

## **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM:** Sub-Slab Soil Vapor Assessment

Project Name:	West View Mart	Date:	August 20, 2021	
ZGA Project No.:	1195.25	Prepared For:	The Whitestone, Inc. &	
VCP Project No.:	NW2373		Equilon Enterprises LLC, DBA Shell Oil Products, US	
Subject:	Sub-Slab Soil Vapor Assessment	Prepared By:	Zipper Geo Associates, LLC (ZGA)	
Enclosures:	Figure 1: Post-Remediation Soil Results and Sub-Slab Soil Vapor Results (SSSV July 2 2021) Table 1: Sub-Slab Soil Vapor Analytical Results Table 2: Modified Method B Benzene Soil Gas Screening Level Calculation Photograph Appendix Friedman & Bruya, Inc., Analytical Laboratory Report 107531, August 10, 2021			

Zipper Geo Associates, LLC (ZGA) is pleased to provide this Technical Memorandum presenting the results of our sub-slab soil vapor (SSSV) assessment for the West View Mart project. This investigation was completed in general accordance with our *Work Plan and Cost Estimate, Soil Vapor Assessment,* dated July 23, 2021. Based on the data presented herein, we conclude that residual petroleum contaminated soil (PCS) beneath the West View Mart building does not pose a vapor intrusion risk to the building's occupants under a commercial exposure scenario.

#### VaporPin<sup>®</sup> Installation

ZGA visited the site with a subcontracted private utility locating service on July 22, 2021 to select SSSV sampling locations. ZGA returned to the site on July 29, 2021 and installed three VaporPin-brand SSSV sampling points (VP-1, VP-2, and VP-3) in the approximate locations indicated on Figure 1. Within the limitations of site access, sampling locations were selected to be near to residual PCS identified by our post-remediation soil sampling efforts. Post-remediation soil sampling results are summarized on Figure 1 (see *Remedial Action Report, West View Mart,* January 27, 2021, for additional information).

VaporPins consist of a stainless-steel sampling device with a protruding hose barb that is installed through the building's slab to allow for collection of SSSV. Each VaporPin is installed into a ½-inch diameter slab penetration, which was created by ZGA with a roto-hammer. The annular space between the VaporPin and the surrounding concrete slab is sealed by an expanding silicone sleeve. Each VaporPin is equipped with a screw-on, flush-mount

Technical Memorandum – Sub-Slab Soil Vapor Assessment West View Mart ZGA Project No. 1195.25 August 20, 2021



monument to protect the VaporPin and allow for resampling, if necessary. A representative photograph of a VaporPin after installation is provided as *Photo.* #1 in the enclosed photograph appendix.

#### SSSV Sampling Methodology

SSSV samples were collected from VP-1, VP-2, and VP-3 on July 29, 2021. SSSV samples were collected into laboratory supplied 1-liter summa canisters using the methodology generally described in the document: *Vapor Intrusion Technical Guidance*, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, January 2018, Version 4.1 (NJDEP, 2018). Sample canisters were connected to the VaporPin's hose barb by a "sampling train", which consisted of disposable ¼-inch ID fluorinated ethylene-propylene (FEP) tubing with silicone connections and nylon three-way valves at the junctures. A 6-liter summa "purge canister" was also connected to the sampling train. Each sample canister was equipped with a 150 cc/min flow regulator. The purge canister was equipped with a 200 cc/min flow regulator. A representative photograph of sampling train construction is presented as *Photo. #2* in the enclosed photograph appendix.

The airtightness of each sampling train was evaluated prior to and during sampling to avoid cross-contamination of the SSSV sample by ambient air. The airtightness of each sampling train was evaluated in general accordance with the methods described in NJDEP, 2018. Airtightness tests included a "water dam", a "shut-in test", and application of a tracer gas. No obvious leaks were detected during the water dam or shut-in tests of the three sampling trains. We elected to use isopropyl alcohol (aka rubbing alcohol or 2-propanol) as a tracer gas. During each sample collection, 70% rubbing alcohol was applied to a paper towel and placed beneath the sampling train. During subsequent laboratory analysis, each SSSV sample was additionally analyzed for 2-propanol. According to Section H.3.3.5 *Liquid Tracers*, NJDEP, 2018, a 0.1% leak of ambient air into the sample canister would conservatively equate to a detection of 100,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> of 2-propanol. Laboratory analysis of SSSV samples collected from VP-1, VP-2, and VP-3 reported concentrations of 150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, 21,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, and 15,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> threshold for a 0.1% leak. Based on these results, it is our opinion that the samples collected on July 29, 2021 are representative of SSSV conditions with insignificant possible cross-contamination by ambient air from within the West View Mart building.

