groundwater migration, ADaPT completed a preliminary survey of the wells on the subject site. The survey included measuring relative elevations of the well casings for assessing the potentiometric gradient. When using the surveying equipment, ADaPT followed the procedures outlined below:

- Set up tripod and level. Adjust level until air bubble is in the center of the black circle. Rotate the level 90° -bubble should still be in the center of the circle. Rotate another 90° assure bubble is still in the center of the circle.
- Second person holding stadia rod should set the rod on the highest point of the well casing and mark this spot with a waterproof marker.
- Look through level viewfinder toward the stadia rod. Focus until numbers on rod are readable. Read the number on the engineering rod that coincides with the middle crosshair.
- When using the extendable engineering rod, the measurements can be read directly from the stadia rod and recorded in the field notebook for each well location. The markings on the rod are in Increments of 0.01 feet.
- Measurements are collected from the center of the well vault lid and from a marked location at the top of the casing.
- The first measurement is the obtained from the assigned datum location. All future measurements will be compared to the assigned datum.
- Proceed to obtain measurements from all wells with visual site of the assigned datum. If necessary the transit may need to be moved to obtain measurement from wells not visible from the first location.
- To move the transit identify a second (temporary) datum point. Obtain measurement of this point.
- Move transit to new location where additional wells can be measured. Obtain reading of second datum and primary datum and if possible one of the previous wells.
- Complete measurements of all wells and calculate the relative elevation using the following formula.
  - Assign datum elevation + rod reading at assigned datum rod reading at well = elevation
- Repeat calculation for vault elevation and top of casing elevation.

# **APPENDIX E**

# ADAPT GEOPROBE EXPLORATION LOGS

(	GEOPROBE LOG SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134									
								TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206	.654.704	18
PR	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used Car 250 Rainier Avenue Sout Renton, Washington 980	h	N	ımb	er :		WA	99-2641 Boring No.		
	on Reference : N/A Surface Elevation : N/A	Well Comple Casing Elevi		N/A N/A				AS-BUILT DESIGN		TESTING
DEPTH (foel)			SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOW		GROUND WATER			(Soil)
-0-			35	3ž	<u> </u>	ŐË	<u>5</u> 9			
		-						- - -		
		-						-		
		-						• •		
	Moist to wet, brown, gravelly fine SA	ND, some	π			0.0		•		
-5	silt, no odor (Fill)	-	-  -					-		
		-	-     -					-		
	- <u>-</u>	-				0.0		-		
	Trace recovery	-	-     -			0.0				
		-	-    -					Temporary well screen install	led at	L
-10-								10 to 14 feet depth		
	Soft-loose, moist to wet, brown to gr	ay, gravelly,	_     _			2.0		-		WTPH- G/BTEX
	silty, fine SAND (Fill)						<b>.</b>	-		
							Ξ			
	Exploration terminated at 13 feet dep	oth					-			
45		-						-		
-15-							!	*		
		-						-		
		-						-		
		-						-		·
		-						-		
-20-		-	- `-					-		
		-			1			-		
		-						-		
		-						-		
		-						-		<u>`</u>
-25-		-								
		-								
		-						-		
		-								
		· -						г. — <sup>с</sup> . Г		
-30-		-						-		
	GEND					L	!	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	2-Inch O. D. Split-Spoon Sample	DATE Static Water L	evrel at C	<b>g</b> olüh(				Grab Sample		
$\top$	- 1" Geoprobe	DATE Static Water L	evel			WTPH-D 8010	Eq.	Type of Analytical Testing Used		
	Sample not Recovered	Perched Grou	ndwater			NF		No Recovery		Page: 1 of 1
Drilling	Start Date : 8/23/99 (	Drilling Completion D	ate :		8/23/		J	At Time of Oniling	occed By	

	GEOPROBE LOG	i						ADaPT Engineering, In 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 981: TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.65	403 34	
PF	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used C 250 Rainier Avenue So Renton, Washington 98	uth	b Ni	umb	er:		WA	99-2641 Boring No. :	GP-	
	Ion Reference : N/A d Surface Elevation : N/A	Well Comp Casing Ele	vation :	N/A N/A				AS-BUILT DESIGN	<u> </u>	TESTING
DEPTH (feet)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOW	OVM READING	GROUND			(Soil)
-0-								· · ·		
			† '	1						
	Moist, brown, grading to gravish-bl	ack with green	ŤΠ	1		0.0				
	fine sandy, gravelly SILT, with som fragments (Fill)									
-5-	Moist to wet, brown to dark brown,	silty fine SAND	Ш						L	
	with some gravel and brick fragme	nts				0.0				
									Ì	
			ΤII						Ī	
	Exploration terminated at 8 feet de	pth							Γ	
			Ť						ſ	
-10-			Ť	1					Ē	-
			† ·						. [	
			† '					-	Ē	• •
	• .		† ·						F	
			† .			ł			Ţ	
+15-			† .					-	F	
╞──			† .						F	
			+ ·					-		
┢──			† .					-	F	
	·		+ ·		;				ŀ	
-20-			+ ·		· ·				ŀ	
⊢			+ ·		i				-	
┣─			+ .	ł			{ .	-	ŀ	
			+ ·	1				ŀ	┣	
			+ ·	-	ļ			ŀ	┢	
-25-			+ .	1	l			ŀ	┝	<u>.                                    </u>
┣			+ -	-				ŀ	┝	
┣—			1 .	-				ŀ	┝	
┣			+ .	4				ŀ	┝	
<b> </b>			Į .	1				↓ · ·	-	
-30-			1.	1				ŀ	Ļ	
	GEND	<u></u> ;;		<u> </u>	<b></b>	-L	<u> </u>	L.,		
	2-Inch O, D, Split-Spoon Sample	DATE Static Water	Level at	Drilling				Grab Sample		
<u> </u>	1" Geoprobe	DATE Static Water	Level			WTPH+0 801	Ext	Type of Analytical Testing Used		
$ $ $\rightarrow$	Sample not Recovered	Perched Gro	oundwate	r		NI		No Recovery At Time of Drilling	F	Page: 1 of 1
Drillin	g Start Date : 8/23/99	Drilling Completion	Date :		8/23/				ged By :	CCC

. 1

; ; ;

١.

1 ~

. I

.

CCC

Logged By :

	GEOPROBE LOG	ADaPT Engineering, Inc. 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134 TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.654.7048						
PR	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used Car Lot 250 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98055	o N	ųm	ber:		WA	99-2641 Boring No. : G	P-3
Ground	on Reference : N/A Well Compl Surface Elovation : N/A Casing Elev	leted : /ation :	N/A N/A	\			AS-BUILT DESIGN	TESTING
DEPTH (faet)		SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOW	ADING	ground Water	(Soil)	
-0-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ä≿	5 Z	<u>ਛਠ</u>	52	GF W		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ł	$\frac{1}{2}$				-	
		ł	-				-	
_		ł	-					
		<b> </b>	-		0.0		_	
-5	Moist, black with green, gravelly, silty, fine to medium SAND with minor brick and asphalt (Fill)						-	
	Moitt, gray to dark gray, silty, gravelly fine SAND	TII	]		0.0			
	with minor brick fragments and silt-rich inclusions (Fill)		] .					
		ţΠ	1				-	
		†	-		0.0			
-10-	Moist to saturated, gray to black, gravelly, silty	111	1				-	
	fine SAND with silt-rich zones; 12.3'-13'-	†	1	:			-	
	Petroleum odor	†	1		3.3		Temporary well screen installed at	EPH
	Grades to saturated dark gray to black, silty	┟╫					12 to 16 feet depth	
	gravelly fine to coarse SAND over black silt with	H	1	· · · ·	1.5		-	
-15-	wood fragments, petroleum odor Exploration terminated at 15 feet depth		÷			<u> </u>		· ·
		ł					-	;
		ł	ł				-	· . ·
		ł	-				- · ·	· · · ·
		╞	-					·
-20-		ł	-				-	
		Ļ	-				-	
		Ļ	1				-	
		ļ	1				-	
		Ļ					-	
-25-		ļ						
20							_	
		T			1			
		Ť	1				ſ	
		†	1				†	
-30-		<u>†</u>	1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
	CEND - 2-Inch O. D. Split-Spoon Sample						Grab Sample	1
	DATE				WTPHO	_		
_ll	DATE				6010 N	•	Type of Analytical Testing Used	Page :
Drillion	Sample not Recovered			8/23/	A		No Recovery At Time of DrillingLogged B	1 of 1 v: CCC

Drilling Start Date : 8/23/99

ł

l

---

ł

.... -

÷ .

(	GEOPROBE LOG SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134 TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.654.7048										
PF	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used Car L 250 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98055		) Ni	umb	er :		WA	99-2641 E	Boring No. :	GP-4	
	on Reference : N/A Surface Elevation : N/A	Well Comple Casing Elev	ation :	N/A N/A				AS-BUILT I	DESIGN	TESTING	
DEPTH (feet)			SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOW	OVM READING	GROUND WATER			(Soil)	
-0-	3" Asphalt										
		-						r			
_		-						-			
		-	<b>-</b>					-			
	Moist, gray-black, silty fine SAND with fragments (Fill)	brick -	Π			0.0		<b>-</b> .			
-5-		-	[]]					-			
		· · · -	╞║╹					-			
		-	<b>  </b>  -			0.0		-			
		-	<u> </u>					-			
		-	<u></u>					-			
-10-	Saturated, gray, silty, gravelly, fine to c	oarse	╞╢╋			1.0		-			
	SAND with brick fragments (Fill)		+     -					-		WTPH- DX	
┣	Saturated, gray, fine sandy SILT - no o	dor	-     -				Ě	• .		<u>U</u> A	
	Exploration terminated at 13 feet depth					╞──		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		-	+ -					-			
-15-		-	- ·							[	
	,	:	+ -	1				-			
┢──	·		ŧ.								
⊢		· .	ł.					-			
├		-						-			
-20-			╞	1							
			÷ -					-	• .		
┣──			+ ·	1				-			
			+ ·	1				-			
┣──			+ ·	1				-			
-25-		-	+ ·	1	ļ			-			
<b> </b>			+ .	-	ļ	1		-			
┣			+ .	-				ŀ			
┣			+ .	-				ŀ			
┣—			+ ·	-				ŀ			
-30-			<u></u> . −					ŀ			
LE	GEND				·			······································			
		ATE Static Water I	evel at	Ddiling				Grab Sample			
_   _	1º Geoprobe D	ATE Static Water I	Lovel			WTPH-0 601		Type of Fnalytical Tosting Used			
		Perched Grou	undwat0	r		N	J RI 11D	No Recovery		Page: 1 of 1	
Drillin		ing Completion D	Date :		8/23/			At Time of Drilling	Logg	ed By: CCC	

. .

1

1

1

	GEOPROBE LOG SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134 TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.654.7048									
PR	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used Car Lot 250 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98055	b Ni	umk	per:		WA	99-2641 Boring No. : 0	àP-5		
Elevati Ground	an Raferonce : N/A Well Com I Surface Elevation : N/A Casing El	pleted : evation :	N/A N/A				AS-BUILT DESIGN	TESTING		
DEPTH (feet)		SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOW	OVM READING	GROUND WATER		(Soil)		
-0-	3" Asphalt									
		Ť	1							
		† ·								
		† '								
		+ ·		ľ			-			
-5-		+ ·	1				-			
		+ ·	ł				-			
		+ .					-			
<b> </b>		+ .	-				-			
		+	4				-			
-10-	· · ·	<b>.</b>					<b>.</b> · · · ·			
	Minor recovery-moist to wet, gray-brown silty fine		1	i			_			
	SAND, some gravel, with silt-rich zones and wood fragments, petroleum odor (Fill)				0.0	$\nabla$	-			
						8/23 1999				
		TII					-			
		$\Pi$	1		0.0		-			
-15-			1				- -			
	Exploration terminated at 16 feet depth						· · · · · ·			
		1					-			
<b> </b>		†					-			
<b>—</b>		+								
-20-		+			ŀ		-			
<b> </b>	· · · ·	+	-							
<u> </u>		+ -	-							
$\vdash$		+	-				-			
<b> </b>		+	-				-			
-25-		+	-			1				
		1					-			
ŀ			ļ				-			
	· ·									
00		Ţ								
-30-	GEND	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>		L	[	-		
		r Lovel at	Drilling				Grab Sample	-1		
	2-Inch O. D. Split-Spoon Sample     2-Inch O. D. Split-Spoon Sample     DATE     Static Wate     1* Geoprobe     DATE     Static Wate     DATE		-				Type of Analytical Testing Used			
	- DATE DATE DATE Control DATE Perched Gr		r		801/ NJ	0	No Recovery	Page :		
	g Start Date : 8/23/99 Drilling Completion			8/23/	A1		At Time of Drilling Logged	1 of 1 By: CCC		

٢,

1

1

(	GEOPROBE LOG B00 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134 TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.654.7048											
PROJECT : Walker Subaru Used Car Lot 250 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98055 Job Number : WA99-2641 Boring No. : GP-6												
	Ion Reference : N/A d Surface Elevation : N/A		Well Comple Casing Elev	nted : ation :	N/A N/A				AS-BUILT DESIGN	TESTING		
DEPTH (feet)				SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOW	OVM READING	GROUND WATER		(Soil)		
-0-	3" Asphalt			35	3 Z	<u>ਛੱਠ</u>	05	<u>5</u> 3				
									-			
	Moist, dark gray with brown, silty, gr SAND, varies to silt dominant; mino odor from 3 feet to 4 feet depth	r petrole								WTPH- G/BTEX Total Pb		
-5-			-				15		-			
	Molst, gray, silty, gravelly fine SANE (Fill)	), no ọdd	or -						-			
			-	.					-			
				Ш			0.0					
	Exploration terminated at 8 feet dep	th ·	-	.			ł					
-10-			-	ļ .					-			
			-	 					-			
				ļ .								
			-	ļ .	-							
				  -					<b>.</b>			
-15-			-	┟.					-			
			-	+ -			ŀ		-			
				+ .					-			
_			-	+ .					-			
				+ .	-				-			
-20-				+ ·	-				<b>-</b> .			
			•	+ ·					-			
			-	ť								
				ť					-			
			-	ţ.					-			
-25-			•	† '	1				-			
				† ·	1							
	· ·			Ī					[			
	1			Ī								
20	]											
-30- LE	] GEND			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	L				
	2-inch O. D. Split-Spoon Samplo	DATE	Static Water	.evel at	Drilling				Grab Semple			
	1* Geoprobe		Static Water I	•			WTPH-C B01	•	Type of Analytical Testing Used	Page :		
	Sample not Recovered	<u> </u>	Perched Gros	undwater	r 		N A	a 10	No Recovery At Time of Drilling	1 of 1		

.

Drilling Start Date : 8/23/99

,

·---

۰.

,

.

, -1 - 1 à.

