## LIMITED SUBSURFACE SAMPLING AND TESTING

Kirkland Nissan (Former Vehicle Parking Area) 11932 - 124<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast Kirkland, Washington

SOUND FORD

# **ENVIRONMENTAL** ASSOCIATES, INC.

1380 - 112<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast, Suite 300 Bellevue, Washington 98004 (425) 455-9025 Office (888) 453-5394 Toll Free (425) 455-2316 Fax

February 27, 2020

JN-22175-1

Mr. Rich Snyder Sound Ford 101 Southwest Grady Way Renton, Washington 98055

#### Subject: LIMITED SUBSURFACE SAMPLING AND TESTING Kirkland Nissan (Former Vehicle Parking Area) 11932 - 124<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast Kirkland, Washington

Dear Mr. Snyder:

Environmental Associates, Inc. (EAI) has performed sampling and testing of subsurface soils and groundwater at select localities on the subject property. The purpose of this current work was to attempt to characterize the extent of gasoline impacted soils beneath the eastern portion of the subject site as discovered by Dixon Environmental Services in November 2019. This report, prepared in accordance with the terms of our proposal dated February 6, 2020, summarizes our approach to the project along with results and conclusions.

The contents of this report are confidential and are intended solely for your use and the use of your representatives. No other distribution or discussion of this report will take place without your prior approval in writing.



## Sound Ford February 27, 2020

JN-22175-1 Page - 2

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service on this assignment. If you have any questions or if we may be of additional service, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Respectfully submitted, ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES, INC.

enell

Don W. Spencer, M.Sc., P.G. Principal

- License: 604 License: 11464 License: 876 License: 5195 License: 0327 REPA: 418290
- (Washington) (Oregon) (California) (Illinois) (Mississippi)



# LIMITED SUBSURFACE SAMPLING AND TESTING

Kirkland Nissan (Former Vehicle Parking Area) 11932 - 124<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast Kirkland, Washington

**Prepared for:** 

Sound Ford 101 Southwest Grady Way Renton, Washington 98055

Questions regarding this investigation, the conclusions reached should be addressed to one of the following undersigned.

Eric uern

Environmental Geologist / Project Manager

Don W. Spencer, M.Sc., P.G. Principal

License: 604 License: 11464 License: 876 License: 5195 License: 0327 REPA: 418290 (Washington) (Oregon) (California) (Illinois) (Mississippi)

100 DON W. SPENCER

Reference Job Number: JN 22175-1

February 27, 2020

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION/SCOPE OF WORK	. 5
Site/Project Description	. 5
FINDINGS	6
Subsurface Investigation	. 6
Soil and Groundwater Sampling Procedure	. 6
Subsurface Conditions	. 7
Laboratory Analysis	.7
	. 8
	8
References	8
DIATEC	

## PLATES

Plate	1	-	Vicinity Map
Plate	2	-	Site Plan
Plates	3-10	-	Boring Logs

## TABLES

Table 1 - Petroleum Hydrocarbons & BTEX Soil Sampling ResultsTable 2 - Petroleum Hydrocarbons & BTEX Groundwater Sampling Results

## **APPENDICES**

A: Laboratory Reports

# **INTRODUCTION/SCOPE OF WORK**

#### SITE/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The subject property includes a generally rectangular-shaped parcel covering approximately 3.33 acres of land. Improvements to the property include a single story auto showroom building which was reportedly erected in 1997. Additional improvements include paved parking which covers much of the parcel. The property is currently occupied by Kirkland Nissan. The approximate location of the site is shown on the Vicinity/Topographic Map, Plate 1, appended herewith.

#### Background

Environmental Associates, Inc. (EAI) was recently furnished with a report titled "Phase II Environmental Site Assessment: Subsurface Investigation Report" dated December 12, 2019, prepared by Dixon Environmental Services (Dixon) for the subject property. Dixon's work consisted of subsurface soil sampling and testing at five (5) localities across the central and eastern portion of the on-site parking lot relating to historic vehicle parking in those areas. The results of that work concluded that total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in the boiling range of gasoline were detected at Dixon's locations B-4 and B-5 (eastern portion of the site) at a depth of approximately 3 feet below ground surface (bgs). The gasoline was reportedly confined to a layer approximately 6 to 12 inches thick. Additional testing from those localities at a depth of 7 feet bgs reported no detections of gasoline. No additional contaminants such as diesel/oil TPH or benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, or xylenes (BTEX) were detected in the soil samples except for various metals which were reportedly below their respective MTCA Method A cleanup levels.

Dixon concluded that historic staging of automobiles had resulted in the gasoline impacts to soils and opined that since the contamination is capped with asphalt to prevent direct contact, and that the contamination did not appear to have migrated to a depth where groundwater would be impacted, and that the contamination did not appear to present a threat of vapor encroachment, the gasoline contamination was not an immediate threat to human health or the environment. We (EAI) would note that "perched" groundwater was apparent in borings at the property however no groundwater testing was conducted in Dixon's study. Dixon estimated a lateral area of gasoline impacted soils at 17,500 square feet.

The reader is referred to the above report for further details.

Your expressed interests to conduct further evaluation of subsurface soil and groundwater conditions to assess the extent of gasoline impacts at the subject site as memorialized in EAI's proposal dated February 6, 2020, formed the basis for the following scope of work:

- Drill and sample eight (8) borings along the eastern half of the site in the vicinity of the previously encountered petroleum impacts. Soil samples were obtained from each boring and a log of subsurface conditions encountered was prepared for each boring by the EAI project geologist. Groundwater, as encountered, was sampled within six of the eight borings.
- Laboratory analysis of selected soil and groundwater samples for gasoline range total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as well as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX).
- Preparation of this summary report documenting the methodology and results of the investigation.

# FINDINGS

## SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION

#### **Soil Boring Sampling**

Referring to the attached Site Plan, Plate 2, eight (8) borings were made on February 13, 2020 at the approximate locations noted as EAI B-1 through EAI B-8 along the eastern half of the subject parcel. The borings were extended to depths of approximately ten (10) feet below ground surface (bgs). Recoverable "perched" groundwater was encountered within the temporary borings between approximately 6.5 to 8.5 feet bgs except for EAI B-3 and EAI B-4 where no recoverable groundwater was observed.

## Soil and Groundwater Sampling Procedure

Under the observation of the EAI field geologist, a push probe drill rig was brought into position over the borings locations. Following set-up preparations, the push-probe sampling technique consisted of advancing a plastic lined sampler into the ground. The sampler was then withdrawn and the liner was removed and cut open for examination and transfer of the soil sample to laboratory prepared glassware by EPA Method 5035.

As groundwater was encountered in select borings, after soil sampling within the borings had been completed, a temporary well screen was installed in an attempt to sample the groundwater. Small diameter plastic tubing was extended from a peristaltic pump into each temporary well screen to recover groundwater samples.

#### Sound Ford February 27, 2020

Soil and groundwater samples were transferred directly to sterilized laboratory prepared glassware which were then stored in an iced chest maintained at approximately 4 degrees centigrade at the site and taken to the laboratory in this condition in an effort to preserve sample integrity.

Each sample container was clearly labeled as to boring and sample number/depth, project, etc. EPArecommended sample-management protocol was observed at each stage of the project. During drilling, a field log was made by EAI for each boring. Information recorded versus corresponding depth included soil classification (Unified Soil Classification System), color, texture, relative moisture, odors (if present), etc.

#### Subsurface Conditions

Soils encountered within the borings generally consisted of several feet of grey/brown sand/silt mixtures with occasional wood debris which transition to a denser brown silt between approximately three (3) to four (4) or five (5) feet bgs. Brown sands became prominent below five (5) feet bgs. The sands showed occasional small amounts of silt and/or gravels as well as some grey coloration at deeper depths however such color transition did not appear indicative of staining but rather natural occurrence. Select borings displayed silts approximately ten (10) feet bgs. As mentioned earlier, groundwater was encountered between approximately 6.5 to 8.5 feet bgs in all but two (2) of the borings depending upon locality. While Dixon had noted a "hydrocarbon" odor associated with the gasoline detections found at a depth of three (3) feet bgs in their B-4 and B-5 locations, no petroleum odors were observed in EAI's borings with the exception of a faint petroleum odor at five (5) feet bgs in EAI B-3. Alternatively, many of the boring locations exhibited an odor resembling vegetative decay between approximately 2 to 3 feet bgs.

#### LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Laboratory analysis of soil and groundwater samples was conducted by ESN Northwest (ESN) of Olympia, Washington, a WDOE-accredited analytical laboratory. Select soil and groundwater samples were submitted for analysis of gasoline range total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as well as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX).

As summarized in Table 1 attached to this report, gasoline TPH was reported at a concentration of 12 parts per million (ppm) in soils from boring EAI B-2 at a depth of seven (7) feet bgs. That level is well below (i.e. compliant with) the MTCA Method-A compliance limit established for that analyte. No other detections of gasoline TPH or BTEX were reported in the other soil samples analyzed.

As trace organic/ammonia-like odors were noted in some of the shallow samples analyzed, EAI inquired with the laboratory staff at ESN whether any other contaminants appeared to be present in the laboratory chromatograms (graphical representation of testing analysis) generated during the testing methods NWTPH-G/8260. ESN laboratory staff advised "the chromatograms of the soil samples from the Kirkland Nissan project <u>do not</u> suggest any additional contamination in the soil samples presented". That written statement is attached in the appended laboratory data.

#### Sound Ford February 27, 2020

As summarized in Table 2 attached to this report, toluene was found in groundwater sampled from boring EAI B-2 at concentrations ranging from 1.7 to 2.1 parts per billion (ppb). Those concentrations are <u>far below</u> (i.e. compliant with) the MTCA Method-A compliance limit for that analyte. No other detections of gasoline TPH or BTEX were reported in the other groundwater samples analyzed.

# CONCLUSIONS

Relying upon the results of limited soil and groundwater sampling and laboratory testing documented in this effort, soils and groundwater at the locations and depths tested were <u>compliant</u> for gasoline TPH and BTEX constituents. The sampling and testing data developed by us (EAI) thus far does not support the original estimated area of contamination as presented by others. Viewed in the context of our current findings, the detections of TPH reported by Dixon may simply reflect localized or isolated occurrences of relatively limited extent. Such a finding would not be inconsistent with reported historical land use in the study area.

## LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Sound Ford and their several representatives for specific application to this site. Our work for this project was conducted in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill normally exercised by members of the environmental science profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the area, and in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in our proposal dated February 6, 2020. The findings and conclusions of this study are based upon the results of laboratory testing of selected samples obtained from separated boring localities and conditions may vary between those localities or at other locations, depths, media, or date. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made. If new information is developed in future site work which may include excavations, borings, studies, etc., Environmental Associates, Inc., must be retained to reevaluate the conclusions of this report and to provide amendments as required.

# REFERENCES

Dixon Environmental Services, December 12, 2019, Phase II Environmental Site Assessment: Subsurface Investigation Report, 11932 124<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast, Kirkland, Washington 98034.







Job Number:	Date:	Logged by:	Plate:
JN 22175-1	February 2020	EAZ	3













Depth/ Well	Moisture/ Blows /	BORIN		AI-B8		
0 2.5 5 7.5 Sample Design Design 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Moisture/ Blows / Foot		Brown/ faint ammo I no no no o odors or discolor rminated at 10 f	grey silty sand, gravels, opina/organic odor, PID=2 Darker brown silt, dry odors or discolorations Brown sand, dry, odors or discolorations Brown sand, moist, or discolorations, PID=2 ompact brown silts, dry, ations, PID=2.2-3 ppm (h reet below grade on	.3 ppm 2 ppm osing calibration) February 13, 20	020.
E	ASSOCIA 1380 - 112th Av	<b>IMENTAL</b> <b>TES, INC.</b> Venue N.E., Ste. 300 ashington 98004		<b>Boring:</b> Nissan (Former 1932 - 124th Ave Kirkland, Wa Date: February 2020	Vehicle Park nue Northeas	

TABLE 1 - Petroleum Hydrocarbons, BTEX - Soil Sampling ResultsAll results and limits in parts per million (ppm)									
Sample Name and Depth	Gasoline (TPH)	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes				
EAI B1-3 @ 3' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B1-7 @ 7' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B1-7 @ 7' BGS DUPLICATE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B2-3 @ 3' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B2-7 @ 7' BGS	12	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B3-1.5 @ 1.5' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B3-3 @ 3' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B3-5 @ 5' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B4-3 @ 3' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B4-7 @ 7' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B4-7 @ 7' BGS DUPLICATE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B5-2 @ 2' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B5-3 @ 3' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B5-7 @ 7' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B6-2.5-3 @ 2.5'-3' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B6-7 @ 7' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B7-2.5-3 @ 2.5'-3' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B7-7 @ 7' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B8-2-3 @ 2'-3' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
EAI B8-7 @ 7' BGS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
Reporting Limit <sup>3</sup>	10	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.15				
WDOE Target Compliance Level <sup>4</sup>	30 or 100 <sup>5</sup>	0.03	7	6	9				

## ------

Notes:

1 -

"ND" denotes analyte not detected at or above listed Reporting Limit. "NA" denotes sample not analyzed for specific analyte. 2-

3-"Reporting Limit" represents the laboratory lower quantitation limit.

Soil samples were field screened using a GasTech combustible gas meter to measure the concentration of combustible gas, such as 4petroleum VOCs.

Headspace VOC concentrations were measured after placing the soil sample in a sealed plastic bag and allowing soil and air inside the bag to equilibrate.

5- The MTCA gasoline TPH cleanup level is 30 ppm for soils with benzene or toleune, etheylbenzene, and xylenes = less than 1% if gas detections otherwise it is 100 ppm.

Bold and Italics denotes concentrations above MTCA Method A soil cleanup levels.

# TABLE 2- Petroleum Hydrocarbons & BTEX - Groundwater Sampling<br/>Results<br/>All results and limits in parts per billion (ppb)

#### Sample Gasoline Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene Total (TPH) **Xylenes** EAI B1-Water ND ND ND ND ND EAI B2-Water ND ND 2.1 ND ND EAI B2-Water DUPLICATE ND ND 1.7 ND ND EAI B5-Water ND ND ND ND ND EAI B6-Water ND ND ND ND ND EAI B7-Water ND ND ND ND ND EAI B8-Water ND ND ND ND ND Reporting Limit<sup>3</sup> 100 1 1 1 3 MTCA-Method-A Cleanup Levels<sup>4</sup> 800 or 1000<sup>5</sup> 5 1000 700 1000

Notes:

1 - "ND" denotes analyte not detected at or above listed Reporting Limit.

2- "NA" denotes sample not analyzed for specific analyte.

3- "Reporting Limit" represents the laboratory lower quantitation limit.

4- Method A groundwater cleanup levels as published in the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) 173-340-WAC.

5- The MTCA gasoline TPH cleanup level is 800 ppb for groundwater with benzene. Otherwise, the cleanup level is 1000 ppb.

Bold and Italics denotes concentrations above existing or proposed MTCA Method A groundwater cleanup levels.

# APPENDIX A

Laboratory Data



Environmental

Services Network

February 25, 2020

Eric Zuern Environmental Associates 1380 112th Avenue NE, Suite 300 Bellevue, WA 98004

Dear Mr. Zuern:

Please find enclosed the analytical data report for the Kirkland Nissan Project in Kirkland, Washington. Probe services were conducted on February 13, 2020. Soil and water samples were analyzed for Gasoline by NWTPH-Gx and BTEX by Method 8260 on February 14 - 21, 2020.

The results of these analyses are summarized in the attached tables. All soil values are reported on a dry weight basis. Applicable detection limits and QA/QC data are included. A copy of the invoice for this work is also enclosed.