Once the water dam and shut-in tests were completed, the ambient air within the sampling train was purged through application of a vacuum from the purge canister. The purging process also primes the sampling train with SSSV. After the purge, ZGA collected a SSSV sample from each VaporPin into a 1-liter summa canister. Sample collection times, starting negative pressure, and final negative pressure were recorded on the chain of custody. ZGA assigned sample identification nomenclature to each canister using the following format: *Vapor Pin ID - Date (Year, Month, Day)*, i.e., *VP1-20210729*, *VP2-20210729*, and *VP3-20210729*.

#### Laboratory Analysis

Samples were transported within hold times, under Chain of Custody procedures, to Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (Friedman), a Washington-State accredited analytical laboratory located in Seattle. Samples were analyzed for

Technical Memorandum – Sub-Slab Soil Vapor Assessment West View Mart ZGA Project No. 1195.25 August 20, 2021



benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX), naphthalene, and 2-propanol by EPA Method TO-15 and for air phase hydrocarbons (APH) by Massachusetts Method MA-APH.

All analyses were completed using standard turnaround times. Data packages were checked for completeness upon receipt from the laboratory to ensure that data and QA/QC information requested were present. Data quality was assessed by considering holding times, surrogate recovery, method blanks, matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recovery, and detection limits. Based on our review of the laboratory reports, it is our opinion that the provided analytical data is suitable for usage in this SSSV assessment. The executed chain-of-custody forms and laboratory analytical certificates are enclosed with this Technical Memorandum.

#### Results

Laboratory results are summarized on Table 1. Except for benzene, reported concentrations of chemicals of concern were compared to Default MTCA Method B Sub-Slab Screening Levels as presented by the Washington State Department of Ecology's (Ecology's) Cleanup Level and Risk Calculation (CLARC) resource page. Reported benzene concentrations were compared to a Modified MTCA Method B Screening Level adjusted for a commercial exposure scenario (10 hours/day for 250 days compared to default residential scenario of 24 hours/day for 365 days). Our Modified MTCA Method B Screening Level for benzene was calculated using parameter values derived from MTCA Equation 750-2 and the CLARC database. The Modified Method B Screening Level for benzene calculated by ZGA is 35.61 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Unless discussed below, analytes were not detected above their respective laboratory reporting detection limit (RDL).

- Benzene was detected at a concentration of  $2.1 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$  in sample VP2-20210729. This concentration does not exceed the Default Method B Screening Level for benzene of  $11 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ , nor does it exceed the Modified Method B Screening Level for benzene of  $35.61 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ .
- Benzene was detected at a concentration of 19  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in sample VP3-20210729. This concentration exceeds the Default Method B Screening Level for benzene of 11  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> but does not exceed the Modified Method B Screening Level for benzene of 35.61  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### Conclusions

ZGA has completed a SSSV assessment in general accordance with the scope of services outlined in our Work Plan dated July 23, 2021. Three SSSV samples were collected from VaporPins installed in the West View Mart building proximal to PCS identified in remedial excavation sidewalls. Laboratory analysis of three SSSV samples determined that contaminants of concern (COCs) were either not detected above laboratory RDLs or did not exceed applicable, risk based, MTCA Method B Sub-Slab Screening Levels for SSSV. Therefore, we conclude that residual PCS beneath the West View Mart building does not pose a vapor intrusion risk to the building's occupants under a commercial exposure scenario. Technical Memorandum – Sub-Slab Soil Vapor Assessment West View Mart ZGA Project No. 1195.25 August 20, 2021



