

State of Washington POLLUTION LIABILITY INSURANCE AGENCY PO Box 40930 • Olympia, Washington 98504-0930 (360) 407-0520 • (800) 822-3905 www.plia.wa.gov

March 29, 2022

Mr. Mark Benezra Buffalo Investments 7979 S 180th St. Kent, WA 98032

Re: No Further Action at the Following Site:

- Facility/Site (owner) Name: 3420 E Marginal Way South
- Facility/Site Address: 3420 E Marginal Way South, Seattle, WA 98134
- Facility Site ID (TAP only): 66253
- Technical Assistance Program No.: PNW183

Dear Mr. Benezra:

The Washington State Pollution Liability Insurance Agency (PLIA) received a request for an opinion on your independent cleanup of 3420 E Marginal Way South, Seattle, WA 98134 (Site). This letter provides our opinion. Opinions by PLIA are made under the authority of Chapter 70A.330 RCW and Chapter 374-80 WAC. PLIA appreciates your initiative in pursuing this administrative option for cleaning up a contaminated site under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), Chapter 70A.305 RCW.

Issue Presented and Opinion

Is further remedial action necessary to clean up petroleum contamination at the Site?

PLIA has determined that **no further remedial action is necessary** to clean up petroleum contamination at the Site.

This opinion is based on an analysis of whether the remedial action meets the substantive requirements of MTCA, Chapter 70A.305 RCW, and its implementing regulations, Chapter 173-340 WAC (collectively "substantive requirements of MTCA"). The analysis is provided below.

Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **2** | P a g e

Description of the Site

This opinion applies only to the Site located at 3420 E Marginal Way South, Seattle, WA 98134 and comprises one King County tax parcel described below. This opinion does not apply to any other hazardous substance release(s) that may affect the Property (parcels).

1. Description of the Site:

The Site is defined by the nature and extent of contamination associated with the following release(s):

- Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH): TPH-d (diesel), TPH-o (oil) and TPH-g (gasoline) into the soil/groundwater/air.
- Volatile organic compounds: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes (BTEX), and potentially naphthalene into the soil/groundwater/air.

The following parcel(s) have been impacted by the release(s):

• King County Parcel No. 7666207580

2. Identification of other sites that may affect the Property.

Please note that a parcel of real property can be affected by multiple sites. The Property is reportedly affected by a non-point source unrelated to and separate from the Site detailed above. The non-point source affecting the Property is believed to be associated with contaminated fill, which is common to the Lower Duwamish Waterway area in which the Property is located. At this time, no data have indicated that contamination from this non-point source is co-mingled with Site contaminants of concern (COCs) and remains within the boundaries of the Site described above.

Basis of the Opinion

This opinion is based on the information contained in the following documents:

- 1. *Request for Opinion Letter on Confirmational Groundwater Monitoring* 3420 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington. Prepared by Farallon Consulting, October 21, 2021.
- 2. Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Report 3420 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington. Prepared by Farallon Consulting, May 20, 2020.
- 3. *Limited Phase II Subsurface Investigation Report 3420 & 3430 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington.* Prepared by AEI Consultants, October 18, 2019.

Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **3** | P a g e

4. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Report – 3420 and 3430 East Marginal Way South and 3401 Colorado Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. Prepared by Farallon Consulting, May 30, 2018.

Documents submitted to PLIA are subject to the Public Records Act (Chapter 42.56 RCW). To make a request for public records, please email <u>pliamail@plia.wa.gov</u>.

This opinion is void if any of the information contained in those documents is materially false or misleading.

Establishment of Cleanup Standards and Points of Compliance

PLIA has determined the cleanup levels (CULs) and points of compliance (POCs) you established for the Site meet the substantive requirements of MTCA. It is presumed that if you meet the cleanup standards under MTCA, the Site will be protective of human health and the environment for current and future property use.

