

32001 32nd Avenue South, Suite 100 Federal Way, Washington 98001 253-835-6400

Annual 2020 Groundwater and Cap Compliance Monitoring Report BNSF Hillyard Dross Cap Spokane, Washington

8 September 2020

Prepared for

BNSF Railway Company

605 Puyallup Avenue Tacoma, Washington 98421

KJ Project No. 2096114.02

ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER AND CAP COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORT BNSF Hillyard Dross Cap Spokane, Washington

Prepared by:

KENNEDY/JENKS CONSULTANTS, INC. 32001 32nd Avenue South, Suite 100 Federal Way, WA 98001 (253) 835-6400



alice Rolinan

Alice Robinson, Project Manager

9/8/2020

9/8/2020

Ryan Hultgren, PE, Principal Engineer

Date

Date

September 2020

KJ 2096114.00

Table of Contents

List of Tables			i
List of Figures.			ii
List of Appendi	ices		ii
Section 1:	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
Section 2:	2020) Groundwater Sampling	2
	2.1	General	2
	2.2	Groundwater Elevation and Hydraulic Gradient Direction	2
	2.3	Groundwater Analytical Results	2
	2.4	2020 Groundwater Statistical Analysis	3
Section 3:	Оре	ration and Maintenance Activities	4
	3.1	General	4
	3.2	Dross Encapsulation Cell	4
	3.3	Stormwater Conveyance, Evaporation, and Disposal System	4
	3.4	Monitoring Wells	4
	3.5	Other Physical Features	5
Section 4:	Sum	mary	6
	4.1	Departures from Consent Decree	6
	4.2	Groundwater Elevations and Estimated Flow	6
	4.3	Groundwater Analytical Results	6
	4.4	Site Conditions	6
	4.5	Planned Future Activities	6
Section 5:	Refe	erences	7

List of Tables

- 1 Summary of Groundwater Level Measurements
- 2 Summary of Water Quality Parameters
- 3 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results
- 4 Statistical Analysis Summary
- 5 Summary of Evaporation Pond Water Levels

List of Figures

- 1 Vicinity Map
- 2 Site Map
- 3 Groundwater Potentiometric Surface Contours: April 2020
- 4 Chloride Concentrations and MW-5 Groundwater Elevation
- 5 Nitrate-Nitrogen Concentrations and MW-5 Groundwater Elevation
- 6 Nitrite-Nitrogen Concentrations and MW-5 Groundwater Elevation

List of Appendices

- A Washington State Department of Ecology 2013 Periodic Review
- B Monitoring Well Sampling Field Logs
- C Field Methods
- D Laboratory Analytical Report
- E 2020 MTCA Stat Output

Section 1: Introduction

This report summarizes the groundwater and compliance monitoring activities conducted during 2020 at the BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) Hillyard Dross Cap site (Site), located at the southwestern intersection of Wellesley Avenue and Ferrall Street in Spokane, Washington. The Site is also referred to as the Aluminum Recycling Corporation Site in the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Consent Decree No. 01202037-9 (Ecology 2001). The Site location relative to the surrounding area is illustrated on Figure 1. Major Site features are displayed on Figure 2.

1.1 Background

The Final Remedial Action Work Plan (FRAWP) for the Site was completed in 2001 (EMR 2001). The Final Cleanup Action Plan (FCAP), including the Operations and Maintenance Plan, was completed in July 2003 (GeoEngineers 2003). Ecology completed a second 5-year periodic review of the Site in March 2013. Ecology concluded that "contaminant concentrations are showing improvements" and "the measures that were taken for the original cleanup action remain protective today" (Ecology 2013). The 2013 Periodic Review is included as Appendix A. The contaminants of concern (COCs) for the Site include chloride, nitrate (as nitrogen), and nitrite (as nitrogen). The cleanup levels (CULs), which are defined in the FCAP, are 250 milligrams per liter (mg/L) for chloride, 10 mg/L for nitrate, and 1 mg/L for nitrite. Fluoride was also a COC at the Site prior to 2013. However, Ecology removed fluoride from the COC list in the 2013 5-year periodic review based on a statistical analysis of the data collected to date (see Appendix A).

In a 30 July 2014 email, Ecology requested that BNSF establish criteria for a data evaluation to determine whether compliance with the groundwater CULs was being achieved (Ecology 2014).

Ecology recommended conducting a statistical analysis of a rolling dataset to evaluate attainment of CULs. Based on Ecology's publication 94-49, "*Guidance on Sampling and Data Analysis Methods*", attainment of CULs is evaluated by comparing the 95 percent upper confidence limit (95% UCL) of the mean (calculated from sampling data) to the CUL. Ecology recommended using a rolling data set of the most recent 20 samples (5 years of quarterly data) for the analysis. The constituent in the designated well is considered to have met the CUL if, after evaluating the last 20 samples at a given monitoring well, the 95% UCL of the mean is lower than the CUL and no single sample exceeds twice the CUL and less than 10% of the samples exceed the CUL. The statistical analysis will be conducted on an annual basis and reported to Ecology. The Ecology Model Toxics Control Act (MCTA) Stat program is used for the analysis. The results of the 2020 statistical analyses are presented in Section 2.4.

In a letter dated 5 July 2017 to Ecology, BNSF requested that the frequency of remedial cap inspection and groundwater monitoring at the Site be reduced to once per year, with both activities to be conducted in the second quarter. Ecology approved the requested change in a letter dated 25 July 2017. The annual groundwater monitoring event and cap inspection event field activities were performed in April 2020.



Section 2: 2020 Groundwater Sampling

2.1 General

Groundwater monitoring and sampling activities were performed at the Site in April 2020. Groundwater levels were measured in each of the four groundwater monitoring wells (MW-3 through MW-6) in the monitoring well network on 29 April 2020. Three wells (MW-4 through MW-6) were sampled on 29 April 2020; monitoring well MW-3 contained an insufficient amount of groundwater to sample. Dedicated submersible bladder pumps, outfitted with dedicated Teflon-lined tubing, were used for groundwater purging and sampling in wells MW-4 through MW-6.

Monitoring well sample logs are included in Appendix B. Field methods are summarized in Appendix C.

The groundwater samples were submitted to Pace Analytical National Center for Testing and Innovation in Mt. Juliet, Tennessee, for analysis of chloride, nitrate, and nitrite by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 300.0.

A summary of groundwater elevations is presented in Table 1. Water quality parameters measured during groundwater purging are summarized in Table 2, and analytical results are summarized in Table 3. A copy of the laboratory report is presented in Appendix D.

2.2 Groundwater Elevation and Hydraulic Gradient Direction

Groundwater elevations, calculated from depth-to-water measurements collected on 29 April 2020, ranged from 1,865.21 feet (monitoring well MW-6) to 1,866.82 feet (monitoring well MW-4) (Table 1). The interpreted groundwater flow direction beneath the Site at the time of the monitoring event was north-northwest under a hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.002 feet per foot, which is consistent with historical data. Interpreted groundwater elevation contours and groundwater hydraulic gradient direction for the 29 April 2020 monitoring event are presented on Figure 3.

2.3 Groundwater Analytical Results

The analytical results for this event and previous sampling events are summarized in Table 3.

Chloride, nitrate, and nitrite were not reported at concentrations above the CULs in the April 2020 samples from wells MW-4 through MW-6. Chloride and nitrate were reported at concentrations above the laboratory reporting limit in samples from wells MW-4 through MW-6. Nitrite was not reported above the laboratory reporting limit in the three wells sampled.

Figures 4, 5, and 6 present graphical representations of historical and current chloride, nitrate, and nitrite concentrations, respectively.

For quality control purposes, a duplicate sample was collected from monitoring well MW-5 during the 2020 sampling event. The results of the duplicate samples and parent samples were within acceptable limits and no qualifiers were added.



The laboratory reports were reviewed for quality control/quality assurance purposes and were found to be acceptable for their intended purpose.

2.4 2020 Groundwater Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was applied to results of the last 20 groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-5 (nitrate-N and chloride) and MW-3 (nitrate-N). Nitrite-N has not been detected in the groundwater samples collected from Site monitoring wells since 2013. In addition, no analyte concentration exceeded the CULs in samples collected from monitoring well MW-4 or MW-6 since 2013. Therefore, statistical analyses were not performed on the datasets from these monitoring wells or for nitrite-N on any of the monitoring wells.

To perform the statistical analyses, the dataset from a date range of July 2010 to May 2019 was used for monitoring well MW-3 and January 2013 to April 2020 for monitoring well MW-5. A longer date range was required for monitoring well MW-3 because this well was dry during 10 sampling events since July 2010 and no samples could be collected.

Table 4 presents a summary of the statistical analysis. The MTCA Stat output files are included in Appendix E. Based on the results of the statistical analysis and data evaluation, the following conclusions are made:

- The 95% UCL of the mean nitrate-N concentration in the dataset of monitoring well MW-3 was 9.21 mg/L in 2020, which is less than the CUL of 10 mg/L. However, four samples out of the last 20 samples (20 percent) contained nitrate-N concentrations that were above the CUL. Therefore, monitoring of nitrate-N concentrations in this well will continue.
- The 95% UCL of the mean nitrate-N concentration in the dataset of monitoring well MW-5 was 9.83 mg/L in 2020, which is less than the CUL of 10 mg/L. The nitrate-N CUL has been exceeded in two samples (10 percent) collected during the last 20 sampling events. The maximum reported concentration of nitrate-N in well MW-5 was 41.80 mg/L, which is greater than twice the CUL. Therefore, monitoring of nitrate-N concentrations in this well will continue.
- The 95% UCL of the mean chloride concentration in the dataset of monitoring well MW-5 was 265 mg/L in 2020, which is greater than the CUL of 250 mg/L. The chloride CUL has been exceeded in one sample (5 percent) collected during the last 20 sampling events. The maximum reported concentration of chloride in well MW-5 was 923 mg/L, which is greater than twice the CUL. Therefore, monitoring of chloride concentrations in this well will continue.



Section 3: Operation and Maintenance Activities

3.1 General

The dross encapsulation cell (cap) and associated stormwater system were constructed between 2001 and 2003. The dross cap consists of a low permeability, 40-millimeter-thick, high-density polyethylene (HDPE) geomembrane placed over the graded and prepared dross and soil surface. The geomembrane is overlain by 18 to 30 inches of rounded gravel that acts as a drain to shed water off of the geomembrane. A woven, permeable HDPE geotextile fabric is placed above the gravel and covered with approximately 18 inches of topsoil. The topsoil was hydroseeded upon installation.

Stormwater from the dross cap is directed to a channel along the perimeter of the cap, which drains either directly to the stormwater retention pond or to a sump located in the western portion of the Site. Stormwater that drains to the sump is pumped to the retention pond. Secondary overflow from the retention pond is routed to an onsite drywell.

Operation and maintenance (O&M) activities in 2020 consisted of annual remedial component system checks performed consistent with the approved O&M Plan. The annual inspection was completed on 29 April 2020 and included assessment of the following: (1) the dross cap; (2) the stormwater conveyance, evaporation, and disposal system; and (3) other physical facilities such as access roads, setbacks, fencing, electrical system, and groundwater monitoring wells.

3.2 Dross Encapsulation Cell

No erosion or settlement of the dross cap was observed during 2020. Grasses and forbs were abundant on and surrounding the dross encapsulation cell during the growing season and were dormant during dry months, as is normal.

3.3 Stormwater Conveyance, Evaporation, and Disposal System

Stormwater conveyance, evaporation, and disposal system components were monitored in April 2020.

The evaporation pond that collects Site stormwater is located directly northeast of the dross encapsulation cell. Water level elevations measured in the pond are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. Post-construction evaporation pond water level elevations are presented in Table 5. The evaporation pond was not observed to be discharging to the overflow sump and drywell during April 2020.

3.4 Monitoring Wells

Monitoring wells MW-3 through MW-6 were observed to be in good condition during the monitoring event conducted in 2020. No physical damage to the well monuments was observed.



3.5 Other Physical Features

It was observed that the digital display on the electrical meter was blinking during inspection, and the electrical utility was notified of the potential issue. Other physical features such as access roads, setbacks, and fencing appeared to be in good condition in April 2020.



Section 4: Summary

4.1 Departures from Consent Decree

Tasks completed during 2020 did not deviate from tasks outlined in the Consent Decree for groundwater monitoring and cap monitoring activities.

4.2 Groundwater Elevations and Estimated Flow

Groundwater elevations measured in April 2020 are within the range of previous groundwater elevation measurements at the Site. The hydraulic gradient and estimated groundwater flow direction during the 2020 monitoring event was generally towards the north-northwest, which is consistent with the general groundwater flow direction in the unconfined aquifer underlying the Site and general area (Spokane Valley-Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer) (U.S. Geological Survey 1988).

4.3 Groundwater Analytical Results

Prior to 2020, chloride and nitrate were reported above their respective CULs in June 2018 in well MW-5. Chloride was also reported above its CUL in July 2012 in well MW-5. Nitrate was reported above the CUL in January 2017 in well MW-3 and in April 2017 in well MW-5.

In 2020, chloride, nitrate, and nitrite were reported at concentrations below their respective CULs or below the laboratory reporting limit in the samples collected from monitoring wells MW-4 through MW-6. Nitrite has not been reported above its analytical method reporting limit since 2013 (a total of 18 monitoring events) in any of the groundwater samples collected.

4.4 Site Conditions

The network of groundwater monitoring wells at the Site appeared to be secure and in good condition.

Stormwater conveyance, evaporation, and disposal system components were found to be in good condition during this reporting period.

4.5 Planned Future Activities

The next annual groundwater monitoring and sampling event will be conducted in second quarter 2021.

Section 5: References

- EMR, Inc. 2001. Final Remedial Action Work Plan for the Hillyard Dross Site, 3412 East Wellesley Avenue, Spokane, Washington. August 2001.
- GeoEngineers, Inc. 2003. Final Cleanup Action Report Aluminum Recycling Corporation Site, 3412 East Wellesley Avenue, Spokane, Washington.
- United States Geological Survey. 1988. The Spokane Aquifer, Washington: Its Geologic Origin and Water-Bearing and Water-Quality Characteristics. U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 2265, 81 p.

Washington State Department of Ecology. 2001. Consent Decree No. 01202037-9.

- Washington State Department of Ecology. 2013. Periodic Review, Aluminum Recycling Corporation (FSID 627, CSID 1133).
- Washington State Department of Ecology. 2014. Email Correspondence from Ecology to BNSF. 30 July 2014.
- Washington State Department of Ecology. 2015. MTCA Stat 97 Site Module, Workbook for Calculating Compliance Statistics. Accessed January 2017.

