

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: Northport Waterfront Cleanup Action
2. Name of applicant: Washington State Department of Ecology

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Justin Rice
Department of Ecology
Toxics Cleanup Program
Eastern Regional Office
Spokane, WA 99205-1295

4. Date checklist prepared: June 15, 2022

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology)

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The project will occur during times of low river levels; planned during September–October 2023, April–May 2024, and September–October 2024

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

Following remediation, Ecology will continue to monitor the site as necessary.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

- Remedial Investigation, GeoEngineers, Inc. 2019, prepared for Ecology.
- Focused Feasibility Study, GeoEngineers, Inc. 2021, prepared for Ecology.
- Cleanup Action Plan, Ecology 2022.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
No known other pending applications for other proposals.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

- Nationwide Section 404 Permit issued by the United States Army Corp of Engineers (USACE)
- Nationwide Section 10 Permit issued by the USACE
- Aquatic Use Authorization issued by Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR)
- Hydraulic Project Approval issued by Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Section 401 Water Quality Certification issued by Washington State Department of Ecology
- Shoreline Master Program Permits issued by Stevens County
- Construction Stormwater General Permit issued by Washington State Department of Ecology
- Railroad crossing approval (BNSF Railway)

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The proposal includes a combination of removal and capping of metal contaminated sediment and soil at the site, as shown on the attached figures 2 and 3.

About 12,000 cubic yards of slag-impacted sediment and soil are proposed to be removed and transported offsite for disposal. Slag is an industrial waste and contains hazardous substances including arsenic, copper, lead, zinc, and other metals.

About 28,500 cubic yards of clean fill material will be placed at the site to contain slag-impacted material left in place and to establish beach grades that will reduce depositional areas near the shoreline. Fill material will be compatible with existing site soil and sediment and river hydraulics.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The site is located in Northport, Stevens County, Washington, in the northwest quarter section of Section S4, Township T39N, Range R40E, at approximately latitude 48.921 degrees north and longitude -117.77 degrees west. The project area is adjacent to the BNSF Railway Company owned tax parcel 8002673 and includes the seasonally exposed beach area of the Columbia River and adjacent wooded slope. Figure 1 shows the location of the site.

B. Environmental Elements [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Earth [\[HELP\]](#)

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, **other**

Undulating river bank/steep upland slope

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

About 30% at the hillside portion of the site.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Soil in the upland portion of the site are silty sand with gravel and cobbles. Sediment along the shoreline consist of gravel with various amounts of silt, sand, and cobbles.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Approximately 12,000 cubic yards of contaminated sediments and soil will be excavated and transported offsite for disposal. Excavation will be backfilled with streambed compatible material from commercial gravel pits.

About 28,500 cubic yards of clean fill material will be placed at the site to contain slag-impacted material left in place and to establish beach grades that will reduce depositional areas near the shoreline. Fill material will be compatible with existing site soil and sediment and river hydraulics.

Additional areas of the site will be capped with streambed compatible material. The seasonal beach area will be regraded from the current undulating surface to a shallow slope towards the Columbia River. Northport Waterfront project

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Yes. During construction, erosion could occur due to excavation activities. Following construction, erosion could occur in areas where new fill material has been placed.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

None, zero percent

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Measures to reduce or control erosion include installing silt fencing along the shoreline, covering stockpiles (if any) with plastic sheeting, maintenance to address rutting or rill development, infill planting, and limiting vehicle traffic.

2. Air [\[HELP\]](#)

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Construction will be completed using standard earthwork equipment such as dump trucks, excavators, and bulldozers. Exhaust emissions will occur during construction activities only.

Air quality in the area is regulated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Ecology. The project will conform to the applicable rules of these agencies.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No off-site sources of emissions or odor.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

During excavation and construction, exposed areas will be wetted with water as necessary to control dust. Truck loads will be covered and routes will be monitored to minimize dust-related impacts. Prolonged periods of vehicle idling will be avoided.

3. **Water** [\[HELP\]](#)

a. Surface Water: [\[help\]](#)

Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes. The site is adjacent to the Columbia River, upstream of Lake Roosevelt.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes. Most of the work will occur within 200 feet of the Columbia River. Work will occur on along the shoreline during times when the river levels are low and we do not anticipate work in or over water.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

No fill or dredge material will be placed or removed from surface water or wetlands. About 12,000 cubic yards of sediment and soil will be removed from the areas adjacent to surface water.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Water might be withdrawn from the river for dust control purposes. A temporary permit from the Department of Ecology would be required.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Yes, most of the project area lies within the 100-year flood plain.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

