

March 14, 2022

Project No. TR0837

Mr. Panjini Balarju
Site Manager
Washington Department of Ecology
Southwest Regional Office
300 Desmond Drive
Lacey, WA 98503

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2022

WA State Department
of Ecology (SWRO)

Dear Mr. Balarju:

**Re: Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and
Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2021
Bonneville Power Administration Site
Consent Order No. 97206045**

On behalf of Occidental Chemical Corporation, Geosyntec Consultants is submitting the enclosed "Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report 2021". This report summarizes the operation and maintenance (O&M) activities and groundwater monitoring results for the period of November 2020 through November 2021 and presents an overview of the effectiveness of the Site remedy.

Based on the review of the Site remedy and Compliance Monitoring Program analytical data it is concluded that:

- The Remedial Action has been effective in addressing the concerns for protection of human health and the environment as laid forth in the Consent Decree.
- No further remedial action is required at the Site at this time.

Should you have any questions, require additional information, or wish to meet to discuss the performance of the Remedial Action, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours truly,

Geosyntec Consultants



Rick Bieber

cc: R. Bakemeier (Bakemeier PC)
B. Sherer (BPA)
C. Babcock (GSHI)
I. Richardson (Geosyntec)



engineers | scientists | innovators

Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2021

**Bonneville Power Administration Site
Tacoma, Washington
Consent Order No. 97206045**

Prepared for

**Occidental Chemical Corporation
605 Alexander East Ave
Tacoma, WA 98421**

Prepared by

*Geosyntec Consultants
520 Pike Street, Suite 2600
Seattle, WA 98101*

Project Number TR0837

March 2022

Executive Summary

In March 1997, Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC) and the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) entered into a Consent Decree with the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) to provide for the performance of a Remedial Action (RA) at the Site. The RA included excavation of impacted fill materials and soils and placement of these excavated materials in an on Site engineered landfill. The RA was considered complete on August 24, 1998.

The RA Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program includes the performance of routine Site inspections, compliance monitoring of groundwater, and comprehensive annual reporting to evaluate the effectiveness of the RA in addressing the concerns of Ecology, stated in the Consent Decree as follows:

- i) Ongoing impact of groundwater in the Lower Sand unit by volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in buried sludge and soils
- ii) Potential for direct human exposure to asbestos contained in the sludge
- iii) Potential for direct human exposure to metal contained in grit and shot
- iv) Potential surface and groundwater impacts from metals contained in the grit and shot

The O&M Program commenced with baseline groundwater monitoring in September 1998 following completion of the RA. This annual report has been prepared covering the period of November 2020 through November 2021.

The results of the O&M and Compliance Monitoring activities demonstrate that:

- i) The security and integrity of the landfill was maintained throughout this reporting period; therefore, there was no potential for direct human exposure to impacted materials.
- ii) There were no systematic increases in the concentrations of monitoring parameters in groundwater during this reporting period; therefore, there is no ongoing impact of chemicals to groundwater in the Lower Sand unit.

The O&M activities and Compliance Monitoring performed during this reporting period demonstrate that the RA is effective in mitigating Ecology's concerns for human health exposure and groundwater impacts.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ES-i
1. Introduction	1
2. Operation and Maintenance Activities	2
2.1 Site Inspections and Corrective Actions	3
2.1.1 Security	3
2.1.2 Site	4
2.2 Routine Maintenance	4
3. Compliance Monitoring.....	4
3.1 Hydraulic Monitoring	5
3.2 Water Quality Monitoring.....	5
4. Assessment of Site Conditions	6
4.1 Direct Contact with Impacted Materials	7
4.2 Groundwater and Surface Water Impact.....	7
5. Conclusions and Recommendations.....	8

List of Tables

Table 2.0	Target Analytes
Table 3.1	Compliance Monitoring Points
Table 3.2	Summary of Water Level Elevations
Table 3.3	Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Analytical Parameters
Table 3.4	Analytical Results Summary
Table 3.5	Monitored Natural Attenuation Analytical Results Summary

List of Figures

Figure 3.1	Site Plan and Groundwater Contour Map
Figure 4.1	Concentration Versus Time – 1 20
Figure 4.2	Concentration Versus Time – 7 26

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Site Inspection Sheets
- Appendix B Groundwater Sample Collection Summary Logs
- Appendix C Analytical Data Verification and Assessment
- Appendix D Analytical Database

1. Introduction

The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) property (Site) is located at the corner of Taylor Way and Highway 509 in Tacoma, Washington. In the late 1960s and early 1970s, fill material from the Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC) Tacoma facility was deposited at the Site. At about the same time, baghouse grit/shot material from an unidentified source was also placed at the Site.

In March 1997, OCC and BPA entered into a Consent Decree with the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) to provide for the performance of a Remedial Action (RA) at the Site. The RA included excavation of impacted fill materials and soils and placement of these excavated materials in an on-Site engineered landfill. The RA was considered complete on August 24, 1998.

An RA Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan was submitted to Ecology with the remedial design documents. The RA O&M Plan includes the performance of routine Site inspections, compliance monitoring of groundwater, and comprehensive annual reporting. The O&M Program commenced in September 1998.

This report presents the annual report for the period of November 2020 through November 2021. The report is organized as follows:

- i) Section 1 Introduction: The introduction presents a brief summary of the project history and the organization of the report.
- ii) Section 2 Operation and Maintenance Activities: The O&M activities performed during this reporting period are discussed in Section 2.
- iii) Section 3 Compliance Monitoring: The groundwater compliance monitoring activities performed during this reporting period are described in Section 3 and the resultant data are presented.
- iv) Section 4 Assessment of Site Conditions: An assessment of the Site conditions in terms of the effectiveness of the remedy is presented in Section 4.
- v) Section 5 Conclusions and Recommendations: Section 5 presents the conclusions drawn from the Site assessment.

The current project coordinators for the Site are:

Ecology:

Mr. Panjini Balarju
300 Desmond Drive
Lacey, Washington 98503

BPA:

Mr. Brett Sherer
Environmental Engineer
707 W. Main Street, Suite 500
Spokane, Washington 99201-0641

OCC:

Mr. Clint Babcock
Director Operations
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
605 Alexander Avenue
Tacoma, WA 98421

The designated Site Custodian is:

Geosyntec:

520 Pike Street, Suite 2600
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (253) 993-0190
The primary contact at Geosyntec is Rick Bieber.

