#### WASHINGTON RANKING METHOD

#### ROUTE SCORES SUMMARY AND RANKING CALCULATION SHEET

For Sites With No Sediment Route Migration Pathways

Site name: J.H. Baxter and Company, Arlington Region: <u>NWRO</u>

Street, city, county: <u>Arlington, Snohomish</u>

2

This site was (X) ranked, () re-ranked on <u>August, 25 1992</u>, based on quintile values from \_\_\_\_\_ assessed/scored sites.

<u>Pathway</u>	Route <u>Score(s)</u>	Quintile <u>Group number(s)</u>	Priority scores:
SW-HH	<u>N.A.</u>	<u>    0                                </u>	$\frac{H^2 + 2M + L}{8} = \frac{16 + 8 + 0}{8} = 3.0$
Air-HH	_22.2	4	8 <u>8</u>
GW-HH	_52.2	4	
SW-En	<u>_N.A.</u>	0	$\frac{H^2 + 2L}{2} = \frac{0.0}{2} = 0.0 = N/A$
Air-En	0.0	<u>0</u>	7 _7

	Human Health	E	nvi	ron	men	t	
Use the matrix presented to		5	4	3	2	1	N/A
the right, along with the two							
priority scores, to determine the	5	1	1	1	1	1	1
site ranking. N/A refers to where	4	1	2	2	2	3	4
there is no applicable pathway.	3	1	2	3	4	4	5
	2	2	3	4	4	5	5

DRAFT / FINAL

Matrix ("bin") Ranking: <u>5</u>, or No Further Action

CONFIDENCE LEVEL: The relative position of this site within this bin is:

\_\_\_\_\_almost into the next higher bin. \_\_\_\_X\_right in the middle, unlikely to ever change. \_\_\_\_\_almost into the next lower bin.

1

N/A

234555

34555NFA

rev. 7/92

#### WORKSHEET 1 SUMMARY SCORE SHEET

Site Name/Location (City, County, Section/Township/Range): J.H. BAXTER AND COMPANY Arlington, Snohomish

NW 1/4 of Section 22, T31N, R5E

Site Description (Include management areas, compounds of concern, and quantities): Baxter uses pentachlorophenol (PCP) as a preservative for wood treating. Releases of the PCP solution has occurred in 1981, 1989, and 1990. Estimated volumes are 1400, 200 and 2000 gallons of pentachlorophenol, respectively. In 1990, PCP was detected in a well on the northwest corner of the property. Recent sampling indicates PCP in five of the seven wells on the site and in the soil near the retort and the yard for drying the treated logs. A trailer park is located on adjacent property to the northwest, and although potable water for the older, northerly part of the trailer park was supplied by a well, the park has abandoned the well and tied into the Arlington City water supply like the rest of the park.

<u>Management areas...</u>Contaminated soil and ground water. <u>Compounds of Concern...</u>Pentachlorophenol, Benzene, Toluene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, Benzo(a)anthracene, Chrysene, and Benzo(b)fluoranthene. <u>Quantities...</u>3600 gallons of Pentachlorophenol and Aromatic Oils, Unknown for PAHs and Creosote.

Special Considerations (Include limitations in site file data or data which cannot be accommodated in the model, but which are important in evaluating the risk associated with the site, or any other factor(s) over-riding a decision of no further action for the site):

The site is in an area that is a proposed "sole source " aquifer (Tulalip) by EPA's Office of Ground Water. The drinking water well at the trailer park adjacent to the site has been abandoned and the park has hooked up to Arlington City water. Other wells in the area are all up-gradient from the site.

#### **ROUTE SCORES:**

Surface Water/Human Health:	<u>N.A.</u>	Surface Water/Environ.:	<u>N.A.</u>
Air/Human Health:	22.2	Air/Environmental:	_0.0
Ground Water/Human Health:	52.2		

OVERALL RANK: 5

Rev. 5/31/91

#### WORKSHEET 2 ROUTE DOCUMENTATION

#### 1. SURFACE WATER ROUTE

- List substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: <u>1</u> Pentachlorophenol, Creosote, PAHs and Aromatic Oils (Benzene and Toluene).
- Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scoring. Data and information provided by Baxter and their consultants. Field reconnaissance by Ecology personnel
- List management units to be <u>considered</u> in scoring: Source: 1 Contaminated soil
- Explain basis for choice of unit used in scoring. Source: 1 Data in documentation in the files. It was determined that there is no clear surface water pathway to score.

