

Seattle Art Museum TCP HR

**RECEIVED**  
DEC 15 1999  
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE  
Ecology Division

**RECEIVED**  
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

DEC 07 1999

DEPARTMENT OF  
JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING**

STATE OF WASHINGTON,  
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE SEATTLE ART MUSEUM,

Defendant.

NO. **99-2-50226-4 SEA**

PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER  
CONSENT DECREE RE: THE  
FORMER UNOCAL SEATTLE  
MARKETING TERMINAL  
PROPERTY, SEATTLE,  
WASHINGTON

**EXP01**

1



1			
2	I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
3	II.	AUTHORITY, JURISDICTION AND VENUE .....	3
4	III.	PARTIES BOUND .....	4
5	IV.	DEFINITIONS .....	4
6	V.	STATEMENT OF FACTS .....	5
7	VI.	DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED PROJECT .....	9
8	VII.	WORK TO BE PERFORMED, SCHEDULE AND LAND USE RESTRICTIONS	10
9			
10	VIII.	ECOLOGY COSTS .....	13
11	IX.	DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS .....	13
12	X.	PERFORMANCE .....	14
13	XI.	CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT .....	15
14	XII.	TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY .....	15
15	XIII.	AMENDMENT TO CONSENT DECREE .....	15
16	XIV.	DISPUTE RESOLUTION .....	16
17	XV.	CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION .....	17
18	XVI.	COVENANT NOT TO SUE UNDER MTCA; REOPENERS .....	17
19	XVII.	DEFENDANT'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS .....	18
20	XVIII.	DISCLAIMER .....	18
21	XIX.	RETENTION OF RECORDS AND 5-YEAR REVIEW .....	19
22	XX.	SITE ACCESS .....	19
23	XXI.	OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS .....	20
24	XXII.	SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY .....	22
25	XXIII.	PROGRESS REPORTS .....	22
26			

1	XXIV	EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE .....	23
2	XXV	ENDANGERMENT .....	24
3	XXVI	IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION .....	25
4	XXVII	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION .....	26
5	XXVIII	DURATION OF DECREE AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION; CERTIFICATIONS BY ECOLOGY .....	27
6			
7	XXIX	PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT .....	28
8	XXX	INDEMNIFICATION .....	28
9	XXXI	CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE .....	28
10	XXXII	EFFECTIVE DATE .....	29
11			
12	EXHIBITS		
13	Exhibit A:	Site Diagram and Legal Description	
14	Exhibit B:	Draft Cleanup Action Plan	
15	Exhibit C:	Conceptual Land Use Model	
16	Exhibit D:	Draft Restrictive Covenants	
17	Exhibit E:	Schedule	
18			
19	Exhibit F:	Draft Air Sampling/Monitoring and Contingency Plan	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This prospective purchaser consent decree ("Decree") is made and entered into by and between the Washington State Department of Ecology ("Ecology") and the Seattle Art Museum ("SAM" or "Defendant").

1. WHEREAS, the purpose of this Decree is to resolve the potential liability of Defendant for known and suspected contamination at the former Unocal Seattle Marketing Terminal property (the "Site") arising from a release or threatened release of hazardous substances, to promote the public interest by expediting cleanup activities at the Site and to facilitate the cleanup and redevelopment of contaminated industrial properties in Seattle, Washington.

2. WHEREAS, a Site Diagram and Legal Description are attached as Exhibit A and a Conceptual Land Use Model for the proposed park is attached as Exhibit C.

3. WHEREAS, the Trust for Public Land has entered into a contract to acquire the Site with Union Oil Company of California ("Unocal").

4. WHEREAS, the Trust for Public Land intends to assign its right to purchase the Site to Defendant.

5. WHEREAS, Defendant has proposed to participate in the cleanup of the Site and redevelop the Site into a sculpture park consistent with applicable City of Seattle zoning provisions and comprehensive plan designations.

6. WHEREAS, in the absence of this Decree, at the time they acquire the Site, Defendant would incur potential liability under RCW 70.105D 040(1)(a) of the Model Toxics Control Act ("MTCA") for performing remedial actions, or for paying remedial costs incurred by Ecology, resulting from past releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Site, and Defendant has certified that it is not otherwise currently liable under MTCA for remedial action at the Site.

1           7.       WHEREAS, Defendant has developed a Cleanup Action Plan ("CAP") to address  
2 residual soil and groundwater contamination in the Upper and Lower Yards and Elliott Avenue  
3 on the Site.

4           8.       WHEREAS, this Decree promotes the public interest by expediting cleanup  
5 activities at the Site consistent with MTCA, Chapter 70.105D RCW and its implementing rules  
6 in Chapter 173-340 WAC.

7           9.       WHEREAS, Defendant shall perform the remediation specified in this Decree and  
8 the CAP, attached as Exhibit B, in exchange for a covenant not to sue and protection from  
9 contribution actions under MTCA.

10          10.       WHEREAS, Defendant's plans for the redevelopment of the Site, as shown on  
11 Exhibit C, are not likely to contribute to contamination at the Site, interfere with remedial actions  
12 that may be needed on the Site, or increase human health risks to persons at or in the vicinity of  
13 the Site.

14          11.       WHEREAS, this Decree will provide a substantial public benefit by promoting  
15 redevelopment of a former industrial site and yielding substantial new resources to facilitate  
16 cleanup to prevent migration of contaminants to Elliott Bay and other areas of the Site.

17          12.       WHEREAS, Defendant's remedial actions will lead to a more expeditious cleanup  
18 of hazardous substances at the Site than would otherwise occur, and will promote protection of  
19 the public health and the environment.

20          13.       WHEREAS, the Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this  
21 Decree. An answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in  
22 this case. However, the parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's Complaint. In  
23 addition, the parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in  
24 the public interest and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these  
25 matters.

1           14.     WHEREAS, the Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and  
2 good cause having been shown:

3                     IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

4                             **II.     AUTHORITY, JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5           15.     This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the parties pursuant to  
6 MTCA, RCW 70.105D. Venue is proper in King County pursuant to RCW 70.105D.050(5)(b).

7           16.     Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by  
8 RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) and RCW 70.105D.040(5) to enter into a settlement with persons not  
9 currently liable for remedial actions at a facility who propose to purchase property if, after public  
10 notice, Ecology finds the proposed settlement will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of  
11 hazardous substances in compliance with cleanup standards under RCW 70.105D.030(2)(e). In  
12 addition, the Attorney General may agree to the settlement if the settlement will yield substantial  
13 new resources to facilitate cleanup and expedite remedial action consistent with rules adopted  
14 under MTCA, and Ecology finds that the redevelopment or reuse of the property is not likely to  
15 contribute to the existing release or threatened release, interfere with remedial actions that may  
16 be needed at the site, or increase health risks to persons at or in the vicinity of the site. RCW  
17 70.105D.040(4)(b) require that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court  
18 of competent jurisdiction.