2. Operation and Maintenance Activities

O&M activities performed during this reporting period included Site inspections and compliance monitoring. The O&M activities were performed by GHD, on behalf of OCC in accordance with the requirements of the "Operation and Maintenance Plan" (May 1997) with the modifications approved by Ecology in letters dated August 3, 1998, September 16, 1998, March 18, 1999, and November 14, 2003. The approved modifications consist of:

- i) The use of monitoring well 9-30 instead of monitoring well 5-21 (August 3, 1998)
- ii) The use of low-flow well purging and sampling techniques in the Compliance Monitoring Plan (CMP) (September 16, 1998)
- iii) Revision of the analyte list for compliance monitoring (March 18, 1999, and November 14, 2003)
- iv) Reduction in monitoring points from 7 to 2 (November 14, 2003)
- v) Reduction in the frequency of sampling from semi-annual to annual (November 14, 2003)
- vi) Installation of a replacement well for 1-20 in 2020

- vii) Following the 2020 review Ecology requested the addition of monitored natural attenuation parameters bringing the new target analytes list to the following:

Table 2.0 Target Analytes		
Matrix	Analyte	Method
GW	SSPL VOCs (annual)	8260
GW	Dissolved Gases (methane , ethane, ethene)	RSK 175
GW	Total Iron and Manganese	200.7
GW	Dissolved Iron and Manganese	200.7
GW	Chloride, Sulfate	300
GW	Total Organic Carbon	9060A
GW	Nitrate	300

2.1 Site Inspections and Corrective Actions

Site inspections were performed on a quarterly basis during this reporting period. Observations were logged on inspection log sheets. The inspection log sheets for the 2021 reporting period are contained in Appendix A.

Descriptions of the inspection observations are presented in the following subsections. No deficiencies requiring corrective action were observed during this reporting period.

2.1.1 Security

The security features include landfill perimeter fence, fence gates and locks, and signs. Each feature was examined during each Site inspection. No damage to security features was noted and the integrity of the security features was maintained. The security features and their conditions during this reporting period are described below.

Perimeter Fence

No damage to the perimeter fence was identified during the quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period.

Gates and Locks

No damage to perimeter fence gates or locks was identified during the quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period.

Signs

All signs were in place and legible.

2.1.2 Site

The Site features include final and vegetative covers, drainage swales, and monitoring wells. Each of these features was examined during each Site inspection. The integrity of the Site features was maintained. The condition of the Site features during this reporting period is described below.

Final and Vegetative Covers

No surface cracking or failure of the landfill cap was observed during this reporting period. Issues identified during the quarterly inspections included deep rooted vegetation and stressed vegetation due to area drought. The deep rooted growth was hand pulled during maintenance activities in September 2021. Mowing of the entire mound was conducted following the hand removal of weeds. The cut vegetation was raked and removed. No burrows were observed during recent inspections and during the mowing of the cap, GHD will continue to monitor for the presence of burrowing animals during quarterly inspections.

Drainage Swales

No erosion or excessive buildup of sediment was identified in the drainage swales during the quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period. Limited vegetation has begun to encroach on the drainage swales. In September 2021 contractors applied an Ecology approved herbicide to knock back the vegetation from the rockery in the swales and the vegetation has been significantly reduced.

Monitoring Wells

The inspection of the monitoring wells was conducted in November 17, 2021 and included the verification of the presence and condition of well caps and locks, integrity of protective casings, and inspection for settlement or displacement of the wells. Each of the wells were found in proper working order.

2.2 Routine Maintenance

The O&M Plan requires that the landfill cap vegetative cover be fertilized and maintained as necessary. In September 2021 crews hand removed the deep-rooted vegetation and sprayed the encroaching vegetation in the rockery in the swales. Mowing of the mound was completed following the hand weeding. Overall the landfill cap is in good condition and no repairs outside of the routine maintenance activities are required at this time.

3. Compliance Monitoring

The CMP is included as Appendix B of the O&M Plan. The groundwater CMP commenced in September 1998 at the completion of the RA. The CMP states that groundwater monitoring will be conducted semi-annually for 5 years following which a review will be conducted. The first 5-year review period ended in September 2003. A review of the groundwater monitoring program

was presented in the “Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2004.” Based on the review, a modified groundwater monitoring program was approved by Ecology (see letter from G. Barrett (Ecology) to C. Barron (CRA) dated November 14, 2003).

The second 5-year review period ended in September 2008. A review of the groundwater monitoring program was presented in the “Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2008.” There were no approved modifications to the CMP following the second 5-year review period; however, potentiometric contour lines have been added to the site plan, as recommended by Ecology (see letter from G. Barrett (Ecology) to J. Cornetta (CRA) dated February 4, 2008). A third 5-year review was completed by Ecology in 2014, results were presented in a March 2015 report. A fourth 5-year review was completed by Ecology in 2018 with results presented in the 2019 report. No amendments to the CMP were made following this review. In 2020 Ecology requested in response to public comment for natural attenuation parameters be added to the annual analysis. Starting in November 2020 to following parameters have been added to the sampling suite:

Compliance monitoring currently consists of:

- Metals – Iron, Iron (dissolved), Manganese, Manganese (dissolved)
- Dissolved Gases – Ethane, Ethene, Methane
- General Chemistry – Chloride, Nitrate (as N), Sulfate, Total organic carbon (TOC)
- i) Annual hydraulic monitoring of all site monitoring wells
- ii) Annual groundwater sample collection and analyses of two wells, 1-20 and 7-26

The monitoring wells included in the Site monitoring program are listed in Table 3.1 and shown on Figure 3.1.

3.1 Hydraulic Monitoring

Site-wide hydraulic monitoring is performed in conjunction with each groundwater sampling event. A tabulation of the groundwater elevations measured since the commencement of the CMP is presented in Table 3.2. Groundwater elevations, potentiometric contour lines and groundwater flow direction are presented on Figure 3.1.

3.2 Water Quality Monitoring

Groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the low-flow monitoring well purging and sample collection procedures approved by Ecology on September 16, 1998 with one exception. As noted above, GHD was unable to use the bladder pump lodged in the well. The sample was collected using a peristaltic pump following approval from Ecology. A sample collection summary log is contained in Appendix B.

Groundwater samples collected during this reporting period were analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 3.3. Cleanup levels for the monitoring parameters listed in Table 3.3 are the Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA) Method B groundwater levels, or the analytical method's Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) where the Method B levels are lower than the PQL.

The groundwater analytical data generated during this reporting period and cleanup levels are presented in Table 3.4. The results are consistent with the historic data. The addition of the monitored natural attenuation (MNA) parameters are presented in table 3.5. As we collected more rounds of MNA data, trends will be plotted and presented in this section. The data validation for this reporting period is contained in Appendix C of this report. A complete groundwater analytical database is presented in Appendix D. All investigative samples were analyzed within the recommended holding time.

4. Assessment of Site Conditions

One of the purposes of the annual review of the O&M activities and monitoring data is to assess the current Site conditions in terms of the effectiveness of the RA.

Based upon the findings of the site investigations performed prior to the RA, Ecology stated the following concerns regarding fill materials present at the Site:

- i) Ongoing impact to groundwater in the Lower Sand unit by volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in buried sludge and soils
- ii) Potential for direct human exposure to asbestos contained in the sludge
- iii) Potential for direct human exposure to metals contained in grit and shot
- iv) Potential surface and groundwater impacts from metals contained in the grit and shot

These concerns were presented in Exhibit B, "Cleanup Action Plan," of the Consent Decree filed March 3, 1997.

