

Remedial Investigation Work Plan Buse Mill Timber & Sales, Inc. Everett, Washington

> Prepared for: 3812 Everett Partners, LLC

> > March 5, 2024 32-21001485



Remedial Investigation Work Plan Buse Mill Timber & Sales, Inc. Everett, Washington

Prepared for: 3812 Everett Partners, LLC

March 5, 2024 32-21001485



John Foxwell, LHg Principal

Table of Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Purpose and Objectives	1
1.2 Regulatory Framework	1
1.3 Work Plan Organization	2
1.4 Project Management and Responsibilities	2
2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY	4
2.1 Site Description	4
2.2 Site History	5
2.3 Prior Agency Consent Decrees	7
2.4 Previous Site Characterization Activities	7
2.5 Current Site Conditions	11
2.6 Future Site Use	15
3.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL	16
3.1 Geology and Hydrogeology	16
3.2 Existing Conditions and Site Use	18
3.3 Nature and Extent of Contamination	18
3.4 Exposure Pathways	34
3.5 Preliminary COPC, Cleanup Levels, Points of Compliance	35
4.0 DATA GAPS	38
4.1 Site-Wide Data Gaps	39
5.0 RI FIELD INVESTIGATION	40
5.1 Preparatory Activities	40
5.2 Field Sampling Scope	41
5.3 Groundwater Monitoring	48
6.0 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL PROGRAM	48
7.0 PROPOSED RISK ASSESSMENT	54
7.1 Human Health	54
7.2 Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation	54
7.3 Aquatic Ecological Evaluation	56
7.4 Critical Areas Assessment	56
8.0 SCHEDULE AND REPORTING	56
8.1 Schedule	56
8.2 Reporting	57

Tables

- 1 Soil Results: TPH-Dx
- 2 Soil Results: VOCs and TPH-Gx
- 3 Soil Results: Chlorinated Phenols and Metals
- 4 Soil Results: Dioxins/Furans
- 5 Groundwater Results
- 6 Sediment Results: TPH, PAHs, PCBs, Chlorinated Phenols, and Metals
- 7 Sediment Results: Dioxins/Furans
- 8 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Soil
- 9 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Groundwater
- 10 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Sediment

Figures

- 1 Site Location Map
- 2 Site Vicinity Map
- 3 Site Plan
- 4 Stormwater System Map
- 5 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Concentrations in Soil
- 6 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Concentrations in Drainage Sediment
- 7 Dioxins/Furans in Soil
- 8 Dioxins/Furans in Drainage Sediments
- 9 Conceptual Site Model of Human Health Exposure Pathways
- 10 Conceptual Site Model of Ecological Exposure Pathways
- 11 Proposed Soil and Groundwater Sampling Locations
- 12 Proposed Sediment Sample Locations
- 13 Conceptual East Drainage Sampling Cross-Section
- 14 Proposed Stormwater System Samples

Appendices

- A Sampling and Analysis Plan/Quality Assurance Project Plan
- B Inadvertent Discovery Plan
- C National Wetlands Inventory



Abbreviations/Acronyms

2,3,7,8-TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
µg/L	Micrograms per liter
µS/cm	Microsiemens per centimeter
amsl	Above mean sea level
AO	Agreed Order No. DE 21518
Apex	Apex Companies, LLC
AST	Aboveground storage tank
bgs	Below ground surface
bml	Below the mudline
CAP	Cleanup Action Plan
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
COPC	Chemical of potential concern
CSM	Conceptual site model
Ecology	Washington Department of Ecology
EISC	Ecological indicator screening concentrations
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Environmental Site Assessment
Everett Partners	3812 Everett Partners, LLC
FS	Feasibility Study
GPR	Ground-penetrating radar
HASP	Health and Safety Plan
HxCDD	Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
I-5	Interstate 5
IDP	Inadvertent Discovery Plan
IDW	Investigation-derived waste
LSI	Limited Site Investigation
MCL	Maximum contamination level
mg/kg	Milligrams per kilogram
MTCA	Model Toxics Control Act
NELAP	National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program
NFA	No Further Action
ng/kg	Nanograms per kilogram
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
PA	Preliminary Assessment
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyl
PCP	Pentachlorophenol



pg/g	Picograms per gram
PID	Photoionization detector
PPE	Personal protective equipment
PQL	Practical quantitation limits
Property	3812 28th Place NE, Everett, Washington 98201
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
QA/QC	Quality assurance/quality control
REC	Recognized environmental condition
RI	Remedial Investigation
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
SCL	Significant concentration level
SCO	Sediment cleanup objective
SCUM	Sediment Cleanup User's Manual
SGC	Silica gel cleanup
Site	Buse Timber & Sales, Inc. site
SMS	Sediment Management Standards
TeCP	Tetrachlorophenol
TEQ	Toxicity equivalence
TOC	Total organic carbon
TPH	Total petroleum hydrocarbons
TPH-Dx	Diesel-range total petroleum hydrocarbons
TPH-Gx	Gasoline-range total petroleum hydrocarbons
URS	URS Consultants, Inc.
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
UST	Underground storage tank
VOC	Volatile organic compound
WAC	Washington Administrative Code



1.0 Introduction

This Remedial Investigation (RI) Work Plan was prepared for the Buse Timber & Sales, Inc. site (Site) generally located at 3812 28th Place NE in Everett, Washington 98201 (Property; Figure 1). The Work Plan was prepared under the Agreed Order (the AO) No. DE 21518 between 3812 Everett Partners, LLC (Everett Partners) and the State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology). This Work Plan summarizes existing data and Site characteristics, identifies data gaps, and describes the RI approach, field sampling activities to be completed, and the schedule for activities and reporting.

1.1 Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of the RI is to collect data necessary to adequately characterize the Site for the purpose of developing and evaluating cleanup action alternatives. The RI will determine the nature and extent of contamination based on Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) cleanup levels, Sediment Management Standards (SMS) cleanup standards, and other regulatory requirements. Several areas across the Site require additional investigation to determine the nature and extent of contamination posing risk to humans and ecological receptors. Results from the RI will be used to develop a Feasibility Study (FS), which will evaluate remedial alternatives to ensure protection of human health and the environment within the applicable requirements of Washington Administrative Codes (WAC) 173-340-360 and 173-204-570. Evaluation of the RI/FS will determine the draft Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) that will provide the proposed remedial action to address contamination at the Site.

Additionally, revisions to MTCA were enacted on January 1, 2024 that include, among other things, provisions requiring that environmental justice and climate change considerations be incorporated into the RI/FS.

1.2 Regulatory Framework

In 1990, Ecology completed a Preliminary Assessment for the Site and recommended that the Site be placed on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) list of potential hazardous waste sites. Additional chlorinated hydrocarbon testing in sediment and the nearby Union Slough did not find impacts. In 1992, Ecology issued a No Further Action (NFA) determination based on the additional testing. The EPA completed an additional investigation in 1994 and found that no further investigation was required under the Superfund program.

Further investigations were conducted at the Site beginning in 2018. A Notification of Apparent Release letter was submitted to Ecology on April 21, 2020 for discovery of an MTCA Method A cleanup level exceedance for arsenic in groundwater. Site assessments were completed for lending purposes in 2018 and for due diligence in 2021. On April 27, 2022, Ecology rescinded the 1992 NFA and listed the Site on the Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List based primarily on the concentrations of dioxins found



during investigation activities between 2018 and 2021. The AO between Everett Partners and Ecology was entered into on June 22, 2023.

Buse Timber & Sales, Inc. currently operates under Industrial Stormwater General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit number WAR000097 issued by Ecology.

1.3 Work Plan Organization

This document is organized in the following manner:

- Section 2 provides a description of the Site, previous investigations, and interim actions;
- Section 3 presents the current conceptual site model (CSM) addressing geology, nature/extent of contamination, and chemicals of potential concern (COPC);
- Section 4 discusses data gaps for the Site;
- Section 5 presents the plan for evaluating chemical characteristics of the Site soil, groundwater, and sediment;
- Section 6 presents the laboratory analytical program;
- Section 7 presents the proposed risk assessment;
- Section 8 presents the proposed schedule and reporting deliverables; and
- Section 9 lists the references cited in this Work Plan.

Supporting information is provided in tables, figures, and appendices. Appendix A is the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP). The inadvertent discovery plan is provided in Appendix B, and the National Wetlands Inventory map is provided as Appendix C.

1.4 Project Management and Responsibilities

The RI will be managed by Apex Companies, LLC's (Apex) Seattle office. Subcontractors including laboratory services, surveying, drilling, and sampling support will be used during the project. Responsibilities of the team members, as well as laboratory project managers, are described below.

Project Coordinator (Apex): John Foxwell. As the Project Coordinator under the AO and the primary point of contact for agency communications, Mr. Foxwell will be responsible for implementing the field investigation in accordance with the Work Plan and QAPP. Mr. Foxwell will have primary responsibility for ensuring project controls and schedule are maintained. His contact information is as follows:



John Foxwell Office: (503) 312-0676 john.foxwell@apexcos.com

Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Manager (Apex): Katya English. Ms. English is responsible for implementing the QA/QC program and managing the field and laboratory site database. Her contact information is as follows:

Katya English Office: 314-964-9090 katya.english@apexcos.com

Data Validation Specialist (Apex): Laura England Witt. Ms. Witt is responsible for validating laboratory data reports to ensure the integrity of data relative to data quality objectives. Her contact information is as follows:

Laura England Witt Office: 916-247-8303 laura.witt@apecos.com

Field Manager (Apex): Corey Stout. Mr. Stout is responsible for coordinating all field activities in accordance with the RI Work Plan and the SAP. He will arrange all sampling containers and oversee sampling, ensure standard operating procedures are being followed in the field, coordinate with the QA/QC Manager and the Project Manager, and assist in managing wastes generated during the investigation. His contact information is as follows:

Corey Stout Office: 509-224-9521 corey.stout@apexcos.com

Project Engineer (Apex): Herb Clough, P.E. Mr. Clough will serve as project engineer and also provide technical assistance for dioxin assessment. He is a professional engineer registered in the State of Washington. His contact information is as follows:

Herb Clough, P.E. Office: 503-974-0420 herb.clough@apexcos.com

Laboratory Data Manager (Fremont Analytical): Brianna Barnes. Ms. Barnes will serve as the project manager for the analytical laboratory contracted for the analysis of samples. Fremont Analytical is responsible for conducting laboratory analysis and maintains a current certification from the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) and Ecology's laboratory accreditation program under WAC 173-50. Her contact information is as follows:



Brianna Barnes Office: 206-352-3790 bbarnes@fremontanalytical.com

Laboratory Data Manager (Ceres Laboratories): James Hedin. Ceres Laboratories is responsible for subcontracting laboratory analytical services. Ceres Laboratories and subcontract laboratories maintain a current certification from NELAP and Ecology's laboratory accreditation program under WAC 173-50. His contact information is as follows:

James Hedin Office: 916-932-5011 <u>jhedin@ceres-lab.com</u>

2.0 Site Description and History

2.1 Site Description

The Property consists of two parcels of land (Parcel Nos. 29050400300600 and 29050900201500) comprising approximately 60.48 acres (Figure 2). Buse Timber & Sales Inc. has operated as a lumber mill since the mid-1940s. Current operations include a sawmill facility, log storage, and 11 buildings including the main office and maintenance and storage buildings (Figure 3).

Logs to be processed by the lumber mill are primarily brought in by truck. Some logs are occasionally brought in through the Union Slough. Log storage is located on the southern end of the Site, and milling activities and lumber storage are located in the center of the Site. Some lumber is treated with a water-based anti-stain solution in the dip tank and painted with a water-based end seal. Wood by-products from lumber processing are sold to suppliers. Operations and log storage areas are paved, and the north end of the Site is unpaved and undeveloped.

The Site is situated on generally flat terrain at a surface elevation of approximately 1 foot above mean sea level (amsl). The nearest body of water is the Union Slough, located north and west adjacent to the Site. A drainage system encircles most of the Property that discharges any collected water to Union Slough through a tide gate located at the far north end of the Site. The tide gate is part of a culverted system that connects the Union Slough Cutoff and East Drainage to Union Slough. The East Drainage is a man-made drainage that receives the majority of the stormwater from the Site for eventual discharge to Union Slough. The Union Slough Cutoff formerly connected Union Slough to the Snohomish River near Dagmars Marina (Figure 2). The ends of the Union Slough Cutoff were filled in the early 1950s. The north section of the Union Slough Cutoff that parallels the west boundary of the Site is referred to in this and other reports as the West Drainage.



The tide gate is a valved system that allows surface water runoff from the site to discharge into Union Slough when the Union Slough stage is less than 0.5 feet amsl. According to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory, wetlands are present at the north and south portions of the Site. The wetlands inventory map is provided as Appendix C. Surrounding properties generally consist of commercial or industrial businesses (Figure 2).

2.2 Site History

The Site is located on Smith Island, which was diked in the early 1900's to prevent flooding from the Snohomish River (Ecology, 1990). The Buses purchased the Property in 1942, and the sawmill has operated at the Property since 1946. Prior to the purchase of the Property, the land was reportedly used as a dairy farm and later as a golf course. The oldest resource describing early land uses available to Apex is a 1941 aerial photograph that shows the entire site was pasture land, presumably used for the former dairy operation located south of the Buse Property on the property that is now Dagmars Marina. Based on the limited duration of former agricultural and golf course uses, Apex concludes these land uses were not contaminant sources to the Property.

The Property has operated in two configurations. The initial configuration included the mill in the western portion of the Property with a log pond. According to aerial photographs, the tide gate between the Union Slough and the drainage system was constructed between 1952 and 1954 when the Union Slough Cutoff was formed after the connection to Union Slough and the Snohomish River was filled in the 1950s (note the area referred to as the West Drainage in prior reports is designated as the "Union Slough Cutoff). Around 1968, the operations at the Property expanded due to the construction of Interstate 5 (I-5) to the east. This expansion included constructing buildings, paving with asphalt, developing a fire pond, filling the log pond, and expanding the log storage area to the south of the operating areas of the Property. The fire pond was later backfilled around 2003 for the construction of the current lube building and mechanic shop.

Until 1986, some wood products were treated with pentachlorophenol (PCP) using a dip tank. In 1986, the EPA sponsored studies to determine whether wood treatment chemicals were entering the soil at certain lumbermills in Washington. Sediment collected from the lumber yard, a storm drain, and Union Slough had detections of PCP and tetrachlorophenol (TeCP). PCP was removed from operations in 1986, replaced with "PQ8," and the dip tank operation was moved to a shed with secondary containment. The former dip tank reportedly did not have a cover or secondary containment, and the area was paved over without removal of potentially impacted soil (Ecology, 1990). Wood treatment with PCP was reported to be limited since most Buse products were sold untreated.

An underground storage tank (UST) system was formerly operated at the northeastern portion of the Site. The UST system included two USTs, one with unknown contents and one with gasoline. The USTs were believed to have been installed circa 1964 and removed in 1996. Removal of the USTs was not properly documented, and files are not available for review (Apex, 2021b).



Based on the available aerial photos, stormwater conveyance and management for the Site as configured between 1946 and 1968 is assumed to have been either directly to the former log pond or to the Union Slough Cutoff, but this is not documented. After the 1968 reconfiguration of the Site, stormwater was conveyed through at least one reported storm drain line and surface drainage to a single stormwater line that emptied to the north and into the East Drainage (Figure 3). Stormwater released directly into the East Drainage was not treated. The current stormwater system had been constructed by 1993 to include improved catch basins, settling/detention basins, oil/water separators, and boomed areas to prevent the contamination of stormwater. The former stormwater line to the East Drainage was taken out of service at the time of construction of the new system. Additional improvements are discussed below.

Flooding in the area of the historical stormwater line is rare and has occurred just once in the last 17 years according to Site personnel.

In 1998, a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (Exponent, 1998) recommended that sediments with petroleum hydrocarbons in the East Drainage be excavated for off-site treatment and disposal. That same year, stockpiled soils labeled "slough sludge" were removed for off-site disposal by Clean Service Company, Inc. (Exponent, 2010).

Sediment removal from the East Drainage was completed in 2003. The west ditch was also dredged and did not show signs of contamination as observed in 2003 (Exponent, 2010).

Several improvements were implemented based on recommendations in the Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Report (Exponent, 1998). These included the following:

- Secondary containment for lube storage was added. A new building for lubrication and hydraulic oils was constructed by 2003, which included concrete floors and a sump.
- A new fueling facility was completed in 2004. This area included connection to an oil/water separator with the ability to control spills. Above ground storage tanks (ASTs) were also upgraded to double-walled containers.
- As of 2010, lubricants used at the mill were zinc-free, and wood preservatives were water-based/oil-free products.

In September 2023, a diesel fuel release from the AST system occurred which resulted in a release of approximately 2,580 gallons of diesel fuel. Containment and recovery efforts by Buse and the emergency response contractor have recovered 1,952 gallons of diesel fuel to date. The diesel fuel was released to the Property stormwater system and eventually the East Drainage. Buse personnel immediately closed the tide gate and confirmed that petroleum hydrocarbon sheens were contained within the ditch and did not migrate past the tide gate to Union Slough. Adsorbent booms remain deployed to contain and recover residual diesel.



Spill response efforts have been overseen by Ecology and other state and federal agencies. The area impacted by this release will be further assessed in the RI (see Section 3.3.8).

2.3 Prior Agency Consent Decrees

Buse Timber & Sales has been a party to two consent decrees with the State of Washington, as summarized below.

A 1990 Consent Decree was filed in response to a Toxic Substances Control Act inspection for oil-filled equipment with polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Buse removed seven transformers as part of this consent decree and paid a fine.

A 2015 Consent Decree was filed in response to Clean Water Act violations. Consent Decree requirements included complying with the NPDES stormwater permit, updating the site Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (Buse Timber & Sales, 1993), improving operations practices at the log float beach (including removal of woody debris), and implementing other best management practices related to stormwater management.

2.4 Previous Site Characterization Activities

A number of previous environmental investigations have been conducted to document conditions at the Site. This section presents a summary of the findings of these previous investigations.

1990 Investigation. In 1990, Ecology completed a preliminary assessment (PA) for the Buse Mill site. Elevated concentrations of PCP and TeCP were detected in drainage sediment samples near the former dip tank. Samples were not analyzed for dioxins. The PA concluded that there were no significant threats to human health and the environment. However, it did recommend sampling of the drainages and ranking under Ecology's Washington Ranking Method guidelines. Follow-up sampling was completed in site drainages, and PCP and TeCP were not detected.

1994 Investigation. A Screening Site Inspection Report was prepared for the Site by URS Consultants, Inc. (URS) on behalf of the EPA (URS, 1994). This report summarized the results of a limited sampling event that included surface and subsurface soil, storm drain sediment, drainage sediment sampling, and sediment sampling at the Site and nearby slough/river. Samples were tested for PCBs, semi-volatile organic compounds, metals, chlorinated phenols, and/or PCP. Dioxin analyses were not completed as part of this work. Local soil and sediment background samples (from neighboring locations away from possible contamination sources) were also collected.

URS compared results to the background samples for the purpose of determining whether a release had occurred using protocols from the EPA Alternative Remediation Contracting Strategy (EPA, 1990) to determine whether a release had occurred. In summary:



- If the non-background sample concentration is less than the Sample Quantitation Limit, no observed release is established, and the result is not significant.
- If non-background sample measurement is greater than the Sample Quantitation Limit, an observed release or "significant" result establishes a release when:
 - If the local background sample concentration is non-detect, the concentration in the non-background sample exceeds the Sample Quantitation Limit; and
 - If the background sample concentration exceeds the Sample Quantitation Limit, the non-background sample measurement is three times or more above the background concentration.

None of the detected non-background surface soil or subsurface soil results met the criteria for significant concentrations.

Samples collected from catch basins and in the north ditch (near the storm drain outfall) had sporadically detected concentrations of lead, mercury, PCBs, PCP, and 2-phenylphenol that were considered significant when compared to the release criteria significant concentration level (SCL), summarized as follows.

- Lead 56.2 to 57 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), above the SCL for lead of 36 mg/kg;
- Mercury 0.282 to 1.84 mg/kg, above the SCL for mercury of 0.66 mg/kg;
- PCBs (Aroclor 1254) a single detection of 1.0 mg/kg, above the SCL for total PCBs of 0.11 mg/kg;
- PCP 0.071 mg/kg to 0.109 mg/kg, above the SCL of 0.054 mg/kg; and
- 2-phenylphenol a single detected concentration of 0.032 mg/kg (an SCL is not available for 2-phenylphenol).

One sediment sample was collected on the downstream side of the Union Slough tide gate. Concentrations of metals were detected below the criteria for significant concentrations. PCBs (Aroclor 1254), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and chlorinated phenols (including PCP) were not detected.

1998 Investigation and 2010 Update. Exponent conducted a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II ESA) in August 1998 (Exponent, 1998) to address recognized environmental conditions (RECs) identified in Exponent's prior Phase I ESA for the Site. The investigation consisted of sampling sediments in the drainage ditches surrounding the Site, sampling the storm drain system, and assessing soil and groundwater impacts at the Site. Only results for some of the 1998 Exponent sampling locations are available. The file copy is an incomplete copy and includes approximately half of the data set. Attempts to obtain a complete report were unsuccessful. Soil sampling locations with available data are shown on Figures 5 and 7. Sediment sampling locations with available data are shown on Figures 6 and 8.



Samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Select soil and groundwater samples were tested for chlorinated phenols. One soil sample was analyzed for dioxins/furans. The 1998 Phase II data set did not indicate widespread impacts in upland areas. Drainage sediment (samples NDM-1 through NDM-6) were analyzed for TPH and sediment from a drainage control structure (MSA-4) was analyzed for TPH, VOCs, and chlorinated phenols. Primary impacts included sediments within drainage control structures (TPH at sample MSA-4) and sediments within the east drainage (NDM-1 through NDM-4).

2018 Limited Site Investigation (LSI). In August 2018, Terracon conducted an LSI (Terracon, 2018b) to assess the potential presence of compounds of concern in subsurface soil and groundwater at the Site that may have originated from the RECs identified in the 2018 Phase I ESA prepared by Terracon (Terracon, 2018a). This LSI included advancing six soil borings (B1 through B6) and collecting soil and/or groundwater samples from each boring. Boring locations are shown on Figure 11. The LSI results/findings are summarized as follows:

- Soil samples collected from B1 and B2 had concentrations of arsenic and/or chromium which exceeded their respective MTCA Method A cleanup levels. Soil from B2 was also analyzed for hexavalent chromium which was not detected.
- Soil samples collected from B5 and B6 had concentrations of dioxins and furans. Although these
 concentrations are below their respective MTCA Method B cleanup levels, they exceed the natural
 background concentrations of 5.2 nanograms per kilogram (ng/kg) for dioxin and furan mixtures in
 soil.
- Only one groundwater sample was collected, from boring B2. This sample had concentrations of arsenic which exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup level. The remaining groundwater sample results were either non-detect or below the MTCA Method A or MTCA Method B cleanup levels.

Terracon concluded that the operations at the Site with a vehicle repair shop, wash-down area, diesel UST area, fire pond, and documented dioxins and furans appear to have previously contributed and may potentially continue to contribute to the release of select chemicals of concern to soils and/or groundwater. With the exception of arsenic in soil and groundwater, Terracon concluded that conditions representative of a large-scale release were not present.

It appears that arsenic concentrations identified in soil and groundwater are consistent with the current Site use. While there are arsenic exceedances of the MTCA cleanup level, Terracon inferred that they are limited to the Site soil and groundwater within the limits of the Site boundary.

2021 Phase II Environmental Site Assessment. In July 2021, Apex performed a Phase II ESA based on the findings of its Phase I ESA (Apex, 2021b and 2021a, respectively). Field sampling activities included surface soil sampling, direct-push soil explorations for soil and groundwater samples, and drainage sediment



sampling in areas where additional investigation was required. The Phase II ESA results and findings are included below:

- Chlorinated phenols, PCBs, VOCs, and metals, when present in soil or groundwater, were largely below upland cleanup levels with the following exception:
 - PCP was detected in a single shallow groundwater sample at SB-11 (near the former dip tank) above the MTCA Method B cleanup level for cancer risks, but not in adjacent sample SB-12A, collected from deeper intervals. Reporting limits (10 micrograms per liter [µg/L]) were above the MTCA Method B cleanup level for cancer risks of 0.22 µg/L.
- Mercury was detected in the East Drainage sample.
- Diesel and oil range petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH-Dx) were widely detected at the Site. However, TPH-Dx concentrations in groundwater were decreased with silica gel cleanup (SGC). Biogenic compounds from wood product residuals or natural organic matter may be present in groundwater and are elevating TPH-Dx reported concentrations without SGC. A decrease in TPH-Dx following SGC may also be reflective of petroleum weathering and biodegradation to polar metabolites.
- PCP reporting limits in sediment were elevated based on required 15x to 30x dilutions due to matrix interference.
- Dioxins/furans detected in surface soil in the hog fuel mixing area exceeded the natural background concentration and the MTCA Method B cleanup level at locations SS-1 and SS-2.
- Dioxins/furans detected in drainage sediment and at the tide gate exceeded the Puget Sound natural background concentration. The East Drainage formerly received the majority of stormwater runoff, and concentrations of dioxins/furans were the highest at this location.
- PCBs were not detected in drainage sediment samples. However, the reporting limits were 0.128-3.22 mg/kg, greater than the SMS Freshwater Sediment Cleanup Objective (0.11 mg/kg) and, for the East Drainage sample, the Cleanup Screening Level (2.5 mg/kg).

The Phase II ESA recommended the following.

- Future TPH-Dx analysis should continue to use SGC for an accurate representation of the TPH that is present.
- A UST system formerly operated at the Site was removed in 1996, and complete documentation was
 not provided to Ecology. While concentrations of TPH and VOCs were below cleanup levels at the
 former UST area, the detected concentrations of petroleum in groundwater are a release from a UST
 system that is reportable under WAC 173-340. For compliance with UST regulations, confirmation
 soil sampling and groundwater characterization should be completed.
- Based on the 2018 Terracon investigation, an arsenic release was reported due to detected concentrations of arsenic above MTCA Method A cleanup levels. Sampling completed in this area



during this Phase II ESA did not detect arsenic at concentrations above cleanup levels. Soil and groundwater sampling should be completed in order to verify if this was an actual release, or the result of variability in arsenic concentrations.

- Additional sampling at the former dip tank is not recommended based on collected data suggesting the source of PCP is not present.
- The nature and extent of dioxins/furans near the waste mixing "donut" should be determined.
- The nature and extent of dioxins/furans in the Site drainage should be determined, and an ecological risk assessment should be performed.

2.5 Current Site Conditions

Buse Mill Timber & Sales Inc. currently operates the Site as a sawmill facility and a log yard. Production includes sizing, debarking, trimming, milling, planning, treating, drying, banding, and shipping. Current industrial activities performed at the Site include the following:

- Delivery of logs by truck and infrequent retrieval of logs from Union Slough;
- Sawmill operation including debarking, milling, and planer surfacing;
- Storage of logs, sawdust, bark, milled lumber, oil, and fuel;
- Lumber sorting;
- Treatment of lumber that may include sapstain treatment, banding, and end painting;
- Vehicle operation and maintenance including washing, fueling, and parking; and
- Dust control.

Buildings at the Property do not include process water drainage, except for the office. A septic system located north of the office provides drainage for office restrooms and kitchen use.

Recreational uses and subsistence fishing along the banks of the Union Slough and Union Slough Cutoff, may occur, or may have occurred in the past.

2.5.1 Site Use

The Property has the capacity to produce approximately 75 million board feet per year of finished lumber products. The majority of logs are received by truck, but some are infrequently received on rafts via Union Slough. The log float tie-ups were removed from the slough in 2023. Logs transported to the Property are stored in the paved log storage yard to the south.



Historically, logs were removed from the Union Slough by a Log Stacker that is located on a man-made dike. The logs extracted from Union Slough were transported by a log truck to the log storage area. Logs retrieved from Union Slough were infrequently stored on a rocked area near the slough access ramp. While Buse is currently not bringing in logs via log floats, this practice could be renewed in the future based on market conditions.

Log handling machines move the logs in the log storage area. Debris collected from the log storage yard is placed in exposed piles on asphalt located between the sawmill and the debarker. This wood debris is periodically placed on a conveyor to a hopper where it is ground and then transported to storage bins.

Logs are debarked in the eastern portion of the Property. The area is paved, and the debarker is located under cover. Sawdust from the sawmill and planer and bark from the debarker are conveyed to elevated covered storage silos located to the east of the facility near the debarker. These silos are emptied regularly, and contents are hauled off-site. Milled lumber is stored on paved areas near the various mill operations throughout the Property. Three dry storage sheds are located west of the decommissioned kilns.

Anti-stain (sapstain) chemicals are applied to approximately 15% of finished products in a dip tank south of the office building. The sapstain chemical is a water-based solution containing 46.5% didecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride and 4.9% propiconazole. The treated lumber is dried on a drip rack adjacent to the dip tank, and a concrete drip pan collects the excess anti-stain chemical. After drying, the treated lumber is stored outside. Lumber ready for shipment is banded into bundles and end painted to prevent checking. The end painting is done within the dip tank building.

Vehicle maintenance is done in the eastern portion of the Property within a vehicle repair shop. There are three 500-gallon ASTs that hold motor oil, hydraulic oil, and waste oil on the north side of the building under an overhang. Vehicles are also steam cleaned at a wash down area near the fuel pad on the western side of the Property. Vehicles are fueled near the main entrance and the log storage area. Lubricating oils are stored in the lube building in 275-gallon and 55-gallon drums.

Two areas of the Property are leased to Swanson Bark and Wood Products, Inc. for recycling and sale of mulch products. The north lease area is used for storage and sale of mulch products. The south lease area is used for chipping operations and sawdust pile storage.

2.5.2 Access

The Site can be accessed from State Route 529 to 28th Place NE via a bridge traversing the Union Slough Cutoff as shown on Figure 3.



2.5.3 Structures and Improvements

Buse Timber & Sales, Inc. currently operates the Property as a sawmill facility and a log yard. It is developed with 11 buildings consisting of an office, storage sheds, and associated lumber use buildings. Approximately 40 acres of the Property are paved with asphalt or concrete. The north end of the property is unpaved and undeveloped. A Log Stacker is located on a man-made dike along the Union Slough. Manmade drainages and the Union Slough Cutoff discharge any collected water to Union Slough through a tide gate at the north end of the Site. The tide-gate is a culverted system from the Union Slough Cutoff and East Drainage through the man-made dike to a valved system that discharges when Union Slough Stage is less than 0.5 feet amsl. An access road paved with asphalt and crushed rock is located on the dike.

2.5.4 Site Drainage and Stormwater

A man-made dike is located between the Union Slough and site drainages. Stormwater runoff from the northeast side of the dike enters the slough directly. A rocked area is located adjacent to the slough access ramp, where the log handling equipment and sometimes logs are stored. This area slopes toward the interior of the property, where runoff drains to a grassy area. Stormwater is exposed to heavy mobile log handling equipment, bark, soil, and other debris from logs in this area.

A paved log storage area is located in the southern portion of the Property. Stormwater in this area is exposed to dirt, bark, debris from the logs, and log handling machines. A vegetated berm composed of soil and wood debris is located between the southern half of the log storage yard and the perimeter ditch. Stormwater from the southern half of the log storage collects against the berm, where it will either evaporate or flow back into the Buse storm drain system. Stormwater from the northern portion of the log storage area flows to a catch basin near the mechanics shop, where oil and floating debris are separated from the water. This water drains into the Property stormwater system.

Stormwater from paved operating portions of the Site and buildings is collected within the Property stormwater system. This includes the northern portion of the log storage area, milled lumber storage, sawdust and bark conveyance lines, the debarking area, the sawmill, the planer mill, lumber chemical treatment areas, the vehicle repair shop, and the vehicle fueling pad. Stormwater is exposed to wood debris including bark and sawdust.

Lumber that has been treated with sapstain, an anti-staining chemical treatment, is stored outside on asphalt. This treated lumber is exposed to stormwater, which flows into the facility stormwater system. The manufacturer of the sapstain chemical reports that it is bonded to the wood within 15 minutes, and the observed leachate after that time is 200 to 500 parts per billion.

Vehicles can be fueled near the main entrance or the log storage area. Secondary containment is present at both fueling areas. The main entrance fueling area has a concrete secondary containment with 8-inch curbing



and a fueling pad with a sump that collects stormwater from the pad. The sump discharges through a series of two oil/water separators before reaching the Property stormwater system. The fueling pad near the log storage area also has a concrete secondary containment with 4-foot walls and a 5-inch curbed fueling pad. Stormwater from this pad is discharged into the Property stormwater system after passing through a series of two oil/water separators.

A parking area is located near the office along the north edge of the asphalt-paved area and adjacent to the office on the west and south sides. Stormwater in these areas flows onto unpaved ground along the north edge of the pavement and into the Property storm drain system. Parking is also located in the northeast corner of the property on gravel. Stormwater from this area percolates into the ground.

Stormwater on the Property either infiltrates or discharges to perimeter drainages within six basins around the Property. A levee along the north side of the Property prevents direct discharge to Union Slough. Figure 4 shows the primary drainage features. The perimeter drainages are located within six drainage basins at the Property, described as follows.

- East Basin The East Basin receives runoff from most of the developed portions of the Property as well as some undeveloped areas. The majority of the runoff in this basin is collected through a developed storm drain system (a series of catch basins and drainage pipes) that discharge at outfall SW-1 to the East Basin drainage ditch. The East Basin drainage ditch flows north and west along the toe of the Union Slough levee, joining the Union Slough Cutoff (sometimes referred to as the West Drainage) just prior to the Union Slough tide gate. The combined flows discharge to Union Slough through a tide gate when Union Slough water levels are at +0.5 feet amsl or lower. Prior to the 1968 reconfiguration of the site, western portions of this basin may have discharged to the Union Slough Cutoff, and interior portions of the East Basin discharged to the on-site log ponds.
- West Basin The western portion of the Property consisting primarily of undeveloped areas flows to the Union Slough Cutoff. Small, developed areas (parts storage and AST fuel tanks) are located in the West Drainage. The Union Slough Cutoff flows northerly, joining with the East Drainage at the Union Slough levee. Union Slough Cutoff also receives runoff from off-Property sites including BMC West and Glacier Northwest. Prior to development of the 1968 site reconfiguration, the West Drainage may have included some western portions of the operations area.
- Infiltration Basin Since 1968, the Infiltration basin has received runoff from the south side of the developed portion of the Property. Stormwater flows in a southerly direction but is prevented from entering the South Drainage by a containment berm where the water infiltrates. Prior to the 1968 reconfiguration, runoff from the Infiltration basin area likely drained to on-site log ponds and/or to the South Drainage.
- South Basin The South Drainage basin is comprised of a narrow strip of undeveloped land. The South Drainage flows westerly and receives runoff from off-Property sites Dagmars Marina and IFF



Holdings/Hima Farms through a culvert beneath I-5. Prior to the 1968 site reconfiguration, the South Drainage basin may have included runoff from portions of the southern operations area.

- I-5 Basin The I-5 basin runs along the toe of the I-5 embankment along the east edge of the Property. It was created during construction of I-5 in 1968. This basin consists of a very narrow strip of undeveloped land. Drainage within this basin flows southerly and discharges to the South Basin just prior to the culvert beneath the I-5 embankment.
- Union Slough Basin This basin is a narrow strip on the north side of the Union Slough levee. This area has never been part of the developed operations at the Property.

2.5.5 Topography

The Site is situated on generally flat terrain at a surface elevation of approximately 1 foot amsl. The nearest body of water is the Union Slough located north and west adjacent to the Site.

2.5.6 Vegetation

The northern portion of the property is unpaved and vegetated with grass. The dike to the north of the Site along Union Slough and the perimeter drainages are vegetated with grass or low shrubs. The remaining portions of the Site are paved and used for lumber mill operations.

2.5.7 Surrounding Properties

The Property is bound by Union Slough to the north, I-5 to the east, a lumber distributor to the northwest, and undeveloped land to the west and south. A marina and an aggregate producer are not adjacent to the Property but are located to the south.

2.5.8 Cultural Resources

The Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP) is included as Appendix B and outlines the procedures in the event of a discovery of archaeological materials or human remains, in accordance with applicable state and federal laws. The IDP will be kept at the Site during all project activities and will be used if cultural resources are discovered.

2.6 Future Site Use

Future site use is expected to be consistent with current occupational uses. Redevelopment plans have not been considered to date, and the mill is under a long-term lease. Recreational uses and subsistence fishing may occur along the banks of the Union Slough and Union Slough Cutoff.



3.0 Conceptual Site Model

This section summarizes the CSM including Site geology, nature and extent of contamination, and identification of preliminary COPC. The CSM identifies the potential sources of hazardous substances, the potential migration pathways and environmental media where they are suspected or confirmed to be found, and the receptors and exposure pathways. The CSM presented within this Section is preliminary and will be adjusted as needed based on the results of sampling in support of the remedial investigation. Graphical CSMs are presented for human health and ecological exposures in Figures 9 and 10.

3.1 Geology and Hydrogeology

The Site is located in Everett, Washington, which is in the central part of the Puget Sound Lowland. The geologic unit for this Site is Qyal, Holocene-aged younger alluvial and estuarine deposits (Minard, 1985). These deposits lie in and along the present streams near the water table. The sediment is largely sand, silt, and clay with considerable amounts of organic matter. Thickness of the younger alluvial and estuarine deposits probably exceeds 30 meters. The alluvium overlies deposits from the last Pleistocene glaciation. Prior investigations noted approximately 10 feet of sand and gravel fill, underlain by silt.

The Site is located in the delta region of the Snohomish River, adjacent to and south of Union Slough on Smith Island north of the Snohomish River. Within a half mile, Union Slough discharges to Possession Sound. Marine water intrusion from Possession Sound is expected in the Snohomish River estuaries near the Site; however, information describing salinity levels on-Site is not available. Because of proximity to tidally influenced waters, the drainage surrounding the Site is affected by tidal flooding and ebbing. Drainage into Union Slough is also controlled by a tide gate located to the north of the Site at the confluence of the East Drainage and Union Slough Cutoff. The Site lies within the 100-year floodplain (Ecology, 1990) and the Snohomish County density fringe area. The Union Slough shoreline is comprised of an unaccredited levee that is maintained by the United States Corps of Engineers (USACE). The slough-side of the levee is vegetated to the water line. An access road is present on top of the levee.

Union Slough is located in the Snohomish River Basin. The Snohomish River basin is the second largest watershed in Puget Sound, with an area of 1,856 square miles. The basin includes three major rivers draining the west slope of the Cascade Mountains: the Skykomish River, the Snoqualmie River, and the Snohomish River. The Snoqualmie and Skykomish Rivers join to form the Snohomish River at approximately RM 20.4. The Snohomish River flows westward through the City of Everett into Port Gardner Bay. The river and its floodplain have been shaped into a network of channels, dikes, and sloughs with the most significant including Steamboat, Union, and Ebey sloughs. The lower mile of the river mainstem is dredged by the USACE on an annual basis. Tidal backwater effects extend to approximately RM 18.1 (Snohomish County, 2003). Union Slough enters the Snohomish at approximately River Mile 6, within the zone of tidal backwater effects.



There are three aquifer systems within the vicinity of the Site. These include recent alluvial deposits within the Snohomish River (10-75 feet bgs), the Marysville sand member (100-180 feet bgs), and the Esperance sand member (greater than 225 feet bgs).

The Site is relatively flat with the highest elevations near the center of the developed area (approximately elevation 10 feet amsl) and gentle slopes downward to the Property perimeter. Slopes are on the order of one percent to the north and south with elevations near 1 foot amsl in the northern undeveloped area and in the range of 2 to 4 feet amsl along the southern Property boundary. Elevations of the west and east edges of the developed areas are in the range of 6 to 7 feet amsl. According to historical information, the static water level at the Site is within a range of 10 to 15 feet below ground surface (bgs), with perched water locally present in areas of higher relative permeability. The depth to the water table varies due to tidal and Snohomish River flow influences. Groundwater flow in the unconfined aquifer has not been characterized. Because tidally controlled water bodies (Snohomish River, Union Slough) nearly surround the Property, groundwater flow directions are expected to be variable, controlled by local features such as infiltration areas and ditches.

