

520 Pike Street, Suite 2600 Seattle, Washington 98101 PH: 206-496-1450 www.geosyntec.com

February 29, 2022

Project No. TR0837D

Andrew Smith Site Manager Washington Department of Ecology Southwest Regional Office 300 Desmond Drive Lacey, WA 98503

Dear Mr. Smith:

Re: Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2022 Bonneville Power Administration Site Consent Order No. 97206045

On behalf of Occidental Chemical Corporation, Geosyntec Consultants is submitting the enclosed "Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report 2022". This report summarizes the operation and maintenance (O&M) activities and groundwater monitoring results for the period of November 2021 through November 2022 and presents an overview of the effectiveness of the Site remedy.

Based on the review of the Site remedy and Compliance Monitoring Program analytical data it is concluded that:

- The Remedial Action has been effective in addressing the concerns for protection of human health and the environment as laid forth in the Consent Decree.
- No further remedial action is required at the Site at this time.

Should you have any questions, require additional information, or wish to meet to discuss the performance of the Remedial Action, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours truly,

Geosyntec Consultants

Rick Bieber

cc: R. Bakemeier (Bakemeier PC) C. Babcock (GSHI) I. Richardson (Geosyntec)



engineers | scientists | innovators

Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2022

Bonneville Power Administration Site Tacoma, Washington Consent Order No. 97206045

Prepared for

Occidental Chemical Corporation 605 Alexander East Ave Tacoma, WA 98421

Prepared by

Geosyntec Consultants 520 Pike Street, Suite 2600 Seattle, WA 98101

Project Number TR0837D

February 2022

Executive Summary

In March 1997, Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC) and the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) entered into a Consent Decree with the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) to provide for the performance of a Remedial Action (RA) at the Site. The RA included excavation of impacted fill materials and soils and placement of these excavated materials in an on Site engineered landfill. The RA was considered complete on August 24, 1998.

The RA Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program includes the performance of routine Site inspections, compliance monitoring of groundwater, and comprehensive annual reporting to evaluate the effectiveness of the RA in addressing the concerns of Ecology, stated in the Consent Decree as follows:

- i) Ongoing impact of groundwater in the Lower Sand unit by volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in buried sludge and soils
- ii) Potential for direct human exposure to asbestos contained in the sludge
- iii) Potential for direct human exposure to metal contained in grit and shot
- iv) Potential surface and groundwater impacts from metals contained in the grit and shot

The O&M Program commenced with baseline groundwater monitoring in September 1998 following completion of the RA. This annual report has been prepared covering the period of November 2021 through November 2022.

The results of the O&M and Compliance Monitoring activities demonstrate that:

- i) The security and integrity of the landfill was maintained throughout this reporting period; therefore, there was no potential for direct human exposure to impacted materials.
- ii) There were no systematic increases in the concentrations of monitoring parameters in groundwater during this reporting period; therefore, there is no ongoing impact of chemicals to groundwater in the Lower Sand unit.

The O&M activities and Compliance Monitoring performed during this reporting period demonstrate that the RA is effective in mitigating Ecology's concerns for human health exposure and groundwater impacts.



Table of Contents

Exect	utive S	Summary ES-	i
1.	Intro	luction	1
2.	Opera	ation and Maintenance Activities	2
	2.1	Site Inspections and Corrective Actions2.1.1Security2.1.2Site	3
	2.2	Routine Maintenance	4
3.	Comp	pliance Monitoring	4
	3.1	Hydraulic Monitoring	5
	3.2	Water Quality Monitoring	5
4.	Asses	ssment of Site Conditions	5
	4.1	Direct Contact with Impacted Materials	7
	4.2	Groundwater and Surface Water Impact	7
5.	Conc	lusions and Recommendations	8

List of Tables

Table 2.0	Target Analytes
Table 3.1	Compliance Monitoring Points
Table 3.2	Summary of Water Level Elevations
Table 3.3	Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Analytical Parameters
Table 3.4	Analytical Results Summary
Table 3.5	Monitored Natural Attenuation Analytical Results Summary

List of Figures

- Figure 3.1 Site Plan and Groundwater Contour Map
- Figure 4.1 Concentration Versus Time 1 20
- Figure 4.2 Concentration Versus Time 7 26



List of Appendices

- Appendix A Site Inspection Sheets
- Appendix B Groundwater Sample Collection Summary Logs
- Appendix C Analytical Data Verification and Assessment
- Appendix D Analytical Database

1. Introduction

The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) property (Site) is located at the corner of Taylor Way and Highway 509 in Tacoma, Washington. In the late 1960s and early 1970s, fill material from the Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC) Tacoma facility was deposited at the Site. At about the same time, baghouse grit/shot material from an unidentified source was also placed at the Site.

In March 1997, OCC and BPA entered into a Consent Decree with the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) to provide for the performance of a Remedial Action (RA) at the Site. The RA included excavation of impacted fill materials and soils and placement of these excavated materials in an on-Site engineered landfill. The RA was considered complete on August 24, 1998.

An RA Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan was submitted to Ecology with the remedial design documents. The RA O&M Plan includes the performance of routine Site inspections, compliance monitoring of groundwater, and comprehensive annual reporting. The O&M Program commenced in September 1998.

This report presents the annual report for the period of November 2021 through November 2022. The report is organized as follows:

- i) <u>Section 1 Introduction</u>: The introduction presents a brief summary of the project history and the organization of the report.
- ii) <u>Section 2 Operation and Maintenance Activities:</u> The O&M activities performed during this reporting period are discussed in Section 2.
- iii) <u>Section 3 Compliance Monitoring:</u> The groundwater compliance monitoring activities performed during this reporting period are described in Section 3 and the resultant data are presented.
- iv) <u>Section 4 Assessment of Site Conditions:</u> An assessment of the Site conditions in terms of the effectiveness of the remedy is presented in Section 4.
- v) <u>Section 5 Conclusions and Recommendations:</u> Section 5 presents the conclusions drawn from the Site assessment.

The current project coordinators for the Site are:

Ecology:

Mr. Andrew Smith 300 Desmond Drive Lacey, Washington 98503

Geosyntec Consultants

<u>BPA</u>:

Chad Browning Environmental Engineer 707 W. Main Street, Suite 500 Spokane, Washington 99201-0641

<u>OCC</u>:

Mr. Clint Babcock Director Operations Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc. 605 Alexander Avenue Tacoma, WA 98421

The designated Site Custodian is:

Geosyntec:

520 Pike Street, Suite 2600 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (253) 993-0190 The primary contact at Geosyntec is Rick Bieber.