The RA addressed each of these concerns through the removal of impacted sludge, grit, and shot and containment of these materials in the on-Site engineered landfill. Confirmatory analyses were performed on samples of in situ soils to confirm that concentrations of the Site compounds which exceeded the cleanup standards specified in Table 2 of Exhibit B of the Consent Decree did not remain following the completion of the RA.

The following subsections present evaluations of the current Site condition relative to these concerns. The evaluations are based upon the O&M activities performed during this reporting period and on the Compliance Monitoring data.

4.1 Direct Contact with Impacted Materials

The security and integrity of the landfill was maintained throughout this reporting period; therefore, there was no potential for direct human exposure to asbestos contained in sludge or metals contained in grit or shot.

4.2 Groundwater and Surface Water Impact

The sludge and soils containing concentrations of the Site compounds at concentrations exceeding the cleanup standards are isolated within the landfill and the integrity of the landfill was maintained throughout this reporting period. Therefore, the sludge or soils no longer represent a continuing source of VOCs or metals to groundwater or surface water.

The groundwater points of compliance are Lower Sand Unit monitoring wells located adjacent to the containment facility (MW-2) and at the downgradient boundaries of the Site (1-20, 2-27, 6-26, and 7-26). The locations of the points of compliance are shown on Figure 3.1. In the first 5-year review of the groundwater monitoring program conducted in November 2003, it was demonstrated that compliance with cleanup standards was achieved and maintained at all monitoring points except 1-20 and 7-26. Therefore, routine monitoring of the remaining compliance points is no longer required.

The 2021 groundwater sampling event was conducted on November 17, 2021. There were detections of cis-1,2-DCE for both monitoring wells 1-20 and 7-26. For the last 8 years the concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE in well 1-20 have continued to show some variability hovering at or below the clean-up criteria for the site. These detections are consistent with the historic data and the general decreasing trend of both contaminants continues.

No exceedance of the cleanup standards for any of the target analytes were detected in the samples collected from either monitoring wells. The concentrations of vinyl chloride and cis-1,2-DCE continue to be measured consistent with historical data.

The analytical data for cis-1,2-DCE and vinyl chloride in monitoring wells 1-20 and 7-26 have been graphed as shown on Figures 4.1 and 4.2. To assist in the evaluation of chemical presence at these locations, linear trend lines have been added to the graphs. The graphs show overall downward trends in the cis-1,2-DCE concentrations in these wells since the baseline monitoring event was conducted in September 1998. The graphs also show a downward trend in vinyl chloride concentrations in well 1-20 and stable concentrations in well 7-26.

The analytical data collected during this reporting period, therefore, demonstrate that there is no ongoing impact of chemicals to groundwater in the Lower Sand unit by Site sludge or grit/shot. The presence of cis-1,2-DCE, vinyl chloride, and ethene suggest that natural attenuation is occurring at the site. We will continue to monitor the MNA parameters and prepare trend graphics in subsequent sampling rounds. It is expected that, over time, the natural attenuation process will restore the Lower Sand groundwater to a quality that meets or exceeds the cleanup standards.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

The O&M activities and Compliance Monitoring performed during this reporting period demonstrate that the RA remains effective in mitigating the concerns for human health exposure and groundwater impact that are stated in the Cleanup Action Plan. There is no further remedial action required at the Site at this time.

Tables

Table 3.1
Compliance Monitoring Points
Bonneville Power Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Well No.	Hydraulic Monitoring	Water Quality Monitoring
1-20	X	X
2-27	X	
6-26	X	
7-26	X	X
9-30	X	
MW-1	X	
MW-2	X	

Table 3.2
Summary of Groundwater Elevations
Bonneville Power Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Well No.	Top of Casing*	Nov. 98	May 99	Nov. 99	May 00	Nov. 00	May 01	Nov. 01	May 02	Nov. 02
1-20	8.40	2.00	2.94	2.24	3.42	3.10	3.15	2.24	3.70	2.01
2-27	14.92	3.14	5.13	3.48	5.44	4.34	5.00	3.58	6.07	2.96
6-26	13.27	2.38	4.09	2.77	4.55	3.57	4.06	2.87	4.87	2.41
7-26	12.73	1.85	2.91	2.14	3.55	2.94	3.02	2.14	3.54	1.90
9-30	14.65	3.76	5.74	4.22	5.99	4.96	5.66	4.28	6.43	3.49
MW-1	13.97	2.42	4.03	1.87	4.48	4.06	4.11	NM	4.88	3.85
MW-2	12.32	2.12	3.03	2.42	3.92	3.23	3.28	2.25	3.85	NM

Well No.	Top of Casing*	May. 03	Nov. 03	Nov. 04	Nov. 05	Nov. 06	Nov. 07	Nov. 08	Nov. 09	Nov. 10
1-20	8.40	3.58	3.04	3.01	3.66	1.04	2.71	4.29	-0.36	4.39
2-27	14.92	5.76	5.02	4.81	5.34	3.18	4.16	6.36	6.30	6.60
6-26	13.27	4.66	4.04	3.86	4.59	2.49	3.45	5.73	5.39	5.76
7-26	12.73	3.46	2.86	2.92	3.58	1.91	2.73	4.15	3.20	4.38
9-30	14.65	6.28	5.68	5.52	5.82	3.82	4.74	6.27	6.14	6.68
MW-1	13.97	4.73	4.02	3.94	4.57	NM	3.11	5.56	5.42	5.59
MW-2	12.32	3.79	2.92	3.15	3.8	2.00	2.90	4.47	4.39	4.45

Well No.	Top of Casing*	Nov. 11	Nov. 12	Nov. 13	Nov. 14	Nov. 15	Nov. 16	Nov. 17	Nov. 18	Nov. 19
1-20	8.40	2.71	4.78	3.80	4.10	4.55	4.93	4.09	2.28	2.37
2-27	14.92	3.93	6.93	5.94	5.96	6.79	7.53	5.85	3.21	3.02
6-26	13.27	3.27	6.09	4.99	5.2	5.96	6.80	5.30	2.62	2.47
7-26	12.73	2.62	4.72	3.79	4.04	5.47	4.01	4.47	2.21	1.87
9-30	14.65	4.43	7.01	6.21	6.25	6.70	7.26	6.54	3.68	3.88
MW-1	13.97	3.17	5.92	4.92	5.12	5.71	6.51	4.30	1.99	2.37
MW-2	12.32	2.74	4.90	3.88	4.14	4.66	5.15	4.25	2.26	3.05

Well No.	Top of Casing*	Nov. 20	Nov. 21
1-20	12.40	2.37	4.7
2-27	14.92	3.02	7.12
6-26	13.27	2.47	6.49
7-26	12.73	1.87	4.93
9-30	14.65	3.88	6.35
MW-1	13.97	2.37	6.16
MW-2	12.32	3.05	4.82

Notes:

- * Elevation surveyed January 2010. Vertical Datum: NGVD 29. Water level elevations updated based on 2010 survey. 1-20 replaced 2020
- NM Not measured

Table 3.3
Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Analytical Parameters
Bonneville Power Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Parameter⁽¹⁾	Cleanup Level⁽²⁾ (µg/L)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	70
Dichloromethane (methylene chloride)	5
Tetrachloroethene	5
Trichloroethene	5
Vinyl chloride	10*

Notes:

- (1) Analytical parameter list modified in January 2004.
- (2) Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method B Surface Water Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC), Version 3.1, updated November 2001.
- * Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL), "Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, Guidance on Sampling and Data Analysis Methods," January 1995.