19           17.     Ecology has determined that hazardous substances have been released at the Site.  
20 Ecology has not made a determination that Defendant is a PLP for the Site and Defendant has  
21 certified that it is not currently liable under RCW 70.105D. Were Defendant to acquire an  
22 interest in the Site, however, it could become a PLP as an owner or operator under RCW  
23 70.105D.040(1)(a). This Decree is entered prior to Defendant's acquisition of the Site to resolve  
24 its potential liability for known or suspected Site contamination described in reports prepared by  
25 Unocal, the Trust for Public Land and Defendant and their consultants and in the CAP and to

26

1 facilitate a more expeditious cleanup of the Site than otherwise would occur. This Decree is  
2 entered into pursuant to the authority set forth in RCW 70.105D.040(5).

3 18 By entering into this Decree, Defendant agrees not to challenge Ecology's  
4 jurisdiction in any proceeding to enforce this Decree. Defendant consents to the issuance of this  
5 Decree and agrees to perform the remedial actions as specified in this Decree.

6 19 All Exhibits attached to this Decree are integral and enforceable parts of this  
7 Decree.

### 8 III. PARTIES BOUND

9 20 This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the signatories to this Decree.  
10 The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to  
11 enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with this Decree.  
12 Defendant agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree and  
13 not to contest state jurisdiction regarding this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate  
14 status shall alter the responsibility of Defendant under this Decree. Defendant shall provide a  
15 copy of this decree to all agents, contractors and subcontractors retained to perform work  
16 required by this Decree and shall ensure that all work undertaken by such contractors and  
17 subcontractors will be in compliance with this Decree.

18 21 Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040(4)(e)(ii), Ecology has determined that this Decree  
19 is based on the unique financial circumstances of and the unique redevelopment proposed by the  
20 Defendant. The stay of enforcement against successors in interest in RCW 70.105D.040(4)(e)  
21 therefore does not apply to this Decree.

### 22 IV. DEFINITIONS

23 22 Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Decree that are  
24 defined in MTCA, Chapter 70.105D RCW, or in regulations promulgated under MTCA, Chapter  
25 173-340 WAC, shall have the meaning assigned to them in MTCA or in such regulations  
26

1 Whenever terms listed below are used in this Decree or in the attachments hereto, the following  
2 definitions shall apply:

3 "Decree" shall mean this Decree and all attachments hereto. In the event of conflict  
4 between this Decree and any exhibit, this Decree shall control.

5 "Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Decree identified by an Arabic numeral.

6 "Section" shall mean a portion of this Decree identified by a Roman numeral and  
7 including one or more Paragraphs.

8 "Site" shall mean the former Unocal Seattle Marketing Terminal depicted on and legally  
9 described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, exclusive of  
10 any tidelands west of the Off-site Area. The Site consists of four areas: Upper Yard; Elliott  
11 Avenue; Lower Yard; and Off-site Area (including Alaskan Way and BNRR right-of-way). The  
12 Site is a "facility" as defined in MICA per RCW 70.105D.020(4).

13 "Successors in Interest and Assigns" shall mean any person who acquires an interest in  
14 the Site through purchase, lease, transfer, assignment, or otherwise.

#### 15 V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

16 23. The Site is located east of Elliott Bay near Pier 70 in downtown Seattle,  
17 Washington, and consists of the following four areas:

- 18 • Upper Yard;
- 19 • Elliott Avenue;
- 20 • Lower Yard; and
- 21 • Off-Site Area (including Alaskan Way and BNRR right-of-way).

22  
23 It is bordered by Western Avenue on the east, Bay Street on the north, and Broad Street and a  
24 private property on the south. The western boundary is Elliott Bay. A Site Diagram is attached  
25 as Exhibit A.

26

1           24     The Site was used by Unocal for fuel transfer and distribution from the early  
2 1900s to 1975. Unocal continued to occupy an office building at the intersection of Western  
3 Avenue and Broad Street until December 1986.

4           25.     The former Unocal terminal facility contained numerous above-grade product  
5 storage tanks, above- and below-grade product pipelines, loading racks, and a tanker loading  
6 dock (Pier 71). Unocal stored or used a variety of petroleum products at the Site including  
7 gasoline (leaded and unleaded), petroleum-based solvents, diesel fuel, lubricating (lube) oil,  
8 motor oils, and grease. Since 1988, Unocal has demolished the tanker loading dock and removed  
9 the structures on the Upper and Lower Yards. The pipeline tunnel beneath Elliott Avenue still  
10 remains, and abandoned underground fuel lines are still present beneath Alaskan Way and the  
11 BNRD right-of-way.

12           26.     Numerous environmental investigations have been conducted at the Site by  
13 Unocal. Elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons have been encountered in soil and  
14 groundwater within the four compliance areas identified by Ecology (including the Upper and  
15 Lower Yards, Elliott Avenue, and the Off-Site Area.)

16           27.     In 1988, Unocal entered into an Order on Consent with Ecology to remediate  
17 petroleum-containing soils and groundwater at the Site. The Order identified cleanup targets for  
18 the four compliance areas at the site (see Tables 1 and 2 in the Order). Ecology amended the  
19 Order four times over the years. As part of Amendment No. 4, Remediation Action Levels  
20 (RALs) were established for Lower Yard soil and groundwater. Soils in the Lower Yard with  
21 constituent concentrations exceeding the cleanup targets but below RALs could remain on the  
22 Site under a concrete cap foundation proposed by real estate developers planning to buy the site  
23 pending appropriate deed restrictions. Amendment No. 4 also required Unocal to treat  
24 groundwater discharging from the Lower Yard if constituent concentrations exceed the RALs.

25           28.     Pursuant to the Order, Unocal conducted extensive remedial actions at the Site  
26 Unocal removed approximately 57,000 tons of petroleum-contaminated soil from the Upper

1 Yard. In general, petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in soils remaining in the Upper Yard do  
2 not statistically exceed the 200 mg/kg cleanup target for residential land use. However,  
3 approximately 110 cubic yards of soils exceeding the Order's petroleum cleanup target levels  
4 still remain along the northwestern boundary with Elliott Avenue. Unocal was unable to  
5 excavate these soils because of their depth (approximately 16 to 26 feet below ground surface)  
6 and proximity to the shoring wall adjacent to Elliott Avenue. Post excavation groundwater  
7 compliance monitoring results within the northwestern portion of the Upper Yard periodically  
8 exceed petroleum cleanup target levels and contain product sheen.