1. CULs:

| Table 1. The proposed soil and groundwater cleanup levels are: | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Method A | Method B | Method A | | | | | | | |
| | Soil Cleanup Level | Soil Cleanup Level | Groundwater | | | | | | | |
| Contaminants of | Unrestricted Land | Unrestricted Land | Cleanup Level | | | | | | | |
| Concern (COCs) | Use | Use | ug/l | | | | | | | |
| | mg/kg | mg/kg | | | | | | | | |
| TPH-d | 2,000 | (Site Specific) | 500 | | | | | | | |
| TPH-g | 30*/100 | (Site Specific) | 800*/1,000 | | | | | | | |
| TPH-o | 2,000 | (Site Specific) | 500 | | | | | | | |
| Benzene (carcinogen) | 0.03 | (Site Specific) | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | 7 | (Site Specific) | 1,000 | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 6 | (Site Specific) | 700 | | | | | | | |
| Xylene | 9 | (Site Specific) | 1,000 | | | | | | | |
| Total Lead | 250 | (Site Specific) | 15 | | | | | | | |

*When Benzene is present.

| Table 2. The proposed air cleanup levels are: | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Method B | Method B | | | | | | |
| Contaminants of | Sub-Slab/Soil Gas | Indoor/Air | | | | | | |
| Concern (COCs) | Screening Levels | Cleanup Levels | | | | | | |
| | ug/m ³ | ug/m ³ | | | | | | |
| Benzene (carcinogen) | 10.7 | 0.321 | | | | | | |
| Toluene | 15,600 | 2,290 | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 15,200 | 457 | | | | | | |
| Xylene | 310 | 45.7 | | | | | | |
| Total Lead | - | - | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene (carcinogen) (does <u>not</u> include 1-methyl | 2.45 | 0.0735 | | | | | | |

Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **4** | P a g e

| and 2-methyl naphthalene) | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) | 4,700* | 140 |
| APH [EC5-8 Aliphatics] | 90,000 | 2,700 |
| APH [EC9-12 Aliphatics] | 4,700 | 140 |
| APH [EC9-10 Aromatics] | 6,000 | 180 |
| 4 7 1 1 | | |

* Based on the current attenuation factor of 0.03.

2. POCs:

The proposed CULs must be met at the following POCs:

Soil-Direct Contact: For CULs based on human exposure via direct contact, the standard POC is: "*…throughout the site from the ground surface to fifteen feet below the ground surface.*" This is in compliance with WAC 173-340-740(6)(d) and represents a reasonable estimate of the depth of soil that could be excavated and distributed at the soil surface as a result of Site development activities.

Groundwater: For groundwater, the standard POC as established under WAC 173-340-720(8) is: "...throughout the site from the uppermost level of the saturated zone extending vertically to the lowest most depth which could potentially be affected by the site."

Air: CULs need to be attained in the ambient air throughout the Site, including indoor air within the lateral and vertical inclusion zone (WAC 173-340-750[6]).

Analysis of the Cleanup

PLIA has concluded that **no further remedial action** is necessary at the Site. Our conclusion is based on the following analysis:

1. History and Characterization of the Site

PLIA has determined your characterization of the Site <u>was</u> sufficient to establish cleanup standards and select a cleanup action. The Site is described in the documents cited above and shown in Figures 1, 2, and 3.

Conceptual Site Model (Exposure Pathways)

A conceptual site model is a description of how contamination at the Site can potentially come into contact with, and impact, a human or other ecological receptor. Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **5** | P a g e

i. Soil Direct Contact:

• Petroleum contaminated soil (PCS) at the Site was reportedly associated with a former 1,000-gallon underground storage tank which was located on the northwestern portion of the Property beginning in 1949 (Figure 2). PCS was detected above MTCA Method A CULs in the form of TPH-o and benzene within the depths (0' to 15' below ground surface [bgs]) that humans are most likely to come into contact (Table 1).

Result: The direct contact exposure pathway existed at this Site.

This means that PCS was in a place underground where it was likely that a human may come into contact with it when working (e.g., digging for a buried utility line).

ii. Groundwater:

• A total of four groundwater monitoring wells were installed at the Site. Groundwater flow direction was determined to be generally eastsoutheast. No COCs were detected above MTCA Method A CULs in four groundwater monitoring wells installed to assess groundwater conditions at the Site (Figure 2).