ESN Northwest appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services to Environmental Associates for this project. If you have any further questions about the data report, please give us a call. It was a pleasure working with you on this project, and we are looking forward to the next opportunity to work together.

Sincerely,

Michaela Kozowe

Michael A. Korosec President

#### ESN NORTHWEST CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

Environmental Associates, Inc PROJECT KIRKLAND NISSAN PROJECT #22175-1 Kirkland, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

#### Analysis of Gasoline Range Organics & BTEX in Soil by Method NWTPH-Gx/8260

Sample	Date	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	Gasoline Range Organics	Surrogate
Number	Prepared	Analyzed	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	Recovery (%)
Method Blank	2/14/2020	2/14/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	101
LCS	2/14/2020	2/14/2020	113%	103%	104%	105%	135%	104
LCSD	2/14/2020	2/14/2020	112%	98%	101%	99%		105
B1-3	2/13/2020	2/14/2020	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	102
B1-7	2/13/2020	2/14/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	105
B1-7 Duplicate	2/13/2020	2/14/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	98
B2-3	2/13/2020	2/14/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	98
B2-7	2/13/2020	2/14/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	12	108
B3-1.5	2/13/2020	2/14/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	101
B3-3	2/13/2020	2/14/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	100
B3-5	2/13/2020	2/14/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	96
B4-3	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	103
B4-7	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nď	nd	nd	nd	nd	100
B4-7 Duplicate	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	103
B5-2	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nđ	nd	nd	nd	103
B5-3	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	101
B5-7	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	107
B6-2.5-3	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	103
B6-7	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	101
B7-2.5-3	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	97
B7-7	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	102
B8-2-3	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nđ	106
B8-7	2/13/2020	2/18/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	108
Reporting Limits			0.02	0.05	0.05	0.15	10	

"---" Indicates not tested for component.

"nd" Indicates not detected at the listed detection limits.

"int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE (Bromoflurorbenzene) & LCS: 65% TO 135%

#### ESN NORTHWEST CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

Environmental Associates, Inc PROJECT KIRKLAND NISSAN PROJECT #22175-1 Kirkland, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

#### Analysis of Gasoline Range Organics & BTEX in Water by Method NWTPH-Gx/8260

Sample	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	Gasoline Range Organics	Surrogate
Number	Analyzed	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	Recovery (%)
Method Blank	2/21/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	102
LCS	2/21/2020	89%	105%	98%	106%	128%	102
B1-Water	2/21/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	100
B2-Water	2/21/2020	nd	2.1	nd	nd	nd	107
B2-Water Duplicate	2/21/2020	nđ	1.7	nd	nd	nd	106
B5-Water	2/21/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	103
B6-Water	2/21/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	103
B7-Water	2/21/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	107
B8-Water	2/21/2020	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	103
Reporting Limits		1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	100	

"nd" Indicates not detected at the listed detection limits.

"int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE (Bromoflurorbenzene) & LCS: 65% TO 135%

: 10ppb 8260 standard Sample Name



min

2.0

Sample Name : 1000ppb gasoline standard



min

Sample Name

: mb



min

Sample Name

: eai b1-3 (7.92g)



Sample Name : eai b1-7 (11.71g/10ml)



min

Sample Name : eai b1-7 dup (7.00g)



```
Sample information
```

Sample Name



Sample Name



Sample Name

: eai b3-1.5 (5.48g)



ara. Misisi

Sample Name : eai b3-3 (10.89g/10ml)



io.o min

Sample Name : eai b3-5 (11.19g/10ml)



min
: eai b4-3 (10.99g/10ml) Sample Name



min

2.0

Sample Name : eai b4-7 (11.87g/10ml)



ກາ້າກ

Sample Name : eai b5-2 (8.47G)



Sample Name

: eai b5-3 (9.71g)



Sample Name

: eai b5-7 (9.17g)



: eai b6-2.5-3 (11-03g/10ml) Sample Name



16.0 min



Sample Name

: eai b6-7 (7.06)

Sample Name : eai b7-2.5-3 (9.19G)



Sample Name : eai b7-7 (8.89G)



Sample Name : eai b8-2.3 (13.29G/10ml)



Sample Name : eai b8-7 (10.09/10mlG)



Sample Name

: eai b1-water



Sample Name : eai b2-water



Sample Name : eai b2-water dup





min

Sample Information

Sample Name : eai b5-water

Sample Name : cai b6-water





Sample Name

: eai b7-water

สามัก



Sample Name

: cai b8-water

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		HONE: $1.1 \leq C_{add} > 1.4 \leq C_$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{                                    $			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LIENT PROJECT #: $22175^{-1}$ PROJECT MANAGER: $2125^{-1}$ Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container $3125^{-1}$ $31^{-1}$ <th c<="" td=""><td>HONE:       <math>d \in \mathcal{C} = CAST = </math></td><td></td></th>	<td>HONE:       <math>d \in \mathcal{C} = CAST = </math></td> <td></td>	HONE: $d \in \mathcal{C} = CAST = $	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LIENT PROJECT #: $72.75$ PROJECT MANAGER: $5.5$ Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container $35$	HONE: $12574075$ EMAIL $1166$ eaulthan mankfassocia traincut cannot be an		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LIENT PROJECT #: $22.175^{-1}$ PROJECT MANAGER: $CNE 2 - N$ Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container $Sample$ $Sampl$	HONE: $42574675$ EMAIL $1460$ autral mentions on a field of the social test on		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LIENT PROJECT #: $22175-1$ PROJECT MANAGER: $5425$ $54255$ $54255$ $542555$ <	HONE: $42523625$ EMAIL $1AEee$ auction mentions on the mentions of the mentions on the mentions of the mentions on the mentions of the mentis of the mentions of the mentis of the mentions of th	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LIENT PROJECT #: $72 175 \le$ PROJECT MANAGER: $64 \ge 3$ Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container $53 \le 3$ <td>HONE:       <math>d \leq d \leq</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c </math></td>	HONE: $d \leq d \leq$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LIENT PROJECT #: 72 (75 ( PROJECT MANAGER: ContainerSample NumberDepthTimeSampleContainer $\begin{bmatrix} S \\ S $	HONE: $42544975$ EMAIL $1466$ and the mathematic secies takes and the secies takes are secies to the secies takes are takes are takes are secies to the secies takes are se	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container       AD       AD         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Type       Type       Type       AD       AD<	LIENT PROJECT #:       72175-(       PROJECT MANAGER:       CN:       2         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container       Sample	HONE:       I CAUST 4875       EMAIL       IAGO environment for envi	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container       AND OIL         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Type       Type       Type       AND OIL         Sample Rumber       Depth       Time       Type       Type       Type       Sample Status       Sample Status         Sample Rumber       Depth       Time       Type       Type       Type       Sample Status       Sample Status         Sample Rumber       Depth       Time       Type       Type       Type       Sample Status       Sample Status         Sample Rumber       Sample Rum       Type       Type       Type       