#### 2. AIR ROUTE

- List substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: <u>1</u> Pentachlorophenol, Benzene, Toluene, Fluorene, Naphthalene, Creosote, Benzo(a)pyrene, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, Benzo(a)anthracene, Chrysene, and Benzo(b)fluoranthene.
- Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scoring. Data from documentation and data from sampling done by Ecology personnel.
- List management units to be <u>considered</u> in scoring: Source: <u>1</u> Contaminated soil
- Explain basis for choice of unit used in scoring. Some air sampling was done from drill holes. Pentachlorophenol sample did not exceed detection level of <24 ug/m3 but clean-up level is 1.7 ug/m3. However, there were high levels of the PAHs in sampling done by Ecology personnel.

#### WORKSHEET 2 (CONTINUED) ROUTE DOCUMENTATION

#### 3. GROUND WATER ROUTE

List substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1 Pentachlorophenol, Creosote, PAHs (Fluorene and Naphthalene) and Aromatic Oils (Benzene and Toluene).

Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scoring. Historical records and data from consultants work for J.H. Baxter plus the Snohomish County Health Department data.

List management units to be <u>considered</u> in scoring: Source: <u>1</u> Contaminated soil and ground water associated with monitoring wells.

Explain basis for choice of unit used in scoring. Analysis of data provided by the property owner's consultant and the Snohomish County Health Department. PAHs and creosote not detected in ground water.

3

#### WORKSHEET 3 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS WORKSHEET FOR MULTIPLE UNIT/SUBSTANCE SITES

Combination 1 Combination 2 Combination 3 Unit: NOT APPLICABLE Substance: SURFACE WATER ROUTE Human Toxicity Value: Environ. Toxicity Value: Containment Value: Surface Water Human Subscore: Surface Water Environ. Subscore: AIR ROUTE Human Toxicity/Mobility Value: Environ. Toxicity/ Mobility Value: Containment Value: Air Human Subscore: Air Environ. Subscore: GROUND WATER ROUTE Human Toxicity/ Mobility Value: Containment Value: Ground Water Subscore:

#### J.H. BAXTER, ARLINGTON SITE HAZARD ASSESSMENT

J.H. Baxter (Baxter) is a woodtreating facility in Arlington, Washington. The site is located southeast of the intersection of 67th Avenue and 188th Street, approximately two miles east of the I-5 freeway in Snohomish County (Township 31N., Range 5E., Section 22). (See Figure 1.) The site is nearly flat and low hills are present to the east. Portage Creek is approximately one mile northeast and the Stillaguamish River approximately two and one-half miles north.

The soil stratigraphy at the site typically consists of 20 to 25 feet of sand and gravel underlain by slightly silty to silty sands to at least 50 feet below the ground surface. The silty sands belong to the Marysville sand member and are believed to be at least 150 feet thick in the area. The Marysville sand is believed to lie on till, which acts as a confining layer for a deeper aquifer.

Groundwater exists in an unconfined condition throughout most of the site with the upper groundwater table present in the silty sands. The upper aquifer groundwater flows from the southeast to the northwest at the site; measured gradients range from about 0.007 to 0.03 foot per foot. The unconfined water table aquifer is a drinking water source.

Surrounding land use includes the Arlington Municipal Airport to the west, residences (including a trailer park to the northwest) less than 500 feet from the site, other light industrial businesses, farming and a municipal park.

#### SITE HISTORY

Baxter began wood treating operations at the site in 1970. Prior to 1970, 17 acres in the northeast part of the site contained a pole peeling and wood treating facility owned and operated by Ted Butcher, Inc. and similar to J.H. Baxter's. Baxter purchased that site and an additional undeveloped 28-acre parcel to the south. A third 7-acre parcel was purchased in 1978. This parcel was previously used as a gravel pit and was then used by Baxter as a woodwaste landfill.

Treatment equipment, on site, consists of a butt tank, thermal retorts and a contained tank farm. Untreated logs are stored on the southern portions of the site and treated logs are stockpiled north of the retort. (See Figure 2.)

Pentachlorophenol and creosote have been used as wood preservatives at the site, but Baxter discontinued creosote treating in 1990. Releases, in the form of spills, of the pentachlorophenol solution from the butt tank were reported in March 1981, February 1989, and January 1990. Estimated volumes of the pentachlorophenol solution released were 1400, 200, and 2000 gallons respectively. During the 1990 event, the pentachlorophenol solution flowed across the ground surface toward the northwest, where it ponded in a small depression, and toward the south where it was contained in a holding area by personnel on the site.