Groundwater at the site is expected to be brackish. Electrical conductivity values between 1,500 microsiemens per centimeter (μ S/cm) and 50,000 μ S/cm are considered brackish. While electrical conductivity values for groundwater at Buse are not available, electrical conductivity monitoring at the adjacent Dagmars Marina yielded values within this range.

3.1.1 Climate Change

Consistent with recent MTCA revisions, the final CSM in the RI report will include a discussion about climate in the area of the Site and factors that could impact or be impacted by climate. WAC 173-340-350(6)(f) states that, based on best available science, sufficient information should be presented in the RI on current and projected local and regional climatological characteristics to determine which could affect the migration of hazardous substances or the resilience of cleanup action alternatives. Relevant characteristics can include:

- Temperature extremes;
- Sea level;
- Seasonal patterns of rainfall;
- The magnitude and frequency of extreme storm events (such as flooding);
- The potential for landslides;
- Prevailing wind direction and velocity;
- Variations in barometric pressure; and
- Potential for wildfires.



3.2 Existing Conditions and Site Use

Current operations include a sawmill facility, log storage, and 11 buildings including the main office and maintenance and storage buildings. The northern portion of the Site is undeveloped and covered with vegetation. The operational portions of the Site are paved, and stormwater is collected via a conveyance system that discharges to the Eastern Drainage (Figure 4). The perimeter of the Site includes a drainage ditch system that discharges directly to Union Slough via the tide gate. These drainage ditches and Union Slough provide habitat for wildlife. Wetlands are present in the northern portion of the Site near the East Drainage and Union Slough Cutoff, and to the southwest near the South Drainage. Emergent wetlands are present in the southern portion of the Site. The wetlands inventory map is provided as Appendix C. The Site and nearby areas are currently zoned for heavy industrial use and are within the Snohomish County density fringe area. The density fringe area provides specific criteria to be used in regulating development in areas of high flood damage potential where conventional floodway areas cannot be established (Snohomish County Code Title 30 Chapter 30.65).

Vulnerable populations and overburdened communities may be present near the Site. In addition, subsistence and/or recreational activities may occur in Union Slough and/or Union Slough Cut-Off. The Remedial Investigation will include an evaluation of these possible land uses. Vulnerable populations and overburdened communities will be assessed pursuant to WAC 173-340-350(6)(h)(iii) and Implementation Memorandum No. 25: Identifying Likely Vulnerable Populations and Overburdened Communities under the Cleanup Regulations (Ecology, 2024).

3.3 Nature and Extent of Contamination

Various investigations have been performed to characterize areas where soil, groundwater, and drainage sediment may have been impacted by site operations. The following includes a summary of the nature and extent of contamination in areas previously investigated that pose an unacceptable or unknown risk. This summary was developed for the purpose of identifying data gaps and preliminary COPC. Preliminary COPC are identified based on: detected concentrations in a given medium above health based or ecological screening levels; analytes associated with operations and processes at Buse Timber & Sales (when comprehensive data is not available); and applicable guidance, particularly Section 3.3.6 of the Department of Ecology's Sediment Cleanup User's Manual (SCUM; Ecology, 2021).

The screening levels used to identify COPC included:

- MTCA Method A soil cleanup levels (for TPH);
- MTCA Method B soil cleanup levels (for cancer and non-cancer risks as well as protection of groundwater);



- MTCA Method B Target Groundwater Cleanup Levels, which generally consist of the federal maximum contamination level (MCL) when available or the lowest of the Method B groundwater cleanup levels (for cancer or non-cancer risks) when MCLs are not available;
- Ecological Indicator Screening Concentrations for Terrestrial Plants and Animals (Ecology, 2017);
- Sediment Cleanup Objectives (SCOs) for marine water and freshwater from Table 8-1 of SCUM; and
- Applicable natural background concentrations for soil (Ecology, 1994), regional background concentrations for dioxins in soil (Ecology, 2010) and regional background concentrations for sediment (Ecology, 2021).

Screening levels are shown together with available chemical data in Tables 1 through 7. Data from the 2018 LSI (Terracon, 2018b) and the 2021 Phase II ESA (Apex, 2021) are summarized on Figures 5 through 8. Data from the Exponent PA (Exponent, 1998) are discussed for the purpose of identifying data gaps. Because of the age (approximately 25 years old) and the incomplete report, presentation and risk screening using these data are not included in the figures.

3.3.1 Site Drainages

Several sampling events have included surface sediment sampling at one or more of the Site drainages and in the vicinity of the tide gate. Subsurface sediment sampling has not been conducted. Surface sediment sampling has been conducted in each of the drainages receiving runoff from the Property: the East Drainage, West Drainage (sometimes referred to as Union Slough Cutoff), and South Drainage. The tide gate surface sediment sample (DR-1) was collected just ahead of the discharge point to Union Slough and located at the confluence of the East and West Drainages.

Surface sediment samples collected in the Site perimeter drainage have been analyzed for TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC, gasoline-range TPH (TPH-Gx), VOCs, PAHs, PCBs, chlorinated phenols, metals, and dioxins/furans. Results were compared against the SCOs identified in Section 3.3 and background concentrations. Arsenic, nickel, zinc, mercury, and dioxins/furans have been detected above the Puget Sound background concentrations and the SCOs for arsenic and nickel in one or more of the drainage systems. In addition, mercury exceeded the background concentration and the SCO for the East Drainage. TPH-Dx SCO exceedances were also noted for East Drainage samples collected in 1998; however, an analysis of sampling conducted in 2021 concluded that TPH-Dx concentrations may be biased high due to biogenic compounds, and further investigations may be required to confirm this conclusion. Below is a summary table of sediment samples collected in Site drainage areas with COPC and exceedances of applicable screening levels (Puget Sound background concentrations and SMS SCOs).



Area and				
Voor	Sample ID and			
Sampled	Donth	Analyses	Detections	Excoodances
		DALL DOD		Arsenic, nickei,
(2021)	(0-12 inches)	PAHS, PCBS, phenois,	IPH-D and IPH-O	and dioxins/
		metals, and dioxins/furans	with SGC, metals,	furans
			and dioxins/furans	
West	West Drainage	TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC,	Metals and	Arsenic, nickel,
Drainage	(0-12 inches)	PAHs, PCBs, phenols,	dioxins/furans	and dioxins/
(2021)		metals, and dioxins/furans		furans
East	NDM-1 to NDM-6	TPH-Dx and TPH-Gx	TPH-D and TPH-O	TPH-D and TPH-
Drainage	(0-4 inches)			0
(1998)				
East	DR-1	TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC,	TPH-D and TPH-O,	TPH-D and TPH-
Drainage	(0-12 inches)	PAHs, PCBs, phenols,	TPH-D and TPH-O,	O, arsenic,
(2021)	East Drainage	metals, and dioxins/furans	TPH-Dx with SGC,	nickel, mercury,
	(0-12 inches)		PAHs, metals, and	zinc, and dioxins/
			dioxins/furans	furans
South	South Drainage	TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC,	TPH-D and TPH-O,	Arsenic, nickel,
Drainage	(0-12 inches)	PAHs, PCBs, phenols,	TPH-D and TPH-O	and dioxins/
(2021)		metals, and dioxins/furans	with SGC, PAHs,	furans
			metals, and	
			dioxins/furans	
South	DR-10	Dioxins/furans	TPH-D and TPH-O,	Arsenic and
Drainage	(0-12 inches)		metals, dioxins/furans	nickel
(2021)				

Two discrete drainage sediment samples (DR-1 near the tide gate and DR-10 near the former waste mixing area) and three composite samples (West Drainage [DR-2 to DR-4], East Drainage [DR-5 to DR-7], and South Drainage [DR-8 to DR-10]) were collected and analyzed for TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC, PAHs, PCBs, phenols, metals, and dioxins/furans (sample DR-10 was analyzed for dioxins only). Soil dioxins/furans concentrations were reported in 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzodioxin toxicity equivalence (2,3,7,8-TCDD TEQ). The drainage sediment results are compared to Natural or Regional Background Concentrations and Freshwater SMS from WAC 173-204. In the Everett region, total 2,3,7,8-TCDD TEQ of 4 picograms per gram (pg/g) or fewer in sediment is considered below regional background concentrations.

Concentrations of dioxins/furans in drainage sediment collected from 0 to 12 inches below the mudline (bml) in the South, East, and West Drainages exceeded the natural background concentration for 2,3,7,8-TCDD TEQ. In the East Drainage, the detected dioxin total 2,3,7,8-TCDD TEQ was 113 pg/g, which is greater than



the 4.0 pg/g regional background concentration. All but one of the remaining samples were significantly lower than the East Drainage sample, having TEQs greater than 4 pg/g. Discrete sample DR-10 was the only sample with a TEQ less than the 4.0 pg/g regional background concentration.

Concentrations of TPH-Dx were only detected above screening levels in the East Drainage (DR-5 to DR-7) composite sample. PAHs, PCBs, and metals were not detected, or they were detected below screening levels, with the exception of arsenic, nickel, zinc, and mercury.

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Available data indicate the greatest sediment impacts are present in the East Drainage extending to the vicinity of the tide gate. Data from the other drainages indicates lesser impacts are present, but these areas have less comprehensive characterization. Additionally, the soil along the banks of the East Drainage has not been characterized to delineate the extent of COPC in this area.

Preliminary sediment COPC identified based on detections above health-based screening levels in sediment include TPH-Dx, metals (arsenic, nickel, zinc, and mercury), and dioxins/furans. PCP and PCBs were identified as preliminary sediment COPC based on elevated reporting limits. Ammonia, sulfides, benzoic acid, and benzenemethanol were identified as preliminary COPC to evaluate potential wood waste impacts.

Preliminary soil COPC identified at the Site based on detections in sediment include TPH-Dx, metals, and dioxins/furans. Chlorinated phenols are also included as a COPC based on association with wood preservatives.

3.3.2 Union Slough

Data is available from one surface sediment sample, USG-1, collected in Union Slough immediately on the slough side of the tide gate. This sample was analyzed for TPH-Dx, TPH-Gx, VOCs, and chlorinated phenols. Oil range TPH was the only compound detected. The detected concentration did not exceed screening levels.

Area and Year Sampled	Sample ID and	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
Union Slough	USG-1	TPH-Dx, TPH-Gx, VOCs,	TPH-O	None
(1998)	(0-4 inches)	and chlorinated phenols		

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Sediment is the medium of interest in Union Slough. Preliminary sediment COPC for Union Slough are identical to the COPC identified for drainage sediment and include: TPH-Dx, metals, nickel, mercury, chlorinated phenols, PCBs, and dioxins/furans.



3.3.3 Log Float Beach

The nature and extent of wood waste COPC has not been characterized at the Log Float Beach.

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Sediment is the medium of interest at the Log Float Beach. Preliminary COPC include ammonia, sulfides, benzoic acid, and benzenemethanol, consistent with Ecology wood waste guidance.

3.3.4 Former Waste Mixing Area

The former Hog Fuel/Waste Mixing Area was used until 2022 to mix oil-water separator sludges with wood waste that was periodically sold for hog fuel. During the 2021 Phase II ESA, surface soil sampling (SS-1 through SS-3) was completed from exposed soil in the vicinity of the waste mixing area. One direct push boring (SB-6) was also completed to 15 feet bgs in this area.

Surface soil samples were analyzed for TPH-Dx with SGC, chlorinated phenols, and dioxins/furans as shown in Tables 1, 3, and 4. Chlorinated phenols were not detected, and TPH-Dx was detected at concentrations below MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels and Ecological Indicator Concentrations in surface soil. Concentrations of dioxins/furans were detected in surface soil near the former waste mixing area, sometimes referred to as the "donut" (Figure 3). This area is also near the bank of the South Drainage. Concentrations of dioxins, expressed as the 2,3,7,8-TCDD TEQ, exceed the regional background concentration, the MTCA Method B cleanup level (in some instances), and the Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation site-specific evaluation screening level. Below is a summary table of surface soil characterization within the former hog fuel/waste mixing area.

Soil boring SB-6 was completed to 15 feet bgs, and soil samples were collected from 3 to 4 feet bgs and 8 to 9 feet bgs. Soil samples were analyzed for TPH-Dx with SGC and VOCs. Results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Only trace concentrations of TPH-Dx and VOCs were detected. None of the detected concentrations exceed screening levels.

Soil Sample ID and	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
Depth			
SS-1	TPH-Dx with SGC, phenols,	TPH-D and TPH-O with	Dioxins (human
(6 inches)	and dioxins/furans	SGC and dioxins/furans	and ecological)
SS-2	TPH-Dx with SGC, phenols,	TPH-D and TPH-O with	Dioxins (human
(6 inches)	and dioxins/furans	SGC and dioxins/furans	and ecological)
SS-3	TPH-Dx with SGC, phenols,	TPH-D and TPH-O with	Dioxins
(6 inches)	and dioxins/furans	SGC and dioxins/furans	(ecological)



Soil Sample ID and	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
Depth			
SB-6 (0-5)	TPH-Dx with SGC and VOCs,	TPH-D and TPH-O with	None
(3-4 feet bgs)	chlorinated phenols, and	SGC, acetone,	
SB-6 (5-10)	dioxins/furans	benzene,	
(8-9 feet bgs)		2-butanone, toluene,	
		1,2,3-trimethylbenzene,	
		and xylenes	

One grab groundwater sample was also collected and analyzed for TPH-Dx and TPH-Dx with SGC (Table 5). The detected concentrations ($860 \mu g/L$ diesel-range TPH and $673 \mu g/L$ residual-range TPH) exceeded the MTCA Method A groundwater cleanup level. However, the TPH-Dx concentrations were significantly reduced to either below reporting limits or below screening levels after being analyzed with SGCW. Below is a summary table of subsurface concentrations near the waste mixing area for soil boring SB-6.

GW Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
SB-6 Groundwater (11.7 feet bgs)	TPH-Dx and TPH-Dx with SGC	TPH-D and TPH-O and TPH-D and TPH-O with SGC	TPH-Dx

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Soil and groundwater are the media of interest for the waste mixing area. Preliminary COPC for each media include:

- Soil TPH-Dx and dioxins/furans based on screening level exceedance. Chlorinated phenols, PAHs, metals, and VOCs based on associated processes and compounds.
- Groundwater TPH-Dx, chlorinated phenols, PAHs, metals, and VOCs based on associated processes and compounds.

3.3.5 Vehicle Repair Shop

Data from three soil borings and two discrete groundwater samples are available in the area of the vehicle repair shop near petroleum storage and operations areas. Soil samples collected in this area have been analyzed for TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC, TPH-Gx, VOCs, chlorinated phenols, and/or metals as shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

TPH-Dx, VOCs, and metals have been detected in soil. Concentrations of arsenic in soil exceeded the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level at depths up to 3 feet bgs. Deeper soil collected at 6.5 feet bgs had concentrations of arsenic below MTCA Method B Cleanup Levels. Concentrations of chromium and lead in soil above 3 feet



bgs have also exceeded the Ecological Indicator Soil Concentrations. Below is a summary table of subsurface soil concentrations near the vehicle repair shop.

Soil Sample ID and	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
Depth			
B1-2.5	TPH-Dx, TPH-Gx, VOCs,	VOCs (acetone),	Arsenic, chromium,
(2.5 feet bgs)	and metals	arsenic, chromium, lead,	and lead
		and mercury	
B1-6.5	TPH-Dx, TPH-Gx, VOCs,	TPH-Dx, arsenic,	Arsenic and
(6.5 feet bgs)	and metals	chromium, and lead	chromium
B2-3	TPH-Dx, TPH-Gx, VOCs,	VOCs (acetone),	Arsenic and
(3 feet bgs)	and metals	arsenic, chromium, lead,	chromium
		and mercury	
SB-1 (0-5)	TPH-Dx with SGC, VOCs,	TPH-D and TPH-O with	Arsenic and
(2-3 feet bgs)	phenols, and metals	SGC, VOCs, arsenic,	chromium
SB-1 (5-10)		barium, chromium, lead,	
(6-7 feet bgs)		selenium, and mercury	

Grab groundwater samples were collected from four soil borings. Grab groundwater samples were analyzed for TPH-Dx, metals, chlorinated phenols, and/or VOCs, as shown in Table 5.

GW Sample ID and	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
Depth			
MSA-1	TPH-Gx, TPH-Dx,	TPH-D, acetone, ethylbenzene,	None
(2-6 feet bgs)	and VOCs	1,2,4-trimethlybenzene, xylenes,	
MSA-2		and carbon disulfide	
(2-6 feet bgs)			
B2-3	TPH-Dx, TPH-Gx,	VOCs (acetone), arsenic, and	Arsenic and
(~5 feet bgs)	VOCs, and metals	chromium	chromium
SB-1W	TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx	TPH-D and TPH-O	None
(15.2 feet bgs)	with SGC, VOCs,		
	phenols, and metals		

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Soil and groundwater are the media of interest for the vehicle repair area. Preliminary COPC at the vehicle repair shop include:

- Soil arsenic, chromium, and lead based on screening level exceedances.
- Groundwater arsenic, chromium, and lead based on screening level exceedances.



3.3.6 Former UST Area

A UST system was previously operated at the northeastern portion of the Site. The USTs were believed to be installed circa 1964 and removed in 1996. No releases or spills associated with these USTs were reported. However, no tank removal documentation submitted to Ecology, or otherwise, was available for review. Generally, Site characterization work prior to 2021 was completed at intervals that were too shallow or at locations away from the UST area. During the 2021 Phase II ESA, boring SB-13 was completed to characterize the vicinity of the former UST system. Petroleum odors were noted during the field investigation. In soil, concentrations of TPH and constituents were minimally detected in this area. When detected, all concentrations were below screening levels.

Soil Sample ID and	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
Depth			
UST-1 through UST-3	TPH-Gx, TPH-Dx, and VOCs	TPH-D	None
(2-4 feet bgs)			
B3-6.5	TPH-Gx, TPH-Dx with SGC,	TPH-D, TPH-O, and	None
(6.5 feet bgs)	and VOCs	acetone	
B3-17.5			
(17.5 feet bgs)			
SB-13 (0-5)	TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC,	TPH-D with SGC	None
(0-5 feet bgs)	and VOCs	and	
SB-13 (5-10)		2-butanone	
(5-10 feet bgs)			
SB-13 (10-15)			
(10-15 feet bgs)			

One groundwater sample was collected from SB-13. Petroleum constituents were detected in groundwater at concentrations below applicable screening levels. Results are shown in Table 5.

GW Sample ID and	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
Depth			
SB-13W	TPH-G, TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with	TPH-D and multiple	None
(23.5 feet bgs)	SGC, and VOCs	VOCs	

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Soil and groundwater are the media of interest for the former UST area. Preliminary COPC at the vehicle repair shop include:

• Soil – TPH-Gx, TPH-Dx, VOCs, PAHs, and lead based on fuel product types formerly used.



• Groundwater – TPH-Gx, TPH-Dx, VOCs, PAHs, and lead based on fuel product types formerly used.

3.3.7 Current and Former Dip Tank Area

The current dip tank area is located approximately 70 feet south of the former dip tank area. A relatively minor amount of Buse's wood products is treated with a water-based anti-stain/brightener at the current dip tank. During the 2021 Phase II ESA, boring SB-7 was completed to assess whether the current dip tank was a potential contamination source. Soil samples from the current dip tank area have been analyzed for TPH-Dx and chlorinated phenols as shown in Tables 1 and 3, with results summarized therein.

Soil Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
SB-7 (0-5) (3-4 feet bgs) SB-7 (5-10) (8-9 feet bgs)	TPH-Dx and chlorinated phenols	TPH-D and TPH-O	None

During the 2021 Phase II ESA, boring SB-7W was completed to assess whether the current dip tank was a potential contamination source to groundwater. These results are summarized in Table 5.

GW Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
SB-7W	TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC,	TPH-D, TPH-D with	TPH-D and
(9.7 feet bgs)	and chlorinated phenols	SGC and TPH-O	TPH-O (without SGC)

Historically, wood treatment using PCP occurred at the former dip tank until at least 1986. Wood treatment with PCP was also reported to be limited (most Buse products are sold untreated). This dip tank reportedly did not have a cover or secondary containment. Historical samples FDT-1, FDT-2, and FDT-3 were collected at the former dip tank during the 1998 Phase II ESA. Borings SB-11 and SB-12/12A were completed to depths of 10 and 25 feet, respectively, to assess the former dip tank area during the 2021 Phase II ESA. Soil samples from the current and former dip tank areas have been analyzed for TPH-Dx, VOCs, chlorinated phenols, and/or dioxins/furans as shown in Tables 1 through 4. TPH-Dx was mostly not detected, and detected concentrations did not exceed screening levels. Chlorinated phenols compounds were not detected with the exception of soil sample FDT-2 collected at 4 to 6 feet bgs, which was below potentially applicable screening levels. Dioxins were detected in the shallow interval (approximately 3 to 4 feet deep) at SB-11 and SB-12, but detected concentrations were below background concentrations and MTCA Method B Cleanup Levels.



Soil Sample ID and	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
Depth	-		
FDT-1	VOCs and/or chlorinated	Pentachlorophenol	None
(2-4 feet bgs)	phenols		
FDT-2			
(4-6 feet bgs)			
FDT-3			
(2-4 feet bgs)			
SB-11 (0-5)	TPH-Dx with SGC, chlorinated	TPH-Dx and	None
(3-4 feet bgs)	phenols, and/or dioxins/furans.	dioxins/furans	
SB-11 (5-10)			
(7-8 feet bgs)			
SB-12 (0-5)			
(3.5 to 4.5 feet bgs)			
SB-12 (5-10)			
(8-9 feet bgs)			
SB-12A (0-5)			
(3.5 to 4.5 feet bgs)			
SB-12A (5-10)			
(8-9 feet bgs)			

Historical samples FDT-4 and FDT-6 were collected at the former dip tank during the 1998 Phase II ESA. During the 2021 Phase II ESA, groundwater samples were collected from SB-11W and SB-12A-W. Because groundwater was not encountered at SB-12, SB-12A was re-drilled approximately 1 foot away from SB-12 to a total depth of 25 feet bgs for groundwater sampling. Grab groundwater samples were collected from SB-11, and SB-12A and analyzed for TPH-Dx. Samples collected from SB-11 and SB-12A were also analyzed for chlorinated phenols. In sample SB-11W, collected at 6.8 feet bgs, PCP, 2,4,5-trichlorophenol, and 2,4,6-pentachlorophenol were detected in groundwater. The detected concentrations of PCP (58.4 μ g/L) exceeded the MTCA Method B cleanup level (0.22 μ g/L). Chlorinated phenols were not detected in sample SB-12A collected at 22 feet bgs or in the sample from SB-7. Because the data were not collected from a monitoring well, turbidity from the shallow discrete sample SB-11W may be the cause of the detected PCP.

In SB-7 and SB-11, TPH-Dx was detected above screening levels when analyzed without SGC. TPH-Dx was not detected above screening levels when SGC was used. TPH-Dx was also detected in the sample collected from SB-12A when analyzed without SGC, but it was below the MTCA Method A cleanup level. The TPH-Dx concentrations were significantly reduced to either below detection limits or below cleanup levels after SGC.



GW Sample ID and	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
Depth			
FDT-4	Chlorinated phenols	None	None
(3-7 feet bgs)			
FDT-6			
(4-8 feet bgs)			
SB-11W	TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC,	TPH-Dx and phenols	TPH-Dx and
(9.7 feet bgs)	and chlorinated phenols		phenols
SB-12A-W			
(22 feet bgs)			

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Soil and groundwater are the media of interest for the former dip tank area. Preliminary COPC at the former dip tank area include:

- Soil TPH-Dx, chlorinated phenols, dioxin/furans, metals, and VOCs based on contaminants associated with wood preservatives and processes.
- Groundwater TPH-Dx, chlorinated phenols, metals, and VOCs based on contaminants associated with wood preservatives and processes.

3.3.8 Historical Stormwater Drain Area

According to historical investigations, a main plant storm drain was located near the current dry shed building at the central portion of the Site. During the 2021 Phase II ESA, SB-10 was completed in the area for assessment as the feature was not previously characterized. Soil sampling has not been completed near the outfall of the historical stormwater drain pipe.

Soil samples from this area were analyzed for TPH-Dx as shown in Table 1. Select soil samples were also analyzed for dioxins/furans. Only trace concentrations of TPH-Dx were detected. None of the detected concentrations exceed screening levels. Dioxins were detected in the shallow interval (approximately 3 to 4 feet deep) at SB-10. Detected concentrations were below background concentrations and screening levels.

Soil Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
SB-10 (0-5)	TPH-Dx with SGC and	TPH-D with SGC,	None
(3-4 feet bgs)	dioxins/furans	TPH-O with SGC	
SB-10 (5-10)		and dioxins/furans	
(6.5 to 7.5 feet bgs)			

One grab groundwater sample (NSD-1) was collected in the area of the historical storm drain outfall and analyzed for TPH-Dx, TPH-G, and VOCs. TPH-D was detected above screening levels (Table 5). One grab



groundwater sample was collected from SB-10 and analyzed for TPH-Dx as shown in Table 5. Concentrations of TPH-Dx were detected in the sample as estimated values, but they were below the MTCA Method A groundwater cleanup level. The TPH-Dx concentrations were significantly reduced to below detection limits after being analyzed with SGC.

GW Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
NSD-1	TPH-Dx, TPH-G, and VOCs	TPH-D and carbon	TPH-D (without
		disulfide	SGC)
SB-10W	TPH-Dx and TPH-Dx with	TPH-D and TPH-O	None
(16.5 feet bgs)	SGC		

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Soil and residual sediment within stormwater pipe and control structures are the media of interest for the former main plant drain area. Groundwater may become a medium of concern based on sampling results. Preliminary COPC associated with the historical stormwater drain area include:

- Soil TPH-Dx, chlorinated phenols, dioxin/furans, metals, and VOCs based on contaminants associated with wood preservatives and processes.
- Sediment TPH-Dx, chlorinated phenols, dioxin/furans, metals, and VOCs based on contaminants associated with wood preservatives and processes.

3.3.9 Current Washdown Area

There is currently a vehicle washdown area located at the southwestern portion of the Site. Heavy duty vehicles are frequently washed in this area. The wastewater from the washdown flows to a separator vault nearby, as shown in Figure 4. SB-8 was completed during the 2021 Phase II ESA at a low point near the outlet to the washdown area to characterize any potential containment/releases originating from the washdown operation.

Soil samples from this area were analyzed for TPH-Dx as shown in Table 1. TPH-Dx was detected, and none of the detected concentrations exceeded screening levels.

Soil Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
B6-3	TPH-Dx , VOCs,	TPH-D,	None
(3 feet bgs)	dioxins/furans	dioxins/furans, and	
		acetone	
SB-8 (0-5)	TPH-Dx with SGC	TPH-D with SGC,	None
(2-3 feet bgs)		TPH-O	
SB-8 (5-10)			
(8-9 feet bgs)			



A grab groundwater sample was collected from SB-8 and analyzed for TPH-Dx as shown in Table 5. The detected TPH-Dx concentration in SB-8W of 1,060 exceeded the MTCA Method A groundwater cleanup level. However, the TPH-Dx concentrations were significantly reduced to either below detection limits or below screening levels after SGC.

GW Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
SB-8 W	TPH-Dx and TPH-Dx with	TPH-D and TPH-O	TPH-D and TPH-O
(2.9 feet bgs)	SGC		

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Affected media were not identified for the washdown area.

3.3.10 AST Area (Current and Former)

ASTs were previously located near the northwestern entrance of the Site. In 2004, this area was redeveloped with secondary containment for current AST storage. During the 2021 Phase II ESA, one boring (SB-14) was completed to characterize the area and assess whether any contamination originated from former AST operations.

Soil samples from this area were analyzed for TPH-Dx as shown in Table 1. Only trace concentrations of TPH-Dx were detected. None of the detected concentrations exceeded screening levels. Groundwater was not encountered in this area and was not sampled.

Soil Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
AST-1	TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC,	TPH-D, TPH-O, and	None
(0-2 feet bgs)	and/or VOCs	and TPH-O with	
AST-2		SGC	
(0-2 feet bgs)			
SB-14 (0-5)			
(3-4 feet bgs)			
SB-14 (5-10)			
(7-8 feet bgs)			

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Soil and groundwater are the media of interest for the former UST area. Preliminary COPC at the vehicle repair shop include:

- Soil TPH-Dx and PAHs based on fuel type that was released.
- Groundwater TPH-Dx and PAHs based on fuel type that was released.


3.3.11 Former Log Pond Area

During the 2021 Phase II ESA, soil borings SB-2, SB-3, and SB-9 were completed near the former log pond fill area. SB-9 is also in proximity to the current AST area.

Soil samples from this area were analyzed for TPH-Dx with SGC as shown in Table 1. Soil samples from SB-2 and SB-9 were also analyzed for VOCs from multiple locations and intervals from this sample group. Select soil samples were also analyzed for chlorinated phenols and dioxins/furans. Chlorinated phenolic compounds were not detected. Concentrations of TPH-Dx, VOCs, and dioxins/furans were detected. None of the detected concentrations exceeded screening levels.

Soil Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
SB-2 (0-5)	TPH-Dx with SGC, VOCs,	TPH-D with SGC,	None
(3-4 feet bgs)	chlorinated phenols, and	TPH-O with SGC,	
SB-2 (5-10)	dioxins/furans	dixoins/furans, and	
(8-9 feet bgs)		VOCs	
SB-3 (0-5)			
(3-4 feet bgs)			
SB-3 (5-10)			
(8.5-9.5 feet bgs)			
SB-9 (0-5)			
(3-4 feet bgs)			
SB-9 (5-10)			
(8-9 feet bgs)			

Grab groundwater samples were collected from SB-2, SB-3, and SB-9 and analyzed for TPH-Dx and chlorinated phenols as shown in Table 5. In SB-2 and SB-3, TPH-Dx was detected above screening levels when analyzed without SGC. TPH-Dx was not detected above screening levels when SGC was used. In SB-9, TPH-Dx was detected in the groundwater samples from SB-9 at an estimated concentration below the laboratory reporting limit. Chlorinated phenols were not detected in groundwater samples from SB-2, SB-3, and SB-9.

GW Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
SB-2W	TPH-Dx, TPH-Dx with SGC,	TPH-D and TPH-O,	TPH-D and
(12.7 feet bgs)	VOCs, and chlorinated	TPH-D with SGC	TPH-O (without
SB-3W	phenols	and TPH-O with	SGC)
(12.3 feet bgs)		SGC	
SB-9W			
(21.3 feet bgs)			



Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Preliminary COPC were not identified for the log pond fill area. TPH exceedances were only noted in TPH samples analyzed without SGC. Site-wide characterization of total organic carbon (TOC) in groundwater will be used to evaluate the impacts of biogenic, non-polar organic compounds. Based on the results of this evaluation, TPH-Dx could be retained as a COPC in the future.

3.3.12 Current Lube Building/Mechanics Shop Area

During the 2021 Phase II ESA, soil borings SB-4 and SB-5 were completed near the current lube building and mechanic shop area. The current lube building and mechanic shop were constructed after a former fire pond in this area was backfilled.

Soil samples from this area were analyzed for TPH-Dx as shown in Table 1. They were also analyzed for VOCs from multiple locations and intervals from this sample group. Select soil samples were also analyzed for dioxins/furans. Only trace concentrations of TPH-Dx and VOCs were detected. None of the detected concentrations exceeded screening levels. In the shallow interval (approximately 2 to 4 feet bgs) at SB-4 and SB-5, dioxins/furans were detected but at concentrations below background concentrations and screening levels.

Soil Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
SB-4 (0-5)	TPH-Dx with SGC, VOCs,	TPH-D with SGC,	None
(4-5 feet bgs)	chlorinated phenols, and	TPH-O with SGC,	
SB-4 (5-10)	dioxins/furans	VOCs, and	
(6-7 feet bgs)		dioxins/furans	
SB-5 (0-5)			
(3.5-3.5 feet bgs)			
SB-5 (5-10)			
(6-7 feet bgs)			

Groundwater samples were collected from SB-4 and SB-5 and analyzed for only TPH-Dx as shown in Table 5. Significant concentrations of TPH-Dx were detected in each of these samples. TPH-Dx was detected above screening levels when analyzed without SGC. TPH-Dx was not detected above screening levels when SGC was used.

Soil Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
SB-4W	TPH-Dx and TPH-Dx with	TPH-D and TPH-O,	TPH-D and
(6.8 feet bgs)	SGC	and TPH-D and	TPH-O
SB-5W		TPH-O with SGC	
(6.4 feet bgs)			



Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Preliminary COPC were not identified for the area of the current lube building/mechanics shop. TPH exceedances were only noted in TPH samples analyzed without SGC. Site-wide characterization of TOC in groundwater will be used to evaluate the impacts of biogenic, non-polar organic compounds. Based on the results of this evaluation, TPH-Dx could be retained as a COPC in the future.

3.3.13 Former Fire Pond Area

Soil samples were collected from the fill within the former fire pond area in the southeast area of the Property during the 1998 Phase II (Exponent, 1998) and 2018 LSI (Terracon, 2018b). During the 1998 Phase II, shallow soil samples FPD-1, FPD-2, and FPD-3 were collected between depths of 2 and 4 feet bgs. An additional sample (FPS-1) was collected from a soil stockpile that contained soils removed from the former fire pond before backfill. During the 2018 Phase II, two soil samples were collected from soil boring B-5 at depths of 2.5 and 18 feet bgs.

In sample FPS-1, the concentration of lube oil was 18,200 mg/kg. This stockpile was removed from the Property for disposal.

Soil samples from this area were analyzed for TPH-Dx as shown in Table 1. They were also analyzed for VOCs from multiple locations and intervals from this sample group. Select soil samples were also analyzed for dioxins/furans. Only trace concentrations of TPH-Dx and VOCs were detected. None of the detected concentrations exceeded screening levels. In sample B5-2.5, dioxin concentrations exceeded the Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation (TEE) ecological screening level.

Soil Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
FPD-1	TPH-Dx, TPH-Gx, and	TPH-D, TPH-O, and	None
(2-4 feet bgs)	VOCs	VOCs	
FPD-2			
(2-4 feet bgs)			
FPD-3			
(2-4 feet bgs)			
B5-2.5	TPH-Dx, TPH-Gx, VOCs,	TPH-G, TPH-O, 1,2,4-	Dioxins/furans
(2.5 feet bgs)	and dioxins/furans	trimethylbenzene,	(ecological)
B5-18		dioxins/furans	
(18 feet bgs)			

Groundwater samples were collected from FPD-1, FPD-2, and FPD-3 and analyzed for TPH-G and TPH-Dx as shown in Table 5. TPH-Dx was detected in each of these samples at concentrations above screening levels.



GW Sample ID and Depth	Analyses	Detections	Exceedances
FPD-1	TPH-Gx and TPH-Dx	TPH-D	TPH-D
(2-6 feet bgs)			
FPD-2			
(3-7 feet bgs)			
FPD-3			
(4-8 feet bgs)			

Affected Media and Preliminary COPC. Preliminary COPC were not identified for the former fire pond area. In soil, dioxin concentrations in one sample did exceed ecological criteria. Based on ecological risk assessment scoping, additional dioxin sampling in this area could be needed to evaluate Site-wide risks. In groundwater, TPH exceedances were only noted in TPH samples analyzed without SGC. Site-wide characterization of TOC in groundwater will be used to evaluate the impacts of biogenic, non-polar organic compounds. Based on the results of this evaluation, TPH-Dx could be retained as a COPC in the future.

3.3.14 Upland Soil

The available data indicate that the potential on-site sources (e.g., UST system, site drainages, maintenance shop) do not present conditions that represent a Site-wide risk, with the possible exception of dioxins/furans in upland soil. Dioxins and furans are detected Site-wide, but at concentrations that are mostly below background concentrations or screening levels. Currently there are 14 soil samples available to evaluate dioxin impacts.

COPC. Preliminary COPC that will be used to evaluate Site-wide soil conditions are dioxins/furans (screening level exceedances).

3.3.15 Wood Waste

The current nature and extent of wood waste has not been documented.

COPC. Preliminary COPC include ammonia, sulfides, benzoic acid, and benzenemethanol, consistent with Ecology wood waste guidance.

3.4 Exposure Pathways

An exposure pathway describes the mechanisms by which human and/or ecological receptor exposure can occur assuming no remedial action or protective control is in place. An exposure pathway is considered complete if a human or ecological receptor can be exposed to a contaminant via that pathway. Potential pathways for human and/or ecological exposure are summarized on Figures 9 and 10, respectively, and are



outlined below. Incidental leaks or spills are the primary release mechanisms, and rainfall runoff is the primary transport pathway at the Site.

Human health exposure pathways include ingestion and dermal contact with soil, sediment, surface water, and potable groundwater. In addition, volatile COPC may be inhaled (representing potential vapor intrusion and outdoor air exposures), and fish/shellfish may be ingested from contaminated media (if present) in surface water and sediment.

Terrestrial ecological receptors may come into contact with COPC via direct contact with soil, sediment, and surface water, through incidental ingestion, or through dietary ingestion.

Aquatic ecological receptors may come into contact with contaminants present at the site via direct contact with sediment and surface water, through incidental ingestion, or through dietary ingestion.

3.5 Preliminary COPC, Cleanup Levels, Points of Compliance

Preliminary COPC are identified based on detected concentrations in a given medium above health based or ecological screening levels, analytes associated with operations and processes at Buse Timber & Sales (when comprehensive data is not available), and Washington cleanup guidance and rules including MTCA, Washington SMS, and Washington SCUM. Preliminary sediment COPC are established using Section 3.3.6 of SCUM and the standard SMS benthic chemicals (Table 8-1) of Washington SCUM.

As described in Section 2.2, aerial photographs suggest former agricultural uses were pasture and grass/hayfield related. Row crops and orchards, which are common contaminant sources, were not observed. Hazardous substances generally associated with former agricultural uses were not considered preliminary COPC.

Preliminary cleanup levels are discussed for the purposes of establishing analytical data quality objectives and evaluating method reporting limits. Preliminary points of compliance are also discussed to ensure data is collected across the area and intervals needed to evaluate compliance with MTCA and SMS.

3.5.1 COPC

Preliminary COPC were identified in Section 3.3 for the purpose of establishing a list of target analytes for the RI. Final COPC identification will be completed using the full RI data set during the initial stages of the risk assessment. The table below summarizes preliminary COPC and their likely presence in environmental media.



	Media			
Preliminary COPC	Soil	Groundwater	Sediment	Air
TPH-Gx	Suspected	Suspected	Suspected	Suspected
TPH-Dx	Confirmed ¹	Confirmed ¹	Confirmed ¹	
VOCs	Suspected	Suspected		Suspected
PAHs	Suspected	Suspected	Suspected	
Chlorinated Phenols	Suspected	Suspected	Suspected	
Dioxins/Furans	Confirmed		Confirmed	
PCBs			Suspected	
Metals ²	Confirmed	Confirmed	Confirmed	
Ammonia			Suspected	
Sulfides			Suspected	
Benzoic acid			Suspected	
Benzenemethanol			Suspected	

Notes:

- TPH Dx from the 2023 diesel release is suspected in soil, groundwater, and sediment. TPH-Dx is confirmed to be present in sediment as a result of historical operations. TPH-Dx has been detected in soil and groundwater; however, these concentrations are likely the result of biogenic interference.
- 2. Arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, selenium, silver, mercury, nickel, zinc
- 3. refers to constituents not likely to be a COPC in a given medium based on historical results, solubility, or volatility.

3.5.2 Preliminary Cleanup Levels

The Sampling and Analysis Plan (Appendix A) includes tables summarizing preliminary cleanup levels, practical quantitation limits (PQLs), and background concentrations (when applicable). For protection of human health in upland areas, preliminary cleanup levels will be designated using MTCA Method B cleanup levels for all COPC. Cancer and non-cancer Method B cleanup levels in addition to protection of groundwater cleanup levels will be evaluated, with the lowest of the three values used as the preliminary cleanup level. Preliminary soil and groundwater cleanup levels are summarized in Tables 8 and 9.

Evaluation of ecological risks is required based on the terrestrial and aquatic habitat located on and adjacent to the Property and the likely presence of ecological endpoints within this area. For upland areas, ecological indicator screening concentrations (EISCs) will be used for initial screening as part of a Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation. Preliminary sediment cleanup levels are described below. The results of the initial screening for ecological risks may lead to the need for development of Site-specific cleanup levels. If required, Site-specific cleanup levels would be developed as part of a successive phase of risk assessment conducted for the RI. EISCs were also considered when evaluating preliminary cleanup levels.