The project does not involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters. There is a potential for run-off to the Columbia River during construction, which will be managed under a Construction Stormwater permit from the Department of Ecology and an approved erosion and sediment control plan and sediment pollution prevention plan.

b. Groundwater: [help](#)

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No. Groundwater will not be withdrawn from a well for this project.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Waste material will not be discharged into the ground for this project.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The project would not result in any permanent impervious surface area. The project will remove slag-impacted beach sediment that currently is susceptible to erosion/scour caused by seasonal changes in river state and discharge, and to a lesser extent by rainfall runoff events.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

During construction, there is a potential that small quantities of sand to silt-sized beach sediment material may enter the river via erosion or runoff. After construction, there is potential that some backfilled soil could be eroded into the river during periods of high water. Note that backfilled will be analytically tested for metals prior to placement.

- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

The project does not alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

Proposed measures to reduce or control runoff will be included in a Temporary Erosion and

Sediment Control (TESC) Plan and Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPP) prepared for the site.

4. Plants [\[HELP\]](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- _X_deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- _X_evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- _X_shrubs
- _X_grass
- ___pasture
- ___crop or grain
- ___Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- ___wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- ___water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- ___other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Excavation activities might result in some limited tree removal in the upland area.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known on or near the site.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Cleanup of the near shore areas include placement of rock and gravel similar to surrounding areas. Proposed enhancements to the existing foot path from the upland area will include infill planting of native shrubs along the pathway.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

No noxious weeds are known to be on the site. The Stevens County Noxious Weeds List includes: *Centaurea macrocephala*, *Salvia sclarea*, *Butomus umbellatus*, *Salvia pratensis*, *Salvia aethiopis*, *Anchusa arvensis*, *Echium vulgare*, *Anchusa officinalis*, *Lysimachia vulgaris*, *Kochia scoparia*, *Euphorbia esula*, *Carduus nutans*, *Lepidium latifolium*, *Tribulus terrestris*, *Lythrum salicarian*, *Tamarix ramosissima*, *Cytisus scoparius*, *Onopordum acanthium*, *Senecio jacobaea*, *Abutilon theophrast*, *Anthriscus sylvestris*, *Lamium galeobdolo*, *Hyocyamus niger*, *Solanum rostratum*, *Berteroa incana*, *Cenchrus longispinus*, *Buddleja davidii*, *Euphorbia myrsinites*, *Carduus acanthoides*, *Chondrilla juncea*, *Centaurea solstitialis*, *Centaurea diffusa*, *Centaurea biebersteinii*

5. Animals [\[HELP\]](#)

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

Presumably deer, birds, rodents, and other small animals use the area of or near the site. The Columbia River is host to numerous fish species, including salmonids, sturgeon, minnow-family fish, sculpin family, sucker family, and many others.

List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known on or near the site. The IPaC tool provided by the US Fish and Wildlife Service was used to generate the following list for the vicinity of the project area:

Canada Lynx - threatened
Grizzly Bear - threatened
Yellow-billed Cuckoo - threatened
Bull Trout - threatened

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

The site is within the Pacific Flyway and could be visited by migratory water fowl.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

The removal of smelter slag contamination of the project area will improve ecological function of the site.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

No invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

6. Energy and Natural Resources [\[HELP\]](#)

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Fossil fuels will be used to power the equipment during construction. The completed project will not result in any need for energy.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No. The project would not affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

None required.

7. Environmental Health [\[HELP\]](#)

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

The site is contaminated with smelter slag containing toxic metals. There is the potential for site workers to come into contact with the slag. Site workers will be protected by site specific safety protocols and appropriate personal protective equipment.

- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

The site is contaminated with smelter slag from the Le Roi Smelter and Trail Smelter. Smelter slag contains high concentrations of several toxic metals.

- 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

None known.

- 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

Fuel will be stored in vehicle fuel tanks.

- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None known.

- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
- 6) A site specific health and safety plan will be prepared to discuss potential safety hazards and mitigation measures that will be used during the proposed project. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) 40-hour Hazardous Waste Operations Training and Standard Level D personal protection equipment will be required of all site workers.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

None.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

No long-term noise levels would result from the project. Short-term temporary noise would be expected from operation of construction equipment (excavator, loader, dump trucks, etc.). Work hours are anticipated to be between 7:00 am and 7:00 pm up to seven days a week.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

The following could be implemented to reduce construction noise:

- Compression brakes will not be allowed on site.
- All construction activity will be limited to daytime hours.
- Construction equipment will be turned off during periods of non-use.