#### Closing

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service on this project. Based on the findings of this investigation, it is the professional opinion of the undesigned that the Property is worthy of a Property-Specific NFA from the Washington State Department of Ecology. We understand that an Environmental Covenant is warranted since residual soils exceeding site specific Method B direct contact cleanup levels remain in place beneath buildings and fuel distribution appurtenances (*Remedial Action Report, West View Mart,* ZGA, January 27, 2021). If you have questions regarding this Technical Memorandum or the investigation described herein, feel free to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Zipper Geo Associates, LLC



Jeffrey S. Tinklepaugh, L.G. Project Geologist

Sean W. Donnan, P.G., L.E.G., L.Hg. Principal





Jon M. Einarsen, L.G., L.E.G., L.Hg. Senior Consultant





.⊿ .. · ∢ ⊿

LEGEND





APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF SUB-SLAB SOIL VAPOR SAMPLE



APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF POST-REMEDIATION SOIL SAMPLE

**GREEN** indicates COCs not detected above laboratory RDLs.

**ORANGE** indicates COC(s) detected above laboratory RDL(s), but below MTCA.

RED indicates COC(s) detected above MTCA.

COCs = Contaminants of Concern (Includes chemicals associated with gasoline releases, Table 830-1 MTCA).

MTCA = Applicable cleanup levels/screening levels per Chapter 70A. 305 RCW and its implementing regulations, the Model Toxics Control Act, Chapter 173-340 WAC.

RDLs = Reporting Detection Limits.

Grayscale reproduction of this color original may reduce its effectiveness and lead to incorrect interpretation.





POST-REMEDIATION SOIL RESULTS AND SUB-SLAB SOIL VAPOR RESULTS (SSSV/ JULY 29, 2021)						
DATE: AUGUST 2021	Job No.	1195.25				
Zipper Geo Associates, LLC	FIGURE	٨				
19019 36th Ave. W.,Suite E Lynnwood, WA	SHT.1 of 1	I				

Date of Sampling		Sample ID	Air Pha	Air Phase Hydrocarbons (μg/m³)		Volatile Organic Compounds (µg/m³)					Tracer Gas (μg/m³)	
Collection Location	Location	Sample ID	EC5-8 ali.	EC9-12 ali.	EC9-10 aro.	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	m,p-Xylene	o-Xylene	Naphthalene	2-Propanol
	VP-1	VP1-20210729	<500	<170	<170	<2.1	<130	<2.9	<5.8	<2.9	<1.8	150
7/29/2021	VP-2	VP2-20210729	<440	<150	<150	2.1	<110	<2.6	<5.1	<2.6	<1.5	21,000 ve
	VP-3	VP3-20210729	<480	<160	<160	19	<120	<2.8	<5.6	<2.8	<1.7	15,000 ve
Default MTCA Method B Sub-Slab Screening Level, Cancer		NE	NE	NE	11	NE	NE	NE	NE	2.5	N/A	
Default MTCA Method B Sub-Slab Screening Level, Non- Cancer			4,700 Total		460	76,000	15,000	1,500	Total	46	N/A	
Modified MTCA	Method B Sub-Slab Cancer	Screening Level,	NE	NE	NE	37	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	N/A
Tracer Gas, Leak D	etection Threshold leak)	d (0.1% theoretical	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100,000

`

#### LEGEND

<2.1	= "Less than" symbol indicates that the analyte was not detected above the specified laboratory RDL.
2.1	= Bold values indicate that the analyte was detected above the laboratory RDL at the specified concentration, but does not exceed MTCA screening levels.
19	= Shaded values indicate an exceedance of the MTCA screening level of the corresponding color.

#### ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

••

#### NOTES

Grayscale reproduction of this color original may reduce its effectiveness and lead to incorrect interpretation.