Preliminary sediment cleanup levels will be determined using the SCO SMS Table 8-1 for marine (WAC 173-204-562 Table III) and freshwater (WAC 173-204-562 Table VI) sediments for all COPC except dioxins/furans. For cleanups in the Port Gardner area, 3.9 pg/g is considered the regional background concentration. Because the PQL (5 pg/g) is above the background concentration, preliminary sediment cleanup levels for dioxins/furans are established using the PQL. Therefore, for screening dioxin/furan equivalence, the preliminary sediment cleanup level is established at 5 pg/g. Preliminary sediment cleanup levels are summarized in Table 10.

Based on the frequency and concentration of certain COPC detections in sediment, collection and evaluation of Site-specific biological data could be required to determine compliance with the SMS. If required, Site-specific biological criteria would be used to develop final cleanup levels as part of a successive phase of risk assessment conducted for the RI. Data would be collected consistent with the biological criteria in the SMS (WAC 173-204-562 Table V and 173-204-563 Table VIII).

3.5.3 Preliminary Points of Compliance

Points of compliance are established in order to define the points within a specific medium where applicable cleanup levels must be achieved. Points of compliance are required for both human and ecological exposures and are established in accordance with WAC 173-340-720 through 173-340-760, as applicable.

Soil. Preliminary points of compliance for human and ecological exposures to soil include the following:

- Human health throughout the entire soil column based on protection of groundwater quality; and
- Terrestrial ecological throughout the first 15 feet of soil to account for surface and burrowing ecological receptors.

Groundwater. Points of compliance will be established within identified areas of groundwater contamination based on protection of groundwater quality. The default point of compliance is throughout the Site from the uppermost level of the saturated zone to the lowest depth potentially affected by the Site (WAC 173-340-720(8)(b)). If required, conditional points of compliance will be established to evaluate the groundwater to surface water pathway for protection of ecological endpoints in surface water per WAC 173-340-720(8)(d)(i).

Sediment. Washington SMS are largely based on protection of the benthic community. For sediment, the preliminary point of compliance is the biologically active zone, corresponding to the upper 10 centimeters. In freshwater systems, specific ecological endpoints may be identified for organisms that live over a deeper biologically active zone. Therefore, the sediment point of compliance could change based on site-specific conditions.



Air. VOC sources have not been confirmed but may be present in the UST area. Points of compliance for air would include the breathing zone in indoor and outdoor air.

4.0 Data Gaps

Based on the nature and extent of hazardous substances summarized in Section 3, data gaps have been identified for the areas of the Site where contamination sources may be present or have been documented based on prior Site characterization. Data gaps for sources documented during prior investigations are summarized below.

Area & Target Media	Preliminary COPC	Data Gap
Drainage Ditch System	TPH-Dx, arsenic, nickel,	Delineate lateral and vertical extent of COPC above
	mercury, zinc, chlorinated	applicable preliminary cleanup levels. Perform
	phenols, PCBs, and	additional analysis of chlorinated phenols and PCBs
	dioxins/furans	where reporting limits are above applicable
		preliminary cleanup levels.
Target Media:	TOC, ammonia, sulfide,	
Sediment	benzoic acid, and	Characterize wood waste in sediment and potential
	benzenemethanol	affects to benthic community.
Union Slough	TPH-Dx, arsenic, nickel,	Delineate lateral and vertical extent of COPC above
(downstream tide gate)	mercury, chlorinated	applicable preliminary cleanup levels. Perform
	phenols, PCBs, and	additional analysis of chlorinated phenols and PCBs
	dioxins/furans	where reporting limits are above applicable
		preliminary cleanup levels.
Target Media:	TOC, ammonia, sulfide,	
Sediment	benzoic acid, and	Characterize wood waste in sediment and potential
	benzenemethanol	affects to benthic community.
Log Float Beach	TOC, ammonia, sulfide,	Characterize wood waste in sediment and potential
	benzoic acid, and	affects to benthic community.
Target Media:	benzenemethanol	
Sediment		
Former Waste Mixing	Dioxins/furans (soil only),	Delineate lateral and vertical extent of COPC
Area	TPH-Dx, chlorinated	previously above applicable preliminary cleanup
	phenols, PAHs, TOC,	levels. Perform additional investigation of COPC
Target Media:	metals, and VOCs	associated with past and current Site activities.
Soil		Include investigation of wood waste, chlorinated
Groundwater		phenols, PAHs, and VOCs.



Area & Target Media	Preliminary COPC	Data Gap
Vehicle Repair Shop	Metals (arsenic,	Complete shallow soil sampling in order to define the
	chromium, and lead)	extent of metals concentrations exceeding
Target Media:		preliminary cleanup levels.
Soil		
Groundwater		Groundwater conditions associated with elevated
		metals concentrations.
Former UST Area	TPH-Gx, TPH-Dx, VOCs,	Complete additional investigation of this area to
	PAHs, and lead	characterize the impacts from a documented UST
Target Media:		release per MTCA requirements.
Soil		
Groundwater		
Former PCP Dip Tank	TPH-Dx, dioxins/furans,	Complete additional investigation and monitoring of
	metals, chlorinated	detections of COPC.
Target Media:	phenols, PAHs, and	
Soil	VOCs	
Groundwater		
AST Area	TPH-Dx	Characterize drainage sump/oil-water separator
		downstream of 2023 AST release area.
Target Media:		
Soil		
Groundwater		
Soil at Historical	TPH-Dx, dioxins/furans,	Limited investigations have been performed near the
Stormwater Line	metals, chlorinated	historical stormwater drainage system. Additional
	phenois, PAHs, and	characterization of site COPC is required to
Target Media:	VOUS	determine potential need for remedial action.
Soil		
		Review plant files and complete additional interviews
		to confirm historical drainage locations.
East Drainage Bank Soil	TPH-Dx, dioxins/furans,	Impacts from known contaminants in drainage
	metals, chlorinated	sediment have not been fully delineated in the East
Target Media:		Drainage. Additional soil characterization is needed
Soil		to determine lateral and vertical extent of remedial
		action, if necessary.

4.1 Site-Wide Data Gaps

Wood-Waste. The extent of wood waste has not been characterized and is considered a data gap for uplands and sediment. Wood waste will be characterized in order to assess the potential impact on the benthic



community using the SMS (WAC 173-204; Ecology, 2013a) and the Wood Waste Cleanup guidance (Ecology, 2013b).

Organic Carbon. The 2021 Phase II investigation analyzed multiple TPH-Dx samples with and without SGC. Because reductions in petroleum concentrations can be reflective of petroleum weathering and biodegradation to polar metabolites, which have known toxicity, TOC in soil and groundwater will be evaluated during the RI. For soil samples analyzed for TPH, selected samples will also be analyzed for TOC. TOC in groundwater will be evaluated using samples from a monitoring well without impacts.

5.0 RI Field Investigation

The RI field investigation will be completed to characterize the nature and extent of hazardous substances at the Site in all affected media (soil, groundwater, and sediment). The data will be used to characterize risks to human health and the environment and to inform future remedial actions. Additionally, potential wood waste sources will be evaluated as described in Section 4.0.

This section describes the proposed scope of the RI field investigation including specific sampling locations and methodologies to address the data gaps identified in Section 4.0. A detailed discussion of the sampling design and methods, protocols for sample collection, and quality assurance are provided in the SAP (Appendix A).

Proposed upland sampling locations are shown on Figure 11. Proposed sediment sampling locations are shown on Figure 12. Sampling locations associated with the historical or current stormwater drainage systems are shown on Figure 14.

5.1 Preparatory Activities

Preparatory activities for soil characterization efforts include coordinating Site access with Buse personnel, clearing proposed sample locations of underground utility conflicts and vegetation where necessary, and preparing health and safety documents. These activities are discussed in more detail in the SAP (Appendix A).

Site Health and Safety Plan. A Site-specific health and safety plan (HASP) will be prepared for the proposed activities. The HASP will be prepared in general accordance with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and WAC. A copy of the HASP will be maintained on-Site during the field activities.

Property Access. To delineate on-Site contamination, Property-wide access will be required for the RI. Apex will coordinate with Buse personnel to obtain access in order to conduct the field investigation.



Research Former Stormwater System. Buse personnel will be interviewed, and files will be reviewed to assist in identifying the location and depth of historical stormwater infrastructure. Additionally, a geophysical survey will be used to identify the location and depth of historical stormwater system components.

Underground Utility Locates. Prior to implementation of the work, Apex will contact the Washington Utility Notification Center, which will in turn notify the various utilities in the area to mark any underground installations. Sample locations could require relocation based on the results of the geophysical survey, utility locates, or operational considerations. The geophysical survey (described below) will also be used to locate utilities on the property.

Geophysical Survey. The geophysical survey will include electro-magnetic survey, ground penetrating radar (GPR), and radio detection to locate conductive utilities. It will also be completed in order to:

- identify the location of the historical stormwater infrastructure; and
- identify the former dip tank location.

This survey will be used to map the former stormwater line and estimate depth to contact. The former dip tank structure was located with GPR during the 2021 Phase II ESA. The survey will locate this structure again in order to accurately place the groundwater monitoring well planned for this location.

5.2 Field Sampling Scope

The RI field investigation includes data collection from a range of environmental media, including soil, groundwater, and sediment within surface water, Site drainages, and the Site stormwater system. The proposed sampling scope for each of the investigation areas of the Site is summarized in this section.

5.2.1 Common Activities

Field investigation activities that will be consistently utilized during the sampling program are summarized below.

Sample Logging. Soil and sediment samples from cores will be logged and field screened at minimum 5-foot intervals. Surface soil and surface sediment samples will be individually logged and field screened. The field scientist or engineer will describe each soil core, noting indications of contamination based on visual inspection and lithology using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) in general accordance with ASTM 2487/2488. Other features such as sorting, sedimentary features, mineralogy, degree of weathering, contacts with other soil types, and proportional amount of wood waste/organic material will be noted. Soil samples will be field screened for the presence of VOCs using olfactory observation, sheen tests, and a photoionization detector (PID). Field screening procedures are described in the SAP (Appendix A).



Wood Waste Characterization. Each investigation area will be continually surveyed for wood waste. Boring and test pit logs will note the presence, type, and description of wood waste or organic material. Wood waste will be characterized by determining the following:

- Lateral and horizontal dimensions including the depth, area, and percent coverage;
- The percent surface and subsurface, with overall volume on and within sediment; and
- The type of wood waste (bark, chips, sawdust, and logs).

Sample Location Control. The location of each soil boring, surface soil sample, stormwater system sample, and sediment sample will be recorded with a sub-meter grade global positioning system. Monitoring wells will be surveyed by a licensed surveyor. Monitoring well surveys will include determination of the horizontal location and elevation of the wells (State Plane Coordinate System for horizontal control and North American Vertical Datum 88 or other approved datum for vertical control).

Monitoring Well Development. Following installation, monitoring wells will be developed to establish a connection with the aquifer. Well development will occur no earlier than 48 hours after well construction. The monitoring wells will be developed in accordance with Apex SOP 2.14 (Appendix A), by purging and pumping a minimum of five casing volumes of water from the well using a downhole pump. Field parameters will be collected during development and include temperature, pH, ORP, DO, and conductivity. Development will be considered complete when the water is visually clear and field parameters have stabilized to within five percent of the previous measurement for three consecutive borehole volumes.

Investigation Derived Waste Management. Investigation-derived waste (IDW) will consist of soil cuttings from drilling, decontamination water, monitoring well purge water, sampling materials (e.g., sample tubing), and personal protective equipment (PPE). Soil and water IDW will be placed in properly labeled drums and stored at a pre-approved location on the Site, pending receipt of chemical data to be used for profiling the waste for disposal. Based on the results, IDW will be transported to an appropriate facility for disposal or treatment. Sampling materials and PPE will be disposed of as solid waste.

Inadvertent Archaeological or Historical Resource Discovery. In the event of an inadvertent discovery, work within 100 feet of the area will be stopped and the protocols described in Appendix B will be followed.

5.2.2 Former UST Area

The Former UST System Area consisted of two USTs, referred to as the east and west tanks. The volumes and precise locations of the tanks are not documented. Pre-2021 site characterization work was completed at intervals that were too shallow or at locations away from the UST. The 2021 Phase II ESA located the former USTs with aerial photographs. Borings completed during the 2021 Phase II ESA identified petroleum odors and detected petroleum constituents in groundwater at concentrations below applicable cleanup levels.



A direct-push investigation is proposed in order to characterize the nature and extent of contamination, determine if monitoring wells are required, and aid in selection of final monitoring well location if warranted.

The former UST area will be located using aerial photographs and other historical resources. Five soil borings will be completed across the former UST area to characterize the magnitude and extent of soil and groundwater contamination related to the former USTs. Proposed sampling locations are shown on Figure 11. The anticipated depth to groundwater is approximately 15 feet bgs. Soil borings will be completed to a depth of 20 feet bgs, unless field screening indicates contamination is present at deeper depths. Default soil sampling intervals are as follows: 0.5 to 3 feet bgs, 5 to 8 feet bgs, and 10 to 13 feet bgs. Soil sampling intervals may be adjusted based on field screening results. Groundwater samples will be collected from temporary monitoring wells completed in the direct-push borings. Direct-push soil sampling methods are described in Apex SOP 2.4 (Appendix A).

Based on the results of the direct-push characterization, permanent groundwater monitoring wells will be installed to monitor groundwater and evaluate seasonal trends. Each well will be constructed with 2-inch polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing, approximately 20 feet deep, with 10 feet of screen. Soil will also be logged and field-screened at minimum 5-foot intervals. Three monitoring wells are shown on Figure 11 at conceptual locations. The final number and location of monitoring wells will be based on the results of the soil borings. Apex will provide Ecology with a field map of proposed well locations and screen intervals for approval prior to installing any groundwater monitoring wells. Monitoring well construction methods are described in Apex SOP 2.13 (Appendix A).

One of the wells installed for the UST area will be placed in an upgradient location where impacts are not observed. In addition to helping determine the gradient in this area, this monitoring well will be used to characterize whether elevated concentrations of dissolved TOC may be present.

5.2.3 Vehicle Repair Shop

Soil investigation is planned to characterize the extent of metals concentrations in the vicinity of the vehicle repair shop. Prior sampling in this area detected concentrations of arsenic, barium, chromium, lead, selenium, and mercury, with concentrations of arsenic, chromium, and lead exceeding soil cleanup levels for human health risk or EISCs in some samples. A groundwater monitoring well will be completed at the area of highest metals concentration. Data from this well will be used to evaluate whether the arsenic concentration detected in temporary well B2 is representative of groundwater conditions.

Six soil borings will be completed to depths of 10 feet bgs to evaluate metals concentrations in this area at the locations shown on Figure 11. Soil samples will be collected from 0.5 to 3.5 feet bgs and 3 to 6 feet bgs. Direct-push soil sampling methods are described in Apex SOP 2.4 (Appendix A).



Based on the results of the boring sampling and analysis, one permanent groundwater monitoring well will be installed to monitor groundwater and evaluate seasonal trends. The well will be constructed with 2-inch PVC casing, approximately 20 feet bgs, with 10 feet of screen. Soil will also be logged and field-screened at minimum 5-foot intervals. The monitoring well shown on Figure 11 is a conceptual location. The final location will be based on the results of the soil boring investigation. Apex will provide Ecology with a field map of proposed well locations and screen intervals for approval prior to installing any groundwater monitoring wells. Monitoring well construction methods are described in Apex SOP 2.13 (Appendix A).

5.2.4 Former Dip Tank Area

The former dip tank area has been previously characterized with soil and groundwater samples. A significant contamination source has not been identified. A permanent monitoring well will be constructed to document groundwater conditions and provide for long term monitoring at the location shown on Figure 11. Geophysical survey and historical maps will be used to locate the former dip tank (the dip tank foundation was previously located using geophysics).

Sampling methods are discussed in the SAP in Appendix A. The anticipated depth to groundwater is approximately 15 feet bgs. The soil boring will be completed to a depth of 20 feet bgs, unless field screening indicates contamination is present at deeper depths. Soil samples will be collected from the monitoring well boring at the following intervals: 0.5 to 3 feet bgs, 5 to 8 feet bgs, and 10 to 13 feet bgs. Soil will also be logged and field-screened at minimum 5-foot intervals. The well will be constructed with 2-inch PVC casing, approximately 20 feet deep, with 10 feet of screen. Sample and well screen intervals may be adjusted based on field screening results. Monitoring well construction methods are described in Apex SOP 2.13 (Appendix A).

5.2.5 Former Waste Mixing Area

The former waste mixing area included a feature termed the "donut" which was formerly used to mix oil-water separator sludges with wood waste that was periodically sold as hog fuel. The area adjacent to the former waste mixing area is the only unpaved and non-utilized area within the main operations area. Soil in this area is covered with a 2- to 3-foot-thick veneer of hummocky soil that includes a proportionally high amount of organics or wood waste. Surface soil sampling from the area detected concentrations of dioxins, expressed as the 2,3,7,8-TCDD TEQ, above the regional background concentration as well as the MTCA Method B cleanup level (in some instances).

A test pit investigation will be completed to characterize the former waste mixing area to depths of approximately 5 feet bgs. Eight test pit excavations will be completed across the area shown on Figure 11. One soil sample will be collected from within the organic-rich surface soil, and one sample will be collected from the first 2 feet of native soil immediately beneath the surface soil veneer.



A permanent monitoring well will be constructed to document groundwater conditions in the area immediately downslope from the former waste mixing area. The well will be completed in order to determine whether groundwater impacts are present in this area and provide for long term monitoring. Soil samples will be collected from the monitoring well boring at the following intervals: surface to 3 feet bgs, 5 to 8 feet bgs, and 10 to 13 feet bgs. Soil will also be logged and field-screened at minimum 5-foot intervals. The well will be constructed with 2-inch PVC casing, approximately 20 feet deep, with 10 feet of screen. Sample and well screen intervals may be adjusted based on field screening results. Monitoring well construction methods are described in Apex SOP 2.13 (Appendix A).

5.2.6 AST Area

The 2023 AST release was contained by the perimeter trench drain and vault system and was not observed to extend to nearby soils. A soil boring and monitoring well adjacent to the vault are proposed in order to determine whether diesel contained in the vault has migrated to adjacent subsurface soils.

Sampling methods are discussed in the SAP in Appendix A. The anticipated depth to groundwater is approximately 15 feet bgs. The soil boring will be completed to a depth of 20 feet bgs, unless field screening indicates contamination is present at deeper depths. Soil samples will be collected from the monitoring well boring.

One permanent groundwater monitoring well will be completed within the soil boring to monitor groundwater and evaluate seasonal trends. Soil samples will be collected from the monitoring well boring at the following intervals: surface to 3 feet bgs, 5 to 8 feet bgs, and 10 to 13 feet bgs. Soil will also be logged and field-screened at minimum 5-foot intervals. The well will be constructed with 2-inch PVC casing, approximately 20 feet bgs, with 10 feet of screen. Sample and well screen intervals may be adjusted based on field screening results. Monitoring well construction methods are described in Apex SOP 2.13 (Appendix A).

5.2.7 Site Stormwater Drainages

Conditions associated with the current drainage system and historical stormwater drainages will be characterized.

The current stormwater drainage system will be characterized by collecting samples of drainage solids/sediment from the downstream end of each of the four branches of the stormwater system that flows into the current stormwater outfall (Figure 14). These data will be used to evaluate whether the stormwater system is a pathway for COPC to migrate in the stormwater system to surface water. Migration of COPC to the stormwater system is possible as a result of surface soil that is incorporated in runoff or cracks in the piping system.



The former stormwater system will be characterized using several lines of investigation. In summary:

- A geophysical survey will be used to identify the location and burial depth of the former stormwater pipe.
- Test pits will be completed to access the historical stormwater line near the former main catch-basin, two locations along the pipe, and the terminus. Discrete samples will be collected from 6 and 12 inches beneath the pipe to evaluate potential migration.
- One composite sample will be prepared from samples collected from within the access points. This composite sample will be used for source characterization and future waste profiling for pipe residuals.
- Four soil borings are proposed to evaluate the extent of COPC near the terminus of the historical stormwater discharge line. Soil borings will be completed to a depth of 10 feet bgs, unless field screening indicates contamination is present at deeper depths. Soil samples will be collected from 0 to 3 feet bgs and from 5 to 8 feet bgs.
- One soil boring is proposed to evaluate the extent of COPC near the primary catch-basin for the historical stormwater discharge line. Soil borings will be completed to a depth of 10 feet bgs, unless field screening indicates contamination is present at deeper depths. Soil samples will be collected from 0 to 3 feet bgs and from 5 to 8 feet bgs.

Test-pit exploration sampling methods are described in Apex SOP 2.3 and direct-push soil sampling methods are described in Apex SOP 2.4 (Appendix A).

In addition to the sampling described above, video surveys will be completed for the current and former stormwater systems. The video will be recorded and retained as part of the record for the Site. Areas of significant sediment accumulation in the storm system will be noted and included in the source evaluation of the storm system.

5.2.8 Drainage Sediment and East Bank Soil Sampling

Concentrations of dioxins, expressed as the 2,3,7,8-TCDD TEQ, exceed the Puget Sound dioxin sediment background concentration in several instances, with the 2,3,7,8-TCDD TEQ ranging from 5.39 to 113 pg/g. These detected concentrations require further evaluation to delineate the lateral and vertical extent of contamination that is known to originate from the East Drainage and possibly other drainages originating from the Property following Washington SMS. Additional discrete samples are required for delineation of the drainage system. Discrete samples are proposed along the banks of the East Drainage based on the documented concentrations of dioxins in the East Drainage.

Drainage Sediment Sampling. Discrete sediment samples will be collected at the locations shown on Figure 12. The proposed sampling plan provides for the following:



- In the East Drainage and tide gate area, sampling density is increased to provide for delineation of the COPC in the East Drainage. In the East Drainage and near the tide gate, samples will be collected approximately every 150 feet.
- In the West Drainage, sample spacing in the north end of the drainage is at approximately 400-to-500-foot intervals based on the location of this area away from mill operations. In areas closer to the operations at the south end of the mill, sampling density is increased.
- In the South Drainage, sample spacing is at approximately 400-to-500-foot intervals (this includes sample station DR-10), with increased density closer to the intersection with the I-5 drainage.
- In the I-5 Drainage, sediment sample locations are near the end of the drainage at the topographic low point of the surrounding area and drainage.

Sediment samples will be collected at the locations shown on Figure 12. Sediment samples will be collected at two intervals: from 0 to 4 inches bml to evaluate risks to the benthic community and from 12 to 24 inches bml to characterize vertical extent. An additional sample will be collected from 24 to 36 inches bml and archived in the event that the extent of contamination extends past 24 inches bml.

Sediment sampling methods are provided in Appendix A.

Bank Soil Sampling. Soil samples will be collected from the slope of the East Drainage to characterize whether COPC are present in bank soils on the edge of the drainage. Samples will be collected near the water line and from an elevation approximately equal to the top of bank. Soil samples will be collected from 0 to 12 inches bgs and 12 to 24 inches bgs. Soil sample locations are shown on Figure 11. A cross section depicting the East Drainage soil and sediment sampling intervals is provided in Figure 13.

Surface soil sampling methods are described in Apex SOP 2.2, and direct-push soil sampling methods are described in Apex SOP 2.4 (Appendix A).

5.2.9 Union Slough

Sediment samples will be collected on the downstream side of the tide gate at two sampling stations shown on Figure 12. Sediment samples will be collected at two intervals: from 0 to 4 inches bml to evaluate risks to the benthic community and from 12 to 24 inches bml to characterize vertical extent. An additional sample will be collected from 24 to 36 inches bml and archived in the event that the contamination extends past 24 inches bml.

Sediment sampling methods are provided in Appendix A.



5.2.10 Log Float Beach

One sediment sampling station is proposed at the Log Float area (Figure 12). The ramp is constructed of rock armoring. The sediment sample station will be located at the edge of the armored area, within river sediments. Sediment samples will be collected at two intervals for current analysis: at the mudline (0 to 4 inches) to evaluate risks to the benthic community and from 12 to 24 inches to characterize vertical extent. An additional sample will be collected from 24 to 36 inches and archived in the event that the contamination extends past 24 inches.

Sediment sampling methods are provided in Appendix A.

5.3 Groundwater Monitoring

Following the installation and development of the permanent monitoring wells, quarterly groundwater monitoring will be initiated. Groundwater sampling will be completed using low flow methods. Groundwater sampling methods are described in Apex SOP 2.4 (Appendix A). The analytical program is discussed in Section 6.0.

6.0 Laboratory Analytical Program

This section describes the analytical testing program including the types of samples and corresponding sample analysis for each media. Fremont Analytical and Ceres Analytical maintain a current certification from NELAP and Ecology's laboratory accreditation program under WAC 173-50. The SAP in Appendix A includes a detailed discussion of the analytical testing plan. Analytical methods that will be used for this project include:

- TPH-Gx by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx;
- TPH-Dx by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx;
- TPH-Dx with SGC by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx;
- VOCs by EPA Method 8260D;
- Semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) by EPA Method 8270E;
- Metals including arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium, silver, copper, nickel, and zinc by EPA Method 6020;
- PCBs by EPA Method 8082A;
- PAHs by EPA Method 8270-SIM; and
- Dioxins/furans by EPA Method 8290.



SVOC analysis using EPA 8270E includes preliminary COPC such as PAHs and chlorinated phenols, as well as several other chemical groups.

Wood waste characterization will include the following analytical methods:

- Ammonia by SM 4500;
- Sulfide by SM 4500;
- Benzoic acid and benzenemethanol (benzyl alcohol) by EPA Method 8270; and
- TOC by EPA Method 9060.

A summary of the sampling and analysis plan for each media and area of the site is provided below. The table below identifies several sample areas where some analyses will be completed on a discretionary basis. Discretionary analyses are denoted with an asterisk (*) and include the following analyses:

- VOCs samples will be analyzed for VOCs when field screening with the PID indicates VOCs are present (PID readings in the 5 to 10 ppm range).
- PAHs PAH analysis will be conducted on the sample with the highest TPH-Dx concentration at each location (if SVOC analysis is not already completed).
- Lead lead analysis will be completed on the sample with highest TPH-G concentration.
- PCBs PCB analysis will be completed on the sample with the highest TPH-Dx concentration at each location.

Sample Area	Depth (ft) Interval (ft)	Number of Samples	Laboratory Analysis
Former UST Area – soil samples from	20	1 to 3 per boring	TPH-Gx
direct-push and monitoring wells			TPH-Dx
	0.5 to 3		VOCs
	5 to 8		TPH-Dx with SGC*
	10 to 13		PAHs*
			Lead*
Vehicle Repair Shop – soil samples	10	2 per boring	Metals
	0.5 to 3		
	3 to 6		

Soil Sampling and Analysis Summary



Sample Area	Depth (ft) Interval (ft)	Number of Samples	Laboratory Analysis
Former Waste Mixing Area – soil samples	6 feet (approx.)	2 per test pit	TPH-Dx
from surface soil fill and native soil interval			SVOCs
	Above/below soil		Dioxins/Furans
	contact		TOC
			TPH-Dx with SGC
Soil samples from monitoring well	20	3 per well	Metals*
			VOCs*
	0.5 to 3		
	5 to 8		
	10 to 13		
Former PCP Din Tank – soil samples from	20	1 to 3 per boring	TPH-Dx
monitoring well installation	20	1 to o por borning	SVOCs
	0.5 to 3		Dioxins/Furans
	5 to 8		TPH-Dx with SGC*
	10 to 13		
	101010		Motals*
Historical Stormwater Lino	10	2 per location	
	10		
	0 to 3		Diovins/Europa
	0 10 3 5 to 8		Dioxilis/Fuidils Motolo
	5100		
			100
Feet Dreinere Denk Ceil	0	Querlesstien	
East Drainage Barrk Soli	2	2 per location	
	0 4= 1		SVUUS Diaviaa/Europa
			Dioxins/Furans
	5 to 2		
			TPH-Dx with SGC [*]
			PCBs^
AST Release area	20	1 to 3 per boring	IPH-Dx
			IPH-DX with SGC*
	0.5 to 3		
	5 to 8		VOCs*
	10 to 13		PAHs*

Notes: * = discretionary analysis based on field screening or initial results



Sample Area	Number of Samples	Approx. Depth (ft)	Laboratory Analysis
Former UST Area	1/temporary well	10 to 20	TPH-Gx
	1/permanent well		TPH-Dx
Upgradient well used to			VOCs
characterize dissolved TOC			TPH-Dx with SGC (from
			permanent well only)
			PAHs (from permanent well if
			TPH-Dx detected)
			Lead (from permanent well if
			TPH-G detected)
			TOC (from permanent well only)
Vehicle Repair Shop	1/permanent well	10 to 20	Metals
Former Waste Mixing Area	1/permanent well	10 to 20	TPH-Dx
			SVOCs
			VOCs*
			TOC*
			TPH-Dx with SGC*
			Metals*
AST Release Area	1/temporary well	10 to 20	TPH-Dx
	1/permanent well (if		TPH-Dx with SGC*
	needed)		TOC*
			VOCs*
			PAHs*
Former PCP Dip Tank	1/permanent well	10 to 20	TPH-Dx
			SVOCs
			TPH-Dx with SGC*
			VOCs*
			TOC*
			Metals*

Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Summary

Notes:

* = discretionary analysis based on field screening or initial results

Drainage Solids/Sediment Sampling and Analysis Summary

Sample Area	Sample Location	Sample Information	Laboratory Analysis
Former Stormwater System	Composite of four locations along pipe	Discrete subsamples in pipe	TPH-Dx TPH-Dx with SGC SVOCs Metals PCBs



Sample Area	Sample Location	Sample Information	Laboratory Analysis
	Samples	6 to 12 inches	Dioxins/furans
	beneath pipe	below pipe	TOC
Current Stormwater System	4 branches	Discrete samples	TPH-Dx
	current system	each branch	SVOCs
			Metals
			PCBs
			Dioxins/furans
			TOC*
			TPH-Dx with SGC*
			VOCs*

Notes:

* = discretionary analysis based on field screening or initial results

Sediment Sampling and Analysis Summary

Sample Area	Approx. Depth (inches)	Number of Samples	Laboratory Analysis
East Drainage	0-4	6 sampling stations	TPH-Dx
	12-24	3 per station	TPH-Dx with SGC
	24-36	24- to 36-inch sample is	SVOCs
		archived	Dioxins/Furans
			Metals
			Ammonia
			Total sulfides
			TOC
			PCBs*
			VOCs*
South Drainage	0-4	3 sampling stations	TPH-Dx
	12-24	3/station	TPH-Dx with SGC
	24-36	24- to 36-inch sample is	SVOCs
		archived	Dioxins/Furans
			Metals
			Ammonia
			Total sulfides
			TOC
			PCBs*
			VOCs*



Sample Area	Approx. Depth (inches)	Number of Samples	Laboratory Analysis
Union Slough Cutoff	0-4	14 sampling stations	TPH-Dx
(West Drainage)	12-24	3/station	TPH-Dx with SGC
(Woor Brainago)	24-36	24- to 36-inch sample is	SVOCs
		archived	Dioxins/Furans
			Metals
			Ammonia
			Total sulfides
			тос
			PCBs*
			VOCs*
I-5 Drainage	0-4	2 sampling stations	TPH-Dx
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	12-24	3/station	TPH-Dx with SGC
	24-36	24- to 36-inch sample is	SVOCs
		archived	Dioxins/Furans
			Metals
			Ammonia
			Total sulfides
			TOC
			PCBs*
			VOCs*
Union Slough	0-4	2 sampling stations	TPH-Dx
	12-24	3/station	TPH-Dx with SGC
	24-36	24- to 36-inch sample is	SVOCs
		archived	Dioxins/Furans
			Metals
			Ammonia
			Total sulfides
			TOC
			PCBs*
			VOCs*
Log Float	0-4	1 sampling stations	TOC
	12-24	3/station	Ammonia
	24-36	24- to 36-inch sample is	Sulfide
		archived	Benzoic acid
		24- to 36-inch sample is	Benzenemethanol
		archived	

Notes: * = discretionary analysis based on field screening or initial results



7.0 Proposed Risk Assessment

Risk assessment will account for human health and ecological receptors following MTCA and SMS. This phase of the RI includes data collection and evaluation for the receptors and preliminary cleanup levels summarized in Section 3.5. These results will be used to evaluate whether additional data collection to support Site-specific cleanup level development is required and determine the final scope of risk assessment for the Site.

In some cases, more in-depth risk assessment is needed for human health or ecological receptors, particularly when resources or receptors of special sensitivity are present. Additional data collection or evaluation for Site-specific risk assessment could include (but is not limited to) Site-specific terrestrial cleanup levels, sediment cleanup levels based on biological data, and/or vapor intrusion evaluation.

Apex proposes to complete the initial risk assessment scope described in Sections 7.1 through 7.3 as part of the initial data evaluation activities. The initial data evaluation will be discussed with Ecology to finalize the risk assessment scope and need for site specific data or evaluation. Additionally, the scope of the critical areas assessment will be discussed at that time. A Risk Assessment Work Plan will be prepared as an addendum to the RI Work Plan in order to document the final scope and procedures for risk assessment and critical areas assessment (see Section 7.4).

7.1 Human Health

Human health risks for the exposure pathways and receptors described in Section 3.4 will be characterized using default MTCA Method B cleanup levels. In cases where there is a statistically significant number of cleanup level exceedances, the 95% upper confidence of the mean will serve as the exposure point concentration.

7.2 Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation

The proposed terrestrial ecological evaluation is described below and was developed using the Draft Terrestrial Ecological Evaluations under the MTCA guidance (Ecology, 2017).

7.2.1 Characterization of the Site

The Property includes approximately 60.48 acres (Figure 2) along the Union Slough, an estuary of the Snohomish River. The Snohomish River discharges to the Possession Sound within a half mile, and marine water influence is expected in the area. A man-made dike is located to the north between the Union Slough and the Site. A riparian area is located along Union Slough. The operational portion of the Property is paved with asphalt and concrete, which covers approximately 40 acres. The north end of the property is unpaved and vegetated with grass. A drainage system encircles the Property that discharges any collected water to



Union Slough through a tide gate at the north end of the Site. Ponded water is present in the perimeter ditches seasonally, and discharge from the perimeter ditches to Union Slough only occurs when there is a tide of +0.5 feet or less. Vegetation around the perimeter ditch includes grasses and shrubs. According to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory, wetlands are present at the north end of the Site near the East Drainage and Union Slough Cutoff, along the Union Slough, and to the southwest near the South Drainage. Emergent wetlands are present in the southern portion of the Site. The wetlands inventory map is provided as Appendix C. According to Snohomish County, areas with streams, marine environments, and wetlands are required to have buffer zones (Snohomish County Code, Title 30, Chapter 30.62A.320). Adjacent properties generally consist of commercial or industrial businesses with undeveloped land to the west and south and I-5 to the east.

Two parks are located near the Site. Union Slough Saltmarsh and Park is located approximately a half mile to the north and downstream of the Site via Union Slough. This area includes 24 acres with natural trails that were restored by the Port of Everett in 2001. The restoration included removing two dikes and excavating a channel system to allow tidal waters to flood the site.

Snohomish River Estuary Park is located on the east side of I-5, approximately a quarter mile upstream from the Site. The Snohomish River Estuary Park is currently being restored by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife to advance the ecosystem. There are over 350 different types of birds and countless mammals and plants that use this area as their habitat. The area adjacent to I-5 to the east is also designated open space by Snohomish County.

Since the area is ecologically diverse, there are several species with protection. Federally designated endangered or threatened species in Snohomish County include Taylor's checkerspot (insect), the gray wolf (mammal), the northern spotted owl (bird), the golden paintbrush (plant), the bull trout (fish), the marbled murrelet (bird), the dolly varden (fish), the whitebark pine (plant), the yellow-billed cuckoo (bird), and the Canada lynx (mammal). Washington State priority species, species of concern, and sensitive species for the area include Townsend's big eared bat (mammal), the marbled murrelet (bird), the Oregon spotted frog (reptile), and the western pond turtle (reptile).

7.2.2 Evaluation of Exclusions

The Site does not qualify for an exclusion from a terrestrial ecological evaluation since surface soil near the former hog fuel mixing area has concentrations of dioxins/furans above natural background. Natural background concentrations for dioxins/furans in Washington soils are established at 5.2 pg/g (Ecology, 2010). The Site is also within an ecologically diverse area and includes approximately 20 acres of contiguous undeveloped land.



7.2.3 Select Evaluation Method

The Site qualifies for a site-specific evaluation based on the potential exposure to wildlife. In addition, concentrations of dioxins/furans in surface soil near the former hog fuel mixing area are above the screening level for a simplified evaluation (WAC 173-340-900, Table 749-2). A Site-specific evaluation will be completed.

7.3 Aquatic Ecological Evaluation

Based on historical sampling results, dioxins appear to be the primary sediment COPC and will drive cleanup decision making. Unless other COPC are identified that represent a significant additional risk, the PQL (5 pg/g) will be used as the SCO to identify COPC and define remedial action areas (See Section 3.5). The 95% upper confidence limit will be used as the exposure point concentration.

7.4 Critical Areas Assessment

A critical areas assessment will be completed for the RI in order to document protected and sensitive species and habitats in the vicinity of the Site. The information will be used to design and evaluate remedial alternatives that avoid, minimize, and compensate for impacts to critical habitats and protected species. The assessment will include:

- Habitat assessment that includes a review of existing information, including but not limited to Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Priority Habitats and Species Maps and Snohomish County critical areas maps;
- Wetlands delineation per Corps of Engineers and Ecology manuals; and
- Ordinary High Water Mark determination.

The critical areas assessment will be completed once the nature and extent of contamination are better defined. This will provide more certainty that the habitat assessment adequately captures the Site boundary and areas most likely to be disturbed by future remedial activities (i.e., areas with exceedances of preliminary cleanup levels).

The scope and methods for the assessment will be drafted and submitted to Ecology for review and approval as part of the Risk Assessment Work Plan update.

8.0 Schedule and Reporting

8.1 Schedule

The proposed RI schedule is provided below. The RI report will include a schedule for the FS and draft CAP.



Proposed Activity	Anticipated Schedule
Submit Draft RI Work Plan	July 25, 2023
Submit Final RI Work Plan	December 2023
Conduct field work	March and April 2024
Receive data and evaluate	April and May 2024
Draft Risk Assessment Work Plan	May 2024
Data & Interim Results Updates	Monthly
Submit Draft RI Report	July 2024

8.2 Reporting

The RI results will be presented in an RI Report in general accordance with the following outline.

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
 - a. Previous Investigations, Remedial Actions
 - b. Historical Data
- 3. Activities Completed
 - a. Pre-field Activities
 - b. Geophysical Survey
 - c. Soil Sampling and Analysis
 - d. Groundwater Sampling and Analysis
 - e. Sediment Sampling and Analysis
 - f. Drainage Sampling and Analysis
 - g. Assessment of Climate Change Vulnerabilities
- 4. Deviation from Work Plan
- 5. Chemical Characterization of Uplands
 - a. Upland Soil Sampling Results
 - i. Tabular and Graphical Representation of Data
 - ii. Analytical Results Narrative
- 6. Chemical Characterization of Sediments
 - a. Sediment Results
 - i. Tabular and Graphical Representation of Data



- ii. Analytical Results Narrative
- 7. Exposure Pathway Evaluation and Critical Areas Assessment
- 8. Risk Characterization
- 9. Conclusion
- 10. Appendices
 - a. Sampling Field Documentation and Exploration Logs
 - b. Analytical Laboratory Sample Analysis Report/Quality Assurance Review
 - c. Photographs

Data will be uploaded to Ecology's Environmental Information Management database after the QA review.