Result: The soil to groundwater exposure pathway existed at this Site. This means that PCS may come into contact with, and leach Site COCs into, groundwater that may be used for drinking water purposes.

iii. Air (Soil or Groundwater to Vapor):

• A warehouse building footprint is within the lateral inclusion zone (30') and vertical separation distance (15') of historical petroleum related detections above MTCA Method A CULs (Figure 2).

<u>Result: The air exposure pathway existed at this Site.</u> This means that petroleum contamination underground may give off harmful vapors that could enter nearby commercial or residential structures.

iv. Surface Water:

• The nearest surface water is the Duwamish Waterway which is located approximately 1000' west of this Site.

Result: The surface water exposure pathway did not exist at this Site. At this time, data does not suggest that surface water may be at risk Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **6** | P a g e

for being impacted. This means that petroleum contamination has not spread to surface water.

Selection of Cleanup Action:

The conceptual site model (Section 1: i-iv above) details which exposure pathways existed prior to conducting cleanup activities at the Site. Cleanup actions performed at the Site must adequately address all known exposure pathways of concern in order to satisfy the substantive requirements of MTCA. Cleanup actions taken, along with their effect on any known exposure pathways, are described in Section 2.

2. Cleanup of the Site:

PLIA has determined that the cleanup action(s) you performed meet(s) cleanup standards established for the Site. The following cleanup actions have been completed at the Site:

- i. Soil:
 - Excavation and disposal of 61.3 tons of PCS during an interim action excavation in February 2020.
 - Confirmation soil sampling was performed to determine if all PCS above MTCA Method A CULs had been removed from the Site (Table 2).

Result: The data indicate there is no longer an unacceptable risk of exposure from the soil direct contact exposure pathway at the Site. The remedial action(s) removed the potential for soil with concentrations of petroleum above CULs to come into contact with humans or ecological receptors.

ii. Groundwater:

• The soil remedial action, subsequent confirmation soil sampling, and the installation and sampling of four groundwater monitoring wells served to close the soil leaching to groundwater exposure pathway. The groundwater data from Site monitoring wells indicates that all contaminants of concern are below CULs at the Site.

Result: The data indicate there is no longer an unacceptable risk of exposure from the groundwater exposure pathway at this Site. The remedial action removed the potential for PCS above the CULs to come into contact with, and leach into, groundwater at the Site. Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **7** | P a g e

iii. Air (Soil or Groundwater to Vapor):

• Because soil and groundwater have been remediated to below MTCA Method A CULs at the Site, the soil or groundwater to vapor exposure pathway is considered to be closed.

Result: The data indicate there is no longer an unacceptable risk of exposure from the soil or groundwater to vapor exposure pathway at this Site. The remedial action removed the potential for contaminated soil or groundwater to give off harmful vapors that could enter nearby commercial or residential structures.

Limitations of the Opinion

1. Opinion does not settle liability with the state.

Under the MTCA, liable persons are strictly liable, jointly and severally, for all remedial action costs and for all natural resource damages resulting from the release(s) of hazardous substances at the Site. This opinion **does not:**

- Change the boundaries of the Site.
- Resolve or alter a person's liability to the state.
- Protect liable persons from contribution claims by third parties.

To settle liability with the state and obtain protection from contribution claims, a person must enter into a consent decree with the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Ecology under RCW 70A.305.040(4).

2. Opinion does not constitute a determination of substantial equivalence.

To recover remedial action costs from other liable persons under the MTCA, one must demonstrate that the action is the substantial equivalent of an Ecology-conducted or Ecology-supervised action. This opinion does not determine whether the action you performed is equivalent. Courts make that determination (RCW 70A.305.080 and WAC 173-340-545).

3. State is immune from liability.

The state, PLIA, and its officers and employees are immune from all liability, and no cause of action of any nature may arise from any act or omission in providing this opinion.

Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **8** | P a g e

Termination of Agreement

Thank you for choosing to cleanup your Site under the PLIA Technical Assistance Program. This opinion terminates Project No. PNW183.

Contact Information

Thank you for choosing to clean up your Site in coordination with the PLIA Technical Assistance Program (TAP). If you have any questions about this opinion, please contact us by phone at 1-800-822-3905, or by email at pliamail@plia.wa.gov.