Sample Status       Sametastatus       Sametastatus	LIENT PROJECT #: 721750       PROJECT MANAGER: The 2 container         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container       Sample       Sample </td <td>HONE:       I Container       <thi container<="" th="">       &lt;</thi></td> <td>NDDRESS:       1365       (12 or NE #3 &amp; Bulle under Section 4 48000)       PROJ         HONE:       1254055       EMAIL       1260 eutromute dessector testine under 48000       LOCP         LIENT PROJECT #:       221754       PROJECT MANAGER:       ENE Zerro       LOCP         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container       121       125       Container       121       125</td>	HONE:       I Container       I Container <thi container<="" th="">       &lt;</thi>	NDDRESS:       1365       (12 or NE #3 & Bulle under Section 4 48000)       PROJ         HONE:       1254055       EMAIL       1260 eutromute dessector testine under 48000       LOCP         LIENT PROJECT #:       221754       PROJECT MANAGER:       ENE Zerro       LOCP         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container       121       125       Container       121       125	
Sample Number Depth Time Sample Container Depth Time Type Type Type PH-D DES 826 ST PL ST CD SS	LIENT PROJECT #:       721750       PROJECT MANAGER:       N.2       2.17         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container       ASD       ASD <td>HONE:       I Courted Medicassocia desina and       LOCAT         LIENT PROJECT #:       I Cortainer       I Container       <thi container<="" th="">       I Container       I Container</thi></td> <td>IDDRESS:       1865       1121       NE       1135       Bull to the transformed association of the transformed associatite association. The</td>	HONE:       I Courted Medicassocia desina and       LOCAT         LIENT PROJECT #:       I Cortainer       I Container       I Container <thi container<="" th="">       I Container       I Container</thi>	IDDRESS:       1865       1121       NE       1135       Bull to the transformed association of the transformed associatite association. The	
Sample Number Depth Time Type Container ID ISEL AND DI AND DI SEL AS SUB IN A SUB ISE AS	LIENT PROJECT #: 72175 PROJECT MANAGER: Two Projec	HONE:       I Container       I Container <thi container<="" th="">       &lt;</thi>	NDRESS:       1865       187       NE       435       Relieve WA 4805       PROJ         HONE:       425       485       EMAIL       166       autoration       LOCP         LIENT PROJECT #:       72.175       PROJECT MANAGER:       Event 435       COLL       LOCP         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Sample       Container       AND       AND       AND       AND       COLL         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Type       Type       Type       AND	
Depth         Time         Container         Image: Sample         Container         Image: Sample         Container         Image: Sample	ZZITSU     PROJECT MANAGER:     Twe     Twe     Twe       Bepth     Time     Sample     Container     Image: Sample     <	$425245525$ EMAIL $iA \in \mathcal{D}$ and the mentions on a test in test	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
	22175- PROJECT MANAGER: END ZUELT	422435-2025 EMAIL ING PROJECT MANAGER: CAN ZUEN	1385 (12rt one NE #300 Bellewe WA 98084 PROJ 4252455-4825 EMAIL information matchessiciation com OJECT #: 72175-1 PROJECT MANAGER: CAN'S Zuern COLL	