9       29. At the Lower Yard, Unocal excavated approximately 60,000 tons of soil  
10 exceeding the petroleum RAL and removed and treated a large volume of petroleum-containing  
11 groundwater. The upper 95 percent confidence limit of the mean for TPH concentrations  
12 remaining in Lower Yard soils (approximately 1,300 mg/kg) is above the cleanup target level of  
13 200 mg/kg but is well below the 7,500 mg/kg RAL. The average carcinogenic polycyclic  
14 aromatic hydrocarbon (CPAH) concentration remaining in the Lower Yard is 0.6 mg/kg. Post  
15 excavation groundwater compliance monitoring results in the northern portion of the Lower  
16 Yard, particularly in the northwestern corner, periodically exceed cleanup target levels and  
17 contain product sheen.

18       30. Unocal has performed interim remedial actions within the Off-Site Area including  
19 pumping and treating groundwater and in-situ bioremediation using an infiltration gallery to treat  
20 soil. A final remedy has not yet been selected for the Off-Site Area. Additional site  
21 characterization conducted in the Off-Site Area showed the presence of elevated petroleum  
22 hydrocarbons in the soil, primarily within the saturated zone (which includes the smear zone and  
23 capillary fringe). Petroleum-contaminated soils and groundwater, including free product, are  
24 present within the Alaskan Way corridor and beneath the BNRR railroad tracks. Groundwater  
25 quality in the portion of the Off-Site Area adjacent to the former pipeline corridor continues to  
26

1 exceed cleanup target levels. Groundwater pumping and treatment is currently in progress in the  
2 Off-Site Area.

3 31. Interim remedial actions were also performed within the northern portion of the  
4 Elliott Avenue Area. These include in-situ bioremediation using an infiltration gallery to treat  
5 soil. Unocal has conducted a supplemental site characterization in the Elliott Avenue Area since  
6 the interim actions, and monitoring results showed the presence of elevated petroleum  
7 hydrocarbons in the soil and groundwater. Free product recovery is still being performed by  
8 Unocal at wells MW-30 and MW-59 located adjacent to the pipeline tunnel. Small quantities  
9 (less than one liter) of free product have been removed from these wells via hand bailing,  
10 because other treatment methods used (e.g., pump and treat) were found not to be effective.  
11 Groundwater in the vicinity of the pipeline tunnel still exceeds cleanup target levels.

12 32. The Trust for Public Land and Defendant have performed additional investigation  
13 of the Site, pursuant to MTCA, to further define the nature and extent of residual contamination  
14 that remains on the Site. The findings of this investigation are summarized in the Focused  
15 Supplemental Site Characterization report prepared by Hart Crowser, dated September 30, 1999.  
16 Based on the site characterization data obtained by this study as well as by Unocal,  
17 environmental conditions at the site include:

18 A. Inaccessible petroleum-contaminated soil wedged in the northwest portion of the  
19 Upper Yard at depths of 16 to 26 feet below ground surface. Petroleum-contaminated  
20 groundwater and intermittent product sheen have also been encountered at this location;

21 B. Petroleum-contaminated soils with TPH concentrations above MTCA Methods A  
22 or B cleanup levels beneath the northern portion of Elliott Avenue. Petroleum-contaminated  
23 groundwater and free product of a viscous nature have also been encountered in this area;

24 C. Petroleum-contaminated soils with TPH concentrations above MTCA Methods A  
25 or B cleanup levels wedged at the eastern portion of the Lower Yard along Elliott Avenue and  
26 the northern portion of the Lower Yard along Bay Street;

1 D. Petroleum-contaminated soil with TPH concentrations above MTCA Methods A  
2 or B cleanup levels beneath the Off-Site Area. Petroleum-contaminated groundwater and free  
3 product consisting of gasoline- and diesel-range hydrocarbons have also been encountered in the  
4 northern portion of the Off-Site Area;

5 E. Hydrocarbon vapors exceeding MTCA Method B air cleanup levels have been  
6 encountered in subsurface soils within the western portion of the Upper Yard, the northern  
7 portion of Elliott Avenue, the Lower Yard, and the northern portion of the Off-Site Area.  
8 Computer modeling results predicted that hydrocarbon vapor emissions would not impact the  
9 ambient air quality above MTCA Method B Air Cleanup Levels for the Site. However, the  
10 model predicted potential hydrocarbon vapor emission impacts to the indoor air quality above  
11 MTCA Method B Air Cleanup Levels at the southern portion of the Lower Yard and the western  
12 portion of the Upper Yard.

13 33. Given the environmental conditions described above, a Supplemental Focused  
14 Feasibility Study was prepared by Hart Crowser dated September 30, 1999, which analyzed  
15 remedial alternatives and identified appropriate cleanup standards as required pursuant to  
16 MTCA. Based on the results of the Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study, Defendant  
17 proposed and Ecology approved a final remedy as outlined in the WORK TO BE PERFORMED,  
18 Section VII, below and as described more fully in the attached CAP, Schedule and Air  
19 Sampling/Monitoring and Contingency Plan attached as Exhibits B, E and F.

20 **VI. DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED PROJECT**

21 34. Defendant intends to acquire the Site.

22 35. Defendant plans to create a public sculpture park at the Site. The park will  
23 include sculptures, pedestrian trails, and landscaped open space. The Upper Yard will also  
24 contain an underground parking lot. An exhibition building and accessory structures (e.g.,  
25 restrooms, espresso stands) may be placed on the Upper and/or Lower Yards. The Off-Site Area  
26 will consist of a shoreline promenade, an extension of the Myrtle Edwards Park waterfront bike

1 trail, landscaped open space, possibly paved parking areas and small slab-on-grade structures.  
2 Pedestrian bridges will connect the three areas. A detailed outline of the proposed park is  
3 contained in the attached Site Map and Conceptual Land Use Model attached as Exhibits A and  
4 C.

5 36. Ecology has not picked a final remedy in the Off-site Area and there is an ongoing  
6 interim remedial action in the Off-site Area. Defendant shall cooperate with Ecology and any  
7 PLPs in the continued remediation of the Off-site Area, including on-going groundwater  
8 remediation by Unocal pursuant to the Order, and take any action reasonably necessary to  
9 facilitate cleanup and monitoring of the Off-site Area. Defendant shall maintain the existing cap  
10 in the Off-site Area and if that cap is altered during site development, it shall be replaced with a  
11 low permeability cap as described in the CAP. If the final remedy requires the filing of a  
12 restrictive covenant in the Off-site Area, Defendant shall file a restrictive covenant approved by  
13 Ecology and shall use best efforts to obtain restrictive covenants from the City of Seattle and  
14 Burlington Northern Railroad for the Off-site Area, when Ecology notifies the Defendant that it  
15 has picked a final remedy there.