Sincerely,



Justin Woerth, L.G. Site Manager





Ulysses Cooley Jr., L.HG., L.G Hydrogeologist

Enclosure A: Figure 1: Vicinity Map Figure 2: Soil Excavation Map Figure 3: Groundwater Monitoring Network Map Table 1: Soil Data Table 2: Groundwater Data

cc: Mr. Branislav Jurista, Farallon Consulting (by email) Ms. Kristin Evered, PLIA (by email) Ms. Carrie Pederson, PLIA (by email) Mr. Tyler Betz, PLIA (by email) Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **9** | P a g e

Enclosure A:

3420 E Marginal Way South Site TAP Project No. PNW183

Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **10** | P a g e



Figure 1: Vicinity Map

Source: Request for Opinion Letter on Confirmational Groundwater Monitoring, Farallon Consulting, 2021.

Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **11** | P a g e



Figure 2: Soil Excavation Map

Source: Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study Report, Farallon Consulting, 2020.

Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **12** | P a g e



Figure 3: Groundwater Monitoring Network Map

Source: Request for Opinion Letter on Confirmational Groundwater Monitoring, Farallon Consulting, 2021.

Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **13** | P a g e

Table 1: Soil Data

| Table 1 |
|--------------------------------|
| Soil Sample Analytical Results |
| 3420 East Marginal Way South |
| Seattle, Washington |
| Farallon PN: 2222-002 |

