# Exhibit A



C:\Users\lbaldwin\Desktop\Templates\VicinityMap.mxd Date Exported: 02/27/19

# Exhibit B

Cleanup Action Plan Dakota Creek Industries<sup>1</sup> Anacortes, Washington

*Facility Site ID: 2670 Cleanup Site ID: 5147* 

<sup>1</sup> This site is listed in Ecology's ISIS database as the Anacortes Port of Dakota Creek. For purposes of this Cleanup Action Plan, the Site is identified as the Dakota Creek Industries Site.

February 2022

# **Contact Information**

For more information contact:

Toxics Cleanup Program P.O. Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504-7600 Phone: 360-407-6000

Washington State Department of Ecology –	- <u>www.ecology.wa.gov</u>
Headquarters, Olympia	360-407-6000
Northwest Regional Office, Bellevue	425-649-7000
Southwest Regional Office, Olympia	360-407-6300
Central Regional Office, Union Gap	509-575-2490
Eastern Regional Office, Spokane	509-329-3400

# **ADA Accessibility**

The Department of Ecology is committed to providing people with disabilities access to information and services by meeting or exceeding the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Section 504 and 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, and Washington State Policy #188.

To request an ADA accommodation, contact Ecology by phone at 360-407-6000 or email at arianne.fernandez@ecy.wa.gov. For Washington Relay Service or TTY call 711 or 877-833-6341. Visit Ecology's website for more information.

## **Cleanup Action Plan Dakota Creek Industries**

*Facility Site ID: 2670 Cleanup Site ID: 5147* 

Toxics Cleanup Program Washington State Department of Ecology Olympia, Washington This page is purposely left blank

# **Table of Contents**

		Page
Table of Co	ntents	V
List of Figu	res and Tables	vi
Figures.		vi
Tables		vii
List of App	endices	vii
List of Acro	onyms and Abbreviations	viii
Executive S	Summary	xi
Site Bac	kground	xi
Ecology	Agreed Order and Regulatory Framework	xii
Nature a	and Extent of Contamination	xii
Cleanup	Action Plan Overview	xiv
Selected	l Cleanup Action	XV
1.0 Introduc	ction	1
1.1	General Facility Information and Site/Property Definitions	1
1.2	Regulatory Framework	2
1.3	Purpose	2
1.4	Cleanup Action Determination	3
2.0 Summar	ry of Site Conditions	4
2.1	Historical Operations and Use	4
2.2	Current Conditions, Utilities and Use	4
2.3	Future Land Use	5
2.4	Environmental Studies and Previous Cleanup Actions	5
2.5	Human Health and Environmental Concerns	9
3.0 Cleanup	Requirements	19
3.1	Indicator Hazardous Substances	19
3.2	Cleanup Standards	21
3.3	Points of Compliance	22
3.4	Applicable and Relevant and Appropriate Regulatory Requirements	23
4.0 Cleanup	Action Selection and Analysis	29
4.1	Areas Requiring Cleanup Action Evaluation	29

4.2	Remedial Technologies and Cleanup Action Alternatives Considered	30
4.3	Selected Remedy	32
5.0 Descrip	ption of the Cleanup Action	34
5.1	Excavation and Off-Site Disposal of Contaminated Soil	34
5.2	Containment of In-place Contamination	34
5.3	Monitored Natural Attenuation	
5.4	Institutional and Other Property Controls	37
5.5	Compliance Monitoring	
5.6	Inadvertent Discovery of Cultural Resources	40
5.7	Potential Habitat Restoration Opportunities	41
5.8	Five-Year Review	41
6.0 Referen	nces	43

# **List of Figures and Tables**

#### Figures

- Figure 1.1 Vicinity Map
- Figure 1.2 Parcel Map
- Figure 1.3 Current Property Layout and Features
- Figure 2.1 Historical Property Layout and Features
- Figure 2.2 Marine Area Sediment Sampling Locations and Interim Action
- Figure 2.3 Marine Area Cross-Sections
- Figure 2.4 Upland Area Soil and Groundwater Sampling Locations and Cleanup Action Areas
- Figure 2.5 Upland Area Cross-Sections
- Figure 2.6 Conceptual Site Model
- Figure 2.7 Summary of Soil Arsenic Results
- Figure 2.8 Summary of Soil Nickel Results
- Figure 2.9 Summary of Soil cPAH Results
- Figure 2.10 Summary of Groundwater Arsenic Results
- Figure 2.11 Summary of Groundwater Nickel Results
- Figure 2.12 Summary of Groundwater cPAH Results
- Figure 4.1 Areas Requiring Clean Action Evaluation
- Figure 4.2 Preferred Cleanup Alternative (Cleanup Alternative 2 Partial Source Removal)

#### Tables

- Table 2.1Soil Screening Levels (embedded)
- Table 2.2Groundwater Screening Levels (embedded)
- Table 3.1Sediment Cleanup Levels
- Table 3.2Soil and Groundwater Cleanup Levels
- Table 4.1
   Cleanup Action Alternative Descriptions
- Table 4.2Evaluation of Cleanup Action Alternatives
- Table 4.3
   Cleanup Action Alternative Evaluation Summary and Ranking

# **List of Appendices**

Appendix A. Supplemental Soil Characterization Data Report

# List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition	
ARAR	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement	
AST	Aboveground storage tank	
bcy	Bank Cubic Yard	
bgs	Below ground surface	
BMP	Best Management Practice	
САР	Cleanup Action Plan	
City	City of Anacortes	
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	
cm	Centimeter	
CMP	Compliance Monitoring Plan	
сРАН	Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon per MTCA	
CQAPP	Construction Quality Assurance Project Plan	
CSM	Conceptual Site Model	
COC	Contaminant of Concern	
CUL	Cleanup Level per MTCA	
DAHP	Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation	
DCA	Disproportionate Cost Analysis	
DCI	Dakota Creek Industries	
DP	Direct Push	
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology	
EDR	Engineering Design Report	
EICMMP	Engineering and Institutional Controls Monitoring and Maintenance Plan	
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement	
FS	Feasibility Study	
GOIA Auger	Governor's Office of Indian AffairsHA Hand	
HAZWOPER	Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response	
НРАН	High Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	

HSA	Hollow Stem Auger
IHS	Indicator Hazardous Substance
IDP	Inadvertent Discovery Plan
Кос	Soil Organic Carbon-Water Partition Coefficient
LPAH	Low Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
mg/kg	Milligrams per kilogram
Marine Area	Portion of the Site below ordinary high water
MLLW	Mean Lower Low Water
MS	Manufacturing/Shipping
MTCA	Model Toxics Control Act
OC	Organic carbon
OHW	Ordinary High Water
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Act
РАН	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon
РСВ	Polychlorinated Biphenyl
Pier 1	Port of Anacortes Pier 1 Marine Terminal
Pier 2	Port of Anacortes Pier 2 Marine Terminal
PLP	Potentially Liable Party
Port	Port of Anacortes
POTW	Publicly Owned Treatment Water
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limits
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RI	Remedial Investigation
ROW	Rights-Of-Way
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
Site	Dakota Creek Industries Site
SMA	Shoreline Management Act
SMS	Sediment Management Standard
SVOC	Semi-Volatile Organic Compound
SWPPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
ТВТ	Tributyltin

TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TEE	Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalency Quotient
ТОС	Total Organic Carbon
ТР	Test Pit
TS	Total Solids
TVS	Total Volatile Solids
μg/L	Micrograms per Liter
Upland Area	Portion of the Site above ordinary high water
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
UST	Underground Storage Tank
VCP	Voluntary Cleanup Program
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WISHA	Washington Industrial Safety and Health Act

# **Executive Summary**

This document presents the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) for the Anacortes Port Dakota Creek Industries (DCI) Site (Site) generally located between Commercial and R Avenue north of 3<sup>rd</sup> Street in Anacortes, Washington. While this site is listed in Ecology's ISIS database as the Anacortes Port of Dakota Creek Site, for purposes of this Cleanup Action Plan, it is identified as the Dakota Creek Industries Site. A preliminary CAP for the Site was prepared by the Port of Anacortes (Port) pursuant to an Agreed Order meeting the requirements of the Model Toxics Control Cleanup Act (MTCA) administered by Ecology under RCW 70A.305 and Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC).

The Port completed independent soil cleanups and an Ecology-approved Interim Action (WAC 173-340-430) that removed contaminated soils in the Upland Area and all known contaminated Marine Area sediment. This CAP describes the final cleanup action for the remaining contamination located in the Upland soils and groundwater at the Site and sets forth functional requirements that the cleanup must meet, including follow-up monitoring. The cleanup action schedule will be attached as an exhibit to the Consent Decree.

## Site Background

Since approximately 1879, the Site has been used for shipping, shipbuilding, ship repairs and other maritime-related industrial purposes and has contained various above ground storage tanks (ASTs), a rail spur, and associated buildings including machine shops, welding shops and equipment sheds to support industrial operations. Prior to 2008, the Marine Area contained multiple piers, docks and two marine railway boat lifts.

The west marine railway, located between the East Pier and Pier 1, was removed in the early 1990s. The east marine railway located between the East Pier and Pier 2 was removed in 2008 as part of the Project Pier 1 redevelopment activities. The Project Pier 1 redevelopment activities also included the removal of the L and East Docks and associated structures; dredging of approximately 170,000 cubic yards of sediment to remove sediment contamination and achieve the current navigation depths; installation of 670 linear feet of sheet pile bulkhead to reconfigure the southern shoreline; placement of 250 linear feet of riprap along the basin's east boundary and construction of the Central Pier.

Concurrent with the 2008 redevelopment activities, an interim action cleanup was completed under the terms of an Agreed Order, in accordance with the Ecology-approved RI/FS Work Plan and Interim Action Work Plan Addendum (GeoEngineers 2008) to remove approximately 26,000 cubic yards of contaminated sediment from the Marine Area and contaminated soil from the Upland Area. Cleanup objectives were met at the point of compliance for sediment in the Marine Area; therefore, no further action is required for sediment. DCI currently operates a shipyard at the Site and leases the property from the Port. DCI uses the facility for vessel construction and maintenance activities. The Site includes a portion of the Port's Pier 1 Marine Terminal, a centrally located outfitting dock (Central Pier), a syncrolift, upland fabrication areas, shops, a sandblast grit storage shed, stormwater treatment facility, warehouses and storage areas. The northern portion of Pier 1 (which is a deep water moorage terminal) is used by DCI to support dry dock operations.

Although the specific future uses of the Site will depend on the operations of the Port's lessees, the anticipated future use of the Site is continued industrial purposes including shipbuilding, ship repairs and other maritime-related industrial business. The property is currently leased to DCI for an additional 37 years.

## **Ecology Agreed Order and Regulatory Framework**

Environmental studies completed at the Site since approximately 1991 identified that historical activities including vessel moorage, bulk fuel and oil storage, and shipbuilding activities resulted in the release of contaminants to soil, groundwater and sediment. In 1991, 2001 and 2002, independent cleanup actions were completed by the Port to address historical soil contamination.

On December 12, 2007, the Port entered Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080 with Ecology. Under the Agreed Order, the Port was required to complete an interim cleanup action in the Marine Area, evaluate the nature and extent of contamination in affected media on a Site-wide basis and develop and evaluate cleanup alternatives for addressing contamination remaining at the Site.

Completion of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Work Plan, RI sampling and analysis, the Marine Area interim action, the RI/FS Report and a draft CAP fulfill the work requirements required of the Agreed Order. Field data collection in accordance with the Ecology-approved RI/FS Work Plan, summary of completed Interim Action activities and an evaluation of cleanup action alternatives are presented in the RI/FS Report (GeoEngineers 2022a).

Implementation of the selected cleanup action (summarized below) will be completed under a Consent Decree between the Port and Ecology.

## Nature and Extent of Contamination

Based on a review of the Upland and Marine Area RI results, contaminants were found at concentrations above preliminary cleanup levels in sediment, groundwater and soil at the Site. Groundwater and sediment results indicate surface water is a transport pathway for contaminants. Surface water was not sampled but instead is addressed through development

of groundwater cleanup levels protective of surface water, and through diversion of noncontact stormwater to a treatment system managed under a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. For the purposes of this document, we have consolidated terminology regarding preliminary, proposed, and final cleanup levels and address them as cleanup levels.

#### Sediment

Arsenic, copper, lead, mercury, zinc, tributyltin (TBT), low molecular weight PAHs (LPAHs), high molecular weight PAHs (HPAHs), cPAHs, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dioxin and furans were found above cleanup levels for Marine Area sediment (cleanup levels are listed in Table 3.1). However, interim action dredging and excavation activities completed between July and November 2008 in general accordance with the Ecology-approved RI/FS Work Plan and Interim Action Work Plan Addendum resulted in the removal of identified contaminants exceeding CULs from the Marine Area. Sediment samples collected from the base of the interim action dredge prism and sediment sample results from previous environmental studies within the Marine Area met cleanup level requirements; therefore, the in-water portion of the cleanup at the Site is considered complete and no further action is required.

#### Groundwater

Arsenic, nickel, and cPAHs were identified as groundwater contaminants for the Upland Area. Between 2015 and 2016, DCI replaced a significant portion of their gravel working surface with asphalt pavement which acts to prevent stormwater infiltration through the soil column. RI Groundwater monitoring results show a decrease in groundwater concentration over time which appear to indicate that the paved surfaces are limiting the infiltration, leaching and subsequent migration of contaminants through the soil column to groundwater. In addition, this data show that contaminants that remain in place in saturated zone soils have stabilized and are limiting migration downgradient toward the Guemes Channel since paving was completed.

Petroleum and chromium were detected in groundwater above cleanup levels; however, monitoring results collected during the RI show petroleum and chromium concentrations decreased over time to below cleanup levels.

#### Soil

Arsenic and nickel, and cPAHs were identified as soil contaminants for the Upland Area. In the eastern portion of the Site, arsenic and nickel exceeded CULs in fill deposits from the ground surface down to a depth of approximately 8 feet below ground surface (bgs). In the north central portion of the Site, arsenic and nickel exceeded CULs in fill deposits from the ground surface down to a depth of approximately 10 feet bgs. In the central portion of the Site, total cPAH calculated using the toxicity equivalency quotient (TEQ) methodology exceeded the soil cleanup level in historical fill deposits between approximately 5 and 13 feet bgs. In the south-central portion of the Site, arsenic exceeded the soil cleanup levels in historical fill deposits from the soil cleanup levels in historical fill deposits from the soil cleanup levels in historical fill deposits from the soil cleanup levels in historical fill deposits from the soil cleanup levels in historical fill deposits from between approximately 5 and 8 feet bgs. In the western portion of the Site, arsenic and

nickel exceeded the CUL in fill deposits from the ground surface down to a depth of approximately 10 feet bgs. Results of soil/sediment samples collected at the Site from the underlying native surface show that the Upland Area CUL exceedances are limited to the overlying fill soil and do not extend to the underlying native surface.

Petroleum was also found in soils above cleanup levels; however, previous independent cleanup actions as described in section 2.4 removed these contaminants. Appendix A includes verification sampling data showing their associated cleanup levels were met for the Site.

#### Surface Water

Stormwater is either collected and treated before permitted discharge to Guemes Channel or infiltrates into the soil. The onsite stormwater treatment facility is overseen by Ecology's Water Quality Program. Collected stormwater does not come into contact with historically contaminated soils; therefore, no further remedial action is required under this CAP to be protective of the surface water pathway. The groundwater to surface water pathway is addressed via groundwater cleanup levels established for the Site, which will be protective of the surface water cleanup levels.

## **Cleanup Action Plan Overview**

Potentially applicable response actions and associated remediation technologies were identified and screened for the development of cleanup action alternatives to address contaminants in two mediums at the Site (soil and groundwater)<sup>1</sup>. When determining the final cleanup objectives and mediums that require further cleanup actions under this CAP, Ecology incorporated actions completed during Project Pier 1 redevelopment, independent cleanup actions, and interim cleanup actions in the Marine Area and Upland Area of the Site.

The screening process determined the most appropriate technologies and process options based on their expected implementability, reliability, effectiveness, and relative cost. Screening also considered modifying criteria associated with current and future land uses, consideration of potential historical and archaeological remains, and impacts to existing habitat resources. Cleanup action alternatives were then developed by combining technologies retained through the screening process to meet the Site cleanup standards. The design parameters used to develop the alternatives were based on both engineering judgment and the current knowledge of Site conditions and are conceptual-level designs for the implementation of the individual technologies. In accordance with the requirements of WAC 173-340-350 and WAC 173-340-360, cleanup action alternatives were evaluated against the following criterion:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As previously discussed, interim action dredging and excavation activities completed in 2008 removed sediment contaminants exceeding CULs from the Marine Area. Sediment samples collected from the base of the interim action dredge prism and sediment sample results from previous environmental studies within the Marine Area provide the basis for sediment not being considered a medium of concern for the Site.

- Compliance with cleanup standards and applicable laws;
- Provision for a reasonable restoration time frame; and
- Use of permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable by comparison of the following:
  - Protectiveness;
  - Permanence;
  - o Cost;
  - Effectiveness over the long term;
  - Short-term risk management;
  - Net environmental benefit;
  - o Technical and administrative implementability; and,
  - Consideration of public concerns.

A MTCA disproportionate cost analysis (DCA) was then completed to determine which cleanup action alternative that otherwise meets the threshold requirements, achieves the highest level of environmental benefit while not being disproportionate in cost relative to the other alternatives.

## **Selected Cleanup Action**

As a result of the cleanup action evaluation and screening process in the RI/FS, Cleanup Action Alternative 2 emerged as the preferred alternative which meets the minimum threshold requirements, achieves a high level of environmental benefit and is not disproportionate in cost relative to the other alternatives evaluated. Implementation of Cleanup Action Alternative 2 will result in contaminant mass reduction and will be used in conjunction with containment technologies and institutional controls to prevent direct human contact and reduce the potential for leaching and migration of residual contamination to surface water within a reasonable restoration time frame. As detailed below, Ecology reviewed the interim action completed for the Marine Area sediment and determined no further actions are required to meet cleanup levels.

In general, the selected remedy includes the removal of contaminant source area soil in which detected concentrations have the greatest potential to adversely impact groundwater. Remaining contamination at the Site will remain isolated below existing protective barriers (pavement caps) to prevent contact with Site workers, and institutional controls will be established to maintain the protective caps, prevent the use of groundwater as drinking water, and restrict or manage appropriately, potential future ground disturbances. Compliance monitoring will then be performed to verify the effectiveness of the removal action. At present,

the existing monitoring results indicate that groundwater is in compliance with the cleanup standards at the conditional point of compliance separating the upland and marine portions of the Site. Long-term groundwater monitoring at the Site will be used to evaluate groundwater conditions over-time and assess contaminant concentrations relative to the cleanup standards and further natural attenuation of contaminants.

Consistent with Chapter 70A.305 Revised Code of Washington (RCW), as implemented by WAC 173-340, Ecology has determined that the selected Site cleanup action is protective of human health and the environment, will attain federal and state requirements that are applicable or relevant and appropriate, complies with cleanup standards, and provides for compliance monitoring. In addition, the selected cleanup action satisfies the preference expressed in WAC 173-340-360 for the use of permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable and provides for a reasonable restoration time frame. It is anticipated to be completed within 1-2 years from finalization of this Cleanup Action Plan.

# **1.0 Introduction**

This document presents the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) for the Dakota Creek Industries Site (Site) located in Anacortes, Washington. The general location of the Site is shown in Figure 1.1. This CAP has been prepared pursuant to requirements of the Model Toxics Control Cleanup Act (MTCA) administered by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) under 70A.305 RCW and Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC). This CAP provides a description of the cleanup action and sets forth functional requirements that the cleanup must meet to achieve the cleanup action objectives for the Site. The cleanup action schedule will be attached as an exhibit to the Consent Decree.

## 1.1 General Facility Information and Site/Property Definitions

Site Name:	Anacortes Port of Dakota Creek
Facility Site ID No.:	2670
Cleanup Site ID No.:	5147
Property Address:	155 Q Avenue, Anacortes, Washington
Coordinates:	N48.520606°, W122.610640°
Township/Range:	NW¼, S18, T35N, R2E,
Parcel Numbers:	P32866, P32867, P32903, P32904, P32905, P32906, P32907, P54924,
	P55030, P55031, P56539
Owner:	Port of Anacortes

The Site, as defined under the MTCA is where a hazardous substance has been deposited, stored, disposed of, or placed, or has otherwise come to be located. The Site includes the parcels listed above.

Dakota Creek Industries (DCI) currently leases multiple property parcels from the Port of Anacortes (Port) which are located within the Site boundary. These parcels are used for shipyard operations including vessel construction and maintenance activities (Figure 1.2). The lease area includes a portion of the Port's Pier 1 Marine Terminal, a centrally located outfitting dock (Central Pier), a syncro-lift, upland fabrication areas, shops, a sandblast grit storage shed, stormwater treatment facility, warehouses and storage areas. The northern portion of Pier 1 (which is a deep-water moorage terminal) is used by DCI to support dry dock operations. Current DCI operations and Site features are shown on Figure 1.3.

The parts of the Site offshore of Ordinary High Water (OHW) of the Site (henceforth referred to as the Marine Area) is located between the Port's Pier 1 and Pier 2 Marine Terminals (Pier 1 and Pier 2) and is maintained with a navigation depth of approximately -35 feet Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW) to support shipyard operations. To the west and south, the Marine Area is

separated from the uplands by vertical sheet pile bulkheads. To the east, the Marine Area is bound by Pier 2 which is an earth fill structure and a pile supported wharf along the northern most part of the facility. The slope of the earth fill is armored with large rock (riprap).

The parts of the Site landward of OHW (henceforth referred to as the Upland Area) are relatively flat with a ground surface elevation of approximately 15 feet MLLW. Most of the upland area is paved with asphalt or concrete. The limited unpaved parts of the Upland Area consist of a crushed gravel working surface that is maintained for fabrication layout and heavy equipment operations. Public access to the shipyard facility and the Port's Pier 1 and Pier 2 facilities is restricted with fencing, signage and security guards.

## 1.2 Regulatory Framework

Environmental studies completed at the Site since approximately 1991 have identified that historical uses including vessel moorage, bulk fuel and oil storage, and shipbuilding activities resulted in the release of contaminants to soil, groundwater and sediment.

On December 12, 2007, the Port entered into Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080 with Ecology. Pursuant to the Agreed Order, the Port completed a Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Study (FS) to:

- Evaluate the nature and extent of contamination in the affected media on a Site-wide basis;
- Complete an interim cleanup action in the Marine Area addressing identified sediment contamination; and to
- Develop and evaluate cleanup alternatives for addressing identified Upland Area contamination.

A detailed description of the previous environmental studies completed, the interim action activities performed, evaluation of the nature and extent of contaminants, and selection process for a preferred remedial alternative meeting the MTCA threshold requirements are presented in the RI/FS Report (GeoEngineers 2022a).

## 1.3 Purpose

A CAP is required as part of the Site cleanup process under MTCA Cleanup Regulations (Chapter 173-340 WAC). The purpose of the CAP is to identify the cleanup action for the Site. More specifically, this plan:

- Describes the Site;
- Summarizes current Site conditions;
- Summarizes the cleanup action alternatives considered in the remedy selection process;

- Describes the selected cleanup action for the Site and the rationale for selecting the alternative;
- Identifies Site-specific cleanup levels and points of compliance for each hazardous substance and medium of concern for the cleanup action;
- Identifies applicable state and federal laws for the cleanup action;
- Identifies residual contamination remaining on the site after cleanup (if applicable) and restrictions on future uses and activities at the site to ensure continued protection of human health and the environment;
- Discusses compliance monitoring requirements and contingencies; and
- Presents the schedule for implementing the CAP.

## **1.4 Cleanup Action Determination**

Ecology has determined that the cleanup action described in this CAP will comply with the requirements for selection of a remedy under WAC 173-340-360. Specifically, these requirements include a cleanup action that will be protective of human health and the environment, attain federal and state requirements that are applicable or relevant and appropriate, comply with cleanup standards, provide for compliance monitoring, use permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable, provide for a reasonable restoration time frame, and consider public concerns.

# 2.0 Summary of Site Conditions

## 2.1 Historical Operations and Use

The Site has been used for shipping, shipbuilding, ship repairs and other maritime-related industrial purposes since approximately 1879. Historically, various above ground storage tanks (ASTs), a rail spur, and associated buildings including machine shops, welding shops and equipment sheds were located at the Site to support industrial operations (Figure 2.1). Historical records indicate that a bulk oil storage and distribution facility with at least six ASTs was in operation in the central upland portion of the Site and that Pacific Tow Boat leased this portion of the Site to Standard Oil in between 1946 and 1969 who operated the bulk oil storage and distribution facility after which it was sold to the Dillingham Corporation. The Port acquired portions of the Site from the mid-1940s to the mid-1970s. By the mid-1970s, the structures associated with the bulk oil storage and distribution facility had been removed.

The southwest portion of the Site was historically used for residential purposes from the early 1900s until the late 1960s. In this area, the ground surface in this area was lower that the surrounding areas by several feet. Following the purchase of this area by the Port in 1975, the grade was raised to match the surrounding area using dredged sediments from the Guemes Channel. In about 1976, DCI began to lease the Site from the Port and has continued to operate the shipyard facility since that time.

Prior to 2008, multiple piers and docks and two marine railways used to lift vessels out of the water were located in the Marine Area (Figure 2.1). The west marine railway, located between the East Pier and Pier 1, was removed in the early 1990s. The east marine railway located between the East Pier and Pier 2 was removed in 2008 as part of the Project Pier 1 redevelopment activities. The Project Pier 1 redevelopment activities included the removal of L and East Docks, the east marine railway and associated marine structures, dredging of approximately 170,000 cubic yards of sediment to achieve removal of contaminated sediments and the current navigational depth of the Marine Area, installation of 670 linear feet of sheet pile bulkhead (open cell bulkhead) to reconfigure the southern shoreline, placement of 250 linear feet of riprap along the Marine Area's east boundary and construction of the Central Pier.

## 2.2 Current Conditions, Utilities and Use

Many of the historical structures/facilities noted in the previous section have been demolished and removed. The DCI lease area currently has three warehouses (No. 4, 9 and 10), sand shed, shop, paint storage shed, stormwater treatment facility and guard station at the main entrance located at the interception of Q Avenue and 3<sup>rd</sup> Street (Figure 1.3). In addition, multiple modular shelters are used at the Site. The location of these modular shelters varies and is dependent on DCI operations to support vessel construction and maintenance activities.

Typical of industrialized waterfronts, sections of the shoreline adjacent to the Site are armored with riprap or are separated from the Marine Area with sheet pile bulkheads to prevent erosion. In the Upland Area, the ground surface is mostly paved with asphalt or concrete. In limited portions of the Upland Area, the ground surface consists of a crushed gravel working surface that is maintained for fabrication layout and equipment storage.

There is little or no stormwater run-on to the Site, and precipitation falling onto the DCI lease area is captured by a network of stormwater drains and is treated at the facility prior to permitted discharge to Guemes Channel or the City of Anacortes (City) sanitary sewer. In the limited areas that are unpaved, stormwater infiltrates into the ground.

DCI currently has connections for power, water, sewer, and communications which extend into the adjacent rights-of-way (ROW), including Commercial Street and 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue. DCI also maintains utilities including compressed air and electrical to support vessel construction and marine maintenance operations.

## 2.3 Future Land Use

At present, the property parcels containing the Site and adjacent properties are zoned by the City for industrial use (Manufacturing/Shipping [MS]) and are characterized by marine shipping, warehousing, bulk material storage, transportation, and other industrial uses. Although the specific future use of the Site is dependent on the operations of the Port's lessees, it is likely to continue to be for industrial purposes including shipbuilding, ship repairs and other maritime-related industrial business. Currently, the Port maintains a lease with DCI that extends through 2055 (an additional 34 years).

## 2.4 Environmental Studies and Previous Cleanup Actions

Various investigation and cleanup activities have been conducted at the Site since approximately 1991. The RI/FS report (GeoEngineers 2022a) describes previous environmental studies and cleanup actions performed as independent remedial actions prior to 2008, Ecology Agreed Order RI activities and the results of the 2008 Marine Area Interim Action. The purpose of the RI was to collect, develop, and evaluate sufficient information to allow the selection of an appropriate cleanup action for the Site. The purpose of the 2008 Marine Area Interim action was to remove sediment contamination within the Marine Area to allow the Port's Project Pier 1 Redevelopment to dredge to improve navigation. More recent soil sampling data (March 2021) collected following completion of the RI/FS is presented in Appendix A of this CAP. The March 2021 sampling event was completed to verify the completeness of previous cleanup actions performed at the Site. Environmental studies performed to evaluate Site conditions for the Upland and Marine Areas, previous cleanup actions and interim action dredging activities are summarized in the following sections.

#### 2.4.1 Marine Area Environmental Studies and Interim Action

Environmental investigations completed to assess sediment quality in and near the Marine Area included:

- Phase 2 Environmental Assessment (Otten Engineering 1997)
- Dredge Material Characterization (Anchor 2004)
- Marine Area Surface Dioxin Study (Floyd|Snider 2007)
- Fidalgo Bay Sediment Investigation (SAIC 2008)
- Ecology Agreed Order Remedial Investigation (GeoEngineers 2010)

These sediment investigations have resulted in the collection of surface samples ranging between 0 and 20 centimeters (cm) below the mudline at 20 locations and the collection of subsurface samples ranging between 3 and 7 feet below the mudline surface at 10 locations. Sediment samples were submitted for a combination of analyses including total organic carbon (TOC), total volatile solids (TVS), total solids (TS), and grain size, ammonia, sulfides, Sediment Management Standard (SMS) metals, semi-volatile organic compound (SVOCs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), volatile organic compound (VOCs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), pesticides, tributyltin (TBT), and dioxins and furans.

In 2008, under the terms of Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080, the Port performed an interim action in the Marine Area in conjunction with the Port's Project Pier 1 Redevelopment to remove contaminated sediment from the Marine Area identified by the RI and previous studies. In addition, contaminated soil from the Upland Area based on sample results from environmental studies (summarized below) were removed as part of the installation of new underground utility infrastructure at the Site. The interim action included dredging to remove contaminated sediment deposits and up to 30 feet of native glaciomarine deposits. Confirmational sampling indicates that no identified sediment contamination above cleanup levels remains at the Site and no further action is required for sediments.

Sediment sampling locations within the Marine Area and the limits of the 2008 Interim Action are shown on Figure 2.2. Sediment stratigraphy prior to and following Marine Area dredging completed as part of the Interim Action and Project Pier 1 Redevelopment is shown on Figure 2.3.

#### 2.4.2 Upland Area Studies and Cleanup Actions

Environmental investigations completed to assess soil and groundwater conditions in the Upland Area included:

- Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment (Otten Engineering 1997)
- EPA Site Inspection (Weston 2001)
- Remedial Investigation Study (Landau 2002)
- Groundwater Characterization Study (Floyd|Snider 2007)
- Ecology Agreed Order Remedial investigation (GeoEngineers 2010)
- Supplemental Groundwater Investigation (GeoEngineers 2014)
- Upland Soil Data Gap Investigation (GeoEngineers 2015)
- Semi-Annual Groundwater Investigation (GeoEngineers 2018)
- Final RI/FS Report (Geoengineers 2022a)
- Supplemental Soil Investigation Data Report (Geoengineers 2022b)

Initial soil sampling in October 1991 following the removal of two underground storage tanks (USTs) located near the south end of L (Figure 2.1). During the removal of these tanks, approximately 20 cubic yards of petroleum impacted soil was removed from this area and transferred from the Site for landfill disposal. Verification sample results were reported below cleanup levels at the final excavation limits confirming the removal of the petroleum impacted soil observed during tank removal activities.

Between July 1997 and October 2001, additional investigations were performed at the Site to evaluate soil and groundwater conditions. As part of these environmental studies, a total of 98 soil samples were collected from 42 locations. In addition, two rounds of groundwater monitoring were completed at four wells between early September and late October 2001. Samples were submitted for a combination of chemical analysis including total/dissolved metals, gasoline-, diesel- and heavy oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons, VOCs, SVOCs including PAHs, pesticides and PCBs based on review of historical activities at the Site. Based on the investigation results, two separate cleanup actions were performed to address soil contamination:

- 2001 Hydraulic Winch Cleanup Action In 2001, a hydraulic winch and its timber frame located near the south end of the east marine railway were removed from the Site. During removal of this structure and associate components, approximately 30 cubic yards of petroleum impacted soil were excavated and transferred from the Site for landfill disposal. Verification samples at the final excavation limits were obtained to confirm the removal of the petroleum impacted soil observed during removal of the hydraulic winch and associated timber frame.
- **2002 Petroleum and Marine Railway Cleanup Actions** In 2002, the Port completed cleanup actions to address known soil contamination in the Petroleum Cleanup Action Area extending from the aluminum shop (building formerly identified as the equipment

maintenance shed) to the former bulk fuel storage ASTs; and the Marine Railway Cleanup Action Area located near the eastern marine railway structure. Cleanup actions to remove soil contamination (approximately 1,650 cubic yards) in these areas were completed under Ecology's Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). Verification samples at the final excavation limits were obtained to confirm the removal of the petroleum impacted soil from these areas.

Although verification sampling completed as part of these previous cleanup actions confirmed the removal of the petroleum-related contamination from these areas, the technical quality of these data could not be independently verified because the original laboratory data was not available for the RI/FS. As a result, the Port performed additional sampling and analysis within these areas in 2021 to confirm the previous removal of the petroleum-related contamination and to demonstrate that the previous removals meet the overall cleanup action objectives for the Site. Supplemental soil sampling activities are summarized in Appendix A. The supplemental soil sample results are below cleanup levels and confirms the completeness of the previous cleanup actions.

As described above, approximately 572 cubic yards of arsenic contaminated soil located on the east side of the site was removed during the 2008 Interim Action. Following completion of the 2008 Interim Action and reconfiguration of the DCI shoreline, the Upland Area Agreed Order RI soil and groundwater sampling activities were performed by the Port. The soil RI included the collection of samples from the Site using a combination of hollow stem auger (HSA) drilling, direct push (DP) drilling, test pit (TP) and hand auger (HA) drilling technologies. In June 2008, subsurface soil samples were collected from eleven HSA explorations, ten test pit explorations and three hand auger explorations to meet the objectives of the Ecology-approved RI/FS Work Plan.

In addition, the Port completed quarterly groundwater monitoring at MW-1 through MW-7 between May 2012 and February 2013 to evaluate whether previously identified contaminants in soil were adversely effecting groundwater. The results of the quarterly groundwater monitoring activities identified concentrations of arsenic, nickel and carcinogenic PAHs (cPAHs) exceeding cleanup levels established in the RI/FS Report for groundwater during one or more quarterly monitoring events. In 2014, a supplemental soil investigation was completed to further characterize the nature and extent of these contaminants in soil. During this supplemental soil investigation, 43 DP explorations were completed to further evaluate subsurface soil conditions.

Due to inconclusive evidence linking contaminant exceedances identified in soil to contaminant exceedances in groundwater, four additional rounds of groundwater monitoring were completed between February 2016 and August 2017 on a semi-annual basis to further evaluate the potential source of soil contamination to groundwater. In addition, a new monitoring well (MW-8) was installed north of MW-1 to serve as the point of compliance. To avoid potential

utilities, structural obstructions and minimize impacts to DCI's operations, MW-8 was positioned within Warehouse 9 located west of the Syncrolift Pier in an area which soil and groundwater conditions had not been previously evaluated. Three additional DP explorations were completed in July 2018 to evaluate soil conditions adjacent to and upgradient from monitoring well MW-8 based on the detected concentrations of arsenic and cPAHs in groundwater at this location.

Soil and groundwater sampling locations and the location of previous cleanup action areas are shown on Figure 2.4. Soil stratigraphy at the Site based on the result of the RI and previous studies is shown on Figure 2.5.

## 2.5 Human Health and Environmental Concerns

In accordance with WAC 173-340-700(5), the following criteria were considered when developing cleanup levels for a medium at the Site to evaluate human health and environmental concerns:

- Current and potential exposure pathways and potential receptors.
- Current and potential land resource use.
- Nature and extent of contamination.

These criteria also provide the substantive components of the Conceptual Site Model (CSM), as defined in WAC 173-340-200. Mediums, potential contaminant source, release and transport mechanisms, and exposure routes for the Site are illustrated in Figure 2.6.

Potential exposure pathways and receptors, and contaminants under evaluation for the Upland Area mediums (soil and groundwater) are summarized below. Further details and sources of the information presented in this section are provided in the RI/FS Report (GeoEngineers 2022a). Measures to protect surface water and sediment are included when determining appropriate cleanup levels and points of compliance for groundwater and soils.

Sediment was evaluated during the remedial investigation and subsequent interim actions. Sediment data results showed contamination above cleanup levels was discharged to Guemes Channel. This prompted an interim action dredge in 2008. Based on the sediment sample results representative of the post-dredge condition, contaminant concentrations exceeding SMS cleanup levels in sediment were removed from the Marine Area. Due to the completeness of the 2008 Interim Action dredging and subsequent dredging of up to 30 additional feet of underlying native material, no current sediment contamination is known to be present. Therefore, as the result of the 2008 Interim Action, no further action is proposed for sediment.

Sediment contamination shows that stormwater to surface water is a transport pathway for the site. Several upgrades and site modifications now treat or limit stormwater discharge to

Guemes Channel. Storm water either infiltrates into the remaining gravel surfaces and is contained in groundwater behind a bulkhead, or it is collected, treated, and discharged to Guemes Channel under a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit.

## 2.5.1 Soil Conceptual Site Model

#### 2.5.1.1 Soil Conditions

Site soils consist of multiple layers of fill overlying native marine sediment and glacial deposits. Recent fill deposits included material placed as part of the Project Pier 1 Redevelopment activities resulting in the expansion of the Upland Area northward of the historical shoreline after completion of the interim action dredging. To facilitate the infilling of this area, an open cell bulkhead was installed and the area behind the wall was backfilled to match the surrounding upland grade with clean imported material to meet the project design requirements. In other portions of the Site, historical fill deposits comprised of layers of sand, silty sand and silt with variable gravel content ranging from approximately 2 to 16 feet thick were likely placed during initial shoreline development in the 1960s to extend the historical shoreline northward. Contained in the historical fill deposits are occasional debris including concrete asphalt, brick and wood fragments. Historical fill deposits generally increase in thickness north of 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. Clean fill was also placed in the previously completed cleanup action excavations.

The southwestern portion of the Site was used for residential purposes from before 1925 until after approximately 1966 and was topographically lower than the surrounding ground surface until 1975. In 1975, this area was infilled with up to 7 feet of layered silt, clay and silty sand deposits with occasional wood debris and is commonly referred to as the 1975 Earth Fill Area.

Underlying the fill materials across the Site are native beach sands overlying glacial deposits. The beach sand deposits are typically poorly sorted and loose in nature and vary in thickness from 2 to 4 feet. Glacial deposits consist of a medium dense glaciomarine drift with varying amounts of silt, sand, and gravel that extend to all depths explored.

## 2.5.1.2 Potential Contaminant Source

Potential sources of soil contaminants at the Site include 1) surface leaks/spills from current and/or historical Site operations, 2) contaminated fill material placed during historical infilling of the Site, and 3) atmospheric deposition from off-site sources. Potential transport/secondary release mechanisms from the contaminated soil include leaching to groundwater and the migration of contaminants in groundwater to surface water through discharges at the shoreline.

## 2.5.1.3 Exposure Pathways and Receptors

The shoreline adjacent to the Site is armored with riprap or sheet pile bulkhead. In the Upland Area, the ground surface is mostly paved with asphalt or concrete. In limited portions of the

Upland Area, the ground surface consists of a crushed gravel working surface that is maintained for fabrication layout and equipment storage. A terrestrial ecological evaluation (TEE) determined that the existing land surface (asphalt, concrete, compacted gravel, buildings, etc.) at the Site and surrounding area make substantial wildlife exposure unlikely. During a visit in August 2008 to observe the condition of the Site, Ecology confirmed that the working surface provided little to no habitat value. Additional paving of the previous gravel surfaces has occurred since that time, further reducing the potential for habitat at the Site. Currently, the Site contains little to no vegetation that would serve as riparian or terrestrial habitat.

Based on current and future Site use, potential exposure pathways and receptors for contaminants in soil include:

- Contact (dermal, incidental ingestion or inhalation) by Site workers (including workers excavating soil); and
- Leaching of contaminants contained within the soil column to groundwater.

Visitors and terrestrial wildlife are not considered potential receptors of concern because access is limited to authorized personnel performing work at the Site, and because pavement and gravel working surfaces limit the area of suitable terrestrial habitat.

## 2.5.1.4 Cleanup Level and Point of Compliance

The Site meets the definition of an industrial property under MTCA (WAC 173-340-200) as it is zoned for industrial use and is used for industrial purposes. The surrounding properties are also zoned and used for industrial land use purposes. The Site also meets the requirements for use of industrial cleanup levels for soil as hazardous substances remaining at the property do not pose a threat to human health and the environment in nearby non-industrial areas (WAC 173-340-745[1][a][iii]). Residential areas are not located in proximity to the Site and the land use in the surrounding area restricts access to the Site. Access to the Site is also restricted through fencing and secure gates.

Based on zoning, current and anticipated future land use, soil cleanup levels were developed based on the most applicable conservative (lowest) published values from the following:

- MTCA standard Method C soil cleanup levels for industrial land use soil direct contact (WAC 173-340-745(5)(b)(iii)(B)).
- MTCA Method A soil cleanup levels for industrial land use (WAC 173-340-745[3]) are used for analytes without Method C soil cleanup levels, which include lead and petroleum hydrocarbons.
- Soil to groundwater transport pathway cleanup level using the MTCA fixed parameter three-phase partitioning model (WAC 173-340-747[4]) using default assumptions provided in WAC 173-340-747(4)(b) (Equation 747-1 and Equation 747-2) for saturated zone soils and

Ecology default model input parameter values (soil organic carbon-water partition coefficient [Koc] and Henry's Law constants [H]).

• MTCA guidance document Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Benzo[a]pyrene: Changes to MTCA Default Cleanup levels for 2017 that provides updated toxicity values for benzo[a]pyrene and cPAH mixtures. This was developed to support Ecology's Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculation tool and revised on July 2021.

In accordance with WAC 173-340-705(6), the cleanup levels were adjusted as necessary based on background concentrations and practical quantitation limits (PQLs) such that the soil cleanup level shall not be set at a level below the natural background concentration or the PQL, whichever is higher. Natural background concentrations (except for arsenic) are referenced from Ecology Publication 94-115 "Natural Background Soil Metals Concentrations in Washington State" (Ecology 1994) using 90<sup>th</sup> percentile values published for the Puget Sound Basin. Natural background for arsenic is established in regulation and published as the MTCA Method A value. Soil PQLs are referenced from an Ecology-accredited laboratory.

Because soil cleanup levels developed for the Site are based on the protection of surface water via groundwater and protection of sediment via groundwater transport pathways, the point of compliance for the soil is throughout the soil column in accordance with WAC 173-340-740(6)(b).

#### 2.5.1.5 Contaminants

Soil contaminants were identified by comparing soil analytical results to cleanup levels at the point of compliance described above. All analytical data (including previously collected samples) collected from the Site that could be verified for technical quality were used to evaluate soil conditions. When evaluating cPAH mixtures (benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, and dibenzo(a,h)anthracene), toxicity equivalency quotients (TEQs) were calculated for each sample with the corresponding result compared to the most conservative cleanup level for benzo(a)pyrene (WAC 173-340-708).

As a result of the screening process, soil concentrations of arsenic, nickel and cPAHs were detected at concentrations greater than the cleanup levels presented in the following table and are identified as Site indicator hazardous substances for soil.

сос	Cleanup Level	Unit
Arsenic	20	mg/kg
Nickel	48	mg/kg

#### Table 2.1: Soil Cleanup Levels

Total cPAH TEQ – Vadose Zone	2	mg/kg
Total cPAH TEQ – Saturated Zone	0.1	mg/kg

Notes:

TEQ – toxic equivalency quotient mg/kg – milligrams per kilogram

In the eastern portion of the Site, arsenic and nickel were detected at concentrations greater than the cleanup level in fill deposits from the ground surface down to a depth of approximately 8 feet below ground surface (bgs). In the north central portion of the Site, arsenic and nickel exceeded the cleanup level in fill deposits from the ground surface down to a depth of approximately 10 feet bgs. In the south and central portions of the Site, total cPAH TEQ concentrations exceeded the soil cleanup level in historical fill deposits between approximately 5 and 13 feet bgs. In the south-central portion of the Site, arsenic exceeded the soil cleanup levels in historical fill deposits from between approximately 5 and 8 feet bgs. In the western portion of the Site, arsenic and nickel exceeded the cleanup level in fill deposits from the ground surface down to a depth of approximately 10 feet bgs. Results of samples collected at the Site from the underlying native surface show that the Upland Area cleanup level exceedances are limited to the overlying fill soil and do not extend to the underlying native surface.

In addition, the results of previous environmental studies identified concentrations of gasoline-, diesel- and/or heavy oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons exceeding CULs in historical fill deposits from the ground surface to a depth of approximately 8 feet bgs in the central and eastern portions of the Site. As previously discussed, the independent cleanup actions performed by the Port in these areas resulted in the removal of petroleum-related contamination, and also likely resulted in the removal of arsenic, nickel and cPAH contamination within these areas. Supplemental soil investigation results presented in Appendix A confirm the completeness of these previous cleanup actions. Therefore, gasoline-, diesel- and/or heavy oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons are no longer considered Site contaminants for soil.

The nature and extent of arsenic, nickel and cPAHs in soil at the Site is illustrated on Figures 2.7 through 2.9.

#### 2.5.2 Groundwater Conceptual Site Model

#### 2.5.2.1 Groundwater Conditions

One shallow water-bearing hydrogeologic unit was identified at the Site. The shallow waterbearing unit occurs in the fill material at a depth of approximately 3 to 10 feet bgs (3 to 19 feet saturated thickness) across the Site. The confining unit, which underlies the shallow waterbearing unit, consists of native glaciomarine silt, sand and gravel deposits. The predominant groundwater flow direction for the shallow water-bearing unit is to the north toward Guemes Channel. Tidal study results indicate that there is limited communication between tidally influenced marine water (Guemes Channel) and shallow groundwater at the Site except within approximately 150 feet of the shoreline. As part of the 2008 Interim Action, an open cell bulkhead was installed in the central portion of the Site to extend the Upland Area northward and create additional land to facilitate DCI operations. As a result, the open cell bulkhead (which now separates the Upland Area from the Marine Area) provides a physical barrier that restricts the direct discharge of groundwater north to Guemes Channel.

## 2.5.2.2 Potential Contamination Sources

The primary source of groundwater contaminants at the Site is leachate from contaminated soil. Site contaminants can be transported from the source areas and distributed by dispersive (solution) and advective (movement) transport mechanisms within the saturated zone. Capillary and adsorption forces are also present at and above the water table, causing contaminants to be retained in and onto fine-grain soils located above and below the saturated zone. These forces are affected by factors such as soil grain size, soil permeability, soil porosity, sorption/retardation characteristics of the soil, the volume of the release, and biodegradation of the contaminants.

Potential transport/secondary release mechanisms from contaminated groundwater include groundwater discharge into the adjacent marine surface water body (Guemes Channel) and contaminant volatilization into soil gas.