, 1 - 1

}\_\_

	GEOPROBE LOC	•		ADaPT Engineering, Inc. 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134 TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.654.7048						
PR	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used C 250 Rainier Avenue Sc Renton, Washington 9	outh	) Ni	umb	per :		WA	99-2641 Boring		
	on Reference : N/A Surface Elevation : N/A	Weil Compl Casing Elev		N/A N/A				AS-BUILT DESIGN		TESTING
DEPTH (feet)			SAMPLE		BLOW	OVM READING	GROUND WATER			(Soil)
-0-	Concrete		-10	<u> </u>		0.#	05			
			+ .		1			-		
	Moist to damp, dark brown grading gravelly silty fine SAND to fine sar petroleum odors at 3 to 4 feet dep	ndy SILT; minor				11 0		- - -		WTPH- G/BTEX Total Pb
-5-	Moist to damp, black, silty, gravely with silt-rich inclusions, no odor (F					2				
						0				
	Exploration terminated at 8 feet de	pth .	ļ.			ŀ		-		
-10-			ļ .					<b>-</b> .		·
		•	ļ.					-		
			ļ .					-		<u></u>
			↓ .					-		
<b> </b>			+ -					-		·
-15-			+ .					-		
<b>—</b>			+ -					-		
		-	+ .					-		
		· .	+ -					-		· · · ·
			+ -					-		
-20-			+ .	ł						
			+ .	ł				-		·
			+ -					-		
			+ ·					-		<u> </u>
			f -					-		<u></u>
-25-			╞╶					-		
			+ -					-		·
		•	╞╶					-		
			╞╶╴					-		
$\vdash$			+ -							
-30-			<u>+</u> -			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
	GEND									
_	2-Inch O. D. Split-Spoon Sample 	DATE Static Water Level at Drilling				WTPHO		Grab Sample		
	1° Geoprobe  ∕ Sample not Recoverad	DATE Static Water Level				BOID	)	Type of Analytical Testing Used		Page :
	Illing Start Date :         8/23/99         Drilling Completion Date :         8/23					TA_		At Time of Drilling	Logged By	1 of 1 : CCC

<u>ر</u> ،

Ē

0	GEOPROBE LOG		ADaPT Engineering, Inc. 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134 TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.654.7048							
PR	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used Car Lot Jo 250 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98055	b N	uml	oer:	: WA99-2641 Boring No. : GP-8					
	on Reference : N/A Well Coa	npleted : levation :	N/A N/A				AS-BUILT DESIGN		TESTING	
DEPTH (feet)		AMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOW	ovm Reading	GROUND			(Soil)	
-0-	Concrete		02	<u> </u>		05				
$\square$		t	1		ļ		- -		<u></u> _	
<u> </u>	Moist to wet, gray / green / black, gravelly, silty		1				-			
	fine SAND with organic-rich zones, wood and	$\dagger \parallel$	1				-			
	bricks fragments, trace petroleum odor	+			в				WTPH-	
-5-		╂╫	$\mathbf{H}$						<u>G/BTEX</u> Total	
		+	4	}			-		<u>Pb</u>	
		+	-				- -			
<b> </b>	Exploration terminated at 8 feet depth	_ Ш	-	┣──	6					
	Exploration terminated at 6 feet depth	+	4				-			
-10-		Ļ	1				-			
		ļ			ļ	1	-	1		
		T	]							
		Ť	1				• •			
-15-		Ť.	1	1						
		Ť	-				-			
-		t	-				-			
<u> </u>		+ ·	-				-			
		+							<u>, _</u>	
-20-		+	-							
		+	-							
		+	4				-			
		ļ	-				ŀ			
		ļ	1							
-25-		ļ	]		1					
		Ţ					Į			
		Ī							. <u>.</u>	
		Ť	1				[			
		1	1				ţ			
[		†	1				ŀ			
-30-		<u>+</u>	1	<u> </u>			F			
	GEND	<u>,</u> ,								
	2-Inch O. D. Split-Spoon Sample DATE Static Wa		Drilling				Grab Sample			
	1° Geoprobe	tar Level			WTPH-0	0	Type of Analytical Testing Used		Page :	
	Samplo not Recovered	. •	•			R TD	No Recovery At Time of Drilling		1 of 1	
Drillin	g Start Date : 8/23/99 Drilling Completion	n Date :		8/23	/99	-		Logged By	: CCC	

•

[ \_\_\_\_

 $\hat{[}]$ 

۔ لہ۔

 $\overline{}$ 

ł

•

.

(	GEOPROBE LOG		ADaPT Engineering, Inc. 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134 TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.654.7048							
PR	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used Car Lot 250 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98055	o Ni	umb	er:	WA99-2641 Boring No. : GP-9					
	on Reference : N/A Well Compl I Surface Elevation : N/A Casing Elev	eted : /ation ;	N/A N/A				AS-BUILT DESI	GN	TESTING	
		SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELOW BLOW	OVM READING	GROUND WATER			(Soil)	
	4" Concrete over									
-5-	Moist, brown, silty, gravelly SAND over dark gray, gravelly fine sandy SILT with moderate petroleum odor over gray / green silty fine SAND, some gravel; grades to moist, gray / brown silty, gravelly fine SAND trace petroleum odor				140		- - -		WTPH- G/BTEX Total Pb	
		╏			1.4		-	,	· ·	
	Exploration terminated at 8 feet depth								<u> </u>	
-10-				1						
							-			
		<u> </u>	-				-			
		+ -					-			
-15-							-			
		Į.					-			
<b> </b>		↓ .					-		· · · · ·	
		+ .					-			
-20-		+ ·					-			
		ļ .					ŀ			
		+ .					-			
-25-		+ ·					-			
		† ·					₽ 			
		ŧ.								
		[ ]					-			
-30-	· · · ·	<b>.</b> .					-			
LEC	BEND							·	]	
	2-Inch O. D. Split-Spoon Sample DATE Static Water Level at Drilling						Grab Sample			
Ì	Tr Geoprobe     The static Water I     DATE     Sample not Recovered     The static Water I     DATE     Perched Group				WTPH-D B010 NH	·	Type of Analytical Testing Used No Recovery		Page :	
	Sample not Recovered Start Date : 8/23/99 Drilling Completion D			8/23/	AT		At Time of Drilling	Logged By	1 of 1	

,

۰.,

1.<sup>1</sup> 1

.

; -

,

·· ,

ι\_

*.*—

; '

.

(	GEOPROBE LOC	ì						ADaPT Engineering, Inc. 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134 TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.654.70	48
PF	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used C 250 Rainier Avenue So Renton, Washington 9	outh -	b Ni	umt	per :		WA		P-10
	on Reference : N/A I Surface Elevation : N/A	Well Comp Casing Ele		N/A N/A				AS-BUILT DESIGN	TESTING
DEPTH (faet)			SAMPLE	SAMPLE	COUNT	OVM READING	GROUND WATER		(Soil)
-0-	Concrete		35	182 1	<u> 22 2</u>	52	₫¥		<u>+</u>
			+ ·	1				-	
	Moist, stained gray, gravelly, silty strong petroleum odor from 3 to 4					288			VPH Total Pb
-5	Grades to gray / brown gravelly si trace petroleum odor	ty fine SAND,				20			
						7			
	Exploration terminated at 8 feet de	eptn	ļ .					- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-10-			+ .						
			+ .						
			+ .	1		1		_	
			+ ·						
			+ ·					-	
-15-			ţ.	1				-	
			† '	1				ŀ	
			Ī	]					
									L
-20-			ļ.					-	<b></b>
			<b> </b>	1	ĺ			-	
			<b>.</b>					-	
			ļ.	-				-	
			+ -	-				-	
-25-			+ -	-				-	
			+	-					
			+	1					
			† '	1				-	
			†	1				-	
-30-	GEND	· · · · ·	+ ·	1	L			<u> </u>	-
		DATE Static Water	Lovel at	Drilling				Grab Sample	1
		DATE DATE DATE Static Water		-		WTPH-C	Ent,	Type of Analytical Testing Used	
	Sample not Recovered	DATE		r		B01	] R	No Recovery	Page: 1 of 1
Drillin	\ g Start Date : 8/23/99	Drilling Completion	Date :		8/23			At Time of Drilling Logged B	

) | |

r i F

-

-

•

	GEOPROBE LOG			ADaPT Engineering, Inc. 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98134 TEL: 206.654.7045 FAX: 206.654.7048							
PR	OJECT : Walker Subaru Used C 250 Rainier Avenue So Renton, Washington 98	uth	b Ni	umb	er:	: WA99-2641 Boring No. : GP					
Ground	n Reference : N/A Surface Elevation : N/A	Well Com Casing El	pleted : evation ;	N/A N/A				AS-BUILT DESIGN	TESTING		
(jeed) HTra	· · ·		SAMPLE	SAMPLE NUMBER	BLOW		GROUND WATER		(Soil)		
-0-	3" Asphalt	· · ·	<u>אר</u>	めヹ	_ <u> </u>	52	<u>5</u> 2				
	·		+ -					-			
			+ -					<del>.</del> .			
			+ -					<b>.</b>			
	Moist, dark gray-brown, silty, grave		+			0.0					
-5-	coarse SAND over tan / brown, sil no odor	ty fine SAND,	+  -					-			
			+  -					<b>.</b>			
	No recovery 7 to 10 feet		$\frac{1}{1}$			0.0		-			
			†₩-					-			
			ŧΛ.	1				-			
-10-			₩			0.0		- -			
			†  ·					-			
	Trace petroleum odor		+			3.3	₩ 8/23 1999	- Temporary well screen installed at 12 to 16 feet depth			
	Saturated gray, silty very fine SAN	D and broken	┟╫╴					-	WTPH-		
	gravel; no odor	B and broken	$+  \cdot$					-	G/BTEX Total Pb		
-15-			†  ·			0.0		-			
	Exploration terminated at 16 feet d	epth			,						
			+ -					-			
			† -	1							
			† ·								
-20-			† -					•			
			† -					-			
			† ·					-			
			† '	1	ļ			•			
			Ť	1				• ·			
-25-		1	† ·	1		ĺ					
			†	1				<b>h</b>			
			† ·	1				• `			
			1	1				-			
			† ·	1				F			
-30-	-307 <u>† 1</u> LEGEND								-		
	2-Inch O. D. Split-Spoon Sample	Static Wate	r Level at I	Drilling		7		Grab Sample	-		
╏╨	1º Geoprobe	DATE Static Water Level at Drilling			Grab Sample WTPH-D Ext. Type of Analytical Testing Usad						
	- Sample not Recovered	DATE			NR No Recovery			Page :			
Drilling Start Date : 8/23/99 Drilling Completion D				8/23/		70	At Time of Drilling Logged	1 of 1 By: CCC			

;

**1** - 1

т Т\_

;

;

) -| | |

١

 $\left[ \right]$ 

÷

ł

 $\mathbf{I}=\mathbf{I}$ 

# **APPENDIX F**

;

| \_

.

( }

÷

# ANALYTICAL TEST CERTIFICATES AND CHROMATOGRAMS



October 14, 1999

Charles Cacek Adapt Engineering 800 Maynard Ave. S., Ste. 403 Seattle, WA 98134

Re: Analytical Data for Project WA99-2641 Laboratory Reference No. 9910-068

Dear Charles:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on October 11, 1999.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

Date of Report: October 14, 1999 Samples Submitted: October 11, 1999 Lab Traveler: 10-068 Project: WA99-2641

#### **NWTPH-G/BTEX**

Date Extracted:	` 10-12-99
Date Analyzed:	10-12-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

1

	•	`			
Client ID:		SS-1/4.0	SS-2/4.0	· .	
Lab ID:		10-068-01	10-068-02		•
					•

	Result	Flags	PQL	Result	Flags	PQL
Benzene	ND		0.058	ND	•	0.056
Toluene	ND	· • •	0.058	ND		0.056
Ethyl Benzene	ND		0.058	ND		0.056
m,p-Xylene	ND		0.058	0.11		0.056
o-Xylene	ND		0.058	ND		0.056
TPH-Gas	ND		5.8	ND	. `	5.6
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	90%			92%		•

2

Date of Report: October 14, 1999 Samples Submitted: October 11, 1999 Lab Traveler: 10-068 Project: WA99-2641

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX

Date Extracted:	10-12-99
Date Analyzed:	10-12-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Client ID:	SS-3/4.0	SS-4/3.5'
Lab ID:	10-068-03	10-068-04
, ·		

	Result	Flags	PQL	Result	Flags	PQL
Benzene	0.10		0.053	0.13		0.058
Toluene	ND		0.053	ND	,	0.058
Ethyl Benzene	ND		0.053	2.2	,	0.058
m,p-Xylene	0.21		0.053	15		0.29
o-Xylene	0.056		0.053	0.094		0.058
TPH-Gas	ND		5.3	48		5.8
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	69%			91%		

Date of Report: October 14, 1999 Samples Submitted: October 11, 1999 Lab Traveler: 10-068 Project: WA99-2641

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	10-12-99	•
Date Analyzed:	10-12-99	•

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

: :

Lab ID: MB1012S1

	Result	Flags PQL	<b>-</b> · ·
Benzene	ND	0.05	60
Toluene	ND	0.05	i0
Ethyl Benzene	ND	0.05	60
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.05	i0
o-Xylene	ND	0.05	i <b>0</b> .
TPH-Gas	ND	5.0	•
, · · ·		· .	

106%

Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene
# NWTPH-G/BTEX DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	10-12-99
Date Analyzed:	10-12-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Lab ID:	10-068-03 <b>Original</b>	10-068-03 Duplicate	RPD	Flags
Benzene	0.0959	ND	NA	
Toluene	ND	ND	NA	:
Ethyl Benzene	ND	ND	NA	· · · ·
m,p-Xylene	0.201	0.0807	86	С
o-Xylene	0.0537	ND	NA	
TPH-Gas	ND	ND	NA	
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	69%	89%		· · ·

## NWTPH-G/BTEX MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	•	10-12-99
Date Analyzed:		10-12-99

#### Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Spike Level: 1.00 ppm

10-068-03 MS	Percent Recovery	10-068-03 MSD	Percent Recovery	RPD	FLAG
0.913	82	0.718	62	24	W .
0.924	92	0.969	97	4.8	
1.03	103	0.987	99	4.0	
1.19	98	1.06	86	11	
1.03	97	0.991	94	. 3.7	
	MS 0.913 0.924 1.03 1.19	MSRecovery0.913820.924921.031031.1998	MSRecoveryMSD0.913820.7180.924920.9691.031030.9871.19981.06	MSRecoveryMSDRecovery0.913820.718620.924920.969971.031030.987991.19981.0686	MSRecoveryMSDRecoveryRPD0.913820.71862240.924920.969974.81.031030.987994.01.19981.068611

# Surrogate Recovery:

Fluorobenzene		•	91%	· ·	95%
	· .				

## TOTAL LEAD EPA 6010B

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	10-12-99 10-12-99		
Matrix: Units:	Soil mg/kg (ppm)		
Client ID	Lab ID	Result	PQL
SS-1/4.0	10-068-01	ND	<b>5.</b> 8
SS-2/4.0	10-068-02	ND	5.6
SS-3/4.0	10-068-03	ND	5.3
SS-4/3.5'	10-068-04	420	5.8

#### TOTAL LEAD EPA 6010B METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:

Matrix: Units:

mg/kg (ppm) MB1012S1

10-12-99

10-12-99

Soil

Lab ID:

Lead

Analyte Method Result PQL ND 5.0

6010B

#### TOTAL LEAD EPA 6010B DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

9

Date Extracted: 10-12-99 Date Analyzed: 10-12-99

i '

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg (ppm)

Lab ID: 10-057-05

Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD	Flags	PQL	
Lead	5.75	6.95	19		5.0	

#### TOTAL LEAD EPA 6010B MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: 10-12-99 Date Analyzed: 10-12-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg (ppm) Lab ID: 10-057-05,

> . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Analyte	Spike Level	MS	Percent Recovery	MSD	Percent Recovery	RPD	Flags	
Lead	250	222	87	223	87	0.22		•

# Date Analyzed: 10-12-99

1

1

# % MOISTURE

Client ID	Lab ID
SS-1 / 4.0	10-068-01
SS-2 / 4.0	10-068-02
SS-3 / 4.0	10-068-03
SS-4 / 3.5'	10-068-04

11

% Moisture

14

11.

5.0



#### DATA QUALIFIERS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A - Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.

B - The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.

C - The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.

D - Data from 1: \_\_\_\_ dilution.

E - The value reported exceeds the quantitation range, and is an estimate.

F - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.

G - Insufficient sample quantity for duplicate analysis.

H - The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.

I - Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.

J - The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.

K - Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeniety. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.

L - The RPD is outside of the control limits.

M - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.

O - Hydrocarbons outside the defined gasoline range are present in the sample; NWTPH-Dx recommended.

P - The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.

Q - Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.

S - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

T - The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

V - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

W - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

X - Sample extract treated with a silica gel cleanup procedure.

Y - Sample extract treated with an acid cleanup procedure.