# **Zipper**Geo

## Table 2. Modified Method B Benzene Soil Gas Screening Level Calculation

West View Mart Sub-Slab Soil Vapor Assessment ZGA Project No. 1195.25

Equation 750-2 for Carcinogens	Benzene			
Parameters <sup>1</sup>		Units	Default Method B <sup>2</sup>	Modified Method B <sup>3</sup>
Carcinogenic risk	RISK	unitless	1.00E-06	1.00E-06
Average body weight	ABW	kg	70	70
Averaging time	AT	years	75	75
Unit conversion factor	UCF	ug/mg	1,000	1,000
Carcinogenic potency factor	CPF	kg-day/mg	0.0273	0.0273
Breathing rate	BR	m <sup>3</sup> /day	20	20
Inhalation adsorption rate	ABS	unitless	1	1
Exposure duration	ED	years	30	30
Exposure frequency	EF	unitless	1	0.3
Method B Air Cleanup Level		ug/m <sup>3</sup>	0.32	1.07
Method B Subslab Soil Gas Screeni	ng Level	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	10.68	35.61

<sup>1</sup> Parameter values are derived from MTCA Equation 750-2 or the CLARC database (https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/CLARCDataTables.aspx)

<sup>2</sup> Default Method B cleanup level calculation using default parameters protective in a residential setting
 <sup>3</sup> Modified Method B cleanup level calculation using exposure duration and exposure frequency reflective of a commercial setting

Modified Method B Air Cleanup Level = RISK x ABW x AT x UCF / CPF x BR x ABS x ED x EF Soil Gas Screening Level = Air Cleanup Level / 0.03 (Attenuation Factor)

Exposure Frequency Default: 24 hours/day for 365 days = 8,760 hours/year for residential occupant Modified: 10 hours/day for 250 days = 2,500 hours/year for occupational worker

Modified Exposure Frequency = 2,500/8,760 = 0.29 (Rounded to 0.30 in accordance with Implementation Memo 21)







View facing west towards VP-1 with a flush mount

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

August 10, 2021

Jeff Tinklepaugh, Project Manager Zipper Geo Associates, LLC 19019 36<sup>th</sup> Ave W, Suite E Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Mr Tinklepaugh:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on July 30, 2021 from the West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531 project. There are 12 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Colo

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ZGA0810R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on July 30, 2021 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Zipper Geo Associates, LLC West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	Zipper Geo Associates, LLC
107531 -01	IA-20210729
107531 - 02	AA1-20210729
107531 -03	VP1-20210729
107531 -04	VP2-20210729
107531 -05	VP3-20210729

Non-petroleum compounds identified in the air phase hydrocarbon (APH) ranges were subtracted per the MA-APH method.

The TO-15 2-propanol concentration for samples VP2-20210729 and VP3-20210729 exceeded the calibration range. The data were flagged accordingly.

All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: VP1-20210729		Client:		Zipper Geo Associates, LLC
Date Received: 07/30/21		Project:		West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531
Date Collected:	Date Collected: 07/29/30		D:	107531-03 1/6.7
Date Analyzed:	te Analyzed: 08/02/21		File:	080214.D
Matrix:	Air	Instrument:		GCMS7
Units:	ug/m3	Operator:		bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene 84	70	130	
	Concentration			
Compounds:	ug/m3			
APH EC5-8 alipha	tics <500			
APH EC9-12 aliph	atics <170			
APH EC9-10 arom	atics <170			

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: VP2-20210729		Client:		Zipper Geo Associates, LLC
Date Received: 07/30/21		Project:		West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531
Date Collected: 07/29/30		Lab ID:		107531-04 1/5.9
Date Analyzed:	08/02/21	Data File:		080215.D
Matrix:	Air	Instrument:		GCMS7
Units:	ug/m3	Operator:		bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene 83	70	130	
	Concentration			
Compounds:	ug/m3			
APH EC5-8 alipha	tics <440			
APH EC9-12 aliph	atics <150			
APH EC9-10 arom	atics <150			

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: VP3-20210729		Client:		Zipper Geo Associates, LLC
Date Received: 07/30/21		Project:		West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531
Date Collected: 07/29/30		Lab ID:		107531-05 1/6.4
Date Analyzed:	08/02/21	Data File:		080216.D
Matrix:	Air	Instrument:		GCMS7
Units:	ug/m3	Operator:		bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene 83	70	130	
	Concentration			
Compounds:	ug/m3			
APH EC5-8 alipha	tics <480			
APH EC9-12 aliph	atics <160			
APH EC9-10 arom	atics <160			