## 2.5.2.3 Groundwater Exposure Pathways and Receptors

In accordance with WAC 173-340-720(2), groundwater in the area of the Site is not a viable source of drinking water and is considered non-potable. Specifically, the groundwater at the Site is not a viable source of drinking water based on the following criteria:

- WAC 173-340-720(2)(a): Groundwater at the Site and surrounding area does not serve as a current source of drinking water.
- WAC 173-340-720(2)(b): Groundwater at the Site and surrounding area is not a potential future source of drinking water due to high concentrations of total dissolved solids (TDS) in samples collected from onsite monitoring wells. Specifically, samples collected from the monitoring wells have an average TDS concentration greater than 500 milligrams per liter (mg/L) which is the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) drinking water standard.
- WAC 173-340-720(2)(c): Based on the proximity to Guemes Channel (which is not classified as a domestic water supply) and groundwater-flow gradients at the Site, it is unlikely that hazardous substances will be transported from the Site to a current or potential future source of drinking water.

• WAC 173-340-720(2)(d): Groundwater at the Site and surrounding area is also considered non-potable due to the proximity of Marine Area/Guemes Channel (a surface water body not used as a domestic water supply), discharge of groundwater to the Marine Area, and the hydraulic connectivity between the groundwater and Marine Area demonstrated by the Tidal Study for the Site (GeoEngineers 2022a).

Based on the current and future Site use, potential exposure pathways and receptors for contaminants in groundwater include:

- Contact (dermal or incidental ingestion) by Site workers (including workers excavating soil below the water table);
- Contact (dermal or incidental ingestion) by aquatic receptors to impacted groundwater that may discharge to the Marine Area resulting in acute or chronic effects; and
- Ingestion of aquatic organisms affected by the discharge of impacted groundwater to the Marine Area.

#### 2.5.2.4 Groundwater Cleanup Levels

According to WAC 173-340-720(1)(a), groundwater cleanup levels shall be based on estimates of the highest beneficial use and the reasonable maximum exposure expected to occur under both current and potential future site use conditions, unless it qualifies under WAC 173-340-720(2) for a different beneficial use. This site qualifies for a different beneficial use for reasons described in section 2.5.2.3. In particular, the Site meets the definition of an industrial property under WAC 173-340-200, and, groundwater at, or potentially affected by, the Site is not used for drinking water and is not a reasonable future source of drinking water due to its proximity to marine surface water and the availability of a municipal water supply.

Based on zoning, current and anticipated future land use and proximity to marine surface water (Guemes Channel), groundwater cleanup levels were developed based on WAC 173-340-720(6)(c)(i)(E) that states cleanup levels will not exceed the surface water cleanup levels derived under WAC 173-340-730. The most conservative (lowest) published values from available state and federal surface water criteria according to WAC 173-340-730(3), were evaluated to develop cleanup levels including:

- Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Washington. These marine surface water criteria for protection of aquatic life (acute and chronic exposures) and human health (fish consumption) are published in WAC 173-201A.
- Federal Marine Water Quality Criteria for Washington State. These criteria are from United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Final Revision of Federal Human Health Criteria Applicable to Washington from 40 CFR 131.45 (EPA 2016).

- Federal National Recommended Water Quality Criteria. These marine surface water criteria for protection of aquatic life (acute and chronic exposures) and human health (fish consumption) are established under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act.
- MTCA Method B standard formula values (for carcinogens and non-carcinogens) protective of human health (consumption of aquatic organisms) (WAC 173-340-730[3]).
- Surface water criteria are not currently available for gasoline-, diesel, and oil-range
  petroleum hydrocarbons. Therefore, as recommended in WAC 173-340-730(3)(b)(iii)(C), the
  MTCA Method A groundwater cleanup levels for gasoline-, diesel, and oil-range petroleum
  hydrocarbons were used as the MTCA Method B surface water cleanup levels for these
  analytes.
- Groundwater to sediment transport pathway cleanup level assuming equilibrium partitioning between sediment and groundwater in sediment pore spaces using Ecology's Lower Duwamish Waterway Preliminary Cleanup Level Workbook Supplemental Information document dated December 2018 to calculate groundwater concentrations protective of dry weight sediment cleanup objective (SCO) criteria.
- Groundwater to indoor air or vapor intrusion transport pathway based on values for industrial land use. As described above, the Site meets the definition of an industrial property under MTCA (WAC 173-340-200) as it is zoned for industrial use and is being used for industrial purposes now and for the foreseeable future.

In accordance with WAC 173-340-705(6), the cleanup levels were adjusted as necessary based on background concentrations and PQLs such that groundwater cleanup level shall not be set at a level below the natural background concentration or the PQL, whichever is higher. Natural background concentrations are based on Washington State groundwater background concentrations for metals (PTI 1989). Groundwater PQLs are referenced from an Ecologyaccredited laboratory.

A conditional point of compliance was established downgradient and as close as technically possible to the remaining soil contamination. All wells will be located within the property boundary to monitor groundwater discharges prior to discharging to surface water.

## 2.5.2.5 Groundwater Contaminants

Groundwater contaminants were identified by comparing soil analytical results to the groundwater cleanup levels at the conditional point of compliance described above. Analytical data (including previously collected samples) collected from the Site that could be verified for technical quality were used to evaluate groundwater conditions. As with soil, TEQs were calculated when evaluating cPAH mixtures for each sample with the corresponding result compared to the most conservative screening level for benzo(a)pyrene (WAC 173-340-708).
As a result of the screening process, concentrations of arsenic, nickel and cPAHs were detected at concentrations greater than cleanup levels presented in the following table and are identified as Site contaminants for groundwater. Contaminants including chromium, diesel-range petroleum, and oil-range petroleum (*see* Table 3.2) were identified as groundwater contaminants in the Agreed Order. Data results showed all contaminants below cleanup levels due to prior cleanup actions and site modifications such as paving. Additional contaminants were identified and found above cleanup levels during the RI/FS including arsenic, nickel, and total cPAHs. These are identified as indicator hazardous substances for groundwater in the Consent Decree and will be addressed via the final cleanup action.

сос	Cleanup Level	Unit
Arsenic	8	µg/L
Nickel	8.2	µg/L
Total cPAH TEQ	0.01	µg/L

Table 2.2: Groundwater Cl	eanup Levels
---------------------------	--------------

Notes:

TEQ – toxic equivalency quotient

 $\mu$ g/L – micrograms per liter

At upgradient monitoring well locations (MW-1, MW-4, MW-5 and MW-7), concentrations of total/dissolved arsenic and nickel, and cPAHs were detected greater than the groundwater cleanup level in monitoring well MW-7 located in the southeast portion of the Site during one or more of the last four most recent monitoring events. Concentrations of arsenic, nickel and cPAHs were not detected greater than the cleanup levels at the other upland monitoring well locations during the last four monitoring events.

At the downgradient (conditional point of compliance) monitoring well locations (MW-2, MW-3, MW-6 and MW-8), the following contaminants were detected at concentrations greater than the groundwater cleanup level since completion of the 2015/2016 Upland Area paving activities previously discussed:

- Arsenic Total and dissolved arsenic was detected at concentrations greater than the groundwater cleanup level at MW-8 located in the northwest portion of the Site beneath Warehouse 9. However, soil sampling and analysis to further evaluate soil conditions in the vicinity of MW-8 did not identify any potential source materials for arsenic in saturated soil adjacent to or upgradient of this location.
- Nickel Dissolved nickel was detected at a concentration of 8.3 micrograms per liter (μg/L) which marginally exceeded the groundwater cleanup level of 8.2 μg/L at shoreline monitoring well location MW-2B during the February 2017 monitoring event. However,

total nickel at this location was not detected greater than the groundwater cleanup level during this event and dissolved nickel did not exceed the groundwater cleanup level in subsequent monitoring events at this location.

• Total cPAHs TEQ – Concentrations of total cPAHs were detected at a level greater than the groundwater cleanup level during one or more monitoring events at MW-8 located in the northwest portion of the Site beneath Warehouse 9. However similar to arsenic, soil sampling and analysis to further evaluate soil conditions in the vicinity of MW-8 did not identify potential source materials for cPAHs in saturated soil adjacent to or upgradient of this location.

A comparison of the initial (2008 to 2013) groundwater monitoring results to the recent semiannual groundwater monitoring results (2016 to 2017) show that the paved surfaces are limiting stormwater infiltration to soil and therefore, limiting leaching and subsequent migration of contaminants through the soil column to groundwater. In addition, these data support the conclusion that contaminants that remain in place in saturated zone soils have stabilized and are not migrating downgradient toward the Guemes Channel since paving was completed. Trend plots for groundwater COCs including arsenic, nickel and cPAHs for monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-8 are shown on Figures 2.10 through 2.12.

### 3.0 Cleanup Requirements

The MTCA cleanup regulations provide that a cleanup action must comply with cleanup levels for identified hazardous substances in media of concern, points of compliance, and applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) based on federal and state laws (WAC 173-340-710). Identified hazardous substances, cleanup levels, points of compliance, and ARARs for the selected cleanup remedy are summarized in the following sections.

### 3.1 Indicator Hazardous Substances

Under MTCA, "indicator hazardous substances" means the subset of hazardous substances present at a Site for monitoring and analysis during any phase of remedial action for the purpose of characterizing the Site or establishing cleanup requirements for that Site. Consistent with WAC 173-340-703, when defining cleanup requirements at a Site that is contaminated with a relatively large number of contaminants, Ecology may eliminate from consideration those hazardous substances that contribute a small percentage of the overall threat to human health and the environment. The remaining contaminants can then serve as indicator hazardous substances for purposes of defining Site cleanup requirements.

As outlined in Sections 2.5.1 and 2.5.2, soil and groundwater contaminants exceeding cleanup levels at the Site include arsenic, nickel and cPAHs. These contaminants are selected as indicator hazardous substances (IHSs) for the Site requiring cleanup action consideration. The cleanup levels for soil and groundwater are presented in Tables 2-1 and 2-2, respectively.

Based on the CSM and information presented to Ecology (RI/FS; Appendix A), the following mediums and associated cleanup levels are not considered IHSs for the site.

Sediment: The Port developed cleanup levels for sediment contaminants listed in the agreed order to determine the nature and extent of contamination and to evaluate the effectiveness of the interim cleanup action. Table 3-1 summarizes the cleanup levels for contaminants listed in the agreed order. Cleanup levels were also developed for additional sediment contaminants found during the remedial investigation. Details and cleanup levels for all contaminants are provided in the RI/FS. The interim cleanup actions summarized in section 2.4 removed contamination to below cleanup levels in site sediment. Due to the completeness of the interim action, contaminants listed in table 3-1 and the RI/FS no longer contribute to the overall threat to human health and the environment in sediment. No further action in sediment is necessary; therefore, cleanup levels for the final cleanup action are not established for sediment.

Table 3.1. Sediment Cleanup Levels

сос	Cleanup Level	Units	Cleanup Level	Units	Cleanup Level	Units
-----	------------------	-------	------------------	-------	------------------	-------

	Protection of benthic organisms (Organic Carbon 0.5% to 3.5%)		Protection of benthic organisms (Organic Carbon <0.5% or >3.5%)		Subtidal Sediment (below -3 ft MLLW)	
Copper	390	mg/kg	390	mg/kg	180,000	mg/kg
Lead	450	mg/kg	450	mg/kg	21	mg/kg
Zinc	410	mg/kg	410	mg/kg	1,400,000	mg/kg
Arsenic	57	mg/kg	57	mg/kg	11	mg/kg
Mercury	0.41	mg/kg	0.41	mg/kg	0.2	mg/kg
Total LPAH	370	(mg/kg OC normalized)	5,200	µg/kg	1	
Total HPAH	960	(mg/kg OC normalized)	12,000	µg/kg	-	
Total cPAH TEQ					21	µg/kg
Total PCB	12	(mg/kg OC normalized)	0.13		0.0035	mg/kg
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	47	(mg/kg OC normalized)	1,300	µg/kg	290	mg/kg
dibenzofuran	15	(mg/kg OC normalized)	540	µg/kg	4,100	mg/kg
Total dioxin/furan TEQ					5	ng/kg

Soil and groundwater: The Port developed cleanup levels for groundwater and soil contaminants listed in the agreed order to evaluate the nature and extent of contamination, the effectiveness of independent and interim cleanup actions, and to determine an appropriate final cleanup action for the site. Table 3.2 summarizes the cleanup levels for contaminants listed in the Agreed Order. Cleanup levels were also developed for additional contaminants found during the remedial investigation. Details and cleanup levels for all contaminants are provided in the RI/FS and Appendix A. Previous cleanup actions conducted in the Upland Area are summarized in section 2.4.

Verification sampling showed soils and groundwater meet cleanup level requirements for contaminants listed in Table 3.2 and the RI/FS except for the indicator hazardous substances. These contaminants no longer contribute to the overall threat to human health and the environment; therefore, they are not included as indicator hazardous substances for the medium evaluated.

COC	Cleanup Level	Units
Soil (vadose and saturated zone)		
Total petroleum hydrocarbons – Gasoline range	100	mg/Kg
Total petroleum hydrocarbons – Diesel range	2,000	mg/Kg
Groundwater		
Total petroleum hydrocarbons – Diesel range	500	μg/L
Total petroleum hydrocarbons – Oil range	500	μg/L
Chromium	50	µg/L

Table 3.2. Soil and Groundwater Cleanup Levels

### 3.2 Cleanup Standards

Cleanup standards consist of 1) cleanup levels that are protective of human health and the environment; and 2) the point of compliance at which the cleanup levels must be met. The final media-specific cleanup levels and points of compliance are summarized below.

### 3.2.1 Soil

In accordance with WAC 173-340-745 where Ecology has determined that industrial land use represents the reasonable maximum exposure, soil cleanup levels shall be based on estimates of the reasonable maximum exposure expected to occur under both current and future site use conditions. Based on zoning, and current and anticipated future land use, the Site meets the definition of an industrial property (WAC 173-340-200). For industrial land use, soil cleanup levels must be as stringent as:

- Concentrations established under applicable state and federal laws.
- Concentrations protective of direct human contact with soil.
- Concentrations protective of groundwater as surface water.

Cleanup levels presented in the RI/FS (GeoEngineers 2022a) to screen the environmental data based on human health and environmental considerations (summarized in Section 2.5) were developed in accordance with MTCA requirements and take into account future land use, ecological risk considerations and constitute the final soil cleanup levels for the Site. Final soil cleanup levels for IHSs are presented in Table 2.1.

### 3.2.2 Groundwater

In accordance with WAC 173-340-720, groundwater cleanup levels shall be based on estimates of the highest beneficial use and the reasonable maximum exposure expected to occur under both current and potential future site use conditions. Because groundwater at, or potentially affected by, the Site is not used for drinking water at this time and is not a reasonable future source of drinking water due to its proximity to marine surface water and the availability of a municipal water supply, groundwater at the Site is non-potable. Therefore, the next highest beneficial use is as surface water and must be as stringent as:

- Concentrations established under applicable state and federal laws.
- Concentrations protective of direct human contact with groundwater.
- Concentrations protective of surface water.
- Concentrations protective of sediment.

CULs presented in the RI/FS (GeoEngineers 2022a) to screen the environmental data based on human health and environmental considerations (summarized in Section 2.5) were developed in accordance with MTCA requirements and take into account future land use, ecological risk considerations and constitute the final groundwater cleanup levels for the Site. Final groundwater cleanup levels for contaminants are presented in Table 2.2.

### 3.3 Points of Compliance

Under MTCA, the point of compliance is the point or location on a site where the cleanup levels must be attained. This section describes the points of compliance for groundwater and soil.

Soil: Under MTCA, the standard point of compliance for the soil cleanup levels based upon human health via direct contact is throughout the Site from the ground surface to 15 feet bgs per WAC 173-340-740(6)(d). This depth represents a reasonable estimate of the depth of soil that could be excavated and distributed at the soil surface as a result of site development activities. For cleanup actions that involve containment of hazardous substances, however, the soil cleanup levels will typically not have to be met at the point of compliance if the following criteria are demonstrated as required under WAC 173-340-740(6)(f):

• The selected remedy is permanent to the maximum extent practicable using the procedures in -360;

- The cleanup action is protective of human health;
- The cleanup action is demonstrated to be protective of terrestrial ecological receptors under -7490 and -7494;
- Institutional controls are put in place under -440 that prohibit or limit activities that could interfere with the long-term integrity of the containment system;
- Compliance monitoring under -410 and periodic reviews under -430 are designed to ensure the long-term integrity of the containment system; and
- The types, levels and amount of hazardous substances remaining on-site and the measures that will be used to prevent migration and contact with those substances are specified in the draft cleanup action plan.

The proposed cleanup action, which includes containment of some impacted soils above cleanup levels beneath buildings or pavement, meets the requirements for this alternative point of compliance.

Groundwater: Under MTCA, the standard point of compliance for groundwater is throughout the site from the uppermost level of the saturated zone extending vertically to the lowest most depth that could potentially affect the site. Because the groundwater cleanup levels are based on protection of marine surface water and not protection of groundwater as a drinking water source, the conditional point of compliance was established downgradient and as close as technically possible to the remaining soil contamination. All wells will be located within the property boundary to monitor groundwater discharges prior to discharging to surface water. Existing wells or new wells located between the upland source areas and the marine surface waters will be used to demonstrate compliance at this conditional point of compliance.

# 3.4 Applicable and Relevant and Appropriate Regulatory Requirements

### 3.4.1 Applicable Requirements

MTCA requires the cleanup standards to be "at least as stringent as all applicable state and federal laws" (WAC 173-340-700(6)(a)). Cleanup levels and points of compliance met applicable laws as described above. Besides establishing minimum requirements for cleanup standards, other regulatory requirements must be identified by the person conducting the cleanup and considered in the selection and implementation of the cleanup action. This section details the known and identified applicable state and federal laws that may impose certain technical and procedural requirements for performing cleanup actions. These requirements are described in WAC 173-340-710. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-710(2), Ecology has reviewed the identified

applicable requirements and determined the following regulations are applicable as described below.

### 3.4.2 Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

In addition, relevant and appropriate requirements include those cleanup standards, standards of control, and other environmental requirements, criteria, or limitations established under state or federal law that, while not legally applicable to the hazardous substance, cleanup action, location, or other circumstance at a site, address problems or situations sufficiently similar to those encountered at the site that their use is well suited to the particular site. WAC 173-340-710 through 173-340-760 identifies several requirements Ecology considers relevant and appropriate for establishing cleanup standards. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-710(2), Ecology did not identify additional relevant and appropriate regulations.

### 3.4.3 Exemptions

The cleanup action at the Site will be performed pursuant to MTCA under the terms of a Consent Decree between Ecology and the Port. Certain state law requirements, and the procedural requirements of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals for the remedial action are exempt under RCW 70A.305.090(1) in accordance with WAC 173-340-710(9)(b).

Persons conducting a remedial action under an order or decree are exempt from the procedural requirements of the following Revised Code of Washington (RCW) laws:

- Chapter 70.94 [Washington State Clean Air Act]
  - Limited exemption: Non-federally delegated permits only
- Chapter 70.95 [Washington State Solid Waste Management Act]
- Chapter 70.105 [Washington State Hazardous Waste Management Act
   Limited exemption: State only-designated dangerous waste
- Chapter 77.55 [Washington State Construction Projects in Water Act]
- Chapter 90.48 [Washington State Water Pollution Control]
  - Limited exemption: Non-federally delegated state waste discharge permit only
- Chapter 90.58 [Washington State Shoreline Management Act]
- Laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals for the remedial action

However, the Port must comply with the substantive requirements of any state law or local government permit/approval which is exempt under this provision in accordance with WAC 173-340-710(9)(c). Ecology has reviewed the identified exemptions and determined the substantive requirements.

### 3.4.4 Continuing Obligation

Per WAC 173-340-710(9)(e), the Port has a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals or substantive requirements are required. In the event that either the person conducting the remedial action or Ecology becomes aware of additional permits or approvals or substantive requirements that apply to the remedial action, they shall promptly notify the other party of this knowledge. Ecology, or the potentially liable person at Ecology's request, shall consult with the state or local agency on these additional requirements. Ecology shall make the final determination on the application of any additional substantive requirements at the Site, following consultation with appropriate state and local regulators.

### 3.4.4.1 Applicable Regulatory Requirements and Exemptions

#### Solid and Hazardous Waste Management

The Washington Hazardous Waste Management Act and the implementing regulations, the Dangerous Waste Regulations (Chapter 173-303 WAC), will apply if dangerous wastes are generated during the cleanup action. The PLP is required to designate waste and if dangerous waste is present, manage and dispose of the dangerous waste based on generator status and the requirements set forth by your chosen permitted disposal facility. Records describing designation and final disposal should be included in the construction completion report.

*Exemption:* The PLP is exempt from the procedural requirements for state only-designated dangerous waste set forth in RCW 70.105 but must meet the substantive requirements for designating state-only dangerous waste.

Related regulations include state and federal requirements for solid waste handling and disposal facilities (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 241, 257; Chapter 173-350 and -351 WAC) and land disposal restrictions (40 CFR 268; WAC 173-303-340).

*Exemption:* The PLP is exempt from the procedural requirements for solid waste set forth in RCW 70.95. The PLP must follow the substantive requirements for waste designation, handling, and disposal of solid waste set forth by the receiving facility. The receiving facility must provide permission to dispose of waste at the facility prior to delivering waste to the intended destination.

### State Environmental Policy Act

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) (Revised Code of Washington [RCW] 43.21C; WAC 197-11) and the SEPA procedures (WAC 173-802) are intended to ensure that state and local government officials consider environmental values when making decisions. Prior to taking any action on a proposal, including initiating a remedial construction activity, agencies must follow specific procedures to ensure that appropriate consideration has been given to the environment. This includes issuing an environmental determination and holding a public comment period. If there is a probable significant adverse environmental impact associated with the project, then a Determination of Significance is issued and an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is required. If there is no probable significant adverse environmental impact associated with the project, then a Determination of Non-Significance is issued.

A SEPA environmental checklist was prepared by the Port for the proposed cleanup actions. The Port is the lead SEPA agency for this action. Ecology reviewed the SEPA checklist and the Port issued a Determination of Non-Significance which was released for public review and comment.

#### Shoreline Management Act

The Shoreline Management Act (SMA; RCW 90.58) and its implementing regulations establish requirements for substantial developments occurring within water areas of the state or within 200 feet of the shoreline. Local shoreline management plans are adopted under state regulations, creating an enforceable state law.

*Exemption:* The PLP is exempt from the procedural requirements set forth for Shorelands under RCW 90.58. However, the PLP must follow the substantive requirements. The City of Anacortes has set forth requirements based on local considerations such as shoreline use, economic development, public access, circulation, recreation, conservation, and historical and cultural features. The PLP must work with the City of Anacortes to meet the substantive requirements set forth in their Shoreline Master Program prior to initiating the cleanup action. The Shoreline Master Program must be consistent with the policies and requirements of the Shoreline Management Act and the State Shoreline Master Program Guidelines. .

#### Water Pollution Control Act

Per WAC 173-340-710(7)(a), hazardous substances that are directly or indirectly released or proposed to be released to waters of the state shall be provided with all known, available and reasonable methods of treatment consistent with the requirements of chapters 90.48. Although State Waste Discharge Permits are exempt from procedural requirements, NPDES permits issued under 90.48 are required and may need to be implemented under a separate Agreed Order administered by Ecology's Water Quality Program.

Based on consultation with Ecology's Water Quality Program, the cleanup action will not require a construction stormwater general permit under the following conditions. Construction activities will disturb less than 1-acre. Construction stormwater generated during the planned remedial action will be separated from DCI's stormwater collection system, contained on-site, and managed using approved best management practices. A discharge authorization will be obtained from the City of Anacortes to discharge stormwater to the City of Anacortes sanitary sewer following appropriate treatment to meet discharge standards.

The Construction Quality Assurance Project Plan (CQAPP) will document planned best management practice procedures designed to prevent stormwater pollution by controlling erosion of exposed soil and by containing soil stockpiles and other materials that could

contribute pollutants to stormwater. It is anticipated that a CQAPP will be prepared as part of the remedial design process and supplemented as appropriate by the remediation contractor.

If conditions change then the Port must consult with Ecology to determine if a stormwater permit is required under the new conditions.

#### **Clean Air Act**

Air emission permits are required at MTCA cleanup sites if air emissions are sufficient enough to trigger the need for Title V air operating permits (7661A), prevention of significant deterioration permits (7475), or nonattainment new source review permits (7502(c)(5)). These permits are mandated by the Federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C.) and are required because an exemption would result in the state's loss of federal authorization to implement these permitting requirements in Washington. The local agency, the Northwest Clean Air Agency, determined a Title V permit is not required.

The cleanup action may generate dust from site grading or excavation work. Controls would need to be in place during construction (e.g., wetting or covering exposed soils and stockpiles), as necessary, to meet the substantive restrictions on off-site transport of airborne particulates by the local agency.

#### Archeological and Historical Preservation

The Archeological and Historical Preservation Act (16 USCA 496a-1) is applicable if any subject materials are discovered during remedial design or site grading and excavation/dredging activities.

The Port is using Remedial Action Grant money to fund up to 50% of the cleanup at the Site. Pursuant to Executive Order 21-02, all state agencies implementing or assisting capital projects using funds appropriated in the State's biennial Capital Budget are to consider how future proposed projects may impact significant cultural and historic places. To do so, agencies are required to notify the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP), the Governor's Office of Indian Affairs (GOIA), and concerned tribes and afford them an opportunity to review and provide comments about potential project impacts.

Based on the results of a recent (October 2020) cultural resources survey and previous findings for the Site, the DAHP is requiring the development of an Inadvertent Discovery Plan. They also require an archeological monitor be present during ground disturbance activities completed near the fill/native soil contact given the proximity of these previous discoveries.

#### Health and Safety

Site cleanup-related construction activities will need to be performed in accordance with the requirements of the Washington Industrial Safety and Health Act (WISHA; RCW 49.17) and the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA; 29 CFR 1910, 1926). These applicable

regulations include requirements that workers are to be protected from exposure to contaminants and that excavations are to be properly shored.

#### Minimum Standards for Construction and Maintenance of Wells

Groundwater monitoring wells will need to be installed as a part of the post-construction water quality confirmation monitoring. The new wells will be constructed in accordance with the requirements of WAC 173-160 to further ensure protection of groundwater resources at the Site.

#### **Local Permitting**

The City of Anacortes Land Disturbance and Grading Permit, Noise Ordinance, Publicly Owned Treatment Water (POTW) Discharge Authorization, and Stormwater Management Program are applicable to the site based on construction generating activities outlined in this CAP.

*Exemption:* Because the Site cleanup action will be performed under a Consent Decree, compliance with substantive requirements of the City of Anacortes permits, discharge authorizations and ordinances will be necessary.

### 4.0 Cleanup Action Selection and Analysis

Development and evaluation of cleanup action alternatives performed in the RI/FS are summarized in the following sections.

### 4.1 Areas Requiring Cleanup Action Evaluation

The RI soil data obtained by GeoEngineers between June 2008 and June 2021 from subsurface explorations and soil data from previous environmental studies were used to delineate the nature and extent of IHSs at the Site. Areas requiring cleanup action evaluation are shown on Figure 4.1 and summarized below.

- **Metals** Arsenic and/or nickel was detected at concentrations exceeding soil cleanup levels at the Site as follows:
  - In the eastern portion of the Site, arsenic and nickel exceeded soil cleanup levels in historical fill deposits from the ground surface down to a depth of approximately 8 feet bgs.
  - In the north central portion of the Site, arsenic and nickel exceeded soil cleanup levels in historical fill deposits from the ground surface down to a depth of approximately 10 feet bgs.
  - In the south-central portion of the Site, arsenic exceeded the soil cleanup levels in historical fill deposits from between approximately 5 and 8 feet bgs.
  - In the western portion of the Site, nickel exceeded the soil cleanup levels in the 1975
     Earth fill area deposits from the ground surface down to a depth of approximately 10 feet bgs.

Results of soil/sediment samples collected at the Site from the underlying native surface show that the observed arsenic and nickel exceedance are contained within the overlying fill soil. Although present, concentrations of arsenic and nickel in soil are not exacerbating contamination of the groundwater except for MW-7 based on the groundwater monitoring data summarized above. At all other locations, groundwater monitoring data shows decreasing concentrations possibly associated with 2015/2016 paving completed by DCI. Decreasing concentrations demonstrates hydraulic connectivity, but the paving modifications appear to have lowered the infiltration of stormwater and potential for leaching, thus lowering the potential contribution from soil contaminants to groundwater.

- **Total cPAH TEQ** Concentrations of cPAHs were detected at concentrations exceeding soil cleanup levels as follows:
  - In the central portion of the Site, total cPAH TEQ concentrations exceeded the soil cleanup level in historical fill deposits between approximately 5 and 13 feet bgs.

Results of soil/sediment samples collected at the Site from the underlying native surface show that the observed cPAHs exceedance are contained within the overlying fill soil. Although soil at the Site contain total cPAH TEQ concentrations greater than the soil cleanup level, groundwater monitoring data (summarized above) shows that the observed soil contamination is not adversely affecting groundwater at the Site and that the contaminant concentration in groundwater is stable or is decreasing over-time.

### 4.2 Remedial Technologies and Cleanup Action Alternatives Considered

Potentially applicable remedial technologies for identified IHSs in Upland Area media of concern (i.e., soil and groundwater) were screened and evaluated for developing cleanup action alternatives in accordance with MTCA requirements (WAC 173-340-350). The screening process identified the most appropriate technologies and process options for addressing IHSs in soil and groundwater based on their implementability, reliability, and relative cost. Based on the results of screening, the following remedial technologies for soil and groundwater were retained for development of cleanup action alternatives:

- Institutional controls including environmental covenants, land use restrictions, groundwater use restrictions, fencing and signage.
- Containment and capping including low permeability caps comprised of asphalt or concrete pavement with drainage controls to restrict groundwater flow and contaminant migration.
- In situ treatment including stabilization.
- Monitored natural attenuation of contaminants in groundwater through natural processes.
- Removal of contaminants through soil excavation and offsite permitted disposal.

From the remedial technology screening process, six alternatives were developed for the Site to address soil and groundwater contamination that meet the MTCA threshold requirements including compliance with the cleanup standards and applicable laws, prevision for a reasonable restoration time frame, and use of permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable.

For the Upland Area, the following alternatives varied as follows:

• Alternative 1 (Containment and Compliance Monitoring) considered containment technologies in conjunction with institutional controls to address Site contaminants. Capping in the form of asphalt paving is proposed for unpaved portions of the Site to further prevent stormwater infiltration through the soil column and mobility of contaminants in the subsurface. Long-term monitoring would then be performed to

evaluate groundwater conditions to assess natural attenuation of Site contaminants and verify compliance with the cleanup standards.

- Alternative 2 (Partial Source Area Removal) considered the removal of the contaminant source areas, an estimated 3,600 bank cubic yards of soil, located in the southeast portion of the Site which is generally centered around sampling location SB-12 followed by Site restoration. At this location, concentrations of metals (arsenic and nickel) in soil exceed three times (3x) the cleanup level. Empirical evidence from groundwater monitoring data suggests that observed soil contamination at this location is adversely impacting groundwater while in other portions of the Site, observed contaminant concentrations are limiting groundwater impacts. As with the previous alternative, existing containment barriers as well as institutional controls would then be utilized to address remaining IHSs remaining in place and that long-term monitoring would be performed to evaluate groundwater conditions to assess natural attenuation of Site contaminants and verify compliance with the cleanup standards.
- Alternative 3 (Source Area In Situ Treatment) considered the use of in situ treatment technologies to stabilize contaminants in soil within the identified source areas (IHSs exceeding three times the soil cleanup level) to reduce the potential for leaching and contaminant migration in groundwater. As with previous alternatives, existing containment barriers as well as institutional controls would then be utilized to address remaining IHSs remaining in place and that long-term monitoring would be performed to evaluate groundwater conditions to assess natural attenuation of Site contaminants and verify compliance with the cleanup standards.
- Alternative 4 (Source Area Removal) considered the removal of the identified source areas, an estimated 9,000 bank cubic yards of soil, in which IHSs exceed three times the soil cleanup level. Existing containment barriers as well as institutional controls would then be utilized to address IHSs detected at concentrations less than three times the cleanup level remaining in place at the Site. In addition, long-term monitoring would be performed to evaluate groundwater conditions to assess natural attenuation of Site contaminants and verify compliance with the cleanup standards.
- Alternative 5 (Site-Wide In Situ Treatment) considered the use of in situ treatment technologies to stabilize identified contaminants in soil throughout the Site and to reduce the potential for leaching and contaminant migration in groundwater. Existing containment barriers as well as institutional controls would then be utilized to address remaining IHSs remaining in place and that long-term monitoring would be performed to evaluate groundwater conditions to assess natural attenuation of Site contaminants and verify compliance with the cleanup standards.
- Alternative 6 (Site-Wide Removal) considered the removal of identified soil contamination throughout the Site, an estimated 39,000 bank cubic yards of soil. Compliance monitoring

would then be performed to verify the effectiveness of the cleanup action. Due to the completeness of this alternative, engineering and institutional controls would not be required.

Each of the cleanup action alternatives were screened relative to MTCA threshold and other requirements in accordance with WAC 173-340-360(2)(a) and (2)(b), and evaluated according to disproportionate cost analysis (DCA) procedures in WAC 173-340-360(3)(e). Results of the evaluation identified Alternative 2 as the preferred alternative, because it meets threshold requirements, uses permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable, considers public concerns, provides a reasonable restoration time frame, and is not disproportionate in cost.

Cleanup action alternatives are summarized in Table 4.1. Evaluation of the alternatives and results of the DCA are presented in Tables 4.2 and 4.3, respectively. Specific details regarding alternative development and evaluation are presented in the RI/FS Report (GeoEngineers 2022a).

### 4.3 Selected Remedy

Based on the comparative analysis presented in the RI/FS, Alternative 2 (shown on Figure 4.2) provides the greatest environmental benefit that is not disproportionate in cost relative to the other alternatives evaluated. This alternative for the Site relies on the existing empirical data that groundwater located downgradient of the impacted soils is not adversely impacted (i.e., does not exceed MTCA cleanup levels) by the presence of the identified soil contamination and will reduce risk to potential human and ecological receptors through:

- Removal of contaminated soil volume within the readily accessible portion of the Site (i.e., open space area in the southeast portion of the DCI lease area) exceeding soil cleanup levels;
- Use of existing engineering controls such as concrete and asphalt surfaces to isolate the remaining soil contamination at the Site from human and ecological receptors;
- Long-term monitoring of groundwater to confirm compliance with the cleanup standard at the conditional point of compliance (shoreline) and assess natural attenuation performance; and,
- Implementation of institutional controls (Environmental Covenant).

Implementation of this cleanup action will remove approximately 3,600 bank cubic yards of soil containing IHSs at concentrations greater than the soil cleanup levels where groundwater is being adversely impacted. The volume of contaminated soil remaining in place above cleanup levels and located upstream of groundwater showing a decreasing trend in IHS concentrations since paving was complete (estimated to be approximately 35,400 bank cubic yards) will be managed by engineering and institutional controls.

The Port conducted a 170,000 cy sediment dredge that resulted in the removal of some of the contaminated sediment. Approximately 26,000 cy of soil and sediment were removed during the subsequent interim action cleanup. An additional approximately 1,700 cy of soil were removed during independent cleanup actions.

The selected cleanup action meets the minimum requirements under WAC 173-340-360(2)(a) as follows:

- **Protects Human Health and the Environment** The selected remedy will protect human health and the environment on both a short-term and long-term basis. The remedy will permanently reduce the identified risks presently posed to groundwater quality and direct contact through a combination of source area removal, containment and natural attenuation.
- **Complies with Cleanup Standards** The selected remedy will comply with the cleanup standards for groundwater and soil at the point of compliance within a reasonable time frame.
- **Complies with Applicable State and Federal Laws** The selected remedy will comply with all state and federal laws and regulations.
- **Provides Compliance Monitoring** The selected remedy will include compliance monitoring for soil and groundwater to assess the effectiveness and permanence of the remedy.

The cleanup action also meets the other requirements under WAC 173-340-360(2)(b), as follows:

- Uses Permanent Solutions to the Maximum Extent Practicable The selected remedy
  utilizes source removal in conjunction with engineering and institutional controls which will
  remove the contaminant mass impacting groundwater and isolate the remaining
  contaminant mass which has been demonstrated to be stable in the subsurface and not
  impacting groundwater in the subsurface to preventing direct contact.
- Provides for Reasonable Restoration Time Frame The restoration time frame for the selected remedy is estimated to be 1 2 years, based on the expected time for groundwater to achieve compliance with cleanup levels in the source area and for institutional controls to be established. Engineering controls to isolate the remaining contamination are already in place at the Site.
- Considers Public Concerns The RI/FS Report and this document will be presented to the Public for review and comment prior to implementation. Additionally, residual contamination remaining in place below the paved surfaces are contained within the DCI lease area which is secured and prevents visitors from entering the Site. Local residents and homeowners will not be affected given the industrial nature and zoning of the adjacent properties.

### 5.0 Description of the Cleanup Action

As discussed in Section 4.4, the selected cleanup action comprises a combination of cleanup actions, which are generally described below. More specific plans will be developed in an Engineering Design Report (EDR), which will be prepared for Ecology approval prior to implementation of the cleanup action.

### 5.1 Excavation and Off-Site Disposal of Contaminated Soil

Soil in which concentrations of arsenic and nickel exceed soil cleanup levels will be excavated within the southeastern portion of the Site that is readily accessible (away from primary DCI operation areas and structures) and transported from the Site for disposal at a permitted landfill facility. Based on the results of previous environmental investigations, approximately 1,500 square yards of asphalt will be removed to access approximately 3,600 bank cubic yards of contaminated soil exceeding three times the soil cleanup level using commonly available excavation techniques. During remedial excavation activities existing utility infrastructure (power, phone, sewer, water, etc.) will remain undisturbed and protected in place to the extent practicable. In addition, excavation slopes and/or shoring will be required to protect adjacent utilities and DCI infrastructure.

Soil generated by the remedial excavation will be designated under WAC 173-303 and appropriately transported from the Site to an approved landfill facility for permitted disposal. Landfill disposal authorization will be obtained using the chemical analytical results from the existing environmental studies. However, additional characterization of the waste stream may be required by the receiving facility. Additional soil characterization, if required, will be completed during remedial design.

During backfilling activities, structurally suitable material will be placed in lifts throughout the remedial excavation area and compacted to meet compaction requirements determined during remedial design.

### 5.2 Containment of In-place Contamination

Under MTCA, the standard point of compliance for the soil cleanup levels based upon human health via direct contact is throughout the Site from the ground surface to 15 feet bgs per WAC 173-340-740(6)(d). This depth represents a reasonable estimate of the depth of soil that could be excavated and distributed at the soil surface as a result of site development activities. For cleanup actions that involve containment of hazardous substances, however, the soil cleanup levels will typically not have to be met at the point of compliance if the following criteria are demonstrated as required under WAC 173-340-740(6)(f):

- The selected remedy is permanent to the maximum extent practicable using the procedures in -360;
- The cleanup action is protective of human health;
- The cleanup action is demonstrated to be protective of terrestrial ecological receptors under -7490 and -7494;
- Institutional controls are put in place under -440 that prohibit or limit activities that could interfere with the long-term integrity of the containment system;
- Compliance monitoring under -410 and periodic reviews under -430 are designed to ensure the long-term integrity of the containment system; and
- The types, levels and amount of hazardous substances remaining on-site and the measures that will be used to prevent migration and contact with those substances are specified in the draft cleanup action plan.

The selected cleanup action for the Site is expected to remove the source area in the southeast portion of the Site minimizing disturbance to existing infrastructure (buildings, laydown, syncrolift rail, and utilities) and DCI operations. Following completion of the cleanup action, contamination will remain in place beneath portions of central and western DCI lease area at concentrations exceeding the soil cleanup levels. As described above and in accordance with - 440, the selected cleanup alternative relies on utilizing existing engineering controls (i.e. asphalt and concrete surface cap, sheet pile bulkhead) for the purpose of removing exposure and discharge pathways. In accordance with -410 and -440, areas in which residual soil contamination remains in place will continue to be addressed using confirmational groundwater monitoring, cap integrity assessment reports and an environmental covenant (discussed in the following sections).

Use of existing paved surfaces will prevent direct contact exposures to contaminants that will remain in-place. In conjunction with the engineering controls, institutional controls that require maintenance of the paved surface as a physical barrier in perpetuity will be implemented as described below. Because the interim action and reconfiguration of the shoreline as part of the Project Pier 1 Redevelopment resulted in the removal of previously identified contamination north of the proposed remedial excavation area, a protective barrier is not required as part of the remedy in this area to prevent direct contact with residual contamination remaining in soil. However, the existing gravel working surface will be maintained for use by DCI operations using best management practices (BMPs). Ecology will be notified of any future development of the Site. Notification will include documentation describing measures to ensure the proper management of contaminated soil and/or groundwater (if encountered) and ensure that proper worker protection and safety is maintained. Ecology must review and concur prior to any work being performed. Specific details regarding long-term monitoring and maintenance of

the soil cap and procedures for worker protection and the management of contaminated soil and/or groundwater will be described in an Engineering and Institutional Controls Monitoring and Maintenance Plan (EICMMP) that will be prepared following implementation of the selected remedy (further discussed in Section 4.8).

### 5.3 Monitored Natural Attenuation

Natural attenuation means a variety of physical, chemical or biological processes that, under favorable conditions, act without human intervention to reduce the mass, toxicity, mobility, volume or concentration of hazardous substances in the environment. A cleanup action that includes natural attenuation and conforms to the expectation in WAC 173-340-370(7) can be considered an active remedial measure. Ecology expects that natural attenuation of hazardous substances may be appropriate at sites where:

- a. Source control has been conducted to the maximum extent practicable;
- b. Leaving contaminants on-site during the restoration time frame does not pose an unacceptable threat to human health or the environment;
- c. There is evidence that natural biodegradation or chemical degradation is occurring and will continue to occur at a reasonable rate at the site; and
- d. Appropriate monitoring requirements are conducted to ensure that the natural attenuation process is taking place and that human health and the environment are protected.

As outlined in Section 4.0 Cleanup Action Selection and Analysis, the feasibility study and disproportionate cost analysis concluded that the alternative selected will include source control to the maximum extent practicable per WAC 173-340-370(7)(a). In accordance with -370(7)(c), groundwater monitoring results following paving activities by DCI between 2015 and 2016 show decreasing concentrations of IHSs, in some cases below cleanup levels. This indicates that the paved surfaces are limiting the infiltration, leaching and subsequent migration of soil contaminants to groundwater as evidenced by the monitoring data at the conditional point of compliance and in central and western upgradient wells. Per -370(7)(b), decreases in concentrations in monitoring wells downstream of the source were demonstrated within two years from completion of paving activities. Additional source control removal of the highest concentrations of contamination around the well with the highest groundwater concentration in combination with the paving/concrete cap engineering controls. The data show that contaminants in soil currently in place within the saturated zone are stable and limited from migrating down gradient toward the Guemes Channel since the paving was completed. It is expected that the existing paving and concrete will serve as a cap for the remaining contamination in place and maintenance of the existing paved surfaces will continue to limit infiltration and potential leaching of residual contamination. The existing monitoring

wells located in this area will be used to evaluate natural attenuation. In accordance with -370(7)(d), a Compliance Monitoring Plan (CMP) will be developed for Ecology's review and concurrence as part of the remedial design detailing the sampling and analysis procedures and quality control measures appropriate to ensure that the natural attenuation process continues and is protective of human health and the environment.

### 5.4 Institutional and Other Property Controls

Institutional controls are measures undertaken to limit or prohibit activities that may interfere with the integrity of the cleanup action or that may result in exposures to hazardous substances at the Site. Institutional controls in the form of an Environmental Covenant will be required for all parcels within the Site boundary where contaminated soil above a cleanup level remains and where groundwater is above cleanup levels. The environmental covenants will be filed following implementation of the selected remedy.

The Environmental Covenant will impose restrictions on future uses of the Site containing residual contamination consistent with industrial land use and will prohibit the use of groundwater as drinking water.

Ecology will prepare the Environmental Covenant consistent with WAC 173-340-440 and RCW 64.70 and in consultation with the property owner. In addition to the Environmental Covenant, Property controls will include an EICMMP for any future ground-disturbing activities on the Property. The EICMMP will contain at least the following elements:

- A description of soil conditions on the Property including identification of specific areas and depths where contamination remains in place and at what concentration(s).
- Specific soil handling and management procedures for future subsurface work in areas where contaminated soils remain in place beneath the cap.
- Procedures for identifying, processing, and disposing of contaminated soils encountered during development activities in areas not expected to be contaminated.
- BMPs to prevent soil erosion to the storm drain system or directly to sediment in the Marine Area.
- Health and safety protocols specific to the soil handling and management procedures.
- Protocols for notifying Ecology of planned (or proposed) ground-disturbing activities as well as any instances in which a site control measure fails resulting in a release or new exposure pathway.
- Protocols for providing necessary data to agencies involved in environmental permitting for future construction activities.

- A description of remedial elements (e.g., pavement and monitoring wells) that will require routine inspection and maintenance.
- The procedures specified in the EICMMP will be applicable to future property
  redevelopment or maintenance that involves removal or disturbance of the in-place
  contaminated soil or disturbance of surface soils or other ground cover that may create a
  future erosion pathway, if warranted. In conjunction with the institutional controls and
  capping, other engineering controls may be implemented to prevent potential exposure to
  hazardous substances remaining in place following remedy implementation.

### 5.5 Compliance Monitoring

Compliance monitoring and contingency responses (as needed) will be implemented in accordance with WAC 173-340-410, Compliance Monitoring Requirements. Detailed requirements will be described in the CMP to be prepared as a part of the EDR. The objective of the CMP is to confirm that cleanup standards have been achieved, and also to confirm the long-term effectiveness of cleanup actions at the Site. The plan will contain discussions on duration and frequency of monitoring, the trigger for contingency response actions, and the rationale for termination of monitoring. The three types of compliance monitoring to be conducted include:

- **Protection Monitoring** to confirm that human health and the environment are adequately protected during the implementation of the cleanup action;
- **Performance Monitoring** to confirm that the cleanup action has attained cleanup standards and other performance standards; and
- **Confirmation Monitoring** to confirm the long-term effectiveness of the cleanup action once performance standards have been attained.

Compliance monitoring activities are described in Sections 5.5.1 through 5.5.3 below.

### 5.5.1 Protection Monitoring

Protection monitoring will include monitoring of worker health and safety and environmental protection practices such as stormwater, erosion, and sediment controls. The purpose of protection monitoring is to confirm that human health and the environment are adequately protected during the cleanup action. Personnel engaged in work that involves hazardous material excavation and handling will be required to comply with the provisions of WAC 173-340-810 (MTCA Cleanup Regulation, Worker Safety and Health) and be Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER), OSHA, and WISHA certified. In addition, spill prevention and pollution control measures will be implemented and maintained throughout the duration of the cleanup action including all necessary stormwater management, surface water runoff control, temporary erosion and sediment control measures to meet the substantive requirements of the applicable local, state and federal regulations.

### 5.5.2 Performance Monitoring

Performance monitoring will involve collecting soil samples from the base and sidewalls of the remedial excavation to confirm the removal of soil exceeding the remediation goal of three times the cleanup level (Table 2.1) in the southeast portion of the DCI lease area. Performance monitoring activities will include the collection of discrete grab samples from the final limits of the remedial excavations, with the sampling density appropriately tailored to the location and size of the excavation. The confirmatory soil samples will be submitted for analysis of IHSs on a short turnaround to verify whether the remediation goal at the final limits of the remedial excavation has been achieved or to document remaining contaminant mass in portions of the Site that are not accessible (i.e., beneath existing utility or building infrastructure).