In May, 1990, pentachlorophenol at a concentration of 150 ug/l was detected in a well completed in the upper unconfined aquifer in the northwest corner of the property. Further testing of seven monitoring wells detected contamination in six of the wells that ranged from 0.005 to 0.44 ug/l and indicated the presence of a plume of pentachlorophenol extending to the north-northwest.

A trailer park is located on an adjacent property to the northwest. Potable water for a portion of the trailer park is supplied by a single well. The well is screened in the upper aquifer. Pentachlorophenol has not been detected in the well water collected and analyzed by the Snohomish County Health Department, but the proximity and direction of the "penta" plume indicates there could be a problem in the future. Baxter extended an offer to the owner to pay for the cost of hooking up the trailer park to city water. The trailer park owner has not accepted the offer. The site was ranked on the understanding that the hookup was going to If the site was ranked on the premise at groundwater occur. usage for a public water supply and on the proximity of the trailer park well, the ranking would probably have been a "2" rather than a "4".

## SAMPLING DATA AND INFORMATION

Ground water sampling for J.H. Baxter was conducted by Woodward-Clyde Consultants. The presence of Pentachlorophenol in the upper aquifer is mentioned previously in this report. Sampling was done in August and October, 1991. Monitoring well locations are indicated in Figure 2. Results of the sampling are shown in Table 1. This data was used in scoring the ground water pathway for the WARM Ranking.

The Department of Ecology could not find a surface water route to sample. The soil on the site is primarily sand and liquids do not run off the site but readily sink into the ground. There are "storm drains" on the site but these discharge directly to the ground, and therefore are considered part of the groundwater rather than the surface water. system to a treatment facility. We therefore felt that the Surface Water route could not be scored for the WARM Ranking. The Department of Ecology conducted soil sampling, March 25, 1992. Sampling was done by Elaine Atkinson and Judith Aitken. Four samples and duplicates were taken. Figure 3 shows the locations of the samples. Locations were as follows:

<u>Sample 40 (J.H. Baxter, #3-25-1JHB)</u> The sample wastaken at the south end of the old drip pad and retortwhere the logs now enter the retort. It is adjacent tothe end of the pad and to the left of the railroadtracks.</u>

<u>Sample 41 (J.H. Baxter, #3-25-2JHB)</u> The sample is at the north end of the new drip pad, actually eight (8) feet from the end of the pad, about half way between the pad and the "storm" drain.

<u>Sample 42 (J.H. Baxter, #3-25-3JHB)</u> This sample was taken at the south end of the treated log storage area, directly north of the housing near the butt tank in the area where the treated wood had been stacked and thirty (30) feet from the railroad spur.

<u>Sample 43 (J.H. Baxter, #3-25-4JHB)</u> This sample was taken at the north end of the treated wood area, in the silty soil beneath a pile of treated telephone poles. The poles in the pile were both butt-treated and treated in the retort.

This information was considered when scoring the Air route for the WARM ranking.

#### <u>RESULTS</u>

The soil sampling indicated the presence of Pentachlorophenol, Benzo(a)pyrene, Di-Benzo(a,h)anthracene, Benzo(a)anthracene, Chrysene, Carbazole, Pyrene, Fluoranthene and Benzo(b)fluoranthene in excess of MTCA Level B Cleanup Levels.(See Table 2) The sites that were closest to the retort were contaminated at higher levels. Almost all of the analytes were positively identified but the numerical values are estimates.

The presence of Pentachlorophenol, Benzene, and Benzo(a)pyrene in the confirmed spills allows the air pathway to be scored. Three thousand six- hundred gallons of preservative were spilled. The Ambient Air Standards for Pentachlorophenol is 1.7 ug/m3. All surface soil samples indicated "penta" in excess of 6000 ug/kg.

The site ranked "4" on the Site Hazard Assessment Ranking. The driving force for the ranking is the location of the drinking water wells. As stated before, the drinking water well at the trailer park (northwest of the site) was supposed to be abandoned and the trailers hooked up to the City of Arlington drinking water. The hookup is being negotiated between J.H. Baxter and the trailer park owners but is presently at an impasse (as of 12-17-92). If accord is not reached, the site will have to be reranked and will fall in a much higher quintile because of the trailer park's proximity.

<u>Bibliography</u>

Snohomish Health District. <u>Analysis of Airway Home Park</u> <u>Well for Pentachlorophenol (PCP).</u> March 12, 1992.

Woodward-Clyde Consultants. <u>Final Report - Soil and</u> <u>Groundwater Investigations, J.H. Baxter, Arlington, Wa. Wood</u> <u>Treating Facility.</u> December, 1990. Sweet-Edwards/EMCON, Inc. <u>Hydrogeologic Report, J.H. Baxter</u> <u>South Woodwaste Landfill, Arlington, Washington.</u> January, 1989.