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID:	Method Blank	Client:		Zipper Geo Associates, LLC
Date Received: Not Applicable		Project:		West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531
Date Collected: Not Applicable		Lab ID:		01-1715 MB
Date Analyzed:	Date Analyzed: 08/02/21		File:	080210.D
Matrix:	Air	Instru	ment:	GCMS7
Units:	ug/m3	Operator:		bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene 82	70	130	
	Concentration			
Compounds:	ug/m3			
APH EC5-8 alipha	tics <75			
APH EC9-12 aliph	atics <25			
APH EC9-10 arom	atics <25			

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	VP1-20210729 07/30/21 07/29/21 08/02/21 Air ug/m3	Clier Proje Lab I Data Instr Oper	at: ect: ID: File: ument: ator:	Zipper Geo Associates, LLC West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531 107531-03 1/6.7 080214.D GCMS7 bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene 92	70	130	
	Concent	tration		
Compounds:	ug/m3	$\operatorname{ppbv}$		
2-Propanol	150	61		
Benzene	<2.1	< 0.67		
Toluene	<130	<33		
Ethylbenzene	<2.9	< 0.67		
m,p-Xylene	<5.8	<1.3		
o-Xylene	<2.9	< 0.67		
Naphthalene	<1.8	< 0.33		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	VP2-20210729 07/30/21 07/29/21 08/02/21 Air ug/m3	Clier Proj Lab Data Instr Oper	nt: ect: ID: a File: rument: rator:	Zipper Geo Associates, LLC West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531 107531-04 1/5.9 080215.D GCMS7 bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene 91	70	130	
	Concen	tration		
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv		
2-Propanol	21,000 ve	8,700 ve		
Benzene	2.1	0.64		
Toluene	<110	<29		
Ethylbenzene	<2.6	< 0.59		
m,p-Xylene	<5.1	<1.2		
o-Xylene	<2.6	< 0.59		
Naphthalene	<1.5	< 0.29		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	VP3-20210729 07/30/21 07/29/21 08/02/21 Air ug/m3	Clie: Proj Lab Data Inst	nt: ect: ID: a File: rument: rator:	Zipper Geo Associates, LLC West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531 107531-05 1/6.4 080216.D GCMS7 bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene 92	70	130	
	Concen	tration		
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv		
2-Propanol	15,000 ve	6,200 ve		
Benzene	19	5.8		
Toluene	<120	<32		
Ethylbenzene	<2.8	< 0.64		
m,p-Xylene	<5.6	<1.3		
o-Xylene	<2.8	< 0.64		
Naphthalene	<1.7	< 0.32		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Method Blank Not Applicable Not Applicable 08/02/21 Air ug/m3	Clier Proje Lab Data Instr Oper	nt: ect: ID: i File: rument: rator:	Zipper Geo Associates, LLC West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531 01-1715 MB 080210.D GCMS7 bat
%	Lower	Upper	
Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
ene 90	70	130	
Concent	cration		
ug/m3	ppbv		
<8.6	<3.5		
< 0.32	< 0.1		
<19	<5		
< 0.43	< 0.1		
< 0.87	< 0.2		
< 0.43	< 0.1		
< 0.26	< 0.05		
	Method Blank Not Applicable 08/02/21 Air ug/m3 Recovery: one 90 Concent ug/m3 <8.6 <0.32 <19 <0.43 <0.87 <0.43 <0.26	$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Method Blank} & \mbox{Clien} \\ \mbox{Not Applicable} & \mbox{Proje} \\ \mbox{Not Applicable} & \mbox{Lab} \\ \mbox{Os/02/21} & \mbox{Data} \\ \mbox{Os/02/21} & \mbox{Data} \\ \mbox{Air} & \mbox{Instrumentary} \\ \mbox{Ug/m3} & \mbox{Open} \\ \mbox{Open} \\ \mbox{Method Blank} & \mbox{Open} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Instrumentary} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Instrumentary} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Instrumentary} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Instrumentary} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} & \mbox{Method Applicable} \\ \mb$	Method BlankClient: Project: Not ApplicableProject: Lab ID: $08/02/21$ Not ApplicableLab ID: Data File: AirAirInstrument: ug/m3ug/m3Operator:%LowerUpper Recovery: Limit: $130$ Concentration ug/m3ppbv<