2. Operation and Maintenance Activities

O&M activities performed during this reporting period included Site inspections and compliance monitoring. The O&M activities were performed by GHD, on behalf of OCC in accordance with the requirements of the "Operation and Maintenance Plan" (May 1997) with the modifications approved by Ecology in letters dated August 3, 1998, September 16, 1998, March 18, 1999, and November 14, 2003. The approved modifications consist of:

- i) The use of monitoring well 9-30 instead of monitoring well 5-21 (August 3, 1998)
- ii) The use of low-flow well purging and sampling techniques in the Compliance Monitoring Plan (CMP) (September 16, 1998)
- iii) Revision of the analyte list for compliance monitoring (March 18, 1999, and November 14, 2003)
- iv) Reduction in monitoring points from 7 to 2 (November 14, 2003)
- v) Reduction in the frequency of sampling from semi-annual to annual (November 14, 2003)
- vi) Installation of a replacement well for 1-20 in 2020

vii) Following the 2020 review Ecology requested the addition of monitored natural attenuation parameters bringing the new target analytes list to the following:

Table 2.0 Ta	arget Analytes	
Matrix	Analyte	Method
GW	SSPL VOCs (annual)	8260
GW	Dissolved Gases (methane, ethane,	RSK 175
	ethene)	
GW	Total Iron and Manganese	200.7
GW	Dissolved Iron and Manganese	200.7
GW	Chloride, Sulfate	300
GW	Total Organic Carbon	9060A
GW	Nitrate	300

2.1 Site Inspections and Corrective Actions

Site inspections were performed on a quarterly basis during this reporting period. Observations were logged on inspection log sheets. The inspection log sheets for the 2022 reporting period are contained in Appendix A.

Descriptions of the inspection observations are presented in the following subsections. No deficiencies requiring corrective action were observed during this reporting period.

2.1.1 Security

The security features include landfill perimeter fence, fence gates and locks, and signs. Each feature was examined during each Site inspection. No damage to security features was noted and the integrity of the security features was maintained. The security features and their conditions during this reporting period are described below.

Perimeter Fence

No damage to the perimeter fence was identified during the quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period.

Gates and Locks

No damage to perimeter fence gates or locks was identified during the quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period.

Signs

All signs were in place and legible.

2.1.2 Site

The Site features include final and vegetative covers, drainage swales, and monitoring wells. Each of these features was examined during each Site inspection. The integrity of the Site features was maintained. The condition of the Site features during this reporting period is described below.

Final and Vegetative Covers

No surface cracking or failure of the landfill cap was observed during this reporting period. Issues identified during the quarterly inspections included deep rooted vegetation and stressed vegetation due to area drought. The deep rooted growth was hand pulled during maintenance activities in September 2022. Mowing of the entire mound was conducted following the hand removal of weeds. The cut vegetation was raked and removed. No burrows were observed during recent inspections and during the mowing of the cap, GHD will continue to monitor for the presence of burrowing animals during quarterly inspections.

Drainage Swales

No erosion or excessive buildup of sediment was identified in the drainage swales during the quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period. Limited vegetation has begun to encroach on the drainage swales. In September 2022 contractors applied an Ecology approved herbicide to knock back the vegetation from the rockery in the swales and the vegetation has been significantly reduced.

Monitoring Wells

The inspection of the monitoring wells was conducted in November 16, 2022 and included the verification of the presence and condition of well caps and locks, integrity of protective casings, and inspection for settlement or displacement of the wells. Each of the wells were found in proper working order.

2.2 Routine Maintenance

The O&M Plan requires that the landfill cap vegetative cover be fertilized and maintained as necessary. In September 2022 crews hand removed the deep-rooted vegetation and sprayed the encroaching vegetation in the rockery in the swales. Mowing of the mound was completed following the hand weeding. Overall the landfill cap is in good condition and no repairs outside of the routine maintenance activities are required at this time.

3. Compliance Monitoring

The CMP is included as Appendix B of the O&M Plan. The groundwater CMP commenced in September 1998 at the completion of the RA. The CMP states that groundwater monitoring will be conducted semi-annually for 5 years following which a review will be conducted. The first 5-year review period ended in September 2003. A review of the groundwater monitoring program was presented in the "Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2004." Based on the review, a modified groundwater monitoring program was approved by Ecology (see letter from G. Barrett (Ecology) to C. Barron (CRA) dated November 14, 2003).

The second 5-year review period ended in September 2008. A review of the groundwater monitoring program was presented in the "Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2008." There were no approved modifications to the CMP following the second 5-year review period; however, potentiometric contour lines have been added to the site plan, as recommended by Ecology (see letter from G. Barrett (Ecology) to J. Cornetta (CRA) dated February 4, 2008). A third 5-year review was completed by Ecology in 2014, results were presented in a March 2015 report. A fourth 5-year review was completed by Ecology in 2018 with results presented in the 2019 report. No amendments to the CMP were made following this review. In 2020 Ecology requested in response to public comment for natural attenuation parameters be added to the sampling suite:

Compliance monitoring currently consists of:

- Metals Iron, Iron (dissolved), Manganese, Manganese (dissolved)
- Dissolved Gases Ethane, Ethene, Methane
- General Chemistry Chloride, Nitrate (as N), Sulfate, Total organic carbon (TOC)
- i) Annual hydraulic monitoring of all site monitoring wells
- ii) Annual groundwater sample collection and analyses of two wells, 1-20 and 7-26

The monitoring wells included in the Site monitoring program are listed in Table 3.1 and shown on Figure 3.1.

3.1 Hydraulic Monitoring

Site-wide hydraulic monitoring is performed in conjunction with each groundwater sampling event. A tabulation of the groundwater elevations measured since the commencement of the CMP is presented in Table 3.2. Groundwater elevations, potentiometric contour lines and groundwater flow direction are presented on Figure 3.1.

3.2 Water Quality Monitoring

Groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the low-flow monitoring well purging and sample collection procedures approved by Ecology on September 16, 1998 with one exception. As noted above, GHD was unable to use the bladder pump lodged in the well. The sample was collected using a peristaltic pump following approval from Ecology. A sample collection summary log is contained in Appendix B. Groundwater samples collected during this reporting period were analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 3.3. Cleanup levels for the monitoring parameters listed in Table 3.3 are the Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA) Method B groundwater levels, or the analytical method's Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) where the Method B levels are lower than the PQL.

The groundwater analytical data generated during this reporting period and cleanup levels are presented in Table 3.4. The results are consistent with the historic data. The addition of the monitored natural attenuation (MNA) parameters are presented in table 3.5. As we collected more rounds of MNA data, trends will be plotted and presented in this section. The data validation for this reporting period is contained in Appendix C of this report. A complete groundwater analytical database is presented in Appendix D. All investigative samples were analyzed within the recommended holding time.

4. Assessment of Site Conditions

One of the purposes of the annual review of the O&M activities and monitoring data is to assess the current Site conditions in terms of the effectiveness of the RA.

Based upon the findings of the site investigations performed prior to the RA, Ecology stated the following concerns regarding fill materials present at the Site:

- i) Ongoing impact to groundwater in the Lower Sand unit by volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in buried sludge and soils
- ii) Potential for direct human exposure to asbestos contained in the sludge
- iii) Potential for direct human exposure to metals contained in grit and shot
- iv) Potential surface and groundwater impacts from metals contained in the grit and shot

These concerns were presented in Exhibit B, "Cleanup Action Plan," of the Consent Decree filed March 3, 1997.