9.0 References

- Apex Companies, LLC (Apex), 2021a. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Buse Timber & Sales, 3812 28th Place Northeast, Everett, WA 98201. September 15, 2021.
- Apex, 2021b. Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Buse Timber & Sales, 3812 28th Place Northeast, Everett, WA 98201. December 9, 2021.
- Buse Timber and Sales, 1993. Updated Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan. November 1993. Updated 2001.
- Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology), 1990. Preliminary Assessment Report, Buse Timber & Sales, Inc., Everett, Washington. October 1990.
- Ecology, 1994. Natural Background Soil Metals Concentrations in Washington State. October 1994.
- Ecology, 2010. Natural Background for Dioxins/Furans in WA Soils. August 9, 2010.
- Ecology, 2013a. Sediment Management Standards. February 2013.
- Ecology, 2013b. Wood Waste Cleanup. Identifying, Assessing, and Remediating Wood Waste in Marine and Freshwater Environments. September 2013.
- Ecology, 2017. Draft Terrestrial Ecological Evaluations under the Model Toxics Control Act. February 2017.
- Ecology, 2021. Sediment Cleanup User's Manual (SCUM). March 2015, revised December 2021.
- Ecology, 2024. Implementation Memorandum No. 25: Identifying Likely Vulnerable Populations and Overburdened Communities under the Cleanup Regulations. January 2024.
- Environmental Protection Agency, 1990. Technical Standard Operating Procedures (TSOP) for ARCS, Contract No. 68-W9-0054. 1990.
- Exponent, 1998. Draft Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Buse Timber & Sales, Everett, Washington. August 21, 1998.
- Exponent, 2010. Buse Timber & Sales Phase II Update, Buse Timber & Sales, Everett, Washington. December 6, 2010.
- Minard, J.P., 1985. U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1743, scale 1:24,000. Geologic map of the Marysville quadrangle, Snohomish County, Washington. 1985.
- Terracon Consultants, Inc. (Terracon), 2018a. *Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Buse Timber & Sales,* 3812 28th Place Northeast, Everett, Washington 98201. June 20, 2018.
- Terracon, 2018b. Limited Site Investigation, Buse Timber & Sales, 3812 28th Place Northeast, Everett, Washington 98201. September 17, 2018.
- URS Consultants, Inc., 1994. Screening Site Inspection Report, Buse Timber & Sales, Everett, Washington. August 19, 1994.



				TPH by NWTP	H-Dx with Silica		
				Diesel Range	Residual Range	Diesel Range	Residual Range
		Depth		Organics	Organics	Organics	Organics
Area	Sample Location ID	(feet)	Date		Concentratio	ons in mg/kg	
		MTCA Meth	od A Cleanup Level	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
			Plants				
Ecologi	ical Indicator (EI) Soil Co	ncentrations	Soil Biota	200		200	
			Wildlife	2000 ¹⁰		2000 ¹⁰	
	B1-2.5	2.5	8/17/2018			<28	<56
	B1-6.5	6.5	8/17/2018			<25	91
Vehicle Repair Shop	B2-3	3	8/17/2018			<29	<58
	SB-1(0-5)	2-3	07/01/2021	4.51 J	38.1		
	SB-1(5-10)	6-7	07/01/2021	8.79 J	67.3		
	SB-2(0-5)	4-5	07/01/2021	<4.80	<12.0		
	SB-2(5-10)	8-9	07/01/2021	<5.88	<14./		
Former Log Pond	SB-3(0-5)	3-4	07/01/2021	<4.97	<12.4		
-	SB-3(5-10)	8.5-9.5	07/01/2021	<6.68	5.61 J		
	SB-9(0-5)	3-4	07/01/2021	6.74 J	28.2		
	SB-9(5-10)	8-9	07/01/2021	3.83 J	31.3		
Current Luke Duilding/Mashening Char	SB-4(0-5)	3-4	07/01/2021	<4.94	<12.3		
Current Lube Building/Mechanics Shop	SB-4(5-10)	0-7	07/01/2021	<5.01	<12.5		
Alea	SB-5(0-5)	2.5-3.5	07/01/2021	23.0	30.3 59.6		
	SB-3(3-10)	0-7	6/19/1009	10.7	30.0		050
	EPD-1 300011	2-4	6/10/1990			<25	<100
Former Fire Pond	FPD-2 300012 FPD-3 \$00013	2-4	6/19/1998			<25	<100
r offici r lie r offici	B5-2 5	25	8/17/2018			<25	170
	B5-18	18	8/17/2018			<25	<50
	SB-6(0-5)	3-4	07/01/2021	<5.77	8.72 J		
	SB-6(5-10)	8-9	07/01/2021	<7.29	<18.2		
Former Waste Mixing Area	SS-1	0-1	07/01/2021	13.2	175		
_	SS-2	0-1	07/01/2021	10.0	101		
	SS-3	0-1	07/01/2021	16.7	151		
Current Din Tank	SB-7(0-5)	3-4	06/30/2021	<7.05	6.19 J		
Current Dip Tank	SB-7(5-10)	8-9	06/30/2021	<8.52	<21.3		
	B6-3	3	8/17/2018			<26	58
Current Washdown Area	SB-8(0-5)	2-3	06/30/2021	38.5	92.4		
	SB-8(5-10)	8-9	06/30/2021	<6.28	<15.7		
Former Main Plant Drain	SB-10(0-5)	3-4	06/30/2021	<6.09	5.51 J		
r onner Main Flanc Drain	SB-10(5-10)	6.5-7.5	06/30/2021	5.93 J	49.9 J		
	SB-11(0-5)	3-4	06/30/2021	<6.99	<17.5		
Former PCP Din Tank	SB-11(5-10)	7-8	06/30/2021	<7.75	<19.4		
Former FOF Dip Talik	SB-12(0-5)	3.5-4.5	06/30/2021	64.6	119		
	SB-12(5-10)	8-9	06/30/2021	6.53 J	39.7		
	UST-1 SO0004	2-4	6/18/1998			<25	<100
	UST-2 SO0005	2-4	6/18/1998			<25	<100
	UST-3 SO0006	2-4	6/18/1998			246	<100
Former LIST Area	B3-6.5	6.5	8/17/2018			<28	<56
Former UST Area	B3-17.5	17.5	8/17/2018			<25	<50
	SB-13(0-5)	3-4	06/30/2021	<6.33	6.10 J		
	SB-13(5-10)	6.5-7.5	06/30/2021	6.06 J	37.3		
	SB-13(10-15)	13.5-14.5	06/30/2021	<6.27	<15.7		
	AST-1 SO0007	0-2	6/19/1998			<25	635
	AST-2 SO0009	0-2	6/19/1998			<25	291
AST Area (Current and Former)	SB-14(0-5)	3-4	06/30/2021	<5.62	11.4 J		
	SB-14(5-10)	7-8	06/30/2021	3.29 J	13.9 J		
Current Storm Line Discharge	B4-7	7	8/17/2018			<31	<62
Stocknilled Soil from Former Fire Pond	EDC_1	2_1	6/10/1008			<100	18 200

Notes:

mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram. 1.

2. Bold values indicate the compound was detected above method detection limits.

3. < = Analyte was not detected above the reporting limit shown.

 3. TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons.
 10. Strike-through results have been removed from the site.

 5. Shaded results exceed the MTCA Method A soil cleanup levels. Method A cleanup levels from 2023 CLARC update (Ecology, updated 2023).

 6. El Soil Concentration revised downward to residual saturation concentration. El Soil Concentrations from concentrations from Ecology (2017).

7. J = Result is estimated.

TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons.

8. -- = Not analyzed.

9.

Remedial Investigation Work Plan Buse Timber and Sales Inc. Page 1 of 1

1								1							1				-																
															Current														Stockpiled Soil						
							~ •								Washdown				Former PCP							107		Current Storm	from Former	Soil	Soil Pro	Soil tective of			
		Venicie F	Repair Shop		Current Lu	be Building/Mechanics	Shop Area			ormer Fire Pon	0		Former waste N	lixing Area	Area		Former Log Pond	Fill	Dip Tank			Former U	IST Area			ASI A	Area	Line	Fire Pond	Direct	Direct Gro	oundwater			
	B1-2.5	B1-6.5	B2-3	SB-1(0-5)	SB-4(0-5)	SB-4(5-10)	SB-5(5-10)	FPD-1	FPD-2	FPD-3	B5-2.5	B5-18	SB-6(0-5)	SB-6(5-10)	B6-3	SB-2(0-5)	SB-9(0-5)	SB-9(5-10)	FDT-2	UST-1	UST-2	UST-3	B3-6.5	B3-17.5	SB-13(5-10)	AST-2	AST-2	B4-7	FPS-1	Contact	Contact 13	degrees Soil	Method	Ecological Indir	cator (EI) Soil
Sample Location ID:								500011	500012	500013									500001	500004	500005	50000				200009	5000010		500014	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	C AC	Level	(mg/	kg)
Depth (feet):	2.5	6.5	3	2-3	3-4	6-7	6-7	2-4	2-4	2-4	2.5	18	3-4	8-9	3	4-5	3-4	8-9	4-6	2-4	2-4	2-4	6.5	17.5	6.5-7.5	0-2	2-4	7	2-4		Ň	(n	ng/kg)		
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH	by NWTPH-Gx	0/17/2010	0/1//2010	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	0/10/1990	0/19/1990	0/19/1990	0/17/2010	0/17/2010	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	0/17/2010	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	0/19/1990	0/10/1990	0/10/1990	0/10/1990	0/17/2010	0/1//2010	06/30/2021	0/19/1990	0/19/1990	0/17/2010	0/19/1990				Pi	ants Soil B	iota Wildlife
Gasoline	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<20	<20	<20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<20	<20	<20	-	-	-	<20	<20	-	<20				30		-
Naphtha Distillate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<20 <20	<20 <20	<20 <20	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	<20 <20	<20 <20	<20 <20	-	-	-	<20 <20	<20 <20	-	<20 <20	-	-	-	30 30		
PHC as Gasoline	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<20	<20	<20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<20	<20	<20	-	-	-	<20	<20	-	<20				30		
Non-PHC as Gasoline				-	-	-	-	<20	<20	<20	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	<20	<20	<20	-	-	-	<20	<20	-	< 20	-	-		30		
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	< 3.0 by EPA Method	 < 3.0 8260 in mg/kg 	<3.0	-	-	-		-	-	-	3.0	<3.0	-	-	< 3.0	- 1	-	-	-	-	-		<3.0	<3.0	-	-	-	<3.0	-				30	10	5,000
Acetone	0.23	< 0.05	0.38	0.312	<0.0738	<0.0770	0.0953	-	-	-	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.186	0.345	0.23	<0.0710	0.143	0.227	-	-	-	-	0.063	< 0.05	<0.263	-	-	< 0.05	-	72000	-	29			·
Acryionitrile Benzene	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.0284 0.00566	<0.0185 0.00107 J	<0.0193 0.00157	<0.0165		-	-	<0.005	<0.005	<0.0249 0.00128 J	<0.00276	<0.005	<0.00178	<0.0183	<0.0216 0.00216	<0.05	-	<0.05	-	<0.05	<0.005	<0.00527	<0.05	-	<0.05	-	320	18	0.027	-		
Bromobenzene	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.0284	<0.0185	<0.0193	<0.0165	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0249	<0.0345	<0.01	<0.0178	<0.0183	<0.0216	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0658	-	-	<0.01	-	640	-	0.56			·
Bromochloromethane	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	-0.00568	-0.00360	-0.00385	-0.00330	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	-	- 0.00680	<0.01	-0.00355	<0.00365	<0.00/33	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	-0.0132	-	-	<0.01	-				-		
Bromoform	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00568	< 0.0369	<0.0385	< 0.0330	_	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0499	<0.0689	<0.01	<0.0355	<0.0365	<0.00433	-	-		-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.132	-	-	<0.01	-	1600	130	0.36	_		
Bromomethane	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.0284	< 0.0185	< 0.0193	< 0.0165	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0249	< 0.0345	<0.01	<0.0178	< 0.0183	< 0.0216	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.0658	-	-	< 0.01	-	110	-	0.051			·
n-Butylbenzene sec-Butylbenzene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0284	<0.0185	<0.0193	<0.0165	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0249	<0.0345	<0.01	<0.0178	<0.0183	<0.0216	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0658 UJ <0.0658	-	-	<0.01	-	4000 8000	-	14 25			
tert-Butylbenzene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0114	<0.00738	<0.00770	<0.00659	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00997	<0.0138	<0.01	<0.00710	<0.00730	<0.00866	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0263	-	-	<0.01	-	8000	-	20	-		
Carbon Disulfide	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	-0.0114	-0.00729	-0.00770	-0.00650	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	-0.00007	-0.0120	<0.01	-0.00710	-0.00720		-	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	-0.0362	-	-	< 0.01	-						
Chlorobenzene	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00568	< 0.00738	<0.00385	< 0.000330	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00710	<0.00730	<0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0203	-	-	<0.01	-	1600	-	0.86	-		
Chlorodibromomethane	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00568	< 0.00369	< 0.00385	< 0.00330	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.00499	< 0.00689	< 0.01	< 0.00355	< 0.00365	< 0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.0132	-	-	< 0.01	-	1600	12	0.024	-		·
Chloroethane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0114	<0.00738	<0.00770	<0.00659	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00997 <0.00499	<0.0138	<0.01	<0.00/10 <0.00355	<0.00730	<0.00866	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0263	-	-	<0.01	-	800	32	0 074	-		
Chloromethane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0284	<0.0185	<0.0193	< 0.0165	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0249	<0.0345	<0.01	<0.0178	<0.0183	<0.0216	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0658	-		<0.01	-		-				
2-Chlorotoluene	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00568	<0.00369	< 0.00385	< 0.00330	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00499	< 0.00689	< 0.01	<0.00355	< 0.00365	<0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.0132	-	-	< 0.01	-	1600	-	1.9	-		
4-Chlorotoluene 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.0114	< 0.0369	<0.00770	< 0.00059	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0499	<0.0689	<0.01	<0.00710	<0.00730	< 0.0433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0263	-	-	<0.01	-		_		-		
1,2-Dibromoethane	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	<0.00568	< 0.00369	<0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	<0.005	< 0.005	< 0.00499	<0.00689	<0.005	<0.00355	< 0.00365	< 0.00433	-	-	-	-	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.0132	-	-	< 0.005	-	720	0.5 0	.00027			·
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0114	<0.00738	<0.00770	<0.00659	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00997	<0.0138	<0.01	<0.00710	<0.00730	<0.00866	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0263	-	-	<0.01	-	7200	-	7	-		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.0114	<0.00738	<0.00770	<0.00659	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.00997	<0.0138	<0.01	< 0.00710	<0.00730	<0.00866	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0263	-	-	<0.01	-	5600	190	1.2		20	J
Dichlorodifluoromethane	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00568	<0.00369	< 0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00355	< 0.00365	< 0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.0132	-	-	< 0.01	-	16000	190	38	-		
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00568	< 0.00369	<0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00355	< 0.00365	<0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0132	-	-	<0.01	-	480	11	0.041	-		
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.00568	< 0.00369	< 0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00355	< 0.00365	< 0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.0132	-	-	<0.01	-	4000	-	0.046			·
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene trans-1 2-Dichloroethene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00568	<0.00369	<0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00355	<0.00365	<0.00433	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0132	-	-	<0.01	-	160 1600	-	0.079	-		
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.0114	<0.00738	<0.00770	<0.00659	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.00997	<0.0138	<0.01	< 0.00710	< 0.00730	<0.00866	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.0263	-	-	<0.01	-	3200	27	0.025	-	- 70	0
1,1-Dichloropropene	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00568	< 0.00369	< 0.00385	< 0.00330	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.00499	< 0.00689	< 0.01	< 0.00355	< 0.00365	< 0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.0132	-	-	< 0.01	-	-	-				
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00114	<0.00738	<0.00770	< 0.00859	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.00997	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00710	<0.00730	< 0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0263	-	-	<0.01	-	2400	10	0.002	_		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0114	<0.00738	<0.00770	<0.00659	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.00997	<0.0138	<0.01	<0.00710	< 0.00730	< 0.00866	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0263	-	-	<0.01	-	-	-		-		·
2,2-Dichloropropane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00568	<0.00369	<0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00355	<0.00365	<0.00433	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0132	-	-	<0.01	-	-	-	-	-		
Ethylbenzene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.00568	< 0.00369	<0.00385	<0.00330	<0.1 UJ	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00499	< 0.00689	<0.01	< 0.00355	0.0291	<0.00433	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0132	<0.1	-	<0.01	-	8000	-	5.9	-		
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.0568	< 0.0369	<0.0385	< 0.0330	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0499	<0.0689	<0.01	< 0.0355	< 0.0365	<0.0433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.132 UJ	-	-	< 0.01	-	80	13	0.012			
Isopropylbenzene	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	< 0.00568	< 0.00369	< 0.00385	<0.00330	_	-	-	< 0.03	<0.03	< 0.00499	<0.00689	<0.03	< 0.00355	0.0024 J	< 0.00433	-	-		-	< 0.03	<0.03	<0.0132	-	-	<0.03	-		-		_		
p-Isopropyltoluene	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.0114	<0.00738	0.00644 J	0.0393	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00997	<0.0138	< 0.01	0.00721	0.0849	0.00717 J	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.0263	-	-	< 0.01	-		-				·
2-Butanone (MEK) Methylene Chloride	<0.15	<0.05	<0.17	0.387 J+ <0.0568	<0.0369	<0.0385	0.179 J+ <0.0330	-	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0.249 J+ <0.0499	0.447 J+ <0.0689	<0.13	0.158 J+ <0.0355	<0.0365	<0.0433	-	-	-	-	<0.05	<0.05	0.374 J+ <0.132	-	-	<0.05	-	48000	94	20	-		
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK)	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.05	<0.0568	< 0.0369	<0.0385	< 0.0330	-	-	-	< 0.05	<0.05	< 0.0499	<0.0689	<0.05	<0.0355	< 0.0365	<0.0433	-	-	-	-	< 0.05	<0.05	<0.132	-	-	<0.05	-	6400	-	2.7	-		
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00227	<0.00148	< 0.00154	< 0.00132	<0.5 UJ	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.00199	<0.00276	<0.01	<0.00142	< 0.00146	< 0.00173	<0.5	-	<0.5	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.00527	<0.5	-	< 0.01	-		560	0.1			
n-Propylbenzene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0204	0.00226 J	0.00305 J	< 0.00659	_	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.00997	<0.0343	<0.01	<0.00710	<0.00730	<0.00866	-	-		-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0030	-	-	<0.01	-	8000	-	16	_		
Styrene	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.0284	< 0.0185	< 0.0193	< 0.0165	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0249	<0.0345	< 0.01	<0.0178	<0.0183	< 0.0216	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.0658	-	-	< 0.01	-	16000	-	2.2	- (300	·
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.00568	<0.00369	<0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00355	<0.00365	<0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0132	-	-	<0.01	-	2400 1600	38 (5 (0.0098	-		
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	-	-	-	<0.00568	< 0.00369	< 0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.00499	<0.00689	-	<0.00355	< 0.00365	< 0.00433	-	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.0132	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Tetrachloroethene	<0.01	<0.01 <0.01	<0.01 <0.01	<0.00568	<0.00369	<0.00385	<0.00330	 <∩1!!!	-	-	<0.01	<0.01 <0.01	<0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00355	<0.00365	<0.00433	<01	-		-	<0.01	<0.01 <0.01	<0.0132	 <0 1	-	<0.01	-	480 6400	480	0.05	-	200	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0284	<0.0185	<0.0193	<0.0165	-0.1 00	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0249	<0.0345	<0.01	<0.0178	<0.0183	<0.0245	-	-	-0.1	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0255 UJ	-0.1	-	<0.01	-	64		0.2	- 1	- 21	J
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.0284	<0.0185	<0.0193	<0.0165	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0249	<0.0345	< 0.01	<0.0178	<0.0183	< 0.0216	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.0658 UJ	-	-	< 0.01	-	800	34	0.56		20	J
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.00568	<0.00369	<0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00355	<0.00365	<0.00433				-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0132	-	-	<0.01	-	320	 18	0.017			
Trichloroethene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.00227	<0.00148	< 0.00154	< 0.00132	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.00199	<0.00276	<0.01	<0.00142	< 0.00146	< 0.00173	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.00527	-	-	<0.01	-	40	12	0.025	-		
Trichlorofluoromethane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00568	<0.00369	<0.00385	<0.00330	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00355	<0.00365	<0.00433	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.0132	-	-	<0.01	-	24000	- 0.0063 0.0	23	-		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.0204	<0.00738	0.0166	0.00405 J	-	-	-	0.013	<0.01	<0.00997	<0.0343	<0.01	<0.00710	0.0183 0.00387 J	<0.0210			-		<0.01	<0.01	<0.0056	-	-	<0.01	-	800	0.0003	1.3	-		
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	-	-	-	0.0038 J	< 0.00738	0.00314 J	< 0.00659	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.00997	0.00516 J	-	<0.00710	< 0.00730	0.00327 J	-	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.0263	-	-	-	-		-		-		-
1,3,5-1 rimetnyidenzene Vinvl Chloride	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00568	<0.00738	0.00339 J <0.00385	<0.00659	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.00997 <0.00499	<0.00689	<0.01	<0.00/10	<0.00730 <0.00365	<0.00866	-				<0.01	<0.01	<0.0263	-	-	<0.01 <0.01	-	240	0.67	1.3 0.0017	-		
Yvlenes Total	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.0148	0.00638	0.0345	0.00510	031			<0.03	<0.03	0.00299	<0.0179	<0.03	<0.00923	<0.00040	0.00279	<0.1		<0.1		<0.03	<0.03	<0.0342	<0.1		<0.03	_	32000		44		1	. . '

15. Strike-through results have been removed from the site.

<= Analyte was not detected above the reporting limit shown.
 Soil cleanup levels from the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Regulation chapter 17-340 WAC (August 2023 update).
 El Soil Concentration revised downward to residual saturation concentration. El Soil Concentrations from concentrations from Ecology, 2017).
 -= Value not available.
 Use suit is estimated.
 UI = The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 J = Result is estimated and may be biased high.

 Xylenes, Total
 <0.03</th>
 <0.03</th>
 <0.03</th>

 Notes:
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 <t

Table 2 Soil Results: VOCs and TPH-Gx Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Table 3 Soil Results: Chlorinated Phenols and Metals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Sample Location ID: Depth (feet):	B1-2.5	Vehicle Re B1-6.5 6.5	epair Shop B2-3 3	SB-1(0-5)	Former Lo SB-2(0-5) 4-5	g Pond Fill SB-3(5-10) 8.5-9.5	Current SB-7(0-5)	Dip Tank SB-7(5-10) 6-7	AST Area SB-9(5-10) 8-9	FDT-1 SO0002 2-4	FDT-2 SO0001 4-6	FDT-3 SO0003 2-4	Fo SB-11(0-5) 3-4	rmer PCP Dip T SB-11(5-10) 7-8	ank SB-12(0-5) 3.5-4.5	SB-12(5-10) 8-9	SB-12A(0-5) 3.5-4.5	SB-12A(5-10) 8-9	Form SS-1	er Waste Mixing SS-2	Area SS-3	Natural Backaround	Soil Method B Direct Contact Noncancer (mg/kg)	Soil Method B Direct Contact Cancer (mg/kg)	Soil Protective of Groundwater Vadose @ 13 degrees C (mg/kg)	Ecologi	ical Indicator (Concentration:	EI) Soil s
Date:	8/17/2018	8/17/2018	8/17/2018	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	06/30/2021	06/30/2021	07/01/2021	6/19/1998	6/19/1998	6/19/1998	06/30/2021	06/30/2021	06/30/2021	06/30/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	Concentrations				Plants	Soil Biota	Wildlife
Chlorinated Phenols by EPA Method 8270	E and 8151A in	mg/kg																										
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol				<1.08	<0.399	<1.11	<0.587	<0.709	<0.445				< 0.582	< 0.645	<0.588	<0.675	<1.41	<0.670	<1.31	<0.690	<1.17		8000		22			
2-Chlorophenol				<1.08	< 0.399	<1.11	<0.587	<0.709	<0.445				<0.582	< 0.645	<0.588	<0.675	<1.41	<0.670	<1.31	<0.690	<1.17		400		0.47			1 -
2,4-Dichlorophenol				<1.08	< 0.399	<1.11	<0.587	<0.709	<0.445				<0.582	< 0.645	<0.588	<0.675	<1.41	<0.670	<1.31	<0.690	<1.17		240		0.33			1 -
Pentachlorophenol				<1.08	< 0.399	<1.11	<0.587	<0.709	<0.445	<0.05	0.04	<0.05	<0.582	< 0.645	<0.588	<0.675	<1.41	<0.670	<1.31	<0.690	<1.17		400	3	0.016			1 -
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol				<1.08	< 0.399	<1.11	<0.587	<0.709	<0.445	<0.02	< 0.005	<0.015	<0.582	< 0.645	<0.588	<0.675	<1.41	<0.670	<1.31	<0.690	<1.17		80	91	0.092		10	1 -
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol				<1.08	< 0.399	<1.11	<0.587	<0.709	<0.445				<0.582	< 0.645	<0.588	<0.675	<1.41	<0.670	<1.31	<0.690	<1.17		8000		58	4	9	<u> </u>
Metals in mg/kg																			-									
Arsenic	35	16	41	18.4																		7	24	0.67	2.9	10 (As[V])	60 (As[V])	7 (As[III])
Barium				51.4																			16000		1600	500		102
Cadmium	<0.29	<0.24	<0.30	<1.62																		1	80		0.69	4	20	14
Hexavalent Chromium			<5.0																				19	240	0.38			1
Chromium	67	47	70	66.7												-						48	120000		480000	42	42	67
Lead	57	13	31	10.4												-						24			3000	50	500	118
Selenium				0.809 J										-									400		5.2	1	70	0.3
Silver				<0.808										-									400		14	2		- 1
Mercury	0.034	<0.020	0.09	0.0765																		0.07			2.1	0.3	0.1	5.5

 Notes:

 1. mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram.

 2. PCP = Pentachlorophenol

 3. Bold values indicate the compound was detected above method detection limits.

 4. <= Analyte was not detected above the reporting limit shown.</td>

5. -- = Value not available.

6. Shaded results exceed applicable screening level.

J = Result is estimated.
 Soil cleanup levels from the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Regulation chapter 17-340 WAC (August 2023 update).
 El Soil Concentration revised downward to residual saturation concentration. El Soil Concentrations from concentrations from Ecology, 2017).
 AST = above-ground storage tank

Table 4 Soil Results: Dioxins/Furans Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

	Former Lo	og Pond Fill	Currer Building/Mecha	it Lube nics Shop Area	Former Main Plant Drain	Former PC	P Dip Tank	Former F	ire Pond	Form	er Waste Mixing	Area	Former Burn Area	Current Washdown Area			
Sample Location ID:	SB-2(0-5)	SB-3(5-10)	SB-4(0-5)	SB-5(0-5)	SB-10(0-5)	SB-11(0-5)	SB-12(0-5)	B5-2.5	B5-18	SS-1	SS-2	SS-3	FBA-1 SO0015	B6-3	Regional Background	MTCA Method B Cleanup	TEE Site- Specific Evaluation
Depth (feet):	4-5	8.5-9.5	3-4	2.5-3.5	3-4	3-4	3.5-4.5	2.5	18	0-1	0-1	0-1	1-4	3	Dioxins/Furans	Level	Screening
Date:	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	06/30/2021	06/30/2021	06/30/2021	8/17/2018	8/17/2018	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	6/19/1998	8/17/2018			Level
Dioxins/Furans by EPA Method 8290A in pg/g	-		-				•				•						
2,3,7,8-TCDD	<0.12	<0.11	<0.12	<0.10	<0.13	0.13 J	<0.12	0.294 JK	0.323 JK	0.151 J	<0.12	0.093 J	< 0.059	<0.214			
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	<0.12	<0.12	<0.10	0.33 J	<0.13	0.38 J	0.3 J	1.4 J	< 0.0599	2.25 J	2.26 J	1.28 J	0.1	0.271 JK			
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	<0.11	<0.14	<0.13	0.28 J	0.14 J	0.28 J	0.29 J	1.58 J	< 0.0445	2.70 J	3.45 J	1.25 J	0.14	<0.180			
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	0.618 J	0.14 J	0.12 J	1.28 J	<0.17	4.53 J	0.46 J	14.4	0.0939 JK	30.2	34.7	14.5	0.33	<0.189			
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	0.352 J	0.23 J	<0.11	0.59 J	0.29 J	0.72 J	0.42 J	4.04	0.0859 JK	9.09	6.21	4.12 J	0.37	<0.180			
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	3.82 J	2.79 J	1.56 J	8.58	5.48	35.7	5.49	126	3.06 J	217	335	106	3.5	2.61 J			
OCDD	16.7	34.7	14.3	62.1	176	121	48.1	589	44.9	1140	1370	441	62	31.8			
2,3,7,8-TCDF	0.27 J	<0.11	0.17 J	0.61 J	<0.29	1.96 J	1.91 J	0.808	0.593 J	1.34 J	2.72	1.25 J	< 0.053	0.499 JK			
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	<0.13	<0.12	<0.12	0.25 J	<0.13	0.52 J	0.43 J	0.704 JK	0.0806 JK	0.929 J	4.78 J	1.57 J	<0.048	0.264 J			
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	<0.12	<0.11	<0.11	0.46 J	0.13 J	0.72 J	0.74 J	2.01 J	< 0.0480	1.09 J	4.3 J	1.39 J	< 0.081	0.811 J			
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	0.12 J	<0.11	<0.12	0.31 J	<0.11	0.75 J	0.37 J	3.72	0.166 JK	3.89 J	19.1	<5.7 UK	< 0.076	0.243 J+			
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	< 0.092	< 0.094	<0.11	0.33 J	<0.10	0.67 J	0.3 J	3.94	0.0856 JK	4.89 J	9.95	3.86 J	< 0.041	0.281 J+			
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	< 0.096	< 0.099	<0.11	0.45 J	<0.10	0.747 J	0.32 J	8.89	0.064 J	<3.8 UK	5.98	<2.3 UK	< 0.044	0.38 J+			
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	<0.11	<0.11	<0.13	0.19 J	<0.12	<0.11	<0.14	0.988 J	0.098 JK	0.141 J	1.33 J	0.44 J	< 0.083	0.15 J+			
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	2.33 J	0.124 J	0.32 J	3.07 J	0.997 J	35.4	1.14 J	294	0.759 J	106	248	64.5	0.6	0.492 J+			
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	<0.12	<0.12	<0.13	0.27 J	0.16 J	0.81 J	0.12 J	6.41	0.11 JK	3.92 J	10.3	2.63 J	< 0.036	< 0.0791			
OCDF	1.89 J	0.14 J	0.98 J	3.81 J	72.2	32.3	2.63 J	669	7.13	123	197	49.8	1.7	0.867 J+			
Total HxCDD	3.63 J	1.93 J	0.61 J	5.79	2.61 J	20.5	4.17 J			198	131	85.2	5.4			160	
Total Mammal TEQ	0.364	0.244	0.217	1.07	0.399	2.48	1.09	10.8	0.538	11.8	18.5	6.66	0.30	0.853	5.2	13	
Chlorinated dibenzofurans - Mammal TEQ	0.0983 J	0.0463 J	0.0629 J	0.369 J	0.110 J	1.02	0.545 J	5.66	0.12	2.71	7.98	2.11	0.034	0.412			2
Chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins - Mammal TEQ	0.266	0.197	0.154	0.699	0.289	1.46	0.546	5.13	0.417	9.11	10.5	4.55	0.27	0.441			2
Chlorinated dibenzofurans - Avian TEQ	0.387 J	0.139 J	0.258 J	1.26 J	0.322 J	3.32	2.81 J	7.71	0.676	4.72	13.7	4.30	0.09	1.45			2
Chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins - Avian TEQ	0.170	0.149	0.123	0.481	0.190	0.689	0.431	2.51	0.371	4.08	3.93	2.14	0.19	0.398			2

Notes:

1. pg/g = Picograms per gram.

2. Bold values indicate the compound was detected above method detection limits.

3. < = Analyte was not detected above the detection limit shown.

4. Shaded results exceed the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method B Cancer soil cleanup level and the natural background concentration for dioxins/furans.

5. Boxed results are above the Washington State Department of Ecology Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation (TEE) Site-Specific Evaluation screening level for ecological risk assessment (WAC 173-340-7490 through -7494; July 2016).

6. Soil cleanup levels from the MTCA Method B Cancer 173-340 WAC (January 2023 update).

7. Natural background concentration for dioxins/furans from Washington Ecology's technical memorandum Natural Background for Dioxins/Furans in WA Soil (August 2010).

8. Mammal toxicity equivalency quotient (TEQ) calculated using 2,3,7,8-TCDD toxicity equivalency factors (TEFs) from The 2005 World Health Organization Re-evaluation of Human and Mammalian Toxic Equivalency Factors for Dioxins and Dioxin-like Compounds (Van den Berg et. al., 2005). Not detected values added at 1/2 the detection limit.

9. Avian TEQ calculated using 2,3,7,8-TCDD TEFs from Toxic Equivalency Factors (TEFs) for PCBs, PCDDs, PCDFs, for Humans and Wildlife (Van den Berg et al., 1998). Not detected values added at 1/2 the detection limit.

10. -- = Value not available.

11. J = Result is estimated.

12. UK = Estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC). Diphenylether interference present caused dibenzofuran detected to become a "non-detect" with an elevated detection limit.

13. J+ = Result is estimated and may be biased high.

14. JK = The ion abundance ratio between the primary and secondary ions were outside of theoretical acceptance limits. The concentration of this analyte should be considered as an estimate.

Table 5 Groundwater Results Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

		Vehicle R	epair Shop		Fo	ormer Log Pond I	- 11	Current Building/Mechar	Lube hics Shop Area		Former Fire Pon	d	Former Waste Mixing Area	Current Dip Tank	Current Washdown Area	Former Mair	n Plant Drain		Former PC	CP Dip Tank		Former UST Area	Groundwater	Ground Water
	MSA-1 GW0014	MSA-2 GW0015	B2	SB-1W	SB-2W	SB-3W	SB-9W	SB-4W	SB-5W	FPD-1 GW0011	FPD-2 GW0012	FPD-3 GW0013	SB-6W	SB-7W	SB-8W	SB-10W	NSD-1 GW0017	FDT-4 GW0001	FDT-6 GW0003	SB-11W	SB-12A-W	SB-13W	MTCA Method A Cleanup Level	Method B Target Cleanup
Sample Location ID:	2-6	2-6		15.2	12.7	12.3	21.3	6.8	64	2-6	3-7	4-8	11.7	9.7	29	16.5	3.7	3-7	4-8	6.8	22	23.5	- (µg/r)	(µg/L)
Deput (teet).	6/18/1998	6/19/1998	8/17/2018	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	6/18/1998	6/19/1998	6/19/1998	07/01/2021	06/30/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	6/18/1998	6/19/1998	6/19/1998	06/30/2021	07/01/2021	06/30/2021		
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) by NWTP	H-Dx in µg/L																						· · · · ·	- -
Diesel Range Organics	-	-	450	208	1110	541	76.6 J	983	1450	-			860 J-	2840 J+	1060	125 J	-		-	1460	152 J	122 J	500	-
Residual Range Organics	<250	_	400	240 J	1430	004	×250	1230	1020	<250	<250	<250	6/3 J-	2330	1440	240 J	<250		-	1/40	222 J	~250	500	_
Heavy Fuel Oil	<500	-			-	-			-	<500	<500	<500	-			-	<500			-			500	-
Jet Fuel as Jet A	<250	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	<250	<250	<250	-		-	-	<250		-	-	-		500	-
Kerosene Lube Oil	<250	_	-	-	-	_	-		-	<250	<250	<250 <500	-		-	-	<250 <500	-	-	_	-		500 500	-
Mineral Spirits	<250	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	<250	<250	<250	-	-	-	_	<250	-	-	_	_		500	_
PHC as Diesel	792 J	-	-		-		-		-	<500	5010	3020	-		-	-	1900		-	-	-		500	-
Non-PHC as Diesel	<500	-	-		-	-	-		-	1110	<500	<500	-		-	-	<500			-	-		500	-
Diesel Range Organics		-		<200	163 J	288	<200	195 J	127 J				92.5 J-	140 J	91.8 J	<200				196 J	<200	<200	500	-
Residual Range Organics	-	-		<250	<250	148 J	<250	109 J	<250	-			<250 UJ	<250	<250	<250	-		-	165 J	<250	<250	500	-
TPH by NWTPH-Gx in µg/L	050	1		1				, I		050	0.50	050	<u>г т</u>				050							
Gasoline Naphtha Distillate	<250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<250	<250	<250	-		-	-	<250	-	-	_	-		800	_
Jet Fuel as JP-4	<250	-			-	-	-		-	<250	<250	<250	-			-	<250		-	-	-		800	-
PHC as Gasoline	<250	-	-		-	-	-		-	<250	<250	<250	-		-	-	<250		-	-	-		800	-
Non-PHC as Gasoline	<250	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	<250	260	<250	-		-	-	<250	-	-	-	-		800	-
Total Metals in ug/L		-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-			-	-		-	-		-	000	-
Arsenic		-	77		-	-	-		-				-			-				-				5
Mercury	-	-	< 0.20		-	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-			-				2
Chromium	-	-	<1.0	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-				5
Lead	-	_	<1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-		-	15
Chlorinated Phenols by EPA Method 8270E in	µg/L							· · ·			T	I	· ·			I			T		I	I	· 	·
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol 2-Chlorophenol	-	-	-	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	-	-	-	-		-	<10.0 UJ	-	-	-	-	-	<10.0 UJ	<10.0		-	1600
2,4-Dichlorophenol	-	_	-	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	-	-	-	_	-	-	<10.0 UJ	-	_	-	-	_	<10.0 UJ	<10.0		-	40
Pentachlorophenol	-	-	-	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0		-		-		-	<10.0 UJ	-	-	-	<0.5	<0.5	58.4 J	<10.0			1
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	-	-		<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0		-				-	<10.0 UJ		-	-	<0.5	<0.5	0.869 J	<10.0			7.95
Tetrachlorophenols (2.3.4.5 and 2.3.4.6)	-	_	-	<10.0		<10.0	<10.0	-	-	-	_	-	-	<10.0 UJ 	-	_	-	<0.5	<0.5	2.07 J	<10.0		-	
PCB-1016		-	<0.10	-	-	-	-		-	-			-		-	-	-		-	-				-
PCB-1221	-	-	< 0.10		-	-	-		-				-			-	-		-	-				-
PCB-1232 PCB-1242	-	-	<0.10	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	_			-	_
PCB-1248	-	-	<0.10		-	-	-		-				-			-	-		-	-	-			-
PCB-1254	-	-	< 0.10		-	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-			-	-			-
PCB-1260 PCB-1268	-	-	<0.10		-	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-			_
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by 8260D	in µg/L	_	40.10			_	-	-	-		_				-	_			-	_		-		
Acetone	<20	40	<25	<1.00 UJ	-	-	-		-	-			-		-	-	<20			-	-	3.53 J		7,200
Acrylonitrile	<0.5	<0.5	<10	<0.500	-	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-0.5		-	-	-	<0.500	-	0.081
Bromobenzene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.500	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	<0.5	-	-	_	-	<0.500	-	64
Bromodichloromethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	<0.5		-	-	-	<0.100		7
Bromoform	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<1.00	-	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	<0.5		-	-	-	<1.00	-	55
n-Butvlbenzene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	< 0.500	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	<0.5	-	_	_	-	<0.500	-	400
sec-Butylbenzene	<2	<2	<2.0	<0.500	-	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	<2		-	-	-	0.231 J		800
tert-Butylbenzene	<2	<2	<2.0	<0.200	-	-	-		-	-			-		-	-	<2		-	-	-	<0.200		800
Carbon Tetrachionde Chlorobenzene	<0.5	< 0.5	<2.0	< 0.200	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	<0.5	-	_	_	-	<0.200	-	100
Chlorodibromomethane	<0.5	<0.5	-	<0.100	-	-			-		-		-			-	<0.5			-		<0.100		5
Chloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	< 0.200	-	-	-	-	-		-		-		-	-	<0.5	-	-	-	-	<0.200		-
Chloromethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	<0.5	-	-	-	-	<0.100	-	14
2-Chlorotoluene	<2	<2	<2.0	<0.100	-	-			-		-		-			-	<2			-		<0.100		160
4-Chlorotoluene	<2	<2	<2.0	< 0.200	-	-	-	-	-		-		-		-	-	<2	-	-	-		< 0.200		160
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	<2 ⊘	<2	<10	<1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	<2	-	-	-		<1.00	-	0.144
Dibromomethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.200	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		-	_	<0.5	-	_	_	-	<0.200	-	0.05
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.200	-	-			-				-			-	<0.5		-	-		<0.200		600
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.200	-	-	-	-	-				-		-	-	<0.5	-	-	-		<0.200	-	- 75
Dichlorodifluoromethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.200	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_		-	_	<0.5	-	-	_	-	<0.100	-	1.600
1.1-Dichloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	-			-	-	-		-				<0.5		-		-	<0.100		7.68

Please see notes at end of table.