Woodward-Clyde Consultants. <u>Phase II Groundwater</u> <u>Investigation Work Plan.</u> June, 1991.

Department of Ecology, Manchester Laboratories. <u>Sampling</u> <u>Results, J.H. Baxter, Arlington.</u> June, 1992.

Department of Ecology. <u>Fall 1992 update to Cleanup</u> <u>Standards Database.</u> November, 1992.

Department of Ecology. <u>Summary Score Sheets - WARM</u>. August, 1992. February 1989, and January 1990. Estimated volumes of the pentachlorophenol solution released were 1400, 200, and 2000 gallons respectively. During the 1990 event, the pentachlorophenol solution flowed across the ground surface toward the northwest, where it ponded in a small depression, and toward the south where it was contained in a holding area by personnel on the site.

In May, 1990, pentachlorophenol at a concentration of 150 ug/l was detected in a well completed in the upper unconfined aquifer in the northwest corner of the property. Further testing of seven monitoring wells detected contamination in six of the wells that ranged from 0.005 to 0.44 ug/l and indicated the presence of a plume of pentachlorophenol extending to the north-northwest.

A trailer park is located on an adjacent property to the northwest. Potable water for a portion of the trailer park is supplied by a single well. The well is screened in the upper aquifer. Pentachlorophenol has not been detected in the well water collected and analyzed by the Snohomish County Health Department, but the proximity and direction of the "penta" plume indicates there could be a problem in the future. Baxter extended an offer to the owner to pay for the cost of hooking up the trailer park to city water and the trailer park owner accepted. To date (12/14/92), however, the details have not been worked out to the satisfaction of the parties involved. The site was ranked on the understanding that the hookup was going to occur.

#### SAMPLING DATA AND INFORMATION

Ground water sampling for J.H. Baxter was conducted by Woodward Clyde Consultants, and the presence of Pentachlorophenol in the upper aquifer has been mentioned before in this report. Sampling was done in August and October, 1991. Monitoring well locations are indicated in Figure 2. Results of the sampling are shown in Table 1. This data was used in scoring the ground water pathway for the WARM Ranking.

The Department of Ecology could not find a surface water route to sample. The soil on the site is primarily sand and liquids do not run off the site but readily sink into the ground. There are "storm drains" on the site but they do not lead anywhere and do not act as a collection system to a treatment facility. We therefore felt that the Surface Water route could not be scored for the WARM Ranking.

The Department of Ecology conducted soil sampling, March 25, 1992. Sampling was done by Elaine Atkinson and Judith Aitken. Four samples and duplicates were taken. Figure 3 shows the locations of the samples. Locations were as follows:

<u>Sample 40 (J.H. Baxter, #3-25-1JHB)</u> The sample was taken at the south end of the old drip pad and retort where the logs now enter the retort. It is adjacent to the end of the pad and to the left of the railroad tracks.

<u>Sample 41 (J.H. Baxter, #3-25-2JHB)</u> The sample is at the north end of the new drip pad, actually eight (8) feet from the end of the pad, about half way between the pad and the "storm" drain.

<u>Sample 42 (J.H. Baxter, #3-25-3JHB)</u> This sample was taken at the south end of the treated log storage area, directly north of the housing near the butt tank in the area where the treated wood had been stacked and thirty (30) feet from the railroad spur.

<u>Sample 43 (J.H. Baxter, #3-25-4JHB)</u> This sample was taken at the north end of the treated wood area, in the silty soil beneath a pile of treated telephone poles. The poles in the pile were both butt-treated and treated in the retort.

#### <u>RESULTS</u>

The soil sampling indicated the presence of Pentachlorophenol, Benzo(a)pyrene, Di-Benzo(a,h)anthracene, Benzo(a)anthracene, Chrysene, and Benzo(b)fluoranthene in excess of MTCA Level B Cleanup Levels.(See Table 2) The sites that were closest to the retort were contaminated at higher levels. Almost all of the analytes were positively identified but the numerical values are estimates.

The site ranked a 4 on the Site Hazard Assessment Ranking. The driving force for the ranking is the location of the drinking water wells. The drinking water well at the trailer park (northwest of the site) was supposed to be abandoned and the trailers hooked up to the City of Arlington drinking water. The hookup is being negotiated between J.H. Baxter and the trailer park owners but is presently at an impasse (as of 12-14-92). If accord is not reached, the site will have to be reranked and will fall in a much higher quintile because of the trailer park's proximity.