The RA addressed each of these concerns through the removal of impacted sludge, grit, and shot and containment of these materials in the on-Site engineered landfill. Confirmatory analyses were performed on samples of in situ soils to confirm that concentrations of the Site compounds which exceeded the cleanup standards specified in Table 2 of Exhibit B of the Consent Decree did not remain following the completion of the RA.

The following subsections present evaluations of the current Site condition relative to these concerns. The evaluations are based upon the O&M activities performed during this reporting period and on the Compliance Monitoring data.

4.1 Direct Contact with Impacted Materials

The security and integrity of the landfill was maintained throughout this reporting period; therefore, there was no potential for direct human exposure to asbestos contained in sludge or metals contained in grit or shot.

4.2 Groundwater and Surface Water Impact

The sludge and soils containing concentrations of the Site compounds at concentrations exceeding the cleanup standards are isolated within the landfill and the integrity of the landfill was maintained throughout this reporting period. Therefore, the sludge or soils no longer represent a continuing source of VOCs or metals to groundwater or surface water.

The groundwater points of compliance are Lower Sand Unit monitoring wells located adjacent to the containment facility (MW-2) and at the downgradient boundaries of the Site (1-20, 2-27, 6-26, and 7-26). The locations of the points of compliance are shown on Figure 3.1. In the first 5-year review of the groundwater monitoring program conducted in November 2003, it was demonstrated that compliance with cleanup standards was achieved and maintained at all monitoring points except 1-20 and 7-26. Therefore, routine monitoring of the remaining compliance points is no longer required.

The 2022 groundwater sampling event was conducted on November 16 and 17, 2022. Samples were collected from monitoring wells 1-20 and 7-26. Data results for fall 2022 show an increase in vinyl chloride above site cleanup levels. The analytical data for cis-1,2-DCE and vinyl chloride in monitoring wells 1-20 and 7-26 have been graphed as shown on Figures 4.1 and 4.2. To assist in the evaluation of chemical presence at these locations, linear trend lines have been added to the graphs. The graphs show overall downward trends in the cis-1,2-DCE concentrations in these wells since the baseline monitoring event was conducted in September 1998. The graphs also show a downward trend in vinyl chloride concentrations in well 1-20 and stable concentrations in well 7-26.

For the last 8 years the concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE in well 1-20 have continued to show some variability hovering at or below the clean-up criteria for the site. Data results for fall 2022 show an increase in vinyl chloride above site cleanup levels. These detections are consistent with the historic data and the general decreasing trend of both contaminates continues. This increase will be further assessed following the fall 2023 sampling event. Continued monitoring is scheduled and appropriate.

The presence of cis-1,2-DCE, vinyl chloride, and ethene suggest that natural attenuation is occurring at the site. We will continue to monitor the MNA parameters and prepare trend graphics in subsequent sampling rounds. It is expected that, over time, the natural attenuation process will restore the Lower Sand groundwater to a quality that meets or exceeds the cleanup standards.



5. Conclusions and Recommendations

The O&M activities and Compliance Monitoring performed during this reporting period demonstrate that the RA remains effective in mitigating the concerns for human health exposure and groundwater impact that are stated in the Cleanup Action Plan. There is no further remedial action required at the Site at this time.

Tables

Table 3.1 Compliance Monitoring Points Bonneville Power Administration Site Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

Well No.	Hydraulic Monitoring	Water Quality
1-20	Х	Х
2-27	Х	
6-26	X	
7-26	X	Х
9-30	X	
MW-1	Х	
MW-2	X	

Table 3.2 Summary of Groundwater Elevations Bonneville Power Administration Site Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

	Top of									
Well No.	Casing*	Nov. 98	May 99	Nov. 99	May 00	Nov. 00	May 01	Nov. 01	May 02	Nov. 02
1-20	8.40	2.00	2.94	2.24	3.42	3.10	3.15	2.24	3.70	2.01
2-27	14.92	3.14	5.13	3.48	5.44	4.34	5.00	3.58	6.07	2.96
6-26	13.27	2.38	4.09	2.77	4.55	3.57	4.06	2.87	4.87	2.41
7-26	12.73	1.85	2.91	2.14	3.55	2.94	3.02	2.14	3.54	1.90
9-30	14.65	3.76	5.74	4.22	5.99	4.96	5.66	4.28	6.43	3.49
MW-1	13.97	2.42	4.03	1.87	4.48	4.06	4.11	NM	4.88	3.85
MW-2	12.32	2.12	3.03	2.42	3.92	3.23	3.28	2.25	3.85	NM
	Top of									
Well No.	Casing*	May. 03	Nov. 03	Nov. 04	Nov. 05	Nov. 06	Nov. 07	Nov. 08	Nov. 09	Nov. 10
1-20	8.40	3.58	3.04	3.01	3.66	1.04	2.71	4.29	-0.36	4.39
2-27	14.92	5.76	5.02	4.81	5.34	3.18	4.16	6.36	6.30	6.60
6-26	13.27	4.66	4.04	3.86	4.59	2.49	3.45	5.73	5.39	5.76
7-26	12.73	3.46	2.86	2.92	3.58	1.91	2.73	4.15	3.20	4.38
9-30	14.65	6.28	5.68	5.52	5.82	3.82	4.74	6.27	6.14	6.68
MW-1	13.97	4.73	4.02	3.94	4.57	NM	3.11	5.56	5.42	5.59
MW-2	12.32	3.79	2.92	3.15	3.8	2.00	2.90	4.47	4.39	4.45
	Top of									
Well No.	Casing*	Nov. 11	Nov. 12	Nov. 13	Nov. 14	Nov. 15	Nov. 16	Nov. 17	Nov. 18	Nov. 19
1-20	8.40	2.71	4.78	3.80	4.10	4.55	4.93	4.09	2.28	2.37
2-27	14.92	3.93	6.93	5.94	5.96	6.79	7.53	5.85	3.21	3.02
6-26	13.27	3.27	6.09	4.99	5.2	5.96	6.80	5.30	2.62	2.47
7-26	12.73	2.62	4.72	3.79	4.04	5.47	4.01	4.47	2.21	1.87
9-30	14.65	4.43	7.01	6.21	6.25	6.70	7.26	6.54	3.68	3.88
MW-1	13.97	3.17	5.92	4.92	5.12	5.71	6.51	4.30	1.99	2.37
MW-2	12.32	2.74	4.90	3.88	4.14	4.66	5.15	4.25	2.26	3.05
Well No.	Top of Casing*	Nov. 20	Nov. 21	Nov. 22						
1-20	12.40	2.37	4.7	2.4						
2-27	14.92	3.02	7.12	3.02						
6-26	13.27	2.47	6.49	2.57						
7-26	12.73	1.87	4.93	2.8						
9-30	14.65	3.88	6.35	3.26						
MW-1	13.97	2.37	6.16	2.34						
MW-2	12.32	3.05	4.82	2.32						

Notes:

* Elevation surveyed January 2010. Vertical Datum: NGVD 29. Water level elevations updated based on 2010 survey. 1-20 replaced 2020
 NM Not measured

Table 3.3 Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Analytical Parameters Bonneville Power Administration Site Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

Parameter ⁽¹⁾	Cleanup Level ⁽²⁾ (µg/L)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	70
Dichloromethane (methylene chloride)	5
Tetrachloroethene	5
Trichloroethene	5
Vinyl chloride	10*

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Analytical parameter list modified in January 2004.