### 5.5.3 Confirmation Monitoring

To evaluate groundwater conditions within the remedial excavation area and verify that the selected cleanup action is protective of groundwater at the point of compliance, existing and/or new monitoring wells will be installed at the Site and sampled for IHSs. The exact number and location of the monitoring wells will be determined following completion of remedial actions based on the final dimensions of the excavation area and concurrence by Ecology.

Groundwater within the vicinity of the cleanup action area will be sampled following completion of the excavation. Samples will occur on a quarterly basis (either from retained or newly installed monitoring wells following remedial activities) until cleanup levels are met and then for a minimum of four consecutive quarters to confirm concentrations remain below cleanup levels. Additionally, groundwater in other portions of the Site will be monitored to evaluate contaminant stability and compliance with the cleanup standards. Groundwater samples will be analyzed for groundwater IHSs (Table 2.2), including total and dissolved arsenic and nickel, and cPAHs to ensure that groundwater within and/or downgradient of areas in which contaminated soils remain in place meet the cleanup standards for the Site.

### **5.6 Contingencies**

Because the remedial action includes contained in-place soils, contingency measures are necessary to protect human health and the environment in the event the containment measures fail. Contingency measures will be included in the CMP and will contain the following:

- A section on contingency response actions and the groundwater confirmation monitoring triggers that will initiate a response.
- Contingency response action plan in the event the containment is breached for any reason. Ecology will be consulted, and measures will be taken to immediately stop activities and protect the contained in-place soil from further disturbance. Temporary measures to prevent re-mobilizing IHSs will be implemented until the breach can be fixed.

• Contingency response action plan to address the following. As the site remedy relies on limiting infiltration to prevent mobilization of contaminants into groundwater, activities that are adjacent but offsite will also need to be identified and assessed to determine if the activity will alter the groundwater infiltration and flow rate in a way that compromises the effectiveness of the engineering controls. Additional monitoring to confirm that engineering controls remain effective may be required.

### 5.6 Inadvertent Discovery of Cultural Resources

There is potential for encountering archaeological materials during excavation where native beach deposits are encountered. The cleanup action primarily addressed contaminated fill material, but portions of the excavation may encounter the fill-native interface. Where the excavation reaches the fill-native interface layer, an archeological monitor who meets the Secretary of Interior's qualifications (36 CFR Part 61) will be onsite to observe the excavation activities. If potential archaeological resources are identified during construction, work will be stopped immediately, and the Port and Ecology notified. If it is determined that the discovery is not culturally significant, work activities will resume.

An Inadvertent Discovery Monitoring Plan (IDP) will be developed as part the EDR detailing the procedures required in the event that potential archaeological resources or a suspected discovery is encountered. In general, the following steps shall be taken:

- Stop Work and Protect the Discovery Site. If any agency employee, contractor, or subcontractor believes that he or she has uncovered any cultural resources, all work within a minimum of 30 feet of the discovery ("discovery site") will be stopped to provide for its total security, protection and integrity. The discovery site shall be secured, and vehicles, equipment, and unauthorized personnel will not be permitted to traverse the discovery site. Work may continue in other areas of the project.
- 2. Notify the Port. The agency employee, contractor, or subcontractor individual making the discovery will immediately notify the Port's representative who will in turn, notify the Port of the potential discovery.
- 3. Notify the Project Archaeologist. Immediately following the work stoppage and notification to the Port, the Project Archaeologist will be contacted by the Port.
- 4. **Identify the Find.** The Project Archaeologist, in coordination with the Port, is responsible for ensuring that appropriate steps have been taken to protect the discovery site. The Project Archaeologist will be qualified as a professional archaeologist under the Secretary of Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (as outlined in 36 CFR Part 61). As such, the Project Archaeologist shall be qualified to examine the find to determine if it is of cultural significance. If the discovery is determined not to be of cultural significance, work may proceed at the discovery site with no further delay.

- 5. **Notify Additional Parties.** If the discovery is determined by the Project Archaeologist to be a cultural resource, the Port or its designee will notify Ecology, the DAHP, the Samish Indian Nation, Swinomish Indian Tribal Community and the Lummi Nation. Confidentiality of the find will be maintained by Project leads and their contractors. In the event human remains are identified, law enforcement also will be notified.
- 6. **Obtain Consent to Proceed with Construction.** Construction work will not recommence at the discovery site until an approved treatment has been completed and the Tribes, DAHP, and/or jurisdictional agencies, as appropriate, have provided written or verbal consent to proceed. Treatment is the act of mitigating an adverse effect or a change in a historic property's qualifying characteristics in such a way as to diminish its integrity, or how one goes about implementing the mitigation measure(s) agreed upon in consultation.
- 7. **Submit Treatment Report to DAHP's WISAARD Database.** WISAARD is the state's digital repository for architectural and archaeological resources and reports.

### 5.7 Potential Habitat Restoration Opportunities

Under the Puget Sound Initiative, MTCA cleanup actions are expected, where appropriate, to coincidentally enhance and/or restore habitat. Given the industrial nature of the upland area of the Site and that no critical habitat is present, habitat restoration opportunities have not been identified for the selected cleanup action. Habitat restoration was completed in conjunction with the Port's Project Pier 1 Development which included the Marine Area interim action. Included was the creation of the O Avenue and Wymans restoration sites along the Guemes Channel near to the Site.

### 5.8 Five-Year Review

Because the selected cleanup action described above will result in hazardous substances remaining at the Site at concentrations exceeding cleanup levels, the cleanup level for one or more IHSs is based on a practical quantitation limit as provided for under WAC 173-340-707, and because environmental covenants are included as part of the remedy, Ecology will review the selected cleanup action described in this CAP every 5 years to ensure protection of human health and the environment. Consistent with the requirements of WAC 173-340-420, the 5-year review shall include the following:

- A review of the title of the real property subject to the environmental covenant to verify that the covenant is properly recorded.
- A review of available monitoring data to verify the effectiveness of completed cleanup actions, including engineered caps and institutional controls, in limiting exposure to hazardous substances remaining at the Site.

- A review of new scientific information for individual hazardous substances or mixtures present at the Site.
- A review of new applicable state and federal laws for hazardous substances present at the Site.
- A review of current and projected future land and resource uses at the Site.
- A review of the availability and practicability of more permanent remedies.
- A review of the availability of improved analytical techniques to evaluate compliance with cleanup levels.

Ecology will publish a notice of all periodic reviews in the Site Register and will provide an opportunity for review and comment by the potentially liable persons and the public.

### 6.0 References

- Anchor Environmental, L.L.C. (Anchor). 2004. Sampling and Analysis Data Report, Supplemental Sediment Characterization, Dakota Creek Industries Shipyard Facility/Pier 1 Redevelopment Area, Anacortes, Washington. Prepared for Seattle District, US Army Corps of Engineers. October.
- Ecology. 2021. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Benzo[a]pyrene: Changes to MTCA Default Cleanup Levels for 2017. Supporting material for Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculation (CLARC). Revised July 2021. Floyd|Snider. 2007. Dakota Creek Industries Shipyard Facility, Sediment Sampling Data Report. Prepared for Port of Anacortes. January 3.
- GeoEngineers Inc. (GeoEngineers). 2010. Remedial Investigation Data Report, Dakota Creek Industries, Anacortes, Washington. Prepared for the Port of Anacortes. October 11.
- GeoEngineers Inc. (GeoEngineers). 2014. Email Correspondence RE: Dakota Creek Site: status check meeting and document request & status check/site visit meeting 3/5/2014 February 21.
- GeoEngineers Inc. (GeoEngineers). 2015. Email Correspondence RE: Dakota Creek Shipyard Cleanup April 10.
- GeoEngineers Inc. (GeoEngineers). 2018. Groundwater Monitoring Report, Dakota Creek Industries, Anacortes, Washington, Ecology Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080.
   Prepared for the Washington State Department of Ecology on Behalf of Port of Anacortes. August 6.
- GeoEngineers Inc. (GeoEngineers). 2022a. Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Report, Dakota Creek Industries, Anacortes, Washington, Ecology Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080. Prepared for the Washington State Department of Ecology on Behalf of Port of Anacortes. April 27.
- GeoEngineers Inc. (GeoEngineers). 2022b. Supplemental Soil Investigation Data Report, Dakota Creek Industries, Anacortes, Washington, Ecology Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080.
   Prepared for the Washington State Department of Ecology on Behalf of Port of Anacortes. June 3.
- Landau Associates (Landau). 2002. Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Dakota Creek Industries, Inc. Anacortes, Washington. Prepared for Port of Anacortes. March 20.
- Otten Engineering (Otten). 1997. Phase 2 Environmental Assessment, Dakota Creek Industries Site and Former Wastewater Treatment Plant Site, Port of Anacortes, Anacortes, Washington. Prepared for Port of Anacortes. October 1.

- Science Application International Corporation (SAIC). 2008. Fidalgo Bay Sediment Investigation Data Report, Anacortes, Washington. Prepared for the Washington State Department of Ecology. March 14.
- Weston. 2001. Dakota Creek Industries Shipyard Site Inspection Final Sampling and Quality Assurance Plan. Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Contract No. 68-S0-01-02. June.

## Appendices

### Appendix A. Supplemental Soil Investigation Data Report



C:\Users\lbaldwin\Desktop\Templates\VicinityMap.mxd Date Exported: 02/27/19



5

#### Legend



Dakota Creek Industries (DCI) Property Boundary P32904 Skagit County Parcel Boundary and Number

#### Notes:

- The locations of all features shown are approximate.
   This drawing is for information purposes. It is intended to assist in showing features discussed in an attached document. GeoEngineers, Inc. cannot guarantee the accuracy and content of electronic files. The master file is stored by GeoEngineers, los and will accuracy the official record of this communication Inc. and will serve as the official record of this communication.

Data Source: Aerial from Google Earth Pro dated 8/2011.

Projection: WA State Plane, North Zone, NAD83, US Foot





#### l egend

	Legenu
	Dakota Creek Industries (DCI) Property Boundary
x	Existing Fence
——A——	Compressed Air
C	Comm Line
——E——	Electrical
—— F4 ——	Fireline 4
—— F6 ——	Fireline 6
SS	Sanitary Sewer
SD	Stormwater Drain
W	Water
CW	Cold Water
P	Power
	Catch Basin
0	Manhole
Ć	Outfall
	Gravel
	Concrete/Asphalt Pavement or Asphalt for Building
	Rip Rap
20	Topographic Contour
5	Bathymetric Contour
мнн	Mean Higher High Water (MHHW)

Notes:
 The locations of all features shown are approximate.
 This drawing is for information purposes. It is intended to assist in showing features discussed in an attached document. GeoEngineers, Inc. cannot guarantee the accuracy and content of electronic files. The master file is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official record of this communication.

Data Source: Aerial from Google Earth Pro dated 8/2011. Projection: Horizontal Datum: WA State Plane, North Zone, NAD83, US Foot Vertical Datum: Mean Low Low Water (MLLW)





#### **Current Property Layout and Features**

Dakota Creek Industries Anacortes, Washington



Figure 1.3



#### Legend

Dakota Creek Industries (DCI) Property Boundary

**Existing Fence** 

- Catch Basin
- Sewer Manhole
- Storm Manhole
- Gravel
  - Concrete
  - Rip Rap

Approximate Synchrolift Dredge Limits

**Elevation Contour** 

Approximate Footprint of Historical Structures -Labels Indicate Function and Time Period in Existence.

Sanitary Sewer

#### Notes:

- The locations of all features shown are approximate. This drawing is for information purposes. It is intended to 1.
- 2. assist in showing features discussed in an attached document. GeoEngineers, Inc. cannot guarantee the accuracy and content of electronic files. The master file is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official record of this communication.

Data Source: AutoCAD drawing entitled "Existing Conditions and Project Control", file name 064065.01-1.14.dwg, by PND Engineers, Inc., dated September 2007.

Aerial from Google Earth Pro dated 9/6/2006.

#### Projection:

Horizontal Datum: WA State Plane, North Zone, NAD83, US Foot Vertical Datum: Mean Low Low Water (MLLW)



#### **Historical Property Layout and Features**

**Dakota Creek Industries** Anacortes, Washington

GEOENGINEERS

Figure 2.1





#### Notes:

 The locations of all features shown are approximate.
 This drawing is for information purposes. It is intended to assist in showing features discussed in an attached document. GeoEngineers, Inc. cannot guarantee the accuracy and content of electronic files. The master file is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official record of this communication.

Data Source: AutoCAD drawing entitled "Existing Conditions and Project Control", file name 064065.01-1.14.dwg, by PND Engineers, Inc., dated September 2007. Aerial from Google Earth Pro dated 9/6/2006.

Projection: WA State Plane, North Zone, NAD83, US Foot





#### Marine Area Sediment Sampling Locations

Dakota Creek Industries Anacortes, Washington

GEOENGINEERS

Figure 2.2












bgs = below ground surface













## Table 4.1

Cleanup Action Alternative Descriptions Dakota Creek Industries

Anacortes, Washington

			Cleanup Action Alternative Components									
Matrix	Contaminants of Concern (COCs)	Cleanup Action Objectives (CAOs)	Alternative 1 - Containment and Compliance Monitoring	Alternative 2 - Partial Source Area Removal	Alternative 3 - Source Area In Situ Treatment	Alternative 4 - Source Area Removal	Alternative 5 - Site-Wide In Situ Treatment	Alternative 6 - Site-Wide Removal				
Soil and Groundwater	<ul> <li>Arsenic</li> <li>Nickel</li> <li>Total cPAH TEQ</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prevent contact (dermal or incidental ingestion) by workers, visitors and other Site users with hazardous substances in soil and groundwater.</li> <li>Prevent leaching of hazardous substances through the soil column to groundwater.</li> <li>Prevent contact (dermal or incidental ingestion) by aquatic receptors to impacted groundwater that may discharge to the Marine Area resulting in acute or chronic effects.</li> <li>Prevent the ingestion of aquatic organisms affected by the discharge of impacted groundwater to the Marine Area by higher trophic level ecological receptors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintenance of existing physical containment barriers including surface pavement and sheet pile bulkhead to prevent stormwater infiltration and contaminant leaching/ migration through the soil column as well as to provide a physical barrier to prevent direct contact to Site COCs.</li> <li>Installation of new physical containment barrier (i.e., asphalt/concrete pavement) to further prevent stormwater infiltration and contaminant leaching/migration through the soil column as well as to provide a physical barrier to prevent direct contact to Site COCs.</li> <li>Compliance Groundwater Monitoring</li> <li>Institutional Controls</li> <li>Annual Cap Inspection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Asphalt demolition, soil removal and offsite disposal of COCs in the southwest Source Area generally centered around location SB-12.</li> <li>Verification Soil Sampling</li> <li>Site Restoration</li> <li>Maintenance of existing physical containment barriers including surface pavement and sheet pile bulkhead to prevent stormwater infiltration and contaminant leaching/ migration through the soil column as well as to provide a physical barrier to prevent direct contact to Site COCs.</li> <li>Compliance Groundwater Monitoring</li> <li>Institutional Controls</li> <li>Annual Cap Inspection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Installation of new physical containment barrier (i.e., asphalt/concrete pavement) to further prevent stormwater infiltration and contaminant leaching/migration through the soil column as well as to provide a physical barrier to prevent direct contact to Site COCs.</li> <li>Maintenance of existing physical containment barriers including surface pavement and sheet pile bulkhead to prevent stormwater infiltration and contaminant leaching/ migration through the soil column as well as to provide a physical barrier to prevent direct contact to Site COCs.</li> <li>In situ soil treatment through injection of chemical reagents to immobilize/treat COCs in Source Areas generally centered around locations SB-12, GEI-17 and GEI-22.</li> <li>Institutional Controls</li> <li>Performance/Compliance Groundwater Monitoring</li> <li>Institutional Controls</li> <li>Annual Cap Inspection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Asphalt demolition, soil removal and offsite disposal of COCs in Source Areas generally centered around SB-12, GEI-17 and GEI-22.</li> <li>Verification Soil Sampling</li> <li>Site Restoration</li> <li>Maintenance of existing physical containment barriers including surface pavement and sheet pile bulkhead to prevent stormwater infiltration and contaminant leaching/ migration through the soil column as well as to provide a physical barrier to prevent direct contact to Site COCs.</li> <li>Compliance Groundwater Monitoring</li> <li>Institutional Controls</li> <li>Annual Cap Inspection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Installation of new physical containment barrier (i.e., asphalt/concrete pavement) to further prevent stormwater infiltration and contaminant leaching/migration through the soil column as well as to provide a physical barrier to prevent direct contact to Site COCs.</li> <li>Maintenance of existing physical containment barriers including surface pavement and sheet pile bulkhead to prevent stormwater infiltration and contaminant leaching/ migration through the soil column as well as to provide a physical barrier to prevent direct contact to Site COCs.</li> <li>In situ soil treatment through injection of chemical reagents to immobilize/treat COCs throughout the Site.</li> <li>Performance/Compliance Groundwater Monitoring</li> <li>Institutional Controls</li> <li>Annual Cap Inspection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Asphalt demolition, soil removal and offsite disposal of COCs throughout the Site.</li> <li>Verification Soil Sampling</li> <li>Site Restoration</li> <li>Compliance Groundwater Monitoring</li> </ul>				
	E	stimated Alternative Cost (+50%/-30%) <sup>1</sup>	\$1,180,000	\$2,120,000	\$2,610,000	\$4,390,000	\$7,030,000	\$15,060,000				
	Estimated Volume	of Contaminated Soil Removed/Treated	N/A	3,600 bcy	9,000 bcy	9,000 bcy	46,500 bcy	46,500 bcy				
		Estimated Restoration Time frame	1-2 Years <sup>2</sup>	1-2 Years <sup>2</sup>	2-3 Years <sup>2</sup>	2-3 Years <sup>2</sup>	3-4 Years <sup>2</sup>	3-4 Years <sup>2</sup>				

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Alternative cost estimates are presented in Appendix A.

<sup>2</sup> Compliance groundwater monitoring is expected to occur over a 5 year time frame (minimum). Additional long-term monitoring may be required to verify compliance with cleanup standards.

cPAH = Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

TEQ = Toxicity Equivalence

COC = Contaminant of Concern

bcy = bank (in-place) cubic yards

% = percent

N/A = Not Applicable

GEOENGINEERS

# Table 4.2

## Evaluation of Cleanup Action Alternatives Dakota Creek Industries Feasibility Report Anacortes, Washington

Evaluation Criteria	Alternative 1 - Containment and Compliance Monitoring	Alternative 2 - Partial Source Area Removal						
Compliance with MTCA Threshold Crite	eria							
Protection of Human Health and the Environment	<b>Yes</b> - Alternative would protect human health and the environment through a combination of containment technologies and institutional controls.	<b>Yes</b> - Alternative would protect human health and the environment through a combination of source area removal, containment technologies, and institutional controls.	Yes - Alternat combination institutional c					
Compliance With Cleanup Standards	<b>Yes</b> - Alternative is expected to comply with cleanup standards. This alternative utilizes containment technologies and institutional controls to prevent exposure to contaminants in the subsurface. Compliance would rely on long-term monitoring and maintenance of institutional controls. Future development of property could potentially require additional environmental cleanup or special provisions.	<b>Yes</b> - Alternative is expected to comply with cleanup standards. This alternative utilizes partial source area removal, containment technologies, and institutional controls to prevent exposure to contaminants in the subsurface. Compliance would rely on long-term monitoring and maintenance of institutional controls. Future development of property could potentially require additional environmental cleanup or special provisions.	Yes - Alternat alternative ut institutional o Compliance v institutional o require additi					
Compliance With Applicable State and Federal Regulations	Yes - Alternative complies with applicable state and federal regulations.	Yes - Alternative complies with applicable state and federal regulations.	Yes - Alternat					
Provision for Compliance Monitoring	Yes - Alternative includes provisions for compliance monitoring.	Yes - Alternative includes provisions for compliance monitoring.	<b>Yes</b> - Alternat					
Restoration Time Frame			<u></u>					
Restoration Time Frame	A significant portion of the containment barriers are currently in place. Additional containment in the form of asphalt paving of existing gravel surfaces is expected to occur over a 1-2 year period. Monitoring of containment elements (i.e., asphalt/concrete pavement and sheet pile wall) and groundwater conditions to document compliance with cleanup objectives. Compliance groundwater monitoring is expected to occur over a 5 year time frame (minimum). Additional long-term monitoring may be required to verify compliance with cleanup standards.	A significant portion of the containment barriers are currently in place. The removal of COCs in southeast source area followed by restoration is expected to occur over a 1-2 year period. Monitoring of containment elements (i.e., existing asphalt/concrete pavement and sheet pile wall) and groundwater conditions Site to document compliance with cleanup objectives. Compliance groundwater monitoring in portions of the Site containing residual contamination is expected to occur over a 5 year time frame (minimum). Additional long-term monitoring may be required to verify compliance with cleanup standards.	A significant p Additional con surfaces and are expected may be neces asphalt/conc to document monitoring is Additional lon cleanup stand					
Relative Benefits Ranking (Scored from	n 1-lowest to 10-highest)							
	Score = 2	Score = 6						
Protectiveness	Achieves a moderate-low level of protectiveness as all portions of the Site containing COCs receive a protective containment barriers under this alternative to prevent potential human exposure and/or stormwater infiltration. However, contamination will be left onsite throughout the uplands in a heavy industrial and active site.	Achieves a moderate-high level of protectiveness s this alternative improves overall environmental quality onsite by removing the source area with elevated contaminant levels in soil and groundwater greater than the PCULs in the eastern portion of the Site. The remaining residual contamination will utilize existing asphalt and sheetpile wall barriers to prevent worker exposure under this alternative. Short-term on-site and off-site risk of exposure are slightly increased due to removal action and off-site disposal of contaminated soil over	Achieves a mo containing CC alternative. Au improves ove identified Sou under this alt Alternative 2.					

#### Alternative 3 - Source Area In Situ Treatment

tive would protect human health and the environment through a of source area in situ treatment, containment technologies, and controls.

tive is expected to comply with cleanup standards. This tilizes in situ treatment and containment technologies, and controls to prevent exposure to contaminants in the subsurface. would rely on long-term monitoring and maintenance of controls. Future development of property could potentially ional environmental cleanup or special provisions.

tive complies with applicable state and federal regulations.

tive includes provisions for compliance monitoring.

portion of the containment barriers are currently in place. Intainment in the form of asphalt paving of existing gravel I the injection of chemical reagents to treat source areas COCs I to occur over a 2-3 year period. More than one injection event ssary. Monitoring of containment elements (i.e.,

crete pavement and sheet pile wall) and groundwater conditions compliance with cleanup objectives. Compliance groundwater expected to occur over a 5 year time frame (minimum). ng-term monitoring may be required to verify compliance with dards.

#### Score = 5

noderate level of protectiveness as all portions of the Site OCs receive a protective containment barrier under this Achieves a higher score then Alternative 1 since this alternative erall environmental quality through in situ treatment of COCs in urce Areas. However, there is no contaminant mass removal ternative, therefore receives a slightly lower score than .



Evaluation Criteria	Alternative 1 - Containment and Compliance Monitoring	Alternative 2 - Partial Source Area Removal	Alternative 3 - Source Area In Situ Treatment		
	Score = 2	Score = 6	Score = 5		
Permanence	Achieves a low level of permanence since COCs remain in-place and/or untreated. Alternative 1 relies on the installation of additional pavement combined with other technologies to reduce the mobility of COCs.	Achieves a moderate level of permanence. The alternative receives a higher score as compared to Alternative 1 and 3 due to the removal and off-site disposal of COCs which provides a relatively higher level reduction in the toxicity, mobility or volume of COCs.	Achieves a moderate level of permanence since under this alternative due to the treatment/stabilization of COCs in Source Areas through in situ technologies combined with other technologies to reduce the toxicity, mobility or volume of COCs. However the site is located along the shoreline of a marine system and it is relying on effective monitoring of the cap to remain in place and undamaged in a heavy industrial site. Therefore receives a lower score than Alternative 2.		
	Score = 2	Score = 6	Score = 6		
Long-Term Effectiveness	Provides a level of certainty in long-term effectiveness as all areas containing COCs receive a protective impermeable cap, which reduces exposure risk and contaminant leaching from vadose to saturated zone. However, relies on diligence of entity where history of leadership changes, frequent leasee modifications, and heavy industrial activity damage has been shown to alter priorities and increase risk of exposure. This puts a heavy and unidentified cost on PLP related to approval under the EC, reporting and repair costs along with potential contaminant release while exposed.	Provides a higher level of certainty in long-term effectiveness over Alternative 1 due to the permanent removal of COCs in the southeastern Source Area. However, it requires the central and western portions of the upland to remain under institutional controls which needs to be monitored in a heavy industrial and active site.	Provides a moderate level of certainty in long-term effectiveness. Slightly higher score than Alternative 2 is achieved due to in situ treatment of COCs within each of the Source Areas. However, it received a lower score than Alternative 4 as decreasing metals mobility through in situ reduction can be reversed under certain conditions.		
	Score = 8	Score = 7	Score = 8		
Management of Short-Term Risks	Achieves a high level of confidence in managing short-term risk to human health and environment since this alternative involves construction of pavement. Exposure risk to Site COCs during pavement construction is low to negligible.	Achieves a moderate level of confidence in managing short-term risk due to degree of health and safety risks associated with heavy earthwork construction, and potential for exposure to COCs during removal, on-site management, transport and disposal of contaminated material. Receives a slightly higher score than Alternative 4 due to the lesser degree of soil disturbance.	Achieves a moderate-high level of confidence in managing short-term risk as there is some potential for exposure to contamination during in-situ injection of reagents as well as other construction related risks. Since in situ treatment is limited to the Source Areas, the short-term risk are limited.		
	Score = 7	Score = 6	Score = 6		
Technical and Administrative Implementability	Achieves a high level of implementability since this alternative involves construction of an asphalt cap, which is a proven remedial technology.	Achieves a moderate level of implementability due to the design and coordination associated with implementation of soil removal. Implementation will be challenging since it will likely impact current site use at the property.	Achieves a moderate level of implementability due to the design and coordination associated with implementation of in situ treatment technologies. Implementation will be challenging since it may impact current site use at the Property.		
	Score = 3	Score = 7	Score = 6		
Consideration of Public Concerns	Residual contamination remaining in place below containment features could result in concerns by the public and nearby property owners and potentially affect the future development and Site use. However, the further addition of asphalt pavement to reduce the potential for contaminant migration and exposure would slightly reduce public concerns.	Residual contamination remaining in place below containment features could result in concerns by the public and nearby property owners and potentially affect the future development and Site use. However, the removal of source material to reduce the potential for contaminant migration and exposure would reduce public concerns.	Residual contamination remaining in place below containment features could result in concerns by the public and nearby property owners and potentially affect the future development and Site use. However, the further addition of asphalt pavement and in situ treatment of source areas to reduce the potential for contaminant migration and exposure would reduce public concerns.		
Notes: COC = Contaminant of Concern MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act					



# **Table 4.2**

## Evaluation of Cleanup Action Alternatives Dakota Creek Industries Feasibility Report Anacortes, Washington

Evaluation Criteria	Alternative 4 - Source Area Removal	Alternative 5 - Site-Wide In Situ Treatment	
Compliance with MTCA Threshold Crite	ria		
Protection of Human Health and the Environment	<b>Yes</b> - Alternative would protect human health and the environment through a combination of source area removal, containment technologies, and institutional controls.	<b>Yes</b> - Alternative would protect human health and the environment through a combination of site-wide in situ treatment, containment technologies, and institutional controls.	<b>Yes</b> - Alternat complete sou
Compliance With Cleanup Standards	<b>Yes</b> - Alternative is expected to comply with cleanup standards. This alternative utilizes source area removal, containment technologies, and institutional controls to prevent exposure to contaminants in the subsurface. Compliance would rely on long-term monitoring and maintenance of institutional controls. Future development of property could potentially require additional environmental cleanup or special provisions.	<b>Yes</b> - Alternative is expected to comply with cleanup standards. This alternative utilizes site-wide in situ treatment, containment technologies, and institutional controls to prevent exposure to contaminants in the subsurface. Compliance would rely on long-term monitoring and maintenance of institutional controls. Future development of property could potentially require additional environmental cleanup or special provisions.	<b>Yes</b> - Alternat extent practio disposal.
Compliance With Applicable State and Federal Regulations	Yes - Alternative complies with applicable state and federal regulations.	Yes - Alternative complies with applicable state and federal regulations.	Yes - Alternat
Provision for Compliance Monitoring	Yes - Alternative includes provisions for compliance monitoring.	Yes - Alternative includes provisions for compliance monitoring.	Yes - Alternat
Restoration Time Frame			_
Restoration Time Frame	A significant portion of the containment barriers are currently in place. Additional containment in the form of asphalt paving of existing gravel surfaces and the removal of COCs in identified source areas followed by restoration are expected to occur over a 2-3 year period. Monitoring of containment elements (i.e., asphalt/concrete pavement and sheet pile wall) and groundwater conditions to document compliance with cleanup objectives. Compliance groundwater monitoring to evaluate residual contamination in other portions of the Site is expected to occur over a 5 year time frame (minimum). Additional long-term monitoring may be required to verify compliance with cleanup standards.	A significant portion of the containment barriers are currently in place. The injection of chemical reagents to treat COCs Site-wide are expected to occur over a 3-4 year period. In situ treatment activities may require phasing during implementation to reduce disturbances to the DCl operations as well as more than one injection event if necessary. Monitoring of containment elements (i.e., asphalt/ concrete pavement and sheet pile wall) and groundwater conditions to document compliance with cleanup objectives. Compliance groundwater monitoring is expected to occur over a 5 year time frame (minimum). Additional long-term monitoring may be required to verify compliance with cleanup standards.	Complete ren occur over a implementati groundwater expected to c
Relative Benefits Ranking (Scored from	n 1-lowest to 10-highest)		
	Score = 7	Score = 8	
Protectiveness	Achieves a moderate-high level of protectiveness as all portion of the Site containing COCs receive a protective containment barrier under this alternative. Therefore, this alternative receives a slightly higher score than Alternative 2. This alternative improves overall environmental quality onsite by removing COCs in Source Areas through removal. Similar to Alternative 2, short-term on-site and off-site risk of exposure are increased due to removal action and off-site disposal.	Achieves a high level of protectiveness as all COCs are treated/stabilized through in-situ treatment. Overall environmental quality on Site is increased as well as exposure risk to contamination are reduced to high degree under this alternative. No risk of exposure off-site as contamination is not removed.	Achieves a hi thereby incre degree. Howe increased du slightly highe

#### Alternative 6 - Site-Wide Removal

tive would protect human health and the environment through urce removal.

tive is expected to comply with cleanup standards to the greatest cable. All contaminant exceedance will be removed for offsite

tive complies with applicable state and federal regulations.

tive includes provisions for compliance monitoring.

moval of COCs Site-wide followed by restoration are expected to 3-4 year period. Removal activities may require phasing during ion to reduce disturbances to the DCl operations. Compliance monitoring to verify the effectiveness of the cleanup action is occur over a 1-2 year period following removal.

#### Score = 9

igh level of protectiveness as all COCs are removed from the site easing the overall environmental quality on site to the highest ever, short-term on-site and off-site risk of exposure are to removal action and off-site disposal. Therefore gets a er score than Alternative 5.



Evaluation Criteria	Alternative 4 - Source Area Removal	Alternative 5 - Site-Wide In Situ Treatment	Alternative 6 - Site-Wide Removal
	Score = 7	Score = 8	Score = 10
Permanence	Achieves a moderate-high level of permanence. The alternative receives a higher score due to the removal and off-site disposal of Source Area COCs which provides a relatively higher level reduction in the toxicity, mobility or volume of COCs than Alternative 3.	Achieves a high level of permanence by reducing toxicity, mobility and volume of COCs through Site-wide in-situ treatment of all COCs.	Achieves highest level of permanent reduction of mass, toxicity, and mobility of hazardous substances throughout the Site through removal and off-site permitted disposal. This alternative would eliminate/minimize to the need to perform additional cleanup actions.
	Score = 7	Score = 8	Score = 10
Long-Term Effectiveness	Provides a high level of certainty in long-term effectiveness due to the permanent removal of COCs in the Source Areas in addition to other technologies implemented similar to Alternative 2. However, it requires the entire upland to remain under institutional controls which needs to be monitored in a heavy industrial and active site.	Provides a high level of certainty in long-term effectiveness due to the site- wide treatment/stabilization of COCs. However, it requires the entire upland to remain under institutional controls which needs to be monitored in a heavy industrial and active site.	Achieves highest level of long-term effectiveness through removal of hazardous substances from the Site to the greatest degree feasible and utilizes approved off-site disposal facilities for final disposition
	Score = 5	Score = 4	Score = 3
Management of Short-Term Risks	Achieves a moderate level of confidence in managing short-term risk due to degree of health and safety risks associated with heavy earthwork construction, and potential for exposure to COCs during removal, on-site management, transport and disposal of contaminated material.	Achieves a moderate-high level of confidence in managing short-term risk as there is some potential for exposure to contamination during in-situ injection of reagents as well as other construction related risks. Since in situ treatment is to be performed site-wide under this alternative, the short-term risk are higher than the risk associated with in situ treatment of Source Areas under Alternative 3. Therefore is scored slightly lower than Alternative 3.	Achieves a low level of confidence in managing short-term risk due to degree of health and safety risks associated with heavy earthwork construction, and potential for exposure to COCs during removal, on-site management, transport and disposal of contaminated material. Achieves a lower score than Alternative 4 due to higher volume of contaminated material that will be removed under this alternative.
	Score = 6	Score = 5	Score = 4
Technical and Administrative Implementability	Achieves a moderate level of implementability due to the design and coordination associated with implementation of soil removal. Implementation will be challenging since it will likely impact current site use at the property.	Achieves a low-moderate level of implementability due to the design and coordination associated with implementation of in situ treatment technologies. Receives a lower score than Alternative 3 since the extent of in situ treatment is larger making implementation more challenging.	Achieves a low-moderate level of implementability due to the design and coordination associated with implementation of soil removal. Receives a lower score than Alternative 4 since the extent of soil removal is larger making implementation more challenging.
	Score = 7	Score = 9	Score = 8
Consideration of Public Concerns	Residual contamination remaining in place below containment features could result in concerns by the public and nearby property owners and potentially affect the future development and Site use. However, the further addition of asphalt pavement and removal of source areas to reduce the potential for contaminant migration and exposure would reduce public concerns.	Site-wide in situ treatment to reduce the potential for contaminant migration and exposure would produce minimum public concerns. However, there may be public concern for the temporary disruptions to Site operations and increased traffic resulting from construction activities. However, long-term public concerns are expected to be low.	Soil contamination would be removed to the extent practical under this alterative. However, there may be public concern for the temporary disruptions to Site operations, increased traffic resulting from construction activities and potential spills during transport of contaminated soil to the landfill. However, long-term public concerns are expected to be low.

Notes:

COC = Contaminant of Concern



# Table 4.3

#### Cleanup Action Alternative Evaluation Summary and Ranking Dakota Creek Industries Anacortes, Washington

Remedial Alternative	Alternative 1 - Containment and Compliance Monitoring	Alternative 2 - Partial Source Area Removal	Alternative 3 - Source Area In Situ Treatment	Alternative 4 - Source Area Removal	Alternative 5 - Site-Wide In Situ Treatment	Alternative 6 - Site-Wide Removal
Evaluation						
Compliance with MTCA Threshold Criteria	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Restoration Time Frame	1-2 Years <sup>1</sup>	1-2 Years <sup>1</sup>	2-3 Years <sup>1</sup>	2-3 Years <sup>1</sup>	3-4 Years <sup>1</sup>	3-4 Years
Estimated Volume of Contaminated Soil Removed/Treated	N/A	3,600 bcy	9,000 bcy	9,000 bcy	46,500 bcy	46,500 bcy
Relative Benefits Ranking <sup>2</sup>						-
Protectiveness (weighted as 30%)	0.6	1.8	1.5	2.1	2.4	2.7
Permanence (weighted as 20%)	0.4	1.2	1	1.4	1.6	2
Long-Term Effectiveness (weighted as 20%)	0.4	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.6	2
Management of Short-Term Risks (weighted as 10%)	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.3
Technical and Administrative Implementability (weighted as 10%)	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4
Consideration of Public Concerns (weighted as 10%)	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.8
Overall Weighted Benefit Score	3.20	6.20	5.70	6.70	7.40	8.20
Disproportionate Cost Analysis						•
Probable Remedy Cost (+50%/-30%, rounded)	\$1,180,000	\$2,120,000	\$2,610,000	\$4,390,000	\$7,030,000	\$15,060,000
Practicability of Remedy	Practicable	Practicable	Practicable	Practicable	Practicable	Practicable
Remedy Permanent to Maximum Extent Practicable	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Relative Benefit Ranking to Remedial Cost (Benefit/\$1M)	2.71	2.92	2.18	1.53	1.05	0.54
Costs Disproportionate to Incremental Benefits	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Overall Alternative Ranking	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>
				•		

Note:

<sup>1</sup>Compliance groundwater monitoring is expected to occur over a 5 year time frame (minimum). Additional long-term monitoring may be required to verify compliance with cleanup standards.

 $^2$  Weightings were established by Ecology as referenced in their Opinion Letter dated December 28, 2009.

MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act

bcy = bank (in-place) cubic yards

% = percent



# Appendices

# Appendix A. Supplemental Soil Investigation Data Report

June 2021

## **Supplemental Soil Investigation Data Report**

Dakota Creek Industries Anacortes, Washington Ecology Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080

#### for

Washington State Department of Ecology on Behalf of Port of Anacortes

June 3, 2021

# GEOENGINEERS

2101 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 950 Seattle, Washington 206.728.2674

# **Supplemental Soil Investigation Data Report**

# Dakota Creek Industries Anacortes, Washington Ecology Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080

File No. 5147-006-14

June 3, 2021

Prepared for:

Washington State Department of Ecology PO Box 47600 Olympia, Washington 98504-7600

Attention: Arianne Fernandez

On Behalf of:

Port of Anacortes 100 Commercial Avenue Anacortes, Washington 98221

Prepared by:

GeoEngineers, Inc. 2101 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 950 Seattle, Washington 98121 206.728.2674

Robert S. Trahan, LG Senior Environmental Scientist John M. Herzog, PhD, LG Principal

RST:JMH:ch

Disclaimer: Any electronic form, facsimile or hard copy of the original document (email, text, table, and/or figure), if provided, and any attachments are only a copy of the original document. The original document is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official document of record.



# **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	BACKGROUND	1
2.1.	Location and Description	1
2.3.	Previous Upland Area Cleanup Actions	2
3.0	SUPPLEMENTAL SOIL INVESTIGATION	2
3.1. 3.2	Underground Utility Locate	3 3
3.3.	Soil Sample Collection and Processing	3
3.4.	Soil Sample Laboratory Analysis	4
3.5.	Decontamination	4
3.6.	Disposal of Investigation Derived Materials	4
4.0	SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS	4
5.0	CULTURAL RESOURCE MONITORING	5
6.0	CONCLUSIONS	5
7.0	LIMITATIONS	5
8.0	REFERENCES	5

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Summary of Field Screening and Chemcial Analytical Data

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Vicinity Map Figure 2. Site Plan

#### APPENDICES

Appendix A. Boring Logs Appendix B. Laboraotry Data Packages Appendix C. Data Validation Report



#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This document provides the data results for the Supplemental Soil Investigation completed at the Dakota Creek Industries Site (Site) located in Anacortes, Washington. The Site is formally referenced in the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) databases as Anacortes Port of Dakota Creek (Ecology Facility Site Identification No. 2670) and is located at 115 Q Avenue in Anacortes, Washington (Figure 1). The Site is owned by the Port of Anacortes (Port) and is currently leased to Dakota Creek Industries (DCI) who uses the property for shipbuilding and repair. Ecology is managing the Site as part of the Fidalgo and Padilla Bay component of their Puget Sound Initiative program.

Pursuant to Ecology Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080 dated December 2007 (A0), remedial investigation (RI) and Feasibility Study (FS) activities are being completed by the Port to evaluate Site conditions, supplement and fill identified data gaps, determine the nature and extent of contamination in sediment, soil and groundwater and determine cleanup alternatives for the Site. Results of previous sampling and analysis efforts, evaluation of remedial alternatives and selection of a preferred cleanup action for the Site are summarized in the RI/FS Report (GeoEngineers 2020).

On completion of their review of the RI/FS Report, Ecology determined that additional sampling and analysis was required in the Upland Area to confirm the completeness of previously completed cleanup actions because the technical quality of verification sampling data could not be independently validated.

The sample collection and chemical analysis data described below are being used to fill this identified data gap and confirm the completeness of the previously completed cleanup actions.

#### 2.0 BACKGROUND

#### 2.1. Location and Description

The Site is located at 115 Q Avenue in Anacortes, Washington and is an industrial facility used as a shipyard. The Site is comprised of both upland and marine areas and is bounded by the Port of Anacortes Pier 1 to the west and Pier 2 to the east, 3<sup>rd</sup> Street on the south, and the Guemes Channel to the north.

DCI currently leases the Site from the Port for shipbuilding and maintenance operations. The Site includes a portion of the Port's Pier 1 Marine Terminal (Pier 1), a centrally located outfitting dock (Central Pier), a synchrolift, upland fabrication areas, shops, a sandblast grit storage shed, warehouses and storage areas. The northern portion of Pier 1 (which is a deep-water moorage terminal) is used by DCI to support dry dock operations.

#### **2.2. Regulatory Framework**

On December 12, 2007, the Port entered Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080 with Ecology. Under the Agreed Order, RI activities were completed by the Port in accordance with the Ecology-approved RI/FS Work Plan to supplement and fill identified data gaps in existing data for the Site, and to determine the nature and extent of contamination in sediment, soil and groundwater. Environmental data collected from the Site is used in the RI and FS as required by the AO.



As a result of their review of the Draft RI/FS for the Site, Ecology required completion of supplemental sampling by the Port to confirm the completeness of previously completed 1991 UST cleanup action, 2001 Hydraulic Winch cleanup action, and 2002 Petroleum and Marine Railway Cleanup. The supplemental sampling was completed in accordance with the Ecology-approved RI/FS Work Plan Addendum (GeoEngineers, 2021). The results of the Supplemental Soil Investigation are being used to confirm the completeness of these previous cleanup actions and to support selection of the preferred cleanup action alternative for the Site.

#### 2.3. Previous Upland Area Cleanup Actions

Cleanup actions previously completed in the Upland Area of the Site include:

- 1991 UST Cleanup Action In 1991, two USTs located near the south end of L dock were removed from the Site for permanent closure. During the removal of these tanks, approximately 20 cubic yards of petroleum impacted soil was removed from this area and transferred from the Site for landfill disposal. Verification samples at the final excavation limits were obtained to confirm the removal of the petroleum impacted soil observed during tank removal activities.
- 2001 Hydraulic Winch Cleanup Action In 2001, a hydraulic winch and its timber frame located near the south end of the east marine railway were removed from the Site. During removal of this structure and associate components, approximately 30 cubic yards of petroleum impacted soil were excavated and transferred from the Site for landfill disposal. Verification samples at the final excavation limits were obtained to confirm the removal of the petroleum impacted soil observed during removal of the hydraulic winch and associated timber frame.
- 2002 Petroleum and Marine Railway Cleanup Actions In 2002, the Port completed cleanup actions to address known soil contamination in the Petroleum Cleanup Action Area extending from the aluminum shop (building formerly identified as the equipment maintenance shed) to the former bulk fuel storage above ground storage tanks; and the Marine Railway Cleanup Action Area located near the eastern marine railway structure. Cleanup actions to remove soil contamination (approximately 1,650 cubic yards) in these areas were completed under Ecology's Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). Verification samples at the final excavation limits were obtained to confirm the removal of the petroleum impacted soil form these areas.

Although verification sampling completed as part of these previous cleanup actions confirmed the removal of the petroleum-related contamination from these areas, the technical quality of these data could not be verified due to the unavailability of the original laboratory data. As a result, Ecology has requested that additional sampling and analysis be performed for these areas to confirm the removal of the petroleum-related contamination and that the data be used to support selection of the preferred remedial alternative for the Site.

#### **3.0 SUPPLEMENTAL SOIL INVESTIGATION**

Additional investigation activities were completed in the Upland Area to further characterize soil conditions on March 18, 2021. As part of the investigation, sampling was performed within the footprint of the previously completed remedial excavations at the Site which extended to depths greater than 1 foot (i.e., remedial excavations extending beneath the former gravel working surface). In general, soil samples were collected from immediately below the base of the previous remedial excavations to match the previous



verification sample interval. The samples that were collected were submitted to an Ecology-accredited laboratory for analysis of contaminants of concern (COCs) previously identified for these areas including gasoline-, diesel- and heavy oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons and/or cPAHs.

Soil sampling and analysis activities included:

- Completion of seven (7) continuous soil borings using direct-push drilling (DP) methods to document soil conditions.
- Collection of soil samples for chemical analysis representative of the previous remedial excavation limit.

The locations of the previous cleanup action areas and sample locations to verify the completeness of the removal actions are shown on Figure 2. Sample locations were positioned within the previously completed remedial excavation footprints to provide adequate spatial coverage as well as to avoid utility conflicts and limit impacts to DCI operations. Soil sample collection and analysis completed as part of this supplemental investigation are summarized in the following sections.

#### **3.1. Underground Utility Locate**

Prior to drilling, an underground utility locate was performed to clear potential utilities and/or underground physical hazards within a 25-foot radius of each location.

#### 3.2. Surveying

GeoEngineers field personnel recorded the soil boring locations, and other pertinent information, using hand-held Trimble global positioning system (GPS) unit during sampling activities. The accuracy of the measured horizontal coordinates is within approximately 3 feet.

#### 3.3. Soil Sample Collection and Processing

Soil borings were completed to depths ranging between approximately 10 and 15 feet below ground surface (bgs) using a truck-mounted DP drilling rig owned and operated by a licensed driller in the State of Washington (Cascade Drilling). During drilling activities, a representative from GeoEngineers' staff was present to examine, field screen and classify the soils encountered and prepare a detailed boring log of each exploration. Boring logs detailing field screening results and soil types encountered are presented in Appendix A.

Based on the field screening results, soil types encountered and the estimated depth of the previous remedial excavations, selected samples were collected at each location to match the previous verification sample intervals. The samples were individually homogenized and placed into the appropriate laboratory-supplied sample containers. Samples for volatile analysis (i.e., gasoline) were collected from undisturbed soil at the center of the sampling interval prior to homogenization using United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 5035A sampling procedures, consistent with Ecology guidance to reduce volatilization and biodegradation of the sample constituents. Immediately upon collection, the samples were placed into a cooler with ice and logged on the chain-of-custody using quality assurance and control procedures in accordance with the RI/FS Work Plan (GeoEngineers 2008).



#### **3.4. Soil Sample Laboratory Analysis**

Soil samples were submitted to OnSite Environmental, Inc. (OnSite) in Redmond, Washington, for a combination of the following chemical analysis:

- Gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons by NWTPH-Gx.
- Heavy oil- and diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons by NWTPH-Dx using an acid-silica gel cleanup.
- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) by EPA 8260.
- cPAHs by EPA 8270-SIM.

#### **3.5. Decontamination**

The drilling equipment was decontaminated before beginning each exploration using a pressure washer. Decontamination procedures for this equipment consisted of the following:

- Wash with non-phosphate detergent solution (Liqui-Nox<sup>®</sup> and distilled water),
- Rinse with distilled water, and
- Place the decontaminated equipment on clean plastic sheeting or in a plastic bag.

Field personnel limited cross-contamination by changing gloves between sampling events. Wash water used to decontaminate the sampling equipment was placed in a sealed and labeled 35-gallon drum pending permitted offsite disposal.

#### **3.6. Disposal of Investigation Derived Materials**

Soil cuttings from borings completed during this investigation was placed in a labeled and sealed 35-gallon drum. The drum is being stored temporarily at a secure location pending permitted offsite disposal.