Table 5 Groundwater Results Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

								_					_		Current									Ground
		Vehicle F	enair Shon		F	ormer I oa Pond	Fill	Curre Building/Mech	nt Lube anics Shop Area		Former Fire Pon	d	Former Waste Mixing Area	Current Dip Tank	Washdown Area	Former Mair	Plant Drain		Former PC	CP Din Tank		Former UST Area	Groundwater	Water
							T													1			- MTCA Method	J Method B
	MSA-1	MSA-2	B2	SB-1W	SB-2W	SB-3W	SB-9W	SB-4W	SB-5W	FPD-1	FPD-2	FPD-3	SB-6W	SB-7W	SB-8W	SB-10W	NSD-1	FDT-4	FDT-6	SB-11W	SB-12A-W	SB-13W	L evel	Cleanup
Sample Location ID:	GW0014	GW0015						-		GW0011	GW0012	GW0013					GW0017	GW0001	GW0003		-		(µg/L)	Level
Depth (feet):	2-6	2-6		15.2	12.7	12.3	21.3	6.8	6.4	2-6	3-7	4-8	11.7	9.7	2.9	16.5	3-7	3-7	4-8	6.8	22	23.5	-	(µg/L)
Date:	6/18/1998	6/19/1998	8/17/2018	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	6/18/1998	6/19/1998	6/19/1998	07/01/2021	06/30/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	6/18/1998	6/19/1998	6/19/1998	06/30/2021	07/01/2021	06/30/2021	-	
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by 8260D	in µg/L, conti	nued																	,	<u></u>	<u></u>	×	<u></u>	
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	-			-							-	<0.5			-		<0.100		4.81
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100		-			-							-	<0.5			-	-	<0.100		7.00
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	-			-				-			-	<0.5			-	-	<0.100		16
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	< 0.200	-	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	< 0.5		-	-	-	< 0.200		100
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.200	-	-			-		-		-			-	<0.5		-	-	-	<0.200		5
1,1-Dichloropropene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100		-					-					-	< 0.5		-	-	-	<0.100		160
cis-1 3-Dichloropropene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.200	-	_				-	-		-			-	<0.5			-	-	<0.200		0.438
trans-1 3-Dichloropropene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	_	-		-						-	_	<0.5		-	_	-	<0.100		0.430
2.2-Dichloropropane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	_			-		-		-			_	<0.5		-	_	-	<0.100		_
di-Isopropyl Ether	-	-		< 0.0400					-			-				-	-			-		< 0.0400		-
Ethylbenzene	1.8	< 0.5	<2.0	<0.100		-										-	< 0.5			-		<0.100		700
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	<2	<2	<2.0	<1.00		-			-		-		-			-	<2			-		<1.00		0.561
Isopropylbenzene	<2	<2	<2.0	<0.100		-			-							-	<2			-		0.544		800
p-Isopropyltoluene	<2	<2	<2.0	< 0.200		-						-	-			-	<2			-		0.193 J		-
2-Butanone (MEK)	<20	<20	<10	<1.00					-			-				-	<20			-		<1.00		4,800
Methylene Chloride	<1	<1	<5.0	<1.00		-			-			-				-	<1			-		<1.00		5
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK)	<20	<20	<10	<1.00	-				-		-	-	-			-	<20		-	-		<1.00		640
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	-	-	<2.0	<0.0400								-				-	-			-		<0.0400		24.3
Naphthalene	<2	<2	<2.0	<0.500	-				-		-	-	-			-	<2		-	-		1.94		160
n-Propyldenzene Styropo	<0.5	<2	<2.0	<0.200	-		-		-		-	-	-		-	-	<2	-	-	-	-	0.700	-	100
1 1 1 2 Totrachloraothana	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.500	-	-				-		-	-			-	<0.5			-		<0.500		1.69
1 1 2 2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	_	-		-			_			-	_	<0.5		-	_		<0.100		0.210
1.1.2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	-0.5		-2.0	<0.100	-	_			-		-	_	-			_	-0.5		-	_		<0.100		240.000
Tetrachloroethene	<0.5	< 0.5	<2.0	<0.100		-			-		-	-				-	<0.5			-		<0.100		5
Toluene	< 0.5	<0.5	<2.0	< 0.200		-			-			-				-	< 0.5			-		0.204		640
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	<2	<2	<2.0	< 0.500								-				-	<2			-		< 0.500		6.4
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<2	<2	<2.0	< 0.500	-				-			-				-	<2			-		< 0.500		15
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	-			-		-	-	-			-	<0.5		-	-		<0.100		200
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	-			-		-	-	-			-	<0.5		-	-		<0.100		3
Trichloroethene	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	< 0.0400	-				-			-	-			-	<0.5			-		< 0.0400		4
Trichlorofluoromethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.100	-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-	-	< 0.5		-	-		<0.100		2,400
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	< 0.500	-	-			-		-	-	-			-	<0.5		-	-		< 0.500		0.0004
1,2,4- I rimethylbenzene	8	<2	<2.0	<0.200		-					-					-	<2		-	-		<0.200		80
1,2,5-Trimethylbenzene		-	-2.0	<0.200	-	-			-		-		-			-				-		0.942		00
Vinyl Chloride	<0.5	<0.5	<0.20	<0.200	-	_				-	-		-			-	<0.5			-		<0.044		00
Xylenes Total	11	<0.5	-0.20	<0.260	-	_			-		-		-			_	<0.5		-	_	-	0.204		1.600
Bromochloromethane	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.200		-										-	<0.5			-		<0.200		-
Carbon Disulfide	< 0.5	0.6	<2.0	< 0.500		-			-							-	1.5			-	-	0.587		800
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-Butene	-	-	-	<0.200	-	-		-	-		-		-			-	-		-	-	-	<0.200	-	-
2-Hexanone	<20	<20	<10	<1.00	-		-		-		-	-	-		-	-	<20		-	-	-	<1.00	-	40
n-Hexane	-	-	-	<0.200	-	-	-	-	-						-	-	-		-	-		0.148 J		480
lodomethane	-	-	-	< 0.500	-	-	-	-	-						-	-	-		-	-		< 0.500		-
Vinvl Acetate		-	-	<0.500	-	-			-	-			-						I		-	<0.500		800

Vinyl Acetate Please see notes at end of table.

Table 5 Groundwater Results Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

		Vehicle R	lepair Shop		Fr	ormer Log Pond	Fill	Curre Building/Mecha	nt Lube anics Shop Area		Former Fire Por	ıd	Former Waste Mixing Area	Current Dip Tank	Current Washdown Area	Former Main	Plant Drain		Former PC	:P Dip Tank		Former UST Area	Groundwater	Ground r Water
	MSA-1 GW0014	MSA-2 GW0015	B2	SB-1W	SB-2W	SB-3W	SB-9W	SB-4W	SB-5W	FPD-1 GW0011	FPD-2 GW0012	FPD-3 GW0013	SB-6W	SB-7W	SB-8W	SB-10W	NSD-1 GW0017	FDT-4 GW0001	FDT-6 GW0003	SB-11W	SB-12A-W	SB-13W	A Cleanup Level	Target Cleanup
Sample Location ID:	0.0	0.0		45.0	40.7	40.0	04.0			0.0	0.7	4.0	44.7	0.7	0.0	40.5	0.7	0.7	4.0		00	00.5	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
Depth (feet):	2-b 6/19/1009	2-6		15.2	12.7	12.3	21.3	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	2-6	3-7	4-8	11./	9.7	2.9	16.5	3-7	3-7	4-8 6/10/1009	06/20/2021	22	23.5	-	
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCe) but	v 8270 in un/l	0/13/1330	0/11/2010	0110112021	0110112021	01101/2021	0110112021	0110112021	0110112021	0/10/1330	0/13/1330	0/13/1330	0110112021	00/00/2021	0110112021	01101/2021	0/10/1350	0/13/1330	0/13/1330	00/00/2021	0110112021	00/30/2021	<u></u>	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		-	<2.0		-	-				-			-		-		-		-		-			15.1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-				-				-			600
1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1.4-Dichlorobenzene		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		-		-			- 75
1-Methylnaphthalene	-	_	<2.0		_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	1.51
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	I	-	<2.0		-	-				-			-								-			1600
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		-		-			7.95
2,4-Dichlorophenol 2 4-Dimethylphenol		_	<2.0		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	48
2,4-Dinitrophenol	I	-	<10		-	-			-	-			-								-			32
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		-	<2.0		-	-			-	-			-		-		-		-	-	-			0.129
2,6-Dinitrotoluene 2,Chloropaphthalene		_	<2.0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	0.129
2-Chlorophenol	-	-	<2.0	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-		-	-	-	-	_	-	-	40
2-Methylnaphthalene		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		-		-			32
2-Methylphenol 2-Nitroaniline	-	-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-	800
2-Nitrophenol		_	<2.0		_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
3+4-methylphenol	- 1	-	<2.0		-	-				-			-								-			2400
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		-		-			1.28
4-Bromophenyi phenyi ether 4-Chloro-3-methylobenol	-	_	<2.0		-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1600
4-Chloroaniline		-	<2.0		-	-			-	-			-								-			0.438
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-				-				-			-
4-Nitrophenol	-	-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-	- 490
Acenaphthylene	-	_	<2.0		_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	400
Anthracene		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		-		-			2400
Benz(a)anthracene	- 1	-	<2.0		-	-			-	-			-				-				-			- 0.2
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		_	<2.0		_	_	_	-	-	_	_		_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-		-
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		-	<2.0		-	-				-			-								-			-
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		-	<2.0		-	-	-			-	-		-				-				-			-
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane		_	<2.0		-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	48
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	- n	-	<2.0		-	-				-			-								-			0.0398
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate		-	<2.0		-	-			-	-			-		-		-		-		-			6
Dis(2-Ethylnexyl)adipate Butyl benzyl obthalate		_	 <2.0		-	_	-		-	_	-		-		-	-	-		-		-			400
Carbazole		-	<2.0		-	-	-			-	-		-				-				-			-
Chrysene		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-				-				-			-
Di-n-butyl phthalate	- 10	-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-	-	-			1600
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	-	_	<2.0		_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Dibenzofuran	n	-	<2.0		-	-				-			-								-			0.0219
Diethyl phthalate	- 1	-	<2.0	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		-		-		-	5.47
Fluoranthene		_	<2.0	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	800
Fluorene		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		-		-			12.8
Hexachlorobenzene		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-		-			0.273
Hexachlorobutadiene		_	<2.0	-	-	_	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.561
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene Hexachloroethane	-	_	<2.0	-	-	_	_		_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		-	<2.0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		-		-			-
Isophorone		-	<2.0		-	-				-			-								-			92.1

Please see notes at end of table.
Table 5 Groundwater Results Buse Timber & Sales

Everett, Washington

		Vehicle R	Repair Shop		Fe	ormer Log Pond I	Fill	Currer Building/Mecha	nt Lube anics Shop Area		Former Fire Pon	d	Former Waste Mixing Area	Current Dip Tank	Current Washdown Area	Former Mair	n Plant Drain		Former PC	CP Dip Tank		Former UST Area	Groundwater	Ground Water
Sample Location ID:	MSA-1 GW0014	MSA-2 GW0015	B2	SB-1W	SB-2W	SB-3W	SB-9W	SB-4W	SB-5W	FPD-1 GW0011	FPD-2 GW0012	FPD-3 GW0013	SB-6W	SB-7W	SB-8W	SB-10W	NSD-1 GW0017	FDT-4 GW0001	FDT-6 GW0003	SB-11W	SB-12A-W	SB-13W	A Cleanup Level (µg/L)	Target Cleanup Level
Depth (feet):	2-6	2-6		15.2	12.7	12.3	21.3	6.8	6.4	2-6	3-7	4-8	11.7	9.7	2.9	16.5	3-7	3-7	4-8	6.8	22	23.5	1	(P9/C)
Date:	6/18/1998	6/19/1998	8/17/2018	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	6/18/1998	6/19/1998	6/19/1998	07/01/2021	06/30/2021	07/01/2021	07/01/2021	6/18/1998	6/19/1998	6/19/1998	06/30/2021	07/01/2021	06/30/2021		
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) by	8270 in µg/L															•	•			•	•			
N-nitrosodipropylamine	-	-	<2.0			-			-	-									-					0.0125
Naphthalene		-	<2.0			-			-	-			-						-					0.0461
Nitrobenzene		-	<2.0			-			-	-			-						-					16
Pentachlorophenol		-	<5.0			-			-	-									-					0.257
Phenanthrene		-	<2.0			-				-														-
Phenol		-	<2.0			-			-	-									-					640
Pyrene	-	-	<2.0			-				-														

Notes:

Notes: 1. µgl = Micrograms per liter. 2. Bold values indicate the compound was detected above method detection limits. 3. <= Analyte was not detected above the reporting limit shown. 4. Shaded results exceed the MTCA Method B targer groundwater cleanup level. 5. -= Value not available. 6. J = Result is estimated.

J - Result is estimated and may be biased high.
 J - Result is estimated and may be biased low.
 U - Teasult is estimated and may be biased low.
 U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 PHC = Petroleum hydrocarbons.

PCP = Pentachlorophenol.
 Groundwater cleanup levels from the MTCA Method A and Method B, chapter 173-340 WAC (August 2023 update).

Table 6 Sediment Results: TPH, PAHs, PCBs, Chlorinated Phenois, and Metals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

			I													Vehicle Repair		Sediment Mana	gement Standards
	Tide	Gate	West Drainage				East D	rainage				South Drainage	Fo	rmer PCP Din T	ank	Shon		(Re	inthic)
	1100	outo	Woot Brainage				Edot B	anago				oodar brainage				onop		(50	antinoj
	USG-1		WEST	NDM-1	NDM-2	NDM-2	NDM-3	NDM-4	NDM-5	NDM-6	FAST	SOUTH	FDT-9	EDT-9	EDT-10	MSA-4			
	SD0013	DR-1	DRAINAGE	SD0003	SD0001	SD0002	SD0004	SD0005	SD0006	SD0007	DRAINAGE	DRAINAGE	500008	SD0010	SD0011	SD0012	Puget Sound	Sediment Cle	eanup Objective
Sample Logistion ID:	300013		DIVANAOL	300003	300001	300002	300004	300003	300000	300007	DIVANAOL	DIVANAOL	300000	300010	300011	300012	Natural		
Sample Education ID:	0.4	0-12	0-12	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-12	0-12	Sumn	Sumn	Sumn	Sumn	Background		
Deptil (linches):	04	0.12	0.12	04	04	04	0 4	0 +	04	04	012	012	oump	oump	oump	oump	Concentrations	Freeburgtor	Marina
Data	6/29/1998	07/02/2021	07/02/2021	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	07/02/2021	07/02/2021	6/29/1998	6/29/1998	6/29/1998	6/29/1998		Freshwater	Wallie
Date:																			
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) by NWTPI	H-DX IN Mg/Kg	000	-507	.50.111	77.40	7400	5040	0440	-50 111	-40.111	45.4	40.0		1		-05		240	
Diesei Range Organics	<25	269	<507	<50 UJ	7740 J	/120 J	5240 J	3440 J	<50 UJ	<10 UJ	454	12.9 J		-	-	<25	-	340	
Residual Range Organics	ZZU	1400	<12/0	0290 1	40300 J	21900 J	23900 J	20400 J	701 J	000 J	2410	20.3 J	-	-	-	140000		3,000	
Diagol Rongo Organico		10er Cleanup II	<507								205	<14.5	r –					240	
Diesei Kange Organics		100 J	<1070			-					1720	12.2						2 600	
TPH by NWTPH-Gy in ma/ka		033	\$1270	-	-	-		-	-	-	1750	10.0 0				-	-	3,000	
Casoline	<20															<20 111			
Naphtha Distillata	<20					_					-					<20 00		-	
Indpitting Distillate	<20					-										<20 UJ		-	
DLIC as Caseline	<20					-										<20 UJ		-	
Pric as Gasoline	<20	-				-										/0 J		-	
Aviation Casoline Range Hydroserbore	~20	-									-			-	-	~20 UJ	-	-	-
Aviation Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	-			<0 UJ	<5 UJ	<5 UJ	<5 UJ	<0 UJ	<0 UJ	<0 UJ	-				-		-	-	-
Gasoline Range Organics	-			5	410 J	12 J	54 J	18 J	<5 UJ	<5 UJ								-	
vivior ivapritia kange Hydrocarbons	-			<5 UJ								-							
Mineral Spirits	-			<5 UJ															
Gx Range Hydrocarbons (>C12)	-	-		<5 UJ					-			-							
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by 8260B	in µg/kg				1									1					
Dichlorodifiuorometnane	<10	-				-		-		-	-				-	<200	-	-	
Unioromethane	<10	-				-		-		-	-				-	<200	-	-	
Vinyl Chloride	<10	-				-										<200		-	
Bromomethane	<10					-										<200			
Chloroethane	<10					-										<200			
Irichlorofluoromethane	<10	-				-										<200		-	
Acetone	<100	-				-										<10000		-	
1,2-Dichloroethene	<10	-				-										<200		-	
Carbon Disulfide	<10	-				-										<200		-	
Methylene Chloride	<10	-				-										<200		-	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<10	-				-										<200		-	
1,1-Dichloroethane	<10	-				-										2500		-	
2-Butanone	<40	-				-										<10000		-	
2,2-Dichloropropane	<10	-				-					-				-	<200		-	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<10	-				-					-				-	730		-	
Chloroform	<10	-				-										<200		-	
Bromochloromethane	<10	-				-										<200		-	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<10					-										560		-	
1,1-Dichloropropene	<10	-				-										<200		-	
Carbon Tetrachloride	<10	-		-		-		-	-	-	-					<200	-	-	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) by E	PA Method 827	OE-SIM in mg/	kg																
Anthracene	-	<0.344	<0.793			-					<0.557	< 0.349						-	220
Acenaphthene		<0.344	<0.793			-				-	<0.557	< 0.349					-	-	16
Acenaphthylene	-	<0.344	<0.793			-					<0.557	< 0.349			-			-	66
Benzo(a)anthracene	-	<0.344	<0.793			-					<0.557	< 0.349			-			-	110
Benzo(a)pyrene		<0.344	<0.793			-					<0.557	<0.349						-	99
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		<0.344	<0.793			-					0.164 J	<0.349						-	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		<0.344	<0.793			-					0.308 J	<0.349						-	31
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		<0.344	<0.793			-					<0.557	< 0.349						-	230
Chrysene	-	<0.344	<0.793			-					<0.557	< 0.349						-	110
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	-	<0.344	<0.793			-					<0.557	< 0.349						-	12
Fluoranthene	-	<0.344	<0.793			-					0.259 J	< 0.349						-	160
Fluorene		<0.344	<0.793			-					<0.557	< 0.349						-	23
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	-	<0.344	<0.793			-					<0.557	<0.349						-	34
Naphthalene	-	<1.15	<2.64			-					<1.86	<1.16			-			-	99
Phenanthrene	-	<0.344	<0.793			-					0.31 J	<0.349			-			-	100
Pyrene		<0.344	<0.793			-					0.637	<0.349						-	1000
1-Methylnaphthalene		<1.15	<2.64			-					<1.86	<1.16						-	
2-Methylnaphthalene		<1.15	<2.64			-					<1.86	<1.16						-	38
2-Chloronaphthalene		<1.15	<2.64			-					<1.86	<1.16						-	
Total PAHs		<1.15	<2.64								1 68	<1.16						17	

Table 6 Sediment Results: TPH, PAHs, PCBs, Chlorinated Phenols, and Metals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

	Tide	Gate	West Drainage	Vest Drainage			East D	rainage				South Drainage	Fc	rmer PCP Dip Ta	ank	Vehicle Repair Shop		Sediment Mana (Be	gement Standards enthic)
Sample Location ID:	USG-1 SD0013	DR-1	WEST DRAINAGE	NDM-1 SD0003	NDM-2 SD0001	NDM-2 SD0002	NDM-3 SD0004	NDM-4 SD0005	NDM-5 SD0006	NDM-6 SD0007	EAST DRAINAGE	SOUTH DRAINAGE	FDT-9 SD0008	FDT-9 SD0010	FDT-10 SD0011	MSA-4 SD0012	Puget Sound Natural	Sediment Cle	eanup Objective
Depth (inches):	0-4	0-12	0-12	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-4	0-12	0-12	Sump	Sump	Sump	Sump	Background		
Date:	6/29/1998	07/02/2021	07/02/2021	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	5/8/1998	07/02/2021	07/02/2021	6/29/1998	6/29/1998	6/29/1998	6/29/1998	Concentrations	Freshwater	Marine
Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA Meth	nod 8082A in m	g/kg																	
PCB 1016		<0.128	< 0.301								<3.22	<1.90							
PCB 1221		<0.128	< 0.301			-					<3.22	<1.90					-	-	
PCB 1232		<0.128	<0.301			-					<3.22	<1.90		-			-	-	
PCB 1242		<0.128	<0.301			-					<3.22	<1.90		-			-	-	
PCB 1248		< 0.0644	<0.151			-					<1.61	< 0.954		-			-	-	
PCB 1254		< 0.0644	<0.151			-					<1.61	< 0.954					-	-	
PCB 1260		< 0.0644	<0.151			-					<1.61	< 0.954		-			-	-	
Total PCBs		<0.128	<0.301			-					<3.22	<1.90						0.11	12
Chlorinated Phenols by EPA Method 8270E and	1 8151A in mg/k	g																	
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol		<34.4	<44.3			-					<60.3	<37.9					-	-	
2-Chlorophenol		<34.4	<44.3			-					<60.3	<37.9					-	-	
2,4-Dichlorophenol		<34.4	<44.3			-					<60.3	<37.9						-	
Pentachlorophenol	< 0.005	<34.4	<44.3	< 0.01		-					<60.3	<37.9	0.016	0.5	0.22	0.016		1.2	0.36
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	< 0.005	<34.4	<44.3			-					<60.3	<37.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		-	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol		<34.4	<44.3			-					<60.3	<37.9						-	
Tetrachlorophenols (2,3,4,5 and 2,3,4,6)	<0.005												< 0.005	0.105	0.081	< 0.005		-	
Metals by EPA Method 6020B and 7471B in mg	/kg															-			
Arsenic		16.0	24.2 J+			-					19.3	15.7					11	14	57
Barium		114	277			-					81.2	148					-	-	
Cadmium		<3.75	<8.87			-					1.43 J	0.345 J					0.8	2.1	5.1
Chromium		60.5	37.4 J			-					54.7	66.2					62	72	260
Copper		50.3	89.1			-					90.3	54.0					45	400	390
Lead		12.0	13.8 J+			-					30.9	20.9					21	360	450
Nickel		48.7	41.7			-					63.1	52.3					50	26	
Selenium		<9.36	<22.2			-					<16.6	0.88 J						11	
Silver		<1.87	<4.43			-					<3.32	<1.81					0.24	0.57	6.1
Zinc		124	156 J								702	134					93	3200	410
Mercury		0.110 J	0.170 J			-					0.665	0.130 J					0.2	0.66	0.41
Notos:																			

 1.
 mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram.

 2.
 Bold values indicate the compound was detected above method detection limits.

 3.
 < = Analyte was not detected above the reporting limit shown.</td>

Shaded results exceed the Sediment Management Standards.
 Natural Background Concentrations and Sediment Management Standards from WAC 173-204 and Washington Ecology's Sediment Cleanup User's Manual (December 2019 update).

6. -- = Value not available.

7. J = Result is estimated.

8. J+ = Result is estimated and may be biased high.

9. µg/kg = Micrograms per kilogram.

10. UJ = The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

11. PCP = Pentachlorophenol.

Table 7 Sediment Results: Dioxins/Furans Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

	Tide Gate	South Drainage				
	DR-1	DR-10	WEST DRAINAGE	EAST DRAINAGE	SOUTH DRAINAGE	Port Gardner Puget Sound Regional
Sample Location ID:	07/00/0001	07/00/0001	07/00/0004	07/00/0001	07/00/0004	Background
Date:	07/02/2021	07/02/2021	07/02/2021	07/02/2021	07/02/2021	Dioxins/Furans
DIOXINS/FURANS DY EPA Method 8290A in pg/g	0.40	0.04	.0.00	4.07	0.470	
2,3,7,8-TCDD	0.13 J	0.21 J	< 0.29	1.27 J	0.1/3 J	
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	1.33 J	0.95 J	0.97 J	16.3	0.98 J	
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	1.37 J	1.05 J	1.12 J	18.3	1.20 J	
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	17.6	3.48 J	9.78 J	237	6.98	
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	4.40 J	2.54 J	3.28 J	64.9	3.14 J	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	136	43.6	88.2	2130	74.1	
OCDD	740	284	382	11800	378	
2,3,7,8-TCDF	1.67 J	3.79	1.63 J	16.3	2.66	
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.60 J	0.89 J	0.71 J	6.84	0.74 J	
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	1.00 J	<1.1 UK	0.88 J	10.1	1.02 J	
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	2.28 J	1.32 J	2.12 J	36.0	1.84 J	
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	2.90 J	0.838 J	2.18 J	47.3	1.58 J	
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	2.81 J	0.837 J	2.12 J	34.3	1.60 J	
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	0.13 J	<0.098	0.35 J	2.28 J	0.14 J	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	91.5	11.9	69.5	2030	37.2	
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	3.25 J	0.617 J	2.56 J	53.9	1.34 J	
OCDF	95.2	17.4	59.6	2120	35.8	
Total TEQ (ND = 1/2 DL)	7.65	3.39	5.39	113	4.65	3.9
Total HxCDD	95.6	34.9	57.4	1550	54.1	

Notes:

1. pg/g = Picograms per gram.

2. Bold values indicate the compound was detected above method detection limits.

3. < = Analyte was not detected above the detection limit shown.

4. Regional Background Concentrations from Washington Ecology's Sediment Cleanup User's Manual (December 2021 update).

5. Shaded results exceed the regional background concentration for dioxins/furans.

6. Soil cleanup levels from the MTCA Method B Cancer 173-340 WAC (August 2023 update).

7. -- = Value not available.

8. J = Result is estimated.

9. UK = Estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC). Diphenylether interference present caused dibenzofuran detected to become a "non-detect" with an elevated detection limit.

Table 8 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Soil Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Units	Method Reporting	Soil Method A	Soil Method B Direct Contect	Soil Method B Direct	Soil Method B Protective of Groundwater	Ecolo Concentra	gical Indicato tions (WAC T	or Soil able 749-3)	Background	PCUL	Basis
		Limit	(mg/kg)	Noncancer (mg/kg)	Cancer (mg/kg)	Vadose @ 13 degrees C (mg/kg)	Plants	Soil Biota	Wildlife	Concentrations		
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPI	H) using NW	TPH Methods	400/000			1		(00	ę		100	=0
Gasoline Range Organics	mg/kg	5	200					100	2000 ° 2000 ⁶		100	ES ES
Residual Range Organics	mg/kg	100	200					200	2000 ⁶	-	200	ES
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs	s) using EPA	8260				-						
Acetone	mg/kg	0.25		72000		29					29	SPG
Benzene	mg/kg	0.0175		320	18	0.027					0.027	SPG
Bromobenzene Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.0125		640 1600		0.56				-	0.56	SPG
Bromodicilioiometriarie	ma/ka	0.015		1600	130	0.36					0.36	SPG
Bromomethane	mg/kg	0.025		110		0.051					0.051	SPG
2-Butanone (MEK)	mg/kg	0.3		48000		20					20	SPG
Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.025		320	14	0.041					0.041	SPG
Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.015		1600		0.86		40			0.86	SPG
Chloroethane	ma/ka	0.015		1000	12	0.024					0.024	3FG
Chloroform	ma/ka	0.0175		800	32	0.074					0.074	SPG
Chloromethane	mg/kg	0.05		-	-							-
2-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0165		1600		1.9					1.9	SPG
4-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0165		1600		1.9					1.9	SPG
Isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	0.015										
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chiloropropane	mg/kg	0.03		720	0.5	0.00027					0.01	MRI
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	ma/ka	0.02		7200		7					7	SPG
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.02										
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.015		5600	190	1.2		20			1.2	SPG
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	0.015		16000		38					38	SPG
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.025		16000	180	0.041					0.041	SPG
1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg mg/kg	0.02		460		0.023					0.023	SPG MRI
cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	ma/ka	0.015		160		0.079					0.079	SPG
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.01		1600		0.52					0.52	SPG
1,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.025		3200	27	0.025		700			0.025	SPG
1,1-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.02										
1,3-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.01		1600		0.88					0.88	SPG
trans-1 3-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.015		2400	10	0.002					0.015	IVIRL
Ethylbenzene	ma/ka	0.025		8000		5.9					5.9	SPG
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	mg/kg	0.04		80	13	0.012					0.04	MLR
2-Hexanone	mg/kg	0.0625		400		0.17					0.17	SPG
n-Hexane	mg/kg	0.0225		4800		72					72	SPG
p-Isopropyitoluene	mg/kg	0.2										
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK)	mg/kg	0.035		400 6400	94	2.7					0.035	SPG
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ma/ka	0.02			560	0.1					0.1	SPG
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1		1600	-	4.5					4.5	SPG
n-Propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.015		8000		16				-	16	SPG
Styrene	mg/kg	0.01		16000		2.2	300				2.2	SPG
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.025		2400	38	0.0098					0.025	MRL
1,1,2,2-1 etrachloroethene	mg/kg mg/kg	0.2		480	5 480	0.0012					0.2	SPG
Toluene	ma/ka	0.03		6400		4.5	200				4.5	SPG
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.06		64		0.2		20			0.2	SPG
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.06		800	34	0.56		20			0.56	SPG
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.02		160000		1.5					1.5	SPG
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0125		320	18	0.017					0.017	SPG
I richloroethylene	mg/Kg mg/kg	0.015		40 2400000	12	0.025					0.025	SIMB
1.2.3-Trichloropropage	ma/ka	0.03		320	0.0063	0.0000024					0.03	MRL
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.015		800		1.3					1.3	SPG
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.015		800		1.3					1.3	SPG
Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	0.025		240	0.67	0.0017					0.025	MRL
m,p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.05		16000		27				-	27	SPG
0-Xylene	mg/kg	0.025		16000	-	14				-	14 14	SPG
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.02		8000		25	_				25	SPG
tert-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.015		8000		20					20	SPG

Table 8 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Soil Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Units	Method Reporting	Soil Method A	Soil Method B Direct	Soil Method B Direct	Soil Method B Protective of Groundwater	Ecolo Concentra	gical Indicate tions (WAC T	or Soil able 749-3)	Background	PCUL	Basis
		Limit	(mg/kg)	Contact Noncancer (mg/kg)	Contact Cancer (mg/kg)	Vadose @ 13 degrees C (mg/kg)	Plants	Soil Biota	Wildlife	Concentrations		
1 2 4-Trichlorobenzene	anic Compo	0.03	sing EPA 82/UE	800	3/	0.56		20			0.56	SPG
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg mg/kg	0.03		7200		0.56		20			0.56	SPG
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.04		-								-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.03		5600	190	1.2		20			1.2	SPG
1-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.03		5600	34	0.082					0.082	SPG
2,4,5-1 richlorophenol 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	mg/kg	0.03		8000		58	4	9 10			4	ES
2 4-Dichlorophenol	mg/kg	0.03		240	91	0.092		10			0.092	SPG
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ma/ka	0.03		1600		4.4					4.4	SPG
2,4-Dinitrophenol	mg/kg	0.3		160		0.13	20				0.3	MRL
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	0.06		72	1.5	0.002					0.06	MRL
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	0.04		72	1.5	0.002				-	0.04	MRL
2-Chlorophenol	mg/kg mg/kg	0.03		400		0.47	-				0.47	SPG
2-Methylnaphthalene	ma/ka	0.03		320		1.7					1.7	SPG
2-Methylphenol	mg/kg	0.04		4000		8.1					8.1	SPG
2-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	0.05		800		1					1	SPG
2-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	0.03										
3+4-methylphenol 4.6 Dinitro 2 methylphenol	mg/kg	0.03		8000		22					22	SPG
4.0-Dinitio-2-methylphenol 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ma/ka	0.23		0.4		0.024					0.024	IVINL
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	mg/kg	0.03		8000		22					22	SPG
4-Chloroaniline	mg/kg	0.03		320	5	0.0027					0.03	MRL
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	mg/kg	0.03								-		
4-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	0.2						7			7	ES
Acenaphthulapa	mg/kg	0.03		4800		49					49	SPG
Anthracene	ma/ka	0.03		2400		1100					1100	SPG
Benz(a)anthracene	ma/ka	0.03										-
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.04		24	0.19	3.9			12		0.19	MBC
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1								-		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.1										
Benzo(K)fluorantnene Benzul alcohol	mg/kg	0.03				7.1					7.1	
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	ma/ka	0.03		240		0.21					0.21	SPG
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	mg/kg	0.05			0.91	0.00022					0.05	MRL
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	mg/kg	0.04		1600	71	13					13	SPG
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate	mg/kg	0.2		48000	830	290					290	SPG
Butyl benzyl phthalate	mg/kg	0.05		16000	530	13				-	13	SPG
Carbazole	mg/kg	0.03		-						-		
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ma/ka	0.03		8000		57	200				57	SPG
Di-n-octyl phthalate	mg/kg	0.075		800		450					450	SPG
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1										
Dibenzofuran	mg/kg	0.03		80		1.5				-	1.5	SPG
Diethyl phthalate	mg/kg	0.75		64000		72	100			-	72	SPG
Eluoranthene	mg/kg	3.5		3200	-			200		-	200	ES SPG
Fluorene	ma/ka	0.03		3200		51		30	80	-	30	ES
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.03		64	0.63	0.44					0.44	SPG
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.03		80	13	0.012					0.03	MRL
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	mg/kg	0.1		480		1.5	10				1.5	SPG
Hexachioroethane	mg/kg	0.04		56	25	0.0088					0.04	MRL
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg mg/kg	0.2				0.49				-		SPG
N-nitrosodipropylamine	ma/ka	0.08			0.14	0.00012		20		-	0.45	MRL
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.04		1600	-	4.5					4.5	SPG
Nitrobenzene	mg/kg	0.05		160		0.1		40			0.1	SPG
Pentachlorophenol	mg/kg	0.2		400	2.5	0.016	3	6	4.5		0.2	MRL
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.03					70					
Prieno	mg/kg	0.05		24000		330	70				330	SPG
Dioxins/Furans using EPA 8290	ngng	0.10		2400		000					000	010
2,3,7,8 TCDD	mg/kg	0.0000005		0.000093	0.00001	0.000017					0.000013	MBC
1,2,3,7,8 PeCDD	mg/kg	0.000025										
1,2,3,4,7,8 HxCDD	mg/kg	0.0000025										
1,2,3,5,7,8 HXCDD 1 2 3 7 8 0 HvCDD	mg/Kg mg/kg	0.0000025			0.00016	0.0002				-	0.0002	SPG
1.2.3.4.6.7.8 HnCDD	ma/ka	0.0000025			-							
OCDD	mg/kg	0.000005										
2,3,7,8 TCDF	mg/kg	0.0000005										
1,2,3,7,8 PeCDF	mg/kg	0.0000025										
2,3,4,7,8 PeCDF	mg/kg	0.0000025								-		
1,2,3,4,7,8 HXCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8 HvcDE	mg/kg	0.0000025						-		-		
2.3.4.6.7.8 HxCDF	mg/kg	0.0000025			-			-		-		
1,2,3,7,8,9 HxCDF	mg/kg	0.0000025										
1,2,3,4,6,7,8 HpCDF	mg/kg	0.0000025			-					-		
1,2,3,4,7,8,9 HpCDF	mg/kg	0.0000025										
OCDF Total TEO	mg/kg ma/ka	0.0000050		0.0000930	0,0000130	 0,0000170			0.000002	0,0000052	0.000052	 BC

Table 8 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Soil Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Units	Method Reporting	Soil Method A	Soil Method B Direct	Soil Method B Direct	Soil Method B Protective of Groundwater	Ecolo Concentra	ogical Indicato tions (WAC T	or Soil able 749-3)	Background	PCUL	Basis
		Limit	(mg/kg)	Noncancer (mg/kg)	Cancer (mg/kg)	Vadose @ 13 degrees C (mg/kg)	Plants	Soil Biota	Wildlife	Concentrations		
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	(PAHs) usin	g EPA 8270-SIM ı	nethods									
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.02		24000		1100					1100	SPG
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.02		4800		49	20				20	EP
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.02										
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.02										
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.03		24	0.19	3.9			12		0.19	MBC
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.025										
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.05										
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.025										
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.02										
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05										
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.02		3200		630					630	SPG
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.02		3200		51		30			30	ES
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.04										
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.02		1600		4.5					4.5	SPG
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.02										
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.04		2400		330					330	SPG
1-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.02		5600	34	0.082					0.082	SPG
2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.02		320		1.7					1.7	SPG
Metals using EPA 6020 methods												
Arsenic	mg/kg	0.25		24	0.67	2.9	10	60	132	7	7	BC
Barium	mg/kg	0.5		16000		1600	500		102		102	EW
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.02		80		0.69	4	20	14	1	4	EP
Chromium	mg/kg	0.25					42	42	67	48	67	EW
Copper	mg/kg	0.75		3200		280	100	50	217	36	50	ES
Lead	mg/kg	1				3000	50	500	118	24	50	EP
Mercury	mg/kg	0.2				2.1	0.3	0.1	5.5	0.07	0.2	MRL
Nickel	mg/kg	0.25		1600		420	30	200	980	48	48	BC
Selenium	mg/kg	1		400		5.2	1	70	0.3		1	MRL
Silver	mg/kg	0.02		400		14	2				2	EP
Zinc	mg/kg	3.5		24000		6000	86	200	360	85	200	ES
Wood Waste using SM 4500, EPA 8	270, and EP/	A 9060 methods			-		-	r	-			
Ammonia	mg/kg	1										
Sulfide	mg/kg	0.5										
Benzoic Acid	mg/kg	0.1		320000		260					260	SPG
Benzyl alcohol	mg/kg	0.15		8000		7.1					7.1	SPG
Total Organic Carbon	%	0.15										

 Notes:

 1. mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram.

 2. EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency.

 3. - = Not available or not applicable.

 4. MRL = Method Reporting Limit.

 5. MBNC = Soil Method B Direct Contact Noncancer.

 6. MBC = Soil Method B Direct Contact Noncancer.

 7. SPG = Soil Proteitive of Groundwater Vadose @ 13 degrees C.

 8. EP = Ecological Indicator Soil Concentrations (WAC Table 749-3) for Plants.

 9. ES = Ecological Indicator Soil Concentrations (WAC Table 749-3) for Soil.

 10. EW = Ecological Indicator Soil Concentrations (WAC Table 749-3) for Wildlife.

BC = Regional Background Concentration.
 PCUL = Preliminary Cleanup Levels.
 Soid cleanup Levels from the MTCA Method A and B from WAC 173-340 (January 2023 update).
 SGC = Silica Gel Cleanup.

15. 16.

Ecological indicator soil concentration revised downward to account for residual saturation. Backgound concentrations from Natural Background Soil Metals Concentrations in Washington State Table 1.