Z -

ND - Not Detected

MRL - Method Reporting Limit

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit

**RPD** - Relative Percent Difference

<b>NA.</b> OnSite			CI	nain	of (	Cu	st	100	ly											Pa	ige _	-	of _		
Environment			Turnaroun (in worki	d Reque ng days)	st	Proj	ect C	hemi	st:				_	Lab	or	ato	ry I	No.	1 (	) -	0	68			
14648 NE 95th Street • Redrr Fax: (425) 885-4603 • Phone:		a Para na dana na ma		k One)	a fi i hall she yaki haliyan ya			7. 1 <b>4</b>		-1, jst 17, jst 18, s - 18			Re	ġūé	ste	i Ar	alý	sis	j.						
ompany: AD-PT Engine roject No.: WA99 · 2641 roject Name: Sound Subaru roject Manager: Charles Caret		U Sta	andard ydrocarbon other analy	analyses	1 Day 3 Day s: 5 days, ays)	PH-HCID	NWTPH-Gx/BTEX	-DX	Volatiles by 8260B	Halogenated Volatiles by 8260B	Semivolatiles by 8270C	PAHs by 8270C	<sup>o</sup> CB's by 8082	Pesticides by 8081	Total RCRA Metals (8)	Aetals			~ Lead aco los						ture
b10		Date Sampled		Matrix	i i Ol Cont	NWTPH	WTPH	NWTPH-DX	/olatiles	łaloger	Semivol	AHs by	CB's b	Pesticid	otal RC	TCLP Metals	ЧРН	ЕРН	+ 6-					Aniot	% Moisture
1 35-1/4.0				( <b>'YAN</b> 109 <b>63</b> 1097			×	~		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			/	<u>ш</u>	×	•	-				Ň
2 33-214,0			2:40				×												x				-		$\overline{\times}$
3 33-314.0			3;00				×												×			· .			$\star$
4 35-4/3,5'			3:15				×							_					$\star$						×
		·									-														
																	•								
																						ĺ			
															_				•						
							ŀ																		
LINQUISHED BY	DATE 19/11/99	RECEIVI	ED BY	2	0		D	ATE	10%	1.1	99		COM						. 1	c 1	$\mathcal{O}$				
MADEPT	TIME 5:40 Ru		05	2	-	,		ME	5.	40	<del></del>			<u> </u>	-{	Ti	, , , ,	>~ ~~~~	10. 10	57 Ús	Ŀ	Ľ			
LINQUISHED BÝ	DATE	RECEIV	דם ט⊐					ATE				•									,				
RM	TIME	FIRM					וד	ME																	
	<u></u> . ;	DATE RE	EVIEWED										•		_										
·													Chro	mato	ogra	phs	with	final	repo	ťĠ;					

.

Analytical Testing and Mobile Laboratory Services

October 15, 1999

Charles Cacek Adapt Engineering 800 Maynard Ave. S., Ste. 403 Seattle, WA 98134

Re: Analytical Data for Project WA99-2641 Laboratory Reference No. 9910-078

Dear Charles:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on October 12, 1999.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister

Project Manager

Enclosures

## NWTPH-G/BTEX

Date Extracted:		10-13-99			
Date Analyzed:		10-13-99	•	,	,

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

; ·

Client ID: Lab ID:	<b>SS-6</b> 10-078-05	1 M	<b>SS-7</b> 10-078-06	

						-
	Result	Flags	PQL ,	Result	Flags	PQL
Benzene	ND		0.29	ND	·	0.30
Toluene	ND		0.29	ND		0.30
Ethyl Benzene	1.5		0.29	ND	· , , ,	0.30
m,p-Xylene	2.6		0.29	ND		0.30
o-Xylene	ND		0.29	ND		0.30
TPH-Gas	250		29	ND	. ·	30
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	79%			62%	• •	

## NWTPH-G/BTEX

Date Extracted:	10-13-99	
Date Analyzed:	10-13-99	
	· .	
Matrix: Soil	• •	
Units: mg/Kg (ppm)	· .	

Client ID:		SS-8	1	SS-5		•
Lab ID:	,	10-078-07		10-078-10		

	Result	Flags	PQL	Result	Flags	PQL
Benzene	5.9	·	0.30	ND		0.29
Toluene	0.39		0.30	ND		0.29
Ethyl Benzene	4.3		0.30	2.0	×	0.29
m,p-Xylene	18		0.30	3.7		0.29
o-Xylene	2.8		0.30	0.51		0.29
TPH-Gas	92		30	330		29

80%

Surrogate Recovery:

Fluorobenzene

95%

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	ر	10-13-99
Date Analyzed:	•	10-13-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

,	•		
Lab ID:		<sup>7</sup> MB1013S1	,
		. 1	

•	Result	Flags	PQL
Benzene	ND		0.050
Toluene	ND		0.050
Ethyl Benzene	ND	·	0.050
m,p-Xylene	ND		0.050
o-Xylene	ND		0.050
TPH-Gas	ND		5.0
•			

99%

Surrogate Recovery:

Fluorobenzene

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	 10-13-99
Date Analyzed:	10-13-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

			,	,		
Lab ID:	10-082-06 Original	10-082-06 Duplicate	RPD	Flags		
:	· ·				·	
Benzene	ND	ND	NĄ	· .	· ·	
Toluene	ND	ND	NA	· ,		
Ethyl Benzene	ND	ND	NA			
m,p-Xylene	ND	ND	NA	·		
o-Xylene	ND	ND .	NA	· . ·		
TPH-Gas	ND	ND	NA	· · ·	``	
Surrogate Recovery:	•					
Fluorobenzene	91%	60%			, *	

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	•	10-13-99
Date Analyzed:		10-13-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Spike Level: 1.00 ppm				· _ · ·	
Lab ID:	SB1013S1 Spike Blank	Percent Recovery	SB1013S1 DUP Duplicate	Percent Recovery	RPD
			. '		,
Benzene	0.983	. <b>98</b>	1.01	101	2.4
Toluene	0.957	96	1.00	100	4.1
Ethyl Benzene	0.991	99	1.03	103	3.6
m,p-Xylene	0.894	89	1.03	<b>103</b>	14
o-Xylene	0.916	92	1.03	103	11

Surrogate Recovery:		
Fluorobenzene	98%	102%

Date Analyzed: 10-13-99

	% MOISTURE		
Client ID	Lab ID	% Moisture	
SS-6	10-078-05	13	
SS-7	10-078-06	· 18	
SS-8	10-078-07	17	
SS-5	10-078-10	14	



#### DATA QUALIFIERS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A - Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.

B - The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.

C - The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.

D - Data from 1: \_\_\_\_ dilution.

E - The value reported exceeds the quantitation range, and is an estimate.

F - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.

G - Insufficient sample quantity for duplicate analysis.

H - The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.

I - Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.

J - The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.

K - Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeniety. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.

L - The RPD is outside of the control limits.

M - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.

O - Hydrocarbons outside the defined gasoline range are present in the sample; NWTPH-Dx recommended.

P - The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.

Q - Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.

S - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

T - The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

V - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

W - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

X - Sample extract treated with a silica gel cleanup procedure.

Y - Sample extract treated with an acid cleanup procedure.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected

MRL - Method Reporting Limit

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit

**RPD** - Relative Percent Difference

File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\991013\1013011.D
Operator :
Acquired : 13 Oct 1999 17:42 using AcqMethod 0903BTEX.M
Instrument : GasBtex
Sample Name: 10-078-05 1:250
Misc Info :
Vial Number: 11



00 10.00 10.00 20.00





```
File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\991013\1013014.D
Operator :
Acquired : 13 Oct 1999 19:23 using AcqMethod 0903BTEX.M
Instrument : GasBtex
Sample Name: 10-078-10 1:250
Misc Info :
Vial Number: 14
```

ł.



# **NA.** OnSite Environmental Inc

# Chain of Custody

Page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_

<b>Environmental Inc.</b>		Turnarouno (in workin	l Reques Ig days)		Proj	ect Cl	hemis	st: )	SA	ß			La	bor	ato	ory l	No.	•						
14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052 Fax: (425) 885-4603 • Phone: (425) 883-3881		(Check						Line Syngeration The second				Re	què	stë	I AI	naly	ទ័រទ្រុំ		4 19. 7 pr		¥.	5		
	Sa Sa	ame Day		1 Day				•																
Company: ADaPTEnsinearing Ins	<u> </u>	-	· 🔲	-					m								· .							
ADaPTEnginearing Inc Project No.: WA99 - 2641 Project Name:		andard ydrocarbon a other analys				×		8	atiles by 8260B	8270C			. 18	als (8)					•					
Project Manager: Charles Cares		(oth	ier)		H-HCID	NWTPH-Gx/BTEX	H-Dx	Volatiles by 8260B	Halogenated Volatiles by	Semivolatiles by	PAHs by 8270C	PCB's by 8082	Pesticides by 8081	Total RCRA Metals	Metals		-							sture
CabilD	Date Sampled	e	Matrix		NWTP	NWTP	NWTPH-Dx	Volatile	Haloge	Semivo	PAHs t	PCB's	Pestici	Total R	TCLP Metals	ΗdΛ	EPH							% Moisture
-1 57-1	11/12/90	11:30				ļ																		
-2 5P-2		1635		•	-											<u> </u>								
-3 57-3		3.30																						
-4. 5P-4		3:35				ļ.,			<u> </u>	·														
-5 55-6		2:52				X								ļ		<u> </u>								$\times$
-6 55-7		3:00				[X]	•									<u> </u>	L				-			$\times$
-7 55-8		3:05				X																		$\times$
-8 55-9		3:12									ļ			ļ										
-9 55-10	· No	3:20		· <u> </u>						<u> </u>				<u> </u>							·			
-10 35-5	7	2:45	· · · · · · ·			Д										-							_	X
						-																-		
RELINQUISHED BY COL DATE 1/12/99	RECEIV	ED BY	l	1			1 Ate /	L	2/	<u>,</u> इंद		COM	I IMEN 2 C i	TS:	L	Г с	 ج <sup>ر</sup> م	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		II		• •	l	
ADat 5:55	FIBM "	n RH	Fri				ME 		55				Ha			(ev	er=  :+	سر مراب 25 ز	iu nu	Ì.	ڪ جين	on-1 5+1	ple	نۍ ج
RELINQUISHED BY DATE													50	5	CA- 1	cs in	111	, .	,	~			đ	:
FIRM TIME	FIRM						ME																	
REVIEWED BY	DATE R	EVIEWED										Chr	oma	togra		with	final	l repo	ort 🔄	(				_
· - ·· -··	DISTRIBUT	ראשמים ו ION:	White - O	nSite Con	v Ye	014 - 5	2000rt	Com	' <sup>Di</sup> η	k - C <sup>ii</sup>	ent Cr				-				1	<u>`</u>				



October 22, 1999

Charles Cacek Adapt Engineering 800 Maynard Ave. S., Ste. 403 Seattle, WA 98134

Re: Analytical Data for Project WA99-2641 Laboratory Reference No. 9910-078

Dear Charles:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on October 12, 1999.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

## NWTPH-G/BTEX

Date Extracted:	10-18-99
Date Analyzed:	10-18-99
	4

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

#### Client ID: Lab ID:

SP-3/SP-4 10-078-03/04

	Result	Flags	PQL
Benzene	0.074		0.057
Toluene	ND	•	0.057
Ethyl Benzene	0.63		0.057
m,p-Xylene	3.0		0.057
o-Xylene	0.91		0.057
TPH-Gas	36		5.7

91%

#### Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene

# NWTPH-G/BTEX METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:		10-18-99	•
Date Analyzed:		10-18-99	
	•		

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

	•		,		,			
Lab ID:			MB101	8S1				
				•	•	.,	٠	
							•	•

	· · ·	•	•
	Resu	ult Flags	PQL
Benzene	ND		0.050
Toluene	ND		0.050
Ethyl Benzene	ND		0.050
m,p-Xylene	ND	- •	0.050
o-Xylene	ND		0.050
TPH-Gas	ND		5.0

99%

Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene

# NWTPH-G/BTEX DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	10-18-99
Date Analyzed:	10-18-99

## Matrix: Soil

l

Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

			•	
Lab ID:	10-107-01 <b>Original</b>	10-107-01 Duplicate	RPD	Flags
			· · ·	
Benzene	ND	ND	NA	
Toluene	ND	ND	NA	•
Ethyl Benzene	0.0535	0.0580	8.1	• •
m,p-Xylene	0.244	0.265	8.1	•
o-Xylene	0.0545	0.0550	. 0.91	· · ·
TPH-Gas	ND	ND	• NA	· .
Surrogate Recovery:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	860/		. '
Fluorobenzene	79%	86%		

# NWTPH-G/BTEX MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	10-18-99	. `		•	•	
Date Analyzed:	10-18-99					

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Spike Level: 1.00 ppm

Lab ID:	SB1018S1 <b>SB</b>	Percent Recovery	SB1018S1 <b>SBD</b>	Percent Recovery	RPD	,
Benzene	0.960	96	0.935	94	2.6	,
Toluene	0.985	99	0.825	83	18	
Ethyl Benzene	0.920	92	0.930	93	1.1	
m,p-Xylene	1.00	100	0.895	90	11	
o-Xylene	. 1.00	100	0.840	84	<b>17</b> -	
			,	•		· ·

Surrogate	Recovery:
Quiloguio	recovery.

Fluorobenzene	1999 - A.	101%	<b>΄</b> 95%



#### DATA QUALIFIERS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A - Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.

B - The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.

C - The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.

D - Data from 1: \_\_\_\_\_ dilution.

E - The value reported exceeds the quantitation range, and is an estimate.

F - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.

G - Insufficient sample quantity for duplicate analysis.

H - The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.

I - Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.

J - The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.

K - Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeniety. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.

L - The RPD is outside of the control limits.

M - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.

O - Hydrocarbons outside the defined gasoline range are present in the sample; NWTPH-Dx recommended.

P - The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.

Q - Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.

S - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

T - The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

V - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

W - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

X - Sample extract treated with a silica gel cleanup procedure.

Y - Sample extract treated with an acid cleanup procedure.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected

MRL - Method Reporting Limit

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

ALA. OnSite		Ch	<b>lain</b> (	of (	Cu	st	00	ly											Pa	age _		_of _		
<b>Environmental Inc.</b>		- Turnárðund Vei (lífi wörki)	l Request, 16 days),		Proje	ect Cl	hemis	st:	SA	ß			La	bor	ato	ory	No.	1	0	- (	)7	8		
14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052 Fax: (425) 885-4603 • Phone: (425) 883-3881		(Check																						
	_ 🗆 Sa	ime Day	Ü 1	Day										ļ										
Company: ADaPT Engineering. Inc.	. 🗇 2 1	Day	<u> </u>	3 Day					æ															
Project No.: WA99 - 2641	📋 Sta	andard							y 8260B															
Project Name:	All	ydrocarbon a other analys	ses: 7 days	5)		TEX		260B	Halogenated Volatiles by	Semivolatiles by 8270C	U2	N	8081	tetals (8)										
Project Name: Soond Subaru Project Manager: Charles Cares		(otł	ner)		HCII	H-Gx/B	ă	s by 8	nated	latiles	y 8270	by 808	ies by	CRA N	Metals						, I,	,	sture	
Project Manager: Charles Cares Left 10	26. Date 27. Samolati	section of the sectio			IMTPI	WTPH-GXBTEX	NWTPH-Dx	Volatiles by 8260B	Taloge	Semivo	PAHs by 8270C	PCB's by 8082	Pesticides by 8081	Total RCRA Metals	<b>TCLP Metals</b>	НИЛ	Шрн						% Moisture	
-1 SP-1	11/12/59	11;30	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			/ <del>~/</del>	-	-			-				ľ									
-2 5P-2 -3 57-3		11:35																						
-3 58-3		3:30				ŃŻ																		
-4 3P-4		3:35				$\bigcirc$																		
-5 55-6		2:32	•			$\mathbb{X}$																	X	<
-6 55-7		3,00			<u> </u>	$\ge$																	$\sim$	٤
-7 55-8		3:05				$\mathbb{X}$						_											×	-
-8 55-9		3:12	•			1		_																
-9 55-10	V	3:20																						
-10 55-5	7	2:45				Х									-								X	5
									+			-		-		-	+		+			-+		_
RELINQUIGHED BY C. C. DATE 1/12/99	RECEIV		·····	2.	I	╹	ATE	1 1 / j	1/2/		1	CON		пs: Б	 /	 v 0	 رج <sup>ر</sup> لر	 			Ĺ			
FIRM ADAT TIME 5155 RELINQUISHED BY DATE	FIRM	<u>ZaS:4</u> VED BY	Env.	Sug		_	IME		55			-  <b>4</b>	H. C		L a	ve do	ne lit	טיי קסי	vic zue	Ĵ.	ىى بەل	, ر+،	ples	٤
FIRM TIME	FIRM						IME					8	)-A	dde	d ic	1,5	199.	- DE	3				U	
REVIEWED BY	DATE R	EVIEWED																						
L		NIE		Site C		low		l Con		- 0			roma	liogra	apns		i iina -	и тер	ort	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>				

.