⁽²⁾ Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method B Surface Water Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC), Version 3.1, updated November 2001.

* Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL), "Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, Guidance on Sampling and Data Analysis Methods," January 1995.

Table 3.4 Analytical Results Summary Bonneville Power Administration Site Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

	Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 1-20-111722-MM 11/17/2022	7-26 7-26-111622-RB 11/16/2022	1-20 1-20-111622-MM 11/16/2022 (Duplicate)
Parameter	Units	Cleanup Level ⁽¹⁾			(
Volatile Organic Com	pounds				
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	μg/L	70	56	8.4	59
Methylene chloride	μg/L	5	5.0U	5.0U	5.0U
Tetrachloroethene	μg/L	5	2.0U	2.0U	2.0U
Trichloroethene	μg/L	5	2.0U	2.0U	2.0U
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	10*	14	0.49	15

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method B Surface Water Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC), Version 3.1, updated November 2001.

J Estimated.

U Non-detect at associated value.

* Practical quantitation limit.

Concentration exceeds the cleanup standard.

Table 3.5 Monitored Natural Attenuation Analytical Results Summary Bonneville Power Administration Site Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

	Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	1-20 1-20-111722-MM 11/17/2022	7-26 7-26-111622-RB 11/16/2022	1-20 1-20-111622-MM 11/16/2022 (Duplicate)
Parameter Dissolved Gases	Units			(Duplicate)
Ethane	μg/L	-	-	-
Ethene	μg/L	-	-	-
Methane	μg/L	-	-	-
Metals				
Iron	μg/L	38000	28000	37000
Iron (dissolved)	μg/L	26000	19000	26000
Manganese	μg/L	2800	2700	2700
Manganese (dissolved)	µg/L	2600	2700	2600
General Chemistry				
Chloride	µg/L	31	24	31
Nitrate (as N)	µg/L	0.15U	0.15U	0.15U
Sulfate	µg/L	27	28	26
Total organic carbon (TO	C) µg/L	36	15	37

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method B Surface Water Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC). Version 3.1, updated November 2001.

J Estimated.


U Non-detect at associated value.


* Practical quantitation limit.

Concentration exceeds the cleanup standard.

- Not Sampled

Figures





GHD

Tacoma, Washington

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION




figure 4.2

CONCENTRATION VS. TIME - 7-26 COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROGRAM BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION *Tacoma, Washington*



Appendix A Site Inspection Sheets TreaTek-CRA 7412 (4) APPA

	Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.	Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition	Presence of Burrowing Mammals	Drainage Swale	Excessive Growth of Vegetation Cap	Vegetation Burnout	Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)	Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	STIE Specific Item
	d." aken, use additional sheets if necessar No problems works	5		7	ale	K	<	5	5	5	No
Rick BIESTE (Inspector's Name - Please Print) (Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)	<i>.</i>		5								Yes (If yes give details below)

Ń

INSPECTION LOG SHEET BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE TACOMA, WASHINGTON

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE TACOMA, WASHINGTON INSPECTION LOG SHEET

SECURITY

If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.	Signs (damaged missing or no longer modelle)	deterioration)	Specific Item No Yes (If yes give details below)
			details below)

Lick Biebac (Inspector's Name - Please Print)

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection) 5

124

TreaTek-CRA 7412 (4) APPA

TreaTek-CRA 7412 (4) APPA

Arch Brite Inspector's Name - Please Print) Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection		
No prostens noted	lditional sheets if neo	Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.
	5	Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch
5		Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition
	7	Presence of Burrowing Mammals
	5 5	Excessive Growth of Vegetation Cap Drainage Swale
	5	Vegetation Burnout
	5	Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)
	5	Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes
	5	Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap
Yes (If yes give details below)	No	Specific Item
		SITE

INSPECTION LOG SHEET BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE TACOMA, WASHINGTON

INSPECTION LOG SHEET BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE TACOMA, WASHINGTON
--

SECURITY

Specific Item		No	Yes (If yes give details below)
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive deterioration)		V	
Uates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)		V	
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)		~	
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.	locks noted - state "No ive actions taken, use ad	Problems Noted." Iditional sheets if ne	cessary.

(htspector's Signature and Date of Inspection) Rick Bieloel (Inspector's Name - Please Print)

I

TreaTek-CRA 7412 (4) APPA

TreaTek-CRA 7412 (4) APPA

	Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted."If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.	Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition	Presence of Burrowing Mammals	Excessive Growin of Auguments Drainage Swale	E control of Vegetation Cap	Vegetation Burnout	Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)	Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	Specific Item	SITE
	Iditional sheets if nec	Ś		5	5	Ś	Ś	5	5	5	No	
Rule / Stelver (Inspector's Name - Please Print) (Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)	cessary.										Yes (If yes give details below)	

INSPECTION LOG SHEET BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE TACOMA, WASHINGTON

SECURITY

	Lournents: 11 no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.	or no longer readable)	2	Uates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)	Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive deterioration)	Specific Item	
	ocks noted - state "No ve actions taken, use ad						
	Problems Noted." ditional sheets if nec	5		5		No	
	cessary.					Yes (If yes give details below)	

Rich Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection) Apspedior's Name - Please Print) I

TreaTek-CRA 7412 (4) APPA

TreaTek-CRA 7412 (4) APPA

SITE		
Specific Item	No	Yes (If yes give details below)
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	/	
Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	j V	
Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)	~	
Vegetation Burnout	1	
Excessive Growth of Vegetation Cap	10	
Presence of Burrowing Mammals	5	
Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition		
Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	~	
Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary.	litional sheets if necessar	ssary.
		Lick Brebec (Inspector's Name - Please Print) (Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

INSPECTION LOG SHEET BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION/OXYCHEM SITE TACOMA, WASHINGTON

Ì		
	2	
-		
	D	
	-	
	Y	

		Y	
Specific Item		No	Yes (If yes give details below)
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive deterioration)		5	
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)		5	
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)			
		5	
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - state "No Problems Noted."	r locks noted - state "No	Problems Noted."	
If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary. N_0	tive actions taken, use a	dditional sheets if ne	No Drablems Noter

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection) (Luch B. J. Lec (Inspector's Name - Please Print)

TreaTek-CRA 7412 (4) APPA

Appendix B Groundwater Sample Collection Summary Logs

Table B Sample Collection Data Sheet - Groundwater Sampling Program Occidental Chemical Corporation BPA Site Tacoma, Washington