Table 3.4
Analytical Results Summary
Bonneville Power Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Parameter	Units	Cleanup Level ⁽¹⁾	71		
			Sample Location:	1-20	7-26
			Sample ID:	1-20-111721-RB	7-26-111721-RB
			Sample Date:	11/17/2021	11/17/2021
					1-20
					7-26-111721-RB
					11/17/2021
					(Duplicate)
<i>Volatile Organic Compounds</i>					
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	70		52	6.3
Methylene chloride	µg/L	5		5.0U	5.0U
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	5		2.0U	2.0U
Trichloroethene	µg/L	5		2.0U	2.0U
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	10*		3.7	0.49

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method B Surface Water Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC), Version 3.1, updated November 2001.

J Estimated.

U Non-detect at associated value.

* Practical quantitation limit.

☐ Concentration exceeds the cleanup standard.

Table 3.5
Monitored Natural Attenuation Analytical Results Summary
Bonneville Power Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location:		1-20	7-26	1-20
Sample ID:		1-20-111721-RB	7-26-111721-RB	7-26-111721-RB
Sample Date:		11/17/2021	11/17/2021	11/17/2021 (Duplicate)
Parameter	Units			
Dissolved Gases				
Ethane	µg/L	0.010U	0.010U	0.010U
Ethene	µg/L	0.010U	0.010U	0.010U
Methane	µg/L	0.11	0.18	0.14
Metals				
Iron	µg/L	60000	38000	36000
Iron (dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-
Manganese	µg/L	2700	3400	3400
Manganese (dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-
General Chemistry				
Chloride	µg/L	34	20	15
Nitrate (as N)	µg/L	1	0.31U	0.31U
Sulfate	µg/L	37	24	26
Total organic carbon (TOC)	µg/L	36	19	20

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method B Surface Water Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC) Version 3.1, updated November 2001.


J Estimated.

U Non-detect at associated value.

* Practical quantitation limit.

☐ Concentration exceeds the cleanup standard.

Figures






figure 4.1
 CONCENTRATION VS. TIME - 1-20
 COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROGRAM
 BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
 Tacoma, Washington






figure 4.2
 CONCENTRATION VS. TIME - 7-26
 COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROGRAM
 BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
 Tacoma, Washington



Appendix A

Site Inspection Sheets

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SITE

Specific Item	Yes (If yes give details below)	
	No	Yes
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	✓	
Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	✓	
Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)	✓	
Vegetation Burnout	✓	
Excessive Growth of Vegetation	✓	
Presence of Burrowing Mammals	✓	
Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition		Yes
Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	✓	
Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.		
No. problems noted		

Rick Brehe
(Inspector's Name - Please Print)
[Signature] 2/2/20
(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
 BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
 TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SECURITY

Specific Item		Yes (If yes give details below)	
		No	Yes
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive deterioration)		✓	
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)		✓	
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)		✓	
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.			
<i>no problems noted</i>			

Rich Behr
 (Inspector's Name - Please Print)

 (Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection) *2/4/21*

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SITE

Specific Item	No		Yes (If yes give details below)	
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	✓			
Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	✓			
Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)	✓			
Vegetation Burnout	✓			
Excessive Growth of Vegetation	✓			
			Cap	
Presence of Burrowing Mammals	✓			
			Drainage Swale	
Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition				
Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	✓			Yes
Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.				
no problems noted				

Rick Rabel
(Inspector's Name - Please Print)
4/15/21
(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SECURITY

Specific Item		Yes (If yes give details below)	
		No	Yes
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive deterioration)		✓	
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)		✓	
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)		✓	
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.			
No problems noted			

Kick Breake
(Inspector's Name - Please Print)

[Signature]
(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SITE

Specific Item	Yes (If yes give details below)	
	No	Yes
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	✓	
Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	✓	
Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)	✓	
Vegetation Burnout	✓	
Excessive Growth of Vegetation	✓	
Presence of Burrowing Mammals	✓	
Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition		Yes
Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	✓	

Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted."
If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.

No problems noted

R.L. Barber
(Inspector's Name - Please Print)

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection) 8/5/21

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SECURITY

Specific Item		Yes (If yes give details below)	
		No	Yes
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive deterioration)		✓	
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)		✓	
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)		✓	
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.			
no problems noted			

(Inspector's Name - Please Print)

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SITE

Specific Item	Yes (If yes give details below)	
	No	Yes
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	✓	
Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	✓	
Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)	✓	
Vegetation Burnout	✓	
Excessive Growth of Vegetation	✓	
Presence of Burrowing Mammals	✓	
Well Protective Casings and Aperturances in Acceptable Condition		Yes
Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	✓	
Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.		
No problems noted Sampling Successful		

(Inspector's Name - Please Print)

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

11/12/21

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SECURITY

Specific Item		Yes (If yes give details below)	
		No	
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive deterioration)		✓	
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)		✓	
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)		✓	
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.			
no problems noted			

Rich Baker
(Inspector's Name - Please Print)

[Signature] 11/17/21
(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SITE

Specific Item	No		Yes (If yes give details below)	
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	✓			
Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	✓			
Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)	✓			
Vegetation Burnout	✓			
Excessive Growth of Vegetation	✓			
Presence of Burrowing Mammals	✓			
Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition			Yes	
Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	✓			
Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.				
No problem noted				

(Inspector's Name - Please Print)

R. L. Barber

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

8/5/21

INSPECTION LOG SHEET
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SECURITY

Specific Item	Yes (If yes give details below)	
	No	Yes
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive deterioration)	✓	
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)	✓	
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)	✓	
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.		
no problems noted		

(Inspector's Name - Please Print)

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection) 8/5/21

Appendix B

Groundwater Sample Collection

Summary Logs

Table B
Sample Collection Data Sheet - Groundwater Sampling Program
Occidental Chemical Corporation
BPA Site
Tacoma, Washington

SAMPLE COLLECTION DATA SHEET - GROUNDWATER SAMPLING PROGRAM

PROJECT NAME

BPA

PROJECT NO.