- Clie / DISTE N LE Wh Site C llow t Cop



October 26, 1999

Charles Cacek Adapt Engineering 800 Maynard Ave. S., Ste. 403 Seattle, WA 98134

Re: Analytical Data for Project WA99-2641 Laboratory Reference No. 9910-107

Dear Charles:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on October 15, 1999.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister

Project Manager

Enclosures

## NWTPH-G/BTEX

Date Extracted:		10-18-99	
Date Analyzed:		10-18-99	
	1		

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Client ID:	SS-11/2.5'	SS-12/3.5'		
Lab ID:	10-107-01	10-107-02		•
			N N	

· .		· •			. 1		
	Result	Flags	PQL	Result	Flags	PQL	
Benzene	ND		0.060	0.071		0.059	
Toluene	ND	·	0.060	ND	•	0.059	΄,
Ethyl Benzene	0.064		0.060	ND		0.059	
m,p-Xylene	0.29		0.060	ND		0.059	
o-Xylene	0.065		0.060	ND		0.059	
TPH-Gas	ND		6.0	ND		5.9	
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	79%			87%			

## NWTPH-G/BTEX

Date Extracted:	10-18-99
Date Analyzed:	10-18-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Client ID: Lab ID:	<b>SS-13/2.5'</b> 10-107-03	• •	· · · ·
	Result	Flags	PQL
Benzene	4.0		0.057
Toluene	0.15		0.057
Ethyl Benzene	2.8		0.057
m,p-Xylene	9.8		0.057
o-Xylene	4.7		0.057
TPH-Gas	100		5.7
Surrogate Recovery:			

Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene 86%

# NWTPH-G/BTEX METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted;		10-18-99
Date Analyzed:	,	10-18-99
•		

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Lab ID:		MB1018S1
	•	

	· · ·	
Result	Flags	PQL
ND		0.050
ND ,	,	0.050
ND	· .	5.0
	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND

99%

Surrogate Recovery:

Fluorobenzene

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	10-18-99	
Date Analyzed:	10-18-99	

Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Lab ID:	10-107-01 Original	10-107-01 Duplicate	RPD	Flags
Benzene	ND	ND	NA	ал - с - с
Toluene	ND	ND	NA	
Ethyl Benzene	0.0535	0.0580	8.1	·
m,p-Xylene	0.244	0.265	8.1	
o-Xylene	0.0545	0.0550	0.91	· ·
TPH-Gas	ND	ND	NA	
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	79%	86%	• .	

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	10-18-99
Date Analyzed:	10 <del>,</del> 18-99

# Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Spike Level: 1.00 ppm

Lab ID:	SB1018S1 <b>SB</b>	Percent Recovery	SB1018S1 SBD	Percent Recovery	RPD
Benzene	0.960	96	0.935	94	2.6
Toluene	0.985	99	0.825	83	18
Ethyl Benzene	0.920	92	0.930	93	. <b>1.1</b>
m,p-Xylene	1.00	100	0.895	90	11
o-Xylene	1.00	100	0.840	84	17

. . .

Surrogate Recovery:			•
Fluorobenzene	101%	95%	

Date Analyzed: 10-18-99

# % MOISTURE

Client ID	Lab ID	% Moisture
		,
SS-11/2.5'	10-107-01	16
SS-12/3.5'	10-107-02	15
SS-13/2.5'	10-107-03	13



#### DATA QUALIFIERS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A - Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.

B - The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.

C - The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.

D - Data from 1: \_\_\_\_ dilution.

E - The value reported exceeds the quantitation range, and is an estimate.

F - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.

G - Insufficient sample quantity for duplicate analysis.

H - The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.

I - Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.

J - The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.

K - Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeniety. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.

L - The RPD is outside of the control limits.

M - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.

O - Hydrocarbons outside the defined gasoline range are present in the sample; NWTPH-Dx recommended.

P - The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.

Q - Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.

S - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

T - The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

V - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

W - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

X - Sample extract treated with a silica gel cleanup procedure.

Y - Sample extract treated with an acid cleanup procedure.

Z -

ND - Not Detected

MRL - Method Reporting Limit

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit

**RPD** - Relative Percent Difference
14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond			Turnaround	i Reque: igʻdays)	SI,	Proj	ect C	hemi	st:	<u>}</u>	2								0 -	- 1	0 :	7		
Fax: (425) 885-4603 • Phone: (42	5) 883-3881		, (Check	One)	· ·			**************************************				s <sup>‡</sup> .₽	- âŭte	ste	d An	aly	js'				2 4 2 4 4 2 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			
	. '	Sa	ime Day		1 Day			· .				•.		· ·									•	I
ompany:		  ∘_	Day	• □	2 Dav							·	·			.								I
ADGPT Engineeving oject No.: J WA 79-ZE41	, <u>Lhc</u> .	-	•		5 Day	·			00000															I
WA79-2641			andard ydrocarbon a		v E dove					~														i .
oject Name: Sound Subaru			other analy	ses: 7 da	ays).	1 .	×					:	. 	ls (8)				•						•
			ID I	22		۵	BTE		3260			82	1 808	Meta	<i>"</i>									•
oject Manager: Charles Caces			(of	ner)		H-HCID	HGX/	Ã	s by s		ialle: y 827	y 80	es bi	AR	letals				1					ture
		¿Date - 4	iri∢ Time₹.	4. 10 P	N-Woll 4	NWTPL	<b>WWTPH-Gx/BTEX</b>	NWTPH-DX	Volatiles by 8260B	Comincipation by 82200	PAHs by 8270C	PCB's by 8082	Pesticides by 8081	Total RCRA Metals	TCLP Metals	н. Н	Ŧ				,			Moisture
b ID		and the second se	Sampled'	Matrix :	Contra	Z	1	Ž	8 3		B A		<u>a</u>	<u>P</u> .	2	НЧЛ	ЕРН				$\rightarrow$		<u> </u>	%
1 35-11/2.5'		114 199	2:45																				_	X
2 33-12/3.5'			3:00				24				_								-+	-+	$\rightarrow$			$\frac{\lambda}{2}$
3 35-13/2.5'		4	Z:30			<u> </u>	7						-	<b> </b>						$ \rightarrow $				X
					· .	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				· ·	_	•											` 
	·					<u> </u>						· ·			·				$\square$		$ \rightarrow $			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	· · · ·			<u> </u>			•		<u> </u>				•								
	· · · · ·	•					1			•									•					
					,																$\square$			
															· ·									
			11 .		· .					A			• •										, ,	
ELINQUISHED BY,	1 1/15/59	RECEIVE	ED BY	00	11 m	0	D,	ате /0/	lid	1 () - 6		CON	MMEN'	TS:										
	135	FIRM	1100	<u>~~</u>				IME <sup>/</sup>	17	<u>~</u> 7	1-1-1		·											
ELINQUISHED BY DA		RECEIVE	<u>(ノ &gt;(</u> ED BY					ATE	2:	2	2	-		· ·										
	· ,		· · ·		· :			•		_														
RM	1E	FIRM					T	ME													•			



Seattle 18939 120th Avenue NE, Suite 101, Bothell, WA 98011-9508 425.420.9200 tax 425.420.9210

Spokane East 11115 Montgomery, Suite R, Spokane, WA 99206-4776 509.924.9200 tax 509 924.9290

Portland 9405 SW Ninibus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132 503.906.9200 fax 503.906 9210

Bend 20332 Empire Avenue. Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711 541.383.9310 fax 541.382.7588

22 December, 1999

Charles Cacek ADaPT Engineering, Inc. - Seattle 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 Seattle, WA 98134

**RE: Sound Subaru** 

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 12/15/99 08:45. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sinderely,

Kirk Gendron Project Manager

North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network



 
 Seattle
 18939 120th Avenue NE, Suite 101, Botheli, WA 98011-9508 425.420.9200 fax 425.420.9210

 Spekare
 East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spekare, WA 99206-4776 509.924.9200 fax 509.924.9290

 Portland
 9405 SW Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132 503.906.9200 fax 503.906.9210

 Bend
 20332 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711 541.383.9310 fax 541.382.7588

ADaPT Engineering, Inc. - Seattle 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 Seattle WA, 98134 Project: Sound Subaru Project Number: WA99-2641 Project Manager: Charles Cacek

**Reported:** 12/23/99 13:37

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
MW-1	B9L0297-01	Water	12/14/99 13:45	12/15/99 08:45
MW-2	B9L0297-02	Water	12/14/99 11:45	12/15/99 08:45
MW-3	B9L0297-03	Water	12/14/99 15:40	12/15/99 08:45
MW-4	B9L0297-04	Water	12/14/99 09:30	12/15/99 08:45

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

In for

Kirk Gendron, Project Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

> North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network

Page 1 of 8



Project: Sound Subaru Project Number: WA99-2641 Project Manager: Charles Cacek

**Reported:** 12/23/99 13:37

Notes

# Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (Toluene to Dodecane) and BTEX by WTPH-G and EPA 8021B

	Noi	North Creek Analytical - Bothell													
Analyte	Result	porting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method							
MW-1 (B9L0297-01) Water	Sampled: 12/14/99 13:45		-												
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	ND	50.0	ug/l	1	9L16026	12/16/99	12/17/99	WTPH-G/8021B							
Benzene	ND	0.500	n	"	*	11	v								
Toluene	ND	0.500	**	и	н	n	11								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.500	**		U	u	н	н							
Xylenes (total)	ND	1.00	11		0	"	17	n							
Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID)		105 %	50-15	50	"	"	"	"							
Surrogate: 4-BFB (PID)		96.7 %	50-15	50	"	"	"	"							
MW-2 (B9L0297-02) Water	Sampled: 12/14/99 11:45	Receive	d: 12/15/99	08:45											
Gasoline Bange Hudroanshons		50.0		,	01 16026	12/16/00	12/17/00	WTDIL C/9011D							

Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	ND	50.0	ug/l	1	9L16026	12/16/99	12/17/99	WTPH-G/8021B
Benzene	ND	0.500	11	n	11	. 11	n	n
Toluene	ND	0.500	17	ti	11	U	U	n.
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.500	D	ti -	u	U	H	*
Xylenes (total)	ND	1.00	17	п	U	"	н	n
Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID)		101 %	50-150		"	"	"	"
Surrogate: 4-BFB (PID)		94.6 %	50-150		"	"	"	"
MW-3 (B9L0297-03) Water	Sampled: 12/14/99 15:40	Receive	d: 12/15/99 08:	45				
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	ND	50.0	ug/l	1	9L16026	12/16/99	12/17/99	WTPH-G/8021B
Benzene		0 500	17					
	ND	0.500		*1	*1	11	10	п
Toluene	ND ND	0.500		n	"	"	11	n
Toluene Ethylbenzene								
	ND	0.500	"	n	u	n	U	n

50-150

96.5 %

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

Surrogate: 4-BFB (PID)

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Kirk Gendron, Project Manager

North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network



Project: Sound Subaru Project Number: WA99-2641 Project Manager: Charles Cacek

Reported: 12/23/99 13:37

# Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (Toluene to Dodecane) and BTEX by WTPH-G and EPA 8021B North Creek Analytical - Bothell

1	Re	porting							
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
MW-4 (B9L0297-04) Water	Sampled: 12/14/99 09:30	Receive	d: 12/15/99 (	8:45					
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	ND	50.0	ug/l	1	9L16026	12/16/99	12/17/99	WTPH-G/8021B	
Benzene	ND	0.500		U		*1		n	
Toluene	ND	0.500	"	ti	"	"		"	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.500	"	n	n	"	n	n	
Xylenes (total)	ND	1.00	**	17	n	u	Ħ	n	
Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID)		97.7 %	50-15	0	"	"	"	н	
Surrogate: 4-BFB (PID)		94.4 %	50-15	0	"	"	"	**	

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

for

Kirk Gendron, Project Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

> North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network

Page 3 of 8



Project: Sound Subaru Project Number: WA99-2641 Project Manager: Charles Cacek

**Reported:** 12/23/99 13:37

## Diesel Hydrocarbons (C12-C24) and Heavy Oil (C24-C40) by WTPH-D (extended)

	Result	eporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Datah	Prepared	Analyzad	Method	Mataa
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Frepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
MW-3 (B9L0297-03) Water Sampl	ed: 12/14/99 15:40	Receive	d: 12/15/99 0	8:45					
Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	1.68	0.250	mg/l	1	9L18001	12/18/99	12/20/99	WTPH-D	
Heavy Oil Range Hydrocarbons	ND	0.750		U	U	u	D.	u	
Surrogate: 2-FBP		82.0 %	50-150	)	"	"	"	н	
MW-4 (B9L0297-04) Water Sampl	ed: 12/14/99 09:30	Receive	d: 12/15/99 0	8:45					
Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	0.716	0.250	mg/l	1	9L18001	12/18/99	12/20/99	WTPH-D	
Heavy Oil Range Hydrocarbons	ND	0.750	"	19	H	11	Ħ	v	
Surrogate: 2-FBP		83.1 %	50-150	)	"	"	n	"	

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Kirk Gendron, Project Manager

North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network



#### Project: Sound Subaru Project Number: WA99-2641 Project Manager: Charles Cacek

Reported: 12/23/99 13:37

# Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (Toluene to Dodecane) and BTEX by WTPH-G and EPA 8021B - Quality North Creek Analytical - Bothell

A un a la de		Decult	Reporting	Units	Spike	Source	%REC	%REC	RPD	RPD	Not
Analyte		Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch 9L16026: Prepa	red 12/16/99	Using <b>I</b>	EPA 5030B	(P/T)							
Blank (9L16026-BLK1)								_			
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons		ND	50.0	ug/l							
Benzene		ND	0.500	11							
Toluene		ND	0.500	11							
Ethylbenzene		ND	0.500	U							
Xylenes (total)		ND	1.00	n							
Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID)		42.1		"	48.0		87.7	50-150			
Surrogate: 4-BFB (PID)		44.0		"	48.0		<i>91.7</i>	50-150			
Blank (9L16026-BLK2)	1										
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons		ND	50.0	ug/l							
Benzene		ND	0.500	n							
Toluene		ND	0.500	n							
Ethylbenzene		ND	0.500	"							
Xylenes (total)		ND	1.00	н							
Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID)		47.2		"	48.0		98.3	50-150			
Surrogate: 4-BFB (PID)		45.6		"	48.0		95.0	50-150			
LCS (9L16026-BS1)											
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons		514	50.0	ug/l	500		103	70-130			
Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID)		52.9		"	48.0		110	50-150			
Duplicate (9L16026-DUP1)						Source: B	<b>39L0292-</b> (	)4			
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons		12100	500	ug/l		11800			2.51	25	
Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID)		67.0		"	48.0		140.	50-150			
Duplicate (9L16026-DUP2)						Source: E	<b>39L0315-</b> (	)7			
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	•• •	ND	50.0	ug/l		ND				25	
Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID)		48.7			48.0		101	50-150			
· ·											