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/10/21 Date Received: 07/30/21 Project: West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AIR SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY METHOD MA-APH

Laboratory Code: 107526-01 1/5.6 (Duplicate)

	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	$\operatorname{RPD}$
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 30)
APH EC5-8 aliphatics	ug/m3	560	610	9
APH EC9-12 aliphatics	ug/m3	710	750	5
APH EC9-10 aromatics	ug/m3	<140	<140	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Baseratory coact Baseratory	compro sampro				
			Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
APH EC5-8 aliphatics	ug/m3	67	73	70-130	
APH EC9-12 aliphatics	ug/m3	67	92	70-130	
APH EC9-10 aromatics	ug/m3	67	93	70-130	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/10/21 Date Received: 07/30/21 Project: West View Mart 1195.25, F&BI 107531

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AIR SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY METHOD TO-15

Laboratory Code: 107526-01 1/5.6 (Duplicate)

	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 30)
2-Propanol	ug/m3	<48	<48	nm
Benzene	ug/m3	<1.8	<1.8	nm
Toluene	ug/m3	<110	<110	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/m3	5.7	5.6	2
m,p-Xylene	ug/m3	23	23	0
o-Xylene	ug/m3	9.6	9.6	0
Naphthalene	ug/m3	1.5	1.5	0

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

cioi sumpio			
		Percent	
Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
ug/m3	33	89	70-130
ug/m3	43	96	70-130
ug/m3	51	100	70-130
ug/m3	59	95	70-130
ug/m3	120	99	70-130
ug/m3	59	102	70-130
ug/m3	71	104	70-130
	Reporting Units ug/m3 ug/m3 ug/m3 ug/m3 ug/m3 ug/m3 ug/m3 ug/m3	Reporting UnitsSpike Levelug/m333ug/m343ug/m351ug/m359ug/m3120ug/m359ug/m359ug/m371	Reporting Units         Spike Level         Percent Recovery LCS           ug/m3         33         89           ug/m3         43         96           ug/m3         51         100           ug/m3         59         95           ug/m3         120         99           ug/m3         59         102           ug/m3         71         104

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$  - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.         3012 16th Avenue West         Seattle, WA 98119-2029         Ph. (206) 285-8282         Fax (206) 283-5044         FORMS\COC\COCTO-15.DOC		VP1-20210729 VP2-20210729 VP3-20210729	IAI-20210729	Sample Name	SAMPLE INFORMATION	City, State, ZIP Lynnu Phone (425)582-9923	107531 Report ToJ. Tinklef Company ZGA Address 19019 367
SIGNATV elinquished by: ecceived by: elinquished by: eccived by:		53 3251 85 04 2296 102 05 3385 220	51 35338 071 20554 046	Lab Canister Con ID ID ID		wood, wh a	raugh r Are. W s
	IA / SG IA / SG IA / SG	<ul> <li>IA / \$6\$</li> <li>IA / \$6\$</li> <li>IA / \$6\$</li> </ul>	31 (TA) / SG -	Reporting Level: w IA=Indoor Air ut. SG=Soil Gas (Circle One) S		18036 NOTES: SG SG SG	SAMPLI PROJEC
Ceft Ti		V 307 307	1/29 29 0	Initial Date Vac.	w 5	instran	T NAME & 601
r NAME		1:45 8 11. 1:45 8 11.	11 9 15:81 18:50 5 11	Field Final 1 Initial Vac. I Time ("Hg) 7		cutts of	Mart
NOMPA NOMPA		::0 X X X K	5;50 5;50	TO15 Full Scan TO15 BTEXN TO15 cVOCs	ANALYSIS F	INVOICE TO Same	ME 0:
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$	samples received a	× × × × × ×	I ž	APH Helium 2-Propanol	REQUESTED	SAMPLE E Default: Clean I Archive (Fee n	1     3     0     1       Page #     TURNARC       TURNARC       UStandard       RUSH       Rush charges and
B TIME 0 16:57	200 °C		A A	Notes		DISPOSAL 1 after 3 days nay apply)	/ of / )UND TIME thorized by: