



## INITIAL INVESTIGATION FIELD REPORT

LUST ID: 1981

FS ID: 64254993

Site ID: 1198

SITE NAME Coca Cola Bottling Co

### SITE LOCATION INFORMATION

Contact Person Name	Title	Phone Number		
<i>Mailing Address</i>				
2101 Woburn St	Bellingham	98226		
<i>Site Location</i>				
2101 Woburn St	Bellingham			
<i>Quarter-Quarter</i>		<i>Section</i>	<i>Township</i>	<i>Range</i>
<i>Latitude:</i>	<i>Degree</i>	<i>Minute</i>	<i>Second</i>	
<i>Longitude:</i>	<i>Degree</i>	<i>Minute</i>	<i>Second</i>	

### INSPECTION INFORMATION

Inspection Date	Inspection Time	Type of Entry Notice																	
<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;"><i>Photographs</i></td> <td style="width: 33%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 33%;">No</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Videotape</i></td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Samples</i></td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table>	<i>Photographs</i>	Yes	No	<i>Videotape</i>	Yes	No	<i>Samples</i>	Yes	No	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><i>Weather:</i></td> <td style="width: 50%;">Clear</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Precipitation</i></td> <td>Temperature</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Wind Direction</i></td> <td>Wind Speed</td> </tr> </table>	<i>Weather:</i>	Clear	<i>Precipitation</i>	Temperature	<i>Wind Direction</i>	Wind Speed	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Partly Cloudy</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Overcast</td> </tr> </table>	Partly Cloudy	Overcast
<i>Photographs</i>	Yes	No																	
<i>Videotape</i>	Yes	No																	
<i>Samples</i>	Yes	No																	
<i>Weather:</i>	Clear																		
<i>Precipitation</i>	Temperature																		
<i>Wind Direction</i>	Wind Speed																		
Partly Cloudy	Overcast																		

### RECOMMENDATION

No Further Action:

No. Soil & GW contamination remain on site.

*Release or threatened release does not pose a threat*

*Site Hazard Assessment*

*No release or threatened release*

*Interim Action*

*Educational Mailing*

*Emergency Action Plan*

*Refer to another program/agency*

*Independent Cleanup Action*

*In Progress*

*Completed*

### CONTAMINANT(S) (See Page 3 for details)

<i>Soil</i>	Yes	
<i>Groundwater</i>	Yes	Gas, BTEX, diesel

### DEPARTMENT REVIEW

<i>Investigator</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>Approved by</i>	
<i>Unit Supervisor</i> <i>RBC</i>	<i>Date</i> <i>6/2/11</i>
<i>Section Manager</i>	<i>Date</i>

### COMMENTS

One UST was removed from this site in 1990. It had contained both gas & diesel fuels at some point. GW was encountered during this excavation. The GW had a black oily sheen & approx 40 gallons was pumped into a 55-gallon plastic drum. Two sidewall samples (TPH-d @ 1,100 ppm & 2,700 ppm, TPH-g @ 200 ppm) & 1 bottom sample were analyzed. In addition, one water sample was taken (TPH-d @ 6,800 ppm and 100 ppm). Approx 20 cy of overexcavated PCS was removed to an off-site landfill where it was allowed to aerate. GW was pumped out of the excavation into 55-gallon drums and removed. The



## INITIAL INVESTIGATION FIELD REPORT

---

LUST ID: 1981

FS ID: 64254993

Site ID: 1198

**SITE NAME** Coca Cola Bottling Co

---

excavated pit was backfilled with clean fill and covered with a concrete pad. This report did not provide any figures or maps. The owner entered the VCP in March 2000. A subsurface investigation was conducted in October 1999, just prior to entering the VCP. According to this report, the PCS was due to a diesel spill in 1989 when approx 100 gallons of diesel fuel was released during the refueling of a company vehicle. The fuel-impacted soil was excavated to a depth of 1-2 feet and removed. Twelve soil borings were advanced in 1999. TPH-d contamination as high as 5,600 ppm was found. A TPH-d conc. of 10,300 ppb was found in the GW samples, along with benzene@ 8.6 ppb & 8.3 ppb. The consultants use human health risk assessments to determine the site is not a risk. Ecology agrees in some cases and disagrees in others. There is a discrepancy between GW sample units between the two reports. This site is not cleaned up. Needs further scrutiny by Ecology.

---