| | | | | | | Analytical Results (milligrams per kilogram) | | | | | | | | Analytical Results (percent) | Klozur CR Consumption/Soil Oxidant Demand (grams persulfate per kilogram dry soil) | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------|--|
| | | | Sample Depth | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Location | Sampled By | Sample Identification | (feet) ¹ | Sample Date | GR0 ² | Benzene ³ | Toluene ³ | Ethylbenzene ³ | Xylenes ³ | DRO ⁴ | ORO4 | Total cPAH TEC [#] | Zinc ⁴ | Total Organic Carbon ⁷ | 48 Hours" | 168 hours* | |
| FB-02 | Farallon | FB-02-0.5-032719 | 0.5 | 3/27/2019 | < 5.1 | 0.089 | 0.045 | Borings 0.0020 | 0.026 | < 300 | 10,000 | 0.16 | | | | | |
| | Farallon | FB-02-5.0-032719 | 5.0 | 3/27/2019 | | < 0.00097 | | | | | 300 | 0.0059 | | | | | |
| FB-03 FB-04 | Farallon | FB-03-8.0-032719 | 8.0 | 3/27/2019 | < 5.7 | < 0.00097 | 0.011 | < 0.00097 | < 0.0029 | < 29 | < 58 | < 0.0058 | | | | | |
| FB-04 FB-05 | Farallon | FB-04-7.0-032719 FB-05-0.5-032719 | 7.0 | 3/27/2019 3/27/2019 | <63 | < 0.0010 < 0.00092 | 0.0067 | < 0.0010 | < 0.0031 < 0.0027 | < 30 < 27 | < 61 360 | < 0.0061 0.0059 | | | | | |
| 10-00 | Farallon | FB-05-0.5-032719 FB-14-14.5 | 14.5 | 3/2//2019 | \$ 3.2 | < 0.00092 | 0.0098 | \$0.00092 | ×0.0027 | S 27 | 360 | <0.0059 | | 0.452 B.C | | | |
| FB-14 | Farallon | FB-14-15.1 | 15.1 | 3/9/2020 | | | | | | | | 0.21 | | | | | |
| | Farallon | FB-14-20.0 | 20.0 | 3/9/2020 | | | | | | | | 0.025 | | | | | |
| FB-15 | Farallon | FB-15-15.1 | 15.1 | 3/9/2020 | | | | | | | | < 0.0062 | | | | | |
| FB-16 | Farallon | FB-16-15.1 | 15.1 | 3/9/2020 | | | | | | | | 0.021 | | | | | |
| FB-18 | Farallon | FB-18-14.5 | 14.5 | 3/9/2020 | | | | | | | | < 0.0069 | | 0.214 B/C | | | |
| | Farallon | FB-18-20.0 | 20.0 | 3/9/2020 | | | | | | | | < 0.0063 | | | | | |
| FB-19 | Farallon | FB-19-17.4 MW1-6.0 | 17.4 | 3/9/2020 2/13/2020 | < 8.9 | < 0.020 | < 0.089 | < 0.089 | | < 34 | < 68 | < 0.0062 < 0.0069 | 28 | | | | |
| MW-1 | Faralion | MW1-6.0 MW1-15.0 | 15.0 | 2/13/2020 | \$8.9 | < 0.020 | < 0.089 | < 0.089 | | \$ 34 | × 68 | < 0.0069 | 28 | | | | |
| MW-2 | Faralion | MW1-15.0 MW2-3.5-DISP | 3.5 | 2/13/2020 | < 8.0 | < 0.020 | < 0.080 | < 0.080 | < 0.16 | < 33 | < 67 | < 0.0067 | | | | | |
| | Farallon | MW3-4.5 | 4.5 | 2/13/2020 | <6.5 | < 0.020 | < 0.065 | < 0.065 | < 0.13 | 170 N | 970 | 0.037 | | | | | |
| MW-3 | Farallon | MW3-7.5 | 7.5 | 2/13/2020 | | | | | | | | < 0.0063 | | | | | |
| | Farallon | MW3-15.0 | 15.0 | 2/13/2020 | < 7.7 | < 0.020 | < 0.077 | < 0.077 | < 0.154 | < 32 | < 64 | 3.0 | | | | | |
| MW-4 | Farallon | MW4-13.0 | 13.0 | 2/13/2020 | < 6.8 | < 0.020 | < 0.068 | < 0.068 | < 0.136 | < 30 | < 59 | < 0.0060 | | | | | |
| | Farallon | MW4-20.0 | 20.0 | 2/13/2020 | <6.6 | < 0.020 | < 0.066 | < 0.066 | < 0.132 | < 33 | < 66 | < 0.0066 | | | | | |
| | AEI | SB-1-0.5 | 0.5 | 9/26/2019 | | 0.000509 J | 0.00437 J | 0.000938 J | 0.00550 J | < 4.16 | 3.95 J | 0.004 | | | | | |
| SB-1 | AEI | SB-1-3.0 SB-1-7.0 | 3.0 | 9/26/2019 | | 0.00123 J | 0.00383 J | < 0.00360 0.000943 J | < 0.00936 | < 5.76 | < 14.4 | | | | | | |
| | AEI | SB-1-7.0 SB-2-0.5 | 0.5 | 9/26/2019 9/26/2019 | | 0.00140 J 0.0069 | 0.00637 J 0.00782 | 0.000943 J | < 0.00909 | < 4.91 8.75 | < 12.3 79.5 | 0.033 | | | | | |