Incidental waste generated during sampling activities includes items such as gloves, plastic sheeting, paper towels and similar expended and discarded field supplies were considered *de minimis* and were disposed of at local trash receptacle pending landfill disposal.

#### **4.0 SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS**

Chemical analytical results are summarized in Table 1. Based on a review of the chemical analytical data, COCs either were not detected or were detected at concentrations less than the PCULs established for the Site.

The laboratory data presented in Appendix B were subjected to an EPA-defined Stage 2B validation (EPA Document 540-R-2017-01; EPA, 2017) and were determined to be acceptable for their intended use as qualified. The data validation review is presented in Appendix C.



#### **5.0 CULTURAL RESOURCE MONITORING**

Based on the consultation for the Site, the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) required that an archeological monitor be present during ground disturbance activities completed near the fill/native soil contact.

During the Supplemental Soil Investigation, a representative from Columbia Geotechnical Associates (Columbia) was present to observe soil encountered from each boring for evidence of potential cultural resource in accordance with an Ecology-approved Inadvertent Discover Monitoring Plan. A review of the soil borings by Columbia did not identify signs of cultural material or other evidence of historic or pre-historic use of the Site. Based on these findings, Columbia concluded that the Supplemental Soil Investigation did not affect or otherwise impact recorded or unrecorded cultural resources.

#### **6.0 CONCLUSIONS**

Remedial excavations were previously performed at the Site to remove soil contamination associated within the 1991 UST cleanup action, 2001 Hydraulic Winch cleanup action, and 2002 Petroleum and Marine Railway cleanup action areas. However, the technical quality of verification sampling data could not be independently validated due to the unavailability of the original laboratory data. As a result, supplemental soil sampling and analysis was performed on March 18, 2021 at the base of the previous excavations in accordance with the Ecology-approved RI/FS Work Plan Addendum to confirm the completeness of the previously completed cleanup actions. Chemical analytical data results identified that soils at the base of the previously completed investigations meet the cleanup levels of the Site and that soil contamination in these cleanup area areas was successfully removed. No additional consideration as to the completeness of these previous cleanup actions is warranted.

#### **7.0 LIMITATIONS**

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Port of Anacortes, their authorized agents and regulatory agencies in their evaluation of the Dakota Creek Industries Site in Anacortes, Washington. No other party may rely on the product of our services unless we agree in advance and in writing to such reliance.

#### 8.0 REFERENCES

- GeoEngineers 2008, "Final Work Plan, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study and Interim Action Work Plan – Dakota Creek Industries," prepared for the Washington Department of Ecology on behalf of the Port of Anacortes, April 1, 2008.
- GeoEngineers 2020, "Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Report, Dakota Creek Industries, Anacortes, Washington, Ecology Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080," prepared for the Washington Department of Ecology on behalf of the Port of Anacortes, April 27, 2020.
- Lenz, Brett (Lenz) 2020, "A Cultural Resources Review of the Dakota Creek Industries Site, Skagit County, WA," prepared for the Port of Anacortes, November 2020.



United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 2017, "Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, EPA-540-R-2017-01," Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, dated January 2017.



# Table 1Chemical Analytical Soil DataDakota Creek IndustriesAnacortes, Washington

	1	1		1		1	1					
Sample Location <sup>1</sup>		GEI-47	GEI-48	GEI-49	GEI-50	GEI-51	GEI-52	GEI-53				
Sample Identification		GEI-47-9.0	GEI-48-8.0	GEI-49-11.0	GEI-50-9.0	GEI-51-12.0	GEI-52-10.0	GEI-53-7.0				
Date Sampled		03/18/21	03/18/21	03/18/21	03/18/21	03/18/21	03/18/21	03/18/21	Proposed Soil			
Sample Interval		9-10 feet	8-9 feet	<b>11-12</b> feet	9-10 feet	12-13 feet	10-11 feet	7-8 feet	Cleanup			
Sample Horizon	Units	Saturated	Vadose	Saturated	Saturated	Saturated	Saturated	Vadose	Le	vel <sup>2</sup>		
Field Screening		-						-				
Water Sheen	n/a	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NE	NE		
Headspace Vapors	ppm	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	NE	NE		
Petroleum Hydrocarbons												
Gasoline-Range	mg/kg	5.7 U	-	6.3 U	5.8 U	6.6 U	9.9 U		30	30		
Diesel-Range	mg/kg	30 U	31 U	30 U	30 U	31 U	39 U	27 U	2,000	2,000		
Heavy Oil-Range	mg/kg	60 U	61 U	60 U	60 U	61 U	78 U	55 U	2,000	2,000		
BETX Compounds												
Benzene	mg/kg	0.00084 U		0.00097 U	0.00091 U	0.001 U	0.0014 U		2,400	2,400		
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0042 U		0.0049 U	0.0046 U	0.0052 U	0.0068 U		350,000	350,000		
Toluene	mg/kg	0.00084 U	-	0.00097 U	0.00091 U	0.001 U	0.0014 U		280,000	280,000		
Xylenes	mg/kg	0.0017 U	-	0.0019 U	0.0018 U	0.0021 U	0.0027 U		700,000	700,000		
Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydro	ocarbons (cF	PAHs)			-	-	-	-				
Benzo[a]anthracene	mg/kg	-	-					0.087	1.14	0.06		
Benzo[a]pyrene	mg/kg	-	-	-				0.084	0.31	0.02		
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	mg/kg		-			-		0.095	3.94	0.20		
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	mg/kg		-			-		0.035	39.36	1.97		
Chrysene	mg/kg		-					0.079	127.36	6.37		
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	mg/kg		-			-		0.010	0.57	0.03		
Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	mg/kg		-					0.053	11.10	0.56		
cPAHs TEQ (ND = 0.5RL)	mg/kg							0.113	0.31	0.016		

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Soil sampling locations are shown on Figure 2.

<sup>2</sup> Soil cleanup level is referenced from the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Report (GeoEngineers 2021).

MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

NE = not established RL = reporting limit

-- = not analyzed

TEQ = toxic equivalent concentration

U = The analyte was not detected at a concentration greater than the value identified.

Bold font type indicates the analyte was detected at the reported concentration.

#### File No. 5147-006-14 Table 1 | June 3, 2021



NS = no sheen

ppm = parts per million



C:\Users\lbaldwin\Desktop\Templates\VicinityMap.mxd Date Exported: 02/27/19





	•							
r	MAJOR DIVIS	IONS				SYM	BOLS	
						GRAPH	LEITER	
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVELS		GW	SAND MIXTURES		AC	Asph
	GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES		сс	Ceme
COARSE GRAINED	MORE THAN 50%	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES		CP	Crush
SUILS	FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES			Quarı
		CLEAN SANDS		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS	<u>1/ \\1/ \\1/</u>	SOD	Sod/I
RETAINED ON NO. 200 SIEVE	SAND AND SANDY SOUIS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)	<u> </u>	SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND		TS	Topso
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE	SANDS WITH FINES		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES		Groundv	vater
	FRACTION PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES	V (	Measured	groun
				ML	INORGANIC SILTS, ROCK FLOUR, CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY		Measured	free p
FINE GRAINED SOILS	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS		Graphic	Log
				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY		Distinct co	ntact I
MORE THAN 50% PASSING				МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS SILTY SOILS		Approxima Material	ite con I Desi
NO. 200 SIEVE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY	-	Contact be	etween
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS AND SILTS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY	(	Contact be unit	etween
	HIGHLY ORGANIC	SOILS	m	РТ	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS		Laborate	ory /
B	Sal 2.4 2.4 Star She Pist Dire Bull Con lowcount is re lows required	mpler Symb -inch I.D. split k ndard Penetrat elby tube con ect-Push k or grab attinuous Coring ecorded for driv to advance sa n log for hamm	ven samp mol Desc ven samp mpler 12	lers as t inches	he number of (or distance noted). op.	%GFALACACACPLCSCDDLDSLHAHMCFMOhsLPIFPLFPLFPASTXUCLVSVS	Percent gr Atterberg I Chemical a Laboratory Consolidat Dry density Direct shea Hydromete Moisture c Moisture c Mohs hard Drganic co Permeabil Plasticity i Posint load Pocket per Sieve anal Friaxial co Jnconfine /ane shea	avel imits analysiv comp ion test ontent ontent ity or h ndex test netrom ysis mpress d comp r
bi Se	ee exploratio						Shoon C	
bi Si "F	P" indicates s	ampler pushed	d using the	e weight	t of the drill rig.			assi

#### **MATERIAL SYMBOLS**

SYM	BOLS	TYPICAL
GRAPH	LETTER	DESCRIPTIONS
	AC	Asphalt Concrete
	сс	Cement Concrete
	CR	Crushed Rock/ Quarry Spalls
	SOD	Sod/Forest Duff
	TS	Topsoil

#### ater Contact groundwater level in exploration, zometer ree product in well or piezometer Log Contact ntact between soil strata te contact between soil strata **Description Contact** ween geologic units tween soil of the same geologic ory / Field Tests es ivel imits nalysis compaction test ion test ۱r er analysis ontent ontent and dry density ness scale ntent ty or hydraulic conductivity idex test etrometer sis npression compression lassification Sheen en

g of subsurface conditions. Descriptions on the logs apply only at the specific exploration locations and at the time the explorations were made; they are not warranted to be representative of subsurface conditions at other locations or times.



ſ	Drilled	<u>9</u> 3/18	Start 3/20	21	<u>E</u> 3/18	<u>End</u> 3/2021	Total Depth	(ft)	15	Logged By Checked By	NRS RST	Driller Case	cade Drilling LP			Drilling Method	
	Surface Vertica	e Eleva al Datur	tion n	(ft)		1 M	.3.5 ILLW			Hammer Data		Pneumatic		Drilling Equipn	Drilling Tracked DP Probe Rig		
	Eastin Northi	g (X) ng (Y)				1209 5596	9726.25 639.25			System WA State Plane North Datum (US Feet)				Ground	dwater	not observed at time of exploration	
	Notes	:															
ĺ					FIEL	D DA1	ΓA										
	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval	Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			Sheen	Headspace Vapor (ppm)	REMARKS		
		0-		54					AC	Approximatel	/ 6 inches	of asphalt concr	rete				
		-							CR	Crushed asph	alt	area cand with a	aroval (dn.) (fill)	- NS	<1		
-									SIVI	Dark gray Silly	inne to co	arse sanu with §	gravel (ury) (IIII)				
		_								_				- NS	<1		
		_							SM	Brown silty fir _ (dry)	ie to coarsi	e sand with occ	asional gravel	- NS	<1		
	<u>~</u> 20	_							SM	Brown silty fir	ie to coarsi	e sand with grav	vel (moist)	- NS	<1		
		5 —		36						-				— NS	<1		
	-	_							SM SM	Black silty fine _ layering (r	e to mediu noist)	m sand with occ	casional organic	- NS	<1		
RD_N0_GW		-								-				- NS	<1		
AENTAL_STANDA	<u>-</u> 6	-							SM	Light gray silty -	/ fine to me	edium sand (mo	bist)	- NS	<1		
8_ENVIRONN		-				GE	El-47-9.0		SM	Brown silty fir	ie to mediu	um sand (wet)		NS	<1		
E_2017.GLB/GE		10 —		60		•								— NS	<1		
STD_US_UN		_								-				– NS	<1		
ENGINEERS_DF		-								-				- NS	<1		
iry/Library:GEC	0	_								-				- NS	<1		
J DBLibra	-	_												NS	<1		
0614.GP.									SP-SM	Dark gray fine	to medium	m sand with silt	lens (wet)	_			
INT\51470	No	<sub>15</sub> —	Figi	ire A	-1 for e	xplanatic	on of svn	nbols									
147006\G	Co	ordinat	es D	ata S	Source:	Horizont	tal appro	oximat	ed based	l on hand-held GP	6. Vertical a	approximated b	ased on 2014 Da	vid Evan	s Asso	ciates Survey.	
th:P:\5\51										Log	of Bo	ring GEI-	47				
Date:4/27/21 Ps	C	<b>SEC</b>	b	En	NG	INE	ER!	s /	D	Project: Project	Dakota Locatio	a Creek Inc n: Anacorte	lustries es, Washing De 14	ton		Figure A-2	

Project Location: Anacortes, Washington Project Number: 5147-006-14

Figure A-2 Sheet 1 of 1

ſ	Drilled	3/1	<u>Start</u> 3/202	21 :	<u>End</u> 3/18/20	D21 Tota Dep	al th (ft)	10	Logged By NRS Checked By RST	Driller Cascade Drilling LP	)		Drilling Method Direct-Push
Ī	Surfac Vertica	e Eleva al Datur	tion ( n	ft)		13 MLLW			Hammer Data	Pneumatic	Dril Equ	ing ipment	Tracked DP Probe Rig
	Eastin; Northi	g (X) ng (Y)			:	1210000. 559451.8	73 6		System W. Datum	System WA State Plane North Datum (US Feet) Groundwater not observed at time of			
	Notes	:											
	Elevation (feet) Depth (feet) Interval Recovered (in) Blows/foot Blows/foot Sample Name Eesting Araphic Log Araphic Log Araphic Log Araphic Log						Graphic Log	aroup Classification	MA DES(	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			REMARKS
/GEI8_ENVIRONMENTAL_STANDARD_NO_GW		0		48		- GEI-48-8.		AC CC SM SM ML SM SM SM	Approximately 6 inches of Crushed concrete (dry) Gray silty fine to coarse s Brown silty fine to coarse (dry) Dark gray silt (moist) Light brown silty fine to coarse (moist) Dark brown silty fine to coarse (moist) Brown silty fine to coarse (moist) Brown silty fine to coarse Becomes wet	of asphalt concrete  Sand with gravel (dry) (fill)  e sand with occasional gravel  coarse sand (moist)  sand with occasional gravel  coarse sand with occasional e sand (moist)		IS <1 IS <1 IS <1 IS <1 IS <1 IS <1 IS <1 IS <1	
'21 Path:P:\5\5147006\GINT\514700614.GPJ DBLIbrary/Library.GEOENGINEERS_DF_STD_US_JUNE_2017.GLI	No Co	te: See ordinat	Figur	re A-1 f ta Sou	or expla	anation of s rizontal ap	ymbols proxime	ted based	d on hand-held GPS. Vertical a Log of Bo Project: Dakota	approximated based on 2014 pring GEI-48 a Creek Industries	David E	vans As	sociates Survey.
Date:4/27,	C	E	DE	N	GIN	NEEP	IS /	2	Project Locatio	n: Anacortes, Washir r: 5147-006-14	ngton		Figure A-3

Project Number: 5147-006-14

# Figure A-3 Sheet 1 of 1

ſ	Drilled	<u>9</u> 1 3/18	<u>Star</u> 3/20	_ )21	<u> </u> 3/18	<u>End</u> 3/2021	Total Depth	(ft)	15	Logged By Checked By	NRS RST	Driller	Cascade Drilling LP			Drilling Method Di	irect-Push
	Surfac Vertica	Surface Elevation (ft) 13.25 Vertical Datum MLLW								Hammer Data Pneumatic				Drilling Equipr	Drilling Tracked DP Probe Rig		
	Eastin Northi	ing (X) 1209768.43 hing (Y) 559598.56					System WA State Plane North Datum (US Feet)				Groundwater not observed at time of exploration						
Į	Notes	6:															
ſ		FIELD DATA															
	Elevation (feet)	⊃ Depth (feet) 	Interval Recovered (in)		Blows/foot	Collected Sample	Sample Name Testing	Graphic Log	Group Classification		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			Sheen	Headspace Vapor (ppm)	REMARKS	
	-	0		0	)				AC	Approximately 6 inches of asphalt concrete							
-	- _%	_							NR	Rock in shoe; - - -	no recover	у					
	-	5 —		54				SM	Light brown silty fine to coarse sand with occasional gravel (dry) (fill)			NS	<1				
					-				- NS	<1							
	-								SM	Dark gray silt	y fine to coa	arse sand (	moist)	NS	<1		
NO_GW	-	-							SM	Gray silty fine	to coarse s	sand (mois	t)	NS	<1		
NTAL_STANDARD	<u>ىم</u>	_								-				- NS	<1		
ENVIRONME	_	_								Becomes we				- NS	<1		
NE_2017.GLB/GEI8_6	-	10 —		60										— NS	<1		
STD_US_JU		_				GE	1-49-11.0			-				- NS	<1		
DENGINEERS_DF_	-	_				+				-				- NS	<1		
brary:GE	0	_							SM	Dark gray silt	y fine to me	edium sano	l (wet)	NS	3.2		Heavy H <sub>2</sub> S odor
-ibrany/Li	_0								IVIL	Dark gray Sill	with organ	ic matter (i	noist)				
4700614.GPJ DBI	-	-							ML	Gray silt with	sand (mois	t)		NS	<1		
06\GINT\51	No Co	ote: See	Figi es D	ure A Data S	-1 for e: Source:	xplanatio Horizon	on of syn tal appro	nbols. ximat	ed based	d on hand-held GP	S. Vertical a	approximat	ed based on 2014 Da	avid Evar	is Asso	ciates Survey	
P:\5\5147(	<u> </u>									Log	of Bo	ring G	EI-49				
/21 Path:						1.1	2.52		0	Project:	Dakota	a Creek	Industries				
ate:4/27/	C	JEO		EI	NG	INE	ER	5 /	1	Project	Locatio	n: Anac	ortes, Washing	ton			Figure A-4

## Log of Boring GEI-49

Project: Dakota Creek Industries Project Location: Anacortes, Washington Project Number: 5147-006-14
Drilled	<u>S</u> 3/18	<u>tart</u> 8/2021	<u> </u> 3/18	<u>End</u> 3/2021	Total Depth	(ft)	15	Logged By NRS Checked By RST	Driller Cascade Drilling LP			Drilling Method Direct-Push
Surfac Vertica	e Elevat al Datun	ion (ft) 1		N	13 /LLW			Hammer Data	Pneumatic	Drilling Equipr	g ment	Tracked DP Probe Rig
Eastin Northi	g (X) ng (Y)			1209 559	9799.82 9561.74	2		System W Datum	/A State Plane North (US Feet)	Groun	dwater	not observed at time of exploration
Notes	:											
$\neg$			FIEL	LD DA	TA							
Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Graphic Log	Group Classification	M/ DES	ATERIAL CRIPTION	Sheen	Headspace Vapor (ppm)	REMARKS
	0-	60				~~~	AC	Approximately 6 inches	of asphalt concrete			
-	-						22	Approximately 6 inches	of concrete cement	ss	<1	
							SIVI	Dark gray sity line to co	arse sand with graver (dry) (fill)			
-	-							_		- NS	<1	
_^2	_							_		- NS	<1	
-	-						SM	Brown silty fine to coars	e sand with gravel (dry)	NS	<1	
	5 —						SM	Brown silty fine to coars	e sand (moist)	21		
	36 SM			Brown silty fine to coars	e sand (moist)		2.1					
-	_							-		- NS	<1	
-	-											
Ś												
	-						SP-SM	Gray silty fine to coarse	sand (wet)	NS	<1	
-	_			▲ GI	FL50-9.0			-		- NS	<1	
				, u	LF30-3.0		MI	Grav-brown lavered silt	with organic matter (wet)			
-	10 —	60		<b>↓</b>						— NS	<1	
	-							-		– NS	<1	
- - -	_						SP-SM	Dark gray silty fine to co	arse sand with gravel (wet)	- NS	<1	
_0	-							_ Grades to light grav		– NS	<1	
- -	-							-		- NS	<1	
	15 —											
No Co	te: See ordinate	Figure A s Data \$	-1 for e Source:	xplanatio Horizon	on of syn ntal appro	nbols. oxima	ted based	d on hand-held GPS. Vertical	approximated based on 2014 D	avid Evar	ns Asso	ciates Survey.
								Log of Bo	oring GEI-50			
		-	A.,		2,51		-	Project: Dakot	a Creek Industries			
	1FC		NG	INF	FR	5/	1	Project Locatio	on: Anacortes, Washing	gton		

Project Number: 5147-006-14

Date

Figure A-5 Sheet 1 of 1

Drilled	<u>S</u> 3/18	<u>tart</u> /2021	<u>E</u> 3/18	<u>End</u> 3/2021	Total Depth	(ft)	15	Logged By N Checked By F	NRS RST	Driller Casca	de Drilling LP			Drilling Method Direct-Push
Surface Vertica	e Elevat I Datum	ion (ft) 1		M	13 LLW			Hammer Data		Pneumatic		Drilling Equipn	nent	Tracked DP Probe Rig
Easting Northin	g (X) ng (Y)			1209 5599	760.69 545.98			System Datum	WA	State Plane No (US Feet)	rth	Ground	dwater	not observed at time of exploration
Notes:														
$\neg$			FIEL	D DA1	ΓA									
Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Graphic Log	Group Classification		MA DESC	TERIAL CRIPTION		Sheen	Headspace Vapor (ppm)	REMARKS
	0-	48					AC	Approximately 6 i	nches o	f asphalt concre	te			
-	_						CR	Crushed asphalt	) marse	sand with grave	el (dn/) (fill)	NS	<1	
							0	brown sity line to						
-	_							_				- NS	<1	
_%	-						SM	Light brown silty f _ gravel (dry)	fine to co	oarse sand with	occasional	- NS	<1	
-	-							-				- NS	<1	
-	5 —	36						Becomes moist				— ss	4.2	
-	-							-				- NS	<1	
-	-						SM	Dark gray silty fin	e to coa	rse sand with gr	avel (moist)	- NS	<1	
	_											- NS	<1	
								Becomes wet						
	10 —	60					SIVI	Dark gray to gray	SILTY TINE	e to medium sar	ia (wet)	— NS	<1	
- -	-							_ Grades to light gr	ay			- NS	<1	
_	-			GEI	-51-12.0			-				- NS	<1	
_0	_			L.				-				- NS	<1	
1								Grades to dark gr	ray, incre	eased silt				
	-						ML	Gray silt with same	d (moist	)		NS	<1	
Not	15 — e: See I ordinate	-igure A s Data S	-1 for e> Source:	xplanatic Horizont	on of sym al appro	nbols. ximat	ed based	d on hand-held GPS. Ve	ertical a	pproximated bas	sed on 2014 Da	vid Evan	s Asso	ciates Survey.
								Log o	f Bo	ring GEI-	51			
G	GEOENGINEERS O Project: Dakota Creek Industries Project Location: Anacortes, Washington													

Project Location: Anacortes, Washington Project Number: 5147-006-14

Date:4/27/21

Figure A-6 Sheet 1 of 1

ſ	Drilled	3/1	<u>Start</u> 8/20	)21	<u>E</u> 3/18	<u>End</u> 3/2021	Total Depth	ı (ft)	15	Logged By NRS Checked By RST	Driller Cascade Drilling LP			Drilling Method Direct-Push
	Surfac Vertica	e Eleva al Datur	tion n	(ft)		M	13 ILLW			Hammer Data	Pneumatic	Drilling Equipr	nent	Tracked DP Probe Rig
	Easting Northii	g (X) ng (Y)				1209 559	9747.08 501.71	3		System W/ Datum	A State Plane North (US Feet)	Groun	dwater	not observed at time of exploration
	Notes	:												
	(feet)	et)		d (in)	FIEL	D DA	TA ame	og	tion	MA	TERIAL			DEMADKS
	Elevation	o Depth (fe	Interval	3 Recovere	Blows/foo	Collected S	<u>Sample N</u> Testing	Graphic L	Group	DESC	CRIPTION	Sheen	Headspace Vapor (ppm	<b>REMARKS</b>
				00				$\sum$	AC	Approximately 6 inches c Crushed concrete (dry)	of asphalt concrete			
-		_								_				
-		-							SM	Brown silty fine to mediu	m sand (dry) (fill)	NS	<1	
╞	.0	-							ML	Gray-dark gray silt with s	and and gravel (moist)	- NS	<1	
F		-								-		- ss	2.4	
-		5 —	5 — 36			SM	Gray silty fine to medium	n sand with trace gravel (moist)	NS	<1				
-		_								-		- NS	<1	
VDARD_NO_GW		-										- NS	<1	
NTAL_STAN	\$	-							PEAT	Peat with silt (moist)		NS	8.3	
EIS_ENVIRONMEI		_							SM	Light gray-gray silty fine t -	o medium sand (moist)	- NS	<1	
JNE_2017.GLB/G		10 —		60		GE	1-52-10.0			Becomes wet		— NS	<1	
JPF_STD_US_J		-				↓ 			ML	Gray silt with sand and tr	race gravel (wet)	NS	<1	
:GEOENGINEERS		_								_		– NS	<1	
brary/Library	a	-							MI	Light grav silt with sand (	(wet)	- NS	<1	
00614.GPJ DBL		-										- NS	<1	
06\GINT\5147C	No Co	15 — te: See ordinat	Figu es D	ure A ata S	-1 for ex Source:	kplanatio Horizon	on of syr tal appre	nbols. oximat	ed based	l on hand-held GPS. Vertical a	pproximated based on 2014 D	avid Evan	s Assoc	ciates Survey.
P:\5\5147(										Log of Bo	ring GEI-52			
7/21 Path:	_			-	Jack		11			Project: Dakota	a Creek Industries			
Date:4/2	C	E		= 1	IG	INE	ER	S/	1	Project Location	n: Anacortes, Washing r: 5147-006-14	gton		Figure A-7

Project Number: 5147-006-14

Figure A-7 Sheet 1 of 1



Project Number: 5147-006-14

Figure A-8 Sheet 1 of 1

## APPENDIX B Laboratory Data Packages



March 29, 2021

Robert Trahan GeoEngineers, Inc. 2101 4th Avenue, Suite 950 Seattle, WA 98121

Re: Analytical Data for Project 5147-006-14 Laboratory Reference No. 2103-219

Dear Robert:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on March 18, 2021.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures



Date of Report: March 29, 2021 Samples Submitted: March 18, 2021 Laboratory Reference: 2103-219 Project: 5147-006-14

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on March 18, 2021 and received by the laboratory on March 18, 2021. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

Please note that any and all soil sample results are reported on a dry-weight basis, unless otherwise noted below.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.



Date of Report: March 29, 2021 Samples Submitted: March 18, 2021 Laboratory Reference: 2103-219 Project: 5147-006-14

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Client ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received	Notes
GEI-47-9.0	03-219-01	Soil	3-18-21	3-18-21	
GEI-48-8.0	03-219-02	Soil	3-18-21	3-18-21	
GEI-49-11.0	03-219-03	Soil	3-18-21	3-18-21	
GEI-50-9.0	03-219-04	Soil	3-18-21	3-18-21	
GEI-51-12.0	03-219-05	Soil	3-18-21	3-18-21	
GEI-52-10.0	03-219-06	Soil	3-18-21	3-18-21	
GEI-53-7.0	03-219-07	Soil	3-18-21	3-18-21	



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

#### GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Gx

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg (ppm)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	GEI-47-9.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-01					
Gasoline	ND	5.7	NWTPH-Gx	3-22-21	3-22-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	88	58-129				
Client ID:	GEI-49-11.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-03					
Gasoline	ND	6.3	NWTPH-Gx	3-22-21	3-22-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	84	58-129				
Client ID:	GEI-50-9.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-04					
Gasoline	ND	5.8	NWTPH-Gx	3-22-21	3-22-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	86	58-129				
Client ID:	GEI-51-12.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-05					
Gasoline	ND	6.6	NWTPH-Gx	3-22-21	3-22-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	87	58-129				
Client ID:	GEI-52-10.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-06					
Gasoline	ND	9.9	NWTPH-Gx	3-22-21	3-22-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	105	58-129				



#### DIESEL AND HEAVY OIL RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Dx

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	GEI-47-9.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-01					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	30	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	60	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	85	50-150				
Client ID:	GEI-48-8.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-02					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	31	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	62	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	80	50-150				
Client ID:	GEI-49-11.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-03					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	30	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	60	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	61	50-150				
Client ID:	GEI-50-9.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-04					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	30	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	60	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	56	50-150				
Client ID:	GEI-51-12.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-05					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	31	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Lube Oil Range Organics		61	NWIPH-DX	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	85	50-150				
Client ID:						
	02 240 06					
	03-219-00	20		2 40 04	0.00.04	V4
Diesei Kange Organics		39 79		3-19-21	3-22-21	X1 V1
			INVVI PH-DX	3-19-21	3-22-21	λI
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o- i erpnenyi	(1	50-150				



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

## DIESEL AND HEAVY OIL RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Dx

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	GEI-53-7.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-07					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	27	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	55	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	78	50-150				



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	GEI-47-9.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-01					
Benzene	ND	0.00084	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Toluene	ND	0.0042	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.00084	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.0017	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
o-Xylene	ND	0.00084	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	99	74-131				
Toluene-d8	101	78-128				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	71-130				

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	GEI-49-11.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-03					
Benzene	ND	0.00097	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
Toluene	ND	0.0049	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.00097	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.0019	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
o-Xylene	ND	0.00097	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	100	74-131				
Toluene-d8	102	78-128				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	71-130				



Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	GEI-50-9.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-04					
Benzene	ND	0.00091	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Toluene	ND	0.0046	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.00091	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.0018	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
o-Xylene	ND	0.00091	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	100	74-131				
Toluene-d8	101	78-128				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	95	71-130				



9

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	GEI-51-12.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-05					
Benzene	ND	0.0010	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Toluene	ND	0.0052	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.0010	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.0021	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
o-Xylene	ND	0.0010	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	100	74-131				
Toluene-d8	99	78-128				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	71-130				



Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	GEI-52-10.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-06					
Benzene	ND	0.0014	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Toluene	ND	0.0068	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.0014	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.0027	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
o-Xylene	ND	0.0014	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	103	74-131				
Toluene-d8	99	78-128				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	71-130				



#### PAHs EPA 8270E/SIM

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg

Terphenyl-d14

100

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	GE1-53-7.0					
Laboratory ID:	03-219-07					
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.087	0.0073	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Chrysene	0.079	0.0073	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.095	0.0073	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Benzo(j,k)fluoranthene	0.035	0.0073	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Benzo[a]pyrene	0.084	0.0073	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	0.053	0.0073	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.0097	0.0073	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
2-Fluorobiphenyl	90	46 - 113				
Pyrene-d10	99	45 - 114				

49 - 121



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

#### GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Gx QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg (ppm)

							Date	Date		
Analyte		Result		PQL	Ме	ethod	Prepared	Analyzed		Flags
METHOD BLANK										
Laboratory ID:		MB0322S1								
Gasoline		ND		5.0	NWT	PH-Gx	3-22-21	3-22-2	21	
Surrogate:	Pe	rcent Recov	ery Co	ntrol Lim	its					
Fluorobenzene		87		58-129						
					Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	e Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
		Juit	•••		Rooun					. lugo
Laboratory ID:	03-2 <sup>-</sup>	19-01								
	ORIG	DUP								
Gasoline	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	30	
Surrogate:										
Fluorobenzene						88 87	58-129			



This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

#### DIESEL AND HEAVY OIL RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Dx QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg (ppm)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0319S1					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	25	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	50	NWTPH-Dx	3-19-21	3-22-21	X1
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	87	50-150				

				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	SB03	19S1							
	ORIG	DUP							
Diesel Fuel #2	103	83.5	NA NA		NA	NA	21	NA	X1
Lube Oil Range	ND	ND	NA NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	X1
Surrogate:									

o-Terphenyl

94 72 50-150

Date of Report: March 29, 2021 Samples Submitted: March 18, 2021 Laboratory Reference: 2103-219 Project: 5147-006-14

#### DIESEL AND HEAVY OIL RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Dx CONTINUING CALIBRATION SUMMARY

	True	Calc.	Percent	Control
Lab ID	Value (ppm)	Value	Difference	Limits
CCV0322R-T2	100	108	-7.8	+/-15%
CCV0322R-T3	100	105	-4.9	+/-15%
	<b>*</b>			



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0319S1					
Benzene	ND	0.0010	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Toluene	ND	0.0050	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.0010	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.0020	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
o-Xylene	ND	0.0010	EPA 8260D	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	98	74-131				
Toluene-d8	99	78-128				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	71-130				
Laboratory ID:	MB0323S1					
Benzene	ND	0.0010	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
Toluene	ND	0.0050	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.0010	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.0020	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
o-Xylene	ND	0.0010	EPA 8260D	3-23-21	3-23-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	104	74-131				
Toluene-d8	99	78-128				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	71-130				



#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/kg

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Reco	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB03	19S1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.0487	0.0465	0.0500	0.0500	97	93	55-126	5	17	
Benzene	0.0570	0.0551	0.0500	0.0500	114	110	65-121	3	16	
Trichloroethene	0.0548	0.0536	0.0500	0.0500	110	107	74-126	2	16	
Toluene	0.0573	0.0556	0.0500	0.0500	115	111	71-121	3	16	
Chlorobenzene	0.0559	0.0545	0.0500	0.0500	112	109	72-123	3	16	
Surrogate:				-						
Dibromofluoromethane					101	104	74-131			
Toluene-d8					100	101	78-128			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					106	106	71-130			
Laboratory ID:	SB03	23S1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.0413	0.0436	0.0500	0.0500	83	87	55-126	5	17	
Benzene	0.0433	0.0468	0.0500	0.0500	87	94	65-121	8	16	
Trichloroethene	0.0502	0.0514	0.0500	0.0500	100	103	74-126	2	16	
Toluene	0.0435	0.0464	0.0500	0.0500	87	93	71-121	6	16	
Chlorobenzene	0.0476	0.0486	0.0500	0.0500	95	97	72-123	2	16	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					99	96	74-131			
Toluene-d8					96	96	78-128			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					101	102	71-130			



#### PAHs EPA 8270E/SIM QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0319S1					
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND	0.0067	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Chrysene	ND	0.0067	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND	0.0067	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Benzo(j,k)fluoranthene	ND	0.0067	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND	0.0067	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	ND	0.0067	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	ND	0.0067	EPA 8270E/SIM	3-19-21	3-19-21	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
2-Fluorobiphenyl	90	46 - 113				
Pyrene-d10	95	45 - 114				
Terphenyl-d14	99	49 - 121				



#### PAHs EPA 8270E/SIM QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Soil Units: mg/Kg

					Source	Per	rcent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Re	sult	Spike	Spike Level		Rec	overy	Li <u>mi</u> ts	RPD	Limit	Flags
MATRIX SPIKES											
Laboratory ID:	03-19	93-01									
	MS	MSD	MS	MSD		MS	MSD				
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.0745	0.0747	0.0833	0.0833	ND	89	90	56 - 136	0	25	
Chrysene	0.0739	0.0735	0.0833	0.0833	ND	89	88	49 - 130	1	22	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.0753	0.0696	0.0833	0.0833	ND	90	84	51 - 135	8	26	
Benzo(j,k)fluoranthene	0.0722	0.0775	0.0833	0.0833	ND	87	93	56 - 124	7	23	
Benzo[a]pyrene	0.0728	0.0724	0.0833	0.0833	ND	87	87	54 - 133	1	26	
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	0.0716	0.0719	0.0833	0.0833	ND	86	86	52 - 134	0	20	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.0726	0.0726	0.0833	0.0833	ND	87	87	58 - 127	0	17	
Surrogate:											
2-Fluorobiphenyl						76	74	46 - 113			
Pyrene-d10						94	97	45 - 114			

98

96

49 - 121

Terphenyl-d14



Date of Report: March 29, 2021 Samples Submitted: March 18, 2021 Laboratory Reference: 2103-219 Project: 5147-006-14

#### % MOISTURE

Client ID	Lab ID	% Moisture	Date Analyzed
GEI-47-9.0	03-219-01	16	3-19-21
GEI-48-8.0	03-219-02	20	3-19-21
GEI-49-11.0	03-219-03	17	3-19-21
GEI-50-9.0	03-219-04	17	3-19-21
GEI-51-12.0	03-219-05	19	3-19-21
GEI-52-10.0	03-219-06	36	3-19-21
GEI-53-7.0	03-219-07	9	3-19-21
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-naphthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a sulfuric acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in methods 8260 & 8270, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



Reviewed/Date	Received	Relinquished	Received	Relinquished		Benaived In the Constant	Relinquished	Signature		1 GE1-53-7.0	6 GE1-52-10.0	S GEI-51-12.0	9 GE1-50-9.0	3 GE1-49-11.0	2 GE1-48-8.0	GE1-47-24-0 9.0 03.18	Lab ID Sample Identification Samp	Company:       GEOEACLINEEERS       IAC.         Project Number:       Si411-0016-114         Project Name:       DALLOTA       CREEK_INDUSTRIES         Project Manager:       Koeccat       INDUSTRIES         Sampled by:       Name       Industries	Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052
Reviewed/Date					and and a	GEOFANANSEILS	Aug the trans	Company		1240 / 1	1055 5	1115 5	1155 5	1020 5	1310	8.21 0945 Soir 5	te Time pled Sampled Matrix Number	(Check One) Same Day 1 Day 2 Days 3 Days Standard (7 Days) (other) er of Containers	Turnaround Request (in working days)
					5191 KINIS	2101 12.81.60	A la al link	Date Time		×	XX	*	××	*	×	×	NWTPI NWTPI NWTPI NWTPI Volatile Haloge	H-HCID H-Gx/BTEX 8260 H-Gx H-Dx (X Acid / SG Clean-up) es 8260C enated Volatiles 8260C PA 8011 (Waters Only)	Laboratory Number
Chromatograms with final report  Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)	Data Package: Standard  Level III  Level IV					AL.		Comments/Special Instructions		*							Semivo (with lo PAHS & PCBS & Organo Organo Chlorin Total R Total M TCLP N HEM (c	blatiles 8270D/SIM bw-level PAHs) 3270D/SIM (low-level) 8082A bochlorine Pesticides 8081B bophosphorus Pesticides 8270D/SIM mated Acid Herbicides 8151A CRA Metals ITCA Metals Metals bil and grease) 1664A KH EPA 8270-SIM	Page 1 of 1

### Sample/Cooler Receipt and Acceptance Checklist

Client: <u>GES</u> Client Project Name/Number: <u>5147-606-14</u> OnSite Project Number: <u>03-219</u>		Initiated by Date Initiat	AMV ad: 3/18	1/21	_
1.0 Cooler Verification					
1.1 Were there custody seals on the outside of the cooler?	Yes	No	N/A	1234	
1.2 Were the custody seals intact?	Yes	No	N/A)	1234	
1.3 Were the custody seals signed and dated by last custodian?	Yes	No	N/A	1 2 3 4	
1.4 Were the samples delivered on ice or blue ice?	Yes	No	N/A	1 2 3 4	1
1.5 Were samples received between 0-6 degrees Celsius?	es	No	N/A	Temperature:	6
1.6 Have shipping bills (if any) been attached to the back of this form?	Yes	N/A			
1.7 How were the samples delivered?	Client	Courier	UPS/FedEx	OSE Pickup	Other
2.0 Chain of Custody Verification					
2.1 Was a Chain of Custody submitted with the samples?	Yes	No		1 2 3 4	
2.2 Was the COC legible and written in permanent ink?	es	No		1 2 3 4	
2.3 Have samples been relinquished and accepted by each custodian?	es	No		1 2 3 4	
2.4 Did the sample labels (ID, date, time, preservative) agree with COC?	res	No		1 2 3 4	
2.5 Were all of the samples listed on the COC submitted?	G	No		1 2 3 4	
2.6 Were any of the samples submitted omitted from the COC?	Yes	No		1 2 3 4	
3.0 Sample Verification					
3.1 Were any sample containers broken or compromised?	Yes	Na		1 2 3 4	
3.2 Were any sample labels missing or illegible?	Yes	NO		1 2 3 4	
3.3 Have the correct containers been used for each analysis requested?	es	No		1 2 3 4	
3.4 Have the samples been correctly preserved?	Yes	No	NA	1 2 3 4	
3.5 Are volatiles samples free from headspace and bubbles greater than 6mm?	Yes	No	NA	1 2 3 4	
3.6 Is there sufficient sample submitted to perform requested analyses?	res	No		1 2 3 4	
3.7 Have any holding times already expired or will expire in 24 hours?	Yes	No		1 2 3 4	
3.8 Was method 5035A used?	(es)	No	N/A	1 2 3 4	
3.9 If 5035A was used, which sampling option was used (#1, 2, or 3).	#	1	N/A	1 2 3 4	

#### Explain any discrepancies:

1 - Discuss issue in Case Narrative

2 - Process Sample As-is

3 - Client contacted to discuss problem

4 - Sample cannot be analyzed or client does not wish to proceed

//SERVER\OSE\Administration\forms\cooler\_checklist.xls

# RAW DATA

- Gasoline Range Organics NWTPH-Gx
- Diesel and Heavy Oil Range Organics NWTPH-Dx
- Volatiles EPA 8260D
- PAHs EPA 8270E/SIM

Gasoline Range Organics NWTPH-Gx Data

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Signal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322005.D\FID1A.CH Vial: 5 Signal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322005.D\FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 16:14 Operator: Sample : 03-219-01s Inst : Daryl Misc : Multiplr: 1.00 Sample Amount: 0.00 IntFile Signal #1: events.e IntFile Signal #2: EVENTS2.E Quant Time: Mar 22 16:41 2021 Quant Results File: 210104B.RES Quant Method : E:\BTEX\METHODS\210104B.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : Fid calibration Last Update : Mon Mar 15 10:12:08 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : 210104B.M Volume Inj. Signal #2 Phase: aryl Signal #1 Phase : SC 00 Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : 00 Compound Response Conc Units R.T. System Monitoring Compounds 4) S FLUOROBENZENE 6.96 1753240 30.219 <sup>1</sup>PPB 1078255 33.598 PPB 5) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE FLUOROBENZENE #2 12.30 12) S 6.96 12.30 653766 29.156 PPB 960650 32.770 PPB BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 17) S Target Compounds HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RANGES 8.55 1) H 2972866 0.042 PPM 201 Entire GAS Envelope (11-30 12.25 2) H 4003565 0.053 PPM 3) H GASOLINE (11-30-20) 13.55 960891 0.024 PPM 190374 0.029 PPM 347613 0.013 PPM 185832 0.011 PPM 7) H MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2-24-2 12.28 

 MINERAL SFIRITS #2
 12

 entire GAS envelope #2 (11 12.25

 GASOLINE #2 (11-30-20)
 13.55

 MTBE #2
 4.69

 8) H 9) H 10) 2139 0.033 PPB 11) BENZENE #2 6.73 6876 0.151 PPB 13) TOLUENE, #2 9.10 11320 0.249 PPB 2501 0.029 PPB 14) ETHYLBENZENE #2 11.07 15) m, p-XYLENE #2 5538 0.091 PPB 3335 0.033 PPB 11.33 16) o-XYLENE #2 11.82 1.1 ΞŶĴ ÷ 42.0 . .. [ \* · 

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window 0322005.D 210104B.M Tue Mar 23 14:41:46 2021

(m)=manual int 26 Fage 1



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Signal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322007.D\FID1A.CH Vial: 7 Signal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322007.D\FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 18:14 Operator: Sample : 03-219-03s Inst : Daryl Misc : Multiplr: 1.00 Sample Amount: 0.00 IntFile Signal #1: events.e IntFile Signal #2: EVENTS2.E Quant Time: Mar 22 18:32 2021 Quant Results File: 210104B.RES <u>з</u> Quant Method : E:\BTEX\METHODS\210104B.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : Fid calibration Last Update : Mon Mar 15 10:12:08 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcg Meth : 210104B.M . . . . . . . Volume Inj. 0.37] Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: .00. Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : )( Compound R.T. Response Conc Units 3.3 System Monitoring Compounds 4) S FLUOROBENZENE 6.96 1673834 28.851 PPB 5) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE FLUOROBENZENE #2 12.30 30,710 PPB 985718 12) S 634533 6.96 28.294 PPB 17) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 12.30 890841 30.389 PPB - 4 + Target Compounds HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RANGES 8.55 1) H 2562505 0.034 PPM 🖙 🗄 2) H Entire GAS Envelope (11-30 12.25 0.045 PPM 3512067 3) H GASOLINE (11-30-20) 13.55 672340 0.013 PPM 30 7) H MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2-24-2 12.28 163246 0.027 ,PPM , 0.012 PPM 8) H entire GAS envelope #2 (11 12.25 326081 9) H GASOLINE #2 (11-30-20) 13.55 151912 0.008 PPM 10) MTBE #2 4.69 1934 0.021 PPB 11) BENZENE #2 6.73 2547 0.024 PPB 13) TOLUENE #2 9.11 0.044 PPB 4995 14) ETHYLBENZENE #2 11.09 1773 0.002 PPB 15) m, p-XYLENE #2 11.34 3543 0.029 PPB 16) O-XYLENE #2 11.82 2385 N.D. PPB Υ., 131. 11 • 55 10:00

(m) = manual int 28

Page 1



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Signal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322008.D\FID1A.CH Vial: 8 Signal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322008.D\FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 18:45 Operator: Sample : 03-219-04s Inst 🔅 Daryl Misc Multiplr: 1.00 Sample Amount: 0.00 IntFile Signal #1: events.e IntFile Signal #2: EVENTS2.E Quant Time: Mar 22 19:06 2021 Quant Results File: 210104B.RES Quant Method : E:\BTEX\METHODS\210104B.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : Fid calibration Last Update : Mon Mar 15 10:12:08 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcg Meth : 210104B.M • ; Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Response Compound R.T. Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 29.155<sup>\*\*</sup>PPB 4) S FLUOROBENZENE 6.95 1691484 5) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE 12.29 1030215 32.099 PPB 12) S FLUOROBENZENE #2 6.95 635677 28.345 PPB 17) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 12.29 921379 31.431 PPB Target Compounds HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RANGES 8.55 1) H 2222100 0.028 PPM ... 2) H Entire GAS Envelope (11-30 12.25 3012105 0.035 PPM 3) H GASOLINE (11-30-20) 13.55 638837 0.012 PPM 7) H MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2-24-2 12.28 0.022 ,PPM . 104232 8) H entire GAS envelope #2 (11 12.25 0.004 PPM 217876 9) Н GASOLINE #2 (11-30-20) 13.55 98740 0.003 PPM 10) MTBE #2 265 4.66 N.D. PPB 11) BENZENE #2 6.72 2721 0.029 PPB 13) TOLUENE #2 9.10 0.127 PPB 7547 14) ETHYLBENZENE #2 1691 N.D. PPB 11.08 m, p-XYLENE #2 15) 11.32 3809 0.037 PPB 16) o-XYLENE #2 11.83 1306 N.D. PPB 1441 17 111 , ) ; ' ŀ 2 .11. 11 ΞŰ. 1. 6 . 1 (m) = manual int 30(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window 0322008.D 210104B.M Tue Mar 23 14:41:58 2021 Page 1

4.


Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Signal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322009.D\FID1A.CH Vial: 9 Signal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322009.D\FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 19:15 Operator: : 03-219-05s Sample Inst : Daryl Misc 👘 Multiplr: 1.00 Sample Amount: 0.00 IntFile Signal #1: events.e IntFile Signal #2: EVENTS2.E 110 6 Quant Time: Mar 22 19:40 2021 Quant Results File: 210104B.RES Quant Method : E:\BTEX\METHODS\210104B.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : Fid calibration Last Update : Mon Mar 15 10:12:08 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : 210104B.M Volume Inj. aryl Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : • • • • • Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info :  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{0})$ Response Conc Units Compound R.T. System Monitoring Compounds 4) S FLUOROBENZENE 6.95 1734748 29,900 PPB BROMOFLUOROBENZENE 1058238 5) S 12.29 32.973 PPB 12) S 6.95 12.29 647726 943326 FLUOROBENZENE #2 28.886 PPB 17) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 32.179 PPB Target Compounds HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RANGES 8.55 1) H 2216438 0.028 PPMatvl 2) H Entire GAS Envelope (11-30 12.25 2994079 0.035°PPM 00 3) H GASOLINE (11-30-20) 13.55 669729 0.013 PPM 7) H MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2-24-2 12.28 0.024 PPM 0.008 PPM 135273 267947 127600 entire GAS envelope #2 (11 12.25 8) H 9) Н GASOLINE #2 (11-30-20) 13.55 0.006 PPM 10) MTBE #2 4.67 179 N.D. PPB 11) BENZENE #2 6.72 1334 N.D. PPB 13) TOLUENE #2 9.09 N.D. PPB 3614 11.08 14) ETHYLBENZENE #2 1842 0.005 PPB 15) m,p-XYLENE #2 11.32 2960 0.011 PPB 16) o-XYLENE #2 2120 11.80 N.D. PPB 33173 30 (-1)20 10 ¥ ... 4 េរូរូ

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window 0322009.D 210104B.M Tue Mar 23 14:42:06 2021

(m)=manual intgo

Páge 1



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Signal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322010.D\FID1A.CH Vial: 10 are Signal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322010.D\FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 20:00 Operator: Sample : 03-219-065 Inst : Daryl Misc Multiplr: 1.00 . Sample Amount: 0.00 IntFile Signal #1: events.e IntFile Signal #2: EVENTS2.E Quant Time: Mar 22 20:14 2021 Quant Results File: 210104B.RES Quant Method : E:\BTEX\METHODS\210104B.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : Fid calibration Last Update : Mon Mar 15 10:12:08 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration 1 star DataAcq Meth : 210104B.M Volume Inj. 1000 Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: -1) <sup>(\*</sup> Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : 11. Compound R.T. Response Cond Units System Monitoring Compounds 30.827<sup>(1)</sup>PPB<sup>(1)</sup> 4) S FLUOROBENZENE 1788561 6.94 BROMOFLUOROBENZENE 5) S 12.28 1078774 33.614 PPB 12) S FLUOROBENZENE #2 671272 6.94 29.941 PPB 17) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 12.28 965911 32.950 PPB Target Compounds HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RANGES 8.55 1) H 2065020 0.025 PPM 2) H Entire GAS Envelope (11-30 12.25 2783699 0.031 PPM 3) H GASOLINE (11-30-20) 13.55 0.010 PPM 🔿 578990 7) H MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2-24-2 12.28 106587 0.022 PPM 8) H entire GAS envelope #2 (11 12.25 0.005 PPM 229075 9) H GASOLINE #2 (11-30-20) 13.55 102321 0.003 PPM 10) MTBE #2 4.72 509 N.D. PPB 11)BENZENE #2 6.72 N.D. PPB 1584 13) TOLUENE #2 9.09 5438 0,058 PPB 14) ETHYLBENZENE #2 11.02 332 N.D. PPB 15) m, p-XYLENE #2 N.D. PPB 11.32 2270 16) O-XYLENE #2 11.80 745 N.D. PPB 11. 5.1. 1 24 (f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual into 0322010.D 210104B.M Tue Mar 23 14:42:57 2021 Page 1



4 Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed); 1.12 1 Signal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322003.D\FID1A.CH Vial: 3 Signal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322003.D\FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 10:56 Sample : MB0322S1 Operator: : MB0322S1 Sample Inst : Daryl Misc : Multiplr: 1.00 Sample Amount: 0,00 IntFile Signal #1: events.e IntFile Signal #2: EVENTS2.E Quant Time: Mar 22 11:14 2021 Quant Results File: 210104B.RES Quant Method : E:\BTEX\METHODS\210104B.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : Fid calibration Last Update : Mon Mar 15 10:12:08 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration  $2 \times \epsilon$ DataAcq Meth : 210104B.M Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : . 95 Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 4) S FLUOROBENZENE 6.95 35.488 PPB 2059248 5) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE 12.29 1221963 38.083 PPB 12) S 783262 FLUOROBENZENE #2 6.95 34.960 PPB 17) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 12.29 1107073 37.765 PPB Target Compounds 1) H HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RANGES 8.55 2384337 0.031 PPM 2) H Entire GAS Envelope (11-30 12.25 3798006 0.050 PPM 3) H GASOLINE (11-30-20) 13.55 851261 0.020 PPM ..... 7) H MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2-24-2 12.28 170263 0.027 PPM 0.042 PPM<sup>S</sup> 8) H entire GAS envelope #2 (11 12.25 768918 9) H GASOLINE #2(11-30-20)13.55 195848 0.012 PPM 10) MTBE #2 4.77 354 N.D. PPB BENZENE #2 11) 6.72 N.D. PPB 1614 13) TOLUENE<sup>\*</sup> #2 9.13 29736 0.848 PPB m kw ETHYLBENZENE #2 14) 11.07 0.081 PPB 3-23 3910 15) m p-XYLENE #2 11.31 7478 0,151 PPB 16) o-XYLENE #2 11.80 4472 0.075 PPB fit ek . 11 1 į · · 32 3 - . ( 111 11 447 1.1 1 1 1 1 (m) = manual int 36 (f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window0322003.D 210104B.M Tue Mar 23 14:43:14 2021 Page 1



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) 11. - E. Signal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322005.D\FID1A.CH Vial: 5 Signal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322005.D\FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 16:14 Operator: Sample : 03-219-01s Inst : Daryl Misc : Multiplr: 1.00 Sample Amount: 0.00 IntFile Signal #1: events.e IntFile Signal #2: EVENTS2.E Quant Time: Mar 22 16:41 2021 Quant Results File: 210104B.RES Quant Method : E:\BTEX\METHODS\210104B.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : Fid calibration Last Update : Mon Mar 15 10:12:08 2021 i i s Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : 210104B.M Volume Inj. aryî 2 .00 Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : ЗĊ Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 4) S FLUOROBENZENE 6.96 1753240 30.219 PPB 1078255 33.598 PPB 5) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE FLUOROBENZENE #2 12.30 12) S 6.96 12.30 653766 960650 29.156 PPB 👾 17) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 32.770 PPB Target Compounds HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RANGES 8.55 1) H 0.042 PPM 2972866 Entire GAS Envelope (11-30 12.25 2) H 4003565 0.053 (PPM, 00) 3) H GASOLINE (11-30-20) 13.55 960891 0.024 PPM 0.029 PPM 0.013 PPM 7) H MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2-24-2 12.28 190374 entire GAS envelope #2 (11 12.25 GASOLINE #2 (11-30-20) 13.55 8) H 347613 185832 9) H 0.011 PPM MTBE #2 10) 4.69 2139 0.033 PPB 11) BENZENE #2 6.73 6876 0.151 PPB 9,10 11.07 13) TOLUENE, #2 11320 0.249 PPB ETHYLBENZENE #2 14) 2501 0.029 PPB 15) m, p-XYLENE #2 11.33 5538 0.091 PPB 3335 16) O-XYLENE #2 11.82 0.033 PPB Ξ. ŝ 1. 1 i t 1 199 171 (f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window(m)=manual int 38 Page 1 0322005.D 210104B.M Tue Mar 23 14:43:29 2021



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Signal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322006.D\FID1A.CH Vial: 6 Signal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322006.D\FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 16:59 Operator: Sample : 03-219-01s DUP Inst : Daryl Misc Multiplr: 1.00 Sample Amount: 0.00 IntFile Signal #1: events.e IntFile Signal #2: EVENTS2.E Quant Time: Mar 22 17:15 2021 Quant Results File: 210104B.RES Quant Method : E:\BTEX\METHODS\210104B.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : Fid calibration Last Update : Mon Mar 15 10:12:08 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcg Meth : 210104B.M Volume Inj. Signal #2 Phase: UNV. Signal #1 Phase : .00 Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : . t:-.00 Response Conc Units Compound R.T. ------System Monitoring Compounds 6.94 12.29 4) S FLUOROBENZENE 172794029.783 PPB104520232.566 PPB 5) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE FLUOROBENZENE #2 6.94 12.29 12) S 644551 935650 28.743 PPB 17) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 31.917 PPB . Target Compounds HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RANGES 8.55 1) H 2169123 0.027 PPM 2) H Entire GAS Envelope (11-30 12.25 2990365 0.035.0PPM.00 3) Н GASOLINE (11-30-20) 13.55 611100 0.011 PPM 90 133000 268567 122726 7) H MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2-24-2 12.28 MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2727 2 12.25 entire GAS envelope #2 (11 12.25 GASOLINE #2 (11-30-20) 13.55 0.024 PPM 0.008 PPM 8) H 9) H 0.005 PPM 10) MTBE #2 4.67 196 N.D. PPB 11) BENZENE #2 6.72 1019 N.D. PPB 13) TOLUENE, #2 9.09 6787 0.102 PPB ETHYLBENZENE #2 11.07 967 14) N.D. PPB 3637 1924 15) m, p-XYLENE #2 11.32 0.032 PPB 16) o-XYLENE #2 11.81 N.D. PPB 1.1 00 . 211 14 04 3 83 ¥\* . ' 111 11 14. 1 1 (m)=manual int40 (f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window 0322006.D 210104B.M Tue Mar 23 14:43:35 2021 Page 1



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Signal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322001.D\FID1A.CH Vial: 1 Signal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA\D210322\0322001.D\FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 9:41 Operator: Sample : CCVD0322G-1 Inst : Daryl Misc : V2-060-23 Multiplr: 1.00 Sample Amount: 0.00 IntFile Signal #1: events.e IntFile Signal #2: EVENTS2.E Quant Time: Mar 22 10:02 2021 Quant Results File: 210104B.RES Quant Method : E:\BTEX\METHODS\210104B.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : Fid calibration Last Update : Mon Mar 15 10:12:08 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : 210104B.M Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : 311. Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 4) S FLUOROBENZENE 2527484 43.551<sup>1</sup> PPB 6.95 BROMOFLUOROBENZENE 5) S 12.29 1642265 51.201 PPB 12) S FLUOROBENZENE #2 6.95 905481 40.438 PPB 17) S BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 12.30 1244258 42,445 PPB Target Compounds HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RANGES 8.55 1) H 118061765 2.276 PPM Entire GAS Envelope (11-30 12.25 2) H 125143815 2.253 PPM 3) H GASOLINE (11-30-20) 13.55 2.292 PPM 65794016 7) H MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2-24-2 12.28 1.737, PPM 2.303 PPM 19673030 8) H entire GAS envelope #2 (11 12.25 33542675 9) H GASOLINE #2 (11-30-20) 13.55 2.346 PPM 26452986 10) MTBE #2 4.59306601 17.443 PPB 11) BENZENE #2 6.71 801682 23.567 PPB 13) TOLUENE #2 9.09 6618881 215.084 PPB 14) ETHYLBENZENE #2 11.05 1266843 46.346 PPB 15) m, p-XYLENE #211.30 6053538 187.771 PPB 16) O-XYLENE #2 11.80 77.272 PPB 2118414 21; 5

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window 0322001.D 210104B.M Tue Mar 23 14:43:41 2021

(m) = manual int 42

Page 1



Sig Sig Acq Sam Mis Int Qua Qua Tit Las Res Dat Vol Sig Sig	<pre>mal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA mal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA f On : 22 Mar 2021 ple : CCVD0322G-2 sc : V2-060-23 File Signal #1: event ant Time: Mar 22 20:48 ant Method : E:\BTEX\M the ison ison ison ison ison ison ison ison</pre>	Quantitation Re A\D210322\032201 A\D210322\032201 20:30 cs.e IntFile 3 2021 Quant Re METHODS\210104B. oration 15 10:12:08 2021 Calibration 4	sport (Not R 1.D\FID1A.CH 1.D\FID2B.CH Signal #2: EV sults File: 21 M (Chemstation	Vial: 11 Operator: Inst : Dary Multiplr: 1.00 e Amount: 0.00 ENTS2.E 0104B.RES Integrator)
Sig Sig Acc Sam Mis Int Qua Qua Tit Las Res Dat Vol Sig Sig	<pre>mal #1 : E:\BTEX\DATA mal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA mal #2 : E:\BTEX\DATA f On : 22 Mar 2021 mple : CCVD0322G-2 sc : V2-060-23 File Signal #1: event ant Time: Mar 22 20:48 ant Method : E:\BTEX\M the ison Mar 1 sc is</pre>	A\D210322\032201 A\D210322\032201 20:30 cs.e IntFile 3 2021 Quant Re METHODS\210104B. oration 15 10:12:08 2021 Calibration 4	1.D\FID1A.CH 1.D\FID2B.CH Sampl Signal #2: EV sults File: 21 M (Chemstation	Vial: 11 Operator: Inst : Dary Multiplr: 1.00 e Amount: 0.00 ENTS2.E 0104B.RES Integrator)
Int Qua Qua Tit Las Res Dat Vol Sig Sig	File Signal #1: event ant Time: Mar 22 20:48 ant Method : E:\BTEX\M the : Fid calin st Update : Mon Mar 1 sponse via : Initial ( aAcq Meth : 210104B.M ume Inj. : nal #1 Phase : nal #1 Info :	cs.e IntFile 3 2021 Quant Re METHODS\210104B. Dration 15 10:12:08 2021 Calibration 4	Sampi Signal #2: EV Sults File: 21 M (Chemstation	E Amount: 0.00 VENTS2.E 0104B.RES Integrator)
Qua Qua Tit Las Res Dat Vol Sig Sig	ant Time: Mar 22 20:48 ant Method : E:\BTEX\M tle : Fid calib st Update : Mon Mar 1 ponse via : Initial ( caAcq Meth : 210104B.M ume Inj. : pnal #1 Phase : pnal #1 Info :	3 2021 Quant Re METHODS\210104B. Dration 15 10:12:08 2021 Calibration 4	sults File: 21 M (Chemstation	0104B.RES
Qua Tit Las Res Dat Vol Sig Sig	Int Method : E:\BTEX\M : Fid calib st Update : Mon Mar 1 sponse via : Initial ( aAcq Meth : 210104B.M ume Inj. : nal #1 Phase : nal #1 Info :	METHODS\210104B. Dration 15 10:12:08 2021 Calibration M	M (Chemstation	Integrator)
Vol Sig Sig	ume Inj. : mal #1 Phase : mal #1 Info :	~ •		
Sig	nal #1 Info :	Cia	mal #2 Dhaga	ary:
		Sig	nal #2 Info :	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
Syste 4) S 5) S 12) S 17) S Targe 1) H 2) H 3) H	m Monitoring Compound FLUOROBENZENE BROMOFLUOROBENZENE FLUOROBENZENE #2 BROMOFLUOROBENZENE #2 t Compounds HAWAII GRO C-5-C12 RA Entire GAS Envelope GASOLINE (11-30-20)	As 6.97 12.27 6.97 2 12.27 2 12.27 ANGES 8.55 (11-30 12.25 13.55	140754 381029 42323 128635 110968092 116379590 65905713	2.452 PPB 11.837 PPB 1.751 PPB 4.388 PPB 2.138 PPM 2.094 PPM 2.296 PPM
7) H 8) H 9) H 10) 11) 13) 14) 15) 16)	MINERAL SPIRITS #2 (2 entire GAS envelope # GASOLINE #2 (11-30-20 MTBE #2 BENZENE #2 TOLUENE #2 ETHYLBENZENE #2 m,p-XYLENE #2 o-XYLENE #2	(2-24-2) 12.28 (11) 12.25 (11) 13.55 (4.59) (6.70) (9.08) (11.03) (11.29) (11.78)	$\begin{array}{r} 20141051\\ 33575752\\ 27244775\\ 255844\\ 800131\\ 6968225\\ 1349520\\ 6324176\\ 2220028 \end{array}$	1.778 PPM 2.305 PPM 2.416 PPM 14.541 PPB 23.521 PPB 226.442 PPB 49.375 PPB 196.169 PPB 80.983 PPB
÷.				



## Diesel and Heavy Oil Range Organics NWTPH-Dx Data

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T60.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 13:02 Operator : JT Sample : 03-219-01 ACU : Misc ALS Vial : 60 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 13:37:52 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title ; GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound \_\_\_\_\_\_ System Monitoring Compounds System Monitoring Compounds14.43712819073642.284PI1) SO-Terphenyl (05-04-20)14.437Recovery = 84.57% 128190736 42.284 PPM Spiked Amount 50.000 19058599 NoCal PPM 21449267 3.513 PPM 19596516 1.571 PPM Target Compounds 4.000 

 2) H
 Gasoline
 4.000

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27...
 10.000

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23...
 14.000

 5) H
 Oil (05-01-20)
 22.000

 6) H
 Oil Acid Clean (05-01...
 22.000

 7) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ...
 14.000

 8) H
 Oil Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000

 9) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000

 10) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000

 10) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000

 12) H
 HAWATT 8015M DF2 (05-...
 14.000

 Gasoline 21449267 19596516 50353024 13.655 PPM 50353024 5,052 PPM 

 50353024
 1.073
 PPM

 16964172
 1.073
 PPM

 47179925
 13.212
 PPM

 47179925
 4.591
 PPM

 44898471
 13.136
 PPM

 44898471
 4.422
 PPM

 19151829
 2.463
 PPM

 14
 632
 PPM

 440-44898471 19151829 \*4325500 10) H 11) H 12) H 13) H 14) H 11) HOil Acid Clean MO COM...22.000191518292.463 PPM12) HHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...14.0004432550014.632 PPM13) HHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...22.0004432550014.632 PPM14) HMineral Oil (05-01-20)16.000179915632.532 PPM15) HMineral Oil Combo (05...16.000122718052.365 PPM16) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...14.000195965161.057 PPM17) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU Co...14.000169641720.611 PPM 17) H Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co... 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T61.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 13:44 Operator : JT Sample : 03-219-02 ACU Misc : ALS Vial : 61 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autointl.e Quant Time: Mar 22 14:20:15 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ System Monitoring Compounds 
 System Monitoring Compounds
 121152173
 39.991
 PPM

 1) S
 O-Terphenyl (05-04-20)
 14.440
 121152173
 39.991
 PPM

 ability of Decivery
 50,000
 Recovery
 = 79.98%
 Spiked Amount 50.000 Target Compounds 18205184 NoCal PPM 20727295 3.242 PPM 16389569 0.254 PPM 4.000 

 2) H
 Gasoline

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27...

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23...

 14.000

 11.000

 12.000

 2) H Gasoline 20727295 16389569 41324395 8.747 PPM 5) H Oil (05-01-20) 41324395 1.047 PPM 14968808 0 234 PPM 6) H Oil Acid Clean (05-01... 22.000 7) H Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ... 14.000 14968808 39789334 39789334 38572440 38572440 16042563 0.234 PPM 

 8) H
 Oil Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000

 9) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000

 9.136 PPM 1.268 PPM 

 8) H
 Oil Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000
 39789334

 9) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000
 39789334

 10) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000
 38572440

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000
 38572440

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000
 38572440

 12) H
 HAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...
 14.000
 16042563

 13) H
 HAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...
 22.000
 38069070

 14) H
 Mineral Oil (05-01-20)
 16.000
 13018489

 15) H
 Mineral Oil Combo (05...
 16.000
 9572329

 16) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...
 14.000
 16389569

 17) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co...
 14.000
 14968808

 9.553 PPM 1.504 PPM 1.214 PPM 38069070 11.102 PPM 13018489 0,698 PPM 9572329 1,331 PPM N.D. PPM 14968808 N.D. PPM 17) H Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co... 

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T62.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 14:26 Operator : JT Sample : 03-219-03 ACU Misc . ALS Vial : 62 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 15:02:35 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . System Monitoring Compounds 
 System Monitoring Compounds
 1
 92662230
 30.709
 Pr

 1) S
 O-Terphenyl (05-04-20)
 14.437
 92662230
 30.709
 Pr

 1) S
 O-Terphenyl (05-04-20)
 14.437
 Recovery = 61.42%
 92662230 30.709 PPM Spiked Amount 50.000 Target Compounds 18649307 NoCal PPM 4,000 

 2) H
 Gasoline

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27... 10.000

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23... 14.000

 512 (05 01-20)
 22.000

 36153498 35021905 9,024 PPM 7.905 PPM 45207670 10.858 PPM 5) H Oil (05-01-20) 45207670 2,770 PPM 6) H Oil Acid Clean (05-01... 22.000 

 6) H
 Oil Acid Crean (05-01...
 22.011

 7) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ...
 14.000

 8) H
 Oil Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000

 9) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000

 10) H
 Oil MO Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000

 12) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000

 12) H
 UNNATT 8015M DF2 (05-...
 14.000

 7.635 PPM 32560014 
 32580014
 7.835
 PPM

 41290162
 9.964
 PPM

 41290162
 1.943
 PPM

 39129027
 9.868
 PPM

 39129027
 1.760
 PPM

 34608741
 8.673
 PPM
 39129027 34608741 52591257 11) HOil Acid Clean MO Com...22.000346087418.673 PPM12) HHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...14.000346087418.673 PPM13) HHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...22.0003859125711.396 PPM14) HMineral Oil (05-01-20)16.000311632787.389 PPM15) HMineral Oil Combo (05...16.000267698877.923 PPM16) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...14.000350219056.535 PPM17) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU CO...14.000325600146.290 PPM 17) H Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co... \_\_\_\_\_

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File ; 0322-T63.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On ; 22 Mar 2021 15:09 Operator : JT Sample : 03-219-04 ACU Misc : ALS Vial : 63 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 15:45:11 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode; Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds System Montcoring Compounds14.4368480498528.149PPM1) S0-Terphenyl (05-04-20)14.436Recovery = 56.30%Spiked Amount50.000Recovery = 56.30% Spiked Amount 50,000 Target Compounds 17439045 NoCal PPM 20274366 3.072 PPM 16871218 0.451 PPM 17439055 20274366 3.074 16871218 0.451 PPM 16871218 8.423 PPM 782 PPM 4.000 2) H Gasoline 

 2) H
 Gasoline
 4.000

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27...
 10.000

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23...
 14.000

 5) H
 Oil (05-01-20)
 22.000

 6) H
 Oil Acid Clean (05-01...
 22.000

 a) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (00-01...
 21.000

 16871225 40727686 8.425 40727686 0.782 PPM 40727686 0.304 PPM 552 PPM 

 6) H
 OII Adid Clean (05-01...
 14.000

 7) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ...
 14.000

 8) H
 Oil Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000

 9) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000

 10) H
 Oil MO Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000

 15136565
 0.304
 PPM

 38911693
 8.652
 PPM

 38911693
 0.874
 PPM

 37423822
 8.902
 PPM

 37423822
 0.974
 PPM

 16513917
 1.403
 PPM

 11) HOil Adid Clean MO COM...22.000165139171.403 PPM12) HHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...14.0003691757710.452 PPM13) HHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...22.0003691757710.452 PPM14) HMineral Oil (05-01-20)16.000140336931.072 PPM15) HMineral Oil Combo (05...16.000103577411.632 PPM16) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...14.000168712180.090 PPM17) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU Co...14.00015136565N.D. 17) H Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co... 

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T64.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 15:51 Operator : JT Sample : 03-219-05 ACU Misc : ALS Vial : 64 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 16:27:26 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via ; Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 
 System Monitoring Compounds
 14.441
 128304357
 42.321
 PPM

 1) S O-Terphenyl (05-04-20)
 14.441
 128304357
 42.321
 PPM

 apiked Amount
 50.000
 Recovery = 84.64%
 84.64%
 Spiked Amount 50.000 Target Compounds 18398873 NoCal PPM 32353093 7.599 PPM 32872619 7.022 PPM 4,000 2) H Gasoline 

 2) H
 Gasoline

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05~27...

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23...

 14.000

 11.005-01-20

 32353093 32872619 
 3207201
 16.660
 FILL

 55890291
 7.509
 PPM

 55890291
 6.312
 PPM

 5500
 FILL
 6.312
 5) H Oil (05-01-20) 6) HOil Acid Clean (05-01...22.000558902917.509 PPM7) HDiesel Fuel #2 Combo ...14.000294147206.312 PPM8) HOil Combo (05-01-20)22.0005145448315.569 PPM9) HOil Acid Clean Combo ...22.000514544836.513 PPM10) HOil MO Combo (05-01-20)22.0004845488615.151 PPM11) HOil Acid Clean MO Com...22.000484548866.063 PPM12) HHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...14.000323725027.774 PPM13) HHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...22.0004784865616.620 PPM14) HMineral Oil (05-01-20)16.000314686597.502 PPM15) HMineral Oil Combo (05...16.000241684776.926 PPM16) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...14.000328726195.772 PPM17) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU Co...14.000294147205.145 PPM 6) H Oil Acid Clean (05-01... 22.000 6) H Oil Acid Clean (05-01... 14.000 17) H Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co... 14.000 29414720 5.145 PPM

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T65.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 16:34 Operator : JT Sample : 03-219-06 ACU Misc : Misc ALS Vial : 65 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 17:10:33 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 
 System Monitoring Compounds

 1) S
 O-Terphenyl (05-04-20)
 14.443
 115819711
 38.254 PPM

 bedked Amount
 50.000
 Recovery = 76.51%
 Spiked Amount 50.000 Target Compounds 18567860 NoCal PPM 25238569 4.933 PPM 24266482 3.488 PPM 4.000 

 2) H
 Gasoline
 10.000

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27... 10.000

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23... 14.000

 21. (05.01-20)
 22.000

 25238569 24266482 24266462 0.111 55046545 16.207 PPM 

 5) H
 Oil (05-01-20)
 22.000
 55046545
 16.207 PPM

 6) H
 Oil Acid Clean (05-01...
 22.000
 55046545
 7.134 PPM

 7) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ...
 14.000
 20931237
 2.742 PPM

 8) H
 Oil Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000
 51837754
 15.780 PPM

 9) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000
 51837754
 6.686 PPM

 10) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000
 48941468
 15.427 PPM

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000
 48941468
 6.287 PPM

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com...
 22.000
 48941468
 6.287 PPM

 12) H
 HAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...
 14.000
 23759979
 4.314 PPM

 13) H
 HAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...
 22.000
 48360364
 16.909 PPM

 14) H
 Mineral Oil (05-01-20)
 16.000
 24331771
 4.870 PPM

 15) H
 Mineral Oil Combo (05...
 16.000
 16499674
 3.986 PPM

 16) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...
 14.000
 20931237
 2.056 PPM

 16) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co....
 14.000<

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T66.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 17:17 Operator : JT Sample : 03-219-07 ACU Misc : ALS Vial : 66 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 17:53:39 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units \_\_\_\_\_ Compound \_\_\_\_\_ System Monitoring Compounds System Monitoring Compounds 1) S O-Terphenyl (05-04-20) 14.443 118782951 39.219 PPM Recovery = 78.44% Spiked Amount 50.000 Target Compounds 18385935 NoCal PPM 25603358 5.070 PPM 26761533 4.513 PPM 4.000 

 2) H
 Gasoline
 1.000

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27... 10.000

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23... 14.000

 5) H
 Oil (05-01-20)
 22.000

 6) H
 Oil Acid Clean (05-01... 22.000

 7) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ... 14.000

 2) H Gasoline 25603358 26761533 66265410 22.306 PPM 

 66265410
 22.306
 PPM

 66265410
 12.111
 PPM

 22534242
 3.417
 PPM

 61959482
 21.362
 PPM

 61959482
 11.237
 PPM

 58337070
 20.749
 PPM

 58337070
 10.622
 PPM

 26168495
 5.282
 PPM

 5) HOll (01Oll (026) HOil Acid Clean (05-01... 22.000225342427) HDiesel Fuel #2 Combo ... 14.000619594828) HOil Combo (05-01-20)22.000619594829) HOil Acid Clean Combo ... 22.0005833707010) HOil Acid Clean MO Com... 22.0005833707011) HOil Acid Clean MO Com... 22.0005833707012) HHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-... 14.0002616849513) HHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-... 22.0005767537114) HMineral Oil (05-01-20)16.0001813804215) HMineral Oil Combo (05... 16.0001813804216) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU (0... 14.0002253424217) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU Co... 14.00022534242 22,165 PPM 6.527 PPM 4.614 PPM 26761533 3.602 PPM 22534242 2.639 PPM \_\_\_\_\_\_

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T59.D Signal(s) ; FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 12:20 Operator : JT Sample : MB031951 ACU Misc : ALS Vial : 59 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 12:55:37 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound \_\_\_\_\_\_ System Monitoring Compounds 
 System Monitoring Compounds

 1) S O-Terphenyl (05-04-20)
 14,436
 132029213
 43.535 PPM
 Recovery = 87.07% Spiked Amount 50.000 17065367 NoCal PPM 20857952 3.291 PPM 19278671 1.440 PPM Target Compounds 4,000 2) H Gasoline 
 2) H
 Gasoline
 10.000

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27...
 10.000

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23...
 14.000

 ...
 ...
 ...
 22.000
 20857952 19278671 47619784 4761978412.170PPM476197843.840PPM168290171.016PPM4498203312.000PPM449820333.603PPM4287454611.990PPM428745463.488PPM188735962.351PPM42828125112.470PPM 12.170 PPM 5) H Oil (05-01-20) 6) H Oil Acid Clean (05-01... 22.000 7) H Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ... 14.000 8) H Oil Combo (05-01-20) 22.000 9) H Oil Acid Clean Combo ... 22.000 
 10) H
 Oil MO Combo
 22.000

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com
 22.000
 Oll Acid Clean Mo Com...ZZ.000TZ074540D.100 PPMHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...14.000188735962.351 PPMHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...22.0004228135113.479 PPMMineral Oil (05-01-20)16.000174744602.341 PPMMineral Oil Combo (05...16.000125528242.473 PPMDiesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...14.000192786710.945 PPMDiesel Fuel #2 ACU Co...14.000168290170.562 PPM 12) H 13) H 14) H 15) H 16) H Diesel Fuel #2 ACU (0... 17) H Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co... ................

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window

(m)=manual int.

-------------



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T68.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 18:42 Operator : JT Sample : SB0319S1 EUP ACU Misc . . ALS Vial : 68 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 19:18:28 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH OLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds System Monitoring Compounds 1) S O-Terphenyl (05-04-20) 14.443 141995524 46.782 PPM Bocovery = 93.56% Recovery = 93.56% Spiked Amount 50,000 Target Compounds 39005112NoCalPPM26173337893.568PPM267412272103.338PPM6595490622.138PPM 4.000 2) H Gasoline 
 2) H
 Gasoline
 4.000

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27...
 10.000

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27...
 10.000

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23...
 14.000

 5) H
 Oil (05-01-20)
 22.000

 6) H
 Oil Acid Clean (05-01...
 22.000

 7) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ...
 14.000

 8) H
 Oil Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000

 9) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ...
 22.000

 2674122. 65954906 22.138 FFM 65954906 11.974 PPM 103.330 PPM b) HOll (05-01-20)22.0006595490622.138 PPM6) HOil Acid Clean (05-01...22.0006595490611.974 PPM7) HDiesel Fuel #2 Combo ...14.000259990186103.330 PPM8) HOil Combo (05-01-20)22.0004948974014.486 PPM9) HOil Acid Clean Combo ...22.000494897405.630 PPM10) HOil MO Combo (05-01-20)22.0004278527211.939 PPM11) HOil Acid Clean MO Com...22.000427852723.447 PPM12) HHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...14.000266079981101.668 PPM13) HHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...22.0004208179613.366 PPM14) HMineral Oil (05-01-20)16.00018438577263.893 PPM15) HMineral Oil Combo (05...16.00017744386165.680 PPM16) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...14.00026741227289.055 PPM17) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU Co...14.00025999018689.112 PPM 17) H Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co... 14.000 259990186 89.112 PPM

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T67.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 18:00 Operator : JT Sample : SB0319S1 ACU DDP Misc : ALS Vial : 67 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 18:36:11 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound \_\_\_\_\_ System Monitoring Compounds 
 System Monitoring Compounds
 14.439
 109008632
 36.034
 PPM

 1) S
 0-Terphenyl (05-04-20)
 14.439
 Recovery = 72.07%

 Iniked Amount
 50.000
 Recovery = 72.07%
 Spiked Amount 50.000 Target Compounds 31101790 NoCal PPM 210867384 74.504 PPM 4.000 2)  $\overline{H}$  Gasoline 3) H Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27... 10.000 210867384 21086700-219166302 

 5) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27...
 10.000

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23...
 14.000

 5) H
 Oil (05-01-20)
 22.000

 6) H
 Oil Acid Clean (05-01...
 22.000

 7) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ...
 14.000

 8) H
 Oil Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000

 9) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo
 22.000

 83.525 PPM 23.111 PPM 67745871 67745871 12.768 PPM 212007797 83.140 PPM 7) HDiesel Fuel #2 Combo14.00021200779783.140PPM8) HOil Combo (05-01-20)22.0005269320316.252PPM9) HOil Acid Clean Combo22.000526932037.070PPM10) HOil MO Combo (05-01-20)22.0004625199913.903PPM11) HOil Acid Clean MO Com...22.000462519995.046PPM12) HHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...14.00021806580882.378PPM13) HHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...22.0004554826715.322PPM14) HMineral Oil (05-01-20)16.00015817029554.225PPM15) HMineral Oil Combo (05...16.00015057735955.381PPM16) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...14.00021916630271.924PPM17) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU Co...14.00021200779771.638PPM \_\_\_\_\_

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window



Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T58.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 11:28 Operator : JT Sample : CCV0322R-T2 Misc : ALS Vial : 58 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 12:04:00 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : Response Conc Units R,Τ. Compound \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ System Monitoring Compounds System Monitoring Compounds0N.D. PH1) SO-Terphenyl (05-04-20)0.000Recovery = 0.00% 0 N.D. PPM Spiked Amount 50.000 Target Compounds 47268895 NoCal PPM 279763667 100.325 PPM 4,000 2) H Gasoline 

 2) H
 Gasoline

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27...

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23...

 5) H
 Odl (05-01-20)

 278241328 107.785 PPM 67764241 23.121 PPM 67764241 12.776 PPM 67764241 12.770 67764241 12.770 108.313 PPM 5) H Oil (05-01-20) 6) H Oil Acid Clean (05-01... 22.000 7) H Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ... 14.000 

 5) H
 Oil (00 01 (00 01 1)
 22.000
 67704241
 14.011

 6) H
 Oil Acid Clean (05-01... 22.000
 271834292
 108.313 PPM

 7) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ... 14.000
 271834292
 108.313 PPM

 8) H
 Oil Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000
 52968911
 16.404 PPM

 9) H
 Oil Acid Clean Combo ... 22.000
 52968911
 7.194 PPM

 10) H
 Oil MO Combo (05-01-20)
 22.000
 47184752
 14.431 PPM

 10) H
 Oil Acid Clean MO Com... 22.000
 47184752
 5.477 PPM

 11) H
 Oil Acid Clean MD F2 (05-... 14.000
 276721665
 105.944 PPM

 11) HOil Acid Clean MO Com...22.0001110110112) HHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...14.000276721665105.944 PPM13) HHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-...22.0004644032315.826 PPM14) HMineral Oil (05-01-20)16.00018134092162.770 PPM15) HMineral Oil Combo (05...16.00017522763364.830 PPM16) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU (0...14.00027824132892.901 PPM17) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU Co...14.00027183429293.425 PPM 17) H Diesel Fuel #2 ACU Co... 

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window


Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\T210322.SEC\ Data File : 0322-T69.D Signal(s) : FID2B.CH Acq On : 22 Mar 2021 19:25 Operator : JT Sample : CCV0322R-T3 Misc : ALS Vial : 69 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Mar 22 20:00:53 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\T200501R.M Quant Title : GCTPH QLast Update : Fri Feb 05 08:11:56 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Large solvent peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info : R.T. Response Conc Units Compound \_\_\_\_\_ System Monitoring Compounds0N.D. PI1) S0-Terphenyl (05-04-20)0.000Recovery = 0.00%Recovery = 0.00% System Monitoring Compounds N.D. PPM Spiked Amount 50.000 Target Compounds 47496951 NoCal PPM 274534587 98.365 PPM 

 2) H
 Gasoline
 4.000

 3) H
 Diesel Fuel #1 (05-27... 10.000

 4) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 (01-23... 14.000

 5) H
 Oil (05-01-20)
 22.000

 6) H
 Oil Acid Clean (05-01... 22.000

 7) H
 Diesel Fuel #2 Combo ... 14.000

 4.000 274534587 98.305 1... 271281450 104.927 PPM 53927280 15.599 PPM 53927280 6.638 PPM 265680154 105.724 PPM 274534587 7) HDiesel Fuel #2 Combo22.0005392728015.599 PPM8) HOil Combo (05-01-20)22.000400331809.271 PPM9) HOil Acid Clean Combo22.000400331801.378 PPM10) HOil MO Combo (05-01-20)22.000349568467.505 PPM11) HOil Acid Clean MO Com...22.00034956846N.D. PPM12) HHAWAII 8015M DF2 (05-...14.000269825223103.173 PPM13) HHAWAII 8015M Oil (05-01-20)16.00017426632760.161 PPM14) HMineral Oil Combo (05...16.00016989439762.786 PPM15) HMineral Oil Combo (05...14.00027128145090.429 PPM16) HDiesel Fuel #2 ACU Co...14.00026568015491.184 PPM 

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window

(m)=manual int.



Volatiles Organics EPA 8260D Data

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DATA\A210319\A0319021.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 6:25 pm Or vial: 21 Operator: Inst : Albert Sample 03-219-01x : Multiplr: 1.00 Misc MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: A210315Q.RES Quant Time: Mar 22 9:02 2021 Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\A210315Q.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 8260 Calibration Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:28 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : A210315Q R.T. QIon Response Conc Units Dev(Min) Internal Standards 50.00 ppb 3.69 0.02 857283 168 1) Pentafluorobenzene 1454761 50.00 ppb 0.02 28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 4.37 114 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 1265317 0.02 38) Chlorobenzene-d5 7.09 117 55) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 9.35 152 719004 0.01 System Monitoring Compounds 23) Dibromofluoromethane 3,61 111 454983 49.38 ppb 0.02 98.76% Spiked Amount Range\_ 74 - 131 50,000 Recovery = 50.70 ppb 5.74 98 0.01 36) Toluene-d8 1720603 Range 78 - 128 8.22 95 Recovery = 101.40%50.000 Spiked Amount 8.22 95 632794 48.90 ppb 0.01 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount Range 71 - 130 = 97.80% 50.000 Recoverv Qvalue Target Compounds 4.31 ppb 21386 93 1.34 43 9) Acetone 3/25/21 1.47 76 522850m 11.87 ppb 11) Carbon Disulfide





Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\W210323\ Data File : W032306.D Acq On : 23 Mar 2021 11:02 am Operator : Sample : 03-219-03x RR Misc : ALS Vial : 6 Sample Multiplier: 1 Quant Time: Mar 24 09:20:10 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\W210203S.M Quant Title : QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration R.T. QIon Response Conc Units Dev(Min) Compound \_\_\_\_\_ Internal Standards 1) Pentafluorobenzene4.09116815307750.00 ppb28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene4.69711420924150.00 ppb38) Chlorobenzene-d57.25411719529550.00 ppb55) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d49.46615210890950.00 ppb 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 System Monitoring Compounds 23) Dibromofluoromethane 4.045 111 71848 49.95 ppb Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 76 - 131 Recovery = 99.90% 0.00 23) Dibromofluoromethane 5.962 98 244926 51.14 ppb 0.00 36) Toluene-d8 Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 78 - 128 Recovery = 102.28% 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene 8.360 95 110849 50.71 ppb 0.00 Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 71 - 130 Recovery = 101.42% Ovalue Target Compounds 2.51 ppb # 95 6790 2.361 76 11) Carbon Disulfide \_\_\_\_\_

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration (+) = signals summed

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\W210323\ Data File : W032306.D : 23 Mar 2021 11:02 am Acq On Operator : 03-219-03x RR Sample : Misc Sample Multiplier: 1 ALS Vial : 6 Ouant Time: Mar 24 09:20:10 2021 Ouant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\W210203S.M Ouant Title QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 2021





(QT Reviewed) Quantitation Report Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DATA\A210319\A0319022.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 6:54 pm Or Vial: 22 Operator: Acq On 03-219-04x Inst : Albert Sample. Multiplr: 1.00 Misc MS Integration Params: rteint.p Ouant Time: Mar 22 9:03 2021 Quant Results File: A210315Q.RES Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\A210315Q.M (RTE Integrator) : 8260 Calibration Title Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:28 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : A210315Q R.T. QION Response Conc Units Dev(Min) Internal Standards 50.00 ppb 0.02 3.69 168 827552 1) Pentafluorobenzene 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 4.37 1444472 0.02 114 1256593 0.02 38) Chlorobenzene-d5 7.09 117 50.00 ppb 0.0155) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 9.34 152 709715 System Monitoring Compounds 0.02 443334 49.84 ppb 23) Dibromofluoromethane 3.61 **11**1 Range 74 - 131 99.68% Spiked Amount 50.000 Recovery = 5.74 50.41 ppb 98 0.01 1698652 36) Toluene-d8 Range 78 - 128 8.22 95 = 100.82% Recovery Spiked Amount 50.000 611821 47.61 ppb 0.01 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene Range 71 - 130 = 95.22% Spiked Amount 50.000 Recovery Qvalue Target Compounds 9.08 ppb 9) Acetone 11) Carbon Disulfide 19) 2-Butanone 43473 1.33 43 # 84 1.47 76 4**1**6610m 9.80 ppb 3.17 43 7960 1.62 ppb 96



A0319022.D A210323Q.M

Thu Mar 25 13:39:42 2021





(QT Reviewed) Quantitation Report Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DATA\A210319\A0319023.D Acq on : 19 Mar 2021 7:23 pm or vial: 23 Operator: Sample Inst : Albert 03-219-05x : Multiplr: 1.00 Misc MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: Mar 22 9:04 2021 Quant Results File: A210315Q.RES Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\A210315Q.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 8260 Calibration Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:28 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : A2103150 R.T. QION Response Conc Units Dev(Min) Internal Standards 50.00 ppb 0.02 797592 3.68 168 1) Pentafluorobenzene 1415217 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 4.37 114 0.02 38) Chlorobenzene-d5 55) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 1219275 7.09 117 0.02 9.34 682933 50.00 ppb 0.00 152 System Monitoring Compounds 50.06 ppb 0.02 23) Dibromofluoromethane 429164 3.61 111 = 100.12% 50.000 Range 74 - 131 Spiked Amount Recovery 49.67 ppb 5.74 98 1639659 0.00 36) ⊤oluene-d8 Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 78 - 128 Recovery = 99.34% 48.63 ppb 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene 8.22 95 606306 0.00 Range 71 - 130 = 97.26% Spiked Amount 50.000 Recovery Qvalue Target Compounds 4.90 ppb 9) Acetone 22625m 1.33 43 11) Carbon Disulfide 1.47 76 165013m 4.03 ppb

3/25/21



A0319023.D A210323Q.M

Thu Mar 25 13:40:02 2021



(OT Reviewed) Quantitation Report Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DATA\A210319\A0319024.D vial: 24 : 19 Mar 2021 7:52 pm Operator: Acq On : Albert : 03-219-06x Sample Inst Multiplr: 1.00 Misc MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: A210315Q.RES Quant Time: Mar 22 9:05 2021 Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\A210315Q.M (RTE Integrator) : 8260 Calibration Title Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:28 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcg Meth : A210315Q R.T. QIon Response Conc Units Dev(Min) Internal Standards 1) Pentafluorobenzene 3.69 168 792833 50.00 ppb 0.02 50.00 ppb 0.02 28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 1405002 4.37 114 7.09 117 1233810 50.00 ppb 0.02 38) Chlorobenzene-d5 654337 50.00 ppb 0.01 55) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 9.35 152 System Monitoring Compounds 23) Dibromofluoromethane 3.61 111 437310 51.32 ppb 0.02 Range 74 - 131 5.74 98 Recovery = 102.64% 9762 49.73 ppb Spiked Amount 50,000 36) Toluene-d8 1629762 0.01 Spiked Amount Range 78 - 128 Recovery 99.46% 50.000 = 8.22 95 587491 46.56 ppb 0.01 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 71 - 130 Recovery = 93.12% Ovalue Target Compounds 8.78 ppb 7.22 ppb 9) Acetone 11) Carbon Disulfide 1.34 43 40276 97 1.47 294307m 76 19) 2-Butanone 8731 1.86 ppb 81 3.18 43 #

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration A0319024.D A210323Q.M Thu Mar 25 13:40:40 2021



A0319024.D A210323Q.M





(QT Reviewed) Quantitation Report Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DATA\A210319\A0319006.D vial: 6 19 Mar 2021 10:58 am Operator: Acq On : Inst : Albert Sample MB0319S1 2 Multiplr: 1.00 Misc MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: A210315Q.RES Quant Time: Mar 22 8:30 2021 Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\A210315Q.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 8260 Calibration Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:28 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : A210315Q R.T. QIon Response Conc Units Dev(Min) Internal Standards 50.00 ppb 0.01 168 808388 1) Pentafluorobenzene 3.68 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 4.37 114 1416942 0.02 38) Chlorobenzene-d5 117 1220124 0.01 7.08 50.00 ppb 0.01 55) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 9.35 152 703650 system Monitoring Compounds 49.20 ppb 0.01 23) Dibromofluoromethane 3.61 111 427482 98.40% 50.000 Range 74 - 131 -Spiked Amount Recovery 49.32 ppb 5.74 98 1630248 0.01 36) Toluene-d8 Range 78 - 128 8.21 95 50.000 Recovery = 98.64% Spiked Amount 95 48.27 ppb 8.21 602250 0.00 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene 96.54% Spiked Amount Range 71 - 130 50.000 Recovery 

Target Compounds

Qvalue



A0319006.D A210323Q.M

Thu Mar 25 13:47:49 2021

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\W210323\ Data File : W032305.D Acq On : 23 Mar 2021 10:30 am Operator : Sample : MB0323S1 Misc : ALS Vial : 5 Sample Multiplier: 1 Quant Time: Mar 24 09:19:39 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\W2102035.M Quant Title : QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration R.T. OION Response Conc Units Dev(Min) Compound \_\_\_\_\_ Internal Standards 1) Pentafluorobenzene4.09116815299850.00 ppb0.0028) 1,4-Difluorobenzene4.69711421705150.00 ppb0.0038) Chlorobenzene-d57.25511720086750.00 ppb0.0055) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d49.46615211082650.00 ppb0.00 System Monitoring Compounds 

 3) Dibromofluoromethane
 4.045
 111
 74483
 51.81 ppb
 0.00

 3piked Amount
 50.000
 Range
 76 - 131
 Recovery
 =
 103.62%

 36) Toluene-d8
 5.962
 98
 245226
 49.36 ppb
 0.00

 Spiked Amount
 50.000
 Range
 78 - 128
 Recovery
 =
 98.72%

 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene
 8.354
 95
 113261
 50.37 ppb
 0.00

 Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 71 - 130 Recovery = 100,74% Ovalue Target Compounds 

(#) = gualifier out of range (m) = manual integration (+) = signals summed

Data Path : C:\msdchem\l\data\W210323\
Data File : W032305.D
Acq On : 23 Mar 2021 10:30 am
Operator :
Sample : MB0323S1
Misc :
ALS Vial : 5 Sample Multiplier: 1
Quant Time: Mar 24 09:19:39 2021
Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\l\METHODS\W210203S.M