16 **VII. WORK TO BE PERFORMED, SCHEDULE**  
17 **AND LAND USE RESTRICTIONS**

18 37 This Decree contains a program designed to protect public health, welfare, and the  
19 environment from the known, suspected, or threatened release of hazardous substances or  
20 contaminants at, on, or from the Site. The requirements of such program are described in detail in  
21 this section of the Decree and in the Cleanup Action Plan (Exhibit B) and in the schedule set  
22 forth in Exhibit E.

23 38. Defendant agrees to perform the remedial actions herein and as described in the  
24 CAP and Schedule to eliminate direct contact, vapor exposure pathways and other exposure  
25 pathways to known or suspected hazardous substances at or from the Site (including the Upper  
26 Yard, Elliott Avenue, and Lower Yard), minimize surface water infiltration to enhance ongoing

1 groundwater remediation activities, and protect human health and the environment from the  
2 release or threatened release of known or suspected hazardous substances at or from the Site.

3 39. Defendant shall perform remedial actions in the attached CAP and the Draft Air  
4 Sampling/Monitoring and Contingency Plan pursuant to the Schedule attached at Exhibit E.  
5 Defendant, through its contractor(s) and subcontractor(s) as necessary, shall accomplish the  
6 following tasks:

- 7 a) Task 1: Implement the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP), Exhibit B.
- 8 i. Implement the short-term air sampling/monitoring program. as  
9 outlined in Exhibit F.
- 10 ii. Implement the long-term air sampling/monitoring plan program. as  
11 outlined in Exhibit F.
- 12 iii. Implement Ambient Air Contingency Plan, as outlined in  
13 Exhibit F, if necessary.
- 14 iv. Cap Northern Portions of the Upper Yard with Low Permeability  
15 Material; Use Engineering Controls to address Indoor Air Impacts,  
16 if necessary; Install Ambient Air Monitoring Station, if necessary.
- 17 v. Cap Lower Upper Yard with Low Permeability Material; Use  
18 Engineering Controls to address Indoor Air Impacts, if necessary;  
19 Install Ambient Air Monitoring Station, if necessary.
- 20 vi. Cap the Off-site Area with Low Permeability Material, if existing  
21 Cap if Removed; Install Ambient Air Monitoring Station, if  
22 necessary.
- 23 vii. Implement institutional controls and security system at the Site.
- 24 viii. Implement Restrictive Covenants as contained in Exhibit D.
- 25 b) Task 2: Provide for public participation.
- 26 c) Task 3: Provide Remedial Design
- d) Task 4: Implement the Compliance Monitoring Program as outlined in  
Exhibit F, which also includes:
- i. Protection Monitoring.

1                   ii.       Performance Monitoring.

2                   iii.       Confirmation Monitoring.

3                   e)       Task 5: Implement Schedule attached hereto as Exhibit E.

4 Defendant agrees not to perform any remedial actions on the Site that are inconsistent with the  
5 remedial actions required under this Consent Decree.

6           40.       Defendant shall obtain any and all state, federal, or local permits required by  
7 applicable law before commencing the remedial action at the Site, except as provided in  
8 Section XXI. Defendant shall prepare a Site Safety and Health Plan in accordance with WAC  
9 173-340-810 and the most recent OSHA, WISHA, Ecology, and EPA guidance and applicable  
10 regulations, for Ecology review. Defendant shall also provide a security system at the Site  
11 designed to prevent entry by unauthorized persons during construction. Following public  
12 opening of the site, use and access shall be managed at all hours of the day and night.

13           41.       Defendant shall be prohibited from using the Site in a manner likely to cause or  
14 contribute to the existing release, interfering with remedial actions performed or that may be  
15 needed at the Site, or increasing health risks to persons or risks to the environment at or in the  
16 vicinity of the Site. Defendant agrees to ensure that its Successors in Interest and Assigns are  
17 prohibited from using the Site in a manner likely to cause or contribute to the existing release,  
18 interfering with remedial actions that are performed or may be needed at the Site, or increasing  
19 health risks to persons or risks to the environment at or in the vicinity of the Site..

20           42.       Defendant shall file the Restrictive Covenants attached at Exhibit D for the Upper  
21 Yard, Elliott Avenue and Lower Yard no later than February 1, 2000. Any other restrictive  
22 covenant required in the future shall be filed pursuant to the terms of this Decree. If Defendant  
23 must implement the contingency plan outlined in Exhibit F based on the results of ambient air  
24 monitoring, Ecology may require and Defendant shall file revised Restrictive Covenants to  
25 address these ambient air issues. With Ecology's prior written approval, and after completion of  
26 the remedial action required by this Decree, Defendant, or its Successors in Interest and Assigns

1 may record an instrument that provides that the Restrictive Covenants provided in Exhibit D and  
2 those to be filed in the future shall no longer limit uses of the Site or be of any further force of  
3 effect.

#### 4 VIII. ECOLOGY COSTS

5 43. Defendant agrees to pay past costs from August 10, 1999 to present and future  
6 costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree. These costs shall include work performed by  
7 Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the Site under Chapter 70-105D RCW, for investigations,  
8 remedial actions, oversight and administration associated with this Decree (including preparation  
9 and negotiation of this Decree). Ecology costs shall include costs of direct activities and support  
10 costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). Defendant agrees to pay the  
11 required amount within ninety (90) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of  
12 costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the  
13 amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work  
14 performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements and costs shall be prepared  
15 quarterly. Failure to pay Ecology costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized  
16 statement will result in interest charges as allowed by law. Defendant reserves the right to  
17 review and approve any charges prior to payment. Any dispute regarding remedial and  
18 investigation costs for the Site shall be subject to dispute resolution pursuant to Section XIV.  
19 Defendant reserves the right to pay the undisputed portion of an invoice and not pay the disputed  
20 portion.

#### 21 IX. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

22 44. The project coordinator for Ecology is:

23 Nnamdi Madakor  
24 Toxics Cleanup Program  
25 Department of Ecology  
26 Northwest Regional Office  
3190 160<sup>th</sup> Avenue Southeast  
Bellevue, WA 98008

1 Telephone: (425) 649-7000

2 The project coordinator for Defendant is:

3 Chris Rogers  
4 Seattle Art Museum  
5 P.O. Box 22000  
6 Seattle, WA 98122  
7 Telephone: (206) 654-3221

8 45. Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation  
9 of this Decree. The Ecology project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative at  
10 the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and Defendant and  
11 all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities  
12 performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the  
13 project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working-level staff  
14 contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the Work to be Performed and attached  
15 Cleanup Action Plan. The project coordinators may agree to minor modifications to the work to  
16 be performed without formal amendments to this Decree.

