Hi Anders,

Sorry for the delays in getting back to you. Here is Ecology's feedback on the sediments characterization at the Site:

Based on Ecology's preliminary review, no cleanup of sediments at the Site appears to be warranted at this time. Prior to closing out this media as a unit within a No Further Action (NFA) letter for the Site, Ecology will need to review additional information regarding historical operations at the Site, particularly as they pertain to past use of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Based on the additional provided information, it is possible that additional questions regarding the sediment media could be identified.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Frank

Frank P. Winslow, LHG

WA Expedited VCP Site Manager Department of Ecology – Toxics Cleanup Program 1250 W. Alder Street, Union Gap, WA 98903 (509) 424-0543 (cell)

Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov

From: Anders Utter <Anders.Utter@apexcos.com>
Sent: Friday, November 3, 2023 10:18 AM
To: Winslow, Frank (ECY) <fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Cc: John Foxwell <John.Foxwell@ApexCos.com>
Subject: RE: [EXT] RE: Dagmars Marina - Supplemental RI Report - Sediment Characterization

Hi Frank,

Please see a revised version of the report incorporating your comments mentioned below. To follow up on the comments please see additional responses below.

- The SCO and CSL units for dibenzofuran in Table 3 were adjusted to the correct units.
- Tidal backwater effects of the Snohomish River extend to at least river mile 18.1 (section 6.1). We do not have data quantifying the saltwater/freshwater interface; however, we screened all data against freshwater and saltwater SCOs and CSLs because the site location being within river mile 1 and 2 of the Snohomish River. Comparing against freshwater and saltwater SCOs

and CSLs is consistent with Ecology's request for the adjacent Buse Timber and Sales cleanup site, so we applied the same reasoning here.

• Email correspondence stating "no additional characterization or cleanup of sediments at the Site appears to be warranted at this time" should be sufficient. Given the timing constraints it is probably the best we can ask for. We assume a more formal NFA determination (or similar) would be part of the final decision document for the entire site.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,



Privacy Notice: This message and any attachment(s) hereto are intended solely for the individual(s) listed in the masthead. This message may contain information that is privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any review, dissemination or use of this message or its contents by persons other than the addressee(s) is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete the message from your system. Thank you.

From: Winslow, Frank (ECY) <fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Sent: Thursday, November 2, 2023 2:16 PM
To: Anders Utter <Anders.Utter@apexcos.com>
Cc: John Foxwell <John.Foxwell@ApexCos.com>
Subject: [EXT] RE: Dagmars Marina - Supplemental RI Report - Sediment Characterization

CAUTION

Hi Anders,

The results look positive. I will get with my sediments expert and work toward getting their response as soon as possible. A few quick comments:

--There may be one typo in Table 3. The SCO and CSL (marine) for dibenzofuran are listed as 0.015 mg/kg and 0.058 mg/kg. The SCUM table (Table 8-1 of the attached) has 15 mg/kg and 58 mg/kg. The units in this table vary so I can see how a units error could easily happen. Please verify ASAP, and if I am correct, the report table and text regarding dibenzofuran should be corrected.

--SCUM Table 10-1 should probably be referenced within Table 4 (Puget Sound Background footnote).

--For the sulfide detection at BL-2SS of 61.9 mg/kg, Table 5 only had the SCO of 39 mg/kg and not the CSL of 61 mg/kg. I believe both should be included within the table. I will run this by my sediments expert but anticipate the very slight exceedance of the CSL should not be grounds for additional characterization, especially when sulfides were non-detect in the remaining samples.

--I assume the river is estuarine in this area – do we have data to conclude how much the river water at this location can be considered freshwater versus marine? Forgive me if it this was discussed within the report and I missed it.

Please check and see if email concurrence that "no additional characterization or cleanup of sediments at the Site appears to be warranted at this time" would be sufficient to meet your client's need? Note that this email is not making that statement, but rather, I anticipate that might be the language we would use. A letter could be more problematic to meet your schedule needs and is a bit out of the box for our standard opinion letters. I am not currently sure if Ecology can issue a letter providing a NFA solely for the sediments unit of the Site.

Thanks, Frank

Frank P. Winslow, LHG

WA Expedited VCP Site Manager Department of Ecology – Toxics Cleanup Program 1250 W. Alder Street, Union Gap, WA 98903 (509) 424-0543 (cell)

Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov

From: Anders Utter <<u>Anders.Utter@apexcos.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, November 2, 2023 11:19 AM
To: Winslow, Frank (ECY) <<u>fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV</u>>
Cc: Jeff Pustizzi <<u>jeff@alterraproperty.com</u>>; John Foxwell <<u>John.Foxwell@ApexCos.com</u>>
Subject: Dagmars Marina - Supplemental RI Report - Sediment Characterization

Frank,

Attached above is the Dagmars Marina Supplemental Remedial Investigation Report. This report discusses sediment characterization activities of the Site's sediment unit where data gaps were identified by Ecology.

We are working with a tight deadline due to the clients purchase and sale agreement ending on 11/14. The client is requesting comments by 11/10 in order to make a determination on the purchase of this property. We are very aware this is a steep ask and very short timeframe that is driven by the seller, however we are hoping Ecology can accommodate. After review of the report, we hope that the promising results will also help with a speedy review.

Feel free to let me know if you have any questions regarding the review or the timeline.

We appreciate you working with us on this.

Thanks,



Privacy Notice: This message and any attachment(s) hereto are intended solely for the individual(s) listed in the masthead. This message may contain information that is privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any review, dissemination or use of this message or its contents by persons other than the addressee(s) is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete the message from your system. Thank you.

This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.