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

tin for

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Kirk Gendron, Project Manager

North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network



 Seattle
 18939
 120th Avenue NE, Suite 101, Bolhell, WA 98011-9508

 425.420.9200
 fax 425.420.9210

 Spokane
 East 11115
 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane. WA 99206-4776

 509.924.9200
 fax 509.924.9220

 Portland
 9405
 SW Nimbus Avenue. Beaverton, OR 97008-7132

 503.906.9200
 fax 503.906.9210

 Bend
 20322
 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711

 541.383.9310
 fax 541.382.7588

ADaPT Engineering, Inc. - Seattle 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 Seattle WA, 98134 Project: Sound Subaru Project Number: WA99-2641 Project Manager: Charles Cacek

**Reported:** 12/23/99 13:37

# Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (Toluene to Dodecane) and BTEX by WTPH-G and EPA 8021B - Quality North Creek And I - Bothell

			Reporting	<b>TT</b>	Spike	Source	A/DEG	%REC		RPD	
Analyte		Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch 9L16026: P	repared 12/16/99	Using El	PA 5030B (	(P/T)							
Matrix Spike (9L16020	6-MS1)					Source: E	B9L0294-0	)4			
Benzene	· · · ·	9.94	0.500	ug/l	10.0	ND	99.4	70-130			
Toluene		10.3	0.500		10.0	ND	103	70-130			
Ethylbenzene		10.2	0.500	11	10.0	ND	102	70-130			
Xylenes (total)		30.5	1.00	н	30.0	ND	102	70-130			
Surrogate: 4-BFB (PID)		49.7			48.0		104	50-150			
Matrix Spike Dup (9L)	16026-MSD1)					Source: E	<b>39L0294-0</b>	)4			
Benzene	· · · · · ·	9.97	0.500	ug/l	10.0	ND	99.7	70-130	0.301	15	
Foluene		10.4	0.500	u	10.0	ND	104	70-130	0.966	15	
Ethylbenzene		10.3	0.500		10.0	ND	103	70-130	0.976	15	
Xylenes (total)		30.5	1.00	Ħ	30.0	ND	102	70-130	0	15	
Surrogate: 4-BFB (PID)		50.0		"	48.0		104	50-150			

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

Laura Dattinta

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Kirk Gendron, Project Manager

North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network Page 6 of 8



Project: Sound Subaru Project Number: WA99-2641 Project Manager: Charles Cacek

**Reported:** 12/23/99 13:37

## Diesel Hydrocarbons (C12-C24) and Heavy Oil (C24-C40) by WTPH-D (extended) - Quality Control

#### North Creek Analytical - Bothell

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch 9L18001: Prepared 12/18/99	Using El	PA 3520C/	600 Series							
Blank (9L18001-BLK1)										
Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	ND	0.250	mg/l				· ·			
Heavy Oil Range Hydrocarbons	ND	0.750	n							
Surrogate: 2-FBP	0.266		"	0.320		83.1	50-150			
LCS (9L18001-BS1)										
Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	1.81	0.250	mg/l	2.00		90.5	60-140			
Surrogate: 2-FBP	0.266		"	0,320		83.1	50-150			<u> </u>
Duplicate (9L18001-DUP1)					Source: B	9L0297-0	14			
Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	0.659	0.502	mg/l	-	0.716			8.29	44	
Heavy Oil Range Hydrocarbons	ND	1.51	IT		0.658			17.5	44	
Surrogate: 2-FBP	0.501		"	0.643		77.9	50-150			

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The Fa

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Kirk Gendron, Project Manager

North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network Page 7 of 8



 
 Seattle
 13939 120th Avenue NE, Suite 101, Bothell, WA 98011-9508 425.420.9200 fax 425.420.9210

 Spekare
 East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spekane, WA 99206-4776 509.924.9200 fax 509.924.9290

 Portland
 9405 SW Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132 503.906.9200 fax 503.906.9210

 Bend
 20332 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Send, OR 97701-5711 541.383.9310 fax 541 382.7588

ADaPT Engineering, Inc. - Seattle 800 Maynard Avenue South, Suite 403 Seattle WA, 98134 Project: Sound Subaru Project Number: WA99-2641 Project Manager: Charles Cacek

**Reported:** 12/23/99 13:37

#### **Notes and Definitions**

- DET Analyte DETECTED
- ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit

NR Not Reported

- dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
- RPD Relative Percent Difference

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

tin for dura

Kirk Gendron, Project Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

> North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network

18939 120th Avenue N.E., Suite 101, Bothell, WA 98011-9508
 East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA 98206-4776
 9405 S.W. Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132
 20332 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711

 (425)
 420-9200
 FAX
 420-92

 (509)
 924-9200
 FAX
 924-92

 (503)
 906-9200
 FAX
 906-92

 (541)
 383-9310
 FAX
 382-75

210	
290	
210	
588	

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY REPORT

		<u> </u>								/					<b>U</b> IU		VL	US-1	. /	
CLIENT: ADEPT E REPORT TO: Charles	ngineering, -	Γn	с,			INV	OICET	ro:											EST in Business	Days*
REPORT TO: Charles	S Cauce					1												-	ganic Analyses	
				site	403												7 5		3 2	1 <1
ADDRESS: 800 Mayne Seattle L PHONE: 206 654	NA 98134		•													STD.	Petrole	euni Hydro	carbon Analyses	
PHONE: ZOG GSY	7045 FAX	: 20	66	<u>54 7</u>	648	P.O. 1										1 $12$	$\overline{\Lambda}$	3	2 1 <	.1
PROJECT NAME: Source	· •				<b></b> _	<u> </u>		EQUES	TED AN	NALYSI	ES					<b></b>	D	P	lease Specify	
PROJECT NUMBER: WA	• •	l	5	þ.	3									1						
SAMPLED BY: Charles	s Cace		- X - U		5	]										*Turnan	ound Request	s less than su	undard may incur Rush (	Charges.
CLIENT SAMPLE	SAMPLING		148	16-3	<u> </u>		1			ł		1	ļ		1	MATRIX	# OF	·		NCA WO
IDENTIFICATION	DATE/TIME		3	1 3 4	<u>i </u>										·	(W, S, O)	CONT.	c	OMMENTS	ID
1. MW-1	1:45 12/14	99	$\times$							B	ILC	12/1	17-	0	1	W	4	2.5	DA DA	1
2. MW-2	11:45		1								1		<b> </b> _	-0	5				∞4	
3. MW-3	3:40			×						1	1 -	1	+	-	13		+ + -	┼──┼─		
4. MW-4	9:30 AM	$\downarrow$	Jr.	X	1 -					+		<u> </u>						<del>  _ }</del>		
5.												<u> </u>		`	<i>[7</i>	<b>•</b>		4		┼╸──┤
6.				1			1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	+						───		
7.									+			<u> </u>			┼──╽	. <u> </u>			<u> </u>	┨───┤
8.		f					<u> </u>									·				┥━
9.				<u> </u>							[	<u> </u>				·				<u> </u>
10.															┟╌╴╏	·			<u> </u>	
11.				<u> </u>	-	-				<u> </u>						<u> </u>				<u> </u>
12.										<u> </u>				<u> </u>						<b> </b>
13.	·	-+					<u>.                                    </u>	<u> </u>	┥───										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ļ
14.											<del>-</del> .									<u>  </u>
15.		+								· _ ·				<u> </u>						ļ
RELINQUISHED BY:	<u> </u>		]		[		19/10	100	<u> </u>						11					
PRINT NAME: Charles	Cacot FIRM:	Λ	$\Box$	77		DATE: TIME:			RECEI	VED BY:	La	un	- 4	U	ter Fr	-	n		DATE:	//S/T
RELINQUISHED BY:			<u>/~1</u>	· ·		DATE:	(• <b>~</b>	<u> </u>	RECEI	VED BY:	<u></u>	11)10	00		TIX	FIRM				7:501
PRINT NAME:	FIRM:					TIME:			PRINT	NAME:	Ž	$\lambda_{\lambda}$	idee			FIRM: N	vn			12-15-4
ADDITIONAL REMARKS: All -	Sandes Preser	لى د	0	IHC	e				L				<u>ince</u>		a		<u>~~ .</u>			845
COC REV 3/99				,., <i>-</i>	_	_			_				·			ĥ	10	TI 	EMP: → 1	
			_		_				-					-	'		, <b> </b>	<u> </u>		_01



DY REPORT Work Order # Par Age



September 2, 1999

Charles Cacek Adapt Engineering 800 Maynard Ave. S., Ste. 403 Seattle, WA 98134

Re: Analytical Data for Project WA99-2641 Laboratory Reference No. 9908-184

Dear Charles:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on August 25, 1999. Please note that additional data will follow as it becomes available.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

## NWTPH-G/BTEX

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	8-30-99 8-31-99	
Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)	·	

Client ID: Lab ID:		N -	<b>GP-1/10'-13'</b> 08-184-03	,		<b>GP-6/3'-4'</b> 08-184-15		•
				,				· · · ·
	·. ·		Result	Flags	PQL	Result	Flags	PQL

			· . ·		<u></u>
Benzene	ND	·	0.30	0.43	0.29
Toluene	ND	· · ·	0.30	ND	0.29
Ethyl Benzene	ND	· .	0.30	ND	0.29
m,p-Xylene	ND	``````````````````````````````````````	0.30	2.8	0.29
o-Xylene	ND	•	0.30	ND	0.29
TPH-Gas	ND		30	ND	29
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	73%	, ,	·· ·	78%	* •

2

#### **NWTPH-G/BTEX**

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	8-30-99 8-31-99		<b>.</b>			
Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)	• •					
Client ID: Lab ID:	<b>GP-7/3'-4'</b> 08-184-17	- ,		<b>GP-8/4'-4.5'</b> 08-184-18		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·		•	. ,		
	Result	Flags	PQL ·	Result	Flags	PQL
Benzene	ND		0.28	ND	·	0.29
Toluene	ND		0.28	ND		0.29
Ethyl Benzene	ND		0.28	0.35	•	0.29
m,p-Xylene	ND		0.28	1.1	· ·	0.29
o-Xylene	ND		0.28	ND	÷ .	0.29
TPH-Gas	ND	. ,	28	ND		29
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	88%			79%		·

3

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	8-30-99 8-31&9-1-99	
Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)		

Client ID: Lab ID:	· <u>-</u>	<b>GP-9/3.5'-4'</b> 08-184 <b>-</b> 20	<b>GP-11/10'-13'</b> 08-184-22		,
	· · · ·			N.	

	Result Flag	s PQL	Result	Flags	PQL
Benzene	0.97	0.29	ND	· •	0.061
Toluene	0.34	0.29	ND		0.061
Ethyl Benzene	12	0.29	ND		0.061
m,p-Xylene	50	1.2	ND		0.061
o-Xylene	1.1	0.29	ND		0.061
TPH-Gas	1800	29	ND	•	6.1
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	88%		69%	•	

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	8-30-99	-
Date Analyzed:	8-30-99	
Matrix: Soil	r	· .

Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Lab ID:	MB0830S2	
	· · · · · ·	
	Result Flags	PQL
Benzene	ND	0.050
Toluene	ND	0.050
Ethyl Benzene	ND	0.050
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.050
o-Xylene	ND	0.050
TPH-Gas	ND	5.0

. 85%

Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	,	8-30-99
Date Analyzed:		8-30-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Lab ID:	08-175-05 Original	08-175-05 Duplicate	RPD	Flags	
		3		• . •	
Benzene	ND	ND	NA		•
Toluene	ND	ND	NA		
Ethyl Benzene	ND	ND	NA	· .	
m,p-Xylene	ND	ND	NA	· ·	•
o-Xylene	ND	ND	NA		
TPH-Gas	ND	ND	NA		· · ·
Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene	79%	70%		· ·	

### NWTPH-G/BTEX MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	 •	8-30-99
Date Analyzed:		8-31-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Spike Level: 1.00 ppm

Lab ID:	08-175-05 <b>MS</b>	Percent Recovery	08-175-05 <b>MSD</b>	Percent Recovery	RPD	•
Benzene	0.796	80	0.915	92	14	
Toluene	0.754	75	0.803	80	6.3	· ·
Ethyl Benzene	0.815	82	0.889	89	8.7	· .
m,p-Xylene	0.826	83	0.919	92	11	
o-Xylene	0.831	83	0.899	90	7.8	

	•	· · · · ·
Surrogate Recovery:		
Sunogale Recovery.		
Eluarahannana		. 700/
Fluorobenzene		79%

87%

2.1

## NWTPH-G/BTEX

	ate Extracted: ate Analyzed:	8-25-99 8-25-99					
	atrix: Water nits: ug/L (ppb)	· · ·					
	ient ID: ab ID:	<b>GP-1, W-1</b> 08-184-24			<b>GP-11, W-1</b> 08-184-27		
		· · ·	•	· · · ·		,	
,		Result	Flags	PQL	Result	Flags	PQL
Be	enzene	ND		1.0	ND		1.0
To	bluene	ND	n an Arrana A	1.0	ND		1.0
Et	hyl Benzene	ND		1.0	ND		1.0
m	p-Xylene	ND		1.0	ND	•	1.0
0-	Xylene	ND	• • •	1.0	ND	r	1.0 <sup>·</sup>
TF	PH-Gas	ND		100	ND	· · ·	100
	urrogate Recovery: uorobenzene	87%	· ·		89%	•	<i>.</i>

8

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	8-25-99 8-25-99	,
Matrix: Water	0 20 00	-

Units: ug/L (ppb)

#### Lab ID: MB0825W2

•	<b>,</b> .		· · · · · ·	
	Result	Flags	PQL	
Benzene	ND		1.0	
Toluene	ND		1.0	
Ethyl Benzene	ND		1.0	
m,p-Xylene	ND		1.0	
o-Xylene	ŅD	•	1.0	
TPH-Gas	ND	•	100	
Currente Deseureru		· ·	1	

88%

Surrogate Recovery: Fluorobenzene

9

## NWTPH-G/BTEX DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	8-25-99 8-25-99		,	, - , -
Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)		: . '		
Lab ID:	08-184-27 Original	08-184-27 Duplicate	RPD	Flags
		· ·		
Benzene	ND	ND	NA	,
Toluene	ND	ND	NA	•
Ethyl Benzene	ND	ND	NA	- - -
m,p-Xylene	ND	ND	NA	
o-Xylene	ND	ND	NA	<del>بر .</del>
TPH-Gas	ND	ND	NA	·. · ·
Surrogate Recovery:				
Fluorobenzene	89%	85%		- 
	,			

#### NWTPH-G/BTEX MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	•	8-25-99	
Date Analyzed:	. <sup>1</sup> .	8-26-99	
·			

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb) Spike Level: 50.0 ppb

Lab ID:	08-158-01 <b>MS</b>	Percent Recovery	08-158-01 <b>MSD</b>	Percent Recovery	RPD
Benzene	52.4	105	50.1	100	4.5
Toluene	50.7	97	49.6	95	2.2
Ethyl Benzene	72.3	100	72.3	100	0.0
m,p-Xylene	169	116	168	113	0.83
o-Xylene	76.4	101	76.8	101	0.50

95%

Surrogate Recovery:

92%

Fluorobenzéne

1 1

#### **NWTPH-Dx**

Date Extra Date Anal	8-26-99 8-26-&27-99	•
Matrix: Units:	Water mg/L (ppm)	

Client ID:		· · · ·	GP-3,W-1	GP-4,W-1
Lab ID:	•		08-184-25	08-184-26
•	, ·			

Diesel Fuel:		ND		ND
PQL:		0.25	•	0.25
Heavy Oil:		1.1	· ·	ND
PQL:		0.50	•	0.50
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				••• ••
	·	· .		