ROJECT NAME			BPA						PROJEC	T NO.		TR0837
AMPLING CREW MEMBERS			Matt Mohr						SUPERV	ISOR R.	Bieber	
ATE OF SAMPLE COLLECTION			11/16/2021									
Sample	Well	Measuring	Water	Water	Volume	Volume	[Note: For Field	2" dia. well, Field	1 ft. = 0.14	4 gal (imp) o	r 0.16 gal (us)] Sample	
I.D.	Number	Point Elev.	Depth	Elevation	Flow	Purged	pH	Temp.	Cond.	Time	Description	
Number		(NGVD)	(ft. btoc)	(NGVD)	(ml/min)	(gal US)		(C)	(mS/cm)		& Analysis	
1-20-111722-MM ⁽²⁾	1-20	12.40	10	2.40		7.2	5.89	12.3	0.8	10:00	Clear	
7-26-111622-MM	7-26	12.73	9.93	2.80		7.5	6.05	12.6	0.57	15:00	Clear	
Additional Comments: Copies to:		SAMPLE SET:	-	w/ HCI prese	erve for VOC	;						
		(2) field duplica										

Appendix C

Analytical Data Verification and Assessment



Technical Memorandum

January 24, 2023 Revision: February 28, 2023

То	Rick Bieber-Geosyntec	Tel	513-285-1102
From	Sheri Finn/eew/7	Ref. No.	11218874
Subject	Analytical Results and Reduced Valida Biennial Groundwater Sampling - Taco Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc. Tacoma, Washington November 2022		

1. Introduction

This document details a reduced validation of analytical results for groundwater samples collected in support of the Biennial Groundwater Monitoring at the Tacoma Site located in Tacoma, Washington during November 2022. Samples were submitted to ALS Environmental Lab located in Everett, Washington. A sample collection and analysis summary is presented in Table 1. The validated analytical results are summarized in Table 2. A summary of the analytical methodology is presented in Table 3.

Standard GHD report deliverables were submitted by the laboratory. The final results and supporting quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) data were assessed. Evaluation of the data was based on information obtained from the chain of custody form, finished report forms, method blank data, recovery data from surrogate spikes/laboratory control samples (LCS)/matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSD), and field QA/QC samples.

The QA/QC criteria by which these data have been assessed are outlined in the analytical methods referenced in Table 1 and applicable guidance from the documents entitled:

- i) January 2017 Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review" (EPA-540-R-2017-002)
- ii) "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review", United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 540-R-2017-001

Items i) and ii) will subsequently be referred to as the "Guidelines" in this Memorandum.

2. Sample Holding Time and Preservation

The sample holding time criteria for the analyses is summarized in Table 3. The sample chain of custody document and analytical report were used to determine sample holding times. Samples were analyzed within the required holding times.

All samples were properly preserved, delivered on ice, and stored by the laboratory at the required temperature (0-6°C).

→ The Power of Commitment

3. Laboratory Method Blank Analyses

Method blanks are prepared from a purified matrix and analyzed with investigative samples to determine the existence and magnitude of sample contamination introduced during the analytical procedures.

For this study, laboratory method blanks were analyzed at a minimum frequency of 1 per 20 investigative samples and/or 1 per analytical batch.

All method blank results were non-detect, indicating that laboratory contamination was not a factor for this investigation.

4. Surrogate Spike Recoveries -Organic Analysis

In accordance with the method employed, all samples, blanks, and QC samples analyzed for organics are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. Surrogate recoveries provide a means to evaluate the effects of laboratory performance on individual sample matrices.

All samples submitted for volatile organic compounds (VOC) determinations were spiked with the appropriate number of surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis.

Surrogate recoveries were assessed against laboratory control limits. All surrogate recoveries met the laboratory criteria.

5. Laboratory Control Sample Analyses

LCS are prepared and analyzed as samples to assess the analytical efficiencies of the method employed, independent of sample matrix effects.

For this study, LCS were analyzed at a minimum frequency of 1 per 20 investigative samples and/or 1 per analytical batch.

The LCS contained all compounds of interest. All LCS recoveries were within the laboratory control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy.

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Analyses

To evaluate the effects of sample matrices on the preparation process, measurement procedures, and accuracy of a particular analysis, samples are spiked with known concentrations of the analytes of concern and analyzed as MS/MSD samples. The relative percent difference (RPD) between the MS and MSD is used to assess analytical precision.

MS/MSD analysis was performed as specified in Table 1.

The MS/MSD sample was spiked with all analytes of interest. All percent recoveries and RPD values were within the laboratory control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision

7. Field QA/QC Samples

The field QA/QC consisted of one trip blank and one field duplicate sample set.

7.1 Trip Blank Sample Analysis

To evaluate contamination from sample collection, transportation, storage, and analytical activities, a trip blank was submitted to the laboratory for VOC analysis. All results were non-detect for the compounds of interest.

7.2 Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

To assess the analytical and sampling protocol precision, one field duplicate sample was collected and submitted "blind" to the laboratory, as specified in Table 1. The RPDs associated with the duplicate sample must be less than 50 percent for water samples. If the reported concentration in either the investigative sample or its duplicate is less than five times the reporting limit (RL), the evaluation criterion is one times the RL value.

All field duplicate results were within acceptable agreement, demonstrating acceptable sampling and analytical precision.

8. Analyte Reporting

The laboratory evaluated detected results down to the laboratory's method detection limit (MDL) for each analyte. No positive analyte detections less than the RL but greater than the MDL were reported in Table 2. Non-detect results were presented as non-detect at the RL in Table 2.

9. Conclusion

Based on the assessment detailed in the foregoing, the data summarized in Table 2 are acceptable without qualification.

Regards L-L.

Sheri Finn Analyst

Table 1

Sample Collection and Analysis Summary Biennial Groundwater Monitoring Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc. - Tacoma BPA Tacoma, Washington November 2022

							Analysis		
Sample Identification	Location	Matrix	Collection Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Collection Time (hr:min)	Volatiles	Metals	Total Organic Carbon	Chloride,Nitrate, Sulfate	Comments
1-20-111722-MM	MW1-20	Water	11/16/2022	15:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	MS/MSD(Volatiles and Metals)
Field-Dup-1-MM	MW1-20	Water	11/16/2022	15:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Field Duplicate of 7-26-111622-MM
7-26-111622-MM	MW7-26	Water	11/16/2022	15:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Trip Blank	-	Water	11/16/2022	15:00	Х				Trip Blank

Notes:

"-" - Not applicable

MS/MSD - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

Table 2

Analytical Results Summary Biennial Groundwater Monitoring Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc. - Tacoma BPA Tacoma, Washington November 2022

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:	MW1-20 1-20-111722-MM 11/17/2022	MW1-20 Field-Dup-1-MM 11/17/2022 Duplicate	MW7-26 7-26-111622-MM 11/16/2022	Trip Blank Trip Blank 11/17/2022
Parameters	Unit				
Volatile Organic Com	pounds				
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	56	59	8.4	2.0 U
Methylene chloride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U
Trichloroethene	µg/L	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U
Vinyl chloride	μg/L	14	15	0.41	0.20 U
Metals					
Iron	μg/L	38000	37000	28000	
Iron (dissolved)	μg/L	26000	26000	19000	
Manganese	μg/L	2800	2700	2700	
Manganese (dissolved)	µg/L	2600	2600	2700	
General Chemistry					
Chloride	mg/L	31	31	24	
Nitrate	mg/L	0.15 U	0.15 U	0.15 U	
Sulfate	mg/L	27	26	28	
Total organic carbon (T	0	36	37	15	

Notes:

U - Not detected at the associated reporting limit.