Table 9 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Groundwater Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Units	Method Reporting Limit	Groundwater Method A Cleanup Level	Groundwater Method B Target Cleanup Level (µg/L)	PCUL	Basis
Total Petroleum Hvdrocarbons (TPF	l) usina NW1	PH methods				
Gasoline Range Organics	µg/L	100	800/1000		800/100	MA
Diesel Range Organics	µg/L	100	500		500	MA
Residual Range Organics	µg/L	100	500	-	500	MA
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) using EPA	8260		1.00	1.00	115
1,1,1,2-1 etrachloroethane	µg/L	0.3		1.68	1.68	MB
1,1,2,Tetrachloroethane	µg/L	0.3		200	200	MB
1 1 2-Trichloroethane	µg/L µg/l	0.2		.213	3	MB
1.1-Dichloroethane	ua/L	0.5		7.68	7.68	MB
1,1-Dichloroethene	µg/L	0.5		7	7	MB
1,1-Dichloropropene	µg/L	0.5				
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.7		6.4	6.4	MB
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	µg/L	0.4		0.00038	0.4	MRL
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.75		15.1	15.1	MB
1,2,4- I rimetnyibenzene	µg/L	0.5		80	80	MB
1,2-Dibromo-3-chioropropane	µg/L	0.2			0.05	 MB
1 2-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L µg/l	0.5		600	600	MB
1,2-Dichloroethane	µa/L	0.5		4.81	4.81	MB
1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L	0.3		5	5	MB
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5		80	80	MB
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5				
1,3-Dichloropropane	µg/L	0.3		160	160	MB
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5		75	75	MB
2-Butanone	µg/L	1.5		4800	4800	MB
2-Chiorotoluene	µg/L	0.5		160	160	MB
n-Hexane	µg/L	0.5		40	40	MB
4-Chlorotoluene	ua/L	0.5		160	160	MB
4-Isopropyltoluene	µg/L	0.5				
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	µg/L	1		640	640	MB
Acetone	µg/L	5		7200	7200	MB
Benzene	µg/L	0.44		5	5	MB
Bromobenzene	µg/L	0.5		64 7.00	64 7.00	MB
Bromodichioromethane	µg/L	0.25		7.00	7.00	MB
Bromomethane	µg/L	3		11.2	11.2	MB
Carbon tetrachloride	ua/L	0.3		5	5	MB
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5		100	100	MB
Chlorodibromomethane	µg/L	0.3		5.21	5.21	MB
Chloroethane	µg/L	1				
Chloroform	µg/L	0.5		14.1	14.1	MB
Chloromethane	µg/L	0.75				
	µg/L	0.5		0/128	0 \/ 78 0 I	IVIB MR
Cumene	µg/L Ua/l	0.5		800	800	MR
Dibromomethane	µa/L	0.25		80	80	MB
Dichlorodifluoromethane	µg/L	0.5		1600	1600	MB
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	0.4		700	700	MB
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	0.5		0.561	0.561	MB
m,p-Xylene	µg/L	1		1600	1600	MB
Netnylene chloride	µg/L	0.75		5	5	MB
n-Propylbenzene	µg/L	0.5		400	400	MB
Naphthalene	µg/L µa/l	1.25		160	160	MB
o-Xylene	µa/L	0.5		1600	1600	MB
sec-Butylbenzene	µg/L	0.5		800	800	MB
Styrene	µg/L	0.5		100	100	MB
tert-Butyl Methyl Ether	µg/L	0.35		24.3	24.3	MB
tert-Butylbenzene	µg/L	0.5		800	800	MB
I etrachloroethene	µg/L	0.35		5	5	MB
I Oluene	µg/L	1 0.35		040 100	04U 100	IVIB MR
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	µg/L	0.35				IVID
Trichloroethene	ua/l	0.4		4	4	MB
Trichlorofluoromethane	µg/L	0.3		2400	2400	MB
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	0.2		0.292	0.292	MB

Table 9 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Groundwater Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Units	Method Reporting Limit	Groundwater Method A Cleanup Level	Groundwater Method B Target Cleanup Level (µg/L)	PCUL	Basis
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) usir	ng EPA 8270				
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.1		15.1	15.1	MB
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.1		600	600	MB
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.1				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.1		75	75	MB
1-Methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.1		1.51	1.51	MB
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	µg/L	0.2		1600	1600	MB
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	µg/L	0.2		7.95	7.95	MB
2,4-Dichlorophenol	µg/L	0.15		48	48	MB
2,4-Dimethylphenol	µg/L	0.15		320	320	MB
2,4-Dinitrophenol	µg/L	1.5		32	32	MB
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	µg/L	0.1		0.129	0.129	MB
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	µg/L	0.15		0.129	0.15	MRL
2-Chloronaphthalene	µg/L	0.1		640	640	MB
2-Uniorophenoi	µg/L	0.3		40	40	MB
	µg/L	0.1		32 800	ა∠ გეე	
	µg/L	0.2		000	160	
	µg/L	0.10		100	100	IVID
	µg/L	0.3			1600	 MD
4.6 Dinitro 2 mothylphonol	µg/L	25		1000	1600	MDI
4,0-Dillitti0-2-filettyphenor	µg/L	2.5		1.20	2.50	WIRL
4-Diomophenyi phenyi ether	µg/L	0.2			1600	 MD
4-Chloro-5-methylphenor	µg/L	0.2		0.438	0.438	MD
	µg/L	0.1		0.450	0.430	IVID
4-Onlorophenyl phenyl ether	µg/L	1		_		
Acenanhthene	µg/L	01		480	480	MB
Acenaphthelee	µg/L	0.1				
Anthracene	µg/L	0.1		2400	2400	MB
Benz(a)anthracene	ua/L	0.15				
Benzo(a)pyrene	ua/L	0.15		0.2	0.2	MB
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ua/L	0.2				
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ua/L	1				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	µg/L	0.2				
Benzyl alcohol	µg/L	3		1600	1600	MB
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	µg/L	0.1		48	48	MB
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	µg/L	0.4		0.0398	0.0398	MRL
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	µg/L	1		6	6	MB
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate	µg/L	0.75		400	400	MB
Butyl benzyl phthalate	µg/L	0.3		46.1	46.1	MB
Carbazole	µg/L	0.2				
Chrysene	µg/L	0.15				
Di-n-butyl phthalate	µg/L	2		1600	1600	MB
Di-n-octyl phthalate	µg/L	0.3		160	160	MB
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	µg/L	1.1				
Dibenzofuran	µg/L	0.1		0.0219	0.0219	MB
Diethyl phthalate	µg/L	0.5		5.47	5.47	MB
Dimethyl phthalate	µg/L	1.2		0.231	0.231	MB
Fluoranthene	µg/L	0.2		800	800	MB
Fluorene	µg/L	0.1		12.8	12.8	MB
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	0.2		0.273	0.273	MB
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	0.15		0.561	0.561	MB
Hexachiorocyclopentadiene	µg/L	0.25		48	48	MB
Hexachloroethane	µg/L	0.15		1.09	1.09	MB
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	µg/L	0.2				
Isophorone	µg/L	0.3		92.1	92.1	MB
N-nitrosodipropylamine	µg/L	0.3		0.0125	0.3000	MRL
Naphthalene	µg/L	0.1		100	100	IN B
Nitrobenzene	µg/L	0.5		10	10	IVIB IVIB
Pentacniorophenol	µg/L	0.0		I	I	IVIB
Phenanthrene	µg/L	0.2			640	 MD
Pvrene	µg/L	0.3		040	040	MR
Plana and a stand of table	µg/L	¥.1		-	-	

Table 9 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Groundwater Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Units	Method Reporting Limit	Groundwater Method A Cleanup Level	Groundwater Method B Target Cleanup Level (µg/L)	PCUL	Basis
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	(PAHs) using	EPA 8270E-SIM	methods			
Anthracene	µg/L	0.05		2400	2400	MB
Acenaphthene	µg/L	0.05		480	480	MB
Acenaphthylene	µg/L	0.05				
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	0.05				
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	0.05		0.2	0.2	MB
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	µg/L	0.05				
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	µg/L	0.05				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	µg/L	0.05				
Chrysene	µg/L	0.05				
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	µg/L	0.05				
Fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1		640	640	MB
Fluorene	µg/L	0.05		320	320	MB
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	µg/L	0.05				
Naphthalene	µg/L	0.25		160	160	MB
Phenanthrene	µg/L	0.05				
Pyrene	µg/L	0.05		240	240	MB
1-Methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.25		1.51	1.51	MB
2-Methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.25		32	32	MB
Phenols using EPA 8270 methods		r				
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	µg/L	0.2		1600	1600	MB
2-Chlorophenol	µg/L	0.3		40	40	MB
2,4-Dichlorophenol	µg/L	0.15		48	48	MB
Pentachlorophenol	µg/L	0.6		1	1	MB
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	µg/L	0.2		16	16	MB
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	µg/L	0.2		1600	1600	MB
Metals using EPA 6020 and EPA 245	5.1 methods			-	-	
Arsenic	µg/L	0.5		5	5	MB
Barium	µg/L	2		2000	2000	MB
Cadmium	µg/L	0.1		5	5	MB
Chromium	µg/L	0.75	50		50	MA
Copper	µg/L	2		640	640	MB
Lead	µg/L	0.5		15	15	MB
Mercury	µg/L	0.1		2	2	MB
Nickel	µg/L	0.5		320	320	MB
Selenium	µg/L	0.25		50	50	MB
Silver	µg/L	0.2		80	80	MB
Zinc	µg/L	2.5		4800	4800	MB

Notes:

1. 2. μg/L = Micrograms per liter.
 Groundwater cleanup levels from the MTCA Method A and B chapter 173-340 WAC (January 2023 update).
 MRL = Method Reporting Limit.

MA = MTCA Method A Cleanup Level.
 MB = Groundwater Method B Target Cleanup Level.
 PCUL = Preliminary Cleanup Levels.

4. -- = Not available or not applicable

Table 10 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Sediment Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Total Petrolum Hydrocarbons (TPH) using WW TPH methods Concentrations Deser Range Organics marka Residual Range Organics marka (2000) marka 100 300 - - 340 SMSF Smith State Organics marka Big(2-chiorophy) eters 1:3.Delicinoberzene 1:4.Delicinoberzene marka 1:2.Delicinober	Parameter	Units	Method Reporting Limit	Sediment Objec	Cleanup ctive	Natural Background	PCUL	Basis
Total Partoleum Hydrocathors (PH) using WN TPH methods Desel Range Organics mpt/kg 50 340				Freshwater	Marine	Concentrations		
Diesel Range Organics mg/kg 50 340 3600 SMSF Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) using EPA 4270E methods 3600 SMSF Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) using EPA 4270E methods	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	(TPH) using I	NW TPH methods					
Residual Range Organics mg/kg 100 3800 SMSF Semi-Voisite Organic Compounders (SVOCs) using EPA 82706 methods 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	Diesel Range Organics	mg/kg	50	340			340	SMSF
Semi-Volatila Organic Compande (\$VCC2) using EPA 8270E methods SMSF Bis(2-chloroethri) ether mg/kg 0.029 0.12 SMSF Bis(2-chloroethri) ether mg/kg 0.0398 1.3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.0398 1.4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.0398 0.13 SMSM Benzyl alcohlo mg/kg 0.0398	Residual Range Organics	mg/kg	100	3600			3600	SMSF
Phenol mg/kg 0.0299 0.12 0.42 0.12 SMSF Big/2-chlorophenol mg/kg 0.0398 2.3 MSMM 0.063 0.063 0.063 0.063 0.063 MRL 0.077 11 SMSM <t< td=""><td>Semi-Volatile Organic Compour</td><td>nds (SVOCs)</td><td>using EPA 8270E</td><td>methods</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Semi-Volatile Organic Compour	nds (SVOCs)	using EPA 8270E	methods				
Bis(2-chlorophen) mg/kg 0.0498 -	Phenol	mg/kg	0.0299	0.12	0.42		0.12	SMSF
2-Chicophenol mg/kg 0.0398 1.4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.0299 3.1 3.1 SMSM 1.2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.0398 2.3 2.3 SMSM Benzyi alcohol mg/kg 0.0398 0.063 SMSM Hexachioroelhane mg/kg 0.0398 11 SMSM 184 Mitrobenzene mg/kg 0.0299 0.26 0.67 0.26 SMSF 180 Mitrobenzene mg/kg 0.0299 </td <td>Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.0498</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	mg/kg	0.0498					
1.3-Dickloroberzene mg/kg 0.0398 3.1 3.1 SMSM 1.2-Dickloroberzene mg/kg 0.0398 2.3 2.3 SMSM 1.2-Dickloroberzene mg/kg 0.0398 0.063 SMSM 1.4-Dickloroberzene mg/kg 0.0398 0.063 SMSM Hexachtorobhane mg/kg 0.0398	2-Chlorophenol	mg/kg	0.0398					
1.4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.0299 3.1 3.1 SMSM Benzyl alcohol mg/kg 0.0398 2.3 2.3 SMSM 2.4-Methylphenol (or:sos) mg/kg 0.0398 0.063 SMSM Hexachtoroelhane mg/kg 0.0398	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0398					
1.2-Dickloroberzene mg/kg 0.0398 2.3 2.3 SMSM 2-Methylphenol (o-cresol) mg/kg 0.0398 0.063 0.063 SMSM 1-Nutrosoch-propylamine mg/kg 0.0797 11	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0299		3.1		3.1	SMSM
Benzy alcohol mg/kg 0.149 0.057 0.149 MRL 2-Metrykphenol (c-resol) mg/kg 0.0398	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0398		2.3		2.3	SMSM
2-Metrylphenol (o-cresol) mg/kg 0.0398 0.063 N-Nitrosodi-n-propylarnine mg/kg 0.0797 11 11 SMSM 38.4-Methylphenol (m, p-cresol) mg/kg 0.0299 0.26 0.67 0.26 SMSF Nitrobenzane mg/kg 0.0299 <td< td=""><td>Benzyl alcohol</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.149</td><td></td><td>0.057</td><td></td><td>0.149</td><td>MRL</td></td<>	Benzyl alcohol	mg/kg	0.149		0.057		0.149	MRL
Hexachorol+neropylamic mg/kg 0.0398 11 SMSM 384-Methylphenol (m, p-oresol) mg/kg 0.0299 0.26 0.67 0.26 SMSF Nitrobenzene mg/kg 0.0299	2-Methylphenol (o-cresol)	mg/kg	0.0398		0.063		0.063	SMSM
N.Nitrosoft-propylamie mg/kg 0.079 11 11 SMSM 384-Methylbenic (m, p-cress) mg/kg 0.0299 0.26 0.67 <td< td=""><td>Hexachloroethane</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.0398</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Hexachloroethane	mg/kg	0.0398					
384-Methylphenol (m. p-cresol) mg/kg 0.0299 0.26 0.67 0.26 SMSF Nitrobenzene mg/kg 0.0398 </td <td>N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.0797</td> <td></td> <td>11</td> <td></td> <td>11</td> <td>SMSM</td>	N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	mg/kg	0.0797		11		11	SMSM
Nitrobenzene mg/kg 0.0498 <td>3&4-Methylphenol (m, p-cresol)</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.0299</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>0.67</td> <td></td> <td>0.26</td> <td>SMSF</td>	3&4-Methylphenol (m, p-cresol)	mg/kg	0.0299	0.26	0.67		0.26	SMSF
Isophorone mg/kg 0.0398	Nitrobenzene	mg/kg	0.0498					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Isophorone	mg/kg	0.0398					
2.4.2-Limethylphenol mg/kg 0.0299 0.029 SMSM Bis(2-chlorethxy)methane mg/kg 0.0299 1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.0299 0.81 0.81 SMSM Naphthalene mg/kg 0.0299 <t< td=""><td>2-Nitrophenol</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.0299</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	2-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	0.0299					
Bis(2chloroethoxy)methane mg/kg 0.0299 2.4-Dichlorophenzene mg/kg 0.0299 0.81 0.81 SMSM Naphthalene mg/kg 0.0299 99 99 SMSM 4-Chloroanline mg/kg 0.0299	2,4-Dimethylphenol	mg/kg	0.0299		0.029		0.029	SMSM
2.4-Dichlorophenol mg/kg 0.0299 99 99 SMSM 4-Chioroaniline mg/kg 0.0299 <td< td=""><td>Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.0299</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	mg/kg	0.0299					
1,2,4-Inchrobenzene mg/kg 0.0299 0.81 0.81 SMSM 4-Chloroaniline mg/kg 0.0299	2,4-Dichlorophenol	mg/kg	0.0299					
Naphthalene mg/kg 0.0398 99 SMSM 4-Chloroanilie mg/kg 0.0299 3.9 3.9 SMSM 4-Chloroanethylphenol mg/kg 0.0299 3.9 3.9 SMSM 4-Chloroanethylphenol mg/kg 0.0299 3.8	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0299		0.81		0.81	SMSM
4-Chloroanline mg/kg 0.0299 </td <td>Naphthalene</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.0398</td> <td></td> <td>99</td> <td></td> <td>99</td> <td>SMSM</td>	Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.0398		99		99	SMSM
Hexachiorobutatione mg/kg 0.0299 3.9 3.9 SMSM 4-Chioro-3-methylphenol mg/kg 0.0299	4-Chloroaniline	mg/kg	0.0299					
4-Chioro-3-methylphenol mg/kg 0.0299	Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.0299		3.9		3.9	SMSM
2-Methyinaptitualene mg/kg 0.0299 38 38 SMSM 1-Methyinaptitualene mg/kg 0.0299	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	mg/kg	0.0299					
1-MetryInaphthalene mg/kg 0.0299 2.6-Dinitrotoluene mg/kg 0.0398 <	2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.0299		38		38	SMSM
Hexachlorocyclopentaldiene mg/kg 0.0996	1-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.0299					
24,4-5-Trichlorophenol mg/kg 0.0299	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	mg/kg	0.0996					
24,5-1 inchlorophenol mg/kg 0.0299 2-Chloronaphthalene mg/kg 0.0299 2-Nitroaniline mg/kg 0.0299 16 16 SMSM Dimethylphthalate mg/kg 0.0398 53 SMSM 2.6-Dinitrobluene mg/kg 0.0299 66 66 SMSM 2.4-Dinitrophenol mg/kg 0.0299 0.2 15 0.0299 MRL 2.4-Dinitrobluene mg/kg 0.0299 0.2 15 0.0299 MRL 2.4-Dinitrobluene mg/kg 0.0299 4.4-Nitrophenol mg/kg 0.0299 23	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	mg/kg	0.0299					
2-Chitonapritraiene mg/kg 0.0299 <th< td=""><td>2,4,5-1 richlorophenol</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.0299</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	2,4,5-1 richlorophenol	mg/kg	0.0299					
2-Nitroaniline mg/kg 0.0299 16 16 SMSM Dimethylphthalate mg/kg 0.0299 16 16 SMSM 2,6-Dinitrotoluene mg/kg 0.0398 <	2-Chioronaphthalene	mg/kg	0.0299					
Adeitaphtinete mg/kg 0.0299 10 16 SMSM Dimethylphthalate mg/kg 3.49 53 53 SMSM 2.6-Dinitrotoluene mg/kg 0.0398 Acenaphthylene mg/kg 0.299 66 66 SMSM 2.4-Dinitrotoluene mg/kg 0.299 0.2 15 0.0299 MRL 2.4-Dinitrotoluene mg/kg 0.0598 4-Nitrophenol mg/kg 0.199 Fluorene mg/kg 0.0299 23 23 SMSM 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether mg/kg 0.747 61 SMSM 4.6Dinitro-2-methylphenol mg/kg 0.299 - - Hexachlorophenol mg/kg 0.0299<	2-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	0.0498					
Dimetrypritriatate mg/kg 3.49 5.3 5.3 5.3 SMSM 2,6-Dinitrobluene mg/kg 0.0398	Acenaphtnene Dimethydrathalata	mg/kg	0.0299		10		16	SMSM
2,4-DINITYOUUPPE mg/kg 0.0398	Dimetnyiphthalate	mg/kg	3.49		53		53	SMSM
Actilizitiophenol mg/kg 0.0299 66 SMSM 2,4-Dinitrophenol mg/kg 0.299	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	0.0398					
2.4-Dinitrophenol mg/kg 0.299	Acenaphtnylene	mg/kg	0.0299		00		66	SMSM
Dibenzoruran mg/kg 0.0299 0.2 15 0.0299 MRL 2.4-Dinitrotoluene mg/kg 0.0598 <t< td=""><td>2,4-Dinitrophenol</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.299</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	2,4-Dinitrophenol	mg/kg	0.299					
2,4-Dintrotoutene mg/kg 0.0598 4-Nitrophenol mg/kg 0.199	Dibenzoturan	mg/kg	0.0299	0.2	15		0.0299	MRL
4-Nitropheniol mg/kg 0.199 23 SMSM 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether mg/kg 0.0299	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	0.0598					
Holdreine mg/kg 0.0299 23 23 SMSM 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether mg/kg 0.0299 </td <td>4-INItrophenol</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.199</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	4-INItrophenol	mg/kg	0.199					
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl phenyl phenyl phenyl phenyl phenyl phenyl phenyl mg/kg 0.0299	Fluorene	mg/kg	0.0299		23		23	SIVISIVI
Diethylphinalate mg/kg 0.747 61 61 SMSM 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol mg/kg 0.249	4-Chiorophenyi phenyi ether	mg/kg	0.0299					
4,6-Dinitio-2-Interryphendol mg/kg 0.249 <	Dietnyiphthalate	mg/kg	0.747		61		01	SIVISIVI
4-Bioinopheny pheny enter mg/kg 0.0299	4,0-DIIIIII0-2-ITIeIIIyipitettoi 4. Bromonhanyi nhanyi athar	mg/kg	0.249					
Pentachlorophenol mg/kg 0.0299 0.36 0.36 SMSM Pentachlorophenol mg/kg 0.199 1.2 0.36 0.36 SMSM Phenanthrene mg/kg 0.0299 100 100 SMSM Anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 220 220 SMSM Carbazole mg/kg 0.0299 220 SMSM Di-n-butylphthalate mg/kg 0.0299 0.38 SMSF Fluoranthene mg/kg 0.0299 160 0.38 SMSM Pyrene mg/kg 0.149 1000 1000 SMSM Butyl Benzylphthalate mg/kg 0.0498 4.9 4.9 SMSM bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.199 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 110		mg/kg	0.0299					
Pentachiophendi mg/kg 0.199 1.2 0.36 0.36 SMSM Phenanthrene mg/kg 0.0299 100 100 SMSM Anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 220 220 SMSM Carbazole mg/kg 0.0299 220 SMSM Di-n-butylphthalate mg/kg 0.0299 0.38 220 0.38 SMSF Fluoranthene mg/kg 0.0299 160 160 SMSM Pyrene mg/kg 0.149 1000 1000 SMSM Butyl Benzylphthalate mg/kg 0.149 4.9 4.9 SMSM bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.199 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.299 110 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.299 110 </td <td>Pentapherophonal</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.0299</td> <td></td> <td>0.36</td> <td></td> <td>0.38</td> <td>SIVISIVI</td>	Pentapherophonal	mg/kg	0.0299		0.36		0.38	SIVISIVI
Anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 100 100 SMSM Anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 220 220 SMSM Carbazole mg/kg 0.0299 220 SMSM Di-n-butylphthalate mg/kg 0.0299 0.38 220 0.38 SMSF Fluoranthene mg/kg 0.0299 160 160 SMSM Pyrene mg/kg 0.149 1000 1000 SMSM Butyl Benzylphthalate mg/kg 0.0498 4.9 4.9 SMSM bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.199 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.199 110 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 110	Pentachiorophenol	mg/kg	0.199	1.2	0.30		0.30	SIVISIVI
Carbazole mg/kg 0.0299 220 220 SMSM Carbazole mg/kg 0.0299 Di-n-butylphthalate mg/kg 0.0299 0.38 220 0.38 SMSM Fluoranthene mg/kg 0.0299 160 160 SMSM Butyl Benzylphthalate mg/kg 0.149 1000 4.9 SMSM bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.199 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 110 Chrysene mg/kg 0.0299 110		mg/kg	0.0299		220		100	SIVISIVI
Di-n-butylphthalate mg/kg 0.0299 0.38 SMSF Fluoranthene mg/kg 0.0299 160 160 SMSM Pyrene mg/kg 0.149 1000 1000 SMSM Butyl Benzylphthalate mg/kg 0.0498 4.9 4.9 SMSM bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.199 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 110 110 SMSM	Carbazala	mg/Kg	0.0299		220		220	21/121/1
Fluoranthene mg/kg 0.0299 0.30 220 0.38 SMSF Fluoranthene mg/kg 0.0299 160 160 SMSM Pyrene mg/kg 0.149 1000 1000 SMSM Butyl Benzylphthalate mg/kg 0.0498 4.9 4.9 SMSM bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.199 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 110 110 SMSM	Carbazole Di a hutulahthalata	mg/kg	0.0299					
Pyrene mg/kg 0.0299 160 160 SMSM Butyl Benzylphthalate mg/kg 0.149 1000 1000 SMSM bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.0498 4.9 4.9 SMSM Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 110 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 110 140 SMSM		rng/Kg	0.0299	0.38	220		0.38	SMSF
Fyrence Ing/kg 0.149 1000 1000 SMSM Butyl Benzylphthalate mg/kg 0.0498 4.9 4.9 SMSM bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.199 Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 110 110 SMSM Chtysene mg/kg 0.0498 110		mg/kg	0.0299		1000		100	SIVISIVI
big(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.0490 4.9 4.9 SMSM bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate mg/kg 0.199 110 SMSM 110 110 110 10 <	Fyrene Rutyl Popzylabthalata	mg/kg	0.149		1000		1000	SIVISIVI
Benz(a)anthracene mg/kg 0.0299 110 110 SMSM Chrysene mg/kg 0.0299 110 140 SMSM	bis(2 Ethylboyyl)adjasts	mg/kg	0.0490		4.9		4.9	SIVISIVI
Chrysene molka 0.0400 110 110 110 SMSM		mg/kg	0.199		110			 CMCM
	Chrvsene	mg/kg	0.0299		110		110	SMSM

Table 10 Preliminary Cleanup Levels: Sediment Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Units	Method Reporting Limit	Sediment Obje	Cleanup ctive	Natural Background	PCUL	Basis
			Freshwater	Marine	Concentrations		
Semi-Volatile Organic Compour	nds (SVOCs)	using EPA 8270E	methods				
bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	mg/kg	0.0398	0.5	47		0.5	SMSF
Di-n-octyl phthalate	mg/kg	0.0747	0.039	58		0.747	MRL
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.0996					
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.0299		230		230	SMSM
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.0398		99		99	SMSM
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.199		34		34	SMSM
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.0996		12		12	SMSM
Benzo(g,h,ı)perylene	mg/kg	0.0996		31		31	SMSM
Dioxins/Furans using EPA 8290	methods				1		
2,3,7,8 TCDD	mg/kg	0.0000005					
1,2,3,7,8 PeCDD	mg/kg	0.0000025					
1,2,3,4,7,8 HxCDD	mg/kg	0.0000025					
1,2,3,6,7,8 HxCDD	mg/kg	0.0000025					
1,2,3,7,8,9 HxCDD	mg/kg	0.0000025					
1,2,3,4,6,7,8 HpCDD	mg/kg	0.0000025					
OCDD	mg/kg	0.000005					
2,3,7,8 TCDF	mg/kg	0.0000005					
1,2,3,7,8 PeCDF	mg/kg	0.0000025					
2,3,4,7,8 PeCDF	mg/kg	0.0000025					
1,2,3,4,7,8 HxCDF	mg/kg	0.0000025					
1.2.3.6.7.8 HxCDF	ma/ka	0.0000025					
2.3.4.6.7.8 HxCDF	ma/ka	0.0000025					
1.2.3.7.8.9 HxCDF	ma/ka	0.0000025					
1.2.3.4.6.7.8 HpCDF	ma/ka	0.0000025					
1234789 HpCDF	ma/ka	0.0000025					
	ma/ka	0.000005	_				
Total TEO	mg/kg	0.000005			0 000039	0.000005	MRI
Metals using EPA 6020 method	s nig/ikg	0.000000			0.0000000	0.000000	WITCE
Arsenic	ma/ka	0.25	14	57	11	14	SMSE
Barium	ma/ka	0.5	-				
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.02	21	5 1	0.8	2.1	SWSE
Chromium	mg/kg	0.02	70	260	62	70	SMSE
Coppor	mg/kg	0.25	400	300	45	300	SMSM
Copper	mg/kg	0.75	400	450	40	360	SMOR
Leau	mg/kg	0.2	300	450	21	0.41	SIVIOF
Wercury	mg/kg	0.2	0.00	0.41	0.2	0.41	SIVISIVI
NICKEI	mg/kg	0.25	20		50	50	SINISF
Selenium	mg/kg		0.57			11	SMSF
Silver	mg/kg	0.02	0.57	6.1	0.24	0.57	SMSF
	mg/kg	3.5	3200	410	93	410	SMSM
vvooa vvaste using EM 4500, EF	A 82/U, and	EPA 9000 method	15 020			020	CMCE
Ammonia	mg/kg	1	230			230	SMSF
Sulfide	mg/kg	0.5	39			39	SMSF
Benzoic Acid	mg/kg	0.1	2.9	0.65		0.65	SMSM
Benzyl alcohol	mg/kg	0.15		0.057		0.15	MRL
Total Organic Carbon	%	0.15					

Notes:

1. mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram.

2. EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency.

3. -- = Not available or not applicable.

4. MRL = Method Reporting Limit.

5. SMSF = Sediment Management Standards Sediment Cleanup Objective for Freshwater.

6. SMSM = Sediment Management Standards Sediment Cleanup Objective for Marine.

7. BC = Natural Background Concentration.

8. PCUL = Preliminary Cleanup Levels.

9. Natural Background Concentration from SCUM (Table 10-1).

10. SCUM = Sediment Cleanup User's Manual





Legend:

Union Slough Cutoff

Site Vicinity Map

Remedial Investigation Work Plan Buse Timber & Sales - 3812 28th Place NE Everett, Washington









-													Jamp
E AN					2 9			7				and in the	
	0/1	0/20	10*			1 10				Cub	ort		
2	8/18/2018*												
RO	0 <29		Storage										
20		<58 -	The States										
/	۲ ۲	-orm -ire	ner Ponc	1	TON STOLEN		8/17/2	01	8*				
		ST.	all		55	2	2.5'	1	18'				
		4	_	D	RO	<	25	<	25				
	19 11	The second	-77	R	RU		10	<	-50 1				
		-	S	3-5	7	7/1/	2021						
	-	1000	D	RO	2.5-3.5 RO 23.5		10.7						132
			R	RO	56.	5	58.	6					
	Me	char	nics	C	D A	575	7/1/2	202	21				
-		Ър		•	D-4	;	3-4'		6-7'				
		-	_		RO	<	4.94	<	5.01				1
or	mer		2				12.5		7/1/2	2021			
Va	ste/l	log	Fuel	ĺ	/		SS-	2	0	' '			(a)
/11X	ing A	area	/				DRC)) 10.0				E.
		1					RRC)	10)1			1
Á		1		24			SS-	3	7/1/2	2021			
7							DRO)	16	.7			1
	i			-	_		RRC)	15	51			1
	/					-	1000		7/1/2	2021			
100	SB-	6	7/	1/202	21		55-	1	0)'			
		-	3-4'	7	8-9'		DRO	2	13	.2			
-	RRC)) (<u>8.72</u>	J <	×1.29 ×18.2)	11	5		S. S. Salar	
	1949	http://	71410		-								NR. M
S	3-2	4.	7/1/2 -5'	2021	א'								-
DI	RO	<4	1.8	<5.8	38							Self.	
R	RO	<1	2.0	<14	.7		N SA						1
				Parc	el.								-
		2	9050	0900	2015	00				2			
ith ina	th inage												
				2	See a							The second	AD COM
			2023 Mi	crosoft (Iorporatic	n © i	2023 Maxa		NES (202	3) Distrib	ution Airbu	is DS	Million
		-	Γ∩t	al	Pot	rc	تماد	In	n H	vdı	n	arho	n
		1		Cc		e	ntra	hti	on	s ir	i Sc	bil	
		Remedial Investigation Work Plan											
		Buse Timber & Sales - 3812 28th Place NE											
0						<u>د</u> ا 		Pro		JUII	Drawn	Annroved	
			Ape 801	x Con NW 4	npanies 2nd St	s, Ll reet	.C , #204	3	2-21001	485	JP	JF	Figure
	A	(PE)	XSea	ttle, V	/ashing	ton	98107		Ν	/larch	2024 ו	ļ	5



















Appendix A

Sampling and Analysis Plan/Quality Assurance Project Plan

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PURPOSE/BACKGROUND	1
	2.1 Purpose	1
	2.2 Background	4
3.0	PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND TASKS	4
4.0	DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES	5
	4.1 Decision	5
	4.2 Inputs	6
	4.3 Boundaries of Study	7
	4.4 Analytical Approach	7
	4.5 Acceptance Criteria	7
5.0	SAMPLE PROCESS DESIGN	7
	5.1 Direct-Push Sampling	7
	5.2 Monitoring Well Construction and Development	8
	5.3 Test Pit Sampling	8
	5.4 Surface Soil Sampling	9
	5.5 Drainage Control Structure Sampling	9
	5.6 Sediment Sampling	9
	5.7 Groundwater Monitoring	10
	5.8 Sample Location Control	11
	5.9 Decontamination Procedures	11
6.0	DOCUMENTATION	12
	6.1 Field Documentation	12
	6.2 Analytical Documentation	15
	6.3 Data Reduction	15
	6.4 Reporting	15
7.0	ANALYTICAL TESTING PROGRAM	15
8.0	SAMPLE CONTAINERS AND HANDLING	16
	8.1 Container Requirements	16
	8.2 Labeling Requirements	16
	8.3 Packaging and Shipping Requirements	17
9.0	DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES	17
	9.1 Personnel Decontamination	17
	9.2 Sampling Equipment	17
	9.3 Laboratory Decontamination	18
10.0	0 INVESTIGATION DERIVED WASTE HANDLING	18
11.0	0 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN	
	Remedial Investigation Work Plan – Buse Timber & Sales Inc. Pa	age A-i
	March 5, 2024	
/~\I~Ľ	- ^^ 32-21001485	

Appendix A – Sampling and Analysis Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan

11.1 Quality Assurance Objectives for Measured Data	.18
11.2 Quality Control	.21
11.3 Sampling Protocols	.25
11.4 Sample and Document Custody Procedures	.26
11.5 Instrument/Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance	.27
11.6 Instrument/Equipment Calibration Procedures and Frequency	.28
11.7 Data Reduction, Validation, and Reporting	.28
11.8 Field and Laboratory Corrective Action	.29
11.9 Corrective Actions	.30
11.10 Laboratory Quality Assurance Review	.30
2.0 REFERENCES	.31

Tables

A-1	Proposed Sampling and Analysis Plan
A-2	Analytical Methods – Sample Container Requirements
A-3	Soil Analytical Methods – Reporting Limit Goals
A-4	Sediment Analytical Methods – Reporting Limit Goals
A-5	Groundwater Analytical Methods – Reporting Limit Goals



1.0 Introduction

This Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) and Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) was prepared to present a detailed account of field and laboratory procedures for sampling at Buse Timber & Sales, Inc. (Site) in support of the Remedial Investigation (RI) under the Agreed Order number DE 21518 (AO). This SAP/QAPP was prepared in compliance with Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-340-820 and WAC 173-204-600 and includes the sampling and monitoring activities to be conducted during the Remedial Investigation in order to determine the lateral and vertical extent of contamination at the Site. These activities are in support of the Order between the State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) and 3812 Everett Partners, LLC (Everett Partners). The selected remedial action will address the presence of chemicals of potential concern (COPC) in surface and subsurface soil, groundwater, drainage sediment, and surface water sediment.

This SAP is a component of the RI Work Plan and is prepared in accordance with the Order to determine the proposed number of environmental samples and sampling approach based on data gaps and data quality objectives (DQOs). The QAPP within this SAP is prepared in accordance with the Guidance for Preparation of Quality Assurance Project Plans, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 10, Quality Data Management Program, QA/R-5 (EPA, 2002). The QAPP also follows Ecology's Guidelines for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans for Environmental Studies (Ecology, 2016), the Sediment Cleanup User's Manual (Ecology, 2021), and the Guidance on Wood Waste Cleanup (Ecology, 2013).

2.0 Purpose/Background

2.1 Purpose

The purpose of the RI is to gather sufficient information to design the remedial action for the Site. Based on site history and previous environmental investigations, the data gaps on the following page have been identified.



Appendix A – Sampling and Analysis Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan

Area & Target Media	Preliminary COPC	Data Gap			
Drainage Ditch System	Diesel-range total petroleum	Delineate lateral and vertical extent of COPC above			
-	hydrocarbons (TPH-Dx),	applicable cleanup levels. Perform additional			
	arsenic, nickel, mercury,	analysis of chlorinated phenols and PCBs where			
	chlorinated phenols,	reporting limits are above applicable cleanup levels.			
	polychlorinated biphenyls				
Target Media:	(PCBs), and dioxins/furans	Characterize wood waste in sediment and potential			
Sediment		affects to benthic community.			
	Total organic carbon (TOC);				
	ammonia; sulfide; benzoic				
	acid; and benzenemethanol				
Union Slough	TPH-Dx, arsenic, nickel,	Delineate lateral and vertical extent of COPC above			
(downstream tide gate)	mercury, chlorinated phenols,	applicable cleanup levels. Perform additional			
	PCBs, and dioxins/furans	analysis of chlorinated phenols and PCBs where			
		reporting limits are above applicable cleanup levels.			
	TOC; ammonia; sulfide;				
Target Media:	benzoic acid; and	Characterize wood waste in sediment and potential			
Sediment	benzenemethanol	affects to benthic community.			
Log Float Beach	TOC; ammonia; sulfide;	Characterize wood waste in sediment and potential			
	benzoic acid; and	affects to benthic community.			
Target Media:	benzenemethanol				
Sediment					
Former Waste Mixing	Dioxins/turans (soil only),	Delineate lateral and vertical extent of COPC			
Area	TPH-DX, chiorinated phenois,	previously above applicable cleanup levels.			
Torrat Madia		Perform additional investigation of COPC			
	nydrocarbons (PAIIs), 100,	associated with past and current Site activities.			
Sundwatar		Include investigation of wood waste, childrinated			
Vahiala Danair Shan	Motole (arconic, chromium	Complete shellow soil compling in order to define			
	metals (arsenic, chromium,	the extent of motels concentrations exceeding			
Target Media:					
Groundwater		Groundwater conditions associated with elevated			
Oroundwater		metals concentrations.			



Appendix A – Sampling and Analysis Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan

Area & Target Media	Preliminary COPC	Data Gap			
Former Underground	Gasoline-range TPH (TPH-	Complete additional investigation of this area to			
Storage Tank (UST)	Gx), TPH-Dx, VOCs, PAHs,	characterize the impacts from a documented UST			
Area	and lead	release per Model Toxics Control Act requirements.			
Target Media:					
Soil					
Groundwater					
Former	TPH-Dx, dioxins/furans,	Complete additional investigation and monitoring of			
Pentachlorophenol	metals, chlorinated phenols,	detections of COPC.			
(PCP) Dip Tank	PAHs, and VOCs				
Target Media:					
Soil					
Groundwater					
Aboveground Storage		Characterize drainage sump/oil-water separator			
Tank (AST) Area		downstream of 2023 AST release area.			
Torret Madia					
Suil					
Soil at Historical	TPH-Dx_dioxins/furans	Limited investigations have been performed pear			
Soli al Historical	metals, chlorinated phenols.	the historical stormwater drainage system			
	PAHs, and VOCs	Additional characterization of site COPC is required			
Target Media:		to determine potential need for remedial action			
501		Review plant files and complete additional			
		interviews to confirm historical drainage locations			
East Drainage Bank	TPH-Dx. dioxins/furans.	Impacts from known contaminants in drainage			
Soil	metals, chlorinated phenols,	sediment have not been fully delineated in the East			
001	PAHs, and VOCs	Drainage Additional soil characterization is needed			
Target Media:		to determine lateral and vertical extent of remedial			
Soil		action if necessary			



2.2 Background

The Site consists of two parcels of land (Parcel No. 29050400300600 and 29050900201500) comprising approximately 60.48 acres (Figure 2). Buse Timber & Sales Inc. has operated as a lumber mill since the mid-1940s. Current operations include a sawmill facility, log storage, and 11 buildings including the main office and maintenance and storage buildings (Figure 3).

The Site is situated on generally flat terrain at a surface elevation of approximately 1 foot above mean sea level (amsl). The nearest body of water is the Union Slough, located north and west adjacent to the Site. A drainage system encircles the Facility that discharges any collected water to Union Slough through a tide gate at the north end of the Site. Wetlands are present at the north end of the Site near the east and west drainage, along the Union Slough, and to the southwest near the south drainage. Emergent wetlands are present in the southern portion of the Site. Surrounding properties generally consist of commercial or industrial businesses.

3.0 Project Management and Tasks

As part of the RI Work Plan development and implementation, roles and responsibilities will be assigned to experienced scientists and engineers. Responsibilities of the team members, as well as laboratory project managers, are described below.