EMAL     DATE     C-3-3-20     PAGE     C       TST     PROJECT MANAGER:     Concarone:     BROJECT MANE:     Kith Load     Name:       Imme     Time				-4670 1432	Phone: 360-459-4670 Fax: 360-459-3432					lite 200	1210 Eastside Street SE, Suite 200 Olympia, Washington 98501	Olymi
LIENT:	Turn Around Time: 24 HR 48 HR 5 DA			NOTES:								
LIENT:			OND./COLD									
$ \begin{array}{                                    $	(		I/NA	SEALS INTACT? Y/N	DATE/TIME	3Y (Signature)	RECEIVED I	<b>TE/TIME</b>	DA.	ure)	<b>NQUISHED BY (Signati</b>	RELIN
$ \begin{array}{                                    $			Y SEALS Y/N/NA	CHAIN OF CUSTOD	5	- 23	K-X	CE:21		(	Ma man	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2		F CONTAINERS	TOTAL NUMBER OF	DATE TIME	2.12- (Siminie)		2-13-26	1	1	1.1	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LABODATODY MOTEO				DATE/TIME	av (Signatura)	RECEIVED	TE/TIME	DA	ure)	VOUISHED BY (Signati	RELIN
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $												18.
												17.
												16.
								Weta	11:25	6-10'	B8-water	15.
								Ł	11:29	10	B8-10	14.
						x x		-	11:26	7	88-7	13.
						X X		Soil	11:17	2:3	88-2-3	12.
								Water	-	6-16	B7-water	11.
								Ł	11:02	10	87-10	10.
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						ヤキ		-	10:58	7	87-7	9.
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						X X		Sei	-	253	87-2.5-3	.00
LIENT: $AT$ DATE: $CISCO$ PAGE       OF         DDRESS:       EMAIL       EMAIL       PROJECT NAMAGER:       Got Container       Froject Manager:       Container $ST = ST =$								Uata	10:42	6-10'	B6-water	7.
LIENT: $ATE$ $CIS_{20}$ PAGE $CIS_{20}$ <								5:1	10:38	10'	86-10	6.
LIENT: $ATC$ DATE: $CIS_CO$ PAGE $C_C$ $C_C$ DDRESS:       EMAL       EMAL       PROJECT NAME:       PROJECT NAME:       N:Xeau       Note:       N:Xeau       Note:       N:Xeau       N:Xeau <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>44</td> <td></td> <td>1:8</td> <td></td> <td>11</td> <td>66-7</td> <td>IJ.</td>						44		1:8		11	66-7	IJ.
$\label{eq:lient:} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						+ +		Soil	-	25-3	86-2.5-3	4
LIENT: $ATE$ $CIS_CO$ PAGE $OF$ IDDRESS:       EMAIL       EMAIL       PROJECT MANAGER: $Cortainer$ $FROJECT MANAGER:$ $FROJECT MANE:$ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Water</td> <td>-</td> <td>6-16</td> <td>Brunder</td> <td>ω.</td>								Water	-	6-16	Brunder	ω.
LIENT:       AT       AT       AT       AT       AT       DATE:       C-13-20       PAGE       OF         IDDRESS:       EMAIL       EMAIL       EMAIL       PROJECT NAME:       PROJECT NAME:       Frank       Nissau         HONE:       EMAIL       PROJECT MANAGER:       Gate       Cost       Collectors:       Frank       Nissau         LIENT PROJECT #:       COTST       PROJECT MANAGER:       Gate       Gate       Gate       Collectors:       Frank       Nissau         Sample Number       Depth       Time       Type       Frank       Frank       Frank       Gate       Frank       Collection:       Frank       Collection:       Collection:       Frank       Collection:       Coll								Ł	M:01	ð,	85-10	2.
S:     EMAIL     DATE:     Z-J3-ZO     PAGE     OF       PROJECT #:     ZZT55'     PROJECT MANAGER:     Gata     Location:     Kit kaul     Nisau       PROJECT #:     ZZT55'     PROJECT MANAGER:     Gata     Custo     Container     Gata     Gata     Gata     Gata     Gata     Gata     Container     Gata     Gata     Gata     Gata     Gata     Container     Gata     Gata     Gata     Gata     Gata     Gata     Container     Gata     Container     Gata						XX		5:1	01:01	71	85-7	1.
S: EMAIL DATE: Z-13-20 PAGE Z OF PROJECT NAME: Kirkland Nissad PROJECT #: Z21751 PROJECT MANAGER: Gaz Zuest Collector: Gaz Zuest Col			ASBESTOS PLAN GRO SUITE 830-1 DRO S	CI PESTICIDES 805	SEMINOC 8270 PAWS	TPH-GASOUNE BTEX 8260	TPH-HO	the strength of the strength o		Depth	Sample Number	10
S: EMAIL EMAIL EMAIL DATE: Z-13-20 PAGE Z OF LOCATION: Kirkland Vision	DATE OF COLLECTION:	LETA	ECTOR:	COL	2	NAGER:	ROJECT MA	1	25	1	IENT PROJECT	5
PROJECT NAME: Kirk Jauch Nissan	Y	T	オ	LOCA				EMAIL _			IONE:	PL
CAT DATE: 2-13-20 PAGE Z OF	Klauch	オデナ	ECT NAME:	PROJ							DRESS:	AD
	Z OF	PA	2-13-2	DATE			M	CA.			IENT:	6
											1000	1

# donspencer@environmentalassociatesinc.com

From: Sent: To: Subject: Lab <lab@esnnw.com> Thursday, February 20, 2020 8:12 AM Eric Zuern Kirkland Nissan

The chromatograms of the soil samples from the Kirkland Nissan project do not suggest any additional contamination in the soil samples presented. No additional analysis is suggested.

Thank you, Jennifer

ESN Northwest, Inc 1210 Eastside St SE, Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 P: 360-459-4670 F: 360-459-3432