Tables

		Top of Casing	Depth to	Groundwater	Change in
Well	Date	Elevation ^(a)	Water ^(b)	Elevation	Elevation ^(c)
Number	Measured	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-3	6/30/1997	2,039.01	DRY	NA ^(d)	NA
MW-3	12/15/1998	2,039.01	176.16	1,862.85	NA
MW-3	2/22/1999	2,039.01	174.37	1,864.64	-1.79
MW-3	5/4/1999	2,039.01	171.21	1,867.80	-3.16
MW-3	7/28/1999	2,039.01	174.31	1,864.70	3.10
MW-3	12/8/1999	2,039.01	176.00	1,863.01	1.69
MW-3	6/20/2000	2,039.01	172.05	1,866.96	-3.95
MW-3	12/8/2000	2,039.01	176.65	1,862.36	4.60
MW-3	10/30/2002	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	2/4/2003	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	4/29/2003	2,039.01	172.37	1,866.64	4.28
MW-3	07/24/03	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	10/30/03	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	01/26/04	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	4/16/2004	2,039.01	173.38	1,865.63	-0.91
MW-3	7/26/2004	2,039.01	176.45	1,862.56	-3.07
MW-3	10/15/2004	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/28/2005	2,039.01	174.17	1,864.84	2.28
MW-3	4/29/2005	2,039.01	173.56	1,865.45	0.61
MW-3	7/20/2005	2,039.01	176.49	1,862.52	-2.93
MW-3	10/27/2005	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/11/2006	2,039.01	175.31	1,863.70	1.18
MW-3	4/12/2006	2,039.01	173.11	1,865.90	2.20
MW-3	7/13/2006	2,039.01	174.24	1,864.77	-1.13
MW-3	10/24/2006	2,039.01	176.71	1,862.30	-2.47
MW-3	1/29/2007	2,039.01	174.57	1,864.44	2.14
MW-3	4/19/2007	2,039.01	170.08	1,868.93	4.49
MW-3	7/19/2007	2,039.01	176.11	1,862.90	-6.03
MW-3	9/13/2007	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	10/29/2007	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/30/2008 ^(e)	2,039.01	174.57	1,864.44	1.54
MW-3	4/22/2008	2,039.01	174.19	1,864.82	0.38
MW-3	7/23/2008	2,039.01	172.83	1,866.18	1.36
MW-3	10/22/2008	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/29/2009	2,039.01	174.28	1,864.73	-1.45
MW-3	4/28/2009	2,039.01	171.43	1,867.58	2.85
MW-3	7/9/2009	2,039.01	174.09	1,864.92	-2.66
MW-3	10/29/2009	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/19/2010	2,039.01	176.20	1,862.81	-2.11
MW-3	4/6/2010	2,039.01	175.52	1,863.49	0.68
MW-3	7/27/2010	2,039.01	175.26	1,863.75	0.26

		Top of Casing	Depth to	Groundwater	Change in
Well	Date	Elevation ^(a)	Water ^(b)	Elevation	Elevation ^(c)
Number	Measured	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-3	10/20/2010	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/18/2011	2,039.01	174.19	1,864.82	1.07
MW-3	4/21/2011	2,039.01	170.21	1,868.80	3.98
MW-3	7/14/2011	2,039.01	169.85	1,869.16	0.36
MW-3	10/6/2011	2,039.01	176.50	1,862.51	-6.65
MW-3	1/24/2012	2,039.01	176.01	1,863.00	0.49
MW-3	4/10/2012	2,039.01	171.43	1,867.58	4.58
MW-3	7/11/2012	2,039.01	171.23	1,867.78	0.20
MW-3	10/30/2012	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/29/2013	2,039.01	174.86	1,864.15	-3.63
MW-3	4/11/2013	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	10/24/2013	2,039.01	177.80	1,861.21	-2.94
MW-3	1/29/2014	2,039.01	175.67	1,863.34	2.13
MW-3	4/23/2014	2,039.01	170.72	1,868.29	4.95
MW-3	7/28/2014	2,039.01	175.14	1,863.87	-4.42
MW-3	10/29/2014	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/28/2015	2,039.01	174.26	1,864.75	0.88
MW-3	4/14/2015	2,039.01	171.57	1,867.44	2.69
MW-3	7/14/2015	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	10/27/2015	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/26/2016	2,039.01	175.65	1,863.36	NA
MW-3	4/28/2016	2,039.01	170.76	1,868.25	4.89
MW-3	7/13/2016	2,039.01	175.72	1,863.29	-4.96
MW-3	10/12/2016	2,039.01	DRY	NA	NA
MW-3	1/31/2017	2,039.01	175.34	1,863.67	NA
MW-3	4/18/2017	2,039.01	167.18	1,871.83	8.16
MW-3	6/27/2018	2,039.01	172.34	1,866.67	-5.16
MW-3	4/30/2019	2,039.01	172.02	1,866.99	0.32
MW-3	4/29/2020	2,039.01	173.32	1,865.69	-1.30
MW-4	12/15/1998	2,039.42	175.53	1,863.89	0.02
MW-4	2/22/1999	2,039.42	173.84	1,865.58	1.69
MW-4	5/4/1999	2,039.42	170.43	1,868.99	3.41
MW-4	7/28/1999	2,039.42	173.96	1,865.46	-3.53
MW-4	12/8/1999	2,039.42	175.50	1,863.92	-1.54
MW-4	6/15/2000	2,039.42	171.56	1,867.86	3.94
MW-4	12/7/2000	2,039.42	176.40	1,863.02	-4.84
MW-4	10/30/2002	2,039.42	NA	NA	NA
MW-4	2/4/2003	2,039.42	174.80	1,864.62	1.60
MW-4	4/29/2003	2,039.42	171.78	1,867.64	3.02
MW-4	7/24/2003	2,039.42	176.53	1,862.89	-4.75
MW-4	10/30/2003	2,039.42	177.05	1,862.37	-0.52
MW-4	1/26/2004	2,039.42	176.30	1,863.12	0.75

		Top of Casing	Depth to	Groundwater	Change in
Well	Date	Elevation ^(a)	Water ^(b)	Elevation	Elevation ^(c)
Number	Measured	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-4	4/16/2004	2,039.42	172.61	1,866.81	3.69
MW-4	7/26/2004	2,039.42	176.08	1,863.34	-3.47
MW-4	10/15/2004	2,039.42	176.70	1,862.72	-0.62
MW-4	1/28/2005	2,039.42	173.48	1,865.94	3.22
MW-4	4/29/2005	2,039.42	172.98	1,866.44	0.50
MW-4	7/20/2005	2,039.42	176.11	1,863.31	-3.13
MW-4	10/27/2005	2,039.42	176.86	1,862.56	-0.75
MW-4	1/11/2006	2,039.42	174.57	1,864.85	2.29
MW-4	4/12/2006	2,039.42	172.33	1,867.09	2.24
MW-4	7/13/2006	2,039.42	173.94	1,865.48	-1.61
MW-4	10/24/2006	2,039.42	177.00	1,862.42	-3.06
MW-4	1/29/2007	2,039.42	174.03	1,865.39	2.97
MW-4	4/19/2007	2,039.42	170.23	1,869.19	3.80
MW-4	7/19/2007	2,039.42	175.79	1,863.63	-5.56
MW-4	9/13/2007	2,039.42	177.81	1,861.61	-2.02
MW-4	10/29/2007	2,039.42	176.87	1,862.55	0.94
MW-4	1/30/2008	2,039.42	175.73	1,863.69	1.14
MW-4	4/22/2008	2,039.42	173.54	1,865.88	2.19
MW-4	7/23/2008	2,039.42	172.55	1,866.87	0.99
MW-4	10/22/2008	2,039.42	176.17	1,863.25	-3.62
MW-4	1/29/2009	2,039.42	173.64	1,865.78	2.53
MW-4	4/28/2009	2,039.42	170.61	1,868.81	3.03
MW-4	7/9/2009	2,039.42	173.76	1,865.66	-3.15
MW-4	10/29/2009	2,039.42	176.65	1,862.77	-2.89
MW-4	1/19/2010	2,039.42	175.72	1,863.70	0.93
MW-4	4/6/2010	2,039.42	174.96	1,864.46	0.76
MW-4	7/27/2010	2,039.42	174.92	1,864.50	0.04
MW-4	10/20/2010	2,039.42	176.63	1,862.79	-1.71
MW-4	1/18/2011	2,039.42	173.58	1,865.84	3.05
MW-4	4/21/2011	2,039.42	169.50	1,869.92	4.08
MW-4	7/14/2011	2,039.42	169.48	1,869.94	0.02
MW-4	10/6/2011	2,039.42	176.10	1,863.32	-6.62
MW-4	1/24/2012	2,039.42	175.53	1,863.89	0.57
MW-4	4/10/2012	2,039.42	170.55	1,868.87	4.98
MW-4	7/11/2012	2,039.42	170.91	1,868.51	-0.36
MW-4	10/30/2012	2,039.42	176.01	1,863.41	-5.10
MW-4	1/29/2013	2,039.42	174.40	1,865.02	1.61
MW-4	4/11/2013	2,039.42	DRY	NA	NA
MW-4	10/24/2013	2,039.42	176.16	1,863.26	-1.76
MW-4	1/29/2014	2,039.42	175.40	1,864.02	0.76
MW-4	4/23/2014	2,039.42	170.09	1,869.33	5.31

		Top of Casing	Depth to	Groundwater	Change in
Well	Date	Elevation ^(a)	Water ^(b)	Elevation	Elevation ^(c)
Number	Measured	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-4	7/28/2014	2,039.42	174.81	1,864.61	-4.72
MW-4	10/29/2014	2,039.42	176.28	1,863.14	-1.47
MW-4	1/28/2015	2,039.42	173.69	1,865.73	2.59
MW-4	4/14/2015	2,039.42	171.03	1,868.39	2.66
MW-4	7/14/2015	2,039.42	176.83	1,862.59	-5.80
MW-4	10/27/2015	2,039.42	177.47	1,861.95	-0.64
MW-4	1/26/2016	2,039.42	175.11	1,864.31	2.36
MW-4	4/28/2016	2,039.42	170.13	1,869.29	4.98
MW-4	7/13/2016	2,039.42	175.37	1,864.05	-5.24
MW-4	10/12/2016	2,039.42	177.27	1,862.15	-1.90
MW-4	1/31/2017	2,039.42	174.91	1,864.51	2.36
MW-4	4/18/2017	2,039.42	166.48	1,872.94	8.43
MW-4	6/27/2018	2,039.42	172.03	1,867.39	-5.55
MW-4	4/23/2019	2,039.42	171.19	1,868.23	0.84
MW-4	4/29/2020	2,039.42	172.60	1,866.82	-1.41
MW-5	12/15/1998	2,041.80	177.61	1,864.19	NA
MW-5	2/22/1999	2,041.80	177.10	1,864.70	0.51
MW-5	5/4/1999	2,041.80	173.90	1,867.90	3.20
MW-5	7/28/1999	2,041.80	177.07	1,864.73	-3.17
MW-5	12/10/1999	2,041.80	178.82	1,862.98	-1.75
MW-5	6/20/2000	2,041.80	174.78	1,867.02	4.04
MW-5	12/8/2000	2,041.80	178.61	1,863.19	-3.83
MW-5	10/30/2002	2,041.80	DRY	NA	NA
MW-5	2/4/2003	2,041.80	178.00	1,863.80	0.61
MW-5	4/29/2003	2,041.80	175.01	1,866.79	2.99
MW-5	7/24/2003	2,041.80	179.69	1,862.11	-4.68
MW-5	10/30/2003	2,041.80	180.37	1,861.43	-0.68
MW-5	1/26/2004	2,041.80	179.49	1,862.31	0.88
MW-5	4/16/2004	2,041.80	176.02	1,865.78	3.47
MW-5	7/26/2004	2,041.80	179.19	1,862.61	-3.17
MW-5	10/15/2004	2,041.80	179.97	1,861.83	-0.78
MW-5	1/28/2005	2,041.80	176.88	1,864.92	3.09
MW-5	4/29/2005	2,041.80	176.32	1,865.48	0.56
MW-5	7/20/2005	2,041.80	179.27	1,862.53	-2.95
MW-5	10/27/2005	2,041.80	180.14	1,861.66	-0.87
MW-5	1/11/2006	2,041.80	177.99	1,863.81	2.15
MW-5	4/12/2006	2,041.80	175.71	1,866.09	2.28
MW-5	7/13/2006	2,041.80	177.04	1,864.76	-1.33
MW-5	10/24/2006	2,041.80	180.24	1,861.56	-3.20
MW-5	1/29/2007	2,041.80	177.28	1,864.52	2.96
MW-5	4/19/2007	2,041.80	173.61	1,868.19	3.67
MW-5	7/19/2007	2,041.80	178.90	1,862.90	-5.29

		Top of Casing	Depth to	Groundwater	Change in
Well	Date	Elevation ^(a)	Water ^(b)	Elevation	Elevation ^(c)
Number	Measured	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-5	9/13/2007	2,041.80	180.97	1,860.83	-2.07
MW-5	10/29/2007	2,041.80	180.12	1,861.68	0.85
MW-5	1/30/2008	2,041.80	178.94	1,862.86	1.18
MW-5	4/22/2008	2,041.80	176.89	1,864.91	2.05
MW-5	7/23/2008	2,041.80	175.60	1,866.20	1.29
MW-5	10/22/2008	2,041.80	179.38	1,862.42	-3.78
MW-5	1/29/2009	2,041.80	176.98	1,864.82	2.40
MW-5	4/28/2009	2,041.80	174.12	1,867.68	2.86
MW-5	7/9/2009	2,041.80	176.85	1,864.95	-2.73
MW-5	10/29/2009	2,041.80	179.86	1,861.94	-3.01
MW-5	1/19/2010	2,041.80	178.91	1,862.89	0.95
MW-5	3/10/2010 ^(f)	2,041.80	178.51	1,863.29	0.40
MW-5	4/6/2010	2,041.80	178.21	1,863.59	0.30
MW-5	7/27/2010	2,041.80	178.05	1,863.75	0.16
MW-5	10/20/2010	2,041.80	179.84	1,861.96	-1.79
MW-5	1/18/2011	2,041.80	176.90	1,864.90	2.94
MW-5	4/21/2011	2,041.80	172.91	1,868.89	3.99
MW-5	7/14/2011	2,041.80	172.65	1,869.15	0.26
MW-5	10/6/2011	2,041.80	179.26	1,862.54	-6.61
MW-5	1/24/2012	2,041.80	178.77	1,863.03	0.49
MW-5	4/10/2012	2,041.80	174.14	1,867.66	4.63
MW-5	7/11/2012	2,041.80	174.03	1,867.77	0.11
MW-5	10/30/2012	2,041.80	179.20	1,862.60	-5.17
MW-5	1/29/2013	2,041.80	177.60	1,864.20	1.60
MW-5	4/11/2013	2,041.80	DRY	NA	NA
MW-5	10/24/2013	2,041.80	179.28	1,862.52	-1.68
MW-5	1/29/2014	2,041.80	178.40	1,863.40	0.88
MW-5	4/23/2014	2,041.80	173.48	1,868.32	4.92
MW-5	7/28/2014	2,041.80	177.92	1,863.88	-4.44
MW-5	10/29/2014	2,041.80	179.49	1,862.31	-1.57
MW-5	1/28/2015	2,041.80	176.99	1,864.81	2.50
MW-5	4/14/2015	2,041.80	174.31	1,867.49	2.68
MW-5	7/14/2015	2,041.80	179.97	1,861.83	-5.66
MW-5	10/27/2015	2,041.80	180.69	1,861.11	-0.72
MW-5	1/26/2016	2,041.80	178.38	1,863.42	2.31
MW-5	4/28/2016	2,041.80	173.49	1,868.31	4.89
MW-5	7/13/2016	2,041.80	178.51	1,863.29	-5.02
MW-5	10/12/2016	2,041.80	180.52	1,861.28	-2.01
MW-5	1/31/2017	2,041.80	178.11	1,863.69	2.41
MW-5	4/18/2017	2,041.80	169.91	1,871.89	8.20
MW-5	6/27/2018	2,041.80	175.12	1,866.68	-5.21
MW-5	4/23/2019	2,041.80	174.72	1,867.08	0.40
MW-5	4/29/2020	2,041.80	175.99	1,865.81	-1.27

Annual 2020 Groundwater and Cap Compliance Monitoring Report Hillyard Dross Cap, Spokane, Washington M:WP!2020/2096114.00_Hillyard_Dross_GW_Sampling\Annual_Rpt\Tables!2020 report Tables 1-5-Fig 4-6.xlsx