- Ecology Project Manager: David Horne Responsible for reviewing and approving work plans and reports pertaining to the remedial investigation at Buse Timber & Sales, Inc.
- Project Coordinator (Apex): John Foxwell Provides support for execution of Everett Partners responsibilities; responsible for reporting, coordinating subcontractor support, and managing the project schedule and budget.
- Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Manager and Database Coordinator (Apex): Katya English – Responsible for implementing the QA/QC procedures in this QAPP and managing the field and laboratory site database. Provides QA oversight for both the field sampling and laboratory programs, ensuring that samples are collected and documented appropriately, coordinating with the analytical laboratories, ensuring data quality, coordinating with data validation specialists, and supervising project QA coordination.
- Data Validation/Data Quality Review: Laura England-Witt Responsible for data validation of laboratory and data summary reports. Ensures integrity of data relative to DQOs and that the data is properly validated for use following National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Review (EPA, 2020a) and National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Review (EPA, 2020b). Ms. England-Witt is based in Apex's Pleasant Hill, California office and will complete the data validation as an independent third party.



- Field Manager (Apex): Corey Stout Field director for the remedial investigation. Responsible for coordinating all field activities in accordance with the RI Work Plan and this SAP. The field manager will arrange all sampling containers and oversee sampling, ensure standard operating procedures (SOPs) are being followed in the field, coordinate with the QA/QC manager and the project manager, and assist in managing wastes generated during the investigation.
- Laboratory Data Manager (Fremont Analytical): Brianna Barnes Project manager for the analytical laboratory contracted for the laboratory analysis. Fremont Analytical is responsible for conducting laboratory analysis and maintains a current certification from the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) and Ecology's laboratory accreditation program under WAC 173-50.
- Laboratory Data Manager (Ceres Laboratories): James Hedin Director of Operations for the analytical laboratory contracted for the analysis of dioxin/furan samples. Ceres Laboratories is responsible for conducting laboratory analysis and maintains a current certification from NELAP and Ecology's laboratory accreditation program under WAC 173-50.
- Project Scientists, Engineers, and Technicians (Apex): Includes qualified geologists, chemists, engineers, and field technicians supporting data collection, analysis, and reporting.

4.0 Data Quality Objectives

The general DQOs for this project are to develop and implement procedures for obtaining and evaluating data of a specified quality that can be used to evaluate site conditions. Samples will be collected to evaluate where contamination in the upland and drainage systems exceed acceptable levels for both human health and ecology. This will be achieved by conducting chemical characterization on a representative number of samples in order to delineate excess risk to health and the environment.

The objectives of the RI are: (1) define the vertical and lateral extent of contamination in upland soil and drainage sediment that poses excess risk to human and ecological health; (2) determine areas where cleanup may be necessary; (3) refine the conceptual site model (CSM); and (4) generate data to support a Feasibility Study (FS) and draft Cleanup Action Plan (CAP).

4.1 Decision

The decision uses environmental data to determine where remediation is necessary at the Site. Data collected for soil, groundwater, and sediment will be used to evaluate if contamination exceeds applicable cleanup and screening levels. Contaminant concentrations present will determine areas where remediation may be necessary.



The principal study questions include:

- 1. Are concentrations of COPC above acceptable levels for exposure to human receptors?
- 2. Are COPC concentrations above acceptable levels for ecological receptors?

Data obtained from this remedial design investigation will help to develop a draft CAP that is protective of human and ecological health.

4.2 Inputs

4.2.1 Information Sources

To support the decision, an evaluation of existing site information will be performed to aid in the identification of representative areas and COPCs. Existing site information will include (if available) a review of previous site reconnaissance, site plans, topographic maps, aerial photographs, lidar maps, geologic maps, soil survey information in the vicinity of the Site, previous investigation data, boring logs, well logs, geotechnical reports, and bathymetry maps for the Union Slough.

4.2.2 Basis of Information Needed

The information generated for this RI will need to represent a large area with multiple receptors. The location of the Site (adjacent to the Union Slough) is within an ecologically rich area that includes birds, mammals, plants, and invertebrates. Plans for changes to future use of the site have not been developed, and the mill is under a long-term operating agreement. To the extent feasible, cleanup decision making will factor in unrestricted land use. This RI will determine COPC concentrations that pose an unacceptable risk to these receptors and will support selection of the subsequent remedial action.

4.2.3 Sampling and Analysis Methods for Information Needed

Sampling procedures will use discrete samples to characterize data gap areas. Additional groundwater monitoring will be performed in areas where groundwater impacts may be present.

COPCs are discussed in Section 2.1. Laboratory preparation and analytical methods were chosen to meet appliable cleanup and screening levels using accredited methods and laboratories for analysis through NELAP and Ecology's environmental laboratory accreditation program.



4.3 Boundaries of Study

The target area includes the upland portion of the Site and perimeter drainage areas. Vertical delineation will be conducted to between 5 and approximately 20 feet below ground surface (bgs).

4.4 Analytical Approach

The analytical approach will be focused on COPC concentrations in comparison to applicable cleanup and screening levels. If COPC concentrations are above risk-based criteria for human or ecological health, then remedial action may be necessary to minimize the risk.

4.5 Acceptance Criteria

Errors in sampling and measurement contribute to the study error and result in corresponding decision error. If COPC concentrations exceed applicable cleanup and screening levels, then confirmation sampling/analysis may be necessary to support appropriate site management decisions. Criteria that will be evaluated include detection and reporting limits in comparison to remediation goals and cleanup levels to ensure that data obtained from chemical analysis is representative of actual Site conditions.

5.0 Sample Process Design

The RI sampling design builds upon previous investigations and evaluations to help develop a detailed CSM and further delineate concentrations to inform remedial actions. The rationale for the proposed sampling is further described in Section 4.0 of the RI Work Plan. Sampling locations are on Figures 11 and 12 of the RI Work Plan and sampling areas are presented with COPCs in Table A-1.

5.1 Direct-Push Sampling

Direct-push investigation is proposed in order to characterize the nature and extent of contamination in the areas of the former USTs. The anticipated depth to groundwater is approximately 15 feet bgs. Soil borings will be completed to the following depths:

- Former UST Area 20 feet bgs or until field screening results are negative;
- Vehicle repair shop 10 feet bgs or until field screening results are negative;
- AST release area drainage structure 20 feet bgs or until field screening results are negative; and
- Historical stormwater line 10 feet bgs or until field screening results are negative.

Field screening and boring installation will be conducted in accordance with Apex SOP 2.1 and SOP 2.4. Soil samples will be collected in accordance with SOP 2.4 and SOP 2.7. The SOPs are included in this appendix.


5.2 Monitoring Well Construction and Development

Groundwater monitoring wells will be constructed at the former UST area, former dip tank, former waste mixing area, vehicle repair shop, and AST area. One monitoring well will be installed at the former PCP dip tank. The monitoring wells will be constructed with 2-inch polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing approximately 20 feet deep with 10 feet of screen following SOP 2.13. The field geologist will document the well installation and construction activities. Details to be noted include the following:

- Length of well components;
- Measurements of bentonite, sand, and concrete depths;
- Types, brands, weights, and amounts of materials used;
- Documentation of decontamination; and
- Any deviation from standard procedures or problems encountered during the well installation activities.

The monitoring wells will be developed to establish a connection with the aquifer following SOP 2.14. Well development will be no earlier than 48 hours after well installation is completed. Development will be considered complete when the water is visually clear and field parameters have stabilized to within 10 percent of the previous measurement for three consecutive borehole volumes. Purge water will be drummed and profiled for proper disposal. The top of inner well casing elevation, as well as the x and y location coordinates for the newly installed wells, will be surveyed by a Washington licensed surveyor following well installation activities.

5.3 Test Pit Sampling

A test pit investigation will be completed to characterize the waste mixing area.

Eight test pit excavations will be completed across the area shown on Figure 11 of the RI Work Plan. One soil sample will be collected from within the organic-rich surface soil and one sample will be collected from the native soil immediately beneath the surface soil veneer following SOP 2.2 and SOP 2.3. The field scientist or engineer will log the test pit, describing indications of contamination based on visual inspection and lithology using the USCS in general accordance with ASTM 2487/2488. Other features such as sorting, sedimentary features, mineralogy, degree of weathering, contacts with other soil types, and proportional amount of organic material will be noted. Soil samples will be field screened for the presence of VOCs using olfactory observation, sheen tests, and a photoionization detector (PID). Field screening and test pit installation will be conducted in accordance with Apex SOP 2.1 and SOP 2.3 (attached).



5.4 Surface Soil Sampling

Surface soil samples will be collected along the East Drainage on either side of the drainage channel and the top of bank as per Figure 12 of the RI Work Plan. Sampling locations are shown on Figure 11 of the RI Work Plan, and a cross-section depicting the sampling approach is shown on Figure 13. Surface soil samples will be collected following SOP 2.2.

5.5 Drainage Control Structure Sampling

Catch-basin sediment and sediment within the former stormwater pipe will be collected using grab sampling techniques. When there is no standing water, samples will be collected directly from the drainage control feature using a decontaminated stainless-steel spoon. If standing water is present, an extension pole and bucket will be used to collect the sample, taking necessary steps to ensure fine particles are retained.

Discrete samples will be homogenized in stainless steel bowls prior to filling the sample container. For the composite sample from the former stormwater pipe, equal sample aliquots will be collected at different locations within the pipe. The aliquots will be placed into a stainless-steel bowl, homogenized, and placed into laboratory-supplied containers.

5.6 Sediment Sampling

Sediment sampling will be completed within the perimeter drainage system, and discrete sediment samples will be collected along the center axis of the drainage. Sediment sample locations are shown on Figure 12 of the RI Work Plan. Consistent with Section 3.4 of SCUM, sediment samples will be collected from a depth of 0 to 10 cm to characterize risks to the benthic community. At each sediment station, an additional sample will be collected from 12 to 24 inches below mudline to provide vertical delineation. Sediment samples will be discrete samples.

Sediment samples will be collected at low tide from the shore or a raft-based system. Sediment samples within the drainages will be collected using a miniature vibracore-style sampler called a Vibecore-mini made by Specialty Devices, INC. The Vibecore-mini is a direct push sampler with an electric motor that spins eccentric weights to create vibrations and advance the sample tube into soft sediments. Samples will be retrieved in a 3-inch outer diameter polycarbonate tube with a wall thickness of 0.125 inches. Sample catchers will be used to retain the sample inside the tube during removal from the sediment. In optimal conditions with soft sediments such as those present at the site, the Vibecore-mini is capable of advancing sample tubes up to 12 feet or more. It is anticipated that core recovery will be approximately 90% of the core run.

The sediment sample will be evaluated against the following acceptability criteria:

• Desired penetration depth is achieved;



- The sediment sampler is not overfilled (i.e., core length is equal to or less than penetration depth);
- When comparing the length of the recovered core with the core penetration depth:
 - If the recovered length of the sediment core is more than 60 percent of the penetration depth, keep the core;
 - If an insufficient amount of material is recovered, discard the core. Rinse the corer with drainage ditch water and prepare to make an additional attempt;
- A maximum of three attempts to collect a core are made for a given location; and
- The corer is rinsed with drainage ditch water between consecutive attempts.

If all three attempts to collect a core are unsuccessful based on recovery alone (i.e., less than 60 percent recovery), retain the final core for analysis and indicate that the targeted recovery was not achieved.

5.7 Groundwater Monitoring

5.7.1 Groundwater Elevation Measurements

Water level measurements will be collected in general accordance with Apex's SOP 2.16 for water level measurement procedures, provided in this appendix. Well covers and well caps will be opened, and the water level will be allowed to equilibrate under atmospheric conditions for at least five minutes before water level measurements are taken. Depth to water, depth to product, and/or presence of sheen will be recorded in the field notes. Noticeable odors, damage to wells, or other conditions will also be documented in the field notes.

5.7.2 Collection of Groundwater Samples

Apex will collect groundwater samples from monitoring wells in accordance with the low-flow sampling techniques described in SOP 2.5, included in this appendix. Water level monitoring will be attempted during sampling, and pumping drawdown will be restricted to less than 0.3 feet during groundwater monitoring events.

Groundwater samples will be collected using dedicated tubing and a peristaltic pump within the screened interval. Groundwater will be purged prior to sampling. During purging, groundwater field parameters (pH, oxidation-reduction potential [ORP], dissolved oxygen [DO], specific conductivity, and temperature) will be measured using a flow cell connected to the discharge tubing of the sample pump. Turbidity of the water will be monitored visually with color and clarity being recorded on the sampling data sheet. Purging will be considered complete when the water quality parameters have stabilized to within 10 percent and the water is visually clear for three consecutive three-minute intervals. Sample containers will be provided by the laboratory ready for sample collection. Table A-2 lists sample container requirements.



5.8 Sample Location Control

For all sample locations, horizontal sample location control of the sample increments will be achieved using a high-accuracy, handheld global positioning system device (GPS; Trimble© Gwo7X[™]) with sub meter accuracy.

Monitoring wells will be surveyed by a licensed surveyor. The survey will include determination of the horizontal location and elevation of the wells (State Plane Coordinate System for horizontal control and NAVD88 or other approved datum for vertical control). The top of bank (each side) and mudline elevation of the East Drainage will also be surveyed.

For the samples collected in Union Slough, a local tide gauge will be identified and slough levels will be monitored prior to sample collection.

5.9 Decontamination Procedures

The objectives of decontamination are to prevent: the introduction of contamination into samples from sampling equipment; cross contamination between stations (i.e., between each subsample); contamination leaving the site via sampling equipment or personnel; and exposure of field personnel to contaminated materials. This section discusses general procedures to be followed that will meet these objectives.

5.9.1 Sampling Equipment

To prevent cross-contamination with sampling equipment, disposable nitrile gloves will be changed between each sampling station and replaced prior to handling decontaminated instruments, equipment, or work surfaces.

Sampling Equipment. Decontamination procedures are designed to remove trace-level contaminants from sampling equipment to prevent cross-contamination between samples and equipment. All sampling equipment (e.g., stainless steel spoons and bowls) will be decontaminated between uses. Cleaning of non-disposable items will consist of washing in a non-phosphate detergent (e.g., Alconox®) solution and rinsing with tap water, followed by a deionized water rinse.

Personnel Decontamination. Personnel decontamination procedures should always be reviewed and evaluated prior to sampling. Based on the baseline sediment data, personal protective equipment (PPE) to prevent chemical exposures is not required. Regardless of the level of protection required, field personnel should thoroughly wash their hands and faces before taking any work breaks and at the end of the day.



6.0 Documentation

Project files including this SAP, SOPs, and other documents used for this project will be kept up-to-date and filed electronically in a central project folder. The most recent documents will only be present in the main project folder, and older versions will be kept at a separate archival location. All project personnel will have access to the main project folder, and any updates to these documents will be communicated electronically with all project staff by the Project Manager.

Records pertaining to the project will include field records, GPS system data, chain-of-custody forms, and laboratory documentation. All project records will be stored and maintained in a secure manner by the Port for a minimum of ten years. The Project Manager is responsible for filing the necessary documents and ensuring their completeness.

6.1 Field Documentation

Field activities and samples must be properly documented during the sampling process. Documentation of field activities provides an accurate and comprehensive record of the work performed sufficient for a technical peer to reconstruct the day's activities and provide certification that all necessary requirements were met. General requirements include:

- Use of project-specific field forms.
 - The specific information requested depends on the nature of the work being performed and on the form being used. Information fields that are not applicable should be noted "N/A" or with other appropriate notations.
- Use of bound, waterproof field books as the primary source for information collection and recording. Field books should be dedicated to the project and appropriately labeled.
 - Appropriate header information documented on each page, including project title, project number, date, weather conditions, changes in weather conditions, other persons (if any) in the field party, and author.
- Field documentation entries using indelible ink.
- Legible data entries. A single line should be drawn through incorrect entries and the corrected entry should be written next to the original strikeout. Strikeouts are to be initialed and dated by the originator.
- Applicable units of measurement with entry values.
- Field records maintained in project files unless otherwise specified by a client or stipulated by a contract.



• Representative photographs of project activities. These photographs should be representative of the work being performed, and specific to the project. There must be sufficient photographs to create a photographic log of events, if necessary, for reporting activities.

6.1.1 Documentation Entries

A chronology of field events will be recorded. General entry requirements include:

- Visitors to the Site;
- Summary of pertinent project communications;
- A description of the day's field activities, in chronological sequence using military time notation (e.g. 9:00 am: 0900, and 5:00 pm: 1700);
- If applicable, calibration of measuring and test equipment and identification of the calibration standard(s) and use of a Calibration Log, if available, with cross-reference entered into the field book;
- Field equipment identification, including type, manufacturer, model number, or other specific information;
- General weather conditions, including temperature, wind speed, and direction readings, including time of measurement and units;
- Safety and/or monitoring equipment readings, including time of measurements and units;
- If applicable, reference in the field notebook to specific forms used for collection of data;
- Other unusual events.

6.1.2 Specific Requirements

Sample Collection. Sample collection data will be documented in a bound field book and/or on a sample collection form. Where both are being used, information contained in one is cross-referenced to the other. Entries include:

- Sample identification number, location taken, depth interval, sample media, sample preservative, collection time, and date;
- Sample collection method and protocol;
- Physical description of the sample (standard classification system for soil ASTM D2488);
- If a composite sample, the sample's make up, including number and locations (i.e. coordinates) of individual contributing grab samples;
- Quality-control-related samples collected (e.g. duplicates, replicates, field blanks);
- Container description and sample volume;



- Pertinent technical comments; and
- Identification of personnel collecting the sample.

Sample Labeling. Sample labels must be prepared and attached to sample containers. Labels will either be provided by the laboratory performing the analyses or will be generated internally and will be water-proof and self-adhering. The information to be provided includes:

- Sample identification number;
- Sample date and collection time;
- Physical description of the soil sample;
- Analytical parameters;
- Preservatives, if present;
- Sample location; and
- Client.

Soil Logs. The field logbook will include clear information concerning sample collection activities. Sample logging will be completed for each boring and locations where composite samples are collected. Sample logs will be recorded on pre-printed log forms. In addition to standard entries of personnel, date, and time, the log sheet will also include the following information:

- Names(s) of personnel logging the samples;
- Administrative and technical information included in the header;
- Types of equipment used;
- Descriptions of subsurface materials encountered, and the number and type of samples collected, if any;
- Subsurface exploration depth and units of measure;
- Length of recovery, if applicable;
- Sample type and sample number for analytical samples collected (these data are also to be entered on the sample collection log, if used, and the sample label); and
- Narrative description of the soil (using standard classification system) and other pertinent information.



6.2 Analytical Documentation

All records pertaining to analytical data will be kept by the laboratory for a minimum of seven years. Analytical results will be provided as a PDF and electronic data deliverable (EDD) in a Microsoft Excel database format. Laboratory results for sample analysis will be stored electronically by Apex.

6.3 Data Reduction

Reports generated in the field and laboratory will be included with project reports. Data generated by the analytical laboratory will be provided electronically. The QA Manager, Laura England-Witt, will complete data validation of the analytical data package by reviewing for any discrepancies between this SAP, the chain-of-custody, analyses, and quality assurance. If any discrepancies are found, the analytical laboratory will be contacted for additional information.

For reporting purposes, EDDs provided in a database format will be used to generate analytical data tables. All reportable data in tables will be checked against original laboratory reports. Data provided on field notes to be presented in data tables will be entered manually and 100% of manually entered data will undergo a secondary check for accuracy.

6.4 Reporting

Results will be presented in a remedial design investigation report, and the data will be evaluated to determine if cleanup actions are required at the Facility. The remedial investigation report will include the following:

- Summary of field activities including field notes and forms;
- Sampling locations in both tabular and mapped format;
- Sampling results, tables, and discussion;
- Analytical data quality and validation review; and
- Screening of chemical analytical data against human and ecological health criteria.

7.0 Analytical Testing Program

This section summarizes the analytical testing program for upland soil to be collected as part of the RI Work Plan. Analytical testing will be completed in accordance with EPA-approved methods and this SAP. Each analytical testing method has been reviewed to comply with DQOs, as defined in Section 4.0. As such, contract laboratories are expected to meet the following requirements:



- Preparing and analyzing samples in accordance with analytical methods defined in this SAP;
- Reporting requirements for deliverables including electronic data;
- Turnaround times;
- Implementing QA/QC procedures as defined in the SAP and in compliance with laboratory accreditation;
- Communicating any QA/QC errors that may affect data quality; and
- Allowing audits, if necessary.

Table A-1 summarizes the proposed chemical analyses. Analytes, methods, analytical laboratories, method detection limits, minimum reporting limits, and target detection limit goals (applicable cleanup and screening levels) are listed in Tables A-3 through A-5. Method detection limits included in Tables A-3 through A-5 were calculated by each laboratory using instrument-specific MDL study data and statistical analysis.

Analytical methods will be performed by Fremont Analytical of Seattle, Washington and Ceres Analytical of El Dorado Hills, California. Turnaround time for data packages is expected to be between 2 and 3 weeks from the receipt of the last sample; however, turnaround times may be extended if complex matrices are encountered and require additional cleanup or dilution. Analytical data will be reported with standard QA/QC samples (method blanks, laboratory control samples, matrix spikes, laboratory duplicates, and surrogates).

8.0 Sample Containers and Handling

8.1 Container Requirements

Requirements for sample containers are provided in Table A-2. Samples will generally be collected in glass containers with Teflon®-lined lids to minimize adsorption and potential loss of analyte concentration. Containers will be supplied by the analytical laboratory.

8.2 Labeling Requirements

A sample label will be affixed to every sample container before sample collection. Sample labels must be water-proof and self-adhere to sample containers. Labels will be provided by the laboratory and require the following information, as discussed in Section 6.1:

- Sample identification number;
- Sample date and collection time;
- Physical description of the sample (e.g. water, solid, gas);



- Analytical parameters;
- Preservatives, if present;
- Sample location; and
- Client.

8.3 Packaging and Shipping Requirements

Each individual sample container will be wrapped with bubble wrap or other suitable packing material and immediately packed in a cooler with wet ice. One copy of the chain-of-custody form will be placed in a sealed plastic bag taped to the inside of the cooler lid. The samples will be either be delivered to the analytical laboratory by Apex or a courier service, or the laboratory will pick up the samples within 48 hours of collection. Chain-of-custody seals will be used for all samples shipped by courier.

9.0 Decontamination Procedures

Consistent decontamination procedures will be used for all sampling and laboratory procedures. The objectives of decontamination are to prevent the introduction of contamination into samples from sampling equipment or other samples, to prevent contamination from leaving the site via sampling equipment or personnel, to prevent exposure of field personnel to contaminated materials, and to prevent cross-contamination within the laboratory.

9.1 Personnel Decontamination

Personnel decontamination procedures depend on the level of protection specified for a given activity. Regardless of the level of protection required, field personnel should thoroughly wash their hands and faces before taking any work breaks and at the end of the day.

9.2 Sampling Equipment

Decontamination procedures are designed to remove trace-level contaminants from sampling equipment to prevent cross contamination between sample collection locations. To prevent cross contamination between sample locations, clean dedicated (if disposable) or decontaminated sampling equipment (if non-disposable) will be used for each sampling location and discarded or cleaned after use. Cleaning of non-disposable items will consist of washing in a detergent (e.g., Alconox[®]) solution, rinsing with tap water, followed with a deionized water rinse. This process will be repeated if visual signs of contamination are still present.



9.3 Laboratory Decontamination

Laboratory decontamination will involve strict adherence to laboratory SOPs and best practices. All work areas and equipment must be appropriately cleaned between samples to prevent cross-contamination. The laboratory will certify that laboratory-based contamination is not present by analyzing calibration blanks, instrument blanks, and/or method blanks at method-specified intervals. If contamination is present, then additional instrument cleaning or re-extraction may be necessary.

10.0 Investigation Derived Waste Handling

Investigation-derived waste (IDW) will consist of soil cuttings from drilling, decontamination water, monitoring well purge water, sampling materials (e.g., sample tubing), and PPE. Soil and water IDW will be placed in properly labeled drums and stored at a pre-approved location on the Site, pending receipt of chemical data to be used for profiling the waste for disposal. Based on the results, IDW will be transported to an appropriate facility for disposal or treatment. Sampling materials and PPE will be disposed of as solid waste.

11.0 Quality Assurance Project Plan

11.1 Quality Assurance Objectives for Measured Data

The elements included in this section are consistent with those specified in EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans, EPA QA/R-5 (EPA, 2002). The general QA objectives for this project are to develop and implement procedures for obtaining and evaluating data of a specified quality that can be used to evaluate soil conditions. To collect such information, analytical data must have an appropriate degree of accuracy and reproducibility, samples collected must be representative of actual field conditions, and samples must be collected and analyzed using unbroken chain-of-custody procedures.

The DQOs for this project are presented in Section 4.0 and were established based on the EPA Guidance for the Data Quality Objectives Process, EPA QA/G-4 (EPA, 2006). They are the basis for the design of the data collection plan and, as such, the DQOs specify the type, quality, and quantity of data to be collected and how the data are to be used to make the appropriate decisions for the project.

Method detection limits (MDL), minimum reporting limits (RL), and analytical results will be compared to action levels for each COPC in the media of concern as part of the DQOs. The MDLs and RLs listed in Tables A-3 through A-5 are the expected limits based on instrument capabilities. In some cases, sample matrix or high target analyte concentrations may increase these limits. If sample conditions are such that MDLs exceed the screening levels, an acceptable alternative will be determined.



Specific QA objectives are as follows:

- 1. Establish sampling techniques that will produce analytical data representative of the media being measured.
- 2. Ensure that data collection and measurement procedures are standardized among all participants.
- 3. Monitor the performance of the various measurement systems being used in the program to maintain statistical control and provide rapid feedback, so that corrective measures, if needed, can be taken before data quality is compromised.
- 4. Periodically assess the performance of these measurement systems and their components.
- 5. Verify that reported data are sufficiently precise, accurate, representative, comparable, and complete, so that they are suitable for their intended use.

Precision, bias, accuracy, representatives, completeness, comparability, and sensitivity parameters are used as data quality indicators (DQI) and are defined below.

11.1.1 Precision

Precision is a measure of the reproducibility of data under a given set of conditions. Specifically, it is a quantitative measure of the variability of a group of measurements compared to their average value. For duplicate measurements, precision can be expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD). Duplicate measurements can include the following field and laboratory QC samples: field duplicates; laboratory duplicates; matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) batch pairs; and/or laboratory control sample (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) batch pairs.

The RPD is calculated using the following equation:

(1)

 $RPD = \frac{X_s - X_d}{\left(X_s + X_d\right)/2} \times 100\%$

where:

 $X_{\rm s}\,$ = analytical result of the primary measurement

 X_d = analytical result of the duplicate measurement

11.1.2 Bias

Bias is the persistent distortion of measurement data that can cause an error in either direction (high or low). Bias can be determined from field blanks, trip blanks, equipment blanks, LCS/LCSDs, MS/MSDs, and surrogates.



11.1.3 Accuracy

Accuracy is the measure of error between the measured concentration and the accepted reference value. Accuracy is inferred from the recovery data of laboratory spiked samples. Quality assurance samples used to measure accuracy include: LCS/LCSDs, MS/MSDs, and surrogates. Surrogates are implemented when organic constituents are of interest.

Accuracy is calculated using the following equation:

(2)

$$A = \frac{X_{ss} - X_s}{T} * 100\%$$

where:

A	=	accuracy
X _{ss}	=	analytical result obtained from the spiked sample
Xs	=	analytical result obtained from the sample
Т	=	true value of the added spike

11.1.4 Representativeness

Representativeness is a measure of how closely the data reflect the characteristic of a population, variation in parameters at a single location, a process condition, or an environmental condition. This data quality indicator depends on the design and proper implementation of the sampling program. Development of sampling plans include considerations such as site history, geography, demography, waste present, field screening parameters, DQOs, analytical parameters and methods, and sampling approaches. Documentation of field activities will confirm that protocols are followed according to the sampling plan. In addition to documentation, QC samples are also used to show that field screening and laboratory results are representative of actual field conditions. These QC samples may include as appropriate: field blanks, trip blanks, equipment blanks, and field duplicates.

11.1.5 Completeness

Completeness is defined as the percentage of measurements made which are judged to be valid measurements. The completeness goal is essentially that a sufficient amount of valid data be generated. Completeness will be judged by the Project Quality Assurance Manager and the Project Manager based on laboratory data quality and adherence to field sampling protocols. The completeness goal for this project is 95%.



Completeness (percent complete, or PC) of the data is determined by the following equation:

(3)

$$PC = \frac{\text{Number of samples with valid data}}{\text{Number of planned samples}} * 100\%$$

11.1.6 Comparability

Comparability is a qualitative parameter expressing the confidence with which one data set can be compared with another. The objective is to assure that all data developed during the sampling are comparable. Comparability of the data will be assured by using EPA-defined procedures which specify sample collection, handling, and analytical methods.

11.1.7 Sensitivity

Sensitivity is the ability of an analytical method or instrument to discriminate between measurement responses representing different concentrations. This capability is established during the planning phase to meet project-specific objectives. It is important to be able to detect the target analytes at the levels of interest. Sensitivity requirements include the establishment of various limits, such as MDLs, project-specific RLs, and calibration requirements. The MDLs are normally based on an interference-free matrix (that is, reagent water or purified solid), which does not consider matrix effects and may not be achievable for environmental samples.

11.2 Quality Control

This section includes quality control checks that will be used to determine data quality.

11.2.1 Field Quality Control Samples

Equipment Blanks. An equipment blank is a sample prepared in the field by rinsing equipment with deionized blank water after decontamination. The laboratory then analyzes that rinsate water for target analytes to determine if cross-contamination may have been present in the field. An equipment blank will be collected for each sample collection method and will be analyzed by methods presented in Tables A-3 through A-5. A total of five equipment blanks are projected based on the sampling and processing techniques provided in Section 5.0. If contaminants are present at concentrations greater than the reporting limit, then cross-contamination may have occurred. If target analytes are detected in samples above the reporting limit and less than ten times the equipment blank concentration, then those samples may reflect possible high bias due to contamination and will be 'J+' flagged within data tables provided by Apex. Analytical data may not be corrected based on the concentration found in the equipment blank.



Field Duplicates. A field duplicate is a separate sample collected from the same location as the primary sample to document sampling and analytical precision and representativeness. Field duplicates will be collected at 10 percent of sample locations. The RPD for results greater than five times the reporting limit must be less than 50 percent for organic analytes and less than 35 percent for inorganic analytes (metals). For results that are less than five times the reporting limit, the absolute difference between the two results must be less than ± 2 times the reporting limit for organic analytes and $\pm RL$ for inorganic analytes. If either of these criteria are exceeded, then detected results will be 'J' flagged as estimated values.

11.2.2 Laboratory Quality Control Checks

Holding Times. The holding time requirements specified in Table A-2 are method derived and must be met to ensure true representation of field conditions. The holding time begins once the sample is collected and is dependent on sample preservation and collection procedures. A secondary holding time may occur for samples that require extraction and includes the time from sample preparation to analysis. Depending on the method, the holding time concludes when the sample is analyzed or when the sample is prepared. If holding times are exceeded detected results will be 'J' flagged and not detected results will be 'UJ' flagged. If gross exceedances occur (greater than two times the original holding time) then results will be 'R' flagged as unusable. Dioxins/furans are stable and persistent in the environment and do not have a recommended holding time; therefore, holding time exceedance will be evaluated based on professional judgement and national guidance.

Instrument Calibration. Instrument calibration includes periodic calibrations at defined intervals and operational calibrations that are performed daily. Qualified personnel will calibrate laboratory instruments prior to sample analysis according to the procedures specified in each method and the Laboratory QA/QC manager is responsible for ensuring that instruments are calibrated in accordance with SOPs. Calibration shall be verified at method-specified intervals throughout the analysis sequence, and standards must be vendor-certified. The frequency and acceptance criteria for calibration are specified for each analytical method. When multipoint calibration is specified, the concentrations of the calibration standards should bracket those expected in the samples. Samples should be diluted, if necessary, to bring analyte responses within the calibration range. Data that exceed the calibration range cannot be reported by the laboratory unless qualified as an estimated value. The initial calibration curve shall be verified as accurate with a standard purchased or prepared from an independent second source. The initial calibration verification range, each time the initial calibration is performed.

Calibration Blanks. A calibration blank or instrument blank will be prepared and analyzed before samples are to be analyzed and at continued method-specified intervals. Detections in the calibration blank must be less than five times the concentration detected in samples. If this is exceeded, the source of the contamination should be identified and corrected, and samples should be reanalyzed.



Instrument Performance Checks. Analysis methods that require a mass spectrometer detector must check instrument performance with an ion abundance standard. The ion abundance standard or tune check solution should meet method and instrument manufacturer guidelines. If the instrument check does not meet these criteria, then analysis should be halted, and the source of the error should be identified and corrected.

Sample Dilution. Dilutions must be made if sample concentrations exceed the upper limit of quantitation. Samples will be diluted to approximately the mid-range of the calibration curve and final dilution results must be above the reporting limit.

Surrogates. Surrogates are organic compounds that are similar in chemical composition to the analytes of interest but are not likely to be found in the environment. They are spiked at a known concentration into environmental and batch QC samples prior to sample preparation and analysis. Surrogate recoveries for environmental samples are used to evaluate matrix interference, sample preparation efficiency, and analysis performance on a sample-specific basis. Surrogates will be controlled according to method and laboratory criteria. If the recovery of the surrogate is above the upper control limit, then detected may be 'J+' flagged as estimated values that may be biased high, and not detected values may be 'J-' flagged as estimated values that may be biased low, and not detected values will be 'UJ' flagged as estimated not detected values at the reporting limit. If surrogates are outside of the control limit due to dilution, then results are considered estimated.

Method Blanks. A method blank is a guality control sample prepared by the laboratory from an analyte-free matrix similar to samples within the analytical batch. Method blank samples are analyzed along with environmental and other QC samples. They are prepared and analyzed exactly as other field samples within the analytical batch, following the same initial and final volumes, complete sample preparation, cleanup steps, and analytical procedures. This process is used to assess laboratory contamination or background interferences that might result in elevated concentration levels or false positive data. Results for the method blank must be below the reporting limit unless target analytes are not detected above the reporting limit within associated batch samples or the concentration found in the samples is ten times greater than the method blank concentration. If target analytes are present in the method blank and the sample, sample results must be compared to the method blank results prior to the calculation for dilutions, if a dilution was performed on the sample. Corrective action must be taken by the laboratory if target analytes are detected in batch samples above the reporting limit and less than ten times the method blank concentration. Depending on holding time violations and other factors, samples will need to be re-prepared and re-analyzed to eliminate the contamination source. Any samples that reflect possible high bias due to contamination will be 'B' flagged by the laboratory and 'J+' flagged within data tables provided by Apex. Analytical data may not be corrected based on the concentration found in the method blank.



Laboratory Duplicates. A laboratory duplicate is a secondary aliquot taken from a field sample by the laboratory which is prepared and analyzed by the laboratory by the same method specifications as other samples within the analytical batch. The RPD between the primary and duplicate analysis is calculated and demonstrates the precision of the laboratory. In soil and biphasic samples, it would also indicate homogeneity. RPD values should be less than the method or laboratory criteria. If the RPD control criteria is exceeded, detected results will be 'J' flagged as estimated values.

Laboratory Control Samples. The LCS will consist of analyte-free matrix, depending on the batch matrix, spiked with known amounts of target analytes that come from a source different than that used for calibration standards. The LCS is used to assess laboratory method performance by recovering analytes within a matrix and reflects accuracy within an analytical batch. If LCS results are outside the specified control limits, corrective action must be taken, including sample re-preparation and/or reanalysis, if appropriate. A LCSD is analyzed to assess precision by comparing the primary and duplicate individual analyte results. The RPD between the initial and duplicate LCS is calculated and must be within control limits. Any LCS recovery outside of QC limits affects results within the entire batch and will require qualification and corrective action.

Depending on the recovery of the target analyte, detected results may be 'J+' or 'J-' flagged as estimated values with either a high or low bias, respectively. If a target analyte is detected above the upper control limit and the associated sample is not detected for this same analyte, then no qualification is necessary. If the target analyte is recovered below the lower control limit and the analyte is not detected in the associated sample, then the not detected value is estimated at the reporting limit and is 'UJ' flagged.

If the RPD between the LCS and LCSD exceeds the control limit, then detected results will be 'J' flagged and not detected results will not be qualified.

Matrix Spikes. A matrix spike (MS) is a field sample spiked with target analytes of a known concentration before sample preparation and is analyzed by method specifications like other samples within the analytical batch. The recovery of target analytes indicates possible sample matrix interferences and possible bias can be assumed if recoveries are outside of control limits. A duplicate matrix spike (MSD) is analyzed and individual analyte results are compared to the initial MS, which is expressed as an RPD. If the MS or MSD exceed quality control criteria, then only the sample used as the source will be qualified. If the recovery or RPD of target analyte is outside of the control limit, then the analyte detections in the source sample will be 'J' flagged. If the analyte is not detected in the sample and the analyte recovery is below the lower control limit, then data will be 'UJ' flagged.



11.2.3 Quality Control Flags and Qualifiers

Data qualifier flags, if required, are defined below, and will be applied to the electronic sample results. If multiple flags are required for a result, the most severe flag will be applied to the electronic result. The hierarchy of flags from the most severe to the least severe will be as follows: R, J, UJ, U.

Flag	Definition
J	The reported value is an estimated concentration of analyte in the sample. For dioxin/furan
	results, the estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC) will be reported.
J+	Failure of quality control criteria suggest result is estimated and biased high.
J-	Failure of quality control criteria suggest result is estimated and biased low.
R	Quality control criteria was not met, and the resulting data is rejected.
U	This analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the specified detection limit.
UJ	The analyte was not detected in the sample, but the quantitation limit is estimated due to quality
	control failures.

11.3 Sampling Protocols

11.3.1 Methods

Sampling methods are presented in Section 5. These procedures are designed to ensure that:

- All samples collected are consistent with DQOs; and
- Samples are identified, handled, and transported in a manner that does not alter the representativeness of the data from the actual site conditions.

11.3.2 Sample Containers, Preservation, and Holding Times

The contracted analytical laboratory will provide the required sample containers for all samples, including QC. All containers will have been cleaned and certified free of the analytes of concern for this project. Sample containers may not be reused. The contracted laboratory will add analyte-free preservatives to sampling containers in accordance with the specific analytical methods. The case narrative will include any container issues and what corrective action was taken, if any.

The containers, required preservatives, and maximum holding times for project analytes are described in Table A-2.



11.4 Sample and Document Custody Procedures

The various methods used to document field sample collection and laboratory operation are presented below and in Section 6.

11.4.1 Field Chain-of-Custody Procedures

Sample chain of custody refers to the process of tracking the possession of a sample from the time it is collected in the field through the laboratory analysis. A sample is considered to be under a person's custody if:

- It is in a person's physical possession;
- In view of the person after possession has been taken; or
- Secured by that person so that no one can tamper with the sample or secured by that person in an area which is restricted to authorized personnel.

A chain-of-custody form is used to record possession of a sample and to document analyses requested. Each time the sample bottles or samples are transferred between individuals, both the sender and receiver sign and date the chain-of-custody form. When a sample shipment is transported to the laboratory, a copy of the chain-of-custody form is included in the transport container (i.e., ice chest).

The chain-of-custody forms are used to record the following information:

- Sample identification number;
- Sample collector's signature;
- Date and time of collection;
- Description of sample;
- Analyses requested;
- Shipper's name and address;
- Receiver's name and address; and
- Signatures of persons involved in chain-of-custody.

11.4.2 Laboratory Sample Custody

Once the samples reach the laboratory, all information on the chain-of-custody form will be checked against sample labels for discrepancies. The condition, temperature, and appropriate preservation of samples will be checked and documented on the chain-of-custody form. The sample integrity issues in the received samples



and their resolution will be documented in laboratory records. All sample information will then be entered into a laboratory information management system, and unique analytical sample identifiers will be assigned.

Sample holding time tracking begins with the collection of samples and continues until the analysis is complete. Subcontracted analyses will be documented with the chain-of-custody form. Temperatures of storage refrigerators will be checked twice daily and recorded by the analytical laboratory. Samples will be stored by the laboratory and disposed of in accordance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations. Disposal records will be maintained by the laboratory.