"--" - Not analyzed

Table 3

Analytical Method Biennial Groundwater Monitoring Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc. - Tacoma BPA Tacoma, Washington November 2022

			Holding Time
Parameter	Method	Matrix	to Analysis
			(Days)
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	SW-846 8260B	Water	14
Metals	EPA 200.8	Water	180
Total Organic Carbon(TOC)	SM 5310C	Water	28
Chloride, Nitrate, Sulfate	EPA 300	Water	48 hours/ 28

Notes:

SW-846	- "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", SW-846,
	Third Edition, 1986, with subsequent revisions
EPA	- "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste," EPA-600/4-79-020,
	revised March 1983. with subsequent revisions
SM	- "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 18th Edition, 1992,
	with subsequent revisions

Appendix D Analytical Database

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 W-7412-092398-MW1-20-D0 9/23/1998	1-20 (MW1-20-1198-TF 11/5/1998	1-20 MW1-20-0599-T1 5/12/1999	1-20 IMWD1-0599-TR 5/12/1999 Duplicate	1-20 MW1-20-1199-TF 11/8/1999	1-20 MWD1-1199-TR 11/8/1999 Duplicate	1-20 /IW1-20-0500-TH 5/9/2000	1-20 MW1-20-1100-TF 11/9/2000	1-20 FD1-1100-TR 11/9/2000 Duplicate	1-20 VIW1-20-0501-T 5/21/2001	1-20 FD1-0501-TR 5/21/2001 Duplicate	1-20 vIW1-20-1101-TI 11/9/2001	1-20 FD1-1101-TR 11/9/2001 Duplicate
Parameter	Units	,												
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	5 J 5 J 9 J	140 ^J 5 5 8.0 31	130 5 4.1 J 25	120 5 5 4.4 J 32	130 1.0 u 1.0 u 3.4 16	140 1.0 u 1.0 u 3.6 16	83 2.3 U 1.0 U 2.2 9.8	100 4.0 u 4.0 u 1.2 J 12	100 10 U 10 U 10 U 12	90 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.7 j 33	89 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.7 j 34	51 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.3 j 5.8	55 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.3 J 6.4
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	1.35	3.5 1.3 0.75	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	-		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	1.5 - 5	5.2 5 J	4.8 5	4.2 5	4.2 U 2.7 U	4.2 u 2.7 u	4.2 u 2.7 u	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	1.0 u 3.0 u	1.0 U 3.0 U
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L													

Chloride	mg/l
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l
Sulfate	mg/l
Total Organic Carbon (TOC	mg/l

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 WW1-20-0502-TH 5/1/2002	1-20 FD1-0502-TR 5/1/2002 Duplicate	1-20 MW1-20-1102-D(11/14/2002	1-20 FD1-1102-DC 11/14/2002 Duplicate	1-20 I-20-0503 5/8/2003	1-20 FD1-0503 5/8/2003 Duplicate	1-20 1-20-1103 11/11/2003	1-20 1-20-1104 11/11/2004	1-20 1-20-1105-NR-00 11/18/2005	1-20 1-20-1105-NR-0021-20 11/18/2005 Duplicate
Parameter	Units										
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	72 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 18	71 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 18	94 2.5 uj 2.5 u 2.0 j 10	91 2.5 uj 2.5 u 1.9 j 9.8	22 UJ 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 3.1	19 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.7	52 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 11	22 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 3.6	38 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 4.7 J	160/ 2.5jJ 2.5jJ 2.5jJ 2.5jJ 14J
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 u 3.0 u	1.0 u 3.0 u	1.0 U 3.0 U	0.4 3.0 u	0.7 3.0 u	0.7 3.0 U	-	- - -	- - - -
Dissolved Gases Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L										
General Chemistry											

Chloridemg/lNitrate (as N)mg/lSulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOCmg/l

1-20 1-20 1-20 I-20-1106-ILM-0011-20-1107-ILM-001GW-111408-TG-BPA-1-20-01 11/14/2008 11/3/2006 11/2/2007

91 2.5u 2.5u 0.43 11	28 J 2.5 _J 2.5 _J 2.5 _J 6.3	65 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.26 J 12
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 V GW-110609-TG-1-20 11/6/2009	1-20 GW-111610-JS-1-20 11/16/2010	1-20 GW-111610-JS-1-20 11/16/2010 Duplicate	1-20 GW-111711-AK-1-20 11/17/2011	1-20 GW-112912-MD-1-20 11/29/2012	1-20 GW-112613-BP-1-20 11/26/2013	1-20 GW-111814-BP-1-20 11/18/2014	1-20 GW-113015-BP-1-20 11/30/2015	1-20 GW-111716-NT-1-20 11/17/16
Parameter	Units									
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	2.5U 2.5U 0.17J	54 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 4.6	50 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 4.2	110 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 11	70 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.10 J 6.5	80 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 9.0	38 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 3.3	81 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 10	39 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 3.7
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane <i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOO	μg/L μg/L μg/L mg/l mg/l mg/l C mg/l									

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 V GW-201117-NT-1-20 11/20/17	1-20 GW-201117-NT-FD1 11/20/17 Duplicate	1-20 GW-110618-NT-1-20 11/06/2018	1-20 GW-112519-NT-1-20 11/25/2019	1-20 GW-112519-NT-FD3 11/25/19 Duplicate	1-20 GW-111820-NT-1-20 11/18/2020	1-20 1-20-111721-RB 11/17/2021	1-20 1-20-111722-MM 11/16/2022	1-20 1-20-111722-MM 11/16/2022 Duplicate
Parameter	Units									
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	80 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 7.1	75 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 5.5	43 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.5 U	23 2.5 U 0.15 U 0.46 J 2.1	23 2.5 U 0.15 U 0.15 U 2.3	71J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 8.8	52 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 3.7	56 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 14	59 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 15
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -				
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	45900 3070 2720	60000 2700	38000 2800 2600	37000 2700 2600
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L						11J 6.9 6400	0.01U 0.01U 0.11	- - -	
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC	mg/l mg/l mg/l C mg/l						30.2 0.050J 43.3 31.2	34 0.66 37 36	31 0.15U 27 36	31 0.15U 26 37