Quant Title : QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration



Quant	itati	on Rep	ort (QT	Reviewed)	
Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DAT Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 9:21 am Sample : SB0319S1 (CCV0319S1) Misc : V4-077-16,V4-077-05 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: Mar 19 10:06 2021	A\A21	0319\A Q	0319003.D Ope In: Mu uant Result	Vial: 3 erator: st : Albo ltiplr: 1.00 ts File: A2	ert ) 10315Q.RES
Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS Title : 8260 Calibration Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:28 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : A210315Q	\A2103 2021	315Q.М	(RTE Inte	grator)	
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units	Dev(Min)
1) Pentafluorobenzene 28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 38) Chlorobenzene-d5 55) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4	3.68 4.37 7.08 9.34	168 114 117 152	878106 1512951 1348583 789146	50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb	0.01 0.02 0.01 0.00
System Monitoring Compounds 23) Dibromofluoromethane Spiked Amount 50.000 Rang 36) Toluene-d8 Spiked Amount 50.000 Rang 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 50.000 Rang	3.61 e 74 5.74 e 78 8.22 e 71	111 - 131 98 - 128 95 - 130	475561 Recover 1760640 Recover 730652 Recover	50.39  ppbry = 10049.89  ppbry = 9952.98  ppbry = 105	0.01 .78% 0.00 .78% 0.00
<pre>Target Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) Trichlorofluoromethane 8) 1,1-Dichloroethene 9) Acetone 10) Iodomethane 11) Carbon Disulfide 12) Methylene Chloride 13) (trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene 14) Methyl t-Butyl Ether 15) 1,1-Dichloroethane 16) Vinyl Acetate 17) 2,2-Dichloroethane 18) (cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene 19) 2-Butanone 20) Bromochloromethane 21) Chloroform 22) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 24) Carbon Tetrachloride 25) 1,1-Dichloropropane 26) Benzene 27) 1,2-Dichloroethane 29) Trichloroethane 30) 1,2-Dichloropropane 31) Dibromomethane 32) Bromodichloromethane 33) 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether 34) (cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene 35) Methyl Isobutyl Ketone 37) Toluene 39) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene 40) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 41) Tetrachloroethane 41) Tetrachloroethane 43) 2-Hexanone 44) Dibromoethane 45) 1,2-Dibromoethane 46) Chlorobenzene</pre>	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64\\ 0.70\\ 0.69\\ 0.26\\ 1.30\\ 1.46\\ 1.20\\ 2.22\\ 3.33\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 85\\ 50\\ 62\\ 96\\ 4101\\ 61\\ 43\\ 142\\ 76\\ 961\\ 73\\ 63\\ 43\\ 77\\ 61\\ 30\\ 89\\ 77\\ 78\\ 62\\ 130\\ 63\\ 174\\ 83\\ 75\\ 97\\ 166\\ 76\\ 439\\ 129\\ 107\\ 112\end{array}$	143493 158872 136644 74007 61319 631763m 1144015m 240103 903729m 2319464 1108000 1133886m 1847953 1563056m 1943746 754006 1009275m 281229 298331 926837m 695691 628052 814080 229258 681281 571880 652790 320125 648983 277357 966873 659218 2539902 766315 439800 634074 815358 439805 634074 815358 438635 469774 437869 1644918	29.04 ppb 38.10 ppb 42.04 ppb 41.02 ppb 48.84 ppb 48.81 ppb 48.75 ppb 54.33 ppb 51.40 ppb 51.02 ppb 51.02 ppb 51.02 ppb 51.02 ppb 51.02 ppb 54.71 ppb 54.01 ppb 55.16 ppb 55.16 ppb 55.16 ppb 55.10 ppb 55.10 ppb 55.10 ppb 55.20 ppb 55.23 ppb 55.23 ppb 55.23 ppb	Qvalue 98 100 97 # 73 91 98 100 96 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10

yn fr

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration A0319003.D A210323Q.M Thu Mar 25 13:41:00 2021 Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed)

Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DATA\A210319\A0319003.D Vial: 3 Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 9:21 am Operator: Sample : SB0319S1 (CCV0319S1) Inst : Albert Misc : V4-077-16,V4-077-05 Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: Mar 19 10:06 2021 Quant Results File: A210315Q.RES

Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\A210315Q.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 8260 Calibration Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:28 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : A210315Q

Compound		R.T.	QION	Response	Conc Ur	iit	Qvalue
Compound 48) Ethylben 49) m,p-Xyle 50) o-Xylene 51) Styrene 52) Bromofor 53) Isopropy 56) Bromoben 57) 1,1,2,2- 58) 1,2,3-Tr 59) n-Propyl	zene ne lbenzene zene Tetrachloroethane ichloropropane benzene	R.T. 7.23 7.35 7.72 7.73 7.89 8.08 8.35 8.37 8.40 8.48	QION 91 91 104 173 105 156 83 75 91	Response 2816706 4718620 2595601 2130091 349211 2978316 675892 732649 458335 3907049	Conc Ur 56.67 112.01 53.26 60.73 55.95 61.05 57.86 61.57 59.02 59.66	ppb ppb ppb ppb ppb ppb ppb ppb	Qvalue 99 99 100 99 100 96 99 99 99
60) 2-Chloro 61) 4-Chloro	toluene	8.66	126	697742 744707	57.17 60.52	ppo daa	99
62) 1,3,5-Tr	imethylbenzene	8.66	105	2503817	62.94	ppb	100
63) tert-But 64) 1 2 4-Tr	ylbenzene imethylbenzene	8.97	119	2057453	58.56	ppb nnh	98
65) sec-Buty	lbenzene	9.18	105	3577046	60.82	ppb	99 99
66) 1,3-Dich	lorobenzene	9.28	146	1420515	58.19	ppb	99
67) p-Isopro	pyltoluene	9.33	119	2628084	58.5/	ppb	99
68) 1,4-DICH	lorobenzene	9.37	140	1325721	60.13	nnh	100
70) $n-Butvlb$	enzene	9.74	91	2749556	64.09	bbb	100
71) 1,2-Dibr	omo-3-chloropropan	10.48	157	116026	55.97	ppb	93
72) 1,2,4-Tr	ichlorobenzene	11.31	180	637851	47.43	ppb	97
73) Hexachlo	robutadiene	11.50	225	460440	56.69	ppb	99
74) Naphthal	ene	11 70	120	TT29993	44.82	ppp	99 07
/ J , Z , J = [ ['	remonopenzene	TT./2	T00	110102	40.05	hhn	57



A0319003.D A210323Q.M

	Quantitati	on Rep	ort (QT	Reviewed)		
Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBER Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 9:5 Sample : SBD0319S1 Misc : V4-077-16,V4-077- MS Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: Mar 22 8:28 202	T\DATA\A21 0 am 05 .p 1	0319\A( QI	D319004.D Ope Ing Mu Jant Result	Vial: 4 erator: st : Albe ltiplr: 1.00 ts File: A21	ert ) L0315Q.RES	
Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\ME Title : 8260 Calibrati Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09: Response via : Initial Calibr DataAcq Meth : A210315Q	THODS\A210 on 05:28 2021 ation	315q.м	(RTE Integ	grator)		
Internal Standards	R.T.	QION	Response	Conc Units	Dev(Min)	
1) Pentafluorobenzene 28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 38) Chlorobenzene-d5 55) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4	3.68 4.36 7.09 9.34	168 114 117 152	890397 1518929 1354323 798079	50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$	
System Monitoring Compounds 23) Dibromofluoromethane spiked Amount 50.000 36) Toluene-d8 spiked Amount 50.000 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene spiked Amount 50.000	3.61 Range 74 5.74 Range 78 8.22 Range 71	111 - 131 98 - 128 95 - 130	495606 Recover 1795268 Recover 735322 Recover	51.79 ppb y = 103. 50.67 ppb y = 101. 53.09 ppb y = 106.	0.01 58% 0.00 34% 0.00 18%	
<pre>Target Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) Trichlorofluoromethane 8) 1,1-Dichloroethene 9) Acetone 10) Iodomethane 11) Carbon Disulfide 12) Methylene Chloride 13) (trans) 1,2-Dichloroeth 14) Methyl t-Butyl Ether 15) 1,1-Dichloroethane 16) Vinyl Acetate 17) 2,2-Dichloropropane 18) (cis) 1,2-Dichloroethen 19) 2-Butanone 20) Bromochloromethane 21) Chloroform 22) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 23) 1,2-Dichloropropene 26) Benzene 27) 1,2-Dichloropropane 30) 1,2-Dichloropropane 30) 1,2-Dichloropropene 26) Benzene 27) 1,2-Dichloropropane 30) 1,2-Dichloropropane 30) 1,2-Dichloropropane 30) 1,2-Dichloropropane 31) Dibromomethane 32) Bromodichloromethane 33) 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether 34) (cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene 35) Methyl Isobutyl Ketone 37) Toluene 39) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropropane 41) Tetrachloroethane 41) Tetrachloroethane 42) 1,3-Dichloropropane 43) 2-Hexanone 44) Dibromochloromethane 45) 1,2-Dibromoethane 45) 1,2-Dibromoethane 46) Chlorobenzene 47) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 40) Chlorobenzene 41) Tetrachloroethane 41) Tetrachloroethane 41) Tetrachloroethane 42) 1,3-Dichloropropane 43) 2-Hexanone 44) Dibromochloromethane 45) 1,2-Dibromoethane 45) 1,2-Dibromoethane 46) Chlorobenzene 47) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 40) 1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 41) 1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 41) 1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 42) 1,1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 43) 1,1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 44) 1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 45) 1,2-Dibromoethane 45) 1,2</pre>	$\begin{array}{c} 0.63\\ 0.70\\ 0.69\\ 0.78\\ 0.80\\ 0.90\\ 1.26\\ 1.34\\ 1.40\\ 1.46\\ 1.75\\ ene\\ 2.08\\ 2.51\\ 2.60\\ 3.12\\ 2.60\\ 3.12\\ 2.60\\ 3.12\\ 2.60\\ 3.12\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.45\\ 3.6\\ 4.91\\ 5.07\\ er\\ 5.80\\ 9en\\ 6.02\\ 6.31\\ 6.33\\ 6.44\\ 6.54\\ 6.54\\ 6.54\\ 6.64\\ 7.11\\ ne\\ 7.19\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 85\\ 50\\ 62\\ 96\\ 41\\ 101\\ 43\\ 142\\ 76\\ 49\\ 61\\ 73\\ 63\\ 43\\ 77\\ 61\\ 130\\ 83\\ 77\\ 130\\ 83\\ 75\\ 130\\ 63\\ 174\\ 83\\ 75\\ 97\\ 166\\ 76\\ 43\\ 129\\ 107\\ 112\\ 133\\ \end{array}$	142518 148071 131522 75582m 60395 620115m 1106252m 236060 912883m 2363943m 112482m 1120476m 1785574 1519112m 1709817 737616 992636m 285592 325460 933307m 697907 608332 797036 2187582 680476 562389 641043 311115 634878 272503 934040 651267 2473737 753347 434518 616658 806272 425831 463619 425254 1612450 494685	28.44 ppb 35.02 ppb 39.90 ppb 41.32 ppb 47.44 ppb 47.24 ppb 46.49 ppb 51.66 ppb 42.79 ppb 51.47 ppb 48.62 ppb 45.21 ppb 45.21 ppb 45.21 ppb 52.78 ppb 56.35 ppb 54.09 ppb 55.76 ppb 55.76 ppb 55.76 ppb 55.15 ppb 54.63 ppb 55.15 ppb 54.63 ppb 55.264 ppb 53.64 ppb 55.264 ppb 55.264 ppb 55.264 ppb 55.264 ppb 55.264 ppb 55.264 ppb 55.264 ppb 55.264 ppb 55.62 ppb 55.62 ppb 55.62 ppb 54.23 ppb 54.23 ppb 54.23 ppb 54.23 ppb 54.23 ppb 54.24 ppb 55.62 ppb 55.62 ppb 55.62 ppb 54.26 ppb 55.62 ppb 55.62 ppb 54.28 ppb 54.29 ppb 54.61 ppb 54.28 ppb 54.28 ppb 54.28 ppb 54.52 ppb 54.52 ppb 54.52 ppb	Qvalue 99 100 98 # 88 100 100 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 9	No.

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration A0319004.D A210323Q.M Thu Mar 25 13:41:18 2021 3/2/1

Quan	titatio	on Rep	ort (QT	Reviewed)			
Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DA Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 9:50 am Sample : SBD031951 Misc : V4-077-16,V4-077-05 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: Mar 22 8:28 2021	FA\A21(	0319\A Q	0319004.D Op In Mu want Resul	Vial: 4 erator: st : Albe ltiplr: 1.00 ts File: A21	ert ) .0315q.RES		
Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\A210315Q.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 8260 Calibration Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:28 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : A210315Q							
Compound	R.T.	QION	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue		
<pre>48) Ethylbenzene 49) m,p-Xylene 50) o-Xylene 51) Styrene 52) Bromoform 53) Isopropylbenzene 56) Bromobenzene 57) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 58) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane 59) n-Propylbenzene 60) 2-Chlorotoluene 61) 4-Chlorotoluene 62) 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 63) tert-Butylbenzene 64) 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 65) sec-Butylbenzene 66) 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 67) p-Isopropyltoluene 68) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 69) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 70) n-Butylbenzene 71) 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 72) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 73) Hexachlorobutadiene 74) Naphthalene 75) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene</pre>	7.23 7.35 7.72 7.789 8.35 8.37 8.40 8.35 8.37 8.40 8.48 8.55 8.66 8.66 8.66 9.02 9.28 9.28 9.28 9.36 9.72 9.36 9.72 9.73 10.48 11.31 11.54	$\begin{array}{c} 91\\ 91\\ 91\\ 104\\ 173\\ 105\\ 156\\ 83\\ 75\\ 126\\ 105\\ 105\\ 105\\ 146\\ 146\\ 146\\ 157\\ 180\\ 225\\ 128\\ 180\\ 180\\ 180\\ 180\\ 180\\ 180\\ 180\\ 18$	2780085 4580505 2510179 2085447 335535 2906714 641113 719065 458793 3803086 672782 726700 2446220 1980488 2295827 3460160 1364938 2565752 1425152 1276711 2698853 114749 613303 449913 1105791 540730	55.69 ppb 108.27 ppb 51.31 ppb 59.20 ppb 53.54 ppb 59.33 ppb 54.27 ppb 59.76 ppb 58.42 ppb 57.42 ppb 54.51 ppb 58.40 ppb 60.80 ppb 55.74 ppb 55.74 ppb 55.29 ppb 56.54 ppb 55.29 ppb 56.54 ppb 55.29 ppb 56.54 ppb 54.27 ppb 54.27 ppb 54.27 ppb 54.27 ppb 54.29 ppb 54.29 ppb 54.20 ppb 54.20 ppb 54.20 ppb 54.21 ppb 54.21 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.23 ppb 54.24 ppb 54.25 ppb 54.25 ppb 54.26 ppb 54.26 ppb 54.27 ppb 45.41 ppb 54.77 ppb 45.41 ppb 54.77 ppb 45.41 ppb 54.77 ppb 45.41 ppb 54.77 ppb 45.41 ppb 54.77 ppb 54.77 ppb 54.77 ppb 54.77 ppb 54.77 ppb 55.20 ppb 55.20 ppb 55.20 ppb 55.20 ppb 55.20 ppb 55.20 ppb 54.21 ppb 55.20 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.20 ppb 54.21 ppb 55.20 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.22 ppb 54.20 ppb	$\begin{array}{c} 99\\ 99\\ 99\\ 99\\ 100\\ 99\\ 100\\ 99\\ 99\\ 99\\ 97\\ 100\\ 99\\ 99\\ 99\\ 99\\ 99\\ 99\\ 99\\ 100\\ 99\\ 100\\ 99\\ 100\\ 99\\ 99\\ 100\\ 99\\ 99\\ 100\\ 97\\ 97\\ 99\\ 98\\ 98\\ 90\\ 00\\ 98\\ 98\\ 99\\ 00\\ 98\\ 99\\ 00\\ 98\\ 98\\ 98\\ 00\\ 98\\ 98\\ 00\\ 98\\ 00\\ 98\\ 00\\ 98\\ 00\\ 98\\ 00\\ 00\\ 98\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 0$		



Data I Data I Acq Or Operat Sample Misc ALS V: Quant Quant Quant Quant QLast Respon	Path : C:\msdchem\1\data File : W032302.D h : 23 Mar 2021 9:04 for : e : SB0323S1 (CCV0323 : V4-077-07,V4-077-0 ial : 2 Sample Multipl Time: Mar 23 09:30:56 20 Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\ME Title : Update : Thu Feb 04 07:5 nse via : Initial Calibra	W210323 am S1) ier: 1 21 THODS\W210 6:41 2021 tion	02035.1	М					
	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Ur	nits	Dev	(Min)	
Inte	rnal Standards								
1)	Pentafluorobenzene	4.091	168	158802	50.00	ppb		0.00	
28)	1,4-Difluorobenzene	4.697	114	229758	50,00	ppb		0,00	
38)	Chlorobenzene-d5	7.254	117	202863	50.00	ppb		0.00	
55)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4	9.466	152	109811	50.00	ppb		0.00	
Syste	em Monitoring Compounds								
23)	Dibromofluoromethane	4.045	111	74123	49.68	ppb		0.00	
Sp:	iked Amount 50.000	Range 76	~ 131	Recove	ry =	99	.36%		
36)	Toluene-d8	5.962	98	251224	47.77	ppb		0,00	
Sp:	iked Amount 50.000	Range 78	- 128	Recove	ry =	95	.54%	0 00	
54) Cm	4-Bromorluorobenzene	8.360 Dango 71	95	Podouo	50,47		018	0,00	
sp.	rked Allound 50.000	Kalige /I	- 130	RECOVE	гу –	100			
Targe	et Compounds						Qva	alue	
2)	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.091	85	60973	62.62	ppb		100	
3)	Chloromethane	1.216	50	51019	36.26	ppb	#	100	
4)	Vinyl Chloride	1,290	62	52627	41.29	ppb		97	
5)	Bromomethane	1,511	96	22455m	42.80	ppb			
6)	Chloroethane	1.585	64	28572	42.18	ppb		98	
7)	Trichlorofluoromethane	1.777	101	99548	50.98	ppb		99	
8)	1,1-Dichloroethene	2.191	61	67189	41.29	ppb		100	$\lambda \lambda \gamma \lambda$
9)	Acetone	2,242	43	16612	52.68	ppb		98	2151
10)	Lodometnane Carbon Digulfido	2.310	142	45496m 104460m	40.51	ppp			Nº W
12)	Mathylone Chloride	2.301	/0	59032m	34 02	ppp			Ψ
⊥∠/ 12\	(trang) 1 2-Dichloroet	2.585	49 61	59052m	40 42	ppp			ł
14)	Methyl t-Butyl Ether	2.813	0⊥ 73	136563	52 01	ppb		97	
15)	1 1-Dicbloroethane	3,161	63	84093	41.05	ppb		98	
16)	Vinvl Acetate	3.218	43	90314	42.82	dad		97	
17)	2,2-Dichloropropane	3.643	77	79319	51.25	dqq		99	
18)	(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	3.643	61	79000	40.10	ppb		99	
19)	2-Butanone	3,666	43	21693	45.59	ppb		98	
20)	Bromochloromethane	3.841	130	29786	49.51	ppb	#	84	
21)	Chloroform	3,909	83	90908	46.39	ppb		98	
22)	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	4.062	97	91375	47.82	ppb	#	100	
24)	Carbon Tetrachloride	4.198	117	83972	47.44	ppb		92	
25)	1,1-Dichloropropene	4.198	75	64569	44.05	ppb		98	
26)	Benzene	4.369	78	162160	43.32	ppp		98	
27)	T, Z-Dichloroethane	4.300	0⊿ 120	04290	48,94	ppp		99	
29)	1 2 Dichloropropapa	4.913 5 106	130 63	43000	40 91	ppp		90 97	
21)	Dibromomethane	5 202	174	34164	53 38	ppb		96	
31)	Bromodichloromethane	5,202 5,238	-,- 83	71009	48.98	ppb		96	
221	2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ethe	r 5.610	63	11393	53.70	pph	#	90	
34)	(cis) 1.3-Dichloroproper	ne 5.729	75	79235	50.35	pph	IĽ	100	
351	Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	5.871	43	49281	50.25	dad	#	95	
371	Toluene	6.018	91	185826	43.49	dad		98	
39)	(trans) 1,3-Dichloropr.	. 6.223	75	73391	53,20	dqq		99	
40)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	6.381	97	38083	46.65	ppb		97	
41)	Tetrachloroethene	6.506	166	53562	50.55	ppb		98	
42)	1,3-Dichloropropane	6.529	76	70040	49.57	ppb		99	

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\W2 Data File : W032302.D Acq On : 23 Mar 2021 9:04 an Operator : Sample : SB0323S1 (CCV0323S1 Misc : V4-077-07,V4-077-08 ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplie:	10323\ m ) r: 1				
Quant Time: Mar 23 09:30:56 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METH Quant Title : QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56: Response via : Initial Calibratio	ODS\W21 41 2021 on	02035.	М		
Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units	Dev(Min)
<pre>43) 2-Hexanone 44) Dibromochloromethane 45) 1,2-Dibromoethane 46) Chlorobenzene 47) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 48) Ethylbenzene 49) m,p-Xylene 50) o-Xylene 51) Styrene 52) Bromoform 53) Isopropylbenzene 56) Bromobenzene 57) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 58) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane 59) n-Propylbenzene 60) 2-Chlorotoluene 61) 4-Chlorotoluene 61) 4-Chlorotoluene 62) 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 63) tert-Butylbenzene 64) 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 65) sec-Butylbenzene 66) 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 67) p-Isopropyltoluene</pre>	6.614 6.733 6.829 7.283 7.362 7.391 7.498 7.878 8.048 8.218 8.496 8.507 8.541 8.609 8.683 8.791 8.785 9.097 9.142 9.307 9.403 9.454	43 129 107 112 133 91 91 91 104 173 105 156 83 75 91 126 126 105 119 105 105 146 119	35909 54826 43246 126620 49340 224302 359404 186091 141231 40197 234810 57434 52675 45633 275418 52961 55221 197235 175669 199682 243515 106558 215695	44.81 ppb 51.71 ppb 52.85 ppb 47.61 ppb 52.04 ppb 45.96 ppb 91.48 ppb 46.88 ppb 47.82 ppb 60.85 ppb 49.37 ppb 49.48 ppb 52.03 ppb 56.39 ppb 50.13 ppb 50.72 ppb 51.74 ppb 49.92 ppb 51.28 ppb 50.26 ppb 50.57 ppb 50.40 ppb	<pre># 94 99 96 99 97 98 99 90 100 99 100 99 95 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99</pre>
<ul> <li>68) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</li> <li>69) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</li> <li>70) n-Butylbenzene</li> <li>71) 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr</li> <li>72) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</li> <li>73) Hexachlorobutadiene</li> </ul>	9.488 9.845 9.851 10.611 11.427 11.609	146 146 91 157 180 225	112923 102216 198515 13736 83683 54009	52.17 ppb 51.96 ppb 49.11 ppb 64.94 ppb 57.23 ppb 54.31 ppb	99 100 97 99 100 100
74) Naphthalene 75) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	$11.660 \\ 11.904$	128 180	183642 75631	59.79 ppb 56.07 ppb	99 99

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration (+) = signals summed

```
Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\W210323\
Data File : W032302.D
Acq On
            23 Mar 2021
           :
                           9:04 am
Operator
          :
Sample
          : SB0323S1
                       (CCV0323S1)
          : V4-077-07, V4-077-08
Misc
ALS Vial
          : 2
                Sample Multiplier: 1
Quant Time: Mar 23 09:30:56 2021
```

Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\W210203S.M Quant Title QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 2021

Response via : Initial Calibration



Data I Data I Acq Or Operat Sample Misc ALS V: Quant	Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\ File : W032303.D n : 23 Mar 2021 9:33 tor : e : SBD0323S1 : V4-077-07,V4-077-0 ial : 3 Sample Multipl Time: Mar 23 09:47:58 20	W210323\ am 8 ier: 1 21						
Quant Quant QLast Respoi	Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\ME Title : Update : Thu Feb 04 07:5 nse via : Initial Calibra	THODS\W210 6:41 2021 tion	02035.1	Μ				
	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Ur	nits	Dev	(Min)
Inte	rnal Standards							
1)	Pentafluorobenzene	4.091	168	158967	50,00	ppb		0.00
28)	1,4-Difluorobenzene	4.697	114	228116	50.00	ppb		0.00
30) 55)	1 4-Dichlorobenzene-d4	9 466	152	202771	50.00	ppp		0.00
55,		5.100	102	11051,	50.00	рръ		0.00
Syste	em Monitoring Compounds Dibromofluoromethane	4.045	111	72006	48.21	nnh		0 00
Sp:	iked Amount 50.000	Range 76	- 131	Recover	ry =	96	428	0.00
36)	Toluene-d8	5.962	98	250716	48.02	ppb		0,00
Spi	iked Amount 50.000	Range 78	- 128	Recover	ry =	96	.04%	
54) Sn	4-Bromofluorobenzene	8.354 Banga 71	95	115646 Receiver	50.95	ppb	0.0%	0.00
sp.	TREA AMOUNT 50,000	Kange /I	- 130	Reduvel	LY =	101	,908	
Targe	et Compounds						Qva	alue
2)	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.092	85	61414	63.00	ppb		100
3)	Chloromethane	1.216	50	52480	37.26	ppb	#	100
4) 5)	Bromomethane	1,290	62 96	52494	41,14	ppp		96
6)	Chloroethane	1.585	50 64	29630	43.70	ppp		99 97
7)	Trichlorofluoromethane	1.778	101	107013	54.75	daa		98
8)	1,1-Dichloroethene	2.191	61	71077	43.63	ppb		98
9)	Acetone	2.242	43	15098	47.16	ppb		95
10)	Iodomethane	2.311	142	45443	40.42	ppb		99
11)	Carbon Disulfide	2.362	76	106395	37.91	ppb		99
13)	(trans) 1.2-Dichloroet	2.588	49 61	58835	33.87	ndd		100 100
14)	Methyl t-Butyl Ether	2.821	73	137706	52.39	ppb		96
15)	1,1-Dichloroethane	3.161	63	90163	43.96	ppb		100
16)	Vinyl Acetate	3.218	43	89407	42.35	ppb		97
17)	2,2-Dichloropropane	3.643	77	81774	52.79	ppb		97
18)	(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	3,643	61	83334	42.25	ppb		99
19) 20)	2-Butanone Bromochloromethane	3.666	4.3	20668	43.39	ppp	#1	96
21)	Chloroform	3,915	83	97404	49.66	daa	π	100
22)	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	4.062	97	96351	50.37	ppb	#	100
24)	Carbon Tetrachloride	4.199	117	89837	50.70	ppb		99
25)	1,1-Dichloropropene	4,199	75	69838	47.59	ppb		98
26)	Benzene 1 2 Diablereethane	4.369	78	175511	46.84	ppb		97
29)	Trichloroethene	4.300	130	50661	51.40	ppp		95
30)	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.106	63	46661	42.00	daa		99
31)	Dibromomethane	5,202	174	33400	52,57	ppb		97
32)	Bromodichloromethane	5,338	83	73449	51.02	ppb		99
33)	2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ethe	r 5.610	63	11025	52.34	ppb		95
34)	(cis) 1,3-Dichtoropropen	e 5.729	75	82700	52,93	ppb	щ	99
35) 27)	Toluene	5.8/L 6 019	4.3 9.1	₩2003 197020	47,12	npp	Ħ	95 90
39)	(trans) 1.3-Dichloropr	6.223	75	75965	55.09	hag		99 9
40)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	6.381	97	39676	48,62	dad		97
41)	Tetrachloroethene	6.506	166	56917	53.74	ppb		100
42)	1,3-Dichloropropane	6.529	76	70643	50.02	ppb		99

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\W210323\ Data File : W032303.D Acq On : 23 Mar 2021 Operator : 9:33 am Sample : SBD0323S1 Misc : V4-077-07,V4-077-08 ALS Vial : 3 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Mar 23 09:47:58 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\W210203S.M Quant Title : QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration

43)       2-Hexanone       6.614       43       32212       40.21 ppb       #       97         44)       Dibromochloromethane       6.727       129       55201       52.08 ppb       98         45)       1,2-Dibromocthane       6.829       107       41730       51.02 ppb       97         46)       Chlorobenzene       7.283       112       129140       48.58 ppb       96         47)       1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane       7.362       133       52365       55.26 ppb       97         48)       Ethylbenzene       7.391       91       235044       48.18 ppb       100         49       m,p-Xylene       7.498       91       37971       96.64 ppb       99         50       o-Xylene       7.884       104       149056       50.49 ppb       100         52)       Bromobenzene       8.048       173       38665       58.56 ppb       99         53)       Isopropylbenzene       8.218       105       24307       51.18 ppb       90         56)       Bromobenzene       8.496       156       59104       50.67 ppb       100         57)       1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane       8.502       83       51530 </th <th></th> <th>Compound</th> <th>R.T.</th> <th>QIon</th> <th>Response</th> <th>Conc Units</th> <th>Dev(Min)</th>		Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units	Dev(Min)
44)Dibromochloromethane6.7271295520152.08 ppb9845)1,2-Dibromoethane6.8291074173051.02 ppb9746)Chlorobenzene7.28311212914048.58 ppb9647)1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane7.3621335236555.26 ppb9748)Ethylbenzene7.3919123504448.18 ppb10049)m,p-Xylene7.498913797196.64 ppb9950)o-Xylene7.88410414905650.49 ppb10052)Bromoform8.0481733866558.56 ppb9953)Isopropylbenzene8.21810524330751.18 ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67 ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66 ppb9861)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.6109128017350.75 ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89 ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26 ppb9962)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene9.30710526135953.56 ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56 ppb10064)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.40314611561554.60 ppb9765)sec-Butylbenzene9.85191	43)	2-Hexanone	6.614	43	32212	40.21 ppb	# 97
45)1,2-Dibromoethane6.8291074173051.02ppb9746)Chlorobenzene7.28311212914048.58ppb9647)1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane7.3621335236555.26ppb9748)Ethylbenzene7.3919123504448.18ppb10049)m,p-Xylene7.4989137947196.64ppb9950)o-Xylene7.8679119799149.90ppb9851)Styrene7.88410414905650.49ppb10052)Bromoform8.0481733866558.56ppb9953)Isopropylbenzene8.21810524330751.18ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6109128017350.75ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.67911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9963)tert-Butylbenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.403146115	44)	Dibromochloromethane	6.727	129	55201	52.08 ppb	98
46)Chlorobenzene7.28311212914048.58ppb9647)1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane7.3621335236555.26ppb9748)Ethylbenzene7.3919123504448.18ppb10049)m,p-Xylene7.4989137947196.64ppb9950)o-Xylene7.8679119799149.90ppb9851)Styrene7.88410414905650.49ppb10052)Bromoform8.0481733866558.56ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.21810524330751.18ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6109128017350.75ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.40314611551554.60ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.307105 <td< td=""><td>45)</td><td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td><td>6.829</td><td>107</td><td>41730</td><td>51.02 ppb</td><td>97</td></td<>	45)	1,2-Dibromoethane	6.829	107	41730	51.02 ppb	97
47)1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane7.3621335236555.26ppb9748)Ethylbenzene7.3919123504448.18ppb10049)m,p-Xylene7.4989137947196.64ppb9950)o-Xylene7.8679119799149.90ppb9851)Styrene7.8679119799149.90ppb10052)Bromoform8.0481733866558.56ppb9953)Isopropylbenzene8.21810524330751.18ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9858)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.542754301252.89ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.78510520461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9765)sec-Butylbenzene9.48414923191653.92ppb9966)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.48414611825154.36ppb9766)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.484146 <td>46)</td> <td>Chlorobenzene</td> <td>7.283</td> <td>112</td> <td>129140</td> <td>48.58 ppb</td> <td>96</td>	46)	Chlorobenzene	7.283	112	129140	48.58 ppb	96
48)Ethylbenzene7.3919123504448.18ppb10049)m, p-Xylene7.4989137947196.64ppb9950)o-Xylene7.8679119799149.90ppb9851)Styrene7.8879119799149.90ppb10052)Bromoform8.0481733866558.56ppb9953)Isopropylbenzene8.21810524330751.18ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9858)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.542754301252.89ppb9559)n-Propylbenzene8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9963)tert-Butylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.846146	47)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	7.362	133	52365	55.26 ppb	97
49)m,p-Xylene7.4989137947196.64ppb9950)o-Xylene7.8679119799149.90ppb9851)Styrene7.8679119799149.90ppb10052)Bromoform8.0481733866558.56ppb9953)Isopropylbenzene8.21810524330751.18ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9858)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.84614825154.36ppb9770)n-Butylbenzene9.85191209601	48)	Ethylbenzene	7.391	91	235044	48.18 ppb	100
50)o-Xylene7.8679119799149.90ppb9851)Styrene7.88410414905650.49ppb10052)Bromoform8.0481733866558.56ppb9953)Isopropylbenzene8.21810524330751.18ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9858)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.542754301252.89ppb9559)n-Propylbenzene8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.78510520461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.611 <t< td=""><td>49)</td><td>m,p-Xylene</td><td>7.498</td><td>91</td><td>379471</td><td>96,64 ppb</td><td>99</td></t<>	49)	m,p-Xylene	7.498	91	379471	96,64 ppb	99
51)Styrene7.88410414905650.49ppb10052)Bromoform8.0481733866558.56ppb9953)Isopropylbenzene8.21810524330751.18ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9858)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.542754301252.89ppb9559)n-Propylbenzene8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.79112620461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10065)sec-Butylbenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.48814611825154.36ppb9769)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9770)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene<	50)	o-Xylene	7.867	91	197991	49.90 ppb	98
52)Bromoform8.0481733866558.56ppb9953)Isopropylbenzene8.21810524330751.18ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9858)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.542754301252.89ppb9559)n-Propylbenzene8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9963)tert-Butylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9771)1,2-Dichlorobenzene1.8651160ppb9771)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.6092	51)	Styrene	7.884	104	149056	50.49 ppb	100
53)Isopropylbenzene8.21810524330751.18ppb9956)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9858)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.542754301252.89ppb9559)n-Propylbenzene8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene8.78510520461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9771)1,2-Dichlorobenzene14.271808656958.91ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.60922553875.43ppb9974)Naphthalen	52)	Bromoform	8.048	173	38665	58.56 ppb	99
56)Bromobenzene8.4961565910450.67ppb10057)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9858)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.542754301252.89ppb9559)n-Propylbenzene8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene8.78510520461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb97711,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59ppb99721,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91ppb9873)	53)	Isopropylbenzene	8,218	105	243307	51,18 ppb	99
57)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.502835153050.66ppb9858)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.542754301252.89ppb9559)n-Propylbenzene8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene8.78510520461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb10065)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.66612818654560.44ppb987	56)	Bromobenzene	8.496	156	59104	50.67 ppb	100
58)1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.542754301252.89ppb9559)n-Propylbenzene8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene8.78510520461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.6092255538755.43ppb9974)Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82ppb99	57)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	8,502	83	51530	50.66 ppb	98
59)n-Propylbenzene8.6109128017350.75ppb9860)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene8.78510520461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9969)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9770)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.66612818654560.44ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82ppb99 <td>58)</td> <td>1,2,3-Trichloropropane</td> <td>8.542</td> <td>75</td> <td>43012</td> <td>52.89 ppb</td> <td>95</td>	58)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	8.542	75	43012	52.89 ppb	95
60)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265550252.89ppb9861)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene8.78510520461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9969)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.66612818654560.44ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82ppb99	59)	n-Propylbenzene	8.610	91	280173	50.75 ppb	98
61)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265818754.26 ppb9862)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene8.78510520461051.53 ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72 ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78 ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56 ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60 ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92 ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.48814611825154.36 ppb9769)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71 ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61 ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.6092255538755.43 ppb9974)Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	60)	2-Chlorotoluene	8.683	126	55502	52.89 ppb	98
62)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene8.78510520461051.53ppb10063)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.48814611825154.36ppb9769)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.66612818654560.44ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82ppb99	61)	4-Chlorotoluene	8.791	126	58187	54.26 ppb	98
63)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711918146052.72 ppb9964)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78 ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56 ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60 ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92 ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.48814611825154.36 ppb9769)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71 ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61 ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	62)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	8.785	105	204610	51.53 ppb	100
64)1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene9.14310521071252.78 ppb9965)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56 ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60 ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92 ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.48814611825154.36 ppb9769)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71 ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61 ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	63)	tert-Butylbenzene	9.097	119	181460	52.72 ppb	99
65)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710526135953.56 ppb10066)1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60 ppb9967)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92 ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.48814611825154.36 ppb9769)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71 ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61 ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	64)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	9.143	105	210712	52.78 ppb	99
66) 1,3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314611561554.60 ppb9967) p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92 ppb9968) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.48814611825154.36 ppb9769) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71 ppb9970) n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61 ppb9771) 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873) Hexachlorobutadiene11.6092255538755.43 ppb9974) Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	65)	sec-Butylbenzene	9.307	105	261359	53.56 ppb	100
67)p-Isopropyltoluene9.45411923191653.92 ppb9968)1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.48814611825154.36 ppb9769)1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71 ppb9970)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61 ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.6092255538755.43 ppb9974)Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	66)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	9.403	146	115615	54.60 ppb	99
68) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene9.48814611825154.36 ppb9769) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71 ppb9970) n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61 ppb9771) 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873) Hexachlorobutadiene11.6092255538755.43 ppb9974) Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	67)	p-Isopropyltoluene	9.454	119	231916	53.92 ppb	99
69) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene9.84614611012155.71 ppb9970) n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61 ppb9771) 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873) Hexachlorobutadiene11.6092255538755.43 ppb9974) Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	68)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	9.488	146	118251	54.36 ppb	97
70)n-Butylbenzene9.8519120960151.61 ppb9771)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.6092255538755.43 ppb9974)Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	69)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	9.846	146	110121	55.71 ppb	99
71)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr10.6111571245358.59 ppb9972)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.6092255538755.43 ppb9974)Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	70)	n-Butylbenzene	9.851	91	209601	51.61 ppb	97
72)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808656958.91 ppb9873)Hexachlorobutadiene11.6092255538755.43 ppb9974)Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875)1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	71)	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropr	10.611	157	12453	58.59 ppb	99
73) Hexachlorobutadiene11.6092255538755.43 ppb9974) Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	72)	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	11.427	180	86569	58.91 ppb	98
74) Naphthalene11.66612818654560.44 ppb9875) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene11.9041807837057.82 ppb99	73)	Hexachlorobutadiene	11.609	225	55387	55.43 ppb	99
75) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 11.904 180 78370 57.82 ppb 99	74)	Naphthalene	11.666	128	186545	60.44 ppb	98
	75)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	11,904	180	78370	57.82 ppb	99

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration (+) = signals summed
Data Path : C:\msdchem\l\data\W210323\ Data File : W032303.D Acq On : 23 Mar 2021 9:33 am Operator : Sample : SBD0323S1 Misc : V4-077-07,V4-077-08 ALS Vial : 3 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Mar 23 09:47:58 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\W210203S.M Quant Title : QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 2021

Response via : Initial Calibration



		Evaluate	Continui	ng Calibrat	ion Rep	ort	
	Data Acq Samp Misc MS I	File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\D On : 19 Mar 2021 9:21 a le : SB0319S1 (CCV0319S1 : V4-077-16,V4-077-05 ntegration Params: rteint.p	ATA\A2103 m .)	19\A0319003	.D Vi Operat Inst Multip	al: 3 or: : Al lr: 1.	bert 00
	Meth Titl Last Resp	od : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHO e : 8260 Calibration Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05: onse via : Multiple Level Ca	DS\A21031 28 2021 libration	5Q.M (RTE I	ntegrat	or)	
	Min. Max.	RRF : 0.100 Min.Rel RRF Dev : 20% Max.Rel	. Area : . Area : :	50% Max. 1 200%	R.T. De	v 0.1	Omin
		Compound	Amount	Calc.	%Dev	Area%	Dev(min)
1234567890123456789012345678901222222222222222222222222222222222222	I P,T C,T T T T T,P T T T,C T T T T T T T T T T T	Pentafluorobenzene Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane Chloroethane Trichlorofluoromethane 1,1-Dichloroethene Acetone Iodomethane Carbon Disulfide Methylene Chloride (trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene Methyl t-Butyl Ether 1,1-Dichloroethane Vinyl Acetate 2,2-Dichloropropane (Cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene 2-Butanone Bromochloromethane Chloroform 1,1,1-Trichloroethane Dibromofluoromethane Carbon Tetrachloride 1,1-Dichloropropene Benzene 1,2-Dichloroethane	$\begin{array}{c} 50.000\\$	50.000 29.039 38.101 42.038 41.024 48.837 48.805 48.747 47.280 54.332 51.399 43.210 52.817 51.023 47.168 52.076 54.713 58.097 54.013 50.019 56.150 55.159 50.387 55.086	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 41.9\\ \\ \\ 23.8\\ \\ \\ 15.9\\ \\ 2.3\\ \\ 2.4\\ \\ 2.5\\ \\ 5.4\\ \\ -8.7\\ \\ -2.8\\ \\ 13.6\\ \\ -5.6\\ \\ -2.0\\ \\ 5.7\\ \\ -4.2\\ \\ -9.4\\ \\ -16.2\\ \\ -9.4\\ \\ -16.2\\ \\ -9.4\\ \\ -16.2\\ \\ -9.4\\ \\ -10.3\\ \\ -0.8\\ \\ -10.2\\ \\ -13.2\\ \\ -14.0\\ \\ -10.2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 115\\ 71\\ 95\\ 105\\ 93\\ 110\\ 109\\ 115\\ 114\\ 119\\ 111\\ 120\\ 114\\ 119\\ 111\\ 120\\ 114\\ 113\\ 109\\ 129\\ 132\\ 121\\ 110\\ 125\\ 124\\ 116\\ 125\\ 127\\ 128\\ 125\\ 125\\ 125\\ 125\\ 125\\ 125\\ 125\\ 125$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\$
28 29 30 32 33 34 35 36 37	I M T,C T T T S M,C	1,4-Difluorobenzene Trichloroethene 1,2-Dichloropropane Dibromomethane Bromodichloromethane 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether (cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene Methyl Isobutyl Ketone Toluene-d8 Toluene	$\begin{array}{c} 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ 50.000\\ \end{array}$	50.000 54.761 53.404 55.198 54.399 52.616 57.100 53.612 49.888 57.329	0.0 -9.5 -6.8 -10.4 -8.8 -5.2 -14.2 -7.2 0.2 -14.7	118 126 125 123 127 126 130 127 118 130	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$
3890412344567890123	I T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Chlorobenzene-d5 (trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Tetrachloroethene 1,3-Dichloropropane 2-Hexanone Dibromochloromethane 1,2-Dibromoethane Chlorobenzene 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Styrene Bromoform Isopropylbenzene	50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 100.000 100.000 50.0000 50.0000 50.0000 50.0000 50.0000 50.000	50.000 57.635 54.712 56.001 55.464 53.879 55.235 55.831 55.855 55.889 56.669 12.010 53.263 60.725 55.955 61.052	0.0 -15.3 -9.4 -12.0 -10.9 -7.8 -10.5 -11.7 -11.7 -11.8 -13.3 -12.0 -6.5 -21.5# -11.9 -22.1#	117 132 128 127 127 128 128 128 127 130 128 128 122 130 129 124 130	0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

	Evaluate	Continuing Calibra	ition Report
Data Acq Samp Misc MS I	File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DA On : 19 Mar 2021 9:21 an le : SB0319S1 (CCV0319S1) : V4-077-16,V4-077-05 ntegration Params: rteint.p	TA\A210319\A031900 I	)3.D Vial: 3 Operator: Inst : Albert Multiplr: 1.00
Meth Titl Last Resp	od : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHOD e : 8260 Calibration Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:2 onse via : Multiple Level Cal	s\A210315Q.M (RTE 8 2021 ibration	Integrator)
Min. Max.	RRF : 0.100 Min. Rel. RRF Dev : 20% Max. Rel.	Area : 50% Max. Area : 200%	R.T. Dev 0.10min
	Compound	Amount Calc.	%Dev Area% Dev(min)
54 S 55 I 56 T,P 58 T 60 T 61 T 62 T 63 T 64 T 63 T 65 T 66 T 67 T 71 T 71 T 72 T 73 T 74 T 75 T	4-Bromofluorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,2,3-Trichloropropane n-Propylbenzene 2-Chlorotoluene 4-Chlorotoluene 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene tert-Butylbenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene sec-Butylbenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Quan	titati	on Rep	ort (QT	Reviewed)		
Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DA Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 9:21 am Sample : SB0319S1 (CCV0319S1) Misc : V4-077-16,V4-077-05 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: Mar 19 10:06 2021	TA\A21(	)319\A Q	0319003.D Op In Mu uant Resul	vial: 3 Derator: Ist : Alb Itiplr: 1.0 ts File: A2	00 210315Q.RES	
Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHOD Title : 8260 Calibration Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:2 Response via : Initial Calibratio DataAcq Meth : A210315Q	s\A2103 8 2021 n	315q.м	(RTE Inte	grator)		
Internal Standards	R.T.	QION	Response	Conc Units	Dev(Min)	
1) Pentafluorobenzene 28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 38) Chlorobenzene-d5 55) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4	3.68 4.37 7.08 9.34	168 114 117 152	878106 1512951 1348583 789146	50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb 50.00 ppb	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$	
System Monitoring Compounds 23) Dibromofluoromethane Spiked Amount 50.000 Ran 36) Toluene-d8 Spiked Amount 50.000 Ran 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 50.000 Ran	3.61 ge 74 5.74 ge 78 8.22 ge 71	111 - 131 - 98 - 128 - 95 - 130	475561 Recove 1760640 Recove 730652 Recove	50.39 ppb ry = 100 49.89 ppb ry = 99 52.98 ppb ry = 105	0.01 .78% 0.00 .78% 0.00 .96%	
<pre>Target Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) Trichlorofluoromethane 8) 1,1-Dichloroethene 9) Acetone 10) Iodomethane 11) Carbon Disulfide 12) Methylene Chloride 13) (trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene 14) Methyl t-Butyl Ether 15) 1,1-Dichloroethane 16) Vinyl Acetate 17) 2,2-Dichloropropane 18) (cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene 19) 2-Butanone 20) Bromochloromethane 21) Chloroform 22) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 23) 1,1-Dichloropropene 26) Benzene 27) 1,2-Dichloropropane 31) Dibromomethane 32) Chloroethane 33) 2-Chloroethane 33) 2-Chloroethane 34) Cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene 35) Methyl Isobutyl Ketone 37) Toluene 39) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene 40) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 41) Tetrachloroethene 42) 1,3-Dichloropropane 43) 2-Hexanone 44) Dibromochloromethane 45) 1,2-Dibromoethane 44) Dibromochloromethane 45) 1,2-Dibromoethane 46) Chlorobenzene 47) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 47) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane</pre>	0.64 0.70 0.69 0.78 0.90 1.26 1.33 1.40 1.46 1.75 2.08 2.52 3.12 3.16 3.461 3.78 3.99 4.80 4.91 5.65 5.802 6.31 6.33 6.44 6.54 7.19	$\begin{array}{c} 85\\ 50\\ 62\\ 96\\ 64\\ 101\\ 61\\ 43\\ 142\\ 76\\ 49\\ 61\\ 73\\ 63\\ 43\\ 77\\ 61\\ 30\\ 83\\ 97\\ 117\\ 75\\ 78\\ 62\\ 130\\ 63\\ 174\\ 83\\ 63\\ 75\\ 43\\ 91\\ 597\\ 166\\ 76\\ 43\\ 915\\ 97\\ 166\\ 76\\ 43\\ 129\\ 107\\ 112\\ 133\\ \end{array}$	143493 158872 136644 74007 61319 631763m 1144015m 240103 903729m 2319464 1108000 1133886m 1847953 1563056m 1943746 754006 1009275m 281229 298331 926837m 695691 628052 814080 2229258 681281 571880 652790 320125 648983 277357 966873 659218 2539902 766315 439800 634074 815358 439802 766315 439800 634074 815358 438635 469774 437869 1644918 509730	29.04 ppb 38.10 ppb 42.04 ppb 41.02 ppb 48.84 ppb 48.81 ppb 48.75 ppb 47.28 ppb 54.33 ppb 51.40 ppb 52.82 ppb 51.02 ppb 47.17 ppb 52.08 ppb 54.71 ppb 54.01 ppb 54.01 ppb 56.15 ppb 55.16 ppb 55.16 ppb 55.16 ppb 55.10 ppb 55.20 ppb 55.46 ppb 55.46 ppb 55.46 ppb 55.23 ppb 55.48 ppb 55.83 ppb 55.85 ppb 55.89 ppb	Qvalue 98 100 97 # 73 91 98 100 96 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	stan m.