		Top of Casing	Depth to	Groundwater	Change in
Well	Date	Elevation ^(a)	Water ^(b)	Elevation	Elevation ^(c)
Number	Measured	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-6	12/15/1998	2,042.73	177.20	1,865.53	NA
MW-6	2/22/1999	2,042.73	178.44	1,864.29	-1.24
MW-6	5/4/1999	2,042.73	175.37	1,867.36	3.07
MW-6	7/28/1999	2,042.73	178.33	1,864.40	-2.96
MW-6	12/10/1999	2,042.73	182.40	1,860.33	-4.07
MW-6	6/20/2000	2,042.73	176.16	1,866.57	6.24
MW-6	12/7/2000	2,042.73	180.97	1,861.76	-4.81
MW-6	10/30/2002	2,042.73	DRY	NA	NA
MW-6	2/4/2003	2,042.73	179.70	1,863.03	1.27
MW-6	4/29/2003	2,042.73	176.39	1,866.34	3.31
MW-6	7/24/2003	2,042.73	180.98	1,861.75	-4.59
MW-6	10/30/2003	2,042.73	181.77	1,860.96	-0.79
MW-6	1/26/2004	2,042.73	180.85	1,861.88	0.92
MW-6	4/16/2004	2,042.73	177.56	1,865.17	3.29
MW-6	7/26/2004	2,042.73	180.55	1,862.18	-2.99
MW-6	10/15/2004	2,042.73	181.39	1,861.34	-0.84
MW-6	1/28/2005	2,042.73	178.33	1,864.40	3.06
MW-6	4/29/2005	2,042.73	177.73	1,865.00	0.60
MW-6	7/20/2005	2,042.73	180.60	1,862.13	-2.87
MW-6	10/27/2005	2,042.73	181.54	1,861.19	-0.94
MW-6	1/11/2006	2,042.73	179.49	1,863.24	2.05
MW-6	4/12/2006	2,042.73	177.23	1,865.50	2.26
MW-6	7/13/2006	2,042.73	178.47	1,864.26	-1.24
MW-6	10/24/2006	2,042.73	181.64	1,861.09	-3.17
MW-6	1/29/2007	2,042.73	178.70	1,864.03	2.94
MW-6	4/19/2007	2,042.73	175.08	1,867.65	3.62
MW-6	7/19/2007	2,042.73	180.18	1,862.55	-5.10
MW-6	9/13/2007	2,042.73	182.37	1,860.36	-2.19
MW-6	10/29/2007	2,042.73	181.52	1,861.21	0.85
MW-6	1/30/2008	2,042.73	180.32	1,862.41	1.20
MW-6	4/22/2008	2,042.73	178.39	1,864.34	1.93
MW-6	7/23/2008	2,042.73	176.88	1,865.85	1.51
MW-6	10/22/2008	2,042.73	180.75	1,861.98	-3.87
MW-6	1/29/2009	2,042.73	178.41	1,864.32	2.34
MW-6	4/28/2009	2,042.73	175.65	1,867.08	2.76
MW-6	7/9/2009	2,042.73	178.15	1,864.58	-2.50
MW-6	10/29/2009	2,042.73	181.27	1,861.46	-3.12
MW-6	1/19/2010	2,042.73	180.34	1,862.39	0.93
MW-6	4/6/2010	2,042.73	179.68	1,863.05	0.66
MW-6	7/27/2010	2,042.73	179.36	1,863.37	0.32
MW-6	10/20/2010	2,042.73	181.23	1,861.50	-1.87

Well Number	Date Measured	Top of Casing Elevation ^(a) (feet)	Depth to Water ^(b) (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation ^(c) (feet)
MW-6	1/18/2011	2,042.73	178.39	1,864.34	2.84
MW-6	4/21/2011	2,042.73	174.43	1,868.30	3.96
MW-6	7/14/2011	2,042.73	174.00	1,868.73	0.43
MW-6	10/6/2011	2,042.73	180.63	1,862.10	-6.63
MW-6	1/24/2012	2,042.73	180.13	1,862.60	0.50
MW-6	4/10/2012	2,042.73	175.73	1,867.00	4.40
MW-6	7/11/2012	2,042.73	175.32	1867.41	0.41
MW-6	10/30/2012	2,042.73	180.59	1862.14	-5.27
MW-6	1/29/2013	2,042.73	178.94	1863.79	1.65
MW-6	4/11/2013	2,042.73	DRY	NA	NA
MW-6	10/24/2013	2,042.73	180.81	1861.92	-1.87
MW-6	1/29/2014	2,042.73	179.81	1862.92	1.00
MW-6	4/23/2014	2,042.73	174.96	1867.77	4.85
MW-6	7/28/2014	2,042.73	179.21	1863.52	-4.25
MW-6	10/29/2014	2,042.73	180.87	1861.86	-1.66
MW-6	1/28/2015	2,042.73	178.43	1864.30	2.44
MW-6	4/14/2015	2,042.73	175.72	1867.01	2.71
MW-6	7/14/2015	2,042.73	181.31	1861.42	-5.59
MW-6	10/27/2015	2,042.73	182.08	1,860.65	-0.77
MW-6	1/26/2016	2,042.73	179.80	1,862.93	2.28
MW-6	4/28/2016	2,042.73	174.94	1,867.79	4.86
MW-6	7/13/2016	2,042.73	179.80	1,862.93	-4.86
MW-6	10/12/2016	2,042.73	181.92	1,860.81	-2.12
MW-6	1/31/2017	2,042.73	179.44	1,863.29	2.48
MW-6	4/18/2017	2,042.73	171.41	1,871.32	8.03
MW-6	6/27/2018	2,042.73	176.40	1,866.33	-4.99
MW-6	4/23/2019	2,042.73	176.25	1,866.48	0.15
MW-6	4/29/2020	2,042.73	177.52	1,865.21	-1.27

Notes:

(a) Top of well casing elevations were provided by EMR Inc.

(b) Depth to water measurements recorded relative to top of well casing.

(c) Change in groundwater elevation since previous event.

(d) NA = Elevation not available because well was dry.

(e) Anomalous groundwater elevation is a suspected measurement error.

(f) Monitoring well MW-5 was re-sampled on 10 March 2010 because of a laboratory error with respect to the sample collected 19 January 2010.

		Temperature	Specific Conductance	Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	рH	Oxidation- Reduction Potential	Turbidity	
Well Number	Date Sampled	(°C)	(µS/cm)	(mg/L)	(s.u.)	(mV)	(NTU)	Notes
MW-3	09/24/13			Dry.				
MW-3	01/29/14	8.29	712		8.19	112.2	34.2	Less than 2 ft of water column present; sampled using polvethylene bailer.
MW-3	04/23/14	13.8	62.5	10.5	7.87	120.4	11.3	Sampled using polyethylene bailer.
MW-3	07/28/14	13.35	431		7.87	73.2		Less than 2 ft of water column present; sampled using polvethylene bailer.
MW-3	10/29/14		Ins	ufficient water to	o sample.			
MW-3	01/28/15	9.25	818	9.06	8.26	98.9	86.32	Sampled using polyethylene bailer.
MW-3	04/14/15	11.05	643	9.15	8.16	70.8	108.50	Sampled using polyethylene bailer.
MW-3	07/14/15		Ins	ufficient water to	o sample.			
MW-3	10/27/15	0.92	220	Dry.	7.00	1	E 9	
10100-3	01/20/10	9.62	329		7.99		50	present; sampled using polyethylene bailer.
MW-3	04/28/16	11.35	624	10.83	8.15	110.3	185.50	Sampled using polyethylene bailer.
MW-3	07/13/16	12.72	838	11.45	8.17	92.5	63.27	Less than 2 ft of water column present; sampled using polyethylene bailer.
MW-3	10/12/16			Dry		-		
MW-3	01/31/17	9.96	818	9.30	7.27	85.8	123.0	Less than 2 ft of water column present; sampled using polvethylene bailer.
MW-3	04/18/17	10.83	500	8.38	8.42	65.6	5.63	Sampled using polyethylene bailer.
MW-3	06/27/18		Ins	ufficient water to	o sample.			
MW-3	05/14/19	15.21	454	6.73	8.12	133	42	Well redeveloped on 30 April 2019. Due to turbidity, parameters were measured on 14 May 2020 and sample was collected on 15 May 2020
MW-3	04/29/20		Ins	ufficient water to	o sample.			
MW-4	10/24/13	11.18	226	9.14	7.65	120.8	1	
MW-4	01/29/14	10.01	325		7.22	111.9	1.24	
MW-4	04/23/14	10.14	215	8.32	8.22	161.1	0.4	
MW-4	07/28/14	12.54	486	10.01	7.06	117.8	0.83	
MW-4	10/29/14	11.50	291	8.13	7.94	98.5	0.00	
MW-4	01/28/15	10.47	361	9.84	7.88	23.4	1.30	
MW-4	04/14/15	10.83	417	10.29	7.66	94.7	0.25	
MW-4	07/14/15	13.81	390	14.64	7.56	45.9	0.00	
MW-4	10/27/15	12.92	238	8.89	8.10	143.1	1.08	
MW-4	01/26/16	10.67	148	9.90	8.05	190.9	0.71	
MW-4	04/28/16	12.29	277	8.77	7.94	101.6	1.94	
MVV-4	07/13/16	14.07	530	10.18	7.59	98.8	0.92	
NVV-4	01/31/17	10.27	567	9.67	6.56	99.8	2.32	
IVIVV-4	04/16/17	11.51	435	9.91	0.02	71.9	1.00	
N/V/-4	06/27/16	10.93	205	5.05 2.23	7.07	211	0.0	
M\\/-4	04/29/20	12.17	254	2.23	8.30	63	0.0	
MW-5	10/24/13	11.55	870	9 19	7.59	100.5	1	
MW-5	01/29/14		808		7.59	105.8	0.86	
MW-5	04/23/14	11.00	794	3.94	7.80	149.2	0.4	
MW-5	07/28/14	14.02	369	10.27	7.63	96.8	1.22	
MW-5	10/29/14	12.15	484	8.44	7.96	105.2	0.00	
MW-5	01/28/15	9.49	807	9.56	7.62	123.1	1.96	
MW-5	04/14/15	11.80	503	10.03	7.73	84.4	0.43	
MW-5	07/14/15	16.67	623	12.74	7.37	51.2	0.01	
MW-5	10/27/15	12.73	659	9.09	7.78	179.0	0.83	

Table 2: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

Annual 2020 Groundwater and Cap Compliance Monitoring Report Hillyard Dross Cap, Spokane, Washington M:WPI202012096114.00_Hillyard_Dross_GW_Sampling\Annual_Rpt\Tables\Tables\Table2_Hist_GW_Parameters.xlsx

						Oxidation-		
		Temperature	Specific Conductance	Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	рH	Reduction Potential	Turbidity	
Well Number	Date Sampled	(°C)	(µS/cm)	(mg/L)	(s.u.)	(mV)	(NTU)	Notes
MW-5	01/26/16	10.76	326	8.02	7.70	196.1	0.21	
MW-5	04/28/16	13.15	376	7.83	7.91	97.7	1.90	
MW-5	07/13/16	12.79	793	6.03	7.79	91.7	0.45	
MW-5	10/12/16	12.73	766	8.45	7.77	62.1	1.26	
MW-5	01/31/17	8.90	393	7.90	6.50	49.7	11.76	
MW-5	04/18/17	11.04	724	6.47	8.12	74.7	1.82	
MW-5	06/27/18	20.42	577	1.81	7.43	176	0.5	
MW-5	04/23/19	11.80	452	2.16	8.11	203	0.0	
MW-5	04/29/20	16.90	355	8.29	8.01	79	0.0	
MW-6	10/24/13	11.85	323	8.84	7.71	97.2	1	
MW-6	01/29/14						58.05	
MW-6	04/23/14	11.84	205	7.90	8.24	141.0	1.3	
MW-6	07/28/14	14.02	216	7.73	7.78	95.1	2.29	
MW-6	10/29/14	12.21	380	7.47	7.84	112.0	0.93	
MW-6	01/28/15	9.92	357	9.11	8.16	111.3	3.20	
MW-6	04/14/15	11.49	238	11.15	7.85	75.6	1.40	
MW-6	07/14/15	14.93	350	14.51	7.46	46.9	0.75	
MW-6	10/27/15	12.89	295	8.30	8.00	183.5	1.79	
MW-6	01/26/16	10.18	166	8.98	8.06	220.0	0.61	
MW-6	04/28/16	12.97	207	8.24	8.15	91.0	1.38	
MW-6	07/13/16	14.93	294	9.74	8.05	87.3	1.44	
MW-6	10/12/16	10.17	340	8.23	7.72	86.5	0.19	
MW-6	01/31/17	9.00	270	7.70	7.13	72.9	4.03	
MW-6	04/18/17	10.90	216	7.07	8.41	60.6	1.11	
MW-6	06/27/18	16.00	250	3.85	8.33	103.0	0.0	
MW-6	04/23/19	13.43	229	3.25	8.32	199	1.9	
MW-6	04/29/20	12.87	279	3.81	8.40	35	0.0	

Table 2: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

Notes:

°C = degrees Celsius

 $\label{eq:model} \begin{array}{l} \mu S/cm = microSiemens \ per \ centimeter \\ mg/L = milligrams \ per \ liter \end{array}$

s.u. = standard units

mV = millivolts

NTU = Nephelometric turbidity units

ft = feet

				Nitrate-	Nitrite-
Well	Date		Nitrate-Nitrite ^(c)	Nitrogen ^(a)	Nitrogen ^(a)
Number	Sampled	(mg/L)**	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
Cleanup Level ⁽ⁿ⁾		250	10	10	1
MW-3	06/30/97	397 ^(d)		83.80	NA ^(e)
MW-3	12/15/98	181		42.40	NA
MW-3	07/28/99	134		14.30	NA
MW-3	06/20/00	61.1		9.58	NA
MW-3	04/29/03 ^(f)	68.4		6.37	ND ^(g)
MW-3	04/16/04	107		13.20	ND
MW-3	01/28/05	67.3		14.40	1.14
MW-3	04/29/05	75.4		12.70	<5.00 ^(h,i)
MW-3	01/11/06	93.3		16.10	<0.400
MW-3	04/12/06	62.6		6.16	<0.200
MW-3	07/13/06	5.03		13.80	<1.00
MW-3	10/24/06	50.2		12.9 J ^(j)	<0.200
MW-3	01/29/07	128		23.0	<0.200
MW-3	04/19/07	36.6		3.18	<0.200
MW-3	07/19/07 ^(k)	85.6		19.40	<0.0100
MW-3	01/30/08 ^(k)	74.5		14.10	<0.0100
MW-3	04/22/08	53.8		7.90	<0.200
MW-3	07/23/08	80.6		11.30	<0.200
MW-3	01/29/09	68.2		7.95	<0.200
MW-3	04/28/09	74.2		8.44	<0.200
MW-3	07/09/09	77.1		6.24	<0.200
MW-3	01/19/10	NS ^(I)		NS	NS
MW-3	04/07/10	40		7.50	1.8
MW-3	07/27/10	77		13.00	<0.60
MW-3	10/20/10	NS		NS	NS
MW-3	01/18/11	51		6.00	<0.60
MW-3	04/21/11	35		4.20	<0.60
MW-3	07/14/11	39		6.90	<0.60
MW-3	10/06/11	NS		NS	NS
MW-3	01/24/12	71		9.70	<0.60
MW-3	04/10/12	60		5.10	<0.60
MW-3	07/11/12	57		9.50	<0.60
MW-3	10/30/12	NS		NS	NS
MW-3	01/29/13	110		15.00	3.8
MW-3	04/11/13	37		4.70	<6.0
MW-3	10/24/13 ^(k)	NS		NS	NS
MW-3	01/29/14	67		8.10	<0.100
MW-3	04/23/14	43		5.60	<0.100
MW-3	07/28/14	60		11.00	<0.100
MW-3	10/29/14	NS		NS	NS
MW-3	01/28/15	25		2.50	<0.100
MW-3	04/14/15	44.00		6.27	<0.100