TR0837

SAMPLING CREW MEMBERS

Rose Bier

SUPERVISOR

R. Bieber

DATE OF SAMPLE COLLECTION

11/17/2021

[Note: For 2" dia. well, 1 ft. = 0.14 gal (imp) or 0.16 gal (us)]

Sample I.D. Number	Well Number	Measuring Point Elev. (NGVD)	Water Depth (ft. btoc)	Water Elevation (NGVD)	Volume Flow (ml/min)	Volume Purged (gal US)	Field pH	Field Temp. (C)	Field Cond. (uS/cm)	Time	Sample Description & Analysis
1-20-111721-RB ⁽¹⁾	1-20	12.40	7.7	4.70	--	6	6.38	12.6	784	12:56	Clear
7-26-111721-RB ⁽²⁾	7-26	12.73	7.7	5.03	--	6	6.57	12.6	587	15:08	Clear

Additional Comments:

SAMPLE SET: 3 x 40ml glass w/ HCl preserve for VOC

Copies to:

(1) MS / MSD taken

(2) field duplicate taken

Appendix C

Analytical Data Verification and Assessment



Memorandum

January 14, 2021

To: Rick Bieber [RBieber@Geosyntec.com] Ref. No.: 037894

From: Sheri Finn/adh/31 Tel: 716-205-1977

Subject: Analytical Results and Reduced Validation
Tacoma BPA Annual Groundwater Monitoring
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Tacoma, Washington
November 2020

1. Introduction

This document details a reduced validation of analytical results for groundwater samples collected in support of the Tacoma BPA Annual Groundwater Monitoring Program at the Tacoma, Washington site during November 2020. Samples were submitted to APPL Labs located in Clovis, California. A sample collection and analysis summary is presented in Table 1. The validated analytical results are summarized in Table 2. A summary of the analytical methodology is presented in Table 3. A copy of the chain of custody can be found in Attachment A.

Standard GHD report deliverables were submitted by the laboratory. The final results and supporting quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) data were assessed. Evaluation of the data was based on information obtained from the chain of custody form, finished report forms, method blank data, recovery data from surrogate spikes/laboratory control samples (LCS)/matrix spikes (MS), and field QA/QC samples.

The QA/QC criteria by which these data have been assessed are outlined in the analytical methods referenced in Table 1 and applicable guidance from the documents entitled:

- i) "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review", United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 540-R-2016-002, September 2016
- ii) "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review", USEPA 540-R-2016-001, September 2016

2. Sample Holding Time and Preservation

The sample chain of custody document and analytical report were used to determine sample holding times. All samples were analyzed within the required holding times.

All samples were properly preserved, delivered on ice, and stored by the laboratory at the required temperature (0-6°C).



3. Laboratory Method Blank Analyses

Method blanks are prepared from a purified matrix and analyzed with investigative samples to determine the existence and magnitude of sample contamination introduced during the analytical procedures.

For this study, laboratory method blanks were analyzed at a minimum frequency of 1 per 20 investigative samples and/or 1 per analytical batch.

All method blank results were non-detect, indicating that laboratory contamination was not a factor for this investigation.

4. Surrogate Spike Recoveries- Organic Analyses

In accordance with the method employed, all samples, blanks, and QC samples analyzed for organics are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. Surrogate recoveries provide a means to evaluate the effects of laboratory performance on individual sample matrices.

All samples submitted for volatile organic compound (VOC) determinations were spiked with the appropriate number of surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis.

Surrogate recoveries were assessed against laboratory control limits. All surrogate recoveries were acceptable.

5. Laboratory Control Sample Analyses

LCS or LCS/laboratory control sample duplicates (LCSD) are prepared and analyzed as samples to assess the analytical efficiencies of the methods employed, independent of sample matrix effects. The relative percent difference (RPD) of the LCS/LCSD recoveries is used to evaluate analytical precision.

For this study, LCS or LCS/LCSD were analyzed at a minimum frequency of 1 per 20 investigative samples and/or 1 per analytical batch.

Organic Analyses

The LCS or LCS/LCSD contained all compounds of interest. All LCS recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision, where applicable.

Inorganic Analyses

The LCS or LCS/LCSD contained all analytes of interest. LCS recoveries were assessed per the "Guidelines". All LCS recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision, where applicable.



6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) Analyses

To evaluate the effects of sample matrices on the preparation process, measurement procedures, and accuracy of a particular analysis, samples are spiked with known concentrations of the analytes of concern and analyzed as MS/MSD samples. The RPD between the MS and MSD is used to assess analytical precision. If the original sample concentration is significantly greater than the spike concentration, the recovery is not assessed.

The laboratory performed site-specific MS/MSD analyses as specified in Table 1.

6.1 Organic Analyses

The MS/MSD sample was spiked with all compounds of interest. All recoveries and RPDs were acceptable or met the above criteria, demonstrating good analytical accuracy and precision with the exception of low cis-1,2-dichloroethene recoveries. The associated sample result was qualified as estimated (see Table 4).

6.2 Inorganic Analyses

The MS/MSD samples were spiked with the analytes of interest, and the results were evaluated using the "Guidelines". All percent recoveries and RPD values were within the control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision.

7. Field QA/QC Samples

The field QA/QC consisted of one trip blank sample and one field duplicate sample set.

7.1 Trip Blank Sample Analysis

To evaluate contamination from sample collection, transportation, storage, and analytical activities, a trip blank was submitted to the laboratory for VOC analysis. All results were non-detect for the compounds of interest.

7.2 Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

To assess the analytical and sampling protocol precision, a field duplicate sample set was collected and submitted "blind" to the laboratory, as specified in Table 1. The RPDs associated with the duplicate sample must be less than 50 percent for water samples. If the reported concentration in either the investigative sample or its duplicate is less than five times the reporting limit (RL), the evaluation criterion is one times the RL value for water samples.

The field duplicate results were in agreement, demonstrating acceptable sampling and analytical precision with the exception of dissolved iron. The associated sample results were qualified as estimated (see Table 5).



8. Analyte Reporting

The laboratory evaluated detected results down to the laboratory's method detection limit (MDL) for each analyte. Positive analyte detections less than the RL but greater than the MDL were reported as estimated (J) in Table 2. Non-detect results were presented as non-detect at the RL in Table 2.

9. Conclusion

Based on the assessment detailed in the foregoing, the data summarized in Table 2 are acceptable with the qualifications noted.

Table 1

Sample Collection and Analysis Summary
Tacoma BPA Annual Groundwater Monitoring
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Tacoma, Washington
November 2020

Sample I.D.	Location I.D.	Collection Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Collection Time (hr:min)	Analysis/Parameters								Comments
				Volatile Organic Compounds	Dissolved Gases	Iron and Manganese (Total & Dissolved)	Nitrate (as N)	Sulfate	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Chloride		
GW-111820-NT-1-20	MW1-20	11/18/2020	13:20	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate
GW-111820-NT-7-26	MW7-26	11/18/2020	16:40	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Field Duplicate of GW-111820-NT-7-26 Trip Blank
GW-111820-NT-FD-1	MW7-26	11/18/2020	00:01	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
TRIP BLANK	-	11/18/2020	00:01	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	

Notes:

- Not applicable

Table 2

Analytical Results Summary
Tacoma BPA Annual Groundwater Monitoring
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Tacoma, Washington
November 2020

		Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:			
Parameters		MW1-20 GW-111820-NT-1-20 11/18/2020	MW7-26 GW-111820-NT-7-26 11/18/2020	MW7-26 GW-111820-NT-FD-1 11/18/2020 Duplicate	Trip Blank TRIP BLANK 11/18/2020
Unit					
Volatile Organic Compounds					
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	71 J	11	10	2.5 U
Methylene chloride	µg/L	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U
Trichloroethene	µg/L	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	8.8	2.2	2.1	0.5 U
Dissolved Gases					
Ethane	µg/L	11 J	64	67	-
Ethene	µg/L	6.9	9.6	11	-
Methane	µg/L	6400	7000	6300	-
Metals					
Iron	µg/L	45900	28100	28000	-
Iron (dissolved)	µg/L	15900	1710 J	666 J	-
Manganese	µg/L	3070	3220	3220	-
Manganese (dissolved)	µg/L	2720	2760	2760	-
General Chemistry					
Chloride	mg/L	30.2	29.9	29.5	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	0.050 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	-
Sulfate	mg/L	43.3	40.4	40.0	-
Total organic carbon (TOC)	mg/L	31.2	16.4	16.5	-

Notes:

- J - Estimated concentration
- U - Not detected at the associated reporting limit
- Not applicable

Table 3

**Summary of Analytical Methods
Tacoma BPA Annual Groundwater Monitoring
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Tacoma, Washington
November 2020**

Parameter	Method
Metals	EPA 200.7 ⁽²⁾
Chloride and Sulfate	EPA 300.0 ⁽²⁾
Nitrate	EPA 300.0 ⁽²⁾
Volatile Organic Compounds	SW-846 8260 ⁽¹⁾
Total Organic Carbon	SW-846 9060 ⁽¹⁾
Dissolved Gases	RSK175

Notes:

- (1) - "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", SW-846, Third Edition, 1986, with subsequent revisions
- (2) - "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", USEPA 600/4-79-202, March 1983 (with all subsequent revisions)
- RSK175 - EPA Internal Standard Operating Procedure #175 dated 8/11/94 by Bryan Newell at the USEPA R.S. Kerr Laboratory
- USEPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

Table 4

**Qualified Sample Results Due to Outlying MS/MSD Results
Tacoma BPA Annual Groundwater Monitoring
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Tacoma, Washington
November 2020**

Parameter	Sample ID	Analyte	MS % Recovery	MSD % Recovery	RPD (percent)	Control Limits		Qualified Result	Units
						% Recovery	RPD		
VOC	GW-111820-NT-1-20	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	24	0	9.1	75-125	20	71 J	µg/L
Dissolved Gases	GW-111820-NT-1-20	Ethane	148	92.1	43.8	74-131	30	11 J	µg/L

Notes:

- MS - Matrix Spike
- MSD - Matrix Spike Duplicate
- RPD - Relative Percent Difference
- J - Estimated concentration
- VOC - Volatile Organic Compounds

Table 5

Qualified Sample Data Due to Variability in Field Duplicate Results
Tacoma BPA Annual Groundwater Monitoring
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Tacoma, Washington
November 2020

Parameter	Analyte	RPD/Diff	Sample ID	Qualified Result	Field Duplicate Sample ID	Qualified Result	Units
Metals	Iron (dissolved)	87.9	-	GW-111820-NT-7-26	1710 J	GW-111820-NT-FD-1	666 J
							µg/L

Notes:

- Difference (i.e., >1X RL for waters or >2X RL for soils)
- Reporting Limit
- Relative Percent Difference
- Estimated concentration

Attachment A

Chain of Custody Document

Appendix D

Analytical Database

EPA Annual Report 2021-APPD.xlsx

Table D.1

[illegible]