17 46. Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification  
18 shall be given to the other parties at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

## 19 X. PERFORMANCE

20 47. All work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction and  
21 supervision, as necessary, of a professional engineer or hydrogeologist, or equivalent, with  
22 experience and expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and cleanup. Any construction  
23 work must be under the supervision of a professional engineer. Defendant shall notify Ecology  
24 in writing as to the identity of such engineer(s) or hydrogeologist(s), or others and of any  
25 contractors and subcontractors to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of  
26 their involvement at the Site.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**XI. CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT**

48. Defendant represents and certifies that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, it has fully and accurately disclosed to Ecology the information currently in their possession or control that relates to the environmental conditions at and in the vicinity of the Site, or to Defendant's right and title thereto

49 Defendant represents and certifies that it did not cause or contribute to a release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site and is not otherwise potentially liable under RCW 70 105D 040(1), except by becoming an owner of the Site.

**XII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY**

50. Prior to any voluntary or involuntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Site, Defendant shall provide for continued compliance with all of the conditions of this Decree. Prior to transfer of any legal or equitable interest in all or any portion of the Site during the effective period of this Decree, Defendant shall provide a copy of this Decree to any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in interest of the Site; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Defendant shall notify Ecology of said contemplated transfer.

51. Defendant shall ensure that any future use of the Site is restricted such that activities that would contribute to the existing release or threatened release, interfere with remedial actions at the Site, or increase health risks to persons or risks to the environment at or in the vicinity of the Site are prohibited.

52. Defendant shall also ensure that all future Successors in Interest and Assigns in the Site will provide for compliance with all of the conditions of this Decree.

**XIII. AMENDMENT TO CONSENT DECREE**

53. This Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation among the parties to this Decree that is thereafter entered and approved by order of the Court. Such amendment shall

1 become effective upon entry by the Court or upon a later date if such date is expressly stated in  
2 the parties' written stipulation or the Court so orders.

3 54. Amendments may cover any subject or be for any purpose agreed to by the parties  
4 to this Decree. If Ecology determines that the subject of an amendment requires public input,  
5 Ecology shall provide thirty (30) days public notice prior to seeking entry of the amendment  
6 from the Court.

#### 7 XIV. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

8 55. In the event a dispute arises as to an approval, disapproval, proposed  
9 modification, or other decision or action by Ecology's project coordinator, the parties shall use  
10 the dispute resolution procedure set forth below.

- 11 a) Upon receipt of the Ecology project coordinator's decision, Defendant has  
12 fourteen (14) days to notify Ecology's project coordinator of any objection  
13 to the decision.
- 14 b) The parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve  
15 the dispute. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within  
16 fourteen (14) days of Defendant's objection, Ecology's project coordinator  
17 shall issue a written decision.
- 18 c) Defendant may then request Ecology management review of the decision.  
19 This request shall be submitted in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program  
20 Manager within seven (7) days of receipt of Ecology's project  
21 coordinator's written decision.
- 22 d) Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Manager shall conduct a review of the  
23 dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute within  
24 thirty (30) days of Defendant's request for review. The Toxics Cleanup  
25 Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the  
26 disputed matter.

23 56. If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to Defendant, Defendant shall  
24 have the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The parties agree that one judge  
25 should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under  
26

1 this Decree. In the event Defendant presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall  
2 review the action or decision of Ecology under an arbitrary and capricious standard of review.

3 57. The parties agree to use the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to  
4 expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. When either  
5 party uses the dispute resolution in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek  
6 sanctions.

7 58. The implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a  
8 basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a  
9 schedule extension or the Court so orders.

#### 10 **XV. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION**

11 59. With regard to claims for contribution against Defendant for matters addressed in  
12 this Decree, Ecology agrees that Defendant is entitled to protection from contribution actions or  
13 claims as is provided by MTCA, RCW 70.105D.040, or as otherwise provided by law

#### 14 **XVI. COVENANT NOT TO SUE UNDER MTCA; REOPENERS**

15 60. In consideration of compliance by Defendant with the terms and conditions of this  
16 Decree, Ecology agrees that compliance with this Decree shall stand in lieu of any and all  
17 administrative, legal, and equitable remedies and enforcement actions available to Ecology  
18 against Defendant for the release or threatened release of known or suspected hazardous  
19 substances at the Site covered by the terms of this Decree. Ecology covenants not to sue  
20 Defendant, its Successors in Interest and Assigns for matters covered by the terms of this Decree,  
21 provided that Defendant, or its Successors in Interest and Assigns have substantially complied  
22 with this Decree.

23 A. Reopeners: In the following circumstances the State of Washington may  
24 exercise its full legal authority to address releases of hazardous substances at the Site  
25 notwithstanding the Covenant Not to Sue set forth above:  
26

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

1. In the event Defendant fails to comply with the terms and conditions of this Decree, including all attachments, and, after written notice of noncompliance, fails to come into compliance.
2. In the event new information becomes available regarding factors previously unknown to Ecology, and Ecology determines, in light of this information, that further remedial action is necessary at the Site to protect human health or the environment.
3. In the event the remedial action conducted at the Site fails to meet the requirements set forth in Section VII of this Decree and the attached Cleanup Action Plan.
4. In the event the Site is used for any activities that contribute to the existing release or threatened release, interfere with remedial actions that may be needed at the Site, or increase health risks to persons at or in the vicinity of the Site.

B. Applicability The Covenant Not To Sue set forth above shall have no applicability whatsoever to:

1. Criminal liability;
2. Any Ecology action against PLPs not party to this Decree; and
3. Any claims by the State for Natural Resources Damages.

**XVII. DEFENDANT'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

61. Defendant reserves all rights and defenses which it may have and which are not otherwise addressed in the Decree.

62. Except as provided herein for Defendant, this Decree does not grant any rights or affect any liabilities of any person, firm or corporation or subdivision or division of state, federal, or local government.

**XVIII. DISCLAIMER**


63. This Decree does not constitute a representation by Ecology that the Site is fit for any particular purpose.



1 pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by the Defendant. All  
2 parties with access to the Site pursuant to this Paragraph shall comply with approved health and  
3 safety plans.