Table 3: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

	_	 (a)		Nitrate-	Nitrite-
Well	Date	Chloride ^(a)	Nitrate-Nitrite ^(C)	Nitrogen ^(a)	Nitrogen ^(a)
	Sampled	(iiig/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
Cleanup Level		250	10	10	1
MW-3	07/14/15	NS		NS	NS
MW-3	10/27/15	NS		NS	NS
MW-3	01/26/16	44.8		6.51	<0.100
MW-3	04/28/16	34.7		4.67	<0.100
MW-3	07/13/16	51.90		9.83	<0.100
MW-3	10/12/16	NS		NS	NS
MW-3	01/31/17	86.10		11.20	<0.100
MW-3	04/18/17	21.20		4.57	<0.100
MW-3	06/27/18 ^(c)	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-3	05/15/19	22.20		3.81	<0.100
MW-3	04/29/20	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-4	12/15/98	2.46		1.22	NA
MW-4	07/28/99	133.00		4.20	NA
MW-4	12/08/99	2.13		1.50	NA
MW-4	06/15/00	70.50		2.49	NA
MW-4	12/07/00	3.89		1.36	ND
MW-4	10/30/02	2.80		2.72	ND
MW-4	02/04/03 ^(e)	2.76		1.61	<0.100
MW-4	04/29/03	21.20		2.13	<0.500
MW-4	07/24/03	16.50		2.69	0.740
MW-4	10/30/03	1.97		2.59	<0.500
MW-4	01/26/04	2.65		1.96	<0.500
MW-4	04/16/04	2.25		1.03	<0.500
MW-4	07/26/04	14.70		4.43	<0.500
MW-4	10/15/04	4.60		2.79	ND
MW-4	01/28/05	5.18		3.23	ND
MW-4	04/29/05	106.00		6.20	<5.0
MW-4	07/20/05	48.30		10.20	<5.0
MW-4	10/27/05	2.47		2.40	<0.500
MW-4	01/11/06	1.97		0.68	<0.200
MW-4	04/12/06	1.80		0.57	<0.200
MW-4	07/13/06	41.00		5.25	<0.400
MW-4	10/24/06	5.44		1.11	<0.200
MW-4	01/29/07	28.50		4.59	<0.200
MW-4	04/19/07	28.20		4.37	<0.200
MW-4	07/19/07 ^(k)	16.60		6.11	<0.0100
MW-4	09/13/07	2.08		0.94	ND
MW-4	10/29/07	2.60		1.77	<0.0100
MW-4	01/30/08 ^(k)	4.70		6.25	<0.0100
MW-4	04/22/08	3.98		3.49	<0.200
MW-4	07/23/08	7.32		3.86	<0.200
MW-4	10/22/08	2.75		1.28	<0.200

Table 3: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

				Nitrate-	Nitrite-
Well	Date		Nitrate-Nitrite ^(c)	Nitrogen ^(a)	Nitrogen ^(a)
Number	Sampled	(mg/L) ^(*)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
Cleanup Level ⁽ⁿ⁾		250	10	10	1
MW-4	01/29/09	2.59		0.78	<0.200
MW-4	04/28/09	2.50		0.68	<0.200
MW-4	07/09/09	19.30		4.42	<0.100
MW-4	10/29/09	2.70		1.90	1.4 ^(m)
MW-4	01/19/10	5.60		3.90	1.8
MW-4	04/07/10	3.20		1.40	1.6
MW-4	07/27/10	7.80		4.00	<0.60
MW-4	10/20/10	2.70		2.00	<0.60
MW-4	01/18/11	2.60		ND	<0.60
MW-4	04/21/11	2.40		ND	<0.60
MW-4	07/14/11	3.80		1.60	<0.60
MW-4	10/06/11	4.30		2.40	<0.60
MW-4	01/24/12	3.60		2.30	<0.60
MW-4	04/10/12	3.00		1.00	1.3
MW-4	07/11/12	4.70		2.70	<0.60
MW-4	10/30/12	2.80		2.40	<0.60
MW-4	01/29/13	3.60		2.30	1.6
MW-4	04/11/13	2.70		<0.90	<6.0
MW-4	10/24/13 ^(k)	2.60		1.40	<0.100
MW-4	01/29/14	5.50		2.50	<0.100
MW-4	04/23/14	3.20		0.93	<0.100
MW-4	07/28/14	5.90		2.90	<0.100
MW-4	10/29/14	3.80		2.70	<0.100
MW-4	01/28/15	3.20		0.92	<0.100
MW-4	04/14/15	3.55		1.55	<0.100
MW-4	07/14/15	4.47		2.36	<0.100
MW-4	10/27/15	3.70		1.58	<0.100
MW-4	01/26/16	5.16		1.89	<0.100
MW-4	04/28/16	3.32		0.98	<0.100
MW-4	07/13/16	3.39		1.75	<0.100
MW-4	10/12/16	3.25		1.49	<0.100
MW-4	01/31/17	3.29		4.93	<0.100
MW-4	04/18/17	2.88		0.94	<0.100
MW-4	06/27/18 ^(c)	4.41	2.7	2.70	
MW-4	04/23/19	5.19		1.84	<0.100
MW-4	04/29/20	4.42		1.37	<0.100
MW-5	12/15/98	690		19.40	NA
MW-5	07/28/99	113		2.50	NA
MW-5	12/10/99	432		5.65	NA
MW-5	06/20/00	257		0.80	NA
MW-5	12/08/00	518		6.37	ND
MW-5	10/30/02	1660		16.20	ND

Table 3: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

Woll	Data	Chlorido ^(a)	NU: (C)	Nitrate-	Nitrite-
Number	Sampled	(ma/L) ^(b)	Nitrate-Nitrite ^(*)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
Cleanup Level ⁽ⁿ⁾	Campica	250	10	10	1
MW-5	02/04/03 ^(e)	200		8 30	-0.100
MW-5	02/04/03	345		0.00 ND	<0.100
MW-5	07/24/03	928		11.10	<0.500
MW-5	10/30/03	1490		ND	<0.500
MW-5	01/26/04	1330		11.40	ND
MW-5	04/16/04	1260		ND	<25.0
MW-5	07/26/04	896		18.10	<0.500
MW-5	10/15/04	4810		43.60	ND
MW-5	01/28/05	970		32.80	ND
MW-5	04/29/05	1030		31.00	<25.0
MW-5	07/20/05	492		19.20	<10.0
MW-5	10/27/05	1020		31.50	<25.0
MW-5	01/11/06	650		9.95	<2.00
MW-5	04/12/06	902		9.35	<2.00
MW-5	07/13/06	740		8.42	<2.00
MW-5	10/24/06	644		10.9 ^(h)	0.48
MW-5	01/29/07	587		18.50	<2.0
MW-5	04/19/07	693		11.10	1.18
MW-5	07/19/07 ^(k)	122		5.89	0.0114
MW-5	09/13/07	438		12.3	ND
MW-5	10/29/07	700		16.20	0.0410
MW-5	01/30/08 ^(k)	513		13.30	<0.0100
MW-5	04/22/08	546		3.05	<0.200
MW-5	07/23/08	914		6.36	1.80
MW-5	10/22/08	292		10.00	<0.200
MW-5	01/29/09	298		9.43	<0.200
MW-5	04/28/09	417		10.50	0.83
MW-5	07/09/09	112		3.20	<0.100
MW-5	10/29/09	290		11 ^(k)	1.4 ^(m)
MW-5	01/19/10	360		13 ^(k)	ND
MW-5	03/10/10	330		14.00	1.1
MW-5	04/07/10	330		15.00	<0.60
MW-5	07/27/10	48		5.50	<0.60
MW-5	10/20/10	330		15.00	<0.60
MW-5	01/18/11	260		14.00	<0.60
MW-5	04/21/11	370		11.00	<0.60
MW-5	07/14/11	1500		24.00	<0.60
MW-5	10/06/11	320		8.20	<0.60
MW-5	01/24/12	240		13.00	<0.60
MW-5	04/10/12	150		6.70	<0.60
MW-5	07/11/12	680		14.00	0.60
MW-5	10/30/12	69		1.30	0.60

Table 3: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

Woll	Data	Chlorido ^(a)		Nitrate-	Nitrite-
Number	Sampled	(mg/L) ^(b)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
Cleanup Level ⁽ⁿ⁾		250	10	10	1
MW-5	01/29/13	89		7.50	1.3
MW-5	04/11/13	160		8.70	<3.0
MW-5	10/24/13 ^(k)	140		9.10	<0.100
MW-5	10/24/13 (Dup)	140		9.20	<0.100
MW-5	01/29/14	130		9.40	<0.100
MW-5	01/29/14 (Dup)	130		9.40	<0.100
MW-5	04/23/14	120		7.20	<0.100
MW-5	04/23/14 (Dup)	120		7.20	<0.100
MW-5	07/28/14	25		4.00	<0.100
MW-5	07/28/14 (Dup)	25		4.00	<0.100
MW-5	10/29/14	44		4.20	<0.100
MW-5	10/29/14 (Dup)	43		4.20	<0.100
MW-5	01/28/15	160		7.60	<0.100
MW-5	01/28/15 (Dup)	160		7.70	<0.100
MW-5	04/14/15	47		4.15	<0.100
MW-5	04/14/15 (Dup)	47		3.87	<0.100
MW-5	07/14/15	65		5.43	<0.100
MW-5	07/14/15 (Dup)	66		5.46	<0.100
MW-5	10/27/15	99		5.83	<0.100
MW-5	10/27/15 (Dup)	102		6.32	<0.100
MW-5	01/26/16	89		6.04	<0.100
MW-5	01/26/16 (Dup)	88		5.99	<0.100
MW-5	04/28/16	26		2.49	<0.100
MW-5	04/28/16 (Dup)	26		2.50	<0.100
MW-5	07/13/16	152		5.35	<0.100
MW-5	07/13/16 (Dup)	153		5.35	<0.100
MW-5	10/12/16	149		3.61	<0.100
MW-5	10/12/16 (Dup)	148		3.62	<0.100
MW-5	01/31/17	15		3.80	<0.100
MW-5	01/31/17 (Dup)	15		3.89	<0.100
MW-5	04/18/17	83		11.50	<0.100
MW-5	04/18/17 (Dup)	83		11.40	<0.100
MW-5	06/27/18 (c)	923 J	41.8	41.80	
MW-5	06/27/18 (Dup)	1290 J	43.4	43.40	
MW-5	04/23/19	17		3.84	<0.100
MW-5	04/23/19 (Dup)	17		3.83	<0.100
MW-5	04/29/20	6.07		3.39	<0.100
MW-5	04/29/20 (Dup)	6.15		3.38	<0.100
MW-6	12/15/98	14.20		7.85	NA
MW-6	07/28/99	9.52		1.90	NA
MW-6	12/10/99	17.90		1.09	NA
MW-6	06/20/00	2.27		0.80	NA
MW-6	12/07/00	38.60		2.49	NA

Table 3: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

Annual 2020 Groundwater and Cap Compliance Monitoring Report Hillyard Dross Cap, Spokane, Washington M:WP!2020!2096114.00_Hillyard_Dross_GW_Sampling\Annual_Rpt(Tables!2020 report Tables 1-5-Fig 4-6.xlsx

				Nitrate-	Nitrite-
Well	Date	Chloride ^(a)	Nitrate-Nitrite ^(c)	Nitrogen ^(a)	Nitrogen ^(a)
Number	Sampled	(mg/L) ⁽⁰⁾	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
Cleanup Level ⁽ⁿ⁾		250	10	10	1
MW-6	10/30/02	68.00		4.79	ND
MW-6	02/04/03 ^(e)	12.60		1.39	<0.100
MW-6	04/29/03	5.05		2.31	<0.500
MW-6	07/24/03	16.00		2.43	<0.500
MW-6	10/30/03	31.00		4.13	<0.500
MW-6	01/26/04	16.20		2.21	ND
MW-6	04/16/04	11.40		1.37	<0.500
MW-6	07/26/04	13.90		3.03	<0.500
MW-6	10/15/04	28.00		3.31	<0.500
MW-6	01/28/05	17.70		4.50	0.610
MW-6	04/29/05	5.80		8.20	<5.0
MW-6	07/20/05	17.50		7.60	<5.0
MW-6	10/27/05	21.10		1.73	<0.500
MW-6	01/11/06	14.20		1.61	<0.200
MW-6	04/12/06	10.30		1.21	<0.200
MW-6	07/13/06	2.07		0.89	<0.200
MW-6	10/24/06	5.73		0.68	<0.200
MW-6	01/29/07	13.10		1.52	<0.200
MW-6	04/19/07	8.08		1.18	0.250
MW-6	07/19/07 ^(k)	3.78		1.32	<0.0100
MW-6	09/13/07	45.60		4.61	ND
MW-6	10/29/07	30.00		2.47	<0.0100
MW-6	01/30/08 ^(k)	19.20		1.98	0.100
MW-6	04/22/08	14.40		1.04	0.980
MW-6	07/23/08	2.47		1.02	<0.200
MW-6	10/22/08	61.80		4.45	<0.200
MW-6	01/29/09	5.32		0.88	<0.200
MW-6	04/28/09	3.49		1.36	<0.200
MW-6	07/09/09	2.89		1.08	<0.100
MW-6	10/29/09	51.00		4.00	1.5 ^(m)
MW-6	01/19/10	9.70		1.40	1.4
MW-6	04/07/10	4.80		1.80	1.7
MW-6	07/27/10	2.70		1.00	<0.60
MW-6	10/20/10	4.50		1.00	<0.60
MW-6	01/18/11	5.70		1.30	<0.60
MW-6	04/21/11	2.70		1.00	<0.60
MW-6	07/14/11	4.10		1.40	<0.60
MW-6	10/06/11	25.00		6.80	<0.60
MW-6	01/24/12	5.80		1.10	<0.60
MW-6	04/10/12	6.90		1.70	1.2
MW-6	07/11/12	2.70		ND	1.2
MW-6	10/30/12	31.00		4.80	<0.60

Table 3: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

Well Number	Date Sampled	Chloride ^(a) (mg/L) ^(b)	Nitrate-Nitrite ^(c) (mg/L)	Nitrate- Nitrogen ^(a) (mg/L)	Nitrite- Nitrogen ^(a) (mg/L)
Cleanup Level ⁽ⁿ⁾		250	10	10	1
MW-6	01/29/13	16.00		2.70	1.3
MW-6	04/11/13	5.10		1.90	<6.0
MW-6	10/24/13 ^(k)	28.00		2.30	<0.100
MW-6	01/29/14	5.40		0.99	<0.100
MW-6	04/23/14	2.90		0.78	<0.100
MW-6	07/28/14	3.30		0.78	<0.100
MW-6	10/29/14	36.00		1.90	<0.100
MW-6	01/28/15	3.20		0.68	<0.100
MW-6	04/14/15	3.29		1.79	<0.100
MW-6	07/14/15	5.01		1.45	<0.100
MW-6	10/27/15	9.85		1.44	<0.100
MW-6	01/26/16	5.46		2.09	<0.100
MW-6	04/28/16	2.54		0.66	<0.100
MW-6	07/13/16	4.65		1.12	<0.100
MW-6	10/12/16	28.00		2.11	<0.100
MW-6	01/31/17	4.43		1.48	<0.100
MW-6	04/18/17	3.27		0.97	<0.100
MW-6	06/27/18 ^(c)	2.62	1.01	1.01	
MW-6	04/23/19	4.37		1.34	<0.100
MW-6	04/29/20	4.56		1.82	<0.100

Table 3: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

Notes:

(a) Analysis by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 300.0 except where noted.