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	1-20 GW-110609-TG-1-20 11/6/2009	1-20 GW-111610-JS-1-20 11/16/2010	1-20 GW-111610-JS-1-20 11/16/2010 <i>Duplicate</i>	1-20 GW-111711-AK-1-20 11/17/2011	1-20 GW-112912-MD-1-20 11/29/2012	1-20 GW-112613-BP-1-20 11/26/2013	1-20 GW-111814-BP-1-20 11/18/2014	1-20 GW-113015-BP-1-20 11/30/2015	1-20 GW-111716-NT-1-20 11/17/16
Parameter	Units								
<i>Volatiles</i>									
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	18	54	50	110	70	80	38	81	39 J
Methylene chloride	2.5U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.5 U
Tetrachloroethene	2.5U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	2.5 U
Trichloroethene	0.17J	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	0.10 J	0.50 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	2.5 U
Vinyl chloride	3.1	4.6	4.2	11	6.5	9.0	3.3	10	3.7
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i>									
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Metals</i>									
Arsenic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arsenic (Dissolved)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead (Dissolved)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iron	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dissolved Gases</i>									
Ethane	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methane	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>General Chemistry</i>									
Chloride	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulfate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	1-20 GW-201117-NT-1-20 11/20/17	1-20 GW-201117-NT-FD1 11/20/17	1-20 GW-110618-NT-1-20 11/06/2018	1-20 GW-112519-NT-1-20 11/25/2019	1-20 GW-112519-NT-FD3 11/25/19	1-20 GW-111820-NT-1-20 11/18/2020	1-20 1-20-111721-RB 11/17/2021	7-26 W-7412-092398-MW7-26-DQW7-26-1198-TIMWD1-1198-TR 9/23/1998	7-26 11/4/1998	7-26 11/4/1998
	Duplicate	Duplicate			Duplicate					Duplicate
Parameter	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units
Volatiles										
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	80	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	52	180	140	150
Methylene chloride	µg/L	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	5.0 U	5.3	5	5
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	2.5 U	2.5 U	0.15 U	0.15 U	2.5 U	2.0 U	5	5	5
Trichloroethene	µg/L	2.5 U	2.5 U	0.46 U	0.15 U	2.5 U	2.0 U	10	9.2	9.4
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	7.1	0.5 U	2.1	2.3	8.8	3.7	6.9	22	22
Semi-Volatiles										
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.5	3.5	3.5
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	1.3	1.3
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.75	0.75	0.75
Metals										
Arsenic	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arsenic (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iron	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dissolved Gases										
Ethane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.4	7.8	6.4
Ethene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5
General Chemistry										
Chloride	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulfate	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	7-26 MW7-26-0599-TMW7-26-1199-TMW7-26-1100-TMW7-26-0500-TMW7-26-1101-TMW7-26-0502-TMW7-26-1102-DC	7-26 5/12/1999	7-26 11/8/1999	7-26 5/10/2000	7-26 11/9/2000	7-26 5/21/2001	7-26 11/8/2001	7-26 5/1/2002	7-26 11/14/2002	7-26 5/8/2003	7-26 11/10/2003	7-26 11/10/2003 Duplicate	7-26 FD1-1103 11/10/2003 Duplicate	7-26 FD1-1104 11/11/2004 Duplicate	7-26 7-26-1105-NR 11/18/2005
Parameter	Units														
Volatiles															
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	140	220b	170b	250	130	220	110	120	48	170	220	220	150	120
Methylene chloride	µg/L	5	1.0u	5.3u	8.0u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	5	1.0u	1.3u	8.0u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u
Trichloroethene	µg/L	5.5	4	1.8	8.0u	1.4u	2.5u	2.5u	2.0u	2.5u	1.4u	1.5u	1.5u	1.3u	2.5u
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	4.4	16	6.0	16	8.3	44	4.3	8.9	2.4	37	37	37	31	14
Semi-Volatiles															
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Metals															
Arsenic	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	7.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arsenic (Dissolved)	µg/L	7.5f	5.5b	4.2	3.8J	7.8	-	4	6.4	7.1	7.6	8.1	8.1	-	-
Lead	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	3.0u	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L	5	2.7u	2.7u	2.7u	3.0u	-	3.0u	3.0u	3.0u	3.0u	3.0u	3.0u	-	-
Iron	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dissolved Gases															
Ethane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General Chemistry															
Chloride	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulfate	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	7-26 v26-1106-ILM-007-26-1106-ILM-003 11/3/2006	7-26 11/3/2006 Duplicate	7-26 7-26-1107-ILM 11/2/2007	7-26 FDUF-1107-ILM 11/2/2007 Duplicate	7-26 111408-TG-BPA-7-26-03W-111408-TG-BPA-FD-0: 11/14/2008 Duplicate	7-26 GW-110609-TG-7-26 11/6/2009	7-26 GW-110609-TG-FD1 11/6/2009 Duplicate	7-26 GW-111610-JS-7-26 11/16/2010 Duplicate	7-26 GW-111711-AK-7-26 11/17/2011
Parameter	Units								
<i>Volatiles</i>									
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	170	110	100	62	94	91	140	130
Methylene chloride	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	0.24	2.5u	2.5u	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U
Trichloroethene	µg/L	0.85	2.5u	2.5u	0.34 J	0.44 J	0.39 J	0.30 J	0.30 J
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	11	16	20	4.4	7.5	9.7	15 J	11
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i>									
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Metals</i>									
Arsenic	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arsenic (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iron	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dissolved Gases</i>									
Ethane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>General Chemistry</i>									
Chloride	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulfate	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	7-26 GW-111711-AK-D1 11/17/2011 <i>Duplicate</i>	7-26 GW-112912-MD-7-26 11/29/2012	7-26 GW-112912-MD-FD1 11/29/2012	7-26 GW-112613-BP-7-26 11/26/2013	7-26 GW-112613-BP-FD-1 11/26/2013 <i>(Duplicate)</i>	7-26 GW-111814-BP-7-26 11/18/2014	7-26 GW-111814-BP-FD-1 11/18/2014 <i>(Duplicate)</i>	7-26 GW-120115-BP-7-26 12/1/2015
Parameter	Units							
<i>Volatiles</i>								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	130	26					
Methylene chloride	µg/L	2.5 U	2.0 U	40	51 J	74	73	64
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	2.5 U	0.50 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U
Trichloroethene	µg/L	0.31 J	0.21 J	0.50 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	0.50 U	0.50 U
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	9.9	0.22 J	0.22 J	0.26 J	0.23 J	0.20 J	0.20 J
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i>								
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	-	2.0 J	3.7 J	23 J	13	13	18
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Metals</i>								
Arsenic	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arsenic (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iron	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dissolved Gases</i>								
Ethane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>General Chemistry</i>								
Chloride	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulfate	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	7-26 GW-120115-BP-FD-1 12/1/2015	7-26 GW-111716-NT-7-26 11/17/2016	7-26 GW-111716-NT-FD-1 11/17/2016	7-26 GW-201117-NT-7-26 11/20/2017	7-26 GW-110618-NT-7-26 11/6/2018	7-26 GW-112519-NT-7-26 11/25/2019	7-26 GW-111820-NT-7-26 11/18/2020
Parameter	Units						
<i>Volatiles</i>							
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	61	27	38	24J	12	11	11
Methylene chloride	2.0 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U
Tetrachloroethene	0.50 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U
Trichloroethene	0.20 J	0.23 J	2.5 U	0.21J	0.22 J	0.32 J	2.5 U
Vinyl chloride	17	7.1	11	8.8	0.5 U	4.9	2.2
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i>							
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Metals</i>							
Arsenic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arsenic (Dissolved)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead (Dissolved)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iron	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Dissolved Gases</i>							
Ethane	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methane	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>General Chemistry</i>							
Chloride	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulfate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location:	7-26	7-26	7-26	7-26	MW1	MW1	MW1	MW1	MW1	MW1	MW1	MW1	MW1
Sample ID:	GW-111820-NT-FD-1	7-26-111721-RB	7-26-111721-RB	7-26-111721-RB	W-7412-092298-MW1-DC	MW1-1198-TR	MW1-0599-TR	MW1-1199-TR	MW1-1199-TR	MW1-0500-TR	MWDI-0500-TR	MW1-1100-TR	MW1-0501-TR
Sample Date:	11/18/2020	11/17/2021	11/17/2021	11/17/2021	9/22/1998	11/4/1998	5/11/1999	11/8/1999	5/9/2000	5/9/2000	5/9/2000	11/9/2000	5/21/2001
Parameter	Units	Duplicate											
Volatiles													
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	10	6.3	6.6	5	5 J	10	12	59	88	5.7	41	
Methylene chloride	µg/L	2.5 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5	5 J	5	1.0u	1.0u	2.7 U	4.0 U	2.5u	
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	2.5 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	5	5 J	5	1.0u	1.0u	1.0u	4.0 U	2.5u	
Trichloroethene	µg/L	2.5 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.4 J	5 J	5	1.0u	1.0u	2.6	4.0 U	2.5u	
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	2.1	0.49	0.52	0.18 J	0.18 J	26	13	15	11	2.3	16	
Semi-Volatiles													
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L				4.4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L				1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L				0.75	0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Metals													
Arsenic	µg/L				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Arsenic (Dissolved)	µg/L				3.2	4.5	4.4	4.2 U	4.2 U	4.2 U	3.0 uJ	1.0 u	
Lead	µg/L				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Iron	µg/L	28000			5	5 J	5	2.7 u	2.7 u	2.7 u	2.7 u	3.0 uJ	
Manganese	µg/L	666J											
Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L	3220											
Dissolved Gases													
Ethane	µg/L	2760											
Ethene	µg/L	67											
Methane	µg/L	11											
General Chemistry													
Chloride	mg/l	29.5											
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	0.5U											
Sulfate	mg/l	40											
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	16.5											