83%

73%

Surrogate Recovery:

o-Terphenyl

Flags:

١,

#### NWTPH-Dx METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	8-26-99
Date Analyzed:	8-26-99
Matrix:	Water
Units:	mg/L (ppm)
ormo.	mgrc (ppm)

Lab ID: MB0826W1

Diesel Fuel: PQL:			``	·	ND 0.25	
Heavy Oil: PQL:	-	ı	:	.*	ND 0.50	

Surrogate Recovery:

o-Terphenyl

Flags:

70%

ery:

13

٠.

#### NWTPH-Dx DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	8-26-99 8-26-99	
Matrix: Units:	Water mg/L (ppm)	, , ,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
Lab ID:	08-191-05	08-191-05 DUP
Diesel Fuel:	0.277	0.271
PQL:	0.25	0.25
RPD:	2.2	· · ·

81%

Surrogate Recovery:

o-Terphenyl Flags:

78%

Date Analyzed: 8-26-99

#### % MOISTURE Client ID Lab ID % Moisture GP-1 / 4'-7' 08-184-03 17 GP-3 / 13'-15' 08-184-10 17 GP-6 / 3'-4' 08-184-15 14 GP-7 / 3'-4' 08-184-17 12 GP-8 / 4'-4.5'. 08-184-18 14. 'GP-9 / 3.5'-4' 08-184-20 14 GP-10 / 3'-4' 08-184-21 14 · GP-11 / 10'-13' 08-184-22 18



#### DATA QUALIFIERS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A - Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.

B - The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.

C - The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.

D - Data from 1:\_\_\_\_ dilution.

E - The value reported exceeds the quantitation range, and is an estimate.

F - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.

G - Insufficient sample quantity for duplicate analysis.

H - The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.

I - Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.

J - The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.

K - Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeniety. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.

L - The RPD is outside of the control limits.

M - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.

O - Hydrocarbons outside the defined gasoline range are present in the sample; NWTPH-Dx recommended.

P - The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.

Q - Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.

S - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

T - The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

V - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

W - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

X - Sample extract treated with a silica gel cleanup procedure.

Y - Sample extract treated with an acid cleanup procedure.

Z -

ND - Not Detected

MRL - Method Reporting Limit

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

			Ι
		18939 120th Avenue N.E., Suite 101, Bothell, WA	98011-9508 (425) 420-9200 FAX 420-9210
		East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA	
	$\left\{ \right\}$	9405 S.W. Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR	97008-7132 (503) 906-9200 FAX 906-9210
Environmental Laboratory Services	DY REPORT	Work	Order # 08 - 184
REPORT TO: ADaPT Engineering, Inc.	INVOICE TO:		TURNAROUND REQUEST in Business Days *
ATTENTION: Charles Cace2	ATTENTION:		Organic & Inorganic Analyses
ADDRESS: 800 Maynand Ave. 5, 50.403 Seattle WA 98134	ADDRESS:		10 7 5 4 3 2 1 Same
Seattle WA 98134			Stankiard Fuels & Hydrocarbon Analyses
	P.O. NUMBER:	NCA QUOTE #;	. 5 3-4 2 1 Same Day
PROJECT NAME: 500nd 506avo	Analysis Request:		
PROJECT NUMBER: WA99-2641	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	No. 12	OTHER Specify:
SAMPLED BY: Charles Caces	12 N/F 5	the second	Turnaround Requests less than standard may incur Rush Charges.  MATRIX # OF
IDENTIFICATION DATE/TIME (Laboratory Use Only)	3360		(W. S, A, O) CONTAINERS COMMENTS
GP-1/4-7' 9110 Am			5011 1-402
2 6P-1/7-10' 9:20			
3, GP-1/12-13', 9:30		X	
4: 6P-2/2.5-3.5 10:55			
5 6 7-214.5-510:59			
6P-217.5-8 11:05			
1, GP-3/4-6' 11:25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
S. GP-3/8-10' 11:40			
7. GP-3/12-13' 11:55			
an 6P-3/ 13-15 11:56			1-802
Μραλο	ا بر داخ		8/25/00
RELINQUISHED BY (Signature)	1	RECEIVED BY 15 MAINTIN KOR	
PRINT NAME: Charles C. Caces FIRM: ADaPT	TIME:	PRINT NAME: SER N'S ROGER	FIRM: SPEEDY TIME! 100
RELINQUISHED BY (5/gndure):	DATE:	RECEIVED BY (Signafure)	DATE:
PRINT NAME: FIRM:	ТІМЕ:	PRINT NAME: //WARD	FIRM: OSE TIME:
ADDITIONAL REMARKS: Standard Turn on		Shidded 8/31/99. DB.	
Olondand Tour or			PAGE OF Z

NORTH CREEK ANALYTICA		9/	(			East 11	115 Montgo	этегу, S	iite 101, Bothell, W uite B, Spokane, W enue, Beaverton, O	A 99206-4779	(425) 420-9200 (509) 924-9200 (503) 906-9200	) FAX 924-9290	
Environmental Laboratory Service		(	ļ				DF	2					]
	CHAIN	OF CUSTO	DY RE	PO	RT		$\downarrow$ ,		Work	Corder #	ŧ 0 8	3-184	
REPORT TO: A DaPT Engi	$\sim$	Inc.	INVOICE TO	:		_	_			TUR	NAROUND REQU	EST in Business Days *	
ATTENTION: Charles C.			ATTENTION:								Organic & Ino	rganic Analyses	_
ADDRESS: 800 May V Sec. H/e	nand Auc	5.5.403	ADDRESS:							10 7	5 4	3 2 1 Sar	
									K	_		irocarbon Analyses	
PHONE: 206 654 7045 PROJECT NAME: SOUND			P.O. NUMBER:			91	NCA (				5 3-4 Standard	2 I Day	
PROJECT NAME: DOJAN PROJECT NUMBER: WASS	-	<u> </u>	Analysis Request:	<u>(</u>		X	27/			OTHER	Specify:		
	S Caces			10		0	ξ/ /	/ /		* Turnaroun		andard may incur Rush Charge	 s.
CLIENT SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	SAMPLING	NCA SAMPLE ID	] /ນັ	5/3	/Ŕ	) ST	·/ /			MATRIX (Ψ. S, Λ. Ο)	# OF CONTAINERS	COMMENTS	
GP-415-6	IZ:55	3/99		[	[[						1-402		
6P-419-10'	1,10										1		
46P-4/12-13	1:20			8				-		-			
GP-5/14-16	2:05										1-802	· •	
GP-6/3-4'	2:35				8	X					1-402		
GP-617-8'	2:50												
GP-7/5-4'	3;∞				$\otimes$	X							
6P-8/4-415	3:35				$\otimes$	X							
1.6P-8/7-7.5	3:50					•							
UnGP-9/3.5-4	4:05 V				8	$\times$					R I		
RELINQUISHED BY (Signalure Chil	.C.C.	-	DATE	: ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	5/99	RECEIV	ED BY (Signature		leri lu	ŝis		DATE:	
PRINT NAME: Charle	esC.Cace	E FIRM: ADat				PRINTN			NIS RO	GERS	FIRM: SPE		≥o
RELINQUISHED BY (5)(graduate 1)			DATE	<u>د</u>		RECEIV	ED BY (Signaghi	M	and				25/0
PRINT NAME:	<u></u>	FIRM:		i:		PRINT N	іаме: <u>U</u>	WH	PRD		FIRM: OS	E TIME / 2	tÓ
additional remarks: 5ta	ndanc	2 Torna	noun	へ		Ø	Added {	3/31/9	9. DB.			BACE 7 OF	FZ

			}												3
NORTH		(T	<i>י</i>	,							A 98011-9508	(425) 420-92	00 FAX	420-9210	
		Ŭ	1								A 99206-4779 R 97008-7132	(509) 924-92 (503) 906-92		924-9290 906-9210	
ANALYTICA Environmental Laboratory Service			( )					(	2	bearonen, o	x 97000-7192	(505) 500-52			
,,		OF CUSTO	DY	REP	OR	Т		$\mathcal{D}^{\prime\prime}$	$\mathcal{O}$	Work	Order #	08-	184	<u>+</u>	
REPORT TO: ADaPT Engin	neering	Inc.	INVOR	E TO:							TURN	AROUND REQ	UEST in Rusi	mess Davs #	
ATTENTION: Charles Ca			ATTENI	ION:		•						-	norganic Analy		
ADDRESS: 800 Maynand	Ave S.	50.403	ADDRE	SS;					······		10 7	5 4	3 2		Name Day
<u>Seattle WA</u>	1 98134	1									Standard	Fuels & F	iydrocarbon An	ialyses	
PHONE: 2066547045		6547048	P.O. NUI	MBER:				NCA QUO	те #:			5 3-4	2 1	Same Day	
PROJECT NAME: 5000d 5	oban		Analysis		/	/ _/		- 19			1	Standard			
PROJECT NUMBER: WA99 -	2641		Request:	ke	. +/i	55	Car,	E-	/ /		OTHER	Specify:			
SAMPLED BY: Charles C			_			\$Q_/	Jo /	$\left  \mathcal{E}_{s} \right $			* Turnaround	Requests less than	standard may in	cur Rush Che	urges.
CLIENT SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	SAMPLING DATE/TIME	NCA SAMPLE ID (Laboratory Use Only)		157	30		\$∕¥	<u>-/ /</u>			MATRIX (W. S. A. O)	# OF CONTAINERS		OMMENTS	
BP-1013-4'	8/2: 5:00 pm	8/53			$\supset$	K &	X			- <u> </u>	30:1	1-803		OMMENTS	
2 GP-11/ 10-13	5:51			X		0	$\times$				1	1-402			
1. 6P-11/13-16	6:00											1-802			
· GP-1, W-1	9:35 Am			X					_		Water	1-500+	l Anden	- 3-	VOAS
5. GP-3, W-1	12:15 Pm			2	$\triangleleft$						Water	2-500	labe	n-3	VOAS
6 GP-4, W-1	1:30 Pm			$\square$	$\langle$							2-500.			
, GP-11, W-1	6:15 Pm	V		$\times$								2.5001	I Andre	~Z	VAR
8														13	DB Slost
9															
10.															
	000		1	DATE	bel	55 RECEI	VED BY	(	len	ni Ru	tus	<u></u>		8/25/9	;9
PRINT NAME: Charles	C. Caco	E FIRM: ADal		TIME:			NAME:		)	Rower	Δ	FIRM: SPE	207	DATE:	مد ر
RELINQUISHED BY (Signadures				DATE:			VED BY (S		SIII	Ind				{	8/25/0.
PRINT NAME:		FIRM:		TIME:		PRINT		<del>(</del> ,	WAR	n	<u> </u>	FIRM: OS	Ē	DATE:	1:00pp
ADDITIONAL REMARKS:										8 (31) 99			· · · · · ·		
5to	inda	& Tur	- a	~00	$\sim$	$\mathcal{N}$		(SHO)		0 (37( 77	· 13.				~
	- `													3	, OF 🖌 1



September 29, 1999

Charles Cacek Adapt Engineering 800 Maynard Ave. S., Ste. 403 Seattle, WA 98134

Re: Analytical Data for Project WA99-2641 Laboratory Reference No. 9908-184

Dear Charles:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on August 25, 1999.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

## NWTPH-Dx

Date Extracted:	9-1-99
Date Analyzed:	9-1-99
Matrix:	Soil
Units:	mg/Kg (ppm)
Client ID:	GP-4/12'-13'
Lab ID:	08-184-13
Diesel Fuel:	ND
PQL:	25
Heavy Oil:	ND
PQL:	50

92%·

Surrogate Recovery: o-Terphenyl

## Flags:

2

· · · ·

#### NWTPH-Dx METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	9-1-99 9-1-99
Matrix: Units:	Soil mg/Kg (ppm)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lab ID:	MB0901S1
Diesel Fuel:	ND
PQL:	25
Heavy Oil:	ND
PQL:	50
Surrogate Recovery:	•

Surrogate Recovery:		
o-Terphenyl		128%
•		

Flags:

# NWTPH-Dx DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	9-1-99 9-1-99	
Matrix: Units:	Soil mg/Kg (ppm)	
Lab ID:	08-218-02	08-218-02 DUP
Diesel Fuel: PQL:	ND 25	ND 25
RPD:	N/A	
Surrogate Recovery: o-Terphenyl	101%	112%

Flags:

## **VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**

Date Extracted:	• •	8-31-99
Date Analyzed:		9-8&9-99
-		•

1

1

Matrix:	Soil
Units:	mg/Kg (ppm)
Lab ID:	08-184-21
Client ID:	GP-10/3'-4'

VPH:	Results	PQL
Aliphatic C5-C6	19	5.0
Aliphatic C6-C8	350	5.0
Aliphatic C8-C10	100	5.0
Aliphatic C10-C12	480	5.0
Total Aliphatic:	950	
Aromatic C8-C10	660	5.0
Aromatic C10-C12	370	5.0
Aromatic C12-C13	84	5.0
Total Aromatic:	1100	
Target Analytes:		
Methyl t-butylether	1.1	0.50
Benzene	3.5	0.50
Toluene	6.1	0.50
Ethylbenzene	28	0.50
m , p - Xylene	110	0.50
o -Xylene	36	0.50
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits
Fluorobenzene	107	60%-140%

Result

2050

Flags:

VPH

#### VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	8-31-99
Date Analyzed:	9-08-99

Matrix:		 4	Soil	•
Units:	````	•	mg/Kg (ppm)	•
Lab ID:	•	 • . •	MB08	31S4

VPH:	Results	PQL
Aliphatic C5-C6	ND	5.0
Aliphatic C6-C8	ND	5.0
Aliphatic C8-C10	ND	5.0
Aliphatic C10-C12	ND	5.0
Total Aliphatic:	NA	· · ·
Aromatic C8-C10	ND	5.0
Aromatic C10-C12	ND	5.0
Aromatic C12-C13	ND	5.0
Total Aromatic:	NA	· · · ·
Target Analytes:		
Methyi t-butylether	ND .	0.50
Benzene	ND	0.50
Toluene	ND	0.50
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50
m , p - Xylene	ND	0.50
o -Xylene	ND	0.50

Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits	
Fluorobenzene	110	60%-140%	

6
## VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	8-31-99
Date Analyzed:	9-15-99
	, , ,,
Matrix:	Soil
Units:	mg/Kg (ppm

Lab ID:

08-155-19

08-155-19 DUP

VPH:	Results	Results	PQL	RPD
Aliphatic C5-C6	ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aliphatic C6-C8	ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aliphatic C8-C10	ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aliphatic C10-C12	ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aromatic C8-C10	ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aromatic C10-C12	ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aromatic C12-C13	ND	ND	5.0	NA
		-		•
Target Analytes:				
Methyl t-butylether	ND	ND	0.50	NA
Benzene	ND	ND	0.50	NA
Toluene	ND	ND	0.50	NA
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	0.50	NA
m , p - Xylene	ND	ND	0.50	NA
o -Xylene	ND	ND	0.50	NA
				· .
		-		•
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Control Limits	
Fluorobenzene	93	84	60%-140%	

Lab ID:

## VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS SPIKE BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	8-31-99 9-09-99			, <sup>,</sup>
Matrix: Units:	Soil mg/Kg (ppm)			
Spiking Level:	1.00 ppm	•	·	
				`

SB0831S2

· · ·		Percent	,	Percent	·	
	Result	Recovery	Result	Recovery	PQL	RPD
Methyl t-butylether:	0.980	98	0.965	97	0.50	1.5
Benzene:	1.10	110	1.09	109	0.50	0.91
Toluene:	1.18	. 118	1.12	112	0.50	5.2
Ethylbenzene:	<sup>.</sup> 1.13	113	1.12	112	0.50	0.89
m , p - Xylene:	1,18	118	1.13	113	0.50	4.3
o -Xylene:	1.11	111	1.09	109	0.50	1.8
Surrogate: Fluorobenzene		79		78	Control Limits 60%-140%	

SB0831S2 DUP

8

## **EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS**

Date	Extracted:	
Date	Analyzed:	

8-26-99 9-29-99

Soil mg/Kg (ppm)

Lab ID:
Client ID:

Matrix:

Units:

08-184-10

GP-3/13'-15'

	Results	PQL
Aliphatic C10-C12	ND	6.0
Aliphatic C12-C16	14	<sup>•</sup> 6.0
Aliphatic C16-C18	12	6.0
Aliphatic C18-C21	48	6.0
Aliphatic C21-C28	430	6.0
Aliphatic C28-C36	440	6.0
Total Aliphatic	940	· .
Aromatic C10-C12	ND	6.0
Aromatic C12-C16	ND ND	6.0
Aromatic C16-C18	ND	6.0
Aromatic C18-C21	36	6.0
Aromatic C21-C28	86	<b>6.0</b>
Aromatic C28-C36	150	6.0
Total Aromatic	270	, <i>1</i>
·		

 Percent
 Control

 Surrogate
 Recovery
 Limits

 o-Terphenyl
 105
 50 - 150

 1-Chlorooctadecane
 79
 50 - 150

Flags:

## EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	8-26-99
Date Analyzed:	9-29-99

Matrix: Units: Soil mg/Kg (ppm)

Lab ID:

MB0826S1

•••••		/	· ·
		Results	PQL
Aliphatic C10-C12	•	ND ·	5.0
Aliphatic C12-C16	• • •	ND	5.0
Aliphatic C16-C18		ND	5.0
Aliphatic C18-C21	· · ·	ND	5.0
Aliphatic C21-C28		ND	5.0
Aliphatic C28-C36		ND	5.0
Total Aliphatic		NA	•
		,	
Aromatic C10-C12		ND	5.0
Aromatic C12-C16		ND	- 5.0
Aromatic C16-C18	the system	ND	5.0
Aromatic C18-C21		ND	5.0
Aromatic C21-C28		ND.	5.0
Aromatic C28-C36		ND	5.0
Total Aromatic		NA	• •

	Percent	Control
Surrogate	Recovery	Limits
o-Terphenyl	99	50 - 150
1-Chlorooctadecane	<i>.</i> 84	50 - 150

Flags:

## EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	8-26-99
Date Analyzed:	9-29-99
· · ·	
Matrix:	Soil
Units:	 mg/Kg (ppm)

Spike Level:

Lab ID:

100 ppm

SB0826S1

·		
SB0826S1DL	IP	

•				•	
		Results	Results	PQL	RPD
Aliphatic C10-C12		6.23	5.75	5.0	8.0
Aliphatic C12-C16		25.3	24.4	5.0	3.8
Aliphatic C16-C18		15.9	15.4	5.0	2.8
Aliphatic C18-C21		14.2	13.7	5.0	3.8
Aliphatic C21-C28		ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aliphatic C28-C36		ND	ND	5.0	NA
	•				
· · · · ·					
Aromatic C10-C12	<u>.</u>	ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aromatic C12-C16	1	ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aromatic C16-C18	••	ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aromatic C18-C21		8.99	5.47	5.0	49
Aromatic C21-C28		ND	ND	5.0	NA
Aromatic C28-C36		ND	ND	5.0	NA
Percent Recovery		· 64	59	-	8.8

Recovery		Control Limits
		50 - 150 50 - 150
Î	,	07 107

Flags:

## TOTAL LEAD EPA 6010B

Date Extracted:		9-1-99
Date Analyzed:		9-1-99

Matrix:		• •	Soil
Units:	•		mg/kg (ppm)
,			

Client ID	Lab ID	- -	Result	PQL
GP6/3'-4'	08-184-15		45	5.8
GP-7/3'-4'	08-184-17		220	5.7
GP8/4'-4.5'	08-184-18		74	5.8
GP9/3.5'-4'	08-184-20		240	5.8
GP-10/3'-4'	08-184-21		36	5.8
GP11/10'-13'	08-184-22	· · · ·	ND	6.1

## TOTAL LEAD EPA 6010B METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted:	9-1-99
Date Analyzed:	9-1-99
Matrix:	Soil
Units:	mg/kg (ppm)
Lab ID:	MB0901S1

Analyte	Method	Result	PQL					
Lead	6010B	ND	5.0					

· · ·

13

## TOTAL LEAD EPA 6010B DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: 9-1-99 Date Analyzed: 9-1-99

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg (ppm)

Lab ID: 08-219-01

Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD	Flags	PQL	
Lead	ND	ND	NA	•	5.0	

14

## TOTAL LEAD EPA 6010B MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Extracted: 9-1-99 Date Analyzed: 9-1-99

Matrix:SoilUnits:mg/kg (ppm)Lab ID:08-219-01

Analyte	Spike Level	MS	Percent Recovery	MSD	Percent Recovery	RPD ·	Flags
Lead	250	240	96	245	98	2.1	



#### DATA QUALIFIERS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A - Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.

B - The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.

C - The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.

D - Data from 1:\_\_\_\_ dilution.

E - The value reported exceeds the quantitation range, and is an estimate.

F - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.

G - Insufficient sample quantity for duplicate analysis.

H - The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.

I - Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.

J - The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.

K - Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeniety. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.

L - The RPD is outside of the control limits.

M - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.

O - Hydrocarbons outside the defined gasoline range are present in the sample; NWTPH-Dx recommended.

P - The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.

Q - Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.

S - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

T - The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_\_.

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

V - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

W - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

X - Sample extract treated with a silica gel cleanup procedure.

Y - Sample extract treated with an acid cleanup procedure.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected

MRL - Method Reporting Limit

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit

**RPD - Relative Percent Difference** 

						ſ				
	\ '	8939 120th Avenue N.E., Suite 10 East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B	, Spokane, WA	99206-4779	(425) 420-9200 (509) 924-9200	FAX 420-9210 FAX 924-9290				
		9405 S.W. Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132 (503) 906-9200 FAX								
Environmental Laboratory Services	DY REPORT	DB	Work	Order #	08	- 184				
REPORT TO: ADAPT Engineering, Inc.	INVOICE TO:			TURN	TURNAROUND REQUEST in Business Days *					
ATTENTION: Charles Caces	ATTENTION:				Organic & Inorg	ganic Analyses				
ADDRESS: BOO Maynard Ave. 5., 50.403 Seattle WA 98134	ADDRESS:			10 7	5 4	3 2 1 Same Day				
					Fuels & Hydu	rocarbon Analyses				
PHONE: 206 654 7045 FAX: 206 654 7048	P.O. NUMBER:	NCA QUOTE #:	/ / /		5 3-4 Standard	2 1 Same Day				
PROJECT NAME: Sound Subaru	Analysis Request:	Jet G		OTHER	l					
PROJECT NUMBER: WA99-2641 SAMPLED BY: Charles Cace		87 E		L	Specify: Requests less than sta	ndard may incur Rush Charges.				
SAMPLED BY:         Clarker           CLIENT SAMPLE         SAMPLING           NCA SAMPLE ID	5 3 94	t Wije		MATRIX	# OF					
IDENTIFICATION         DATE/TIME         (Laboratory Use Only)           GP-1/4'-7'         9!10 4m²23/95		(-(-7-(-(-	<u> </u>	(W, S, A, O)	CONTAINERS	COMMENTS				
				5011	1-402					
<u>GP-1/7-10' 9:20</u>										
<u>GP-1/10-13</u> , 9:30		×								
6P-2/2.5-3.5 10:55		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
GP-2/4.5-5 10:59										
6P-217.5-8 11:05										
GP-3/4-6 11:25										
GP-3/8-10' 11:40										
GP-3/12-13' 11:55										
6P-3/ 13-15 11:56		X		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	1-802					
RELINQUISHED BY (Signature)	DATE: 8/25/99		in Rero		<u> </u>	835/99 DATE:				
Charles C C C AD D	- , , -	NEN.	Rober	- <u></u> -S	FIRM: SPEE					
	TIME:	PRINT NAME:	11.	1	FIRM: 7 612	TIME: (				
RELINQUISHED BY (Synduce	DATE:	RECEIVED BY (Signafure)	MA		80	DATE:				
PRINT NAME: FIRM:	TIME:	PRINT NAME:	D		FIRM: 0 SE	тіме:				
ADDITIONAL REMARKS: Standard Turn on	wind 6	Added 8/31/99. DB								
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·					

		)												2
		$\alpha$	,		1						A 98011-9508	(425) 420-920		
		$\sim$						-	-	•	A 99206-4779 R 97008-7132	(509) 924-920 (503) 906-920		
Environmental Laboratory Service		l	(					2				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CHAIN OF CUSTODY R					RT	I	۰D	らつ		Work	Order #	0	<u>9 - 1 8</u>	4
LEPORT TO: A DaPT Engin	neering	Inc.	INVOICE	r <b>0:</b>							TURN	AROUND REQU	EST in Busines	s Davs *
ATTENTION: Charles Ca	aces		ATTENTION	ATTENTION: Organic & Inorganic Analyses										
UTTENTION: Charles Co NDDRESS: 800 Mayor Sec. Hie U	and Auc	· . 5. 5. 403	ADDRESS:											
Sectile u	IA 98	134	Standard Fuels & Hydrocarbon Analyses									ses		
HONE: 206 654 7045	FAX: ZO	56547098	P.O. NUMBE	R:	<del>,</del>	,	N		TE #:	<del>, , ,</del>		5 3-4	2 1	Same. Day
ROJECT NAME: SOUND	Jubar	NO	Analysis Request:	k	*	30	-/t	୭/			1	Stanuaru		
ROJECT NUMBER: WASS -	-2641		-	At	1/1 1-1-1	ſŹŸ	É'/	/ /			OTHER	Specify:		
CLIENT SAMPLE	S Caces	NCA SAMPLE ID		5%	£15/	y k	5/					Requests less than st	andard may incu	Rush Charges.
				5 / 6	/ ۴	¢¢	/				MATRIX (W. S. A. O)	# OF CONTAINERS	COM	MENTS
GP-415-6'	IZ:55812	3/99		_								1-40 Z	_	
6P-4/9-10'	1:10													
6P-4/12-13	1:20			8										
GP-5/14-16	2:05											1-80Z		
GP-6/3-4'	2:35			$\triangleleft$	8	X						1-402		
GP-6/7-8'	2:50													
GP-7/5-4'	3:00			$\left\langle \right\rangle$	$\otimes$	X								
GP-8/4-415	3:35				$\otimes$	Х								
68-8/7-7.5	3:50													
GP-9/3.5-4	4:05 V	/		$\triangleleft$	8	X						N I		
ELINQUISHED BY (Signature):	C.C.		DA	TE: 8/2	5/99	RECEIV	ED BY (Sur	nciure I;	Uer	in' Pre	m		\$7.	JS/9 S DATE:
RINT NAME: Charle	sC.Cace	2 FIRM: ADat		AE:		PRINT N	IAME:	De	NNI	1 Roa	SERS	FIRM: SPE	EDY	тиме: /, ЭО
ELINQUISHED BY (Signature):			DA	TE:		RECEIV	ED BY (5.g.	napi (;	IØ	me				DATE: 42546
RINT NAME:		FIRM:	ווד	4E:		PRINTN	IAME: (	<u>)</u> a	1 <u>A R</u>	$\mathcal{D}$		FIRM: OS	E	тме: 1.00
ADDITIONAL REMARKS:	D	$0 \overline{1}$	<u></u>	<u>,                                    </u>		(X	added	l sta	199.1	าช				
Dtan	ndanc	l Turna				9		ר וי	(()- <u>L</u>	ツ、			F	AGE 7 OF Z
		,,	•	· -						'				





18939 120th Avenue N.E., Suite 101, Bothell, WA 98011-9508 East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA 99206-4779 9405 S.W. Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132 (425) 420-9200 FAX 420-9210 (509) 924-9200 FAX 924-9290 (503) 906-9200 FAX 906-9210

## CHAIN OF CUSTODY REPORT

Work Order #

08-184

REPORT TO: ADaPT Engin	reering	Inc.	INVOICE TO:							TURNAROUND REQUEST in Business Days *					
ATTENTION: Charles Ca			ATTENT	ION:									Organic & Ir	organic Analyse	s
ADDRESS: 800 Maynand	Ave S.	50.403	ADDRES	S:						<u>_</u>		10 7	5 4	3 2	1 Same Day
Seattle WA	- 98134	(										10.L2/U	Fuels & H	ydrocarbon Ana	ír— I
PHONE: 206654 7045		6547048	P.O. NUN	IBER:	,	, ,		NCA QUOTE #	:				5 3-4	2 1	Same Day
PROJECT NAME: 500 Nd 5	Bar		Analysis			、 /	5	· \()/	' /		1 -		313111210		
PROJECT NUMBER: WA99 -	Z641		Request:	6.4	$\langle \dot{\rho} \rangle$	×.	E.					OTHER	Specify:		
SAMPLED BY: Charles C			_	A STA		2 /	ज्ये हैं		/ /				Requests less than	standard may inco	er Rush Charges.
CLIENT SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	SAMPLING DATE/TIME	NCA SAMPLE ID (Laboratory Use Only)		\\$` <b>`</b> `}3	0/1-	*/~	₹/¥	/ /				ATRIX S, A, O)	# OF CONTAINERS	со	MMENTS
GP-1013'-4'	5:00 2				$\mathbb{X}$	Ð	X				3	oil	1-803		
GP-11/10-13	5:51			X			$\times$					1	1-402		
6P-11/13-16	6:00											$\downarrow$	1-802		
67-1, W-1	9:35 Am			$\times$							Wo	ter	1-5000	& Ausa	· 3-VOAS
GP-3, W-1	12:15 Pm												2-500	lAbe	-3 VOAS
GP-4, W-1	1:30 fm			$\sim$	1								2-500-	I fube	-ZVOAS
GP-11, W-1	6:15 Pm			$\mathbf{X}^{-}$							0	/	2.5000	Ala	2 VAAS
															3 DB 8/25
0.									h						
RELINQUISHED BY (Signature)	PC (	$\mathcal{O}$	•		\$/54	RECEIV	/ED 8Y (5)		ler	ni Ru	as.		· <u> </u>	Ş	135/99 DATE:
	C.Cace	L FIRM: ADal				PRINT		Δ.	<u> </u>	Rowe			FIRM: SPE	EDY	TIME: 11 3,0
	0.0.0			11012					1	lu.					8/201
				DATE:		RECEIV	ED BY (Su		<u>ll</u>	ME	/		AO		DATE: 725/96
PRINT NAME:		FIRM:		TIME:		PRINT	NAME:	U.u					<sub>firm:</sub> <i>OS</i>	E	TIME: POCA
ADDITIONAL REMARKS:	0	& Tur	_	~ <u>`</u>		11		SAda	ded	8 31 99	1 - D	3.			
Dto	inda		va	$\sim 0.0$	~ 0	$\sim$					-	-		1	PAGE ZOF 3
			-								_	<u> </u>			

З

# APPENDIX G

# **GEO-RECON INTERNATIONAL REPORT**

RECON

# INTERNATIONAL

applied geophysics

February 23, 2000 J99-683/AH

Adapt Engineering, Inc. 800 Maynard Avenue South Seattle, WA 98134

RE: Ground Penetrating Radar Survey at the Walker Subaru Used Car Lot 250 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington

This letter reports the results of a Ground Penetrating Radar Survey (GPR) at the site of a former gasoline service station that occupied the corner of 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue South and Rainier Avenue South, Renton Washington. The site is presently utilized as a Used Car Lot for Walker Subaru. The work was completed on August 17, 1999.

Results of the Survey

No evidence for orphan Underground Storage Tanks (UST) was found during the survey. The survey covered 3 areas within the property boundaries. The survey located drilling hazards to avoid for additional exploration efforts.

The interpretation of the GPR data for the three areas is shown in the attached three figures.

## Area 1

Area 1 is shown in Figure 1 attached to this report and is located on the East Side of the property, adjacent to the property line, beginning 20 feet North of the Espresso Stand on the corner of the area. A main sewer line crosses the area. There appears to be an abandoned side sewer connection to the main line from a former structure (?) on the area or possible former surface sump. Possible buried debris is as shown. The possible debris is from 4 to 5 feet in depth, and may be an accumulation of boulders or gravel in the subsurface. Some near surface reflections on the GPR records show evidence of abandoned piping and possible foundation remains. The area is presently covered by an asphalt surface. The GPR lines were run at a 1-metre spacing across the area.