(b) mg/L = milligrams per liter

(c) Nitrate-Nitrite analysis by EPA Method 353.2 and Chloride analysis by EPA Method 9056A for samples collected on 06/27/18. Based on correspondence with the analytical laboratory and analytical results for nitrite since 2013, it is assumed that the nitrate-nitrite analytical results are representative of nitrate concentrations in the water samples.

(d) Bold indicates analyte reported at a concentration that exceeds the cleanup level.

(e) NA = Not analyzed

(f) Groundwater monitoring conducted by EMR through 2002, GeoEngineers between 2003 and 2012, and Kennedy Jenks since 2013.

(g) ND = Not detected

(h) < = Analyte not detected above the indicated laboratory reporting limit.

(i) BOLD and Italicized indicates the laboratory reporting limit was greater than the cleanup level.

(j) Estimated value.

(k) On 07/09/07, 01/30/08, and 10/24/13, nitrite-nitrogen analysis was performed using EPA Method 353.2.

(I) NS = Not sampled

(m) Analysis performed outside of method-specified hold time because concentration of analyte in sample required a dilution.

(n) Cleanup level defined in the site Final Cleanup Action Plan (FCAP, GeoEnginers 2003).

(Dup) "Dup" = blind field duplicate sample

"J" indicates an estimated concentration based on either the result being less than the laboratory reporting limit or data validation findings.

Table 4: Statistical Analysis Summary

							95% UCL ^(a)	
		No. of Samples	No. of Detections	Minimum Detected (mg/L) ^(b)	Maximum Detected (mg/L)	Data Distribution	95% UCL Method	95% UCL (mg/L)
Constituent	Well ID							
Nitrate-N	MW-3	20	20	2.50	15.00	Lognormal	Land	9.21
	MW-5	20	20	2.49	41.80	Lognormal	Land	9.83
Washington State Department of Ecology Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Level						10		
Chloride	MW-5	20	20	6.07	923	Lognormal	Land	265
Washington State	Department of E	cology Mod	el Toxics Contro	ol Act Cleanup Leve				250

Notes:

(a) 95% UCL = 95 percent upper confidence limit on the mean. Statistical calculations were performed using Model Toxics Control Act Stat (accessed June 2019).

(b) mg/L = milligrams per liter

Date	Water Level Elevation ^(a)
Measured	(feet)
8/26/2003	2,035.85
9/25/2003	2,035.64
10/30/2003	2,035.50
11/26/2003	2,035.60
12/22/2003	2,036.64
1/28/2004	2,038.77
02/20/04 ^(b)	2,039.18
3/16/2004	2,039.08
4/19/2004	2,038.64
5/20/2004	2,038.10
6/16/2004	2,038.81
7/26/2004	2,037.98
8/23/2004	2,037.73
9/13/2004	2,037.48
10/15/2004	2,037.52
11/8/2004	2,037.48
12/15/2004	2,038.52
01/28/05 ^(b)	2,039.12
2/16/2005	2,039.06
3/10/2005	2,039.00
4/20/2005	2,039.02
5/14/2005	2,038.93
6/9/2005	2,039.02
7/14/2005	2,038.77
8/15/2005	2,037.77
9/27/2005	2,036.85
10/21/2005	2,037.85
11/3/2006	2,038.02
12/16/2006	2,037.85
01/17/06 ^(b)	2,039.10
02/03/06 ^(b)	2,039.10
03/17/06 ^(b)	2,039.10
04/14/06 ^(b)	2,039.10
05/03/06 ^(b)	2,039.10
6/7/2006	2,038.18
7/6/2006	2,038.85
8/31/2006	2,038.85
9/13/2006	2,038.77
10/30/2006	NM
11/13/2006	2,038.89
12/4/2006	2,038.93

Annual 2020 Groundwater and Cap Compliance Monitoring Report Hillyard Dross Cap, Spokane, Washington M:WP\2020\2020f114.00_Hillyard_Dross_GW_Sampling\Annual_Rpt\Tables\2020 report Tables 1-5-Fig 4-6.xlsx

01/04/07^(b)

02/02/07^(c)

2,039.14

NM

Date	Water Level Elevation ^(a)
Measured	(feet)
03/06/07 ^(b)	2,039.10
4/7/2007	2,036.87
5/3/2007	2,036.90
6/1/2007	2,038.94
07/03/07 ^(c)	NM
8/1/2007	2,037.70
9/7/2007	2,037.07
10/9/2007	2,037.17
11/19/2007	2,037.24
12/20/2007	2,038.64
1/29/2008	2,039.39
2/6/2008	2,039.31
3/17/2008	2,039.39
04/04/08 ^(b)	2,039.41
5/1/2008	2,039.27
6/16/2008	2,039.03
7/4/2008	2,038.69
8/5/2008	2,037.99
9/10/2008	2,037.59
10/7/2008	2,037.38
11/11/2008	2,037.56
12/5/2008	2,037.59
01/09/09 ^(b)	2,039.57
02/22/09 ^(b)	2,039.49
03/10/09 ^(b)	2,039.55
04/09/09	2,039.39
5/4/2009	2,039.21
6/5/2009	2,038.79
7/10/2009	2,038.28
8/13/2009	2,037.69
9/8/2009	2,037.34
10/5/2009	2,036.65
11/12/2009	2,037.54
12/7/2009	2,037.75
01/19/10 ^(b)	2,039.48
02/17/10 ^(b)	2,039.49
03/08/10 ^(b)	2,039.41
4/22/2010	2,039.32
5/12/2010	2,039.21
6/4/2010	2,039.30
7/30/2010	2,038.88
8/20/2010	2,038.47
9/9/2010	2,038.18

Annual 2020 Groundwater and Cap Compliance Monitoring Report Hillyard Dross Cap, Spokane, Washington M:\WP\2020\2096114.00_Hillyard_Dross_GW_Sampling\Annual_Rpt\Tables\2020 report Tables 1-5-Fig 4-6.xlsx

Date	Water Level Elevation ^(a)
Measured	(feet)
10/11/2010	2,038.07
11/10/2010	2,038.42
12/10/10 ^(b)	2,039.59
01/20/11 ^(b)	2,039.49
02/17/11 ^(b)	2,039.48
03/21/11 ^(b)	2,039.49
04/09/11 ^(b)	2,039.45
5/11/2011	2,039.39
06/10/11 ^(b)	2,039.49
7/8/2011	2,039.09
8/8/2011	2,038.39
9/21/2011	2,037.39
10/12/2011	2,037.90
11/17/2011	2,037.89
12/9/2012	2,037.99
1/11/2012	2,038.29
02/16/12 ^(b)	2,039.49
03/14/12 ^(b)	2,039.49
04/07/12 ^(b)	2,039.49
05/15/12 ^(b)	2,039.37
6/12/2012	2,039.18
7/12/2012	2,038.79
8/22/2012	2,039.09
9/14/2012	2,037.51
10/22/2012	2,037.09
November 2012 ^{(b)(d)}	NA
12/12/12 ^(b)	2,039.37
01/15/13 ^(b)	2,039.27
10/24/2013	2,038.09
11/19/2013	2,038.09
12/18/2013	2,038.15
1/29/2014	2038.69
2/26/2014 ^(b)	2,039.29
3/28/2014 ^(b)	2,039.23
4/23/2014	2,039.13
5/28/2014	2,038.84
6/17/2014	2,038.61
7/28/2014	2,038.12
8/21/2014	2,037.39
9/17/2014	2,038.39
10/24/2014	2,038.31
11/25/2014	2,037.52
12/11/2014	2,038.39

Annual 2020 Groundwater and Cap Compliance Monitoring Report Hillyard Dross Cap, Spokane, Washington M:\WP\2020\2096114.00_Hillyard_Dross_GW_Sampling\Annual_Rpt\Tables\2020 report Tables 1-5-Fig 4-6.xlsx

Date Measured	Water Level Elevation ^(a) (feet)
1/29/2015	2,039.39
2/20/2015	2,039.36
3/31/2015	2,039.39
4/24/2015	2,039.20
5/22/2015	2,038.89
6/25/2015	2,038.30
7/14/2015	2,037.94
8/10/2015	2,037.44
9/30/2015	2,036.84
10/28/2015	2,036.69
11/23/2015	2,036.78
12/18/2015	2,037.99
1/26/2016	2,039.41
2/25/2016	2,039.37
3/21/2016	2,039.44
4/22/2016	2,039.22
5/27/2016	2,039.01
6/28/2016	2,038.49
7/19/2016	2,038.09
8/18/2016	2,037.59
9/23/2016	2,037.04
10/21/2016	2,037.95
11/14/2016	2,039.39
12/22/2016	2,039.45
1/31/2017	2,039.47
2/27/2017	2,039.53
3/16/2017	2,039.49
4/19/2017	2,039.53
5/17/2017	2,039.43
6/15/2017	2,038.95
6/27/2018	2,038.59
4/23/2019	2,039.48
4/29/2020	2,039.19

Notes:

(a) Pond water surface elevation relative to NAVD 88. Water level elevation corresponds to the event gauge reading at the pond plus a base elevation of 2,036.59 feet mean sea level (MSL).

- (b) Water within the pond was discharging to overflow sump.
- (c) Not measured because the pond's staff gauge shifted position, requiring maintenance during subsequent operation and maintenance (O&M) visit.

(d) Water level was within normal range for the time of year. However, a precise water level is not available NM = not measured.

NA = not available.

Figures



Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community Legend

Approximate Site Location



Kennedy Jenks KJ

BNSF Railway Company Hillyard Dross Spokane, Washington

Vicinity Map

2096114*00 May 2020 Figure 1




Figure 3

FIGURE 4



FIGURE 5



FIGURE 6



Appendix A

Washington State Department of Ecology 2013 Periodic Review



PERIODIC REVIEW ALUMINUM RECYCLING CORPORATION FSID 627 CSID 1133

Prepared by Washington State Department of Ecology Eastern Regional Office Toxics Cleanup Program Spokane, WA

March 2013

[BLANK PAGE]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	5
2.0	SUMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS	5
2.1	SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY	5
2.2	SITE INVESTIGATIONS AND CLEANUP	5
3.0	PERIODIC REVIEW	3
3.1	REGULATION	3
3.2	BASIS FOR REVIEW	4
3.3	THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ONGOING OR COMPLETED CLEANUP ACTIONS	4
3.4	NEW SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION FOR INDIVIDUAL HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES OR	
MIX	TURES PRESENT AT THE SITE	5
3.5	NEW APPLICABLE STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS FOR HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES	
PRE	SENT AT THE SITE	5
3.6	CURRENT AND PROJECTED SITE AND RESOURCE USES	5
3.7	THE AVAILABILITY AND PRACTICABILITY OF MORE PERMANENT REMEDIES	5
3.8	THE AVAILABILITY OF IMPROVED ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES TO EVALUATE	
COM	IPLIANCE WITH CLEANUP LEVELS	6
4.0	CONCLUSIONS	6
5.0	REFERENCES CITED	6

[BLANK PAGE]

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the Washington State Department of Ecology's (Ecology) second periodic review for the Aluminum Recycling Corporation Site (Site). This periodic review is required as part of the site cleanup process under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), Ch. 70.105D RCW, implemented by Ecology. Periodic reviews evaluate post-cleanup site conditions and monitoring data to assure human health and the environment are being protected. They are required for sites where an institutional control is part of the cleanup action.

Cleanup actions were conducted at the Site by the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad Corporation (BNSF) in 2003. These actions addressed contaminated soils, but residual groundwater contamination remained. Groundwater monitoring has been ongoing since completion of the cleanup action. The first periodic review was completed in 2008.

2.0 SUMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS

2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY

The eight-acre Site, located at 3412 E. Wellesley Avenue, Spokane, Washington, was initially used as a gravel pit for an asphalt plant (Figure 1). Beginning in 1954, Site use changed to an aluminum reprocessing facility using scrap aluminum and aluminum dross. Several lessees continued these operations until 1987, when the property was abandoned by all lessees with an estimated 65,000 cubic yards of dross material remaining on-site. BNSF retained ownership of the property throughout that timeframe.

The facility processed white dross, which was composed of aluminum skim and other materials derived from primary smelting operations. White dross, which contains various oxides, aluminum metal, carbides, and nitrides, was treated through the addition of salts, cryolite, and heat to separate out molten aluminum metal. The resulting residue after the secondary treatment was high-salt black dross. This material, along with a small volume of semi-processed white dross, was deposited on-site in various waste piles and in the former gravel pit. Approximately 65,000 cubic yards of dross remained on-site when the property was abandonded in 1987. When the black dross is wet, it generates ammonia odors and heat. This caused complaints from the public and one fire. Temporary surface stabilization measures had been taken to limit these reactions.

2.2 SITE INVESTIGATIONS AND CLEANUP

In 1985, Ecology completed a Preliminary Assessment (PA) of the property, and recommended dust and fumes be controlled; the dross materials be appropriately disposed of; and local water supply wells be sampled to ensure they hadn't been contaminated. Ecology then conducted a PA/Site Inspection (SI) Phase I in 1987. It concluded the Site was potentially contaminated with hazardous substances. No dangerous waste designation was completed at that time.

In 1988, BNSF performed a Site characterization study. Groundwater, soil, and deeper dross samples were collected, and surface stabilization and Site access restrictions occurred.

In 1989, a dross characterization study was done for BNSF. About 95% of the dross on-site could be considered a dangerous waste under Washington State regulations due to high concentrations of chloride, fluoride, and nitrate. Also, groundwater under the dross piles contained chloride, fluoride, and nitrate at levels exceeding state drinking water standards.

In 1991, Ecology completed a Site ranking using the Washington Ranking Method (WARM); the Site received a rank of 2 on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 representing the greatest threat to human health and the environment. In 1996, BNSF's consultant reviewed the previous work and provided information on the physical and chemical properties of the dross, indicating it was not a dangerous waste according to bioassay testing. It also indicated the remaining salts were encapsulated and unable to be leached. Site access restrictions were also established.

BNSF and Ecology signed an Agreed Order in November 1998 to complete a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) which was finalized one year later. Results indicated groundwater was contaminated with chloride, fluoride, nitrate, and nitrite. Soil was also contaminated where it was mixed with dross.

A Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) was prepared in 2000 which summarized investigations and contamination at the Site, and selected the remedy. The remedy, implemented in 2001, involved excavation and consolidation of dross and soil mixed with dross into an on-site pit, capping of the consolidation area with a low permeability multimedia cover system, and routing of surface water drainage into an on-site lined evaporation pond. Fencing, signs, and deed restrictions are maintained for the property. Four existing monitoring wells, installed prior to the RI/FS, are also sampled on a quarterly basis for chloride, fluoride, nitrate, and nitrite.

3.0 PERIODIC REVIEW

3.1 REGULATION

Under WAC 173-340-420, a periodic review of the cleanup action takes place at least every five years after the initiation of the cleanup action. A periodic review is required at sites where any of the following occur:

- Ecology conducts a cleanup action.
- Ecology approves a cleanup action under an order, agreed order, or consent decree.
- As resources permit, whenever Ecology issues a no further action opinion.

AND one of the following conditions exists:

- An institutional control and/or financial assurance is required as part of the cleanup action.
- Cleanup level is based on a practical quantitation limit as provided for in WAC 173-340-707.
- Modifications to the default equations or assumptions using site-specific information would significantly increase the concentration of hazardous substances remaining at the site after cleanup or the uncertainty in the ecological evaluation or the reliability of the cleanup action is such that additional review is necessary to assure long-term protection of human health and the environment.