| SB-2 | AEI | SB-2-0.5 SB-2-3.0 | 3.0 | 9/26/2019 | | 0.00494 | 0.00827 | 0.00200 J | < 0.00965 | 19.6 | 121 | 0.055 | | | | | |
| | AEI | SB-3-0.5 | 0.5 | 9/26/2019 | | 0.00185 | 0.00757 | 0.000847 J | 0.00813 | < 4.29 | < 10.7 | | | | | | |
| SB-3 | AEI | SB-3-3.0 | 3.0 | 9/26/2019 | | 0.00212 | 0.00556 J | < 0.00347 | < 0.00664 | 11.5 | 29.1 | | | | | | |
| \$8-4 | AEI | SB-4-0.5 | 0.5 | 9/26/2019 | | 0.104 | 0.0482 | 0.00268 | 0.0252 | < 4.26 | 4.99 J | 0.022 | | | | | |
| 00-4 | AEI | SB-4-3.0 | 3.0 | 9/26/2019 | | 0.00402 | 0.0112 | 0.00693 | 0.0126 | 1.94 J | 8.68 J | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Excavation Conf | firmation | | | | | | | | |
| EX1-1-NE | Farallon | EX1-1-NE-1.0-SW | 1.0 | 2/6/2020 | <7.6 | < 0.020 | < 0.076 | < 0.076 | < 0.152 | < 31 | < 61 | < 0.0062 | | | | | |
| EX1-2-NW | Farallon | EX1-2-NW-1.0-SW | 1.0 | 2/6/2020 | < 6.7 | < 0.020 | < 0.067 | < 0.067 | < 0.134 | < 28 | < 56 | < 0.0056 | | | | | |
| EX-1-3-NW | Farallon | EX1-3-NW-2.0-B | 2.0 | 2/6/2020 | < 5.9 | < 0.020 | < 0.059 | < 0.059 | < 0.118 | < 28 | < 55 | < 0.0056 | | | | | |
| EX-1-4-SE EX1-5-E | Farallon | EX1-4-SE-1.0-SW | 1.0 | 2/6/2020 | < 5.7 | < 0.020 | < 0.057 | < 0.057 | < 0.114 | < 27 | < 54 | < 0.0054 | | | | | |
| EX1-5-E EX1-6-W | Faralion | EX1-5-E-2.0-B EX1-6-W-1.0-SW | 2.0 | 2/6/2020 2/6/2020 | < 5.7 < 6.6 | < 0.020 | < 0.057 | < 0.057 | < 0.114 < 0.132 | < 27 < 28 | < 54 < 55 | < 0.0054 < 0.0056 | | | | | |
| EXI-7-SW | Faralion | EX1-5-W-1.0-SW EX1-7-SW-1.0-SW | 1.0 | 2/6/2020 | < 5.8 | < 0.020 | < 0.058 | < 0.058 | < 0.116 | < 28 | < 55 | < 0.0056 | | | | | |
| EX1-7-5W | Faralion | EX1-8-S-1.0-SW | 1.0 | 2/6/2020 | < 5.3 | < 0.020 | < 0.053 | < 0.053 | < 0.106 | < 26 | < 53 | 0.0057 | | | | | |
| EX1-9-SW | Farallon | EX1-9-SW-2.0-B | 2.0 | 2/6/2020 | < 5.6 | < 0.020 | < 0.056 | < 0.056 | < 0.112 | < 26 | < 53 | < 0.0054 | | | | | |
| MTCA Method A | Cleanup Levels for | | | | 30/10010 | 0.03 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 0.1 | 24,00011 | NE | NA | NA | |
| | | | | | | | | Composite Sa | mples | | | | | | | | |
| FB-14 / FB-18 / FB-15 | Farallon | Composite of: FB-14-14.5 FB-18-14.5 | 14.5 to 15.1 | 3/9/2020 | | | | | | | | | | | 6.39 | 10.25 | |
| | | FB-18-14.5 FB-15-15.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| < denotes analyte not date — denotes sample not ans ¹ Depth in fast below gross ³ Analyted by Northwest 1 ³ Analyted by U.S. Enviro ⁴ Analyted by Northwest 1 | ctual at or exceeding the slyped. ad surface. Method NWTPH-On: exceeding Protection Ages Mathod NWTPH-Da: exceeding Protection Ages d 6000D. | contractions eccenting applicable d laboratory reporting limit listed. wy Mathod 102110 or 1260% wy (IPA) Mathod 12705/5304. | iamų irveis. | | | | C = value is not with sta cPAIIs = carcinogenic po DRO = total petroleum ly Familion = Familion Cross GRO = 1791 as gasoline- J = peak is an estimate | | bosa I-range organica | | | | | | | | |
| Washington State Model Table 740-1 of Section 90 | Tosics Control Act Cla 30 of Chapter 173-340 o | erup Regulation (MTCA) Method / fthe Washington Administrative C enzene is detected, and 100 millign | ode, as revised 2013, unles | a otherwise noted. | | | ORO = TPH as oil-range TDC = tosic equivalent of | organics momentation | | | | | | | | | |

