WHITMAN Environmental Sciences

6812 16th Avenue NE Seattle, Washington 98115

Phone: (206) 523-3505 Whitenviro@yahoo.com

December 8, 2022

LIT LIND Distribution Center, LLC c/o Clarion Partners 601 S. Figueroa St., Suite 3600 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Attention: Mr. David Witham

Subject: Groundwater Monitoring Summary LIT LIND Distribution Center 3324 Lind Avenue SW Renton, Washington

Dear Mr. Witham:

This letter summarizes the results of groundwater monitoring conducted on November 22nd, 2022 at the above referenced property, in accordance with the requirements of the environmental covenant. Figure 1 shows the location of the property and surrounding area.

For this sampling event, Whitman Environmental Sciences (WES) purged and sampled monitoring well MW-3R. Water level measurements were obtained from wells RW-1, MW-3R, MW-4 and MW-8 prior to any purging. The water level measurements and calculated groundwater elevations are summarized in Table 1.

Monitoring Well ID	Date	Measured Water Level	Top of Casing Elevation	Water Level Elevation
RW-1	9-20-22	-6.32	19.73	13.41
MW-3R	9-20-22	-6.39	20.04	13.65
MW-4	9-20-22	-6.42	20.04	13.62
MW-8	9-20-22	-6.35	19.60	13.25

Table 1 - LIT Lind Distribution Center Water Level Measurements

Notes: Top of casing elevation per site survey by Bush, Roed & Hitchings, Inc., 2019

The inferred contours of the groundwater surface based on these measurements are shown in Figure 2.

Monitoring well MW-3R was purged following low-flow methods in accordance with the EPA guidance manual titled "*Low Stress (Low Flow) Purging and Sampling Procedure for the Collection of Groundwater Samples from Monitoring Wells*", EPA Publication EQASOP-GW4, revised September 19, 2017. A groundwater sample was collected following appropriate environmental sampling procedures, placing the samples in laboratory prepared 40-ml vials with teflon-lined septum lids, which were labeled, chilled and held under chain-of-custody until delivered to the

Groundwater Monitoring Summary LIT LIND Distribution Center Renton, Washington

Page 2

laboratory for analyses. The sample was analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (TPH-G) and the associated volatile organic compounds benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) by Washington State approved laboratory methods. The results of the current laboratory analyses are summarized in Table 2.

Monitoring	Date	Laboratory Analytical Results (ug/l)										
Well ID		TPH-G	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes						
MW-3R	11-22-22	160 1.4 ND (<1)		ND (<1)	4.5	8.8						
MTCA Method Groundwater (Levels	A Cleanup	800 ¹	5	1,000	700	1,000						

Table 2 - LIT LIND Distribution Center Groundwater Sample Analytical Results

Table Notes:

Gasoline range total petroleum hydrocarbons by Northwest Method NWTPH-G.

BTEX Compounds by EPA Method 8021B.

ND (<X.X) - Not Detected by analysis at levels above the noted reporting limit.

¹ Model Toxics Control Act Groundwater Cleanup Level for Gasoline Range Organics if benzene is present. Other criteria apply if no benzene is detected.

Reported concentrations above Model Toxics Control Act Method A Cleanup Levels are shown in **BOLD ITALIC**.

The laboratory analytical results are shown in Figure 2. The laboratory analytical report is attached. The sample meets Washington Model Toxics Control Act Method A cleanup levels for the analyzed parameters. MW-3R is the only well that has contained any detectable concentrations of any analyzed parameter in recent prior monitoring. Time series charts for the concentrations of benzene and TPH-G in monitoring well MW-3R are attached. The current results indicate the contaminant plume is stable or decreasing.

The next planned sampling event will be limited to sampling monitoring well MW-3R, in the 1st Quarter of 2023.

The remaining monitoring wells are in good condition, with no apparent damage. Pavements in the remediation area are in good condition. No further well abandonments or maintenance of the contamination containment systems appear warranted at this time.

Closure

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this letter, or if I may be of any further assistance, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Respectfully submitted, *Whitman Environmental Sciences*

Daniel S. Whitman, LG Principal



Page 3

Attachments:

Figure 1 - Site Location Plan Figure 2 - Inferred Groundwater Contours and Laboratory Analytical Results - 11-22-2022 Laboratory Analytical Report - Friedman & Bruya, Inc. MW-3R Time Series Plots - Benzene & TPH-G

cc: Mr. Mike Warfel Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program via email: mwar461@ecy.wa.gov





ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Vineta Mills, M.S. Eric Young, B.S. 5500 4th Avenue South Seattle, WA 98108 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

December 1, 2022

Dan Whitman, Project Manager Whitman Environmental Sciences 6812 16th Ave NE Seattle, WA 98115

Dear Mr Whitman:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 22, 2022 from the Lit Lind WES -1412, F&BI 211331 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days, or as directed by the Chain of Custody document. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Colo

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures WES1201R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 22, 2022 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Whitman Environmental Sciences Lit Lind WES -1412, F&BI 211331 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	Whitman Environmental Sciences
211331 -01	MW-3R-GW

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/01/22 Date Received: 11/22/22 Project: Lit Lind WES -1412, F&BI 211331 Date Extracted: 11/23/22 Date Analyzed: 11/28/22

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)	

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW-3R-GW 211331-01	1.4	<1	4.5	8.8	160	81
Method Blank 02-2726 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	121

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/01/22 Date Received: 11/22/22 Project: Lit Lind WES -1412, F&BI 211331

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	110	104	70-130	6
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	106	100	70 - 130	6
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	102	70 - 130	2
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	107	107	70-130	0
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	99	110	70-130	11

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Ph. (206) 285-8282 Recei	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Relin	3012 16th Avenue West Recei	Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Relim							•				M1-2P-11	Sample ID		PhoneEmail	City, State, ZIP	Company Contractor) 211331 Report To
ved by:	quished by:	ved by:	quished by:	S										OIA-C	Lab ID			R	Full.	ALL IS
	1			IGNATURE										//-x2	Date Sampled			Talls	Stient	a)
		1 A.L												4:25	Time Sampled		Project :	REMAR	N PROJEC	SAMPL
		- ,									t.			WATER.	Sample Type		specific RLs	KS	ST NAME	ERS (signa
	1	2		PRI										6	# of Jars		s? - Y		A.	ture)
	1410	1 Jel		NT N											NWTPH-Dx		es /		V I	
	-	- 120		AMI								_			NWTPH-Gx		No			
	d	B									_			\succ	BTEX EPA 8021					
	6	•					 	ļ				_			NWTPH-HCID	AI		IN	N 0	
		-							-						VOCs EPA 8260	VAL		VOI		
			Λ									·		- ·	PAHs EPA 8270	YSES		L AO	$\langle \mathcal{N} \rangle$	#
		Tı	Ũ,	0											PCBs EPA 8082	RE		Ć		
Sa a		.v	R	OMF				·					_		 	ANE				
qm			M	ĂŊ												STE			No R	
es				Y													efau	Arcl Oth	RUS ush (
rece					-			-		-			-			1.	ut: L	hive er	charg	age #
Ived at		11/22/22	N.	DATE							-)ispose at	samples	ges author	4 VAROUNI 1 turnarou
	<u></u>														Note		ter .		ized	$\frac{f_{1}}{f_{1}}$
		1702		TIME											σ		30 days	5 E	by:	ME VW