When conducting a periodic review of a cleanup action and evaluating whether human health and the environment are being protected, the factors Ecology shall consider include [WAC 173-340-420(4)]:

- The effectiveness of ongoing or completed cleanup actions;
- New scientific information for individual hazardous substances of mixtures present at the site;
- New applicable state and federal laws for hazardous substances present at the site;
- Current and projected site use;
- Availability and practicability of higher preference technologies; and
- The availability of improved analytical techniques to evaluate compliance with cleanup levels.

Because the cleanup action was performed under a consent decree and institutional controls are required, the site is subject to periodic reviews at a frequency of no less than every five years. A periodic review was completed by Ecology in 2008. Ecology determined in that review the remedy remained protective and no changes were needed.

3.2 BASIS FOR REVIEW

This review is based on documents describing the actions listed in Section 2.2. These include periodic groundwater compliance monitoring reports submitted quarterly from 2008 through 2012.

3.3 THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ONGOING OR COMPLETED CLEANUP ACTIONS

An engineered cover system was placed over the dross materials remaining on the Site. This low-permeability cover was designed to minimize the infiltration of surface water and route it away from the emplaced waste. Although grasses were planted on the cover surface, they did not grow successfully. Despite that, surface erosion appears to be minimal. The lined evaporation pond, installed to capture surface runoff, functions well, and can handle high flow events without overflow. The cover system and evaporation pond are visually inspected on a monthly basis to ensure there is no significant deterioration.

Institutional controls at the Site include access restrictions and a restrictive covenant. Fencing and signs are checked and maintained on a monthly basis along with the cover and pond. The restrictive covenant, which limits the use of the Site, was recorded and is in place. These limitations include: maintenance of fences and signs; industrial use only; limitations on groundwater withdrawal and use; and restrictions on activities which would interfere with the performance of the remedy. These institutional controls have proven effective in limiting exposure and protecting the integrity of the remedy.

Groundwater contaminant concentrations have been monitored quarterly since June 1997 at four Site monitoring wells (Figure 2). Fluoride has been below cleanup levels throughout this review period at wells MW4, MW5, and MW6 (Figure 3, Table 1). MW3 has shown two detections exceeding cleanup levels. A statistical analysis of fluoride data at this well shows that the data is lognormal, and the upper one-sided 95% confidence limit (0.69 mg/L) does not exceed the cleanup level (0.96 mg/L). Additionally, no single sample concentration is greater than two times the cleanup level, and less than 10% of the sample concentrations exceed the cleanup level. (Tables 1 through 4) Therefore, the cleanup level for fluoride in groundwater at the Site has been achieved, and fluoride can be removed from future monitoring events.

Chloride has been below cleanup levels throughout this review period at MW3, MW4, and MW6 (Figure 4, Table 2). However, chloride has exceeded the cleanup level in 15 of 20 samples at MW5 during this evaluation period. A statistical analysis of chloride data at this well shows the data is lognormal, and the upper one-sided 95% confidence limit (617.1 mg/L) exceeds the cleanup level (250 mg/L), indicating the well has not yet achieved cleanup levels. However, the Mann-Kendall statistical test shows a slightly decreasing trend in chloride concentrations.

Nitrate was below cleanup levels throughout this review period at MW4 and MW6 (Figure 5, Table 3). The cleanup level was exceeded in 3 of 14 samples in MW3, and in 11 of 20 samples in MW5. The trend is decreasing at MW3 and slightly increasing at MW5. This represents an improvement since the last periodic review.

Nitrite has had more detections at all wells as compared to the last periodic review, including multiple exceedances at wells MW4, MW5, and MW6 (Figure 6, Table 4). The exceedances are frequently interspersed with non-detections, including a one year period with no detections at any wells between October 2010 and October 2011. Trends at these wells are unclear and unpredictable. However, the magnitude of the exceedances is not great; the maximum concentration is only 1.8 mg/L (cleanup level 1 mg/L).

Overall, contaminant concentrations are showing improvements.

3.4 New scientific information for individual hazardous substances or mixtures present at the Site

No new scientific information is available for chloride, nitrate, nitrite, or fluoride.

3.5 NEW APPLICABLE STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS FOR HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES PRESENT AT THE SITE

No new federal or state laws exist that would apply to contaminants at the Site.

3.6 CURRENT AND PROJECTED SITE AND RESOURCE USES

The Site is currently vacant. Trespassing is discouraged by the presence of a chain-link fence at the Site perimeter. Regular Site inspections indicate the fencing does keep trespassers off the Site.

No change in land use is currently projected for the Site. When the CAP was originally written, it was anticipated a freeway would be built very near the Site. Accommodations were made during the design for rerouting train tracks and other issues specific to the freeway corridor. Work on this freeway has now started, and it is expected to reach areas proximal to the Site in the next five years. Initial conversations have begun with Washington State Department of Transportation (WDOT) representatives to ensure that freeway-related work will not impact the protectiveness of the cleanup action. If any work may impact the cleanup action, Ecology will work closely with WDOT and BNSF to ensure human health and the environment remain protected. Additional public outreach work would be performed for any plans that may change the cleanup action.

3.7 THE AVAILABILITY AND PRACTICABILITY OF MORE PERMANENT REMEDIES

A "permanent" cleanup action is defined in MTCA as a cleanup action in which cleanup standards can be met without further action being required. Several remedial alternatives were evaluated in the CAP. Of these, the only remedy evaluated that would be more permanent would be removal and off-site disposal. No new technologies have been developed since the CAP that would be more permanent.

3.8 THE AVAILABILITY OF IMPROVED ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH CLEANUP LEVELS

No improved analytical techniques are available.

4.0 **CONCLUSIONS**

Ecology has determined the remedy at the Aluminum Recycling Corporation Site is generally protective of human health and the environment. The measures that were taken for the original cleanup action remain protective today. Continued inspections ensure the cap remains functioning, and compliance monitoring allows for groundwater impacts and trends to be measured. The existence of institutional controls in the form of deed restrictions confirms Site uses will remain consistent with the presence of contamination. Further periodic reviews will be required as long as institutional controls are in place at the Site, in accordance with WAC 173-340-420(7).

5.0 **REFERENCES CITED**

Washington State Department of Ecology, 2001, <u>Model Toxics Cleanup Act Regulation Chapter</u> <u>173-340 WAC</u> FIGURES



Figure 1. Site Map

Second Periodic Review March 2013



Figure 2. Well Locations and Final Site Configuration

















TABLES

Date	Fluoride	Chloride	Nitrate	Nitrite
1/30/2008	0.43	74,5	14.1	0.2
4/22/2008	0.59	53.8	7.9	0.2
7/23/2008	0.54	80.6	11.3	0.2
10/22/2008	NS	NS	NS	NS
1/29/2009	0.45	68.2	7.95	0.2
4/28/2009	0.42	74.2	8.44	0.2
7/9/2009	1.15	77.1	6.24	0.2
10/29/2009	NS	NS	NS	NS
1/19/2010	NS	NS	NS	NS
4/7/2010	0.42	40	7.5	1.8
7/27/2010	0.12	77	13	0.2
10/20/2010	NS	NS	NS	NS
1/18/2011	0.44	51	6	0.2
4/21/2011	1.2	35	4.2	0.2
7/14/2011	0.41	39	6.9	0.2
10/6/2011	NS	NS	NS	NS
1/24/2012	0.31	71	9.7	0.2
4/10/2012	0.38	60	5.1	0.2
7/11/2012	0.26	57	9.5	0.2
10/30/2012	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS = not sampled

Table 1. MW3 Groundwater Results

Date	Fluoride	Chloride	Nitrate	Nitrite
1/30/2008	0.1	4.7	6.25	0.2
4/22/2008	0.1	3.98	3.49	0.2
7/23/2008	0.1	7.32	3.86	0.2
10/22/2008	0.1	2.75	1.28	0.2
1/29/2009	0.1	2.59	0.78	0.2
4/28/2009	0.1	2.5	0.68	0.2
7/9/2009	0.1	19.3	4.42	0.2
10/29/2009	0.1	2.7	1.9	1.4
1/19/2010	0.1	5.6	3.9	1.8
4/7/2010	0.1	3.2	1.4	1.6
7/27/2010	0.1	7.8	4	0.2
10/20/2010	0.1	2.7	2	0.2
1/18/2011	0.1	2.6	0.9	0.2
4/21/2011	0.21	2.4	0.9	0.2
7/14/2011	0.1	3.8	1.6	0.2
10/6/2011	0.1	4.3	2.4	0.2
1/24/2012	0.1	3.6	2.3	0.2
4/10/2012	0.1	3	1	1.3
7/11/2012	0.1	4.7	2.7	0.2
10/30/2012	0.1	2.8	2.4	0.2

NS = not sampled

Table 2. MW4 Groundwater Results

Date	Fluoride	Chloride	Nitrate	Nitrite
1/30/2008	0.1	513	13.3	0.2
4/22/2008	0.1	546	3.05	0.2
7/23/2008	0.21	914	6.36	1.8
10/22/2008	0.1	292	10	0.2
1/29/2009	0.1	298	9.43	0.2
4/28/2009	0.1	417	10.5	0.83
7/9/2009	0.1	112	3.2	0.2
10/29/2009	0.1	290	11	1.4
1/19/2010	0.1	360	13	0.2
4/7/2010	0.1	330	15	1.1
7/27/2010	0.1	48	5.5	0.2
10/20/2010	0.1	330	15	0.2
1/18/2011	0.1	260	14	0.2
4/21/2011	0.1	370	11	0.2
7/14/2011	0.1	1500	24	0.2
10/6/2011	0.1	320	8.2	0.2
1/24/2012	0.1	240	13	0.2
4/10/2012	0.1	150	6.7	0.2
7/11/2012	0.1	680	14	0.6
10/30/2012	0.1	69	1.3	0.6

NS = not sampled

				0
Date	Fluoride	Chloride	Nitrate	Nitrite
1/30/2008	0.1	19.2	1.98	0.1
4/22/2008	0.1	14.4	1.04	0.98
7/23/2008	0.1	2.47	1.02	0.1
10/22/2008	0.1	61.8	4.45	0.1
1/29/2009	0.1	5.32	0.88	0.1
4/28/2009	0.1	3.49	1.36	0.1
7/9/2009	0.1	2.89	1.08	0.1
10/29/2009	0.1	51	4	1.5
1/19/2010	0.1	9.7	1.4	1.4
4/7/2010	0.1	4.8	1.8	1.7
7/27/2010	0.1	2.7	1	0.1
10/20/2010	0.1	4.5	1	0.1
1/18/2011	0.1	5.7	1.3	0.1
4/21/2011	0.1	2.7	1	0.1
7/14/2011	0.16	4.1	1.4	0.1
10/6/2011	0.1	25	6.8	0.1
1/24/2012	0.1	5.8	1.1	0.1
4/10/2012	0.1	6.9	1.7	1.2
7/11/2012	0.1	2.7	0.2	1.2
10/30/2012	0.1	31	4.8	0.1

NS = not sampled

Table 4. MW6 Groundwater Results

Appendix B

Monitoring Well Sampling Field Logs

SPOKANE ENV	RONMENTAL SOLUTIONS

20								w	ell Number:	mw-	3
SPOKAN	E ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUT	10NS				9	:40		Date:	4/29/	20
Project Info	ormation				Well Construe	ction Inform	nation				
Project Nam Project Nur	ne: <u>H</u> tl/4	and J	055		Stick-up o	or Flush	Well Diameter (in)	Total Depth (ft btoc)	Scre (ft b	en Interval gs or btoc)	
Sampling Ir	nformation										
Field Team:					Monitoring In	formation		I			
Purge Metho Sampling M	od: ethod:	Low Flow			Initial I (ft bt	DTW oc)	Saturate Interval (ft	ed Screen bgs or btoc)	Pui Dep (Mid Sat.	mp Intake th (ft btoc): Screen Interva	J)
Water Quali	tv Meter:	Model: U-52			17=	3 32					
Serial Number:				Sample Conta	ainers	1					
				Number	Туре	Prese	ervative	Analytical	Parameters	Itered?	
Comments				,,					Ē		
	Sor	nple									
Time	Volume Purged (L)	Purge Rate (L/min)	DTW (ft btoc)	Temp.	Conductivity (uS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	pH	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Clarity/Colo Remarks	r/
	Pump On	(<0.5 L/min)	Initial	-	±3%	±10%	±0.1	±10mv	±10%	<= Stabilizat Criteria	ion
e*•	Start Samplir	ig		Sample ID:				Sample Time:			
	End Samplin	g		QA/QC Samp	ole ID:			QA/QC Samp	le Time:		

Note: bgs= below ground surface btoc=below top of casing DTW=depth to water Clarity: VC=very cloudy Cl=cloudy SC=slightly cloudy AC=almost clear C=clear CC=crystal clear



Project Information

Sampling Information

Project Name: Project Number:

Field Team: Purge Method:

Comments

Sampling Method:

Water Quality Meter:

Purge Water Disposition:

					1/=9	2		
	Well Construction Information							
Hillyand Dross	Stick-up	Stick-up or Flush		Total Depth (ft btoc)	Screen Interval (ft bgs or btoc)			
n	5	5						
	Monitoring In	formation						
	Initial	Initial DTW		ed Screen bgs or btoc)	Pump Intake Depth (ft btoc): (Mid Sat, Screen Interv	(lev		
Model: U-52	170.0	160			(
Serial Number:	Sample Cont	ainers				ćp		
ion:	Number	Туре	Prese	rvative	Analytical Parameters	Filtere		
						T		

Well Purge	Data									
Time	Volume Purged (L)	Purge Rate (L/min) (<0.5 L/min)	DTW (ft btoc)	Temp. (⁰ C)	Conductivity (uS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	рН	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Clarity/Color/ Remarks
	Pump On		Initial	-	±3%	±10%	±0.1	±10mv	±10%	<= Stabilization Criteria
11:50	3	.5	a sugar o ana sugar sugar sugar sugar	13.79	0272	0.7/	8.25	49	0.0	
11:55				13.01	0.253	2.87	8.28	53	0.0	
12:00				12.78	0.25	2.73	8.33	55	0.0	
2:03				12.67	0.252	2.75	8.28	56	0.0	
12:10				12.57	0.252	2.59	8.27	58	0.0	
12:15				12.54	0.5	2.54	8.30	63	0.0	
12:20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
- shaqhalaan Ri Arabaka amaa faari - aagaa aa										
Annalysis - and the state of th										
	Start Samplin	g		Sample ID:			Sample Time:			
	End Sampling	9		QA/QC Sample ID:			QA/QC Sample Time:			

Note: bgs= below ground surface btoc=below top of casing DTW=depth to water

Clarity: VC=very cloudy Cl=cloudy SC=slightly cloudy AC=almost clear C=clear CC=crystal clear HD-MW4-04-2920 Q 12:20

Well Number:

Date: 4



Well Nu	Well Number:		
04/29/20	Date:	NATES-	
	and the same		

Project Information	Well Constru	Well Construction Information							
Project Name: Hillyand Dross Project Number:		Stick-up	Stick-up or Flush		Total Depth (ft btoc)	Screen Interval (ft bgs or btoc)			
Sampling Information									
Field Team:		Monitoring Ir	formation						
Purge Method: Sampling Method:	Low Flow	Initial (ft bi	Initial DTW (ft btoc)		ed Screen bgs or btoc)	Pump Intake Depth (ft btoc): (Mid Sat. Screen Interval			
Water Quality Meter:	Model: U-52	175.99			-				
	Serial Number:	Sample Cont	ainers				d7		
Purge Water Disposition	n:	Number	Number Type		ervative	Analytical Parameters			
Comments									