tricted Land

Source: Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Report, Farallon Consulting, 2020.

Mr. Mark Benezra March 29, 2022 **14** | P a g e

Table 2: Groundwater Data

| Lable 2 | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Groundwater Sample Analytical Results | |
| 3420 East Marginal Way South | |
| Seattle, Washington | |
| Farallon PN: 2222-002 | |
| | |

....

| | | | | Analytical Results (micrograms per liter) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Sample Location | Sampled By | Sample Date | Sample Identification | GR0 ¹ | Benzene ² | Toluene ² | Ethylbenzene ² | Xylenes ² | DRO ³ | ORO3 | Total cPAHs TEC ^{4,5} | Zinc ⁶ | |
| | | | | | Monitoring We | Groundwater S | amples | | | | | | |
| | | 2/18/2020 | MW-1-021820 | < 100 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 2.0 | < 210 | < 210 | < 0.0072 | < 25 | |
| | | 12/29/2020 | MW-1-20201229 | < 100 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 2.0 | 330 | < 220 | < 0.0074 | | |
| MW-1 | Farallon | 4/9/2021 | MW-1-040921 | < 100 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 2.0 | < 200 | < 200 | < 0.0075 | | |
| | | 7/6/2021 | MW-1-070621 | < 100 | < 0.20 | < 1.0 | < 0.20 | < 0.60 | < 210 | 270 | < 0.0075 | | |
| | | 10/4/2021 | MW-1-100421 | < 100 | < 0.20 | < 1.0 | < 0.20 | < 0.60 | < 200 | < 200 | < 0.0072 | | |
| | Farallon | 2/18/2020 | MW-2-021820 | < 100 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 2.0 | < 210 | < 210 | < 0.0076 | | |
| | | 12/29/2020 | MW-2-20201229 | < 100 | < 1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | < 2.0 | < 210 | < 210 | < 0.0072 | | |
| MW-2 | | 4/9/2021 | MW-2-040921 | <100 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 2.0 | < 200 | < 200 | < 0.0076 | | |
| | | 7/6/2021 | MW-2-070621 | <100 | < 0.20 | < 1.0 | < 0.20 | < 0.60 | < 210 | 220 | < 0.0076 | | |
| | | 10/4/2021 | MW-2-100421 | <100 | < 0.20 | < 1.0 | < 0.20 | < 0.60 | < 200 | < 200 | < 0.0072 | | |
| | | 2/18/2020 | MW-3-021820 | < 100 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | < 2.0 | < 210 | < 210 | < 0.0072 | | |
| | | 12/29/2020 | MW-3-20201229 | | | | | | < 210 | < 210 | < 0.0072 | | |
| MW-3 | Farallon | 4/9/2021 | MW-3-040921 | | | | | | < 210 | < 210 | < 0.0076 | | |
| | | 7/6/2021 | MW-3-070621 | | | | | | < 210 | < 210 | < 0.0075 | | |
| | | 10/4/2021 | MW-3-100421 | < 100 | < 0.20 | < 1.0 | < 0.20 | < 0.60 | < 200 | < 200 | < 0.0072 | | |
| MW-4 | Farallon | 2/18/2020 | MW-4-021820 | < 100 | < 1.0 | < 1.0 | <1.0 | < 2.0 | < 210 | < 210 | < 0.0072 | | |
| ITCA Method A C | leanun Level for | Groundwater? | | 800/1.000 ⁸ | 5 | 1.000 | 700 | 1.000 | 500 | 500 | 0.1 | 4,800° | |

NOTES

denotes analyte not detected at or exceeding the reporting limit listed.
 - denotes sample not analyzed.
 'Analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx.
 'Analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx.

³Analyzed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8021B, 8260B, or 8260D. ⁴Analyzed by EPA Method 8270E/SIM. ¹Total carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons derived using the total toxicity equivalency method in Section 708(8) of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code.

⁶ Changled DF EPA Agency Method 200.8.
 ⁷Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, as amended 2013, unless otherwise noted.
 ⁸Cleanup level is 800 micrograms per liter if benzene is detected, and 1,000 micrograms per liter if benzene is not detected.
 ⁸Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations, Standard Method B Values for Groundwater, https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Contamination-clean-up-tools/CLARC

2222 Buffalo Industries/2222002 Buffalo NW/Working Folder/Tables/2222-002_Tbis 1-3_2021-10-18

Source: Request for Opinion Letter on Confirmational Groundwater Monitoring, Farallon Consulting, 2021.

AEI = AEI Consultants cPAHs = carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons DRO = total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as diesel-range organics

Farallon = Farallon Consulting, L.L.C. GRO = TPH as gasoline-range organics J = result is an estimate ORO = TPH as oil-range organics TEC = toxic equivalent concentrati tration

1 of 1