4 67. Notwithstanding any provision of this Decree, Ecology retains all of its access  
5 authorities and access rights, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under MTC A and  
6 any other applicable state statute, regulation or order. Nothing in this Decree shall limit any right  
7 of access Ecology may have concerning releases of hazardous substances not addressed by this  
8 Decree. Ecology's entry onto the Site for purposes of monitoring or enforcing compliance with  
9 this Decree or implementing further remediation at the Site may interfere with Defendant's full  
10 use of the Site. The right of entry granted in this Section is in addition to any right Ecology may  
11 have to enter onto the Site pursuant to specific statutory or regulatory authority. Defendant  
12 further agrees to cooperate with Ecology and other PLPs as reasonable necessary to facilitate any  
13 further cleanup or monitoring Ecology determines is required on the Site. Consistent with  
14 Ecology's responsibilities under state and federal law, Ecology, and any persons acting for it,  
15 shall use reasonable efforts to minimize any interference and shall use reasonable efforts not to  
16 interfere with the operations of Defendant by any such entry. In the event Ecology enters the  
17 Site for reasons other than emergency response, Ecology agrees that it shall provide reasonable  
18 advance notice to Defendant of any planned entry, as well as schedules and locations of activity  
19 on the Site. Ecology further agrees to accommodate reasonable requests that it modifies its  
20 scheduled entry or activities at the Site.

## 21 XXI. OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS

22 68 All actions carried out by Defendant pursuant to this Decree shall be done in  
23 accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including applicable  
24 permitting requirements. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(1), the known and applicable  
25 substantive requirements of Chapters 70.94, 70.95, 70.105, 75.20, 90.48, and 90.58 RCW, and  
26 any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals for remedial action. 

1 have been included in the CAP and are incorporated by reference herein as binding and  
2 enforceable requirements in this Decree.

3         69. Defendant has a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or  
4 approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial  
5 action under this Decree. In the event either Defendant or Ecology determines that additional  
6 permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the  
7 remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of this determination.  
8 Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or Defendant shall be responsible to contact the  
9 appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, Defendant shall promptly consult  
10 with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation  
11 from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the  
12 remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on whether the additional  
13 substantive requirements must be met by Defendant and on how Defendant must meet those  
14 requirements. Ecology shall inform Defendant in writing of these requirements and Defendant  
15 shall have an opportunity to comment on such requirements. Once established by Ecology, the  
16 additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. Defendant shall not  
17 begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until  
18 Ecology makes its final determination.

19         70. Ecology shall ensure that notice and opportunity for comment is provided to the  
20 public and appropriate agencies prior to establishing the substantive requirements under this  
21 section.

22         71. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(2), in the event that Ecology determines that the  
23 exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW  
24 70.105D.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency necessary for the state  
25 to administer any federal law, such exemption shall not apply and Defendant shall comply with  
26

1 both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW  
2 70.105D 090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits.

### 3 **XXII. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY**

4 72. With respect to the implementation of this Decree, Defendant shall make the  
5 results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it, or on its behalf  
6 available to Ecology and shall submit these results in accordance with Section XXIII of this  
7 Decree.

8 73. If requested by Ecology, Defendant shall allow split or duplicate samples to be  
9 taken by Ecology and/or Ecology's authorized representatives of any samples collected by  
10 Defendant pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Defendant shall notify Ecology at  
11 least seven (7) working days in advance of any sample collection or work activity at the Site.  
12 Ecology shall, upon request, allow split or duplicate samples to be taken, at Defendant's sole  
13 expense, by Defendant, or its authorized representatives, of any samples collected by Ecology  
14 pursuant to the implementation of this Decree, provided it does not unreasonably interfere with  
15 the Department's sampling. Without limiting Ecology's rights under Section XX, Ecology shall  
16 endeavor to notify Defendant at least five (5) working days prior to any sampling collection  
17 activity.

### 18 **XXIII. PROGRESS REPORTS**

19 74. Defendant shall submit to Ecology written progress reports that describe the  
20 actions taken to implement the requirements of this Decree. The progress report shall be  
21 prepared as set forth in the following schedule:

22 \*Quarterly during remedial design activities; and

23 \*Monthly during remedial action construction phase activities.

24 The progress reports shall contain the following:

25 A. A list of on-Site activities that have taken place during the reporting  
26 period;

1 B. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise  
2 documented in project plans or amendment requests;

3 C. Description of all deviations from the schedule during the current  
4 reporting period and any planned deviations in the upcoming reporting period;

5 D. For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and  
6 maintaining compliance with the schedule;

7 E. All data (including laboratory analyses) which, after the QA/QC program  
8 has been performed, have been received by Defendant during the past reporting period and an  
9 identification of the source of the samples; and

10 F. A list of deliverables for the upcoming reporting period if different from  
11 the schedule.

12 75. All progress reports shall be submitted by the tenth day of the month following  
13 each reporting period after the effective date of this Decree. Unless otherwise specified, progress  
14 reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by certified mail,  
15 return receipt requested, to Ecology's project coordinator.

#### 16 XXIV. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

17 76. An extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is  
18 submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the  
19 deadline for which the extension is requested, and when good cause exists for granting the  
20 extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify the reason(s)  
21 the extension is needed.

22 77. An extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines  
23 is reasonable under the circumstances. A requested extension shall not be effective until  
24 approved by Ecology. Ecology shall act upon any written request for extension in a timely  
25 fashion. It shall not be necessary to formally amend this Decree pursuant to Section XIII when a  
26 schedule extension is granted.



1 respect to the work under this Decree that is ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the  
2 time periods for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work  
3 dependent upon the work that is stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XXIV of this  
4 Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

5 83. In the event Defendant determines that activities undertaken in furtherance of this  
6 Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating an endangerment to the people on the  
7 Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Defendant may stop implementation of this  
8 Decree for such period of time necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine  
9 whether Defendant should proceed with implementation of the Decree or whether the work  
10 stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. Defendant shall notify Ecology's  
11 project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such  
12 stoppage of work, and thereafter provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the work  
13 stoppage. If Ecology disagrees with Defendant's determination, it may order Defendant to  
14 resume implementation of this Decree. If Ecology concurs with the work stoppage, Defendant's  
15 obligations shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the  
16 time period for any other work dependent upon the work that was stopped, shall be extended,  
17 pursuant to Section XXIV of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is  
18 reasonable under the circumstances.

#### 19 **XXVI. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION .**

20 84. If Ecology determines that Defendant has failed without good cause to implement  
21 the remedial action described herein and in the CAP, Ecology may, after notice to Defendant,  
22 perform any or all portions of the remedial action that remain incomplete. If Ecology performs  
23 all or portions of the remedial action because of Defendant's failure to comply with the  
24 obligations under this Decree, Defendant shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such  
25 work, provided that Defendant shall not be obligated under this Section to reimburse Ecology for  
26 costs incurred for work inconsistent with or beyond the scope of this Decree.