Well Purge I	Data								- training	
Time	Volume Purged (L)	Purge Rate (L/min) (<0.5 L/min)	DTW (ft btoc)	Temp. (⁰ C)	Conductivity (uS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Clarity/Color/ Remarks
	Pump On		Initial	15.02	±3%	±10%	±0.1	±10mv	±10%	<= Stabilization Criteria
13:00	2.5	0.5		(434	0.378	9.67	8.61	77	0.0	
13:05		•		17.16	0.365	6.95	8.02	75	0.0	
13:10				18.20	0.369	7.56	8.02	71	0.0	
13:15				18.17	0.361	9.50	8.03	77	0.0	
13:20				17.50	0.358	8.22	8.00	77	0.0	
13:25				16.90	0.355	8.07	8.01	79	0.0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						8.29				
	Start Sampling			Sample ID:	<u> </u>		Sample Time:			
	End Sampling	3		QA/QC Sample	ID:		QA/QC Sample Time:			

Note: bgs= below ground surface btoc=below top of casing DTW=depth to water Clarity: VC=very cloudy Cl=cloudy SC=slightly cloudy AC=almost clear CC=crystal clear

HD-MW5-042920 & 13:25 D-22 D-20200429 & 10:00 FB-20200429 & 14:00

175.99



SES				w	ell Number: MW -	-6	
SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS			÷		Date: 4-29	7-10	
Project Information	Well Constru	ction Inform	nation				
Project Name: Hill Yord DrOSS Project Number:	Stick-up	or Flush	Well Diameter (in)	Total Depth (ft btoc)	Screen Interval (ft bgs or btoc)		
Sampling Information	5		2		-		
Field Team:	Monitoring Ir	formation					
Purge Method: Low Flow Sampling Method: Low Flow	Initial (ft b	DTW toc)	Saturate Interval (ft	ed Screen bgs or btoc)	Pump Intake Depth (ft btoc): (Mid Sat. Screen Interval		
Water Quality Meter: Model: U-52	177.52						
Serial Number:	Sample Cont	ainers				d3	
Purge Water Disposition:	Number	Туре	Prese	ervative	Analytical Parameters	Filtere	
Comments							
		ler som varantet i standarder og state att att att att					
·				18			

Well Purge I	Data									
Time	Volume Purged (L)	Purge Rate (L/min) (<0.5 L/min)	DTW (ft btoc)	Temp. (⁰ C)	Conductivity (uS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Clarity/Color/ Remarks
	Pump On		Initial	-	±3%	±10%	±0.1	±10mv	±10%	<= Stabilization Criteria
10:50	6.8	0.5		14.74	0.260	10.08	9.64	26	0.0	
10:55				14.49	0.265	8,80	9.34	28	0.0	
00:11				13.69	0.268	6.15	8.81	29	0.0	
11:05				13.13	0.276	4.79	8.59	28	0.0	
11:10				13.01	0.279	3.87	8.44	30	Q.0	
11:15				12.87	0,279	3.81	8.40	35	0.0	
				an ann an an ann an an ann an an ann an						
	Start Sampling			Sample ID:	I	L	Sample Time:			
	End Sampling			QA/QC Sample	ID:		QA/QC Sample Time:			

Note: bgs= below ground surface btoc=below top of casing DTW=depth to water Clarity: VC=very cloudy CI=cloudy SC=slightly cloudy AC=almost clear C=clear CC=crystal clear HD. MW6.042920 @ 11:20

			Billing Infor	mation:			1 2			An	alvsis /	Contain	ner / Pres	servative	2			Chain of Custo	dy F	Page of
Kannady/Janks Con BNISE Pagion 1						Dror					2.00)		
Kennedy/Jenks Con-Bias	Kennedy/Jenks con bitor hegion 1			Accounts Payable														Par	οAna	lutical [®]
			32001 32	nd Ave. S	.,Ste.	100	1 A	and the second										Nationa	Center for	Testing & Innovation
32001 32nd Ave S.			Federal \	Nay, WA	98001															
Suite 100							N.													
Federal Way WA 98001			Email To:				1	et										12065 Lebanon	Rd	1830
Report to:			rvanhultgr	en@Kenned	lyJenks	.com;AliceRo	binso	0										Mount Juliet, Th	37122	
Alice Robinson			Paki	016	<u></u>		di	120						1.000				Phone: 813-758 Phone: 800-767	5859	7-95-7
Project Description: BNSF Hillyard Dross		City/State Collected:	SPUFA	ove, u	JA	PT MT C	T ET	PE-H	res		-							Fax: 615-758-58	59	
Phone: 253-835-6432	Client Proje	ct #		Lab Projec	:t#		1	I	101			100105			-	1 22.7		SDG #		
Filone. 255-055 0452	1996	0114-(00	BNSF1K	EN-HI	LLYARD	4	Soml	PE-N									Table #		
Collected by (print):	Site/Facility	apd No	055	P.O. #				**	nHC									Acctnum: B	NSF1K	EN
Collected by (signature):	Buch2	/Lab MUICT Do	Notified)	Ouote #				8	25r							なから		Template: T	16625	7
concerca by (signature)	KUSHT		Notifieu)				1 CA	Ž	1.									Prelogin: P7	6785	5
fol ce	Same	Day 5 Day	(Rad Only)	Date	Results	Needed	T.	õ	0 0									PM: 134 - M	ark W.	Beasley
Immediately	Two D	Day 10 Da	y (Rad Only)				No.	Z	Z									PB: 410	126	n
Packed on Ice N Y	Three	Day	51	ANDY	IR .	2	of) LD	02									Shinned Via	FedE	Ground
Sample ID	Comp/Grat	Matrix *	Depth	Date	e	Time	Cntrs	0H**	CI, NI									Remarks	Sa	mple # (lab only)
HD MINH .042970	/	GW	Τ	04.7	9-20	12:20	2	X	X											
HD-MW5-042920		GW		0479	.20	13:25	2	X	X											
D-70200429		GW		04-29	:20	10:00	2	X	X											
FB-20200429		GW		04-29	- 10	14:00	2	X	X									and ¹ 28-a		
HD-MW6-042920		GW	<u> </u>	04.2	9-20	11:20	Z	X	X											
	а. С	GW					1	1.00							1					
		GW			and the second															
		GW																		
				Í			1											7		
							i i													
* Matrix:	marks:		1	1		/	H					January and Statements			1		Sampl	e Receipt	Check	list
SS - Soil AIR - Air F - Filter		DT	4017	DIVI	C	tin	ie				рН		_ Temp			COC Se	al Pre	sent/Inta	ot:	NP Y N
GW - Groundwater B - Bioassay	SHU	JKI	FIOI-	1.5)		1				Flow		Other			Bottle	s arri	ve intact		Y N
WW - Waste Water					-		1				110 W		_ 00101			Correc	t bott	les used:		Y N Y N
DW - Drinking Water Sar	mples returne	ed via:			Trackin	g #	1									SULLIC	Teur A	If Applic	able	
	UPS FedB	Ex Courier	-							and the second second						VOA Ze	ro Hea	dspace:	becks	d Y N
Relinquished by : (Signature)		Date:	Time	:	Receive	ed by: (Signat	ure)			Т	rip Blan	ik Receiv	ved: Ye	es / No		RAD Sc	reen <	:0.5 mR/hr	l	Y_N
Marphalas NIZA		20 12	5.00			1						+	HCL / Med	DH						
man price 04- 11-		Date:	Time		Receive	ed by: (Signat	ure					0	C Bottl	es Receiv	ed:	If prese	rvation	required by	ogin: D	ate/Time
Keiinquisnea by : (Signature)		Date:	Inne		Receive	Sa by. (Signat				T	emp:		C	n apres d		1.000		() () () () () () () () () ()		
						10 11	101	1		-						Hald			-	Condition
Relinquished by : (Signature)		Date:	Time	:	Receive	ed for lab by:	(Signat	ure)		D	ate:		Time	9:		Hold:				NCE / OK

			N	IONTHLY INSPECTIO	File Number	
			Project	lyand Dies	Date 4/29/20	
			Owner	3NSF	Site Map on Reverse	
Staff Partic	pating. WO Shihava	a	Tempera	ture 72°F		
Prepared B	hão Ishihav	a	Weather	Conditions	Departure	
SY	STEM COMPONENT	COND	ITIONS			
		GOOD	BAD	Notes/Urgency	Recommen	ded Action
	Chain Link	V				
Fence	Barbed Wire		No. 1997			
	Gates	V				
Can Sail	East Quadrant	V				
cap soli	North Quadrant	V				
Vogotation	West Quadrant	レ				
vegetation	South Quadrant	V				
	Pond	V				
	W Sump/Pump	V				
Stormwater	West Sump/Level	V				
System	West Sump Intake					
	Overflow Sump	V				
	Drywell	V				
	Electrical					
Other	Meter/Reading					
Systems	Monitoring Wells	~				
	Roads	~				
		YES	NO	Notes/Urgency	Recommen	ded Action
	Unauthorized Access		V			
Restrictive	Unauthorized Excavations		V			
Covenant	Unauthorized Wells		V,			
covenant	Unauthorized Water Withdrawls		V			
	Unauthorized Uses/Activities		IN			
Notes HAU	ELECTRICAL see photo.	met	IER.	WAS E	3LINKIN	rg .
Use Back fo	or Additional Comments	h				
Date	1/29/20					

Appendix C

Field Methods



Appendix B

Field Methods

General

Groundwater monitoring activities completed at the Hillyard Dross Cap facility followed standard U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) low-flow methods and procedures. Procedures were consistent with those previously conducted during recent sampling events. Known deviations from the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) approved sampling methods [documented in EMR's *Final Remedial Action Work Plan* (FRAWP) finalized on 22 April 2003] were related to purge water disposal, sampling equipment and methods, decontamination methods, and instrument calibration and included the following:

- Purge water was containerized within a labeled 55-gallon drum located within the fenced portion of the Site.
- Sampling was performed at monitoring wells MW-4 through MW-6 using low-flow sampling methods and dedicated bladder pumps.
- Instrument calibration was performed consistent with manufacturer's recommendations, rather than with the procedures detailed in the FRAWP.

Groundwater Elevations

Depths to groundwater were measured relative to the monitoring well casing rims using an electronic water level indicator. Prior to groundwater sample collection, water level measurements were collected from each well. After removing the well cap, sufficient time was allowed for the water level to equilibrate with the ambient air pressure. Prior to water level measuring, the existing reference point on the well casing was determined. A water level indicator probe was slowly lowered into the well until the sound from the indicator was audible. The probe was then slowly pulled out a few inches, and dropped back down at smaller increments until the water level could be determined to within 0.01 foot. The water level was measured based on an existing reference point on the well casing. Following sampling activities, the total depth of the well was then measured and recorded to the nearest 0.01 foot by allowing the measuring tape to contact the base of the well. The probe of the water level indicator was decontaminated between wells with a detergent wash, a tap water rinse, and a distilled water rinse. Groundwater table elevations were calculated by subtracting the depth to the groundwater table from the casing rim elevations. Groundwater table elevations measured during this reporting period are presented in the report.



Groundwater Sampling

Groundwater purging and sampling was performed consistent with EPA's low-flow groundwater sampling procedure, as described in EPA (1996) and Puls and Barcelona (1996). Dedicated submersible bladder pumps, outfitted with dedicated Teflon-lined tubing, were used for groundwater purging and sampling. During purging activities, water quality parameters, including pH, conductivity, temperature, turbidity, and oxidation-reduction potential and dissolved oxygen, were measured using a YSI 556 MPS multi-parameter meter equipped with a flow-through cell and recorded. The meter was calibrated on a daily basis in a manner consistent with manufacturer procedures. Groundwater samples were collected after 1) water quality parameters had stabilized or 2) a maximum purge time of 1 hour was achieved. During purging and sampling, drawdown was not allowed to exceed 0.3 foot and purge rate was not allowed to exceed 400 milliliters per minute.

Water quality parameter stabilization criteria include the following:

- Turbidity: ±10 percent for values greater than 5 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU)
- Dissolved oxygen: ±10 percent
- Conductivity: ±3 percent
- pH: ±0.1 unit
- Temperature: ±3 percent.

After groundwater quality stabilization criteria were satisfied, the pump's discharge tubing was disconnected from the flow-through cell and groundwater samples were collected for analysis of the following compounds in the following order: chloride, nitrate-nitrogen, and nitrite-nitrogen. Each sample was decanted into sample containers supplied by the analytical laboratory. Groundwater samples collected for chemical analysis were kept cool during onsite storage and transport to the analytical laboratory. Chain-of-custody procedures were observed during transport of the groundwater samples.

Monitoring well MW-3 not sampled as insufficient groundwater was present.

Purge water was retained in a labeled 55-gallon drum stored within the fenced portion of the Site.

References

Puls, R.W. and Barcelona, M.J. 1996. Low-flow (minimal drawdown) ground-water sampling procedures: EPA Ground Water Issue, April, pp. 1-9.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Region 1. 1996. Low stress (low-flow) purging and sampling procedure for the collection of ground water samples from monitoring wells. EPA SOP No. GW 0001, Revision No. 2. July 30, 1996.

Appendix D

Laboratory Analytical Report



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Kennedy/Jenks Con-BNSF Region 1

Sample Delivery Group:	L1213576
Samples Received:	04/30/2020
Project Number:	1996114-00
Description:	BNSF Hillyard Dross
Site:	HILLYARD DROSS
Report To:	Alice Robinson
	32001 32nd Ave S.
	Suite 100
	Federal Way, WA 98001

¹ Cp
² Tc
³ Ss
⁴ Cn
⁵Sr
⁶ Qc
⁷ Gl
⁸ Al
°Sc

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Mark W. Beasley Project Manager

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace Analytical National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures ENV-SOP-MTJL-0067 and ENV-SOP-MTJL-068. Where sampling conducted by the customer, results relate to the accuracy of the information provided, and as the samples are received.

ACCOUNT: Kennedy/Jenks Con-BNSF Region 1 PROJECT: 1996114-00

SDG: L1213576 DATE/TIME: 05/01/20 15:50

PAGE: 1 of 14
TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 2

*
¹ Cp
² Tc
³ Ss

Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

ΆI

Sc

Ss: Sample Summary	3
Cn: Case Narrative	4
Sr: Sample Results	5
HD-MW4-042920 L1213576-01	5
HD-MW5-042920 L1213576-02	6
D-20200429 L1213576-03	7
FB-20200429 L1213576-04	8
HD-MW6-042920 L1213576-05	9
Qc: Quality Control Summary	10
Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A	10
GI: Glossary of Terms	12
Al: Accreditations & Locations	13
Sc: Sample Chain of Custody	14

Cp: Cover Page

Tc: Table of Contents

SDG: L1213576 DATE/TIME: 05/01/20 15:50

SAMPLE SUMMARY

ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.

*

Ср

Tc

Ss

Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

ΆI

Sc

			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
HD-MW4-042920 L1213576-01 GW			Flavio	04/29/20 12:20	04/30/20 08	:30
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
			date/time	date/time		
Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A	WG1468563	1	04/30/20 12:48	04/30/20 12:48	MSP	Mt. Juliet, TN
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
HD-MW5-042920 L1213576-02 GW			Flavio	04/29/20 13:25	04/30/20 08	:30
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
			date/time	date/time		
Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A	WG1468563	1	04/30/20 13:01	04/30/20 13:01	MSP	Mt. Juliet, TN
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
D-20200429 L1213576-03 GW			Flavio	04/29/20 10:00	04/30/20 08	:30
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
			date/time	date/time		
Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A	WG1468563	1	04/30/20 13:13	04/30/20 13:13	MSP	Mt. Juliet, TN
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
FB-20200429 L1213576-04 GW			Flavio	04/29/20 14:00	04/30/20 08	:30
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
			date/time	date/time		
Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A	WG1468563	1	04/30/20 13:26	04/30/20 13:26	MSP	Mt. Juliet, TN
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
HD-MW6-042920 L1213576-05 GW			Flavio	04/29/20 11:20	04/30/20 08	:30
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
	W04400500	1			MCD	MAL LURGE TAX
wet chemistry by Method 9056A	WG1468563	1	04/30/20 13:39	04/30/20 13:39	MSP	ivit. Juliet, IN

SDG: L1213576

ا ٥!