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		7-26 W-7412-092398-MW7-26- 9/23/1998	7-26 DCMW7-26-1198-TI 11/4/1998	7-26 MWD1-1198-TH 11/4/1998 Duplicate	7-26 RVIW7-26-0599-TT 5/12/1999	7-26 MW7-26-1199-TI 11/8/1999	7-26 MW7-26-0500-TI 5/10/2000	7-26 MW7-26-1100-T 11/9/2000	7-26 WW7-26-0501-T1 5/21/2001	7-26 WW7-26-1101-T 11/8/2001	7 TMW7-20 5/1/
Parameter	Units										
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	180 ^J 5.3 5 10J 6.9J	140J 5 5 9.2 22	150j 5 5 9.4 22	140J 5 5 5.5 4.4	220 d 1.0 u 1.0 u 4 16	170 _D 5.3 d 1.3 u 1.8 6.0	250 8.0 u 8.0 u 8.0 u 16	130 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.4 j 8.3	220 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 44	
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	3.5 1.3 0.75	3.5 1.3 0.75	3.5 1.3 0.75	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -	
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	5.4 - 5	7.8	6.4 - 5	7.5 _J 5	5.5 в - 2.7 u	4.2 - 2.7 u	3.8 J 2.7 U	7.8 3.0 UJ	7.2 3.0 u	3
Dissolved Gases Ethane Ethene Methane General Chemistry	μg/L μg/L μg/L										
Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sultate	mg/l mg/l										

Sulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOCmg/l

7-26	7-26	7-26	7-26
W7-26-0502-T1	MW7-26-1102-D(7-26-0503	7-26-1103
5/1/2002	11/14/2002	5/8/2003	11/10/2003
110	120	48	170
2.5 u	2.5 UJ	2.5 u	2.5 u
2.5 u	2.5 UJ	2.5 u	2.5 u
2.5 u	2.0 J	2.5 u	1.4 J
4.3	8.9	2.4	37
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
4	6.4	7.1	7.6
3.0 u	3.0 u	3.0 u	3.0 u

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	۲	7-26 FD1-1103 11/10/2003 Duplicate	7-26 7-26-1104 11/11/2004	7-26 FD1-1104 11/11/2004 Duplicate	7-26 7-26-1105-NR 11/18/2005	7-26 -26-1106-ILM-00 11/3/2006	7-26 7-26-1106-ILM-003 11/3/2006 Duplicate	7-26 7-26-1107-ILM 11/2/2007	7-26 FDUP-1107-ILMG 11/2/2007 Duplicate	7-26 W-111408-TG-BPA-7-26 11/14/2008
Parameter	Units									
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	220 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.5 J 37	150 2.5u 2.5u 1.3j 31	150 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.3 J 31	120 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 14	170j 2.5 u 2.5 u 0.78j 11	170 2.5 0.24 0.85 11	110 2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 16	100 2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 20	62 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.34 J 4.4
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	-	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	8.1 3.0 u	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L									
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N)	mg/l mg/l									

Nitrate (as N)mg/lSulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOCmg/l

7-26 7-26 7-26-0-GW-111408-TG-BPA-FD-0! GW-110609-TG-7-26 11/14/2008 11/6/2009 Duplicate 11/6/2009

94 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.44 J 7.5	77 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.40J 7.3
_	_
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	,	7-26 GW-110609-TG-FD1 11/6/2009 Duplicate	7-26 GW-111610-JS-7-26 11/16/2010 Duplicate	7-26 GW-111711-AK-7-26 11/17/2011	7-26 GW-111711-AK-D1 11/17/2011 Duplicate	7-26 GW-112912-MD-7-26 11/29/2012	7-26 GW-112912-MD-FD1 11/29/2012
Parameter	Units						(Duplicate)
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	91 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.39J 9.7	140 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.30 J 15 J	130 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.30 J 11	130 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.31 J 9.9	26 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.21 J 2.0 J	40 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.22 J 3.7 J
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	- - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane <i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N)	μg/L μg/L μg/L mg/l mg/l						

Nitrate (as N)mg/lSulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOCmg/l

7-267-26GW-112613-BP-7-26GW-112613-BP-FD-111/26/201311/26/2013

(Duplicate)

51 J 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.22 J 8.5 J	100 J 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.26 J 23 J
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	,	7-26 GW-111814-BP-7-26 11/18/2014	7-26 GW-111814-BP-FD-1 11/18/2014	7-26 GW-120115-BP-7-26 12/1/2015	7-26 GW-120115-BP-FD-1 12/1/2015	7-26 GW-111716-NT-7-26 11/17/2016	7-26 GW-111716-NT-FD-1 11/17/2016
Parameter	Units		(Duplicate)				Duplicate
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	74 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.23 J 13	73 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.20 J 13	64 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.20 J 18	61 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.20 J 17	27 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.23 J 7.1	38 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 11
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -
Dissolved Gases Ethane Ethene Methane General Chemistry	μg/L μg/L μg/L						
Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate	mg/l mg/l mg/l						

Sulfate mg/l Total Organic Carbon (TOC mg/l

7-267-26GW-201117-NT-7-26GW-110618-NT-7-2611/20/201711/6/2018

24J 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.21J 8.8	12 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.22 J 0.5 U
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:	۲	7-26 GW-110618-NT-FD1 11/6/2018	7-26 GW-112519-NT-7-26 11/25/2019	7-26 GW-111820-NT-7-26 11/18/2020	7-26 GW-111820-NT-FD-1 11/18/2020	7-26 7-26-111721-RB 11/17/2021	7-26 7-26-111721-RB 11/17/2021
Parameter	Units				Duplicate		Duplicate
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	12 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.22 J 0.5 U	11 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.32 J 4.9	11 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.2	10 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.1	6.3 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 0.49	6.6 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 0.52
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -			
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - - -	- - -	- - 28100 1710J 3220	28000 666J 3220	38000 3400	36000 3400
Manganese (Dissolved) Dissolved Gases Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L			3220 2760 64 9.6 7000	5220 2760 67 11 6300	0.01U 0.01U 0.18	0.01U 0.01U 0.14
Methane General Chemistry Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC	mg/l mg/l mg/l			29.9 0.5U 40.4 16.4	29.5 0.5U 40 16.5	0.18 20 0.31U 24 19	0.14 15 0.31U 26 20

7-26 7-26-111626-MM 11/16/2022	MW1 W-7412-092298-MW1-DC 9/22/1998	MW1 MW1-1198-TR 11/4/1998
8.4 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 0.41	5 5 5 2.4 J 0.18 J	5 J 5 J 5 J 5 J 0.18 J
	4.4 1.3 0.75	3.5 1.3 0.75
	3.2	4.5
28000 2700 2700	5	5 J
-		
-		
24		

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		MW1 ' MW1-0599-TR 5/11/1999	MW1 MW1-1199-TR 11/8/1999	MW1 MW1-0500-TR 5/9/2000	MW1 MWD1-0500-TR 5/9/2000 Duplicate	MW1 MW1-1100-TR 11/9/2000	MW1 MW1-0501-TR 5/21/2001	MW1 MW1-1101-DC 11/8/2001	MW1 MW1-0502-TR 5/1/2002	MW1 MW-1-1102-DC 11/14/2002	MW1 MW-1-0503 5/8/2003
Parameter	Units										
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	10 5 5 5 26	12 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.3	59 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.5	88 2.7 U 1.0 U 2.6 11	5.7 4.0 U 4.0 U 4.0 U 2.3	41 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 16	9.2 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 0.5u	17 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 3.7	8.6 2.5 UJ 2.5 U 2.5 U 1.1	15 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.4
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	4.4 5	4.2 U 2.7 U	4.2 U 2.7 u	4.2 U 2.7 u	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	1.0 u 3.0 uj	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane <i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N)	μg/L μg/L μg/L mg/l										