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	MW1 11/8/2001	MW1 5/1/2002	MW1 11/14/2002	MW1-1-0503 5/8/2003	MW1 11/11/2003	MW2 9/22/1998	MW2-1198-DC 11/5/1998	MW2-0598-TR 5/11/1999	MW2-1199-TR 11/8/1999	MW2 5/10/2000	MW2-1100-TR 11/9/2000	MW2-0501-TR 5/21/2001	MW2 11/9/2001
Parameter	Units												
Volatiles													
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	9.2	17	8.6	15	6.2	100 J	72 J	35	27	18	16	15
Methylene chloride	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5 UJ	2.5u	2.5u	5	5.3	5	1.0u	1.0u	8.0 U	2.5u
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	5 J	5	5	1.0u	1.0u	8.0 U	2.5u
Trichloroethene	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	0.42 J	22 J	23	4.4	2.0	3.0	4.0	8.9
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	0.5u	3.7	1.1	1.4								5.0
Semi-Volatiles													
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	3.5	3.5	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	0.75	0.75	-	-	-	-	-
Metals													
Arsenic	µg/L	1.0u	-	-	-	0.05 U	5.3	6.0	7.9	4.2 U	4.2 U	3.0u	1.0u
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L	3.0 U	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0u
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	3.0u	3.0u	3.0u	3.0u	5	5 J	5	2.7u	2.7u	3.0u	-
Iron	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dissolved Gases													
Ethane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General Chemistry													
Chloride	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulfate	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	MW2 5/1/2002	MW2 11/14/2002	MW2 5/8/2003	MW2 11/11/2003	W-7412-092398-MW2-27-DGW-7412-092398-MW100-DCGW2-27-1198-TMW2-27-0500-TMW2-27-1100-TMW2-27-0501-TI 9/23/1998	MW2-27 9/23/1998	MW2-27 11/4/1998	MW2-27 5/12/1999	MW2-27 11/8/1999	MW2-27 5/10/2000	MW2-27 11/9/2000	MW2-27 5/21/2001
Parameter	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units	Units
Volatiles												
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	16	2.5u	23	5	5	5.1	5	0.28 J	1.2	10 u	2.5u
Methylene chloride	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	10	38	5.1	5	1.0u	1.0u	10 u	2.5u
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	5	5	5	5	1.0u	1.0u	10 u	2.5u
Trichloroethene	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	5 J	5 J	5	5	1.0u	1.0u	10 u	2.5u
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	6.1	6.1	5.0	0.18 J	0.18 J	0.18	0.49	0.5u	0.60	5.0 U	0.84
Semi-Volatiles												
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	-	-	-	5.3	4.4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	-	-	-	1.3	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	-	-	-	0.75	0.75	0.75	-	-	-	-	-
Metals												
Arsenic	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arsenic (Dissolved)	µg/L	1.0u	1.0u	0.1	-	5.2	5.9	4.5	4.2 U	4.2 U	3.0uj	1.0u
Lead	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L	3.0u	3.0u	3.0u	-	-	-	5	2.7u	2.7u	2.7u	3.0uj
Iron	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dissolved Gases												
Ethane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General Chemistry												
Chloride	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulfate	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	MW2-27 11/8/2001	MW2-27 5/1/2002	MW2-27 11/14/2002	MW2-27 5/8/2003	MW2-27 2-27-1103 11/10/2003	6-26 9/23/1998	6-26 11/4/1998	6-26 5/12/1999	6-26 11/8/1999	6-26 5/10/2000	6-26 11/9/2000	6-26 5/21/2001	6-26 11/8/2001
	W-7412-092398-MW6-26-DC(MW6-26-1198-TMW6-26-0500-TMW6-26-1100-TMW6-26-0501-TMW6-26-1101-TI												
Parameter	Units												
Volatiles													
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	2.5u	1.0j	2.5u	2.5u	4.0j	5.1	4.1j	3.6	2.8	10u	2.1j	2.5u
Methylene chloride	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	5	5	5	1.0u	1.0u	10u	2.5u	2.5u
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	5j	5	5	1.0u	0.34j	10u	2.5u	2.5u
Trichloroethene	µg/L	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	2.5u	1.7j	3.5	3.2	2.5	3.0	5.0u	2.0	1.4
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	0.5u	0.80	0.5u	0.77								
Semi-Volatiles													
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	-	-	-	-	3.5j	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	1.3j	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	0.75j	0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-
Metals													
Arsenic	µg/L	1.0u	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arsenic (Dissolved)	µg/L	3.0u	1.2	1.0u	0.2	5.4	4.2	6.8j	4.2u	4.2u	3.0u	1.0u	0.47766j
Lead	µg/L	-	-	-	-	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	3.0u	3.0u	3.0u	-	-	-	2.7u	2.7u	2.7u	3.0u	-
Iron	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dissolved Gases													
Ethane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethene	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methane	µg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General Chemistry													
Chloride	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sulfate	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	6-26 WV6-26-0502-TIMW	6-26 26-1102-DI	6-26 26-0503	6-26 26-1103	Units
	5/1/2002	11/14/2002	5/8/2003	11/10/2003	
Parameter	Parameter	Parameter	Parameter	Parameter	Units
Volatiles					
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.6 J	1.2 J	1.4 J	2.5 U	µg/L
Methylene chloride	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	µg/L
Trichloroethene	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	2.5 U	µg/L
Vinyl chloride	2.3	1.0	2.0	1.1	µg/L
Semi-Volatiles					
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Hexachlorobenzene	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Hexachlorobutadiene	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Metals					
Arsenic	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	0.3	µg/L
Arsenic (Dissolved)	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Lead	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	µg/L
Lead (Dissolved)	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Iron	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Manganese	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Manganese (Dissolved)	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Dissolved Gases					
Ethane	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Ethene	-	-	-	-	µg/L
Methane	-	-	-	-	µg/L
General Chemistry					
Chloride	-	-	-	-	mg/l
Nitrate (as N)	-	-	-	-	mg/l
Sulfate	-	-	-	-	mg/l
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	-	-	-	-	mg/l

Notes:

< CRDL but >= Instrument Detection Limit (IDL).

Compounds at secondary dilution factor.

Estimated.

Non-detected at associated value.

The analyte was not detected above the sample quantitation limit. The reported quantitation is an estimated quantity.

Not applicable.

Analytical Parameter list modified in January 2004.

Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA) Method B Surface Water

Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC).

Version 3.1, updated November 2001.

Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL), Washington State

Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, Guidance on

Sampling and Data Analysis Methods, January 1995.

Table D.1
Groundwater Analytical Database
Bonneville Administration Site
Taylor Way
Tacoma, Washington

Sample Location: MW9-30 MW9-30 MW9-30
Sample ID: VW9-30-1102-DK MW9-30-0503 9-30-1103
Sample Date: 11/14/2002 5/8/2003 11/10/2003

Parameter	Units			
<i>Volatiles</i>				
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	2.5 u	2.5 u	2.5 u
Methylene chloride	µg/L	2.5 u	2.5 u	2.5 u
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	2.5 u	2.5 u	2.5 u
Trichloroethene	µg/L	2.5 u	2.5 u	2.5 u
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	0.5 u	0.5 u	0.5 u
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i>				
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	-	-	-
<i>Metals</i>				
Arsenic	µg/L	-	-	-
Arsenic (Dissolved)	µg/L	1.0 u	1.0 u	0.1
Lead	µg/L	-	-	-
Lead (Dissolved)	µg/L	3.0 u	15.0 u	3.0 u
Iron	µg/L	-	-	-
Manganese	µg/L	-	-	-
Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L	-	-	-
<i>Dissolved Gases</i>				
Ethane	µg/L	-	-	-
Ethene	µg/L	-	-	-
Methane	µg/L	-	-	-
<i>General Chemistry</i>				
Chloride	mg/l	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	-	-	-
Sulfate	mg/l	-	-	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	-	-	-