#### WORKSHEET 1 SUMMARY SCORE SHEET

Site Name/Location (City, County, Section/Township/Range): J.H. BAXTER AND COMPANY Arlington, Snohomish

NW 1/4 of Section 22, T31N, R5E

Site Description (Include management areas, compounds of concern, and quantities): Baxter uses pentachlorophenol (PCP) as a preservative for wood treating. Releases of the PCP solution has occurred in 1981, 1989, and 1990. Estimated volumes are 1400, 200 and 2000 gallons of pentachlorophenol, respectively. In 1990, PCP was detected in a well on the northwest corner of the property. Recent sampling indicates PCP in five of the seven wells on the site and in the soil near the retort and the yard for drying the treated logs. A trailer park is located on adjacent property to the northwest, and although potable water for the older, northerly part of the trailer park was supplied by a well, the park has abandoned the well and tied into the Arlington City water supply like the rest of the park.

<u>Management areas...</u>Contaminated soil and ground water. <u>Compounds of Concern...</u>Pentachlorophenol, Benzene, Toluene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, Benzo(a)anthracene, Chrysene, and Benzo(b)fluoranthene. <u>Quantities...</u>3600 gallons of Pentachlorophenol and Aromatic Oils, Unknown for PAHs and Creosote.

Special Considerations (Include limitations in site file data or data which cannot be accommodated in the model, but which are important in evaluating the risk associated with the site, or any other factor(s) over-riding a decision of no further action for the site):

The site is in an area that is a proposed "sole source " aquifer (Tulalip) by EPA's Office of Ground Water. The drinking water well at the trailer park adjacent to the site has been abandoned and the park has hooked up to Arlington City water. Other wells in the area are all up-gradient from the site.

#### ROUTE SCORES:

Surface Water/Human Health:	<u>N.A.</u>	Surface Water/Environ.:	<u>N.A.</u>
Air/Human Health:	_22.2	Air/Environmental:	0.0
Ground Water/Human Health:	52.2		

Rev. 5/31/91

OVERALL RANK: 4

#### WORKSHEET 2 ROUTE DOCUMENTATION

#### 1. SURFACE WATER ROUTE

- List substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1 Pentachlorophenol, Creosote, PAHs and Aromatic Oils (Benzene and Toluene).
- Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scoring. Data and information provided by Baxter and their consultants. Field reconnaissance by Ecology personnel
- List management units to be <u>considered</u> in scoring: Source:<u>1</u> Contaminated soil
- Explain basis for choice of unit used in scoring. Source: 1 Data in documentation in the files. It was determined that there is no clear surface water pathway to score.

#### 2. AIR ROUTE

- List substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1 Pentachlorophenol, Benzene, Toluene, Fluorene, Naphthalene, Creosote, Benzo(a)pyrene, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, Benzo(a)anthracene, Chrysene, and Benzo(b)fluoranthene.
- Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scoring. Data from documentation and data from sampling done by Ecology personnel.
- List management units to be <u>considered</u> in scoring: Source: <u>1</u> Contaminated soil
- Explain basis for choice of unit used in scoring. Some air sampling was done from drill holes. Pentachlorophenol sample did not exceed detection level of <24 ug/m3 but clean-up level is 1.7 ug/m3. However, there were high levels of the PAHs in sampling done by Ecology personnel.

#### WORKSHEET 2 (CONTINUED) ROUTE DOCUMENTATION

#### 3. GROUND WATER ROUTE

- List substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Pentachlorophenol, Creosote, PAHs (Fluorene and Naphthalene) and Aromatic Oils (Benzene and Toluene).
- Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scoring. Historical records and data from consultants work for J.H. Baxter plus the Snohomish County Health Department data.
- List management units to be <u>considered</u> in scoring: Source: 1 Contaminated soil and ground water associated with monitoring wells.
- Explain basis for choice of unit used in scoring. Analysis of data provided by the property owner's consultant and the Snohomish County Health Department. PAHs and creosote not detected in ground water.

Source: 1

#### WORKSHEET 3 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS WORKSHEET FOR MULTIPLE UNIT/SUBSTANCE SITES

Combination 1 Combination 2 Combination 3

Unit: NOT APPLICABLE

Substance:

#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE

Human Toxicity Value:

Environ. Toxicity Value:

Containment Value:

Surface Water Human Subscore:

Surface Water Environ. Subscore:

#### AIR ROUTE

```
Human Toxicity/Mobility
Value:
```

Environ. Toxicity/ Mobility Value:

Containment Value:

Air Human Subscore:

Air Environ. Subscore:

#### GROUND WATER ROUTE

Human Toxicity/ Mobility Value:

Containment Value:

Ground Water Subscore:

#### WORKSHEET 4 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

# 1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS NOT SCORED, NOT APPLICABLE

1.1 Human Toxicity

.

	Drinking			
	Water	Chronic	Acute	Carcino-
Substance	Standard	Toxicity	Toxicity	genicity
Subscance	<u>(ug/l) Val.</u>	<u>(mq/kq/day)</u> Val.	(mg/kg-bw) Val.	<u>WOE PF<sup>*</sup> Val.</u>

There is no surface water pathway. The water that occurs or falls on the surface does not go off-site due to extreme soil porosity, and there are no targets or receptors.

\*Potency Factor

Source:\_\_\_\_\_ Highest Value:\_\_\_\_\_ +2 Bonus Points?\_\_\_\_ Final Toxicity Value\_\_\_\_

1.2 Environmental Toxicity

	Acute Criteria	Non-human M Acute Tox		
Substance	<u>(ug/1)</u>	(mg/kg)	Value	Source: Value:
2. 3.				
4. 5.				
6.				

1.3 Substance Quantity Source: Value: Value:

#### WORKSHEET 4 (CONTINUED) SURFACE WATER ROUTE

#### 2.0 MIGRATION POTENTIAL

2.1	Containment	Source:	Value:
	Explain basis:	<u> </u>	
2.2	Surface Soil Permeability:	Source:	Value:
2.3	Total Annual Precipitation: inches	Source:	Value:
2.4	Max. 2-Yr/24-hour Precipitation: inches	Source:	Value:
2.5	Flood Plain:	Source:	Value:
2.6	Terrain Slope:%	Source:	Value:
3.0	TARGETS		
3.1	Distance to Surface Water:	Source:	Value:
3.2	Population Served within 2 miles: $\sqrt{pop}$ .=	Source:	Value:
3.3	Area Irrigated within 2 miles: 0.75 no. acres=	Source:	Value:
3.4	Distance to Nearest Fishery Resource:	Source:	Value:
3 5	Distance to, and Name(s) of, Nearest Sensitive		
5.5	Environment(s)	Source:	Value:
4.0	<b>RELEASE</b> Explain basis for scoring a release to surface	Source	Values
	water:	304FCE:	•atue:
		•	

#### WORKSHEET 5 AIR ROUTE

#### 1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

- 1.1 Introduction (WARM Scoring Manual) Please review before scoring
- 1.2 Human Toxicity

	Air Standa	ard	Chronic Toxicit		Acute			arcino	-
Substance	$(uq/m^3)$			-	Toxicity			enici	
		var.	(mg/kg/day)	var.	(mg/kg-bw)	val.	WOI	<u>s pr</u>	val.
1.Pentachloro-		•				_			
phenol	1.7	9		ND		ND			ND
2.Benzene	0.12	10		ND	31947(rat)	3	A	0.11	5
3.Benzo(a)									
pyrene	0.0006	10		ND		ND			ND
4.									
5.									
6.									
					+2 Bonus Poi Final			-	10.
					FINEL		LUIU	Y VAL	ue
1.3 Mobi	ility (Use	numbe	ers to refer	to abo	ove listed su	bstan	ices	)	
1.3.1 0	Gaseous Mol	bility	7						
۲	apor Pres	sure ( s	s): <u>l= 2 ; 2=</u>	4 ;	<u>3= 2</u> Sou	rce:_	3	_	
-	4= ; 5:	=	; 6=		Va	lue:	4		
1 2 2 1	) a while is a last.	o Vobi	1:						
	Particulat		-		<b>6</b>				
						rce:_			
I		¥ •			Va	lue:_			

#### 1.4 Final Human Health Toxicity/Mobility Matrix

Climatic Factor:\_\_\_\_\_

Value: 24

#### 1.5 Environmental Toxicity/Mobility

	Non-human Mammalian			
Substance	Acute Toxicity	<u>Value</u>	Mobility	<u>Value</u>
1.Pentachloro-				
phenol	ND	ND		ND
2.Benzene	319 <b>4</b> 7 (rat)	3	4	6
3.Benzo(a)-				
pyrene	ND	ND		ND