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration A0319003.D A210323Q.M Thu Mar 25 13:41:55 2021 Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed)

Data File : X:\VOLATILE\ALBERT\DATA\A210319\A0319003.D Vial: 3 : 19 Mar 2021 9:21 am Acq On Operator: : SB0319S1 (CCV0319S1) : V4-077-16,V4-077-05 Sample : Albert Inst Multiplr: 1.00 Misc MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: Mar 19 10:06 2021 Quant Results File: A210315Q.RES Quant Method : F:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\A210315Q.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 8260 Calibration Last Update : Tue Mar 16 09:05:28 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : A210315Q

R.T. QION Response Conc Unit Qvalue Compound 56.67 ppb 112.01 ppb 53.26 ppb 60.73 ppb 55.95 ppb 48) Ethylbenzene 7.23 91 2816706 99 91 4718620 49) m,p-xylene 7.35 99 50) o-Xylene 7.72 91 2595601 99 7.73 2130091 100 51) Styrene 104 52) Bromoform 55.95 ppb 61.05 ppb 7.89 173 99 349211 100 53) Isopropylbenzene 8.08 105 2978316 57.86 ppb 61.57 ppb 59.02 ppb 56) Bromobenzene 675892 732649 8.35 156 96 
 57)
 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane
 8.37

 58)
 1,2,3-Trichloropropane
 8.40

 59)
 n-Propylbenzene
 8.48
 83 75 99 458335 3907049 697742 99 91 59.66 ppb 99 57.17 ppb 60) 2-Chlorotoluene 8.55 126 99 744707 60.52 ppb 62.94 ppb 8.66 61) 4-chlorotoluene 126 99 62) 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 2503817 8.66 105 100 63) tert-Butylbenzene 64) 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 2057453 8.97 119 58.56 ppb 98 9.02 105 2348974 49.27 ppb 99 49.27 ppb 60.82 ppb 58.19 ppb 58.57 ppb 56.42 ppb 60.13 ppb 65) sec-Butylbenzene 66) 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 99 9.18 105 3577046 9.18 146 1420515 99 9.33 9.37 9.72 67) p-Isopropyltoluene 68) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 69) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1192628084 99 146 1466404 99 146 1325721 100 70) n-Butylbenzene 9.74 91 2749556 64.09 ppb 100 71)1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan10.4872)1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene11.31 55.97 ppb 47.43 ppb 93 97 157 116026 637851 180 73) Hexachlorobutadiene 11.50 460440 56.69 ppb 99 225 11.54 11.79 128 44.82 ppb 74) Naphthalene 1158883 99 75) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 180 570382 46.03 ppb 97



Da Da Ac Op Sa Mi AI	ita Pa ita Fi eq On perato imple .sc .s Via	ath : C:\msdchem\1\data\W210 ile : W032302.D : 23 Mar 2021 9:04 am or : : SB0323S1 (CCV0323S1) : V4-077-07,V4-077-08 al : 2 Sample Multiplier:	1				
Qι Qι Qι QΙ Re	ant 1 ant N ant 1 Last I espons	Fime: Mar 23 09:30:56 2021 Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHOD Fitle : Jpdate : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 se via : Initial Calibration	s\W210203 2021	5.M			
Mi Ma	.n. RI ax. RI	RF : 0.000 Min.Rel. RF Dev : 20% Max.Rel.	Area : 50 Area : 150	0% Max. 0%	R.T. Dev	0.501	min
		Compound	Amount	Calc.	&Dev An	ea% 1	Dev(min)
1 2 3	I P	Pentafluorobenzene Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane	50.000 50.000 50.000	50.000 62.617 36.257	0.0 -25.2# 27.5#	90 122 70	0.00 0.00 0.00
4 5	С	Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane	50.000 50.000	$41.290 \\ 42.804$	$17.4\\14.4$	75 80	0.00 0.00
6 7 8	с	Chloroethane Trichlorofluoromethane 1,1-Dichloroethene	50.000 50.000 50.000	42.182 50.983 41.289	15.6 -2.0 17.4	75 93 71	0.00 0.00 0.00
9 10 11		Acetone Iodomethane Carbon Disulfide Mothylono Chloride	50.000 50.000 50.000	52.675 40.514 37.256	-5.3 19.0 25.5#	100 69 69	0.00 0.00 0.00
13 14 15	P	(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene Methyl t-Butyl Ether 1,1-Dichloroethane	50.000 50.000 50.000	40.422 52.013 41.047	19.2 -4.0 17.9	73 91 73	0.00 0.00 0.00
16 17 18	-	Vinyl Acetate 2,2-Dichloropropane (cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	50.000 50.000 50.000	42.824 51.254 40.099	14.4 ~2.5 19.8	78 92 73	0,00 0.00 0,00
19 20		2-Butanone Bromochloromethane	50.000 50.000	45.590 49.515	8.8 1.0	86 87	0.00 0.00
21 22	C	Chloroform 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	50.000 50.000	$46.394 \\ 47.820$	7.2 4.4	80 88	0.00 0.00
23 24 25	S	Dibromofluoromethane Carbon Tetrachloride 1,1-Dichloropropene	50.000 50.000 50.000	49.676 47.441 44.047	0.6 5.1 11.9	86 89 82	0.00 0.00 0.00
26 27		Benzene 1,2-Dichloroethane	50.000	43.319 48.941	13.4 2.1	84	0.00
28 29 30	I C	1,4-Difluorobenzene Trichloroethene 1,2-Dichloropropane	50.000 50.000 50.000	50.000 50.160 40.906	0.0 -0.3 18.2	86 86 72	0.00 0.00 0.00
31 32 33	Ŭ	Dibromomethane Bromodichloromethane 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	50.000 50.000 50.000	53.383 48.977 53.698	-6.8 2.0 -7.4	90 81 98	0,00 0.00 0.00
34 35 36 37	S C	(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene Methyl Isobutyl Ketone Toluene-d8 Toluene	50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000	50.352 50.251 47.769 43.485	-0.7 -0.5 4.5 13.0	84 83 81 80	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
38 39 40 41 42 43	I	Chlorobenzene-d5 (trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Tetrachloroethene 1,3-Dichloropropane 2-Hexanone	50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000	50.000 53.201 46.649 50.551 49.570 44.807	0.0 -6.4 6.7 -1.1 0.9 10.4	86 89 84 87 84 84	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$
44 45 46	P	Dibromochloromethane 1,2-Dibromoethane Chlorobenzene	50.000 50.000 50.000	51.706 52.847 47.608	-3.4 -5.7 4.8	87 93 85	0.00 0.00 0.00

Data Path : C:\ Data File : W03 Acq On : 23 Operator : Sample : SB0 Misc : V4- ALS Vial : 2 Quant Time: Mar Quant Time: Mar Quant Method : Quant Title : QLast Update : Response via :	<pre>msdchem\1\data\W2103 2302.D Mar 2021 9:04 am 323S1 (CCV0323S1) 077-07,V4-077-08 Sample Multiplier: 23 09:30:56 2021 C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 Initial Calibration</pre>	23\ 1 \$\W210203 2021	S.M		
Min, RRF : Max, RRF Dev :	0.000 Min. Rel. A 20% Max. Rel. A	area : 5 Area : 15	0% Max. 0%	R.T. Dev 0.	50min
Compound	L	Amount	Calc.	%Dev Area	% Dev(min)
47 1,1,1,2-	Tetrachloroethane	50,000	52.045	-4.1 8	9 0.00
48 C Ethylben	izene	50,000	45.958	8.1 8	3 0.00
49 m,p-Xyle	ene	100.000	91.484	8.5 8	3 0.00
50 o-Xylene	2	50.000	46.877	6.2 8	5 0.00
51 Styrene		50,000	47.816	4.4 8	2 0.00
52 P Bromofor	m	50.000	60,849	-21.7# 10	1 0.00
53 Isopropy	lbenzene	50.000	49.374	1.3 8	7 0.00
54 S 4-Bromof	luorobenzene	50.000	50.467	-0.9 8	4 0.00
55 T 1.4-Dich	lorobenzene-d4	50.000	50.000	0.0 8	4 0.00
56 Bromober	izene	50.000	49.475	1.0 8	8 0.00
57 P 1.1.2.2-	Tetrachloroethane	50,000	52.034	-4.1 8	8 0.00
58 $1.2.3-Tr$	richloropropane	50.000	56.385	-12.8 9	7 0.00
59 n-Propvl	benzene	50.000	50.133	-0.3 8	6 0.00
60 2-Chlore	otoluene	50.000	50.718	-1.4 8	8 0.00
61 4-Chloro	otoluene	50.000	51.744	-3.5 8	9 0.00
62 1.3.5-Tr	rimethylbenzene	50.000	49.916	0.2 8	7 0.00
63 tert-But	zylbenzene	50.000	51.285	-2.6 8	8 0.00
64 1,2,4-Tr	imethylbenzene	50,000	50,261	-0.5 8	7 0.00
65 sec-Buty	vlbenzene	50.000	50.147	-0.3 8	5 0.00
66 1,3-Dich	lorobenzene	50.000	50,573	-1.1 8	8 0.00
67 p-Isopro	pyltoluene	50,000	50.397	-0.8 8	6 0.00
68 1,4-Dich	lorobenzene	50.000	52.166	-4.3 9	1 0.00
69 1,2-Dich	lorobenzene	50,000	51.963	-3.9 8	7 0.00
70 n-Butylk	benzene	50.000	49.114	1,8 8	4 0.00
71 1,2-Dibr	como-3-chloropropane	50.000	64,939	-29.9# 11	4 0.00
72 1,2,4-Tr	richlorobenzene	50.000	57.226	-14.5 10	0 0.00
73 Hexachlo	probutadiene	50.000	54.315	-8,6 9	5、 0.00
74 Naphthal	ene	50.000	59,793	-19.6 10	5 0.00
75 1,2,3-Tr	richlorobenzene	50.000	56.070	-12.1 9	7 0.00

(#) = Out of Range

SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0

1

Quant Time: Mar 23 09:30:66 2021           Quant Method : C:MSDCHEM\1\METHODE\W210203S.M           Quant Title :           Compound         R.T. QIOn Response Cond Units Dev(Min)           Internal Standards           1) Pentafluorobenzene         4.091 168 158802 50.00 ppb 0.00           28) 1.4-Difluorobenzene         4.091 168 158802 50.00 ppb 0.00           38) Chorobenzene         4.091 114 229758 50.00 ppb 0.00           38) Chorobenzene-d5         7.254 117 20263 50.00 ppb 0.00           59) i.4-Difluorobenzene-d4         9.466 152 109811 50.00 ppb 0.00           Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 76 - 131 Recovery = 99.364           30 Dibromofluoromethane         1.045 111 74123 49.68 ppb 0.00           Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 78 - 128 Recovery = 95.544           Compounds         5.962 98 25124 47.77 ppb 0.00           Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 71 - 130 Recovery = 100.94*           Corromthane         1.091 85 60973 62.62 ppb 100           3) Chloromethane         1.91 85 647 41.29 ppb 97           5) Fromomethane         1.91 96 62 24574 42.18 ppb 98           7) Trichlorofluoromethane         1.91 9548 50.98 ppb 99           1) Tenchenethene         2.361 76 104460m 37.26 ppb 100           3) Chloromethane         1.91 9548 40.9314 42.29 ppb 99           1) Todomethano         2.31 12 9756 49.12 ppb 99     <	Data I Data J Acq Or Operat Sample Misc ALS V:	Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\ File : W032302.D n : 23 Mar 2021 9:04 tor : e : SB0323S1 (CCV0323 : V4-077-07,V4-077-0 ial : 2 Sample Multipl	W210323\ am S1) 8 ier: 1						
Compound         R.T. QIon         Response         Conc Units Dev(Min)           Internal Standards         1)         Pentafluorobenzene         4.091         168         158602         50.00 ppb         0.00           28)         Chlorobenzene         4.091         168         158602         50.00 ppb         0.00           38)         Chlorobenzene-dS         7.254         117         202863         50.00 ppb         0.00           System Monitoring Compounds         23)         Dibromofluoromethane         4.045         111         74123         49.68 ppb         0.00           Spiked Amount         50.00         Range 78         128         251224         47.77 ppb         0.00           Spiked Amount         50.00         Range 78         128         recovery         95.534           54)         4-Bromofluorobenzene         8.360         95         114601         50.47 ppb         0.00           Spiked Amount         50.000         Range 78         128         60973         62.62 ppb         100           3)         Chloroethane         1.991         85         5019         36.262         pb         97           5)         Bromomethane         1.511         96         22	Quant Quant Quant QLast Respon	Time: Mar 23 09:30:56 20 Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\ME Title : Update : Thu Feb 04 07:5 nse via : Initial Calibra	21 THODS\W21( 6:41 2021 tion	02035.1	М				
Internal Standards       4.091       168       158602       50.00 ppb       0.00         31       Pentafluorobenzene       4.697       114       229758       50.00 ppb       0.00         38       Chlorobenzene-d5       7.254       117       202863       50.00 ppb       0.00         55       1.4-Dichlorobenzene-d4       9.466       152       109811       50.00 ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.00       Range 76       -131       Recovery       99.36%         51       1.4-Dichlorobenzene       8.360       95       114601       50.47 ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 78       -128       Recovery       99.36%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 78       128       Recovery       100.94%       0.00         Target Compounds       0.000       Range 78       128       12601       50.47 ppb       0.00         3       Chloroethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62 ppb       100         3       Chloroethane       1.216       50       51019       36.26       20 pb       41         4       Uhorothane       1.291       62       25627 </td <td></td> <td>Compound</td> <td>R.T.</td> <td>QIon</td> <td>Response</td> <td>Conc Un</td> <td>its D</td> <td>ev (</td> <td>(Min)</td>		Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Un	its D	ev (	(Min)
1) Pentafluorobenzene       4.091       168       15802       50.00 ppb       0.00         28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene-d5       7.254       117       22863       50.00 ppb       0.00         System Monitoring Compounds       23) Dibromofluoromethane       4.045       111       74123       49.68 ppb       0.00         System Monitoring Compounds       23) Dibromofluoromethane       4.045       111       74123       49.68 ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 76       -131       Recovery       99.36%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       -136       Recovery       95.54%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       -136       Recovery       =       100.94%         Target Compounds       0.000       Range 71       -136       Recovery       =       100.94%         Target Compounds       0.000       Range 71       -136       Sofo73       62.62 pph       100         3) Chloromethane       1.511       66       51019       36.26 pph       #100         4) Vinyl Chloride       1.286       64       28572       42.18 pph       99       91         7) Trichlorofluoromethane	Inte	rnal Standards							
28) 1,4-Difluorobenzene-d5       7.254       117       229758       50.00 ppb       0.00         55) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4       9.466       152       109811       50.00 ppb       0.00         System Monitoring Compound8       23) Dibromofluoromethane       4.045       111       74123       49.68 ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 76       - 131       Recovery =       99.36%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 78       - 130       Recovery =       95.54%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       - 130       Recovery =       95.54%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       - 130       Recovery =       90.04%         Target Compounds       0.001       Vilue       2) Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62 pph       100         3) Chloromethane       1.511       96       24255       42.80 pph       9       110         4) Vily1 Chloride       1.290       62       52627       41.29 pph       9       100         3) Chloromethane       1.511       96       24255       42.85       9       9       110       104	1)	Pentafluorobenzene	4.091	168	158802	50.00	ppb		0.00
38) Chlorobenzene-d4       7.454       117       202863       50.00       ppb       0.00         55) 1.4-Dichlorobenzene-d4       9.466       152       109811       50.00       ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 76       111       74123       49.68       ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 76       113       Recovery       =       99.36%         54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene       8.360       95       114601       50.47       ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       130       Recovery       =       95.54%         54) 4-Bromofluoromethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62       ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       130       Recovery       =       100.94%         2) Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62       ppb       100         3) Chloromethane       1.511       96       22455m       42.88       ppb       96         1) Lichloroethane       1.511       96       22455m       42.88       ppb       98         7) Trichloroethane       1.561       <	28)	1,4-Difluorobenzene	4,697	114	229758	50.00	ppb		0,00
System Monitoring Compounds       23) Dibromofluoromethame       4.045       111       74123       49.68 ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 76       - 131       Recovery = 99.36%         36) Toluene-d8       5.962       96       251224       47.77       ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 78       - 128       Recovery = 95.54%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       - 130       Recovery = 100.94%       0.00         Target Compounds       Qvalue       0.100       Recovery = 100.94%       0.00         30 Chloromethane       1.226       50       51019       36.26 ppb       # 100         4) Vinyl Chloride       1.585       64       28527       42.18 ppb       98         7) Trichloromethane       1.777       101       99548       50.39 ppb       97         8) L. Oromethane       2.422       43       16612       52.68 ppb       98         9) L domethane       2.421       131       6612       52.68 ppb       98         1) Cadomethane       2.421       136       1612       52.68 ppb       98         1) Cadomethane       2.421       161610       610	38)	Cnioropenzene-d5	9 466	11/ 152	202863	50.00	ppb ppb		0.00
System Monitoring Compounds         23) Dibromofluoromethame       4.045       111       74123       49.68       ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 76       -131       Recovery = 99.36%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 78       -128       Recovery = 95.54%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       -130       Recovery = 100.94%       0.00         Target Compounds       Qvalue       2       Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62       ppb       100         3) Chloromethane       1.226       50       51019       36.26       ppb       100         3) Chloromethane       1.511       96       22455m       42.80       ppb       97         5) Bromomethane       1.511       96       24255m       42.80       ppb       98         1, 1-Dichloroethane       1.777       101       95484       50.98       ppb       99         1, 1-Dichloroethane       2.191       61       67189       41.29       ppb       100         10 codomethane       2.191       64       5932m       44.02       ppb       10         1	55)	1,4-Dichiorobenzene-d4	9,400	192	703011	30.00	ppp		0.00
23) Dibromofluoromethane       4.045       111       74123       49.68 ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 76       -131       Recovery       =       99.36%         36) Toluene-d8       5.962       96       251224       47.77       ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 78       -128       Recovery       =       99.36%         54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene       8.360       95       114601       50.47       ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       -130       Recovery       =       100.94%         Target Compounds       Curule       Curule       Curule       Curule       2       Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62       ppb       100         3) Chloromethane       1.290       62       52627       42.18       ppb       98       11.1-Dichloroethane       1.977       10       9548       50.98       ppb       99       81       1.1-Dichloroethane       2.1971       61       67189       41.29       ppb       90       81       1.1-Dichloroethane       2.361       76       104460m       7.26       ppb       11	Syste	em Monitoring Compounds							
Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 76 - 131 Recovery = 99.36% 36) Toluene-d8 5.962 98 251224 47.77 ppb 0.00 Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 78 - 128 Recovery = 95.54% 54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene 8.360 95 114601 50.47 ppb 0.00 Spiked Amount 50.000 Range 71 - 130 Recovery = 100.94% Target Compounds Qvalue 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 1.091 85 60973 62.62 ppb 100 3) Chloromethane 1.516 60 51019 36.26 ppb # 100 4) Vinyl Chloride 1.290 62 52627 41.29 ppb 97 5) Bromomethane 1.511 96 22455m 42.80 ppb 6) Chloroethane 1.585 64 28572 42.18 ppb 98 7) Trichlorofluoromethane 1.777 101 99548 50.98 ppb 99 8) 1, 1-Dichloroethene 2.191 61 67189 41.29 ppb 100 9) Acetone 2.242 43 16612 52.68 ppb 98 10) Iodomethane 2.310 142 45496m 40.51 ppb 11) Carbon Disulfide 2.588 49 59032m 34.02 ppb 12) Methylene Chloride 2.588 49 59032m 34.02 ppb 13) (trans) 1, 2-Dichloroet 2.815 61 66760m 40.42 ppb 14) Methyl t-Butyl Ether 2.821 73 136563 52.01 ppb 97 15) 1, 1-Dichloroethane 3.161 63 84093 41.05 ppb 98 20) Bromomethane 3.666 43 21693 45.59 ppb 99 16) Vinyl Acetate 3.218 43 90314 42.82 ppb 97 17) 2, 2-Dichloroethane 3.643 77 79319 51.25 ppb 99 19) 2-Butanone 3.666 43 21693 45.59 ppb 98 20) Bromochloromethane 4.062 97 91375 47.82 ppb 48 20) Bromochloromethane 4.062 97 91375 47.82 ppb 48 21) Chloroform 3.909 83 9008 46.33 ppb 98 22) 1, 1, 1-Trichloroethane 4.062 97 91375 47.82 ppb 48 23) 1, 2-Dichloropropane 5.106 63 45775 40.91 ppb 98 24) Carbon Tetrachloride 4.386 76 64569 44.05 ppb 98 26) Bromochloromethane 5.020 174 34164 53.38 ppb 96 26) Bromodichloromethane 5.020 174 34164 53.38 ppb 96 26) Bromodichloromethane 5.020 174 34164 53.38 ppb 96 26) Bromodichloropropane 5.729 75 73235 50.35 ppb 99 39) (cis) 1, 3-Dichloropropane 5.729 75 73235 50.35 ppb 99 30) (j. 2-Dichloropropane 5.729 75 73391 53.20 ppb 98 30) (j. 2-Dichloropropane 5.729 75 73391 53.20 ppb 99 30) (j. 2-Dichloropropane 5.729 75 73391 53.20 ppb 99 31) Dibromomethane 5.022 77 73391 53.20 ppb 99 32) (cis) 1, 3-Dichloropropane 6.018 91 188264 3.49 ppb 99	23)	Dibromofluoromethane	4.045	111	74123	49.68	ppb		0.00
36) Tolluene-08       5.962       9.8       25.1224       41.77       PpD       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 78       -128       Recovery       =       95.544         54) 4-Bromofluorobenzene       8.360       95       114601       50.47       ppb       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71       -130       Recovery       =       95.544         2) Dichlorodifluorobenthane       1.091       85       60973       62.62       ppb       100         3) Chloromethane       1.216       50       51019       36.26       ppb       #       100         4) Vinyl Chloride       1.290       62       52627       41.29       ppb       97         5) Bromomethane       1.585       64       28572       42.80       ppb       98         1, 1-Dichloroethane       2.310       142       4545m       40.80       ppb       99         8) 1, 1-Dichloroethane       2.310       142       4545m       40.51       ppb       10         10 Godomethane       2.310       142       4545m       40.51       ppb       10         11 Carbon Disulfide       2.658       49       50032m	Sp:	iked Amount 50.000	Range 76	- 131	Recove	ry =	99.3	58	0.00
Splred Amount       10.000       Amige 70 = 120       10.000       10.000       10.000         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71 - 130       Recovery = 100.94%       0.00         Spiked Amount       50.000       Range 71 - 130       Recovery = 100.94%       0.00         2) Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62       ppb       100         3) Chloromethane       1.216       50       51019       36.26       ppb       # 100         4) Vinyl Chloride       1.290       62       52627       41.29       ppb       97         5) Bromomethane       1.511       96       22455m       42.80       ppb       98         7) Trichlorofluoromethane       1.777       101       99548       50.98       ppb       98         10) Iodomethane       2.310       124       45496m       40.51       ppb       100         10) Methylene Chloride       2.688       49       59032m       34.02       ppb       97         11) (rans) 1.2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       66760m       40.42       ppb       91         10) Iodomethane       3.161       63 8403       41.05       ppb       98	36)	Toluene-d8	5.962 Pange 78	- 128	Z51ZZ4 Recove	47.77	ar en ar en	1 8-	0.00
Spiked Amount       50.000       Range       71 - 130       Recovery       =       100.94%         Target Compounds       Ovalue         2) Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62 ppb       100         3) Chloromethane       1.216       50       51019       36.26 ppb       #       100         4) Vinyl Chloride       1.290       62       52627       41.29 ppb       97         5) Bromomethane       1.511       96       22455m       42.80 ppb       98         6) Chloroethane       1.585       64       22457       42.18 ppb       98         7) Trichlorofluoromethane       1.777       101       99548       50.98 ppb       99         6) 1.1-Dichloroethene       2.191       61       6612       52.68 ppb       98         10) Iodomethane       2.242       43       16612       52.68 ppb       98         11) Carbon Disulfide       2.586       49       59032m       34.02 ppb       91         13) (trans) 1.2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       66760m       40.42 ppb       97         17) 2.2-Dichloropropane       3.663       61       79000       40.10 ppb       97         1	54)	4-Bromofluorobenzene	8.360	95	114601	50.47	npb	I 0	0.00
Target Compounds       Ovalue         2) Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62 ppb       100         3) Chloromethane       1.216       50       51019       36.26 ppb       #       100         4) Vinyl Chloride       1.290       62       52677       41.29 ppb       97         5) Bromomethane       1.511       96       22455m       42.80 ppb       97         6) Chloroethane       1.595       64       28572       42.18 ppb       98         7) Trichlorofluoromethane       1.777       101       99548       50.98 ppb       99         8) 1,1-Dichloroethene       2.310       142       45496m       40.51 ppb       98         10) Iodomethane       2.310       142       45496m       40.51 ppb       98         11) Carbon Disulfide       2.861       76       104460m       37.26 ppb         12) Methylene Chloride       2.868       49       59312m       34.02 ppb       97         13) (trans) 1,2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       66760m       40.42 ppb       97         15) 1,1-Dichloroptopane       3.664       3161       79000       40.10 ppb       98         16) Vinyl Acetate	Sp:	iked Amount 50.000	Range 71	- 130	Recove	ry =	100.9	18	0100
Target Compounds       Ovalue         2) Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.091       85       60973       62.62       ppb       100         3) Chloromethane       1.216       50       51019       36.26       ppb       #       100         4) Vinyl Chloride       1.290       62       52627       41.29       ppb       97         5) Bromomethane       1.585       64       28572       42.18       ppb       98         6) Chloroethane       2.191       61       67189       41.29       ppb       100         9) Acetone       2.242       43       16612       52.68       ppb       98         10) Iodomethane       2.310       124       45496m       40.51       ppb         11) Carbon Disulfide       2.588       49       59032m       34.02       ppb         13) (trans) 1.2-Dichloroet       2.811       63       84033       41.05       ppb       97         15) 1.1-Dichloroethane       3.161       63       84033       41.05       ppb       99         16) Vinyl Acetate       3.214       43       90314       42.82       ppb       99         17) 2.2-Dichloropenpane       3.643       77	-		_						_
2)       Dichloromethane       1.091       85       609/3       62.62 ppb       #       100         3)       Chloromethane       1.210       62       52627       41.29 ppb       97         5)       Bromomethane       1.511       96       22455m       42.80 ppb       98         7)       Trichlorofluoromethane       1.777       101       99548       50.98 ppb       99         8)       1,1-Dichloroethene       2.191       61       67189       41.29 ppb       100         9)       Acetone       2.242       43       16612       52.68 ppb       98         10)       Iodomethane       2.310       142       45496m       40.51 ppb       98         11)       Carbon Disulfide       2.568       49       59032m       34.02 ppb       101         12)       Methylene Chloride       2.681       61       66760m       40.42 ppb       97         13)       (trans)       1,2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       66760m       40.42 ppb       98         14)       Methyl = Butyl Ether       3.643       77       79319       51.25 ppb       99       98         16)       Vinyl Acetate       3.666 <td>Targe</td> <td>et Compounds</td> <td>1 001</td> <td></td> <td>60000</td> <td><b>50 50</b></td> <td> ].</td> <td>Qva</td> <td>alue</td>	Targe	et Compounds	1 001		60000	<b>50 50</b>	].	Qva	alue
3) Chilofolderhame       1.218       50       5109       50.20       5120       50       97         4) Vinyl Chloride       1.290       62       52627       41.29       9pb       97         5) Bromomethane       1.511       96       22455m       42.80       ppb       98         6) Chloroethane       1.777       101       99548       50.98       ppb       99         8) 1,1-Dichloroethene       2.191       61       67189       41.29       ppb       100         9) Acctone       2.242       43       16612       52.68       ppb       98         101 Iodomethane       2.301       142       45496m       40.51       ppb         11 Carbon Disulfide       2.684       9       59032m       34.02       ppb         12) Methylene Chloride       2.684       9       59032m       34.02       ppb         13) (trans) 1,2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       66760m       40.42       ppb         14) Methyl t-Butyl Ether       2.821       73       136563       52.01       ppb       98         16) Vinyl Acetate       3.643       77       79319       51.25       ppb       99       99      <	2)	Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethano	1 216	85	60973	54,54	ppb nnh	#	100
1) Vinyl Chronethane       1.511       96       22455m       42.80       ppb         6) Chloroethane       1.585       64       22455m       42.80       ppb       98         7) Trichlorofluoromethane       1.777       101       99548       50.98       ppb       99         8) 1, 1-Dichloroethene       2.191       61       67189       41.29       ppb       100         9) Acetone       2.242       43       16612       52.68       ppb       98         10) Iodomethane       2.310       142       45496m       40.51       ppb         11) Carbon Disulfide       2.361       76       104460m       37.26       ppb         12) Methylene Chloride       2.815       61       66760m       40.42       pb         13) (trans) 1, 2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       84093       41.05       ppb       98         16) Vinyl Acetate       3.218       43       90314       42.82       ppb       97         17) 2, 2-Dichloroethane       3.663       61       79000       40.10       ppb       99         18) Ciboroform       3.909       83       90908       46.39       ppb       98         20	3) 4)	Vinyl Chloride	1 290	50 62	52627	41 29	ppb	H	97
6)       Chloroethane       1.585       64       28572       42.18       ppb       98         7)       Trichlorofluoromethane       1.777       101       99548       50.98       ppb       99         8)       1.1-Dichloroethene       2.191       61       67189       41.29       ppb       100         9       Acetone       2.242       43       16612       52.68       ppb       98         10)       Iodomethane       2.310       142       45496m       40.51       ppb         11)       Carbon Disulfide       2.681       76       104460m       37.26       ppb         13)       (trans)       1.2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       66760m       40.42       ppb         14)       Methyl t=Butyl Ether       2.821       73       13663       2.01       ppb       97         15.1.1-Dichloroethane       3.161       63       84093       41.05       ppb       98         16)       Vinyl Acetate       3.218       43       90314       42.82       ppb       97         17.2.2-Dichloroethane       3.666       43       21693       45.59       ppb       98         20)	5)	Bromomethane	1.511	96	22455m	42.80	daa		27
7)       Trichlorofluoromethane       1.777       101       99548       50.98       ppb       99         8)       1,1-Dichloroethene       2.191       61       67189       41.29       ppb       100         9)       Acetone       2.242       43       16612       52.68       pb       98         10)       Iodomethane       2.310       142       45496m       40.51       ppb         11)       Carbon Disulfide       2.361       76       104460m       37.26       ppb         12)       Methylene Chloride       2.584       49       59032m       34.02       ppb         13)       (trans)       1,2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       66760m       40.42       ppb         14)       Methyl       t-Butyl Ether       2.821       73       135653       52.01       ppb       97         15)       1,1-Dichloroethane       3.161       63       84093       41.05       ppb       98         16)       Vinyl Acetate       3.218       43       90314       42.82       ppb       97         17)       2,2-Dichloroethane       3.6643       71       79319       51.25       ppb       98	6)	Chloroethane	1.585	64	28572	42.18	ppb		98
8)       1,1-Dichloroethene       2.191       61       67189       41.29 ppb       100         9)       Acetone       2.242       43       16612       52.68 ppb       98         10)       Iodomethane       2.310       142       45496m       40.51 ppb         11)       Carbon Disulfide       2.361       76       104460m       37.26 ppb         12)       Methylene Chloride       2.588       49       59032m       34.02 ppb         13)       (trans)       1.2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       66760m       40.42 ppb         14)       Methyl t-Butyl Ether       2.821       73       136563       52.01 ppb       97         15)       1.1-Dichloroethane       3.161       63       84093       41.05 ppb       98         16)       Vinyl Acetate       3.218       43       90314       42.82 ppb       97         17       2.2-Dichloropropane       3.663       61       79000       40.10 ppb       99         18)       (cis)       1.2-Dichloroethane       3.666       43       21693       45.59 ppb       48         21)       Chloroform       3.909       89       9008       46.39 ppb       98	7)	Trichlorofluoromethane	1.777	101	99548	50,98	ppb		99
9) Acetone 2.242 43 16612 52.68 ppb 98 10) Iodomethane 2.310 142 45496m 40.51 ppb 11) Carbon Disulfide 2.361 76 104460m 37.26 ppb 12) Methylene Chloride 2.588 49 59032m 34.02 ppb 13) (trans) 1,2-Dichloroet 2.815 61 66760m 40.42 ppb 14) Methyl t-Butyl Ether 2.821 73 136563 52.01 ppb 97 15) 1,1-Dichloroethane 3.161 63 84093 41.05 ppb 98 16) Vinyl Acetate 3.218 43 90314 42.82 ppb 97 17) 2,2-Dichloropropane 3.643 77 79319 51.25 ppb 99 18) (cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene 3.643 61 79000 40.10 ppb 99 19) 2-Butanone 3.666 43 21693 45.59 ppb 98 20) Bromochloromethane 3.841 130 29786 49.51 ppb # 84 21) Chloroform 3.909 83 90908 46.39 ppb 98 22) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 4.062 97 91375 47.82 ppb # 100 24) Carbon Tetrachloride 4.198 117 83972 47.44 ppb 92 25) 1,1-Dichloropthane 4.386 62 84296 48.94 ppb 98 27) 1,2-Dichloropthane 5.066 63 45775 40.91 ppb 98 28) Bromodichloromethane 5.202 174 34164 53.38 ppb 98 30) 1,2-Dichloropthane 5.026 174 34164 53.38 ppb 96 31) 2-Chloropthane 5.729 75 79235 50.35 ppb 96 32) Bromodichloromethane 5.729 75 79235 50.35 ppb 100 34) (cis) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.811 97 38083 46.65 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.811 97 38083 46.65 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.811 97 38083 46.65 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.529 76 70040 49.57 ppb 98 30] 1,2-Trichloropthane 5.729 75 79235 50.35 ppb 100 34) (cis) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.811 97 38083 46.65 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.381 97 38083 46.65 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.381 97 38083 46.65 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.329 75 7391 53.20 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.329 75 7391 53.20 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.381 97 38083 46.65 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropthane 6.329 75 7391 53.20 ppb 98 39] (trans) 1,3-Dichloropth	8)	1,1-Dichloroethene	2.191	61	67189	41.29	ppb		100
10)       Iodomethane       2.310       142       45496m       40.51 ppb         11)       Carbon Disulfide       2.361       76       104460m       37.26 ppb         12)       Methylene Chloride       2.588       49       59032m       34.02 ppb         13)       (trans)       1,2-Dichloroet       2.815       61       66760m       40.42 ppb         14)       Methyl t-Butyl Ether       2.821       73       136563       52.01 ppb       98         16)       Vinyl Acetate       3.161       63       84093       41.05 ppb       98         16)       Vinyl Acetate       3.218       43       90314       42.82 ppb       97         17)       2.2-Dichloropropane       3.664       71       79000       40.10 ppb       99         18)       (cis)       1,2-Dichloroethane       3.664       3       21693       45.59 ppb       98         20)       Bromochloromethane       3.841       130       29786       49.51 ppb       # 84         21)       Chloroform       3.909       83       90908       46.39 ppb       98         22)       1,1-Dichloropropane       4.198       75       64569       44.05 ppb	9)	Acetone	2.242	43	16612	52,68	ppb		98
11)Carbon Distinct2.36176104480m37.26 ppb12)Methylene Chloride2.5864959032m34.02 ppb13)(trans) 1, 2-Dichloroet2.8156166760m40.42 ppb14)Methyl t-Butyl Ether2.8217313656352.01 ppb9715)1, 1-Dichloroethane3.161638409341.05 ppb9816)Vinyl Acetate3.218439031442.82 ppb9717)2, 2-Dichloropropane3.643617900040.10 ppb9918)(cis)1, 2-Dichloroethene3.666432169345.59 ppb9820)Bromochloromethane3.909839090846.39 ppb9821)Chloroform3.909839090846.39 ppb9822)1,1.1-Trichloroethane4.062979137547.82 ppb#23)1,1-Dichloropropene4.1981178397247.44 ppb9225)1,1-Dichloroptopene4.198756456944.05 ppb9826)Benzene4.366628429648.94 ppb9929)Trichloroethane4.386628429648.94 ppb9929)Trichloroethane5.2021743416453.38 ppb9630)1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91 ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.338837100948.98 ppb<	10)	Iodomethane	2.310	142	45496m	40,51	ppb		
12)       Methylene Chloride       2.815       61       66760m       40.42 ppb         14)       Methyl t-Butyl Ether       2.815       61       66760m       40.42 ppb         14)       Methyl t-Butyl Ether       2.815       61       66760m       40.42 ppb         15)       1,1-Dichloroethane       3.161       63       84093       41.05 ppb       98         16)       Vinyl Acetate       3.218       43       90314       42.82 ppb       97         17)       2,2-Dichloropropane       3.643       61       79000       40.10 ppb       99         18)       (cis)       1,2-Dichloroethene       3.666       43       21693       45.59 ppb       98         20)       Bromochloromethane       3.841       130       29786       49.51 ppb       #84         21)       Chloroform       3.909       83       90908       46.39 ppb       98         22)       1,1.1-Trichloroethane       4.062       97       91375       47.82 ppb       #100         24)       Carbon Tetrachloride       4.198       117       83972       47.44 ppb       92         25)       1,2-Dichloroethane       4.366       84296       48.94 ppb <t< td=""><td>11)</td><td>Carbon Disulfide</td><td>2.361</td><td>/6</td><td>104460m</td><td>37,26</td><td>ppp ppb</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	11)	Carbon Disulfide	2.361	/6	104460m	37,26	ppp ppb		
14)Methylt-ButylEther2.8217313656352.01ppb9715)1,1-Dichloroethane3.161638409341.05ppb9816)VinylAcetate3.218439031442.82ppb9717)2,2-Dichloropropane3.643777931951.25ppb9918)(cis)1,2-Dichloroethene3.666432169345.59ppb9820)Bromochloromethane3.8411302978649.51ppb#8421)Chloroform3.909839090846.39ppb9822)1,1.Trichloroethane4.062979137547.82ppb#10024)Carbon Tetrachloride4.1981178397247.44ppb9225)1,1-Dichloropropene4.198756456944.05ppb9826)Benzene4.366628429648.94ppb9929)Trichloroethane4.386628429648.94ppb9930)1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.338837100948.98ppb9632)Bromodichloromethane5.871434928150.25ppb4931)Libnoropropene5.729757923550.35ppb9632)<	13)	(trans) 1 2-Dichloroet	2.588	4 <i>5</i> 61	66760m	40.42	ppb ppb		
15)1,1-Dichloroethane3.161638409341.05ppb9816)Vinyl Acetate3.218439031442.82ppb9717)2,2-Dichloropropane3.643777931951.25ppb9918)(cis)1,2-Dichloroethene3.643617900040.10ppb9919)2-Butanone3.666432169345.59ppb9820)Bromochloromethane3.8411302978649.51ppb#21)1,1.1-Trichloroethane4.062979137547.82ppb#22)1,1.1-Trichloroethane4.062979137547.44ppb9225)1,1-Dichloropropene4.198156456944.05ppb9826)Benzene4.3697816216043.32ppb9827)1,2-Dichloroethane4.9131304980850.16ppb9830)1,2-Dichloroethane5.2021743416453.38ppb9631)Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38ppb9632)Bromodichloromethane5.729757923550.35ppb4933)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70ppb#33)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.20ppb9633)2-Chloroethyl Ketone </td <td>14)</td> <td>Methyl t-Butyl Ether</td> <td>2,821</td> <td>73</td> <td>136563</td> <td>52.01</td> <td>dqq</td> <td></td> <td>97</td>	14)	Methyl t-Butyl Ether	2,821	73	136563	52.01	dqq		97
16)Vinyl Acetate3.218439031442.82 ppb9717)2,2-Dichloropropane3.643777931951.25 ppb9918)(cis)1,2-Dichloroethene3.643617900040.10 ppb9919)2-Butanone3.666432169345.59 ppb9820)Bromochloromethane3.8411302978649.51 ppb#8421)Chloroform3.909839090846.39 ppb9822)1,1,1-Trichloroethane4.062979137547.82 ppb#10024)Carbon Tetrachloride4.1981178397247.44 ppb9225)1,1-Dichloropropene4.198756456944.05 ppb9826)Benzene4.3697816216043.32 ppb9827)1,2-Dichloroethane4.366628429648.94 ppb9929)Trichloroethane5.106634577540.91 ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38 ppb9632)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70 ppb#33)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70 ppb#33)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70 ppb#34)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757323550.35 ppb10035)Methy	15)	1,1-Dichloroethane	3.161	63	84093	41.05	ppb		98
17) $2, 2$ -Dichloropropane $3.643$ $77$ $79319$ $51.25$ ppb $99$ 18)(cis) $1, 2$ -Dichloroethene $3.643$ $61$ $79000$ $40.10$ ppb $99$ 19) $2$ -Butanone $3.666$ $43$ $21693$ $45.59$ ppb $98$ 20)Bromochloromethane $3.841$ $130$ $29786$ $49.51$ ppb $\#$ 21)Chloroform $3.909$ $83$ $90908$ $46.39$ ppb $98$ 22) $1, 1, 1$ -Trichloroethane $4.062$ $97$ $91375$ $47.82$ ppb $\#$ 24)Carbon Tetrachloride $4.198$ $117$ $83972$ $47.44$ ppb $92$ 25) $1, 1$ -Dichloropropene $4.198$ $75$ $64569$ $44.05$ ppb $98$ 26)Benzene $4.369$ $78$ $162160$ $43.32$ ppb $98$ 27) $1, 2$ -Dichloroethane $4.386$ $62$ $84296$ $48.94$ ppb $99$ 29)Trichloroethane $5.106$ $63$ $45775$ $40.91$ ppb $97$ 31)Dibromomethane $5.202$ $174$ $34164$ $53.38$ ppb $96$ 32)Bromodichloromethane $5.338$ $83$ $71009$ $48.98$ ppb $96$ 33) $2$ -Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether $5.610$ $63$ $11393$ $53.70$ ppb $90$ 34)(cis) $1, 3$ -Dichloropropene $5.729$ $75$ $79235$ $50.35$ ppb $100$ 35)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone $5.871$ $43$ $49281$ $50.25$ ppb <td>16)</td> <td>Vinyl Acetate</td> <td>3.218</td> <td>43</td> <td>90314</td> <td>42.82</td> <td>ppb</td> <td></td> <td>97</td>	16)	Vinyl Acetate	3.218	43	90314	42.82	ppb		97
18)(cis)1,2-Dichloroethene3.643617900040.10ppb9919)2-Butanone3.666432169345.59ppb9820)Bromochloromethane3.8411302978649.51ppb#8421)Chloroform3.909839090846.39ppb9822)1,1,1-Trichloroethane4.062979137547.82ppb#10024)Carbon Tetrachloride4.1981178397247.44ppb9225)1,1-Dichloropropene4.3697816216043.32ppb9826)Benzene4.366628429648.94ppb9929)Trichloroethane4.386628429648.94ppb9929)Trichloroptopane5.106634577540.91ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38ppb9632)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70ppb#33)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70ppb9834)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35ppb10035)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25ppb9837)Toluene6.0189118582643.49ppb9839)(	17)	2,2-Dichloropropane	3.643	77	79319	51,25	ppb		99
19)2-Butanone3.666432169345.59ppb9820)Bromochloromethane3.8411302978649.51ppb#8421)Chloroform3.909839090846.39ppb9822)1,1.1-Trichloroethane4.062979137547.82ppb#10024)Carbon Tetrachloride4.1981178397247.44ppb9225)1,1-Dichloropropene4.3697816216043.32ppb9826)Benzene4.3697816216043.32ppb9827)1,2-Dichloroethane4.9131304980850.16ppb9830)1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.338837100948.98ppb9632)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70ppb#33)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139350.25ppb4934)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35ppb10035)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25ppb9837)Toluene6.0189118582643.49ppb9839)(trans)1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20ppb9940) <td>18)</td> <td>(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene</td> <td>3.643</td> <td>61</td> <td>79000</td> <td>40.10</td> <td>ppb</td> <td></td> <td>99</td>	18)	(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	3.643	61	79000	40.10	ppb		99
20)Bromoentoromethale3.8411302.978849.31ppb#8421)Chloroform3.909839090846.39ppb9822)1,1.1-Trichloroethane4.062979137547.82ppb#10024)Carbon Tetrachloride4.1981178397247.44ppb9225)1,1-Dichloropropene4.198756456944.05ppb9826)Benzene4.3697816216043.32ppb9827)1,2-Dichloroethane4.386628429648.94ppb9929)Trichloroethene4.9131304980850.16ppb9830)1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38ppb9632)Bromodichloromethane5.338837100948.98ppb9633)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70ppb#9034)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35ppb10035)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25ppb9839)(trans)1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20ppb9940)1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65ppb9	19)	2-Butanone	3,666	43	21693	45.59	ppb ppb	++	98
21) Child Corona3.0003.0003.0003.0003.0003.0003.00022) 1,1.Trichloroethane4.062979137547.82 ppb#10024) Carbon Tetrachloride4.1981178397247.44 ppb9225) 1,1-Dichloropropene4.198756456944.05 ppb9826) Benzene4.3697816216043.32 ppb9827) 1,2-Dichloroethane4.386628429648.94 ppb9929) Trichloroethene4.9131304980850.16 ppb9830) 1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91 ppb9731) Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38 ppb9632) Bromodichloromethane5.338837100948.98 ppb9633) 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70 ppb#9034) (cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35 ppb10035) Methyl Isobutyl Ketone6.871434928150.25 ppb#9537) Toluene6.223757339153.20 ppb9839) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741) Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842) 1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	20)	Chloroform	3,041	230	90908	46 39	ppb	H	98
24)Carbon Tetrachloride4.1981178397247.44ppb9225)1,1-Dichloropropene4.198756456944.05ppb9826)Benzene4.3697816216043.32ppb9827)1,2-Dichloroethane4.386628429648.94ppb9929)Trichloroethene4.9131304980850.16ppb9830)1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38ppb9632)Bromodichloromethane5.338837100948.98ppb9633)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70ppb#34)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35ppb10035)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25ppb9839)(trans)1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20ppb9940)1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65ppb9741)Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55ppb9842)1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57ppb99	$\frac{21}{22}$	1.1.1.Trichloroethane	4.062	97	91375	47.82	daa	Ħ	100
25)1,1-Dichloropropene4.198756456944.05 ppb9826)Benzene4.3697816216043.32 ppb9827)1,2-Dichloroethane4.386628429648.94 ppb9929)Trichloroethene4.9131304980850.16 ppb9830)1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91 ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38 ppb9632)Bromodichloromethane5.338837100948.98 ppb9633)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70 ppb#34)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35 ppb10035)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25 ppb#9537)Toluene6.0189118582643.49 ppb9839)(trans)1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940)1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741)Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842)1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	24)	Carbon Tetrachloride	4.198	117	83972	47,44	ppb		92
26)Benzene4.3697816216043.32 ppb9827)1,2-Dichloroethane4.386628429648.94 ppb9929)Trichloroethene4.9131304980850.16 ppb9830)1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91 ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38 ppb9632)Bromodichloromethane5.338837100948.98 ppb9633)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70 ppb#34)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35 ppb10035)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25 ppb#9537)Toluene6.0189118582643.49 ppb9839)(trans)1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940)1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741)Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842)1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	25)	1,1-Dichloropropene	4,198	75	64569	44,05	ppb		98
27)1,2-Dichloroethane4.386628429648.94 ppb9929)Trichloroethene4.9131304980850.16 ppb9830)1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91 ppb9731)Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38 ppb9632)Bromodichloromethane5.338837100948.98 ppb9633)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70 ppb#34)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35 ppb10035)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25 ppb#9537)Toluene6.0189118582643.49 ppb9839)(trans)1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940)1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741)Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842)1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	26)	Benzene	4.369	78	162160	43.32	ppb		98
29) Trichloroethene4.9131304980850.16ppb9830) 1,2-Dichloropropane5.106634577540.91ppb9731) Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38ppb9632) Bromodichloromethane5.338837100948.98ppb9633) 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70ppb#9034) (cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35ppb10035) Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25ppb#9537) Toluene6.0189118582643.49ppb9839) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20ppb9940) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65ppb9741) Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55ppb9842) 1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57ppb99	27)	1,2-Dichloroethane	4.386	62	84296	48.94	ppb		99
30)1,2-Dichloropropane5.100634377340.51ppb5731)Dibromomethane5.2021743416453.38ppb9632)Bromodichloromethane5.338837100948.98ppb9633)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70ppb# 9034)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35ppb10035)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25ppb# 9537)Toluene6.0189118582643.49ppb9839)(trans)1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20ppb9940)1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65ppb9741)Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55ppb9842)1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57ppb99	29)	Trichloroethene	4.913	130	49808	50.16 40 91	ppo ppb		98 97
31)Diblomethate5.1013101301013010190132)Bromodichloromethate5.338837100948.98 ppb9633)2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70 ppb#9034)(cis)1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35 ppb10035)Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25 ppb#9537)Toluene6.0189118582643.49 ppb9839)(trans)1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940)1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741)Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842)1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	30)	Dibromomethane	5 202	174	34164	53 38	ppb pph		96
33) 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether5.610631139353.70 ppb#9034) (cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35 ppb10035) Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25 ppb#9537) Toluene6.0189118582643.49 ppb9839) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741) Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842) 1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	32)	Bromodichloromethane	5.338	83	71009	48.98	dqq		96
34) (cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene5.729757923550.35 ppb10035) Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25 ppb#9537) Toluene6.0189118582643.49 ppb9839) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741) Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842) 1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	33)	2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ethe	r 5.610	63	11393	53.70	ppb	#	90
35) Methyl Isobutyl Ketone5.871434928150.25 ppb#9537) Toluene6.0189118582643.49 ppb9839) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741) Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842) 1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	34)	(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropen	e 5.729	75	79235	50.35	ppb		100
37) Toluene6.0189118582643.49 ppb9839) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741) Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842) 1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	35)	Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	5.871	43	49281	50,25	ppb	#	95
39) (trans) 1,3-Dichloropr6.223757339153.20 ppb9940) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane6.381973808346.65 ppb9741) Tetrachloroethene6.5061665356250.55 ppb9842) 1,3-Dichloropropane6.529767004049.57 ppb99	37)	Toluene	6.018	91	185826	43.49	ppb		98
40, 1,1,2-Trichloroethane       6.381       97       38083       46.65 ppp       97         41) Tetrachloroethene       6.506       166       53562       50.55 ppb       98         42) 1,3-Dichloropropane       6.529       76       70040       49.57 ppb       99	39)	(trans) 1,3-Dichloropr	. 6.223	.75	73391	53,20 AE CE	aqq		99
42) 1,3-Dichloropropane 6.529 76 70040 49.57 ppb 99	40) ⊿1\	I, I, Z-Tricnioroethane	0.381 6 506	97 166	58083 53569	40,03 50 55	ոսր հեր		97 92
	±⊥/ 42)	1,3-Dichloropropane	6.529	76	70040	49,57	ppb		99