1 **XXVII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

2 85. Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site.  
3 However, Defendant shall cooperate with Ecology with respect to the following public  
4 participation activities:

5 A. Prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the  
6 remedial action, such as the submission of work plans and engineering design reports. Ecology  
7 will finalize (including editing if necessary) and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and  
8 distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings;

9 B. Each party shall notify the other party's project coordinator prior to the  
10 preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and at least one week before major meetings with  
11 the interested public and local governments regarding the remediation of the Site. Likewise,  
12 Ecology shall notify Defendant prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and  
13 before major meetings with the interested public and local governments;

14 C. Participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action  
15 the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering  
16 questions, or as a presenter;

17 D. In cooperation with Ecology, arrange and/or continue information  
18 repositories to be located at the following locations:

19 Seattle Public Library  
20 Downtown Branch  
21 Government Documents  
22 1000 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
23 Seattle, Washington

24 Department of Ecology  
25 Northwest Regional Office  
26 3190 160<sup>th</sup> Avenue Southeast  
Bellevue, Washington 98008

Seattle Art Museum Library

1 100 University St., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
2 Seattle, Washington

3 At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and press releases, all quality assured  
4 monitoring data, remedial action plans, supplemental remedial planning documents, and all other  
5 similar documents relating to performance of the remedial action required by this Decree shall be  
6 promptly placed in these repositories.

7 **XXVIII. DURATION OF DECREE AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION;  
8 CERTIFICATIONS BY ECOLOGY**

8 86. This Decree shall remain in effect and this Court shall retain jurisdiction over both  
9 the subject matter of this Decree and the parties for the duration of the performance of the terms  
10 and provisions of this Decree for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to apply to the Court  
11 consistent with the dispute resolution process set forth in Section XIV, and the amendment  
12 process set forth in Section XIII, for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary  
13 or appropriate to ensure that obligations of the parties have been satisfied. The Decree shall  
14 remain in effect until Defendant has received written notification from Ecology that the  
15 requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. Ecology shall provide such  
16 written notification or notice of any deficiencies in the completion of the requirements of this  
17 Decree within one hundred and eighty (180) days of receiving notice from Defendant that the  
18 requirements of the Decree have been satisfied. Within sixty (60) days of Defendant's written  
19 notice that any noted deficiencies have been corrected, Ecology shall provide written notification  
20 that the requirements of the Decree have been satisfied or notice of any deficiencies that still  
21 remain. The provisions set forth in Section XV (Contribution Protection), Section XVI  
22 (Covenant Not to Sue Under MICA; Reopeners), Section XXX (Indemnification), and other  
23 such continuing or reserved rights of Defendant, or Ecology under this Decree shall survive the  
24 termination of the Decree pursuant to this paragraph. This Decree shall in no way limit the  
25 authority of Ecology to obtain all legal or equitable remedies available against persons not party  
26

1 to this Decree and against all persons, parties or non-parties, for releases of hazardous substance  
2 at the Site not covered by this Decree.

### 3 **XXIX. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT**


4 87. This Decree has been the subject of public notice and comment under RCW  
5 70.105D.040(4)(a). As a result of this process, Ecology has found that this Decree will lead to a  
6 more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances, in compliance with applicable cleanup  
7 standards, and is in the public interest.

8 88. If the Court withdraws its consent, this Decree shall be null and void at the option  
9 of any party and the accompanying complaint shall be dismissed without costs and without  
10 prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this Decree. This  
11 paragraph shall not create a basis for withdrawal of consent or termination of this Decree other  
12 than those created by the terms of this Decree or that exist by operation of law or equity.

### 13 **XXX. INDEMNIFICATION**

14 89. Defendant agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its  
15 employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries  
16 to persons or for loss or damage to the Site arising from or on account of acts or omissions of  
17 Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this  
18 Decree. However, Defendant shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its  
19 employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of the negligent  
20 acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or employees or agents of the State, or its  
21 contractors in implementing the activities pursuant to this Decree.

### 22 **XXXI. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE**

23 90. Defendant hereby agrees that they will not seek to recover any costs accrued in  
24 implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any of  
25 its agencies and further that Defendant will make no claim against the state toxics control  
26 account or any local toxics control account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree 

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

Except as provided above, however, Defendant expressly reserve their rights to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other PLP.

**XXXII. EFFECTIVE DATE**

91. This Decree is effective only after the date on which title to the Site vests in Defendant and the date on which the Decree is entered by the Court.

So ordered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1999.

DEC 7 - 1999

MADELYN R.S. SELLERS  
COURT COMMISSIONER




JUDGE  
King County Superior Court


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

The undersigned parties enter into this Prospective Purchaser Consent Decree on the d specified below.

THE SEATTLE ART MUSEUM



Brooks C. Ragan, PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD



Lynn Manolopoulos, WSBA #21069

DATED 10/8/99

DATED 12/7/99

CHRISTINE O. GREGOIRE  
Attorney General, by and through

STATE OF WASHINGTON  
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY



LESLIE SEFFERN, WSBA #19808

Assistant Attorney General  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
State of Washington  
Department of Ecology



James Pendowski  
Program Manager  
Toxics Cleanup Program

DATED 12-7-99


DATED 11/9/99

**Exhibit A**  
**Site Diagram and Legal Description**  
**Seattle Art Museum**  
**(Former UNOCAL, Seattle Marketing Property)**  
**Seattle, Washington**

**October 6, 1999**



**Consent Decree NO. 99-2-50226-4 SEA**

# Vicinity Map



# SEATTLE ART MUSEUM

Former Unocal Seattle Terminal  
 Prospective Purchaser Consent Decree  
 Current Site Conditions (Exhibit A)



- EXPLANATION:**
- BOUNDARY OF SITE DEFINED IN ORDER ON CONSENT
  - EVIDENCE OF PETROLEUM IN SOIL BASED ON FIELD SCREENING AND/OR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
  - EVIDENCE OF PETROLEUM IN SOIL BASED ON CHEMICAL ANALYSIS; SOURCE UNDETERMINED
  - SOIL IN COMPLIANCE WITH CLEANUP TARGETS
  - TPH IN SOIL <ACTION LEVEL (7,500 mg/kg) AND >CLEANUP TARGET (200mg/kg)
  - TPH IN SOIL >CLEANUP TARGETS