Environmental Toxicity/Mobility Matrix Source: 2 Value: 6

### WORKSHEET 5 (CONTINUED) AIR ROUTE

,

£

1.6	Substance Quantity: <u>3600 gallon spills to ground,</u> Explain basis: <u>no containment</u>	Source: <u>1</u>	Value:_	4
		- - -		
2.0	MIGRATION POTENTIAL			
2.1	Containment: <u>None - no vapor recovery system,</u> Spill directly to ground surface.	Source: <u>3</u>	Value:_	_10
3.0	TARGETS			
3.1	Nearest Population: < 200 feet	Source: 1	Value:_	10
3.2	Distance to, and Name(s) of, Nearest Sensitive Environment(s)	Source: 1	Value:_	0
3.3	Population within 0.5 miles: <a href="https://population=139">vpopulation=139</a>	Source: 1	Value:_	12
4.0	RELEASE			
	Explain basis for scoring a release to air:	Source: <u>1</u>	Value:_	0

#### WORKSHEET 6 GROUND WATER ROUTE

#### 1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 Human Toxicity

	Drink: Wateı Standa	:	Chronic Toxicity		Acute Toxicit			Carcin genici	-
Substance	<u>(ug/1)</u>	Val.					wo	F PF*	val.
1.Pentachloro-					<u></u>	<u></u>			Var.
phenol	0.1	10	0.0008	1		ND	B2	0.12	4
2.Benzene	5	8		ND	3306(rat)	3	A	0.029	5
3.Toluene	2000	2	0.2	1	5000(rat)	3	-		ND
4.Fluorene	0.2	10	0.04	1		ND	-		ND
5.Naphthalene	20	6	0.004	3	490(rat)	5	-		ND
*Potency Factor					+2 Bon	est V us Po	alue ant:	e: <u>2</u> e: <u>10</u> s? <u>2</u> y Valu	
1.2 Mobility (U) Cations/Anio	se number ons	s to	refer to ab	ove lis	ted substanc	es) ce:	3	Value	:3
Solubility(r	mg/l) <u>1.=</u> _ <u>5.=</u>	<u>    1,  2</u> 1,  a	.= 3, 3.= 2	<u>, 4.= 3</u>					
1.3 Substance Qu Explain bas the ground 1981, 1989	is: <u>A tot</u> . Three	al of	ate inciden	ns spil ts occu	Sour led to rred in	ce:	1	Value	:5
the ground	is: <u>A tot</u> . Three , and 199	al of	3600 gallo ate inciden	ns spil ts occu	Sour led to rred in	ce:	1	Value	:5
Explain bas the ground 1981, 1989	is: <u>A tot</u> . Three , and 199 OTENTIAL is: <u>None</u>	<u>separ</u> 0. - Spi	3600 gallo ate inciden 11 to soil,	ns spil ts occu	Sour <u>led to</u> <u>rred in</u> Sour			<b>Value</b> <b>Value</b>	
Explain bas: <u>the ground</u> <u>1981, 1989</u> 2.0 MIGRATION PO 2.1 Containment Explain bas: <u>therefore</u> ,	is: <u>A tot</u> . <u>Three</u> , <u>and 199</u> OTENTIAL is: <u>None</u> no conta	<u>separ</u> 00. - Spi	<u>3600 gallo</u> ate inciden <u>11 to soil,</u> t.	ns spil ts occu overfl	Sour <u>led to</u> <u>rred in</u> Sour <u>ow,</u>	ce:	1	Value	:10
Explain bas the ground 1981, 1989 2.0 MIGRATION PC 2.1 Containment Explain bas	is: <u>A tot</u> . <u>Three</u> , <u>and 199</u> OTENTIAL is: <u>None</u> <u>no conta</u> tation:	<u>separ</u> 90. - Spi	3600 gallo ate inciden 11 to soil, t. 25.6	overfl	Sour <u>led to</u> <u>rred in</u> Sour <u>ow,</u> <u>s</u> Sour	ce:	1	Value Value	: <u>10</u> : <u>3</u>

#### WORKSHEET 6 (CONTINUED) GROUND WATER ROUTE

#### 3.0 TARGETS

3.1 Ground Water Usage: <u>Private and public water supply</u>, Source: <u>1</u> Value: <u>9</u> <u>no other source since public wells draw</u> <u>from same aquifer.</u>

3.2 Distance to Nearest Drinking Water Well: < 750 ft Source: 1 Value: 4

3.3 Population Served within 2 Miles:  $\sqrt{population=581}$  Source: 1 Value: 24

3.4 Area Irrigated by (Groundwater) Wells within 2 miles: 0.75 no.acres=420 Source: 1 Value: 15

#### 4.0 RELEASE

Explain basis for scoring a release to ground water: <u>The release of pentachlorophenol has been</u> <u>reported by Baxter and their consultants</u>