## Area 2

Area 2 is shown in Figure 2 and is adjacent to the sidewalk along Rainer Avenue South, on the West Side of the property. The water line, from the meter box on the sidewalk crosses the area to the structure on the site. Some utilities are located near the eastern edge of the sidewalk. The area was crossed with lines at 1-metre spacings.

### <u>Area 3</u>

Area 3 is shown in Figure 3, and lies in front of the structure on the site, North of South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. The area is largely disturbed ground; the North sewer trench line was clearly visible on the GPR records. The sewer lines cross the area.

## Methods

The Ground Penetrating Radar (a GSSI, SIR System 3) utilized a 500 Mega-Hertz antenna. The GPR antenna used for this investigation transmits a 2 nano-second (ns) pulse at a frequency of 500 Mega-Hertz for the selected scan rate of 8 times per second. When the signal encounters a change in electrical properties (a change in electrical permittivity), a portion of the signal energy is reflected back to the surface. The reflected signal received by the antenna, is digitally processed and recorded on a chart recorder in an amplitude-threshold format. The character of the reflection is used to interpret the source of the reflection.

The GPR records were recorded at a full-scale sweep of 80 nano-seconds, and have 8 nano-seconds between horizontal time marks. The top of the recording is marked at one metre (3.28 ft) intervals. The depth of an object is determined by the electro-magnetic wave propagation rate (inverse of wave velocity) of the site materials. The recorded time is two-way time, that is the time down to the surface and then back to the antenna. The two-way time is estimated to be between 5 to 6 nano-seconds per foot, or an estimated 1.3 to 1.6 feet between the horizontal time lines. The electro-magnetic velocity may vary across the site, both horizontally and vertically.

We trust that the above is sufficient for your requirements. Please let us know if you have any questions or if we may be of further assistance.

For Geo-Recon International

John M Musser Principal Geophysicist







## **APPENDIX H**

# TPS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. SOIL TREATMENT RECIEPT

	GENERAL		RS				ieł.		•		
1200	) East D Street / Telephone	Tacoma, Washir e (253) 383-3585		• .			÷ ,	•			
				NAPA	Tio	cket #	41788	6		-	
D : , , ,		ic. # WOODW 377N	0		ູຟສະ	aster	REBA	•			
				• • •		• • •		•			•
CAU	ION: HOT AS	PHALT WILL	BURN YOU				ROBER	т			
	·			· · · ·	REC			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
CUSTOMER:		PURCHASE ORD	ER:	· ·		JO LOA	8	JOB	·		
T. P. S.		.P.S.		· .			AD ·	TONS			
81384	n an		• • • • •		DAILY			57, 9			
10/12/99	PLANT	SILO #	ЪÕВ	PLANT	TRUČK		IENCE	REFEREN			
ME 12:51			61-		2146T		· ·		•		
MIXTURE 200	•	• • • •	GROSS		NET WT. TONS	PRICE	-1.	TOTAL			
CONT. SOIL	•		106680	42260	32.21			1.1			
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS		•	64420 N	Net LB 2			••	· · ·			
		· ·	Not M-1				· • ·				
				ric Tons 2.22	1		$\frown$				
<b>4</b> , <b>5</b>				÷ .	$ \downarrow \cdot \cdot \not <$	=X-X-	·				
	PIT BIEØ	.e%*	· .	<u>·</u>	. (1	X4	· · ·				
					Ϋ́,	$Z \times L$		<u>,                                    </u>			
		ر قام در در . مرجع مرد می ا	·····	<u> </u>	_ X`l	()		·			
		ONTRACTOR	y, Inc.		- X <u>-</u>		SCALE OPER	NTOR			
UBIC 1754 1954 1950 1950 1950 1950 1950 1950 1950 1950	OOdworth & GENERAL C East D Street / 1 Telephone LAKEVIEN	Company Contractor	<b>y, Inc.</b> IS gton 98421				ielj	<u>,</u> , ≠1e		·	
USC VSC VSC VSC VSC VSC VSC VSC V	OOdworth & GENERAL C East D Street / 1 Telephone LAKEVIEN	Company Contractor Facoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET	<b>y, Inc.</b> IS gton 98421	XAPA XAPA XAPA			41795	<u>,</u> , ≠1e			
JAC DS VSA W 1200	OOdworth & GENERAL C East D Street / 1 Telephone LAKEVIEN	Company Contractor Facoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET c. # WOODW 377NG	y, Inc. IS gton 98421				41795	<b>* ≠ }</b>			
UBIC ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS I	OOdworth & GENERAL C East D Street / 1 Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie	Company Contractor Facoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET c. # WOODW 377NG	y, Inc. IS gton 98421		Wma		41795 REBA	<b>* ≠ }</b>			
UBC VDS ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS ISS I	Oodworth E GENERAL C East D Street / 1 Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Tacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET C. # WOODW 377NC CHALT WILL E	y, Inc. IS gton 98421		Wma	ket # ster	41795 REBA ROBER	<pre></pre>			
UBIC VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS	Oodworth E GENERAL C East D Street / 1 Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Facoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET a. # WOODW 377Nd CHALT WILL E	y, Inc. IS gton 98421		Wm.a	ket # ster	41795 REBA ROBER	<b>* ≠ }</b>			
UBIC INS INS INS INS INS INS INS INS	Oodworth E GENERAL C East D Street / 1 Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Tacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET C. # WOODW 377NC CHALT WILL E	y, Inc. IS gton 98421		Wma	ket # ster	41795 REBA ROBER	<pre></pre>			· ·
UBIC VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS	Oodworth E GENERAL C East D Street / 1 Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Tacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET C. # WOODW 377NC CHALT WILL E	y, Inc. IS gton 98421		Wm a	:ket # ster :MED <b>*</b>	41795 REBA ROBER	JOB TONS 87.75 REFERENCE			•
UBIC 1200 1200 1200 CAUT CUSTOMER: T. P. S. B13B4 16/12/33 TE 4:03	OOdworth E GENERAL C East D Street / T Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Contractor Gontractor Gacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET MICKET MALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE P. S.	y, Inc. IS gton 98421 BURN YOU! R: JOB 51-	PLANT	Wm a PECE TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T	iket # ister JOB JOB SEQUE	41795 REBA ROBER	* + / * ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
UBIC INT INT INT INT UBIC INT INT INT INT INT INT INT INT	OOdworth E GENERAL C East D Street / T Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Contractor Gontractor Gacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET MICKET MALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE P. S.	y, Inc. IS gton 98421 BURN YOU! R: JOB E:1- GROSS	PLANT	Winter TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T TET WT. TONS	iket # ster JOB LOAT	41795 REBA ROBER	JOB TONS 87.75 REFERENCE			· · ·
JARC DS VISI V	OOdworth E GENERAL C East D Street / T Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Contractor Gontractor Gacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET MICKET MALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE P. S.	y, Inc. S gton 98421 BURN YOU! R: JOB 61- GROSS 101900	PLANT TARE N 42250	Wm a PECE TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T	iket # ister JOB JOB SEQUE	41795 REBA ROBER	* + / * ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
UBIC INT INT INT INT UBIC INT INT INT INT INT INT INT INT	OOdworth E GENERAL C East D Street / T Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Contractor Gontractor Gacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET MICKET MALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE P. S.	y, Inc. S gton 98421 BURN YOU! R: JOB 61- GROSS 101900	PLANT	Winter TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T TET WT. TONS	iket # ister JOB JOB SEQUE	41795 REBA ROBER	* + / * ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓			
UBIC INS INS INS INTE CUSTOMER: T. P. S. B1384 IG/12/33 TE 4:03 IXTURE 200 CONT. SOIL	OOdworth E GENERAL C East D Street / T Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Contractor Gontractor Gacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET MICKET MALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE P. S.	y, Inc. IS gton 98421 D BURN YOU! R: JOB 61- GROSS 101900 59640 No	PLANT TARE N 42260 et LB 2	Wm a TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T ET WT. TONS 29. 82	iket # ister JOB JOB SEQUE	41795 REBA ROBER	* + / * ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓			
JARC DS VISI V	OOdworth E GENERAL C East D Street / T Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	Contractor Contractor Gontractor Gacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET MICKET MALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE P. S.	y, Inc. IS gton 98421 D BURN YOU! R: JOB 61- GROSS 101900 59640 No	PLANT TARE N 42260 et LB 2 ric. Tons	Winter TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T TET WT. TONS	iket # ister JOB JOB JOAT 3 SEQUE	41795 REBA ROBER	* + / * ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
UBIC INS INS INS INTE CUSTOMER: T. P. S. B1384 IG/12/33 TE 4:03 IXTURE 200 CONT. SOIL	OOdworth E GENERAL C East D Street / T Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR Cacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET C. # WOODW 377NC PHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE P. S. SILO #	y, Inc. IS gton 98421 BURN YOU! BURN YOU! B: JOB 61- GROSS 101900 59640 No Net Meth	PLANT TARE N 42260 et LB 2 ric. Tons	Wm a TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T ET WT. TONS 29. 62 TAX %	iket # ister JOB JOB JOAT 3 SEQUE	41795 REBA ROBER	* + / * ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓			
JARC DS VISI V	OOdworth E GENERAL C East D Street / T Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR Cacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET C. # WOODW 377NC PHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE P. S. SILO #	y, Inc. IS gton 98421 BURN YOU! BURN YOU! B: JOB 61- GROSS 101900 59640 No Net Meth	PLANT TARE N 42260 et LB 2 ric. Tons	Wm a TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T ET WT. TONS 29. 62 TAX %	iket # ister JOB JOB JOAT 3 SEQUE	41795 REBA ROBER	* + / * ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓			
USIC USI USI USI USI USI USI USI USI	OOdworth E GENERAL C East D Street / T Telephone LAKEVIEN Contractors Lie ION: HOT ASP	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR Cacoma, Washin (253) 383-3585 W PIT TICKET C. # WOODW 377NC PHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE P. S. SILO #	y, Inc. IS gton 98421 BURN YOU! R: JOB 61- GROSS 101900 59640 No 59640 No 101900	PLANT TARE N 42260 et LB 2 ric. Tons	Wm a TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T ET WT. TONS 29. 62 TAX %	INED X JOB JOB JOB LOAL 3 SEQUE	41795 REBA ROBER	JOB TONS 87-75 REFERENC TOTAL			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

•

.

Wo	odworth & Compan	v. Inc.		1.24 <sup>4</sup>			
	GENERAL CONTRACTO	RS	•				
1200 Ea	ast D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone, (253) 383-3585	ngton 98421		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<b>**</b>	LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET			aket # 4181 Aster REBA			
	Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377N	O Reflector advocation		· .			
				ROBE	RT		
CAUTIC	ON: HOT ASPHALT WILL	BURN YOU!	AEC	eived <b>*</b>			
CUSTOMER:	PURCHASE ORD	ER:		•,	JOB		
Т.Р.S.	T. P. S.			ĴОВ LOAD	JOB TONS		
81384			TOTAL		12.72		
10/13/99 DATE	PLANT SILO #	JOB PLANT	TRUCK	SEQUENCE	REFERENCE		
TIME 3:51	, ·,	66- CPOSS TARE	2146T	PRICE	TOTAL		
MIXTURE 200 CONT. SOIL		GROSS TARE	NET WT. TONS	PRICE	TUTAL		
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS			<u> </u>				
				· · · · ·			
		Net Metric Ton 11.54	1.100.70				
	PIT B160		-(-Q)	X			
		<u></u>	- × Z	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$	<b>N</b> -		
	REMARK				RATOR		
₩o	odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin	<b>y, Inc.</b> RS 191001 98421		SCALE OPI	FRATOR		
₩o	odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR	<b>y, Inc.</b> RS 191001 98421			28		
₩o	odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585	y, Inc. RS Igton 98421			28		
<b>WO</b> 1200 Ea	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377NG	y, Inc. ts gton 98421		sket # 4178 ister REBA	28		
<b>WO</b> 1200 Ea	odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253), 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET	y, Inc. ts gton 98421	, Wma	ket # 4178 ster REBA ROBE	28	•	
<b>WO</b> 1200 Ea	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377NC N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E	y, Inc. ts gton 98421	, Wma	ROBE	28	•	
WO 1200 Ea	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377NG	y, Inc. ts gton 98421	, Wma	ket # 4178 ster REBA ROBE	28		
<b>WO</b> 1200 Ea	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377NC N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E	y, Inc. ts gton 98421	PECE	ROBE	28 RT JOB TONS	•	
WO 1200 Ea CAUTIO CUSTOMER: T. P. S. 81384 ATE	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377Nd N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE T. P. S.	y, Inc. ts gton 98421	, Wm a	ROBE	28 RT	•	
WO 1200 Ea CAUTIO CUSTOMER: T. P. S. 81384 MATE 10/12/99 IME 9:56	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377Nd N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE T. P. S.	y, Inc.         zs         igton 98421         D         BURN YOU!         R:         JOB         PLANT         £1-	Wma PECE TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T	ROBE	28 RT JOB TONS 25.72 REFERENCE		
WO 1200 Ea CAUTIO CUSTOMER: T. P. S. 81384 ATE	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR IST D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377Nd N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE T. P. S.	y, Inc. Ss gton 98421 BURN YOU! R: JOB PLANT GROSS TARE	Wind RECE TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T NET WT. TONS	ROBE	28 RT JOB TONS 25.72		
WO 1200 Ea CAUTIO CUSTOMER: T. P. S. B1384 MATE 10/12/33 MIE 9:56 MIXTURE 200	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR IST D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377Nd N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE T. P. S.	y, Inc.           SS           igton 98421           Image: Solution of the second	Wma rece Total Daily TRUCK 2146T NET WT. TONS 25. 72	ROBE	28 RT JOB TONS 25.72 REFERENCE		
Wo           1200 Ea           I200	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR IST D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377Nd N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE T. P. S.	y, Inc. Ss gton 98421 BURN YOU! R: JOB PLANT GROSS TARE	Wma rece Total Daily TRUCK 2146T NET WT. TONS 25. 72	ROBE	28 RT JOB TONS 25.72 REFERENCE		
Wo           1200 Ea           I200	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR IST D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377Nd N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE T. P. S.	y, Inc.           Image: Solution 98421           Image:	Wma PECE TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T NET WT. TONS 25. 72 TAX %	ROBE NED X JOB LOAD	28 RT JOB TONS 25.72 REFERENCE		
Wo           1200 Ea           I200	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR IST D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377Nd N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE T. P. S.	y, Inc.         zs         igton 98421         Image: Signal State         BURN YOU!         R:         JOB         PLANT         61-         GROSS         TARE         93700         42260         51440         Net         2	Wma RECE TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T NET WT. TONS 25. 72	ROBE NED X JOB LOAD	28 RT JOB TONS 25.72 REFERENCE		
Wo           1200 Ea           I200	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377Nd N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE T. P. S. PLANT SILO #	y, Inc.           Image: Solution 98421           Image:	Wma PECE TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T NET WT. TONS 25. 72 TAX %	ROBE NED X JOB LOAD	28 RT JOB TONS 25.72 REFERENCE		
Wo           1200 Ea           I200	Odworth & Compan GENERAL CONTRACTOR Ist D Street / Tacoma, Washin Telephone (253) 383-3585 LAKEVIEW PIT TICKET Contractors Lic. # WOODW 377NC N: HOT ASPHALT WILL E PURCHASE ORDE T. P. S.	y, Inc.           Image: Solution 98421           Image:	Wma PECE TOTAL DAILY TRUCK 2146T NET WT. TONS 25. 72 TAX %	ROBE NED X JOB LOAD	28 RT JOB TONS 25.72 REFERENCE	,	

.

•

•