8. Land and Shoreline Use [\[HELP\]](#)

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The site is currently used for boat access and recreational day-use.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

The site has not been used as working farmlands or working forest lands.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

The proposal will not be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

There is a boat launch with a dock on the site. The boat launch is protected by a man-made jetty.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No structures will be demolished.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Exempt.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The Comprehensive Plan designates the site as Cities.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The Stevens County Shoreline Master Program designates the shoreline as Urban.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

No.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

The completed project will not displace any people.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None necessary.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The project will not make any changes to existing and projected land uses and plans. The final grading plan for the shoreline will be compatible with DNR's goals of similar existing use.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

The project will not impact agricultural or forest lands.

9. Housing [\[HELP\]](#)

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

No housing units will be provided.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

No housing units would be eliminated.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

There will be no housing impacts.

10. Aesthetics [\[HELP\]](#)

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

There are no proposed structures.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

No views would be altered or obstructed.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

There will be no aesthetic impacts.

11. Light and Glare [\[HELP\]](#)

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

The project will not produce any light or glare issues.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

The project will not produce any light or glare issues.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

There will be no light or glare impacts.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None required.

12. Recreation [\[HELP\]](#)

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

The project area includes a boat launch and the shoreline area is used for day-use recreational purposes. The Northport Town Park is adjacent to the site.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

Public access to the boat launch and shoreline will be restricted during construction. Construction work will be staged to allow continued public use as much as possible. Construction activities near the shoreline will occur when river levels are at their lowest. During which time, the boat launch is unusable.

13. Historic and cultural preservation [\[HELP\]](#)

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

There are no listed sites located on or near the site. During the comment period for the draft Cleanup Action Plan, the Northport Washington Historical Society requested the remains of what appears to be a pumping station for the former Le Roi Smelter be preserved during the cleanup. Ecology is committed to protecting cultural resources and follows Governor's Executive Order (GEO) 21-02. GEO 21-02 provides a framework to ensure we take all reasonable actions to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects to archeological and historic archaeological sites, buildings/structures, traditional cultural places, sacred sites, or other cultural resources. When we develop the engineering design for the project, we will work to preserve this artifact.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

Other than the smelter pumping station, there are no landmarks or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation on the site, nor material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site. Eastern Washington University completed a study of the project area to identify such resources.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

Eastern Washington University Archeology Department was contracted to study the site area for cultural resources.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

A Cultural Resources Plan will be prepared to address all elements of cultural resource considerations associated with the project, including inadvertent discoveries during the excavation activities.

14.14. Transportation [\[HELP\]](#)

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The site is accessed from Highway 25 (aka Center Ave) by turning southeast onto Northport Boundary Road and then turning almost immediately northeast onto Park Road.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The area is not served by public transit. The nearest transit stop is in Rossland, British Columbia 15 miles to the north.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

No parking spaces would be created or destroyed.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

Yes. Access to the site requires crossing a BNSF Railway Company line.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

Up to 1,300 truck trips (in and out) would occur over the total project period. About 10-15 trips per day would occur during peak volumes. Peak volumes are expected to occur during times when the river level is lowest and sediment is exposed. These time frames generally occur during several weeks in late spring and early fall. Truck trips will be spread throughout the day from 7:00 am to 7:00 pm.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

No. The project will not interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

Signage will be used for public safety at the entrance to the site. Roadways used to transport material removed from the site might require periodic cleaning. Loads will be secured, if necessary, to reduce the potential for release.

15.15. Public Services [\[HELP\]](#)

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

The project will not result in an increased need for public services.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

The project will not impact public services.

16. Utilities [\[HELP\]](#)

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system,
other _____


No utilities are available at the site.

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service,
and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might
be needed.

No utilities are proposed for the project.

C. Signature [\[HELP\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the
lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____ 


Name of signee _____Justin Rice_____

Position and Agency/Organization _____Site Manager/Department of Ecology_____


Date Submitted: _____8/8/2022_____

Appendix A. Figures

A.1. Vicinity map



P:\0\050416\GIS\MXD\050416000_F01_VM.mxd Date Exported: 09/06/19 by ceahnera



Vicinity Map

Northport Waterfront Cleanup Action Plan
Northport, Washington



Figure A.1

Notes:


1. The locations of all features shown are approximate.
2. This drawing is for information purposes. It is intended to assist in showing features discussed in an attached document. GeoEngineers, Inc. cannot guarantee the accuracy and content of electronic files. The master file is stored by GeoEngineers, Inc. and will serve as the official record of this communication.

Data Source: Mapbox Open Street Map, 2016


ESRI World Street Map.

Projection: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601 Feet

A.2. Selected cleanup action for Seasonal Beach, Jetty, Bay and Public Dock, and Bayshore areas



A.3. Selected cleanup action for Hillside area.



A.4. Flood Insurance Rate Map