Source: 1 Value: 5

#### SOURCES USED IN SCORING

1.WDOE, Site Hazard Assessment Data Collection Summary Sheets for the Washington Ranking Method. J.H. Baxter, Arlington. June 1992

2.SAIC, Toxicology Database for Use in the Warm Scoring. January 1992

3.SAIC and Parametrix. Washington Ranking Method Scoring Manual. Washington State Department of Ecology, Toxic Cleanup Program. Revised April 1992

# TABLE 2SOIL CONTAMINANTS(ug/kg in soil) Method B

MTCA Std. (B) Sample 40 Sample 41 Sample 42 Dup. Dup. Sample 43 Dup. 172.0 2300J\* 390 U 570J\* Benzo(a)pyrene 3000J\* 180000 U 450 UJ 8900 UJ 172.0 1200J\* 710J\* 160J\* 460000 UJ Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene 390 U 1100 UJ 23000 UJ Benzo(a)anthracene 172.0 2600\* 7100 U 390 U 2600J\* 180000 U 450 U 8900 U Acenaphthene 4.8E+03 46J 7100 U 390 U 900 U 180000 U 450 U 8900 U 900 U Fluorene 3.2E+03 110J 1303\* 390 U 180000 U 8900 U 450 U 2400J\* 2300 UJ Carbazole 5.0E+01 1100J\* 2000 UJ 4600 UJ 930000 UJ 46000 UJ 833 Pentachlorophenol 06400J\* 64000J\* 6000J\*  $1.9 \times 10^{6} J^{*}$  $1.4 \times 10^{6} J^{+}$ 31000\* 31000 J\* Anthracene 2.4E+04 870\* 540J\* 375\* 900 U 180000 U 450J 8900 UJ 2.4E+03 7600J\* 260J\* 180000 U 770J\* 8900 UJ 6700J\* 13000J\* Pyrene 3.2E+03 9200\* 6500J\* 160J\* 5700J\* 310J\* 8900 UJ Fluoranthene 180000 U 2600\* 5100J\* 170J\* 2400J\* 180000 U 390J 8900 UJ 172.0 Chrysene 8900 UJ 1200J\* 180000 U Benzo(b)fluoranthene 172.0 8200J\* 7800\* 180J\* 310J

J = The analyte was positively identified, the associated numerical value is an estimate.

\* = The analyte was present in the sample.

UJ = The analyte was not detected at or above the reported estimated result.

U = The analyte was not detected at or above the reported value.

Those samples containing contaminants greater than MTCA cleanup standards are in bold and underlined.

Method B Formula values as of Fall 1992.

# J.H. BAXTER, ARLINGTON, WASHINGTON

			August 199	l		October 1991				
Well ID	Pentachloro- phenol, mg/L	pН	Tempera- ture, C	Specific Conductivity, S/cm <sup>2</sup>	Water Level Elevation, ft	Pentachloro- phenol, mg/L	pН	Tempera- ture, C	Specific Conductivity, S/cm	Water Level Elevation, ft
MW-1	<0.0002	6.32	11.0	61	72.9	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-2	NS	NS	NS	ыs	NS	0.0083	6.22	10.7	170	60.5
MW-3	0.44	б.14	11.9	75	63.1	0.21 0.44'	6.27 5.95	9.8 10.0	120 135	60.7
BXS-1	0.052 0.047 (dup)	6.03	12.8	290	62.4	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
BXS-2	0.0006	6.23	15.6	<b>55</b> 0	63.7	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
BXS-3	<0.0002 0.034 (dup)	6.37	17.9	460	66.1	0.0005	NS	NS	640	63.8
BXS-4	0.0013	7.79	12.9	140	82.8	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 1. Monitoring Results – GROUND WATER

<sup>1</sup> sample collected after 4600 gallon purge

TAKEN FROM WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS, DECEMBER

1990

<sup>2</sup> microslemens per centimeter

NS denotes not sampled









	(in )	Table 1of Groundwaterparts per million,ampling Events		
Contaminant	16 Oct	25 Oct	13 Nov	EPA Drinking Wate MCL
		<u>MW-2</u>		
Trichloroethylene	1.05	0.830	3.80	0.005
Tetrachloroethylene	0.418	.196	.994	0.005
1, 2, transdichloroethylene 3.41		20.08	26.73	0.007
		MW-3		
Trichloroethylene		0.027	0.007	0.005
Tetrachloroethylene		< 0.001	0.002	0.005
1, 2, transdichloroethylene		< 0.001	< 0.001	0.007