

## INITIAL INVESTIGATION FIELD REPORT

	29		<b>FS ID</b> : 476	52753	Site ID:	101773
SITE NAME	Sudde	en Valley R	esort			
SITE LOCATIO	N INFOR	MATION	·			
Contact Person Name Title				Phone Number		
Mailing Address				City		Zip + 4
2145 Lake Whatcom Blvd				Bellingham		98226
Site Location				Closest City	Co	ounty
2145 Lake Whatcom Blvd				Bellingham		
Quarter-Quarter			Section	Township	Ran	ge
Latitude:		•	Degree	Minute	Second	
Longitude:			Degree	Minute	Sec	ond
INSPECTION I	NFORMA	TION				
Inspection Date				Inspection Time	Type of Entry N	otice
Photographs	Yes	No		Weather: Clear	Partly Cloudy	Overcast
Videotape	Yes	No		Precipitation	Temperature	
Samples	Yes	No		Wind Direction	Wind Speed	
RECOMMEND	ATION					
No Further Action	on:					
No. GW is sti	Il impacted	d adjacent to	a creek. Soil conta	mination remains above	e Method B	
Release or threatened release does not pose a threat				Site Hazard Assessment		
Release or ti	hreatened i	release does	not pose a threat	Site Hazard Assess	sment	
Release or the No release o			not pose a threat	Site Hazard Assess Interim Action	sment	
	r threatene		not pose a threat			
No release o	r threatene Mailing	ed release	not pose a threat	Interim Action	Plan	
No release o Educational	r threatene Mailing	ed release	not pose a threat	Interim Action Emergency Action	Plan up Action	Completed
No release o Educational Refer to ano CONTAMINAN	r threatene Mailing ther progra	ed release		Interim Action Emergency Action Independent Clean	Plan up Action	Completed
No release o Educational Refer to ano CONTAMINAN Soil	r threatene Mailing ther progra IT(S) (S	ed release am/agency	or details)	Interim Action Emergency Action Independent Clean	Plan up Action	Completed
No release o Educational Refer to ano CONTAMINAN Soil Groundwater	r threatene Mailing ther progra IT(S) (S Yes Yes	ed release am/agency See Page 3	or details)	Interim Action Emergency Action Independent Clean In Progre	Plan up Action	Completed
No release o Educational Refer to ano  CONTAMINAN Soil Groundwater DEPARTMEN	r threatene Mailing ther progra IT(S) (S Yes Yes	ed release am/agency See Page 3	or details)	Interim Action Emergency Action Independent Clean In Progre	Plan up Action	Completed
No release o Educational Refer to ano  CONTAMINAN Soil Groundwater DEPARTMENT	r threatene Mailing ther progra IT(S) (S Yes Yes	ed release am/agency See Page 3	or details)	Interim Action Emergency Action Independent Clean In Progre	Plan up Action	Completed
No release o Educational Refer to ano  CONTAMINAN Soil Groundwater DEPARTMENT Investigator Approved by	r threatene Mailing ther progra IT(S) (S Yes Yes	ed release am/agency See Page 3	or details)	Interim Action Emergency Action Independent Clean In Progre diesel, Heating Oil  Date	Plan up Action	Completed

## COMMENTS

Four USTs (gas, heating oil) were removed from this site in 1992. All overexcavated PCS (quantity unknown) was removed to "Area Z" on the property for treatment. All confirmation samples were clean, however proper figures were not included in the report. Also, some samples were analyzed for TPH only, no BTEX, others for BTEX only, no TPH. Tank closure reports are also very unclear and may be missing. Original stockpile contamination levels were never reported. Eight months later, samples were apparently taken from the treatment bed and were below MTCA. Lab reports were not provided, so this



## INITIAL INVESTIGATION FIELD REPORT

**LUST ID: 3929** 

FS ID: 47652753

Site ID: 101773

SITE NAME

Sudden Valley Resort

information cannot be verified. This 'report' also stated that both gas & diesel USTs were removed, however original report says only gas USTs removed. Ecology form says 2 heating oil USTs were also removed, but there is not documentation on this. Even so, Ecology states this site was 'cleaned up' in 1993. Apparently a new release was reported to Ecology in 1999 and was thought to be from a diesel AST in the same area as the previously removed USTs. Eleven shallow test pits were dug in mid-1999 to characterize subsurface contamination. Based on these results (not available in files), the consultant recommended that GW conditions be evaluated. Three MWs were drilled in the area of known contamination. Diesel contamination was found in soil samples from two wells. TPH contamination was found in one GW sample. Remedial excavations began in March 2000. Approx 2500 cy of overexcavated PCS was transferred to the on-site landfarm. Two soil samples were still above MTCA Method B, but one could not be excavated further due to its proximity to a creek. A new GW MW was installed near the creek in 2000. It was been monitored quarterly since July 2000 and GW is still above MTCA A for TPH-d. The last GW monitoring report in the file is from Feb 2002. This site is still not clean and needs to be monitored closely due to its proximity to a creek. GW flow is towards the creek. Soil contamination above Method B remains and GW contamination persists.