## EXHIBIT A

### LEGAL DESCRIPTION

#### UPPER YARD:

THAT PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 3 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; OF WILLIAM N. BELL'S SEVENTH ADDITION TO THE CITY OF SEATTLE, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN VOLUME 2 OF PLATS, PAGE 82, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; AND THE VACATED ALLEY THEREIN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER OF BLOCK 4 IN SAID ADDITION; THENCE NORTH 48 DEGREES 54' 05" WEST ALONG THE SOUTHWEST MARGIN OF WESTERN AVENUE 720.68 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST LINE OF THAT PARCEL CONVEYED TO UNION OIL BY DEED FROM IGNATZ STEINHART, DATED DECEMBER 4, 1909;

THENCE SOUTH 41 DEGREES 05' 55" WEST ALONG SAID NORTHWEST LINE 193.88 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST MARGIN OF ELLIOTT AVENUE, AS ESTABLISHED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE ORDINANCE NUMBER 12502;

THENCE SOUTH 42 DEGREES 59' 09" EAST ALONG SAID NORTHEAST MARGIN 603.76 FEET TO THE MOST WESTERLY CORNER OF SAID BLOCK 4;

THENCE SOUTH 48 DEGREES 54' 59" EAST ALONG THE SOUTHWEST LINE THEREOF 120 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF SAID BLOCK 4;

THENCE NORTH 41 DEGREES 07' 49" EAST ALONG THE SOUTHEAST LINE THEREOF 256.08 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

#### LOWER YARD:

THAT PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 3 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; OF BLOCKS 168A AND 168B, SUPPLEMENTAL PLAT OF SEATTLE TIDELANDS AND OF VACATED EAGLE STREET, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE MOST NORTHERLY CORNER OF BLOCK 5, WILLIAM N. BELL'S SEVENTH ADDITION TO THE CITY OF SEATTLE, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN VOLUME 2 OF PLATS, PAGE 82, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON;

THENCE SOUTH 41 DEGREES 07' 49" WEST ALONG THE NORTHWEST LINE THEREOF, 27.58 FEET TO THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER OF LOT 4 IN SAID BLOCK 168B, SEATTLE TIDELANDS;

THENCE SOUTH 48° 54' 59" EAST 120.00 FEET TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID BLOCK FOUR (4) PRODUCED;  
THENCE NORTH 41° 07' 49" EAST 33.00 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID BLOCK FOUR (4);  
THENCE NORTH 48° 54' 59" WEST 120.00 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID BLOCK FOUR (4) TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

EXCEPT THAT PORTION OF THE EAST HALF OF ELLIOTT AVENUE (FORMERLY WATER STREET) AS EXTENDED BY CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS IN KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CAUSE NUMBER 88469, AS PROVIDED IN ORDINANCE NUMBER 2800 OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE LYING SOUTHERLY OF THE SOUTHEASTERLY MARGIN OF BAY STREET (FORMERLY GRANT STREET) PRODUCED SOUTHWESTERLY AND LYING NORTHERLY OF THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF A TRACT OF LAND CONVEYED BY WILLIAM N. BELL AND LUCY G. BELL, HIS WIFE, TO "MATTULLATH" MANUFACTURING COMPANY BY DEED RECORDED IN VOLUME 15 OF DEEDS, PAGE 665, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

OFF-SITE AREA:

THE RIGHT-OF-WAY WEST OF THE LOWER YARD AND EAST OF LOTS 1 THROUGH 10, BLOCK 168, SUPPLEMENTAL PLAT OF SEATTLE TIDELANDS, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON, AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL MAPS ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS AT OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON.

THENCE SOUTH 41 DEGREES 10' 09" WEST ALONG THE SOUTHEAST LINE OF SAID LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF 91.78 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST LINE OF SAID BLOCK 168B; THENCE NORTH 48 DEGREES 49' 51" WEST ALONG SAID SOUTHWEST LINE AND ITS EXTENSION 280.55 FEET TO ITS INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHWEST LINE OF SAID BLOCK 168A, SEATTLE TIDELANDS; THENCE NORTH 41 DEGREES 10' 23" WEST ALONG SAID EXTENSION AND SOUTHWEST LINE 389.58 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST MARGIN OF BAY STREET; THENCE NORTH 41 DEGREES 10' 09" EAST ALONG SAID SOUTHEAST MARGIN 135.36 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST MARGIN OF ELLIOTT AVENUE AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY OF SEATTLE ORDINANCE NUMBER 12502; THENCE SOUTH 43 DEGREES 00' 51" EAST ALONG SAID SOUTHWEST MARGIN 670.08 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

ELLIOTT AVENUE:


THAT PORTION OF ELLIOTT AVENUE AS ESTABLISHED BY CITY OF SEATTLE ORDINANCE NO. 12502 AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF BLOCK FOUR (4), WILLIAM N. BELLS SEVENTH ADDITION TO THE CITY OF SEATTLE, AS FOUND RECORDED IN VOLUME 2 OF PLATS, PAGE 82, RECORDS OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; THENCE NORTH 42° 59' 09" WEST ALONG THE EASTERLY MARGIN OF ELLIOTT AVENUE A DISTANCE OF 670.08 FEET TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTHERLY MARGIN OF BAY STREET WITH THE EASTERLY MARGIN OF ELLIOTT AVENUE ON THE NORTH PRODUCED SOUTH; THENCE SOUTH 41° 10' 09" WEST ALONG THE SOUTHERLY MARGIN OF BAY STREET PRODUCED A DISTANCE OF 66.33 FEET TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE WESTERLY MARGIN OF ELLIOTT AVENUE ON THE NORTH PRODUCED SOUTH; THENCE SOUTH 43° 00' 51" EAST ALONG THE WESTERLY MARGIN OF ELLIOTT AVENUE A DISTANCE OF 670.08 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF BLOCK FIVE (5), WILLIAM N. BELL'S SEVENTH ADDITION TO THE CITY OF SEATTLE; THENCE NORTH 41° 07' 49" EAST 66.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

AND ALSO THAT PORTION OF THE EAST HALF OF ELLIOTT AVENUE (FORMERLY WATER STREET) ADJACENT TO BLOCK FOUR (4) WILLIAM N. BELL'S SEVENTH ADDITION AS FOUND RECORDED IN VOLUME 2 OF PLATS, PAGE 82, RECORDS OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF BLOCK FOUR (4), WILLIAM N. BELL'S SEVENTH ADDITION TO THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOUND RECORDED IN VOLUME 2 OF PLATS, PAGE 82, RECORDS OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; THENCE SOUTH 41° 07' 49" WEST ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID BLOCK FOUR (4) PRODUCED A DISTANCE OF 33.00 FEET;

# Site Diagram



Benchmark: City of Seattle Datum  
 Note: The locations of all features shown are approximate  
 Reference: Drawing entitled 'Unocal/Seattle Marketing Terminal,'  
 provided by Unocal, and GeoEngineers undoted.