CASE NARRATIVE

*

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

h

Mark W. Beasley Project Manager

Τс Ss Cn Sr Qc GI AI Sc

SDG: L1213576 I 0! PAGE: 4 of 14



Cn

Qc

Gl

Â

Sc

Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	 C
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		date / time		2
Chloride	4420		379	1000	1	04/30/2020 12:48	WG1468563	ľΤ
Nitrate	1370		48.0	100	1	04/30/2020 12:48	WG1468563	
Nitrite	U		42.0	100	1	04/30/2020 12:48	WG1468563	³ S



Cn

Qc

Gl

Â

Sc

Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	 Ср
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		date / time		
Chloride	6070		379	1000	1	04/30/2020 13:01	WG1468563	Tc
Nitrate	3390		48.0	100	1	04/30/2020 13:01	WG1468563	
Nitrite	U		42.0	100	1	04/30/2020 13:01	WG1468563	³ Ss

SDG: L1213576 DATE/TIME: 05/01/20 15:50



Cn

Qc

Gl

Â

Sc

Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A

								1°Cn
	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	Cp
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		date / time		2
Chloride	6150		379	1000	1	04/30/2020 13:13	WG1468563	Tc
Nitrate	3380		48.0	100	1	04/30/2020 13:13	WG1468563	
Nitrite	U		42.0	100	1	04/30/2020 13:13	WG1468563	³Ss



Cn

Qc

Gl

Â

Sc

Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	 Ср
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		date / time		2
Chloride	U		379	1000	1	04/30/2020 13:26	WG1468563	Tc
Nitrate	U		48.0	100	1	04/30/2020 13:26	WG1468563	
Nitrite	U		42.0	100	1	04/30/2020 13:26	WG1468563	³ Ss



Cn

Qc

GI

Â

Sc

Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	 Ср
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		date / time		2
Chloride	4560		379	1000	1	04/30/2020 13:39	WG1468563	Tc
Nitrate	1820		48.0	100	1	04/30/2020 13:39	WG1468563	
Nitrite	U		42.0	100	1	04/30/2020 13:39	WG1468563	³ Ss

Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY L1213576-01,02,03,04,05

(MB) R3523826-1	04/30/20 11:17	

(MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL	L	
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		² Tc
Chloride	U		379	1000		
Nitrate	U		48.0	100		³ Sc
Nitrite	U		42.0	100		00
						⁴ Cn

L1213571-02 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1213571-02 04/30/2	OS) L1213571-02 04/30/20 15:51 • (DUP) R3523826-3 04/30/20 16:04											
	Original Result	DUP Result	Dilution	DUP RPD	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits						
Analyte	ug/l	ug/l		%		%						
Chloride	9800	9780	1	0.154		15						
Nitrate	U	0.000	1	0.000		15						
Nitrite	U	0.000	1	0.000		15						

L1213604-03 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1213604-03 04/30/20 20:24 • (DUP) R3523826-7 04/30/20 20:37											
	Original Result	DUP Result	Dilution	DUP RPD	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits					
Analyte	ug/l	ug/l		%		%					
Chloride	2620	2640	1	0.766		15					
Nitrate	1330	1330	1	0.0301		15					
Nitrite	U	0.000	1	0.000		15					

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3523826-2 04/30/20 11:30									
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier				
Analyte	ug/l	ug/l	%	%					
Chloride	40000	39700	99.3	80.0-120					
Nitrate	8000	8160	102	80.0-120					
Nitrite	8000	8050	101	80.0-120					

ACCOUNT:	
Kennedy/Jenks Con-BNSF Region	1

Ср

Sr

Qc

GI

Â

Sc

Wet Chemistry by Method 9056A

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Тс

Ss

Cn

Sr

[°]Qc

GI

Â

Sc

L1213571-03 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1213571-03 04/30/20 17:25 • (MS) R3523826-4 04/30/20 17:38 • (MSD) R3523826-5 04/30/20 17:50												
	Spike Amount	Original Result	MS Result	MSD Result	MS Rec.	MSD Rec.	Dilution	Rec. Limits	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	%	%		%			%	%
Chloride	50000	6790	57500	57400	101	101	1	80.0-120			0.206	15
Nitrate	5000	U	5120	5100	102	102	1	80.0-120			0.401	15
Nitrite	5000	U	5220	5210	104	104	1	80.0-120			0.107	15

SDG: L1213576 DATE/TIME: 05/01/20 15:50 PAGE: 11 of 14

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

₩

Τс

ŚS

Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

AI

Sc

Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

Abbreviations and Definitions

MDL	Method Detection Limit.
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
U	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state "ND" (Not Detected) or "BDL" (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.
Qualifier	Description

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank, there are no qualifiers applied to this SDG.

PROJECT: 1996114-00

SDG: L1213576 DATE/TIME: 05/01/20 15:50 PAGE: 12 of 14

ACCREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS

Pace National is the only environmental laboratory accredited/certified to support your work nationwide from one location. One phone call, one point of contact, one laboratory. No other lab is as accessible or prepared to handle your needs throughout the country. Our capacity and capability from our single location laboratory is comparable to the collective totals of the network laboratories in our industry. The most significant benefit to our one location design is the design of our laboratory campus. The model is conducive to accelerated productivity, decreasing turn-around time, and preventing cross contamination, thus protecting sample integrity. Our focus on premium quality and prompt service allows us to be YOUR LAB OF CHOICE.
* Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.
* Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace National.

State Accreditations

Alabama	40660	Nebra
Alaska	17-026	Nevao
Arizona	AZ0612	New I
Arkansas	88-0469	New J
California	2932	New
Colorado	TN00003	New
Connecticut	PH-0197	North
Florida	E87487	North
Georgia	NELAP	North
Georgia ¹	923	North
ldaho	TN00003	Ohio-
Illinois	200008	Oklah
Indiana	C-TN-01	Orego
lowa	364	Penns
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode
Kentucky ¹⁶	90010	South
Kentucky ²	16	South
Louisiana	AI30792	Tenne
Louisiana ¹	LA180010	Texas
Maine	TN0002	Texas
Maryland	324	Utah
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Verme
Michigan	9958	Virgin
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washi
Mississippi	TN00003	West
Missouri	340	Wisco
Montana	CERT0086	Wyom

Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Nevada	TN-03-2002-34
New Hampshire	2975
New Jersey-NELAP	TN002
New Mexico ¹	n/a
New York	11742
North Carolina	Env375
North Carolina ¹	DW21704
North Carolina ³	41
North Dakota	R-140
Ohio-VAP	CL0069
Oklahoma	9915
Oregon	TN200002
Pennsylvania	68-02979
Rhode Island	LAO00356
South Carolina	84004
South Dakota	n/a
Tennessee ¹⁴	2006
Texas	T104704245-18-15
Texas ⁵	LAB0152
Utah	TN00003
Vermont	VT2006
Virginia	460132
Washington	C847
West Virginia	233
Wisconsin	9980939910
Wyoming	A2LA

Third Party Federal Accreditations

A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP,LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 ⁵	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA–Crypto	TN00003		

¹ Drinking Water ² Underground Storage Tanks ³ Aquatic Toxicity ⁴ Chemical/Microbiological ⁵ Mold ⁶ Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable

Our Locations

Kennedy/Jenks Con-BNSF Region 1

Pace National has sixty-four client support centers that provide sample pickup and/or the delivery of sampling supplies. If you would like assistance from one of our support offices, please contact our main office. Pace National performs all testing at our central laboratory.



1996114-00

L1213576

PAGE: 13 of 14

05/01/20 15:50



			Billing Infor	mation:					An	alvsis / Co	ntain	er / Pres	servative				Chain of Custody	Page of
(ennedy/Jenks Con-BNSF Region 1		egion 1 Accounts Payable				Pres	Pres	\sim								nah tioal®		
2001 32nd Ave S. suite 100			32001 32nd Ave. S.,Ste. 100 Federal Way, WA 98001			Chk	F										National Canter for Testing & Innovation	
eport to:			Email To:		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		24								100		12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 371	
Alice Robinson			ryanhultgr	en@KennedyJenks	.com;AliceRo	binso	250										Phone: 615-758-5858 Phone: 800-767-5859	到回
roject Description: BNSF Hillyard Dross		City/State Collected:	SPOKA	ine, wa	Please Cil PT MT C	rcle: T ET	PE-H	res							No.2		Fax: 615-758-5859	1212571
hone: 253-835-6432	Client Project	# 114-	00	Lab Project # BNSF1KEN-HI	LLYARD		OmiHD	PE-Nol			Mar And						sDG# (1213976 F034	
Farm	Site/Facility ID	red D	053	P.O. #			3** 25	MIHD			「市大学					Acctnum: BNSF1KEN Template:T166257 Prelogin: P767855 PM: 134 - Mark W. Beasley PB: HB2020 6m		
Collected by (signature):	Rush? (Lab MUST B Same Day Five Next Day 5 D Two Day 10		Rush? (Lab MU Same Day Next Day Two Day		Notified) Day y (Rad Only) ay (Rad Only)	Quote # Date Result:	Results Needed		D-NO2NO	2, NO3 125								
Sample ID	Comp/Grab	Matrix *	Depth	Date	Time	Cntrs	HOL	ON (D									Shipped Via: Fe Remarks	dEX Ground Sample # (lab only
HD-MW4-042920	1	GW		04-29-20	1/2:20	2	×	X			Caller .							- 2
HD MW 5-047920	and the second	GW	1.1.1	0479-20	13:25	12	X	X				14				(al and		- 01
D. 1200479		GW		04-29-20	10:00	2	X	X	記念					للمراجع والملي			and a second states	- 2'
-B-20200429		GW		14-29-20	14:00	2	X	X										- 91
HD-MW6-047920	X	GW		04.29-20	11:20	Z	X	X					「「「	Lind	学校的			- 9
	-	GW									No.		No. of Street, or other					
		GW																Aller and a strength
		GW					All and			in the second second								
				and the										4				
			1.24		Same and				「	12.						i Sakar	1 Selenaria	-
* Matrix: SS - Soil AIR - Air F - Filter GW - Groundwater B - Bioassay	marks: SHO	RT	HOL	Ding	tin	ne			đ.	pH Flow		_ Temp _ Othe) r		COC Sea COC Sid Bottles Correct	Samp al Pr gned/ s arr t bot	ele Receipt Chr resent/Intact: /Accurate: rive intact: ttles used:	ecklist YY YY YY
DW - Drinking Water Sau DT - Other	amples returned via: UPS FedEx Courier			Trackin	Tracking # 1663 5765			5	3655					Sufficient volume sent: If Applicable VOA Zero Headspace: Y				
Relinquished by : (Signature) Date:		ate: 24-7.9	-20 /1	e: Receiv 5:00	ed by: (Signa	ture)		and and	T	rip Blank F	Recei	ved: Ye	es / No HCL / Med TBR	он	RAD Sc.	reen	<0.5 mR/hr:	
Relinquished by : (Signature)	Date:		Time: Received by: (Sign		ed by: (Signa	ture)			Т	emp:	A	C Bott	les Receiv	ed:)	If preser	rvatio	n required by Log	in: Date/Time
Relinquished by : (Signature)	D	ate:	Tim	e: Receiv	ed for lab by	: (Signat	ture)	-	4	Date: 1/30/	he	Tim	8:3	0	Hold:			Condition: NCF / 60

DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY BNSF Hillyard Dross

Laboratory Reports included in Data Validation	Dates	Sample IDs				
Laboratory: Pace Analytical	Report Date:	Aqueous Samples: HD-MW4-042920, HD-MW5-042920, HD-				
SDG: L1213576	Sample	Field Duplicate: D-20200429 (duplicate of HD-MW5-042920)				
Analyses: Anions	Dates: 4/29/2020- 4/29/2020	Equipment Blank: FB-20200429 Trip Blank: Not Collected				
	Validation Date:					
	5/28/2020					

Criteria	(Yes or No)	Comment
Chain-of-Custody (COC) – Chain-of-custody protocol followed?	Yes	
Temperature Blank – Sample temperature criteria met?	Yes	
Holding times – Samples analyzed within specified holding time?	Yes	
Laboratory method blank samples – Analytes present in method blank samples?	No	
Field/Equipment blank samples – Analytes present in field/equipment blank samples?	No	
Trip blank samples – Analytes present in trip blank samples?	No	See Note
Matrix Spikes (MS)/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) samples – Control limits met?	Yes	See Note
Surrogate percent recoveries – Control limits met?	Yes	
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) – Control limits met?	Yes	
Laboratory duplicate samples (if applicable) – Control limits met?	Yes	
Field duplicate samples (if submitted) – Relative percent differences within control limits?	No	See Note
Other Issues?	No	

Trip Blank Note: Not Collected

MS/MSD Note: Not applicable

Field Duplicate Note: For the duplicate pair D-20200429 and HD-MW5-042920 the RPD ranged from 0-2%. The RPD was within acceptance limits. No action taken.

SUMMARY

Overall, the findings with respect to the quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) data do not adversely affect the use of the analytical results.

Appendix E

2020 MTCA Stat Output

Compliance calculations

89	1/29/13	MW5 Chloride 2020
----	---------	-------------------

160	4/11/13						
140	10/24/13						
130	1/29/14						
120	4/23/14	Number of samples		Uncensor	red values		
25	7/28/14	Uncensored	20)	Mean	126.95	
44	10/29/14	Censored		Lognor	mal mean	131.17	
160	1/28/15	Detection limit or PQL		:	Std. devn.	194.620789	
47.4	4/14/15	Method detection limit			Median	88.85	
65.4	7/14/15	TOTAL	20)	Min.	6.07	
98.7	10/27/15				Max.	923	
88.7	1/26/16						
26.3	4/28/16						
152	7/13/16						
149	10/12/16	Lognormal distribution?		Normal distribution?			
14.9	1/31/17	r-squared is:	0.928	r-squared is:		0.454	
82.6	4/18/17	Recommendations:					
923	6/27/18	Use lognormal distribution.					
17	4/23/19						
6.07	4/29/20						
		UCL (Land's method) is 264.	91106578968	8			

Compliance calculations

7.5	1/29/13	MW5 Nitrate 2020				
8.7	4/11/13					
9.1	10/24/13					
9.4	1/29/14					
7.2	4/23/14	Number of samples	;	Uncenso	red values	
4	7/28/14	Uncensored	20		Mean	7.75
4.2	10/29/14	Censored		Logno	rmal mean	7.31
7.6	1/28/15	Detection limit or PQL			Std. devn.	8.3709556
4.15	4/14/15	Method detection limit	t		Median	5.63
5.43	7/14/15	TOTAL	. 20		Min.	2.49
5.83	10/27/15				Max.	41.8
6.04	1/26/16					
2.49	4/28/16					
5.35	7/13/16					
3.61	10/12/16	Lognormal distribution?		Normal distribution?		
3.8	1/31/17	r-squared is:	0.855	r-squared is:	(0.466
11.5	4/18/17	Recommendations:				
41.8	6/27/18					
3.84	4/23/19	Reject BOTH lognormal and	normal distribu	utions. See Statistics (Guidance.	
3.39	4/29/20					

UCL (Land's method) is 9.82827879158248