Nitrate (as N)mg/lSulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOCmg/l

MW1	MW2	MW2
MW1-1103	W-7412-092298-MW2-D0	6 MW2-1198-TR
11/11/2003	9/22/1998	11/5/1998
6.2	100 J	72 J
2.5 u	5	5.3
2.5 u	5	5
2.5 u	5 J	5
0.42 J	22 J	23
-	3.5	3.5
-	1.3	1.3
-	0.75	0.75
0.05 U 3.0 u	5.3	6.0 5 J

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		MW2 V MW2-0599-TR 5/11/1999	MW2 MW2-1199-TR 11/8/1999	MW2 MW2-0500-TR 5/10/2000	MW2 MW2-1100-TR 11/9/2000	MW2 MW2-0501-TR 5/21/2001	MW2 MW2-1101-TR 11/9/2001	MW2 MW2-0502-TR 5/1/2002	MW2 MW-2-1102-DC 11/14/2002	MW2 MW-2-0503 5/8/2003	MW2 MW2-1103 11/11/2003
Parameter	Units										
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	35 5 5 5 4.4	27 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.0 u 2.0	18 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.0 u 3.0	16 8.0 U 8.0 U 8.0 U 4.0	15 2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 8.9	10 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 5.0	11 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 6.1	16 2.5 UJ 2.5 U 2.5 U 5.6	16 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 6.1	23 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 5.0
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- -	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	7.9	4.2 U 2.7 u	4.2 U 2.7 u	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	0.1 3.0 u
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L										
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N)	mg/l mg/l										

Sulfate mg/l Total Organic Carbon (TOC mg/l

MW2-27 MW2-27 W-7412-092398-MW2-27-D(W-7412-092398-MW100-DC 9/23/1998 9/23/1998 Duplicate

5	5
10	38
5	5
5 J	5 J
0.18 J	0.18 J
5.3	4.4
1.3	1.3
0.75	0.75
4.8	5.2

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		MW2-27 MW2-27-1198-T 11/4/1998	MW2-27 MW2-27-0599-T 5/12/1999	MW2-27 MW2-27-1199-TH 11/8/1999	MW2-27 MW2-27-0500-TI 5/10/2000	MW2-27 MW2-27-1100-TF 11/9/2000	MW2-27 MW2-27-0501-TI 5/21/2001	MW2-27 MW2-27-1101-TF 11/8/2001	MW2-27 MW2-27-0502-T1 5/1/2002	MW2-27 WW2-27-1102-D0 11/14/2002	MW2-27 (MW2-27-0503 5/8/2003	MW2-27 3 2-27-1103 11/10/2003	6-26 W-7412-092398-MW6-26-D 9/23/1998	6-26 0(41W6-26-1198-TF 11/4/1998
Parameter	Units													
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	5.1 5 5	5 5 5 5 0.49	0.28 J 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.0 u 0.5 _U	1.2 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.0 u 0.60	10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 5.0 U	2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 0.84	2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 0.5 u	1.0 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.80	2.5 u 2.5 uj 2.5 u 2.5 u 0.5 u	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.77	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.5 U	4.0 J 5 5 5 J 1.7 J	5.1 5 5 5 3.5
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	1.3	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	3.5 J 1.3 J 0.75 J	3.5 1.3 0.75
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	5	4.5 5	4.2 U 2.7 u	4.2 U 2.7 u	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.2 3.0 u	1.0 U 3.0 U	0.2 3.0 U	0.7 3.0 U	5.4 5	4.2 5
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane <i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate	μg/L μg/L μg/L mg/l mg/l mg/l													

Sulfate mg/l Total Organic Carbon (TOC mg/l

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		6-26 MW6-26-0599-TI 5/12/1999	6-26 WW6-26-1199-TI 11/8/1999	6-26 WW6-26-0500-TI 5/10/2000	6-26 WW6-26-1100-T 11/9/2000	6-26 WW6-26-0501-TI 5/21/2001	6-26 WW6-26-1101-TF 11/8/2001	6-26 AW6-26-0502-T 5/1/2002	6-26 MW6-26-1102-D(11/14/2002	6-26 6-26-0503 5/8/2003	6-26 6-26-1103 11/10/2003
Parameter	Units										
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	4.1 J 5 5 5 3.2	3.6 1.0u 1.0u 1.0u 2.5	2.8 1.0 u 1.0 u 0.34 J 3.0	10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 5.0 U	2.1 J 2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 2.0	2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.4	1.6 J 2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 2.3	1.2 J 2.5 UJ 2.5 U 2.5 U 1.0	1.4 J 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.0	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 1.1
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -	- -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L		4.2 u 2.7 u	4.2 u 2.7 u	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	0.47766 J 3.0 u	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	0.3 3.0 U
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane <i>General Chemistry</i>	μg/L μg/L μg/L										
Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate	mg/l mg/l mg/l										

Sulfate mg/l Total Organic Carbon (TOC mg/l

MW9	MW9	MW9		
W-7412-092398-MW9-DC	MW9-1198-TR	MW9-0599-TR		
9/23/1998	11/4/1998	5/11/1999		
5 36 5	5 6.9 5 5	5 5 5 5		
J 5	5	5		
J0.18	0.18	0.49		
J 3.5	3.5	-		
J 1.3	1.3	-		
J0.75	0.75	-		
5.3	17 J	5 J		
5	5	5		
Notes:				

В	< CRDL but >= Instrument Detection Lim
D	Compounds at secondary dilution factor
J	Estimated.
U	Non-detect at associated value.
UJ	The analyte was not detected above the
-	Not applicable.
0	Analytical parameter list modified in Janu
(2)	Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method
	Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calc
	Version 3.1, updated November 2001.
*	Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL), "Wash
	Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup I
	Sampling and Data Analysis Methods," J

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		MW9 WW9-30-1199-TH 11/8/1999	MW9-30 IW9-30-0500-T 5/9/2000	MW9-30 1W9-30-1100-T]] 11/9/2000	MW9-30 MW9-30-0501-TF 5/21/2001	MW9-30 MW9-30-1101-TF 11/8/2001	MW9-30 MW9-30-0502-T 5/1/2002	MW9-30 MW9-30-1102-D(11/14/2002	MW9-30 MW9-30-0503 5/8/2003	MW9-30 9-30-1103 11/10/2003
Parameter	Units									
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride <i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	2.7u 3.2u 3.2u 3.2u 3.2u 3.2u	1.6 U 1.6 U 1.6 U 1.6 U 1.6 U	10 u 10 u 10 u 10 u 5.0 u	2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 0.5u	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.5 U				
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	2.7 U	8.4 u 2.7 u	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	1.0J 3.0UJ	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 15.0U	0.1 3.0 u
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane <i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC	μg/L μg/L μg/L mg/l mg/l mg/l	it (IDL). sample quantitation li iary 2004. B Surface Water ulations (CLARC), ington State ³ rogram, Guidance of anuary 1995.		quantitation is an es	timated quantity.					

Geosyntec Consultants