3/23/2/

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\W210323\ Data File : W032302.D Acq On : 23 Mar 2021 9:04 am Operator : Sample : SB0323S1 (CCV0323S1) Misc : V4-077-07,V4-077-08 ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplier: 1 Quant Time: Mar 23 09:30:56 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\W210203S.M Quant Title : QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration Compound R.T. QION Response Conc Units Dev(Min) CompoundA.1. QionAccepting43) 2-Hexanone6.614433590944.81 ppb# 9444) Dibromochloromethane6.7331295482651.71 ppb9945) 1,2-Dibromoethane6.8291074324652.85 ppb9646) Chlorobenzene7.28311212662047.61 ppb9947) 1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane7.3621.334934052.04 ppb9748) Ethylbenzene7.3919122430245.96 ppb9849) m, p-Xylene7.4989135940491.48 ppb9950) o-Xylene7.8679118609146.88 ppb9951) Styrene7.87810414123147.82 ppb10052) Bromoform8.0481734019760.85 ppb9953) Isopropylbenzene8.21810523481049.37 ppb10056) Bromobenzene8.4961565743449.48 ppb10057) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane8.507835267552.03 ppb9958) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane8.541754563356.39 ppb9559) n-Propylbenzene8.6099127541850.13 ppb9960) 2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265296150.72 ppb99 57)1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane8.507835267552.03ppb9957)1.2.3-Trichloropropane8.507835267552.03ppb9958)1.2.3-Trichloropropane8.6099127541850.13ppb9960)2-Chlorotoluene8.6831265296150.72ppb9961)4-Chlorotoluene8.7911265522151.74ppb9962)1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene8.78510519723549.92ppb9963)tert-Butylbenzene9.09711917566951.28ppb9864)1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene9.14210519968250.26ppb9865)sec-Butylbenzene9.30710524351550.15ppb9966)1.3-Dichlorobenzene9.40314610655850.57ppb10067)p-Isopropyltoluene9.44814611292352.17ppb9968)1.4-Dichlorobenzene9.84514610221651.96ppb10070)n-Butylbenzene9.8519119851549.11ppb9771)1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropr...10.6111571373664.94ppb9972)1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene11.4271808368357.23ppb10073)Hexachlorobutadiene11.6092255400954.31ppb100<t

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration (+) = signals summed

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\data\W210323\ Data File : W032302.D 23 Mar 2021 Acq On 9:04 am : Operator 2 SB0323S1 (CCV0323S1) Sample : Misc V4-077-07, V4-077-08 : Sample Multiplier: 1 ALS Vial : 2 Quant Time: Mar 23 09:30:56 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\W210203S.M

Quant Title QLast Update : Thu Feb 04 07:56:41 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration



# PAHs EPA 8270E/SIM Data

Data Path : X:\semivols\Quaggy Data File : Q0319010.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 6:30 Operator : Sample : 03-219-07 Misc : ALS Vial : 10 Sample Multip	/\DATA\Q210 ) pm plier: 1	0319\			
Quant Time: Mar 19 18:45:06 20 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\MJ Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09:4 Response via : Initial Calibra	021 ETHODS\QSIN 45:16 2021 ation	M0317.N	1		
Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units	Dev(Min)
Internal Standards 1) Naphthalene-d8 6) Acenaphthene-d10 10) Phenanthrene-d10	3.780 5.016 6.026	136 164 188	153877 88878 166828	2000.00 ppk 2000.00 ppk 2000.00 ppk	0.00
17) Chrysene-d12 21) Perylene-d12	8.036 9.429	240 264	133994 140142	2000.00 pph 2000.00 pph	) 0.00 ) 0.01
System Monitoring Compounds 2) Nitrobenzene-d5 Spiked Amount 1000.000 7) 2-Fluorobiphenyl Spiked Amount 1000.000 11) Pyrene-d10 Spiked Amount 1000.000 18) Terphenyl-d14 Spiked Amount 1000.000	0.000 Range 24 4.568 Range 25 7.006 Range 40 7.169 Range 39	82 - 92 172 - 89 212 - 110 244 - 92	0 Recove 48829 Recove 59264 Recove 42179 Recove	0.00 ppb ry = 0. 900.48 ppb ry = 90. 994.19 ppb ry = 99. 1002.24 pph ry = 100.	00%# 0.00 05%# 0.00 42% 0.00 .22%#
<pre>Target Compounds 2-Methylnaphthalene 3) 1-Methylnaphthalene 12) Fluorene 13) Phenanthrene 14) Anthracene 15) Fluoranthene 16) Pyrene 19) Benzo[a]anthracene 20) Chrysene 22) Benzo[b]fluoranthene 23) Benzo[b]fluoranthene 24) Benzo[a]pyrene 25) Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene 26) Dibenz[a,h]anthracene 27) Benzo[g,h,i]perylene</pre>	$\begin{array}{r} 4.295\\ 4.365\\ 5.393\\ 6.037\\ 6.072\\ 6.861\\ 7.015\\ 8.024\\ 8.053\\ 9.063\\ 9.087\\ 9.365\\ 10.411\\ 10.434\\ 10.627\\ \end{array}$	142 142 166 178 202 202 228 252 252 252 252 252 252 252 276 278 276	11472 5233 2619 36831 7908 91971 86260 43832 39095 50089m 17356m 39786 25052m 4535m 26952	225.64 ppb 105.73 ppb 45.58 ppb 413.73 ppb 88.92 ppb 904.90 ppb 854.27 ppb 477.90 ppb 430.16 ppb 520.02 ppb 190.74 ppb 457.93 ppb 289.52 ppb 52.85 ppb 298.27 ppb	Qvalue 99 96 100 97 98 96 97 92 96 96 96

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration (+) = signals summed

Z1

3-22-21

Data Path : X:\semivols\Quaggy\DATA\Q210319\ Data File : Q0319010.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 6:30 pm Operator : Sample : 03-219-07 Misc : ALS Vial : 10 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Mar 19 18:45:06 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\QSIM0317.M Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09:45:16 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration

undance		TIC: Q0319010.D\data.ms
300000		
280000		
260000	<b>6</b>	
240000	nanthrene	
240000	E .	
220000		
200000	Naphthal4	edi2J
180000	Fluoran Yrrené,T,M	Chrysen 2,1
160000		
140000	anaphthene-d	
120000		tinacona 1
100000	robiphenyl,S Prenantinene Prenantinene yl-d14,S	
80000	e,T 2-Fluc Terphen	millionanthene ne.T ne.T sne,T
60000		e cora Reverselt Benzola lov eig.,h.jlperyte
40000	thy in a contract the state of	Benzzo(j.k)fi Benz
20000	Fluorence.	
0	LIL_ ULUMA MANAMANA LANAMANIA	$\underbrace{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{M}} = \underbrace{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{$
me>	4.00 4.50 5.00 5.50 6.00 6.50 7.00 7.50	<u>) 8.00 8.50 9.00 9.50 10.00 10.50 11.00 11.50 12.00 12.50 13.00 13.50 14.00</u>





Mon Mar 22 12:50:17 2021





Mon Mar 22 12:50:18 2021

	Qua	antitation	Report	(Not I	Reviewed	)	
Data Path : Data File :	X:\semivols\Quagg	y\DATA\Q210	0319\				
Acq On :	19 Mar 2021 3:23	9 pm				ala shiilari A	· · ·
Operator :				· ·	· . · ·		1
Sample :	MB0319S1						
ALS Vial :	2 Sample Multip	lier: 1		1.			t Maria da Cara d
o	Mar. 10 15 40 16 0	0.01	· .	· · ·			
Quant Time: Quant Metho Quant Title	d : C:\MSDCHEM\1\M : PAH'S BY SIMS	ETHODS\QSIN	40317.M	I			
QLast Updat Response vi	e : Thu Mar 18 09: a : Initial Calibra	45:16 2021 ation	. '				
Com	pound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Un	its Dev(M	ln)
Internal S	tandards						
1) Napht	halene-d8	3.780	136	149668	2000.00	ppb	0.00
6) Acena	phthene-d10	5.016	164	86419	2000.00	ppb	0.00
10) Phena	nthrene-d10	6.037	188	166748	2000.00	ppb	0.02
17) Chrys	ene-d12	8,082	240	134331	2000.00	ppb	0.05
21) Peryl	ene-d12	9,458	264	136397	2000.00	ppb	0.04
System Mon	itoring Compounds						
2) Nitro	benzene-d5	0.000	82	.0	0.00 ]	opb 🐁	•
Spiked A	mount 1000.000	Range 24	- 92	Recove:	ry =	0.00%#	
7) 2-Flu	orobiphenyl	4.573	172	47556	901.96 j	opb 0.	.00
Spiked A	mount 1000.000	Range 25	- 89	Recove	ry =	90.20%#	
11) Pyren	e-d10	7.054	212	56590	949.58 j	opb 0.	.05
Spiked A	mount 1000.000	Range 40	- 110	Recove:	ry =	94.96%	
18) Terph	enyl-d14	7.227	244	41822	991.23 j	opb 0	.06
Spiked A	mount 1000.000	Range 39	- 92	Recove:	ry =	99.12%#	e ego 🐴
Target Com	pounds					Qvali	ıe
19) Benzo	[a] anthracene	8.082	228	607	Below (	Cal	73
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration (+) = signals summed

3-33-91

ZT

```
Data Path : X:\semivols\Quaggy\DATA\Q210319\
Data File : Q0319002.D
Acq On
          : 19 Mar 2021
                           3:28 pm
Operator
          :
Sample
            MB0319S1
          :
Misc
ALS Vial
            2
                Sample Multiplier: 1
          :
Quant Time: Mar 19 15:43:16 2021
```

```
Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\QSIM0317.M
Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS
QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09:45:16 2021
Response via : Initial Calibration
```



QSIM0317.M Mon Mar 22 12:49:58 2021

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA Data File : Q0319007.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 5:2 Operator : Sample : 03-193-01 Misc : ALS Vial : 7 Sample Multip	A\Q210319\ 22 pm olier: 1					
Quant Time: Mar 19 17:37:02 2 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\M Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09: Response via : Initial Calibr	2021 METHODS\QSI 45:16 2021 Cation	M0317.1	м			
Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units I	Dev(Min)	
Internal Standards						
1) Naphthalene-d8	3,780	136	156382	2000.00 ppb	0,0	0
6) Acenaphthene-d10	5.015	164	90233	2000.00 ppb	0.0	. 00
10) Phenanthrene-d10	6.026	188	169155	2000.00 ppb	· 0.0	0
17) Chrysene-dl2	8.042	240	134257	2000.00 ppb	0.0	)1
21) Perylene-dl2	9.429	264	137232	2000.00 ppb	0.0	)1
System Monitoring Compounds						
2) Nitrobenzene-d5	0 000	82	0	0 00 pph		
Spiked Amount 1000.000	Range 24	- 92	Recove	rv = 0	208#	
7) 2-Fluorobiphenvl	4.568	172	39296	-713.06 npb	ີ້ດໍດດ	
Spiked Amount 1000,000	Range 25	- 89	Recove	rv = 71.3	318	
11) Pyrene-d10	7.015	212	56106	927.95 ppb	0.00	
Spiked Amount 1000.000	Range 40	- 110	Recove	ry = 92.8	30%	
18) Terphenyl-d14	7.178	244	38342	dgg 00,00	0.00	
Spiked Amount 1000.000	Range 39	- 92	Recove	ry = 90.9	908	
Target Compounds					Qvalue	
3) Naphthalene	3.795	128	288	3.95 ppb	# 67	21
4) 2-Methylnaphthalene	4.295	142	90	1.74 ppb	89	
5) 1-Metnyinaphtnalene	4.364	142	58	1.15 ppb	94	2-2-21
8) Acenaphthylene	4.908	152	24	0.27 ppb	100	
12) Fluorone	5.015	123	39	aqq 07.0	100	
13) Dhenanthrene	6.000	170	174	N.D. 1 02 mmb	# · FC	
14) Anthracene	6.072	170	22	1.93 ppp	# 50 # 57	
15) Fluoranthene	6 861	202	22 44	0.24  ppb	++ 57 ++ 74	
16) Pyrene	7.015	202	118	1 15 ppb	17 / ±	
19) Benzo [a] anthracene	8.036	228	538	Helow Cal	ני <del>זו</del> כלי	
20) Chrysene	8.036	228	538	5-91 Oph	69	6.32
22) Benzo [b] fluoranthene	9.069	252	42	0.45 ppb	·# 1	~~~~~
23) Benzo (j,k)fluoranthene	9.069	252	42	-0-47 ppb	# 1	0.35
24) Benzo[a]pyrene	9.365	252	26	0.31 ppb	# 74	
25) Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	10.411	276	36	0.42 ppb	# 57	
26) Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	10,442	278	32	0.38 ppb	# 64	
27) Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	10.634	276	67	0.76 ppb	60	
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration (+) = signals summed

.

QSIM0317.M Fri Mar 19 17:37:02 2021

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\Q210319\ Data File : Q0319007.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 Operator : Sample : 03-193-01 5:22 pm Misc ; ALS Vial : 7 Sample Multiplier: 1 Quant Time: Mar 19 17:37:02 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\QSIM0317.M

Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09:45:16 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration

Abundance	TIC: Q0319007.D\data.ms	
300000		
280000		
260000		
200000		
040000		
240000		
	are are are an are an are	
220000		
	Z	
200000		
1 million 11		
180000	121	
160000	12.1	
:		
140000	Pary An An	
	E .	
120000		
100000		
100000		
80000		
60000		
40000		
- 401-401 - 101		
20000		
0	╘╧┰╧╧╧┿┲┶┟┲┶┶┺┺╋╋╗╗╗╋╋╋╋╋╗┙┙┷┲╋┪╗╗┺╧┷╧╋╗╝╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗	η
Time>	4.00 4.50 5.00 5.50 6.00 6.50 7.00 7.50 8.00 8.50 9.00 9.50 10.00 10.50 11.00 11.50 12.00 12.50 13.00 13.50 14.00	

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA Data File : Q0319008.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 5:4 Operator : Sample : 03-193-01 MS Misc : ALS Vial : 8 Sample Multip	\Q210319\ 5 pm lier: 1				
Quant Time: Mar 19 17:59:40 2 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\M Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09: Response via : Initial Calibr	021 ETHODS\QSI 45:16 2021 ation	M0317.I	М		
Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units D	ev(Min)
Internal Standards 1) Naphthalene-d8 6) Acenaphthene-d10 10) Phenanthrene-d10 17) Chrysene-d12 21) Perylene-d12	3.780 5.016 6.026 8.036 9.429	136 164 188 240 264	150017 88922 167959 134021 135046	2000.00 ppb 2000.00 ppb 2000.00 ppb 2000.00 ppb 2000.00 ppb	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01
System Monitoring Compounds 2) Nitrobenzene-d5 Spiked Amount 1000.000 7) 2-Fluorobiphenyl Spiked Amount 1000.000 11) Pyrene-d10 Spiked Amount 1000.000 18) Terphenyl-d14 Spiked Amount 1000.000	0.000 Range 24 4.568 Range 25 7.005 Range 40 7.169 Range 39	82 - 92 172 - 89 212 - 110 244 - 92	0 Recove 41235 Recove 56382 Recove 41256 Recove	0.00 ppb ry = 0.0 759.50 ppb ry = 75.9 939.22 ppb ry = 93.9 980.05 ppb ry = 98.0	0%# 0.00 5% 0.00 2% 0.00 0%#
<pre>Target Compounds 3) Naphthalene 4) 2-Methylnaphthalene 5) 1-Methylnaphthalene 8) Acenaphthylene 9) Acenaphthene 12) Fluorene 13) Phenanthrene 14) Anthracene 15) Fluoranthene 16) Pyrene 19) Benzo[a]anthracene 20) Chrysene 22) Benzo[b]fluoranthene 23) Benzo(j,k)fluoranthene 24) Benzo[a]pyrene 25) Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene 26) Dibenz[a,h]anthracene 27) Benzo[g,h,i]perylene </pre>	3.795 4.295 4.364 4.908 5.031 5.393 6.072 6.861 7.015 8.024 8.059 9.057 9.086 9.365 10.411 10.442 10.627	128 142 142 152 153 166 178 178 202 202 228 228 252 252 252 252 252 252 252 25	23990 17392 17690 29721 19356 23027 36182 36567 45112 42765 41044 40328 41959 37958 36547 43860 36032 37739	342.57 ppb 350.88 ppb 366.61 ppb 343.98 ppb 351.11 ppb 398.02 ppb 403.70 ppb 408.41 ppb 440.87 ppb 440.87 ppb 440.67 ppb 446.94 ppb 443.63 ppb 432.05 ppb 432.90 ppb 436.53 ppb 435.80 ppb 433.41 ppb	Qvalue 100 99 96 100 100 100 97 98 97 96 97 99 98 93 96 97 99 98 93 95 91 91

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration (+) = signals summed

3-20-21 X

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\Q210319\ Data File : Q0319008.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 5:45 pm Operator : Sample : 03-193-01 MS Misc : ALS Vial : 8 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Mar 19 17:59:40 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\QSIM0317.M Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09:45:16 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration

Abundance	;							TIC	Q0319	9008.0	D\data	.ms								1
300000	1.																			:
280000																				
260000					<del>:ne-d</del> 10,1															:
240000	; ;				Phenanthre												•			
220000	lalene-d8,J																			
200000	Napht							me-d12,1												
180000			1'0)	·				Chryse												
160000			naphthene-d1								ne-d12,1									
140000			- Ace								Peryle						·			
120000					1	therie,T e,T,M		sene.T			Ę									
100000		e,T henyl,S			សើកខ្វាជាប់រះកា	Fluorant yrene-đ*jđrên nyl-d14,S		<del>ĝjsjentna</del> (		еЋе, Т		I	ene, l							
80000	thatene;T	kjnanaticalen 2-Fluorobipi	ene,T philhene,T,M		Andre	1 [ [ [ [ [ [ ]	•	CmysBR		વિંભભ્રાવાસંસભ્યાસ	rene.T		zlerylene,T							
60000	Naphi Naphi	-1-Methyff	Acenaphthyle	Fluorene,]						Becard	<u>Benzo(a]py</u>		intenqijben Benzolg,l				·			:
40000										944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 -										
20000							and an investigation of the					- and a second			-	11-1-1-1-1-1-	<b>1</b>			- -
Time>	4.00	4,50	5.00	5.50	6.00 6	.50 7.00	7.50	8.00	8.50	9.00	9.50	10.00 1	0.50 1	1.00 11	.50 12	.00 12	50 13	00 13.6	0 14.00	· · I

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\ Data File : Q0319009.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 6:07	\Q210319\ /pm					
Operator : Sample · 03-193-01 MSD						
Misc :						
ALS Vial : 9 Sample Multipl	ier: 1					
Ouant Time: Mar 19 18:22:19 20	)21					
Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\ME	THODS\QSI	M0317.	м			
Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS						
QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09:4 Response via · Initial Calibra	5:16 2021					
Compound	R.T.	QION	Response	Conc Units Dev	/(Min)	
Internal Standards					*	
1) Naphthalene-d8	3.780	136	152014	2000.00 ppb	0.00	
6) Acenaphthene-d10	5.015	164	88993	2000.00 ppb	0.00	
10) Phenanthrene-d10	6.026	188	169028	2000.00 ppb	0.00	
17) Chrysene-dl2	8.036	240	133702	2000.00 ppb	0.00	
21) Peryrene-diz	9,449	204	132000	2000.00 ppn	0.01	
System Monitoring Compounds						
2) Nitrobenzene-d5	0,000	82	. 0	0.00 ppb		
Spiked Amount 1000.000	Range 24	- 92	Recove	ry = 0.008	5#	
7) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	4.567	172	40165	739.11 ppb	0.00	
11) Pyrene d10	Range 25	- 89	Recove	ry = 73.911	5 0 00	
Spiked Amount 1000.000	Range 40	- 110	Recove	974.20  pp	0.00	
18) Terphenyl-d14	7.169	244	40262	958.65 ppb	0.00	
Spiked Amount 1000.000	Range 39	- 92	Recove	ry = 95.869	\$#	
Target Compounde						
3) Naphthalene	3.795	128	23398	329 73 nnh	100	
4) 2-Methylnaphthalene	4.295	142	16844	335.36 ppb	99	
5) 1-Methylnaphthalene	4.364	142	17302	353.86 ppb	97	
8) Acenaphthylene	4.908	152	. 29317	339.03 ppb	100	
9) Acenaphthene	5.031	153	18980	344.02 ppb	100 —	
12) Fluorene	5.393	166	22338	383.67 ppb	100	nl
14) Anthracene	6,037	178	35343	391.85 ppp	97 Jrodex"	Δ.
15) Fluoranthene	6.861	202	44197	429 19  ppb	97	
16) Pyrene	7.015	202	44729	437.21 ppb	96	
19) Benzo[a]anthracene	8.024	228	41042	448.00 ppb	98	
20) Chrysene	8.059	228	40002	441.10 ppb	98	
22) Benzo[b]fluoranthene	9.057	252	38902	417.38 ppb	95	
23) Benzo (j, k) fluorantnene	9.086	252	40935	464.93 ppb	96 96	
25) Indeno(1 2 3-c d) pyrene	9.365	252 276	36543 44947	434.68 ppp	<sup>96</sup> 431.34	
26) Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	10.442	278	36156	435.49 ppb	91	
27) Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	10.627	276	38120	435.98 ppb	91	
(#) = qualifier out of range	(m) = manu	ual int	tegration	(+) = signals a	summed	

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\Q210319\ Data File : Q0319009.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 6:07 pm Operator : Sample : 03-193-01 MSD Misc : ALS Vial : 9 Sample Multiplier: 1 Quant Time: Mar 19 18:22:19 2021

Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\QSIM0317.M Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09:45:16 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration

Abundance	 1					. 1	-		TIC	; Q031	9009	D\data	a.ms	- 211 - H. IV. PROM			-					
300000																						
280000																						
					0,1																	
260000					ncne-di																	
240000					henend																:	
240000																						
220000	ne-d8,1																				:	
	phthale																				:	
200000	Na Na			-					d12,1													
180000									ıysene-												:	
100000			-						5												1	
160000			ene-d10									12,1									-	
			enaphth									rylene-d									:	
140000			Act									Ре					·					
120000							<del>ي</del> ع ا		<del>eerie,T</del>												-	
120000					e, T		jijaaaa		<del>janthra</del>												;	
100000		Ś			ងាម័ពខ្មា		thene, T High		<del>ejozue</del> e												-	
		e,T phenyl,	14		ceñten		Fluoran		Ī		₿€®₽Ĕ,T			Ene,T °,T								
80000	alle, T	littalet Tuorobi	e,T hene,T,		Anthra		erpheny		<u>rsene, T</u>		monthy	ne.T		El Mantelo								
60000	aphinat	41801949 2-1	hthylen <del>enaphi</del>	Т.					Ű			lalpure		3.2.(B. 15) (1,1,0)o		-					:	
00000	Ĩ	Meilly	Acenap	Fluorer							Ě	Benzo		denetity								
40000											ļ	ŀ		ء ا ا							-	
1																					1	-
20000											i											
Time>	4.0	0 4.50	5.00	5.50	6.00	6.50	7.00	7.50	8.00	8.50	9,00	9.50	10.00	10.50	11.00	11.50	12.00 1	2.50 1	3,00 1	3.50 14.0		

QSIM0317.M Fri Mar 19 18:22:20 2021

Data Path : Data File : Acq On : Operator : Sample : Misc : ALS Vial :	C:\msdchem\1\data\Q210 Q0319001.D 19 Mar 2021 2:54 pm PAH CCV0319-1 SV5-200-27 1 Sample Multiplier	0319\ : 1	
Quant Time: Quant Metho Quant Title QLast Updat Response vi	Mar 19 15:08:38 2021 d : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHON : PAH'S BY SIMS e : Thu Mar 18 09:45:10 a : Initial Calibration	DS\QSIM0317.M 5 2021 n	
Min. RRF Max. RRF De	: 0.050 Min. Rel. v : 20% Max. Rel.	Area : 50% Max. R. Area : 200%	T. Dev 0.50min
Comp	ound	Amount Calc.	<pre>%Dev Area% Dev(min)</pre>
1 I Naph 2 S Nitr 3 T Naph 4 T 2-Me 5 T 1-Me	thalene-d8 obenzene-d5 thalene thylnaphthalene thylnaphthalene	2000.000 2000.000 500.000 0.000 500.000 510.832 500.000 437.148 500.000 457.517	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
6 I Acen 7 S 2-Fl 8 T Acen 9 T,M Acen	aphthene-d10 uorobiphenyl aphthylene aphthene	2000.000 2000.000 500.000 453.058 500.000 420.544 500.000 416.630	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
10IPhen11SPyre12TFluc13TPhen14TAnth15TFluc16T, MPyre	anthrene-d10 ne-d10 rene anthrene racene ranthene ne	2000.000 2000.000 500.000 488.291 500.000 459.722 500.000 441.903 500.000 467.137 500.000 457.460 500.000 470.360	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
17 I Chry 18 S Terp 19 T Benz 20 T Chry	sene-d12 henyl-d14 o[a]anthracene sene	2000.000 2000.000 500.000 490.345 500.000 465.559 500.000 445.148	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
21IPery22TBenz23TBenz24TBenz25TInde26TDibe27TBenz	<pre>lene-d12 o[b]fluoranthene o(j,k)fluoranthene o[a]pyrene no(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene nz[a,h]anthracene o[g,h,i]perylene</pre>	2000.000 2000.000 500.000 441.072 500.000 448.238 500.000 441.425 500.000 436.745 500.000 431.370 500.000 432.389	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(#) = Out	of Range	SPCC's out = 0 CCC	's out = 0

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA Data File : Q0319001.D Acq On : 19 Mar 2021 2:54 Operator : Sample : PAH CCV0319-1 Misc : SV5-200-27 ALS Vial : 1 Sample Multipl	Q210319\   pm   ier: 1				
Quant Time: Mar 19 15:08:38 20 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\MM Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09:4 Response via : Initial Calibra	021 THODS\QSIN 15:16 2021 Ation	40317.1			
Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units Dev	v(Min)
Internal Standards 1) Naphthalene-d8 6) Acenaphthene-d10 10) Phenanthrene-d10 17) Chrysene-d12 21) Perylene-d12	3.780 5.016 6.032 8.071 9.452	136 164 188 240 264	152003 89883 167069 137324 143830	2000.00 ppb 2000.00 ppb 2000.00 ppb 2000.00 ppb 2000.00 ppb 2000.00 ppb	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.04 0.04
System Monitoring Compounds 2) Nitrobenzene-d5 Spiked Amount 1000.000 7) 2-Fluorobiphenyl Spiked Amount 1000.000 11) Pyrene-d10 Spiked Amount 1000.000 18) Terphenyl-d14 Spiked Amount 1000.000	0.000 Range 24 4.568 Range 25 7.044 Range 40 7.207 Range 39	82 - 92 172 - 89 212 - 110 244 - 92	0 Recove 24942 Recove 29290 Recove 21216 Recove	0.00 ppb ry = 0.008 453.06 ppb ry = 45.318 488.29 ppb ry = 48.838 490.35 ppb ry = 49.048	5 # 0.00 0.04 0.04
<pre>Target Compounds 3) Naphthalene 4) 2-Methylnaphthalene 5) 1-Methylnaphthalene 8) Acenaphthylene 9) Acenaphthene 12) Fluorene 13) Phenanthrene 14) Anthracene 15) Fluoranthene 16) Pyrene 19) Benzo[a]anthracene 20) Chrysene 22) Benzo[b]fluoranthene 23) Benzo[j,k)fluoranthene 24) Benzo[a]pyrene 25) Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene 26) Dibenz[a,h]anthracene 27) Benzo[g,h,i]perylene</pre>	3.795 4.301 4.365 4.916 5.039 5.393 6.049 6.084 6.890 7.054 8.059 8.088 9.087 9.110 9.389 10.426 10.4257 10.642	128 142 142 152 153 166 178 178 202 202 228 228 252 252 252 252 252 252 276 278 276	36247 21955 22369 36729 23216 26456 39396 41603 46562 47563 43779 41463 43603 41859 39361 47491 37986 40099	510.83 ppb 437.15 ppb 457.52 ppb 420.54 ppb 416.63 ppb 459.72 ppb 441.90 ppb 467.14 ppb 457.46 ppb 470.36 ppb 465.56 ppb 445.15 ppb 441.07 ppb 448.24 ppb 441.42 ppb 534.77 ppb 431.37 ppb 432.39 ppb	value 99 99 98 100 $ZT$ 100 $3-19-21$ 97 97 98 94 96 100 97 97 96 99 $436.75$ 92 92

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\Q210319\ Data File : Q0319001.D 19 Mar 2021 2:54 pm Acq On : Operator PAH CCV0319-1 Sample : : SV5-200-27 Misc Sample Multiplier: 1 : 1 ALS Vial

Quant Time: Mar 19 15:08:38 2021 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\QSIM0317.M Quant Title : PAH'S BY SIMS QLast Update : Thu Mar 18 09:45:16 2021 Response via : Initial Calibration



13<sup>2</sup>2<sup>ge: 2</sup>

## **APPENDIX C** Data Validation Report



### **Data Validation Report**

www.geoengineers.com

2101 4th Street, Suite 950, Seattle, WA 98121, Telephone: 206.728.2674, Fax: 206.728.2732

Project:	Dakota Creek Industries Cleanup Site – Supplemental Soil Investigation
GEI File No:	05147-006-14
Date:	May 3, 2021

This report documents the results of a United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)-defined Stage 2B data validation (USEPA Document 540-R-08-005; USEPA, 2009) of analytical data from the analyses of direct push soil boring samples collected as the Supplemental Soil Investigation, and the associated laboratory and field quality control (QC) samples. The samples were obtained from the Dakota Creek Industries Shipyard Site (Site) located in Anacortes, Washington.

#### **OBJECTIVE AND QUALITY CONTROL ELEMENTS**

GeoEngineers, Inc. (GeoEngineers) completed the data validation consistent with the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (USEPA 2017a) and Inorganic Superfund Data Review (USEPA 2017b) (National Functional Guidelines) to determine if the laboratory analytical results meet the project objectives and are usable for their intended purpose. Data usability was assessed by determining if:

- The samples were analyzed using well-defined and acceptable methods that provide reporting limits below applicable regulatory criteria;
- The precision and accuracy of the data are well-defined and sufficient to provide defensible data; and
- The quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures utilized by the laboratory meet acceptable industry practices and standards.

In accordance with the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Work Plan Addendum, Dakota Creek Industries Site (GeoEngineers 2021), the data validation included review of the following QC elements:

- Data Package Completeness
- Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Holding Times and Sample Preservation
- Surrogate Recoveries
- Method Blanks
- Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates
- Laboratory Control Samples/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates
- Field and Laboratory Duplicates
- Instrument Tuning
- Internal Standards
- Initial Calibrations (ICALs)



- Continuing Calibrations (CCALs)
- Reporting Limits

#### **VALIDATED SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS**

This data validation included review of the sample delivery groups (SDGs) listed below in Table 1.

#### TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF VALIDATED SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS

Laboratory SDG	Samples Validated
2103-219	GEI-47-9.0, GEI-48-8.0, GEI-49-11.0, GEI-50-9.0, GEI-51-12.0, GEI-52-10.0, GEI-52-10.0

#### **CHEMICAL ANALYSIS PERFORMED**

Onsite Environmental (Onsite), located in Redmond, Washington, performed accredited laboratory analyses on all soil samples. Onsite used one or more of the following methods:

- Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) by Method SW8270-SIM
- Gasoline-range Hydrocarbons by NWTPH-Gx
- Diesel-range and Heavy Oil-range Hydrocarbons by NWTPH-Dx (Includes sulfuric acid & silica gel)
- Volatile Organic Compounds by Method SW8260

#### **DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY**

The results for each of the QC elements are summarized below.

#### Data Package Completeness

Onsite provided all required deliverables for the data validation according to the National Functional Guidelines. The laboratory followed adequate corrective action processes and all identified anomalies were discussed in the relevant laboratory case narrative.

#### **Chain-of-Custody Documentation**

Chain-of-custody (COC) forms were provided with the laboratory analytical reports. The COCs were accurate and complete when submitted to the lab. Documents were properly signed and dated by field and laboratory personel, analyses were properly requested and checked by the laboratory.

#### **Holding Times and Sample Preservation**

The sample holding time is defined as the time that elapses between sample collection and sample analysis. Maximum holding time criteria exist for each analysis to help ensure that the analyte concentrations found at the time of analysis reflect the concentration present at the time of sample collection. Established holding times were met for all analyses. The sample coolers arrived at the laboratory at the appropriate temperatures of between 2 and 6 °C.



#### **Surrogate Recoveries**

A surrogate compound is a compound that is chemically similar to the organic analytes of interest, but unlikely to be found in any environmental sample. Surrogates are used for organic analyses and are added to all samples, standards, and blanks to serve as an accuracy and specificity check of each analysis. The surrogates are added to the samples at a known concentration and percent recoveries are calculated following analysis. All surrogate percent recoveries for field samples were within the laboratory control limits.

#### **Method Blanks**

Method blanks are analyzed to ensure that laboratory procedures and reagents do not introduce measurable concentrations of the analytes of interest. A method blank was analyzed with each batch of samples, at a frequency of 1 per 20 samples. For all sample batches, method blanks were analyzed at the required frequency. None of the analytes of interest were detected above the reporting limits in any of the method blanks.

#### Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Since the actual analyte concentration in an environmental sample is not known, the accuracy of a particular analysis is usually inferred by performing a matrix spike (MS) analysis on one sample from the associated batch, known as the parent sample. One aliquot of the sample is analyzed in the normal manner and then a second aliquot of the sample is spiked with a known amount of analyte concentration and analyzed. From these analyses, a percent recovery (%R) is calculated. Matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses are generally performed for organic analyses as a precision check and analyzed in the same sequence as a matrix spike. Using the result values from the MS and MSD, the relative percent difference (RPD) is calculated. The %R control limits for MS and MSD analyses are specified in the laboratory documents, as are the RPD control limits for MS/MSD sample sets.

For inorganic methods, the matrix spike is followed by a post-digestion spike sample if any element %R values were outside the control limits in the matrix spike. All metals %R control limits for matrix spikes are 75% to 125%.

One MS/MSD analysis should be performed for every analytical batch or every 20 field samples, whichever is more frequent. The frequency requirements were met for all analyses and the %R and RPD values were within the proper control limits.

#### Laboratory Control Samples/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates

A laboratory control sample (LCS) is a blank sample that is spiked with a known amount of analyte and then analyzed. An LCS is similar to an MS, but without the possibility of matrix interference. Given that matrix interference is not an issue, the LCS/LCSD control limits for accuracy and precision are usually more rigorous than for MS/MSD analyses. Additionally, data qualification based on LCS/LCSD analyses would apply to all samples in the associated batch, instead of just the parent sample. The percent recovery control limits for LCS and LCSD analyses are specified in the laboratory documents, as are the RPD control limits for LCS/LCSD sample sets.

One LCS/LCSD analysis should be performed for every analytical batch or every 20 field samples, whichever is more frequent. The frequency requirements were met for all analyses and the percent recovery and RPD values were within the proper control limits.



#### **Laboratory Duplicates**

Internal laboratory duplicate analyses are performed to monitor the precision of the analyses. Two separate aliquots of a sample are analyzed as distinct samples in the laboratory and the RPD between the two results is calculated. Duplicate analyses should be performed once per analytical batch. If one or more of the samples used has a concentration less than five times the reporting limit for that sample, the absolute difference is used instead of the RPD. For organic analyses, the RPD control limits are specified in the laboratory documents. For inorganic analyses, the RPD control limit for soil samples is 35 percent. Laboratory duplicates were analyzed at the proper frequency and the specified acceptance criteria were met.

#### **Field Duplicates**

In order to assess precision, field duplicate samples are collected and analyzed along with the reviewed sample batches. The duplicate samples are analyzed for the same parameters as the associated parent samples. Precision is determined by calculating the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) between each pair of samples. If one or more of the sample analytes has a concentration greater than five times the reporting limit for that sample, then the absolute difference is used instead of the RPD. The RPD control limit for soil samples is 50 percent, while the absolute difference control limit is equal to twice the reporting limit.

#### **Instrument Tuning**

Instrument tuning for analyses by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) are completed to ensure that mass resolution, identification, and sensitivity of the analyses are acceptable. Instrument tuning should be performed at the beginning of each 12-hour period during which samples or standards are analyzed. The frequency and specified acceptance criteria were met for each applicable analysis.

#### Internal Standards (Low Resolution Mass Spectrometry)

Like the surrogate, an internal standard is a compound that is chemically similar to the analytes of interest, but unlikely to be found in any environmental sample. Internal standards are used only for the mass spectrometry instrumentation and are usually added to the sample aliquot after extraction has taken place. The internal standard should be analyzed at the beginning of a 12-hour sample run and the control limits for internal standard recoveries are 50 percent to 200 percent of the calibration standard. All internal standard recoveries were within the control limits, with the following exceptions:

#### Initial Calibrations (ICALs)

The initial calibrations were conducted according to the laboratory methods and consisted of the appropriate number of standards. For all organic analyses, the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) values were within the laboratory control limits and also the control limits stated in the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Data Review (USEPA 2017). For all inorganic analyses, the calibrations were within the laboratory control limits and also the control limits stated in the National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review (USEPA 2017).

#### **Continuing Calibrations (CCALs)**

The continuing calibrations were conducted according to the laboratory methods and consisted of the appropriate number of standards. F For the NWTPH-Gx analyses, the %R values were within the control limits of  $\pm 20\%$ . For the NWTPH-Dx analyses, the %R values were within the control limits of  $\pm 15\%$ . For organic analyses, the percent difference (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) values were within the control limits in the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Data Review (USEPA 2017). For all inorganic analyses, the %D values were within the laboratory control limits and also the control limits stated in the National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review (USEPA 2017).





#### **Reporting Limits**

The reporting limits were met by the laboratory for all target analytes throughout this sampling event.

#### **OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

As was determined by this data validation, the laboratory followed the specified analytical methods. Accuracy was acceptable, as demonstrated by the surrogate, LCS/LCSD, and MS/MSD percent recovery values. Precision was acceptable, as demonstrated by the LCS/LCSD, MS/MSD, and field/laboratory duplicate RPD values.

No data points were qualified for any reason.

#### REFERENCES

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). "Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use," EPA-540-R-08-005. January 2009.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA 2017a). "National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review," EPA-540-R-2017-002. January 2017.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA 2017b). "National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review," EPA-540-R-2017-001. January 2017.

GeoEngineers, Inc., "Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Work Plan Addendum, Dakota Creek Industries Site, Anacortes, Washington, Ecology Agreed Order No. DE-07TCPHQ-5080," GEI File No. 5147-006-14, March 1, 2021



# Exhibit C
# EXHIBIT C DAKOTA CREEK INDUSTRIES SITE SCOPE OF WORK AND SCHEDULE

	Deliverables	Due <sup>1</sup>
A. Administrative		
A.1	File Consent Decree in Court (CD Effective Date)	Within 30 days of execution by Port and Ecology
A.2	Quarterly Progress Reports to Ecology	Following the CD Effective Date through the completion of construction activities, quarterly on the 10 <sup>th</sup> of the month beginning after the effective date of the CD. Thereafter, annually on the CD anniversary date.
B. Soil Removal and Capping		
B.1	Draft Engineering Design Report (EDR) <sup>2</sup>	Within 120 days of Effective Date (A.1)
B.2	Draft Final EDR	Within 30 days of receipt of Ecology's comments on Draft EDR (B.1)
B.3	Conduct Construction	Complete within one year from 100% Plans and Specifications per WAC 173-340-400(4)(b)
B.4	Draft Construction Completion Report	Submit to Ecology within 90 days of completion of construction
B.5	Final Construction Completion Report	Submit to Ecology within 30 days following Ecology approval of draft Construction Completion Report
C. Environmental Covenant		
C.1	Draft Environmental Covenant and Draft Engineering and Institutional Controls Monitoring and Maintenance Plan (EICMMP)	Submit to Ecology with Final Construction Completion Report (B.7)
C.2	Final Environmental Covenant and Final EICMMP	Submit to Ecology within 30 days following Ecology approval of draft (C.1)
C.3	Proof of recording of Environmental Covenant	Submit to Ecology within 30 days following Final Environmental Covenant (C.2)
D. Groundwater MNA		
D.1	Draft Groundwater MNA Compliance Monitoring Plan (CMP)	Submit to Ecology with draft EDR (B.1)
D.2	Final Groundwater MNA CMP	Submit to Ecology within 30 days following Ecology approval of draft (D.1)
D.3	Groundwater MNA CMP implementation	Start within 180 days of completing construction
D.4	Draft Annual Groundwater MNA Report	Submit to Ecology annually within 90 days after receipt of current year's analytical data
D.5	Final Annual Groundwater MNA Report	Submit to Ecology within 30 days following Ecology approval of draft (D.4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schedule is in calendar days. Deliverable due date may be modified with Ecology concurrence without amendment to the Consent Decree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The EDR includes: a Storm Water Pollution Plan or Construction Quality Assurance Project Plan, an Inadvertent Monitoring Plan, Proposed Best Management Practices, and Substantive Requirements of Procedurally Exempt Permits.

# Exhibit D

### EXHIBIT D LIST OF REQUIRED PERMITS OR APPROVALS

### APPLICABLE PERMITS OR APPROVALS & REQUIREMENTS

The cleanup action to be performed at the Site requires the following permit and environmental review process:

### State Environmental Policy Act Integrated Compliance

Compliance with SEPA (RCW 43.21C.036 and WAC 197-11-250 through 259) will be achieved by conducting SEPA review in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements, including and Ecology guidance as presented in Ecology Policy 130A (Ecology 2004). The Port of Anacortes will act as the SEPA lead agency and will coordinate SEPA review.

# Exhibit E

### EXHIBIT E APPLICABLE SUBSTANTIVE REQUIREMENTS OF PROCEDURALLY EXEMPT PERMITS OR APPROVALS

## **APPLICABLE PERMITS OR APPROVALS & REQUIREMENTS**

The cleanup action to be performed at the Site is exempt from the procedural requirements of the following permits and approvals but must meet the substantive requirements:

### **City of Anacortes Grading Permit**

Pursuant to the City of Anacortes Grading Ordinance (AMC Ch. 19.78), a grading permit is required from the City for all grading projects that are not categorically exempt. The City grading ordinance identifies a number of standards and requirements for obtaining a grading permit. The City standards and requirements will be integrated into the construction plans and specifications where applicable for the cleanup action to insure it complies with the substantive requirements of the City grading ordinance.

### **City of Anacortes Construction Stormwater Permit**

Pursuant to the City of Anacortes Stormwater Management ordinance (AMC Ch. 19.76), the cleanup action must meet the requirements of a City Stormwater Permit. The substantive requirements include preparation of a stormwater site plan, construction stormwater pollution prevention, source control of pollution, preservation of natural drainage systems and outfalls, on-site stormwater management, runoff treatment, flow control, wetlands protection, and operation and maintenance.

### City of Anacortes Shoreline Substantial Development Permit

Pursuant to the City of Anacortes Shoreline Master Program (AMC Ch. 18.16), the cleanup action must meet the requirements of a City Shoreline Substantial Development Permit (SSDP). The cleanup action will occur within the regulated shoreline area. The substantive requirements include meeting the general conditions for a SSDP and applicable general regulations and use activities policies.

### **City of Anacortes Discharge Permit**

Pursuant to the City of Anacortes Sewer System Code (AMC 13.04.131) it is unlawful to discharge materials into the Anacortes sanitary and stormwater sewer other than an authorized sewer connection or designated dump station without the expressed written authorization of the director of public works. Should the contractor elect to discharge dewatering decant into the City treatment system, the substantive requirements of this authorization will be followed.