11.4.3 Analytical Documentation

All records pertaining to analytical data will be kept by the laboratory for a minimum of five years. Where applicable by analytical method, these records may include: calibration data, instrumentation performance checks, matrix checks, internal standard recovery data, surrogate recovery data, qualifier ion and spectra data, blank analysis data, retention times, second-column compound confirmation, method detection limit studies, reporting limit standard recoveries, laboratory analytical batch quality control samples, analytical run logs, analytical batches, bench sheets, sample storage logs, and proficiency testing information.

11.4.4 Corrections to Documentation

All original data are recorded in field notes and on chain-of-custody forms using indelible ink. Documents will be retained even if they are illegible or contain inaccuracies that require correction.

If an error is made on a document, the individual making the entry will correct the document by crossing a line through the error, entering the correct information, and initialing and dating the correction. Any subsequent error discovered on a document is corrected, initialed, and dated by the person who made the entry.

11.5 Instrument/Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance

This section presents the procedures for testing, inspection, and maintenance for field and laboratory equipment.

11.5.1 Field Instrument/Equipment

Maintenance responsibilities for field equipment are assigned to the field team leader for specific sampling tasks. However, the field team using the equipment is responsible for checking the status of the equipment prior to use and reporting any problems encountered. Field equipment will be inspected daily before the start of work. Maintenance will be performed following manufacturers' guidelines or when equipment is not performing optimally (not calibrating correctly, apparent drift in readings, or giving readings that are not likely for the apparent field condition). Equipment will be tested before leaving for the field site. If any errors are



indicated, the field equipment will not be used, and backup equipment will be rented from a reputable rental company until the faulty equipment can be serviced. Any equipment that cannot be serviced will be replaced. Equipment/instruments that may be used in the field include a PID, a handheld global positioning system device (GPS; Trimble© Geo7X[™]), multi-parameter water quality meters, and the Vibecore-mini sediment sampler.

11.6 Instrument/Equipment Calibration Procedures and Frequency

This section presents the calibration procedures and frequency for field and laboratory equipment.

11.6.1 Field Instrument/Equipment

Field equipment will be calibrated before the start of work. Calibration will be in accordance with procedures and schedules outlined in the manufacturer's operations manual. Calibrated equipment will be uniquely identified by using either the manufacturer's serial number or other means. Equipment that fails calibration or becomes inoperable during use will be removed from service and either segregated to prevent inadvertent use or tagged to indicate it is out of calibration. Such equipment will be repaired and satisfactorily recalibrated. Equipment that cannot be repaired will be replaced.

11.7 Data Reduction, Validation, and Reporting

Data validation will be completed following National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Review (EPA, 2020a) and National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Review (EPA, 2020b). Analytical data will be validated using Stage 2B criteria for all analyses except dioxins/furans. This includes a case narrative, sample matrix, collection date/time, receipt date/time, sample results corrected for dilution, dilution factors, detection limits, reporting limits, units, extraction/preparation date/time, analysis date/time, qualifiers with definitions, quality control sample results (surrogates, method blank, laboratory control samples, matrix spikes, laboratory duplicates), quality control sample recovery limits, chain-of-custody documentation, and sample integrity observations upon receipt.

For dioxin/furans, Stage 4 data validation will be completed. This includes the completeness and compliance complete for Stage 2B, as well as recalculation checks and review of actual instrument outputs.

Analytical results will be provided as a PDF and EDD in a Microsoft Excel database format. Hard copy data for Stage 4 data validation will be provided by the laboratory to facilitate recalculation checks and review of instrument outputs. Laboratory results for sample analysis will be stored electronically by Apex.



11.8 Field and Laboratory Corrective Action

Conditions adverse to data quality must be promptly investigated, evaluated, and corrected. Adverse conditions may include instrument malfunctions, deficiencies in quality control criteria, deviations from SOPs, and errors in data reduction, validation, or documentation.

11.8.1 Field Corrective Action

Any project team member may initiate a field corrective action process. The corrective action process consists of identifying a problem, acting to eliminate the problem, monitoring the effectiveness of the corrective action, verifying that the problem has been eliminated, and documenting the corrective action.

Field corrective actions can include such activities as correcting chain of custody forms, solving problems associated with sample collection, re-packing samples to ensure sample integrity, correcting an entry in field notes, or providing a team member with additional training in sampling procedures. More extensive corrective actions might involve re-sampling or evaluating and revising sampling procedures. The field team leader will summarize the problem, establish possible causes, and designate the person responsible for a corrective action. The field team leader will then verify that the initial action has been taken and that it appears to be effective. Finally, the field team leader will follow up at a later time to verify that the problem has been resolved.

If a corrective action could potentially affect the quality of the analytical process, the field team leader must notify the Project Manager immediately.

11.8.2 Laboratory Corrective Action

The analytical laboratories analyze samples according to specific methods with required QC standards. All analytical data are reviewed to ensure that the required QC measures have been taken and that all specified QC standards have been met. Some examples of situations that might require laboratory corrective action include the following:

- QC data are outside the control limit ranges for precision and accuracy established for laboratory samples;
- Blanks contain target analytes above acceptable levels;
- Deficiencies are detected by the laboratory QA director during internal or external audits, or from the results of performance evaluation samples;
- Undesirable trends are detected in QC data;
- There are unusual changes in detection limits; and/or
- Inquiries concerning data quality are received.



If the bench analyst identifies a QC violation, corrective action will be taken immediately. The analyst will notify his or her supervisor of the problem and the investigation. Some examples of analyst-level corrective action can include the following:

- Recalculating mathematical calculations;
- Reanalyzing suspect samples; and/or
- Recalibrating analytical instruments.

If the problem persists or cannot be identified, the matter must be referred to the laboratory supervisor and QA/QC officer for further investigation. All laboratory QC problems that could affect the quality of the final data should be discussed with the Project Manager as part of the corrective action process. Some examples of managerial-level corrective action include the following:

- Evaluating and amending sub-sampling or analytical procedures;
- Resampling and analyzing new samples; and/or
- Qualifying or rejecting the data.

Once resolved, full documentation of the corrective action must be included with the applicable data package prior to submittal to the project manager. Any substantive changes that may affect data quality will be communicated with Ecology.

11.9 Corrective Actions

If the quality control audit detects unacceptable conditions or data, the Project Manager will be responsible for developing and initiating corrective action. Corrective action may include the following:

- Reanalyzing the samples, if holding time criteria permit;
- Resampling and analyzing;
- Evaluating and amending sampling and analytical procedures; and
- Accepting data and acknowledging the level of uncertainty or inaccuracy by flagging the data.

11.10 Laboratory Quality Assurance Review

A QA review will be conducted that presents a QA/QC evaluation of the data collected during the sampling activities for inclusion in the final report. In addition to an opinion regarding the validity of the data, the QA/QC evaluation will address the following:

• Any adverse conditions or deviations from the SAP;



- Assessment of analytical data for precision, accuracy, and completeness evaluated based on criteria developed in this SAP;
- Significant QA problems and recommended solutions; and
- Corrective actions taken for any problems previously identified.

12.0 References

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002. *Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans, QA/R-5.* EPA Publication 240/R-02/009. December 2002.
- EPA, 2020a. National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Review. November 2020.
- EPA, 2020b. National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Review. November 2020.
- Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology), 2016. *Guidelines for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans for Environmental Studies.* Ecology Publication 04-03-030. July 2004. Revised December 2016.
- Ecology, 2013. Wood Waste Cleanup. Identifying, Assessing, and Remediating Wood Waste in Marine and Freshwater Environments. September 2013.
- Ecology, 2021. Sediment Cleanup User's Manual (SCUM). March 2015, revised December 2021.



Table A-1 Proposed Sampling and Analysis Plan Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

								Analysis					
Area	Matrix	Sampling Frequency	TPH-Gx	TPH-Dx	TPH-Dx with Silica Gel Cleanup	тос	VOCs	Metals	SVOCs	PCBs	PAHs	Dioxins/Furans	Wood Waste
Former UST Area	Soil	Single	Х	Х	D		Х	D			D		
	Groundwater	Single	Х	Х	D		Х						
	Groundwater	Quarterly*	Х	Х	D		D	D			D		
Vehicle Repair Shop	Soil	Single						Х					
	Groundwater	Quarterly*						Х					
Former Waste Mixing Area	Soil	Single		Х	Х	Х	D	D	Х			Х	
	Groundwater	Quarterly*		Х	D	D	D	D	Х				
Former PCP Dip Tank	Soil	Single		Х	D	D	D	D	Х			Х	
	Groundwater	Quarterly*		Х	D	D	D	D	Х				
AST Release Area	Soil	Single		Х	D	D	D				D		
	Groundwater	Quarterly*		Х	D	D	D				D		
Historical Stormwater Drain	Soil	Single		Х	D	D	D	Х	Х			Х	
	Sediment	Single		Х	Х	Х	D	Х	Х	Х		Х	
Current Stormwater System	Sediment	Single		Х	D	D	D	Х	Х	Х		Х	
East Drainage	Soil	Single		Х	D	D	D	Х	Х	D		Х	
	Sediment	Single		Х	Х	Х	D	Х	Х	D		Х	Х
South Drainage	Sediment	Single		Х	Х	Х	D	Х	Х	D		Х	Х
West Drainage	Sediment	Single		Х	Х	Х	D	Х	Х	D		Х	Х
I-5 Drainage	Sediment	Single		Х	Х	Х	D	Х	Х	D		Х	Х
Union Slough	Sediment	Single		Х	Х	Х	D	Х	Х	D		Х	Х
Log Float Beach	Sediment	Single											Х

Notes:

1. VOCs = Volatile organic compounds.

2. PAHs = Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

3. UST = Underground storage tank.

4. PCBs = Polychlorinated biphenyls.

5. TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons.

6. SVOCs = Semi-volatile organic compounds.

7. PCP = Pentachlorophenol.

8. TOC = Total Organic Carbon

9. Wood waste = Parameters including ammonia, sulfide, benzoic acid, and benzenemethanol.

10. D = Discretionary analysis based on field screening or preliminary results.

11. X = planned for laboratory analysis.

* = Quarterly sampling will begin after final monitoring well locations have been installed.

Table A-2 Analytical Methods – Sample Container Requirements Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Analysis	Analysis Method	Container	Holding Time
Soil			
TPH-Dx	NWTPH-Dx		14 days
Metals	EPA 6020B		180 days
Mercury	EPA 6020B	1 x 4-ounce glass jar	28 days
SVOCs	EPA 8270E SIM		14 days
Total Organic Carbon	EPA 9060		28 days
Dioxins/Furans	EPA 8290	1 x 4-ounce glass jar	None
TPH-Gx/VOCs	NW TPH-Gx & EPA 8260	2 x 40 mL MeOH glass vial	14 days
Sediment			
TPH-Dx	NWTPH-Dx		14 days
Metals	EPA 6020B		180 days
Mercury	EPA 6020B	1 x 16-ounce class iar	28 days
SVOCs	EPA 8270E	TX TO-Ourice glass jai	14 days
Ammonia	SM 4500		28 days
Total Organic Carbon	EPA 9060		28 days
Dioxins/Furans	EPA 8290	1 x 4-ounce glass jar	None
VOCs	EPA 8260	1 x 4-ounce glass jar	14 days
Sulfides	SM 4500	1 x 4-ounce glass jar with Zinc Acetate	7 days
Groundwater			
Metals	EPA 6020B	1 x 250 mL HNO3 HDPE	180 days
Mercury	245.1	bottle	28 days
SVOCs	EPA 8270	1 x 1 L amber glass bottle	7 days
TPH-Gx	NWTPH-Gx	3 x 40 mL HCI glass vial	14 days
VOCs	EPA 8260	3 x 40 mL HCl glass vial	14 days
TPH-Dx	NWTPH-Dx	1 x 500 mL HCl Amber	14 days
TPH-Dx with Silica Gel Cleanup	NWTPH-Dx	1 x 500 mL amber glass bottle	14 days

Notes:

1. EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency.

- 2. VOCs = Volatile organic compounds.
- 3. SVOCs = Semi-volatile organic compounds.
- 4. PAHs = Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.
- 5. MeOH = Methanol.
- 6. HNO_3 = Nitric acid.
- 7. HCl = Hydrochloric acid.

- 8. TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons.
- 9. Dx = Diesel range organics and heavy oils.
- 10. Gx = Gaoline range organics.
- 11. HDPE = High-density polyethylene.

Table A-3 Soil Analytical Methods – Reporting Limit Goals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Method	Analytical	Units	Method	Method	Soil Method B Direct	Soil Method B Direct	Soil Protective of Groundwater	Ecolo Concentra	gical Indicato tions (WAC T	or Soil able 749-3)	Natural or Regional
Total Datalaum Undragenhaus (TD	Λ	Laboratory		Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Noncancer (mg/kg)	Contact Cancer (mg/kg)	degrees C (mg/kg)	Plants	Soil Biota	Wildlife	Background Concentrations
Gasoline Range Organics	nwtph-gx	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	1,7327	5	-	-	-		100	2000 ⁶	
Diesel Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	13.1469	50	-	-	-		200	2000 ⁶	
Residual Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	18.2345	100	-	-	-		200	2000 ⁶	
Diesel Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx-SGC	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	13.1469	50	-		-		200	2000 6	
Residual Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx-SGC	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	18.2345	100					200	2000 °	
2.3.7.8 TCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	ma/ka	0.00000086	0.0000005	0.0000930	0.0000130	0.000008				
1,2,3,7,8 PeCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.000000232	0.0000025	-	-	-				
1,2,3,4,7,8 HxCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000547	0.0000025	-		-				
1,2,3,6,7,8 HxCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000497	0.0000025							
1,2,3,7,8,9 HxCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.000000723	0.0000025			-				
1,2,3,4,0,7,0 HPCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.000000327	0.0000025	-		-				
2.3.7.8 TCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	ma/ka	0.000000105	0.0000005	-	-	-				
1,2,3,7,8 PeCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.000000415	0.0000025	-	-	-				
2,3,4,7,8 PeCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000345	0.0000025	-		-				
1,2,3,4,7,8 HxCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000281	0.0000025	-	-	-				-
1,2,3,6,7,8 HXCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8 HxCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.000000311	0.0000025	-	-	-				
1.2.3.7.8.9 HxCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	ma/ka	0.000000483	0.0000025	-		-				
1,2,3,4,6,7,8 HpCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000376	0.0000025							
1,2,3,4,7,8,9 HpCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000268	0.0000025							
Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, mixture	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000547	0.0000025		0.000160	0.00020				
OCDF Tatal TEO	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000095	0.000005							
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs	Calculated		nig/kg	0.000001165	0.0000050	0.0000930	0.0000130	0.0000170	-		0.000002	0.0000032
Acetone	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.07539	0.25	72000		29				
Benzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01064	0.0175	320	18	0.027				
Bromobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00397	0.0125	640		0.56				
Bromodichiorometriane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.00721	0.025	1600	130	0.36				
Bromomethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.03523	0.025	110		0.051				
2-Butanone (MEK)	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.10919	0.3	48000		20				
Carbon Tetrachloride	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00545	0.025	320	14	0.041				
Chlorobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00454	0.015	1600		0.86		40		
Chloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.00471	0.015	1600	12	0.024				-
Chloroform	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.00552	0.0175	800	32	0.074				
Chloromethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01626	0.05	-		-				
2-Chlorotoluene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00556	0.0165	1600		1.9				
4-Chlorotoluene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00546	0.0165	1600		1.9				
Isopropylbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00545	0.015			-				
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical Fremont Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.01044	0.03	720	0.5	0.00027				-
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.00633	0.02	7200		7				
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00617	0.02	-	-	-				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00432	0.015	5600	190	1.2		20		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00535	0.015	16000		38				
1,1-Dichloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.00862	0.025	16000	180	0.041				-
1.1-Dichloroethene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.02923	0.02	4000	-	0.046				-
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00527	0.015	160	-	0.079				
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00434	0.01	1600	-	0.52				-
1,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00803	0.025	3200	27	0.025		700		-
1,1-Dichloropropene 1,3-Dichloropropane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical Fremont Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.00721	0.02		-					-
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.00523	0.015	2400	10	0.002				-
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0062	0.02	2400	10	0.002				
Ethylbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01343	0.025	8000	-	5.9				
Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01244	0.04	80	13	0.012				
Z-Hexanone	EPA 8200 EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.02319	0.0025	400		0.17 72				
p-Isopropyltoluene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00606	0.2	-						
Methylene Chloride	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01644	0.035	480	94	0.022				
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK)	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0192	0.2	6400	-	2.7				
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00733	0.02		560	0.1				-
Naphthalene n-Propylbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.03855	0.1	1600	-	4.5				-
Styrene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.00301	0.013	16000	_	2.2	300			-
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00457	0.025	2400	38	0.0098				
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00625	0.2	1600	5	0.0012				
Tetrachloroethene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00471	0.015	480	480	0.05				-
I Oluene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/Kg	0.02072	0.03	64UU 64	-	4.5	200	20		-
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0193	0.06	800	34	0.2		20		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00633	0.02	160000	-	1.5				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00386	0.0125	320	18	0.017				
Trichloroethene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00522	0.015	40	12	0.025				
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00661	0.005	2400000		7600				
1,2,3-1 richloropropane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical Fremont Analytical	mg/Kg mg/ka	0.00939	0.03	320 800	0.0003	0.0000024				
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00472	0.015	800		1.3				
Vinyl Chloride	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00806	0.025	240	0.67	0.0017				
m,p-Xylene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.02541	0.05	16000	-	13				
o-Xylene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01136	0.025	16000	-	14				
n-Butyibenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/Kg	0.00634	0.02	4000	-	14				-
tert-Butylbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00527	0.015	8000	-	20				

Please see notes at end of table.

Table A-3 Soil Analytical Methods – Reporting Limit Goals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Method	Analytical	Units	Method	Method Reporting Limit	Soil Method B Direct Contact	Soil Method B Direct Contact	Soil Protective of Groundwater	Ecolo Concentra	ogical Indicato tions (WAC T	or Soil able 749-3)	Natural or Regional Background
Sami Valatila Ormania Comonunda	(8)/(00-)	Laboratory		Detection Limit		Noncancer (mg/kg)	Cancer (mg/kg)	degrees C (mg/kg)	Plants	Soil Biota	Wildlife	Concentrations
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds	(SVOCS)	Fromont Applytical	malka	0.01122	0.03	800	24	0.56		20		
1,2,4- ITICIII0I ODEIIZEIIE	EPA 0270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01125	0.03	7200	34	0.56		20		
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.01638	0.04							
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01292	0.03	5600	190	1.2		20		
1-Methylnaphthalene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00513	0.03	5600	34	0.082				
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00885	0.03	8000	-	58	4	9		
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01266	0.03	80	91	0.092		10		
2,4-Dichlorophenol 2,4 Dimethylphonol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00441	0.03	240		0.33				
2,4-Dintertyphenol	EPA 0270 EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.12895	0.03	1600		4.4	20			
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.02424	0.06	72	1.5	0.002				
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01446	0.04	72	1.5	0.002				
2-Chloronaphthalene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00635	0.03	6400		34				
2-Chlorophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01444	0.04	400		0.47				
2-Methylnaphthalene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00735	0.03	320	-	1.7				
2-Methylphenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01559	0.04	4000		8.1				
2-Nitrophenol	EPA 0270 EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01980	0.05	000		-				
3+4-methylphenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.01291	0.03	8000		22				
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.10970	0.25	6.4		0.024				
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01178	0.03							
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01141	0.03	8000	-	22				
4-Chloroaniline	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00891	0.03	320	5	0.0027				
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00811	0.03			-		7		
4-Nitrophenoi Acenaphthene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.05395	0.2		-			1		
Acenaphthene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.00627	0.03	4000						
Anthracene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00554	0.03	2400		1100				
Benz(a)anthracene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00810	0.03	-	-					
Benzo(a)pyrene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01454	0.04	24	0.19	3.9			12	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01058	0.1							
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.02892	0.1			-				
Benzo(k)fluorantnene Benzyl alcohol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01002	0.03			7.1				
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EPA 0270 EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.00566	0.13	240		0.21				
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.01531	0.05		0.91	0.00022				
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01125	0.04	1600	71	13				
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.07361	0.2	48000	830	290				
Butyl benzyl phthalate	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01473	0.05	16000	530	13				-
Carbazole	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00646	0.03	-	-	-				
Chrysene Di a butul abthalata	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01150	0.05							
Di-n-octyl phthalate	EPA 0270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01061	0.03	800		450	200			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.03891	0.1			+50	200			
Dibenzofuran	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00598	0.03	80		1.5				
Diethyl phthalate	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.24043	0.75	64000	-	72	100			
Dimethyl phthalate	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	1.61438	3.5	-	-	-		200		
Fluoranthene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00882	0.03	3200		630				
Fluorene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00500	0.03	3200		51		30		
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 8270 EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg ma/ka	0.00565	0.03	64 80	0.63	0.44				-
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.02217	0.1	480		1.5	10			
Hexachloroethane	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01259	0.04	56	25	0.0088				
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.06911	0.2							
Isophorone	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01306	0.04	16000	1100	0.49		-		
N-nitrosodipropylamine	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.02747	0.08	-	0.14	0.00012		20		
Naphthalene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.01222	0.04	1600	-	4.5				
Pentachlorophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/Kg mg/kg	0.07165	0.05	400	25	0.1		40		
Phenanthrene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.00791	0.03		-					
Phenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00791	0.03	24000		37	70	30		
Pyrene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.04784	0.15	2400		330				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	(PAHs)			0.000005	0.00	0.4000		4400		·	-	
Anthracene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.002685	0.02	24000		1100				
Acenaphthene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.002935	0.02	+000	-	49	20	-		
Benzo(a)anthracene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.007103	0.02			-				
Benzo(a)pyrene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kq	0.009169	0.03	24	0.19	3.9			12	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.007715	0.025		-	-				
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.021665	0.05	-	-	-				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.008784	0.025							
Chrysene Dihearte bhatta	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.003497	0.02	-	-	-				
Dipenz(a,n)anthracene	EPA 02/UE-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.022052	0.05	3200	-	630				
Fluorene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg mg/kg	0.007295	0.02	3200	-	51		30		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pvrene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.007141	0.04	0200		-				
Naphthalene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.004272	0.02	1600	-	4.5				
Phenanthrene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.005590	0.02	-	-	-				
Pyrene	EPA 8270E-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.009139	0.04	2400	-	330				
1-Methylnaphthalene 2. Mothylnaphthalene	EPA 82/UE-SIM	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.003078	0.02	5600 320	54	0.082		-		
∠-ivieu iyinaprimalehe	EFA 02/UE-OIN	T TEHTOTIL AHAIYUCAL	mg/Kg	0.003700	0.02	JZU	-	1.7				

Please see notes at end of table.

Table A-3 Soil Analytical Methods – Reporting Limit Goals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Method	Analytical Laboratory	Units	Method	Method	Soil Method B Direct	Soil Method B Direct	Soil Protective of Groundwater	Ecological Indicator Soil Concentrations (WAC Table 749-3)			Natural or Regional
				Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Noncancer (mg/kg)	Cancer (mg/kg)	degrees C (mg/kg)	Plants	Soil Biota	Wildlife	Concentrations
Metals												
Arsenic	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0511	0.25	24	0.67	2.9	10	60	132	7
Barium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.1498	0.5	16000		1600	500		102	
Cadmium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0058	0.02	80		0.69	4	20	14	1
Chromium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0551	0.25				42	42	67	48
Copper	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.2121	0.75	3200		280	100	50	217	36
Lead	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0352	1			3000	50	500	118	24
Mercury	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0117	0.2			2.1	0.3	0.1	5.5	0.07
Nickel	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0371	0.25	1600		420	30	200	980	48
Selenium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0682	1	400		5.2	1	70	0.3	
Silver	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0048	0.02	400		14	2			
Zinc	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	1.1057	3.5	24000		6000	86	200	360	85
Notes:												

EW = Ecological Indicator Soil Concentrations (WAC Table 749-3) for Wildlife
 Soil cleanup levels from the MTCA Method A and B from WAC 173-340 (January 2023 update).
 Backgound concentrations from Natural Background Soil Metals Concentrations in Washington State Table 1.

 Notes:

 1. mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram.

 2. EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency.

 3. -- = Not available or not applicable.

 4. Soil cleanup levels from the MTCA Method A and B from WAC 173-340 (January 2023 update).

 5. SGC = Silica Gel Cleanup

 6. Ecological indicator soil concentration revised downward to account for residual saturation.

 8. EP = Ecological Indicator Soil Concentrations (WAC Table 749-3) for Plants

 9. ES = Ecological Indicator Soil Concentrations (WAC Table 749-3) for Soil

Table A-4 Sediment Analytical Methods – Reporting Limit Goals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

						Sediment M Stand	anagement lards	
						Sediment	Cleanup	Natural or
Parameter	Method	Analytical	Units	Method	Method	Objec	tive	Regional
		Laboratory		Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Freshwater	Marine	Concentrations
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbor	is (TPH)							
Diesel Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	13.1469	50	340		
Residual Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	18.2345	100	3600		
Diesel Range Organics		Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	13.1469	50	340		-
Dioxins/Furans	NWIFTFDX-56C	T Temonic Analytical	ilig/kg	10.2343	100	3000		
2.3.7.8 TCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	ma/ka	0.00000086	0.0000005			
1,2,3,7,8 PeCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000232	0.0000025			
1,2,3,4,7,8 HxCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000547	0.0000025			
1,2,3,6,7,8 HxCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000497	0.000025			
1,2,3,7,8,9 HxCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000723	0.0000025			
1,2,3,4,6,7,8 HpCDD	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.000000327	0.0000025			-
	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.000001185	0.000005			-
2,3,7,0 TODF 1 2 3 7 8 PeCDF	EPA 0290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.000000105	0.0000005			
2 3 4 7 8 PeCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	ma/ka	0.000000345	0.0000025			
1.2.3.4.7.8 HxCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	ma/ka	0.000000281	0.0000025			
1,2,3,6,7,8 HxCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000311	0.0000025			
2,3,4,6,7,8 HxCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.0000005	0.0000025			
1,2,3,7,8,9 HxCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000483	0.0000025			
1,2,3,4,6,7,8 HpCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000376	0.000025			
1,2,3,4,7,8,9 HpCDF	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000268	0.0000025			
	EPA 8290	Ceres Analytical	mg/kg	0.00000095	0.000005			
Semi-Volatile Organic Compo			mg/kg	0.000001185	0.00005			0.0000039
Phenol	EPA 8270F	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.00788	0 0299	0 12	0 42	
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0153	0.0498			
2-Chlorophenol	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0144	0.0398			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0163	0.0398			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0129	0.0299		3.1	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0132	0.0398		2.3	
Benzyl alconol 2 Mothylphonol (o crosol)	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.05/3	0.149		0.057	-
Hexachloroethane	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0135	0.0398		0.000	
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0274	0.0797		11	
3&4-Methylphenol (m, p-cresol)	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0129	0.0299	0.26	0.67	
Nitrobenzene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0152	0.0498			
Isophorone	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.013	0.0398			
2-Nitrophenol	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0128	0.0299		-	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00583	0.0299		0.029	
DIS(2-Chioroethoxy)methane	EPA 0270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00564	0.0299			
2,4-Dichlorophenol	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00439	0.0299		0.81	
Naphthalene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0122	0.0398		99	
4-Chloroaniline	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00887	0.0299			
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00867	0.0299		3.9	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0114	0.0299			
2-Methylnaphthalene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00732	0.0299		38	
1-Methylnaphthalene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00511	0.0299			
2 4 6-Trichlorophenol	EPA 02/UE EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0221	0.0996			
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0120	0.0299			
2-Chloronaphthalene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.00632	0.0299			-
2-Nitroaniline	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0198	0.0498			
Acenaphthene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00696	0.0299		16	-
Dimethylphthalate	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	1.61	3.49		53	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0144	0.0398			-
Acenaphthylene	EPA 82/0E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00624	0.0299		66	-
2,4-Dinitrophenol	EPA 02/UE	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.128	0.299			
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0241	0.0598			-

Please see notes at end of table.

Table A-4 Sediment Analytical Methods - Reporting Limit Goals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Description	Mathad	Analytical	11	Method	Method	Sediment M Stand Sediment	anagement lards Cleanup	Natural or Regional
Parameter	wethod	Laboratory	Units	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Objec	cuve	Background
						Freshwater	Marine	Concentrations
4-Nitrophenol	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0537	0.199			
Fluorene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00498	0.0299		23	
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00808	0.0299			
Diethylphthalate	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.24	0.747		61	
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.109	0.249			
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0117	0.0299			
Hexachlorobenzene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00583	0.0299		0.38	
Pentachlorophenol	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0714	0.199	1.2	0.36	
Phenanthrene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00788	0.0299		100	
Anthracene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00552	0.0299		220	
Carbazole	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00644	0.0299			
Di-n-butyIphthalate	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0108	0.0299	0.38	220	
Fluoranthene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00879	0.0299		160	
Pyrene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0477	0.149		1000	
Butyl Benzylphthalate	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0147	0.0498		4.9	
Dis(2-Ethylnexyl)adipate	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0733	0.199			
Benz(a)anthracene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00807	0.0299		110	
bis (2 Ethylbourd) abthalate		Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0115	0.0498		47	
Dis (2-Ethylnexyl) phthalate		Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0112	0.0398	0.50	4/	
Di-n-octyr pritialate Bonzo(b)fluoranthono	EPA 0270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0100	0.0747	0.039	00	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		Fromont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0105	0.0996			-
Benzo(a)pyropo	EPA 0270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.00999	0.0299		230	
Indepo(1.2.3 cd)pyropo		Fromont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0145	0.0398		99 24	
Dibonz(a h)anthracono	EPA 0270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0009	0.199		04 10	
Benzo(a h i)pervlene	EPA 8270E	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.0366	0.0990		21	
Metals	LINOZIOL	Tremont / thatytical	iliy/ky	0.0200	0.0330		51	
Arsenic	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0511	0.25	14	57	11
Barium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.1498	0.20			-
Cadmium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0058	0.02	21	51	0.8
Chromium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0551	0.02	72	260	62
Copper	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.2121	0.25	400	390	45
Lead	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0352	1	360	450	21
Mercury	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0117	0.2	0.66	0.41	0.2
Nickel	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0371	0.25	26		50
Selenium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0682	1	11		
Silver	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	0.0048	0.02	0.57	6.1	0.24
Zinc	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ma/ka	1.1057	3.5	3200	410	93
Wood Waste								
Ammonia	SM 4500	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.272286189	1	230		
Sulfide	SM 4500	Fremont Analytical	mg/kq	0.30568684	0.5	39		
Benzoic Acid	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kg	0.028797106	0.1	2.9	0.65	
Benzyl alcohol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	mg/kq	0.05754629	0.15		0.057	
Total Organic Carbon	EPA 9060	Fremont Analytical	%	0.041246097	0.15			-

Notes:

1. mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram.

2. pg/g = Picogram per gram.

3. EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency.

- = Not available or not applicable.
 Sediment cleanup levels from Table 8-1 of Washington Ecology's Sediment Cleanup User's Manual (December 2019 update).

6. Natural Background Concentrations and Sediment Management Standards from WAC 173-204 and Washington Ecology's Sediment Cleanup User's Manual (December 2019 update). 7. SGC = Silica Gel Cleanup

8. The Method Repoting Limit (MRL) for total dioxin/furan toxic equivalent (5 pg/g) is above the natural background concentration but below MTCA Method B cleanup level (13 pg/g). Results will only be quantifiable down to the MRL.

Table A-5 Groundwater Analytical Methods – Reporting Limit Goals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Method	Analytical Laboratory	Units	Method Detection Limit	Method Reporting Limit	MTCA Method A Cleanup Level	Ground Water Method Β Target Cleanup Level (μg/L)
Total Petroleum Aydrocarbons (TPH		Fromont Applytical		27	100	900/1000	
Diesel Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx	Fremont Analytical	ua/l	37	100	500	
Residual Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	29	100	500	
Diesel Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx-SGC	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	37	100	500	
Residual Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx-SGC	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	29	100	500	
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)				A 1 1 -			1.00
1,1,1,2-I etrachloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.117	0.3		1.68
1,1,1-I richloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.103	0.3	200	200
1 1 2-Trichloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ug/L	0.070	0.2		3
1.1-Dichloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.146	0.5		7.68
1,1-Dichloroethene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.122	0.5		7
1,1-Dichloropropene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.143	0.5		
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.295	0.7		6.4
1,2,3- I richloropropane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.132	0.4		0.000384
1,2,4-Trimothylbonzono	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.240	0.75		15.1
1 2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.331	0.5		
1.2-Dibromoethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.062	0.2		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.133	0.5		600
1,2-Dichloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.121	0.5	5	4.81
1,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.113	0.3		5.00
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.102	0.5		80
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.140	0.5		
1,3-Dichloropropane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.092	0.3		160
1,4-Dichiolobelizerie 2-Butanone	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.173	0.5		/5 /800
2-Chlorotoluene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L µg/l	0.130	0.5		160
2-Hexanone	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.364	1.25		40
4-Chlorotoluene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.135	0.5		160
4-Isopropyltoluene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.106	0.5		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.354	1		640
Acetone	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	1.265	5		7200
Benzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.179	0.44	5	5
Bromodichloromothano	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.112	0.5		7.06
Bromoform	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ug/L	0.000	0.25		55.4
Bromomethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	1.256	3		11.2
Carbon tetrachloride	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.086	0.3		5
Chlorobenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.146	0.5		100
Chlorodibromomethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.101	0.3		5.21
Chloroethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.334	1		
Chlorotorm	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.155	0.5		14.1
cis-1 2-Dichloroethene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.260	0.75		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ug/L	0.104	0.35		0 438
Cumene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.122	0.5		800
Dibromomethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.081	0.25		80.00
Dichlorodifluoromethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.177	0.5		1600
Ethylbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.143	0.4	700	700
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.192	0.5		0.561
n-Hexane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.188	0.5		480
m,p-Xylene Methylene chlorida	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.375	0.75	 F	1000
n-Butvlbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.170	0.5	J 	400
n-Propylbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.124	0.5		800
Naphthalene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.494	1.25	160	160
o-Xylene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.144	0.5		1600
sec-Butylbenzene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.112	0.5		800
Styrene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.115	0.5		100
tert-Butyl Methyl Ether	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.121	0.35	20	24.3
tert-Butyibenzerie	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.115	0.5		800
Toluene	EPA 0200	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.125	0.35	5 1000	640
trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	ua/l	0.120	0.35		100
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µa/L	0.099	0.5		
Trichloroethene	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.135	0.4	5	4
Trichlorofluoromethane	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.113	0.3		
Vinyl chloride	EPA 8260	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.116	0.2	0.2	0.292
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)				<u> </u>		
1,2,4-Irichlorobenzene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.034	0.1		15.1
1,2-DICRIOFODERZERE	EPA 82/0	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.026	0.1		UUd
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	EFA 02/0 FPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L µa/l	0.027	0.1	-	 75
1-Methvlnaphthalene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	μα/ί	0.013	0.1		1.5
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.047	0.2		1600
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.055	0.2		7.95
2,4-Dichlorophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.034	0.15		48
2,4-Dimethylphenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.039	U.15		320

Please see notes at end of table.

Table A-5 Groundwater Analytical Methods – Reporting Limit Goals Buse Timber & Sales Everett, Washington

Parameter	Method	Analytical Laboratory	Units	Method Detection Limit	Method Reporting Limit	MTCA Method A Cleanup Level	Ground Water Method B Target Cleanup Level
Sami Valatila Organic Compounds (SVOCal			1			(µg/L)
2 1-Dinitrophenol		Fromont Analytical	110/	0.552	15		32
2.4-Dinitropleno	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ug/L	0.032	0.1		0.129
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.040	0.15		0.129
2-Chloronaphthalene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.027	0.1		640
2-Chlorophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.047	0.3		40
2-Methylnaphthalene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.021	0.1		32
2-Methylphenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.038	0.2		800
2-Nitrophonol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.051	0.15		160
2-Nitiophenol 3+4-methylphenol	EPA 02/0	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.051	0.5		1600
4 6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L µg/l	1.066	2.5		1 28
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.039	0.2		
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.059	0.2		1600
4-Chloroaniline	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.031	0.1		0.438
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.025	0.1		
4-Nitrophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.219	1		
Acenaphthene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.024	0.1		480
Acenaphurylene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.013	0.1		2400
Benz(a)anthracene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.020	0.1		2400
Benzo(a)pyrene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.039	0.15	0.1	0.2
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.058	0.2		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.166	1		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.042	0.2		
Benzyl alcohol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.497	3		1600
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.028	0.1		48
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.124	0.4		0.0398
BIS(2-ethylnexyl) phthalate	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.238	0.75		6
Butyl benzyl obthalate	EPA 0270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L µg/l	0.201	0.75		400
Carbazole	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ug/L	0.036	0.2		
Chrysene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.037	0.15		
Di-n-butyl phthalate	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.945	2		1600
Di-n-octyl phthalate	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.089	0.3		160
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.054	1.1		
Dibenzofuran	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.018	0.1		8
Diethyl phthalate	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.189	0.5		12800
Eluoranthono	EPA 0270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.362	1.2	-	640
Fluorene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L µg/l	0.004	0.2		320
Hexachlorobenzene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ua/L	0.034	0.2		0.273
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.027	0.15		0.561
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.033	0.25		0.480
Hexachloroethane	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.038	0.15		1.09
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.048	0.2		
Isophorone	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.101	0.3		92.1
N-nitrosodipropylamine	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.075	0.3		0.0125
Nitrobenzene	EPA 0270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L µg/l	0.028	0.1		16
Pentachlorophenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	ug/L	0.000	0.6		1
Phenanthrene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.044	0.2		
Phenol	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.045	0.3		4800
Pyrene	EPA 8270	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.115	0.4		240
Metals	-	-					-
Arsenic	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.0926	0.5	5	5
Barium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.3779	2	 F	2000
Cadmium	EFA 0020	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.0057	0.1	50 50	J
Conner	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	ug/L	0.1823	2		640
Lead	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.0795	0.5	15	15
Mercury	EPA 245.1	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.0548	0.1	2	2
Nickel	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.1092	0.5		320
Selenium	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.0844	0.25		50
Silver	EPA 6020	Fremont Analytical	µg/L	0.0060	0.2		80
Zinc	EPA 6020	 remont Analytical 	µg/L	1.1619	2.5		4000

Notes:

µg/L = Micrograms per liter.
 Groundwater cleanup levels from the MTCA Method A and B chapter 173-340 WAC (January 2023 update).

Appendix B

Inadvertent Discovery Plan



INADVERTENT DISCOVERY PLAN PLAN AND PROCEDURES FOR THE DISCOVERY OF CULTURAL RESOURCES AND HUMAN SKELETAL REMAINS

To request ADA accommodation, including materials in a format for the visually impaired, call Ecology at 360-407-6000 or visit <u>https://ecology.wa.gov/accessibility</u>. People with impaired hearing may call Washington Relay Service at 711. People with a speech disability may call TTY at 877-833-6341.

Site Name(s):

Location:

Project Lead/Organization:

County:

If this Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP) is for multiple (batched) projects, ensure the location information covers all project areas.

1. INTRODUCTION

The IDP outlines procedures to perform in the event of a discovery of archaeological materials or human remains, in accordance with applicable state and federal laws. An IDP is required, as part of Agency Terms and Conditions for all grants and loans, for any project that creates disturbance above or below the ground. An IDP is not a substitute for a formal cultural resource review (Executive 21-02 or Section 106).

Once completed, **the IDP should always be kept at the project site** during all project activities. All staff, contractors, and volunteers should be familiar with its contents and know where to find it.

2. CULTURAL RESOURCE DISCOVERIES

A cultural resource discovery could be prehistoric or historic. Examples include (see images for further examples):

- An accumulation of shell, burned rocks, or other food related materials.
- Bones, intact or in small pieces.
- An area of charcoal or very dark stained soil with artifacts.
- Stone tools or waste flakes (for example, an arrowhead or stone chips).
- Modified or stripped trees, often cedar or aspen, or other modified natural features, such as rock drawings.
- Agricultural or logging materials that appear older than 50 years. These could include equipment, fencing, canals, spillways, chutes, derelict sawmills, tools, and many other items.
- Clusters of tin cans or bottles, or other debris that appear older than 50 years.
- Old munitions casings. Always assume these are live and never touch or move.
- Buried railroad tracks, decking, foundations, or other industrial materials.
- Remnants of homesteading. These could include bricks, nails, household items, toys, food containers, and other items associated with homes or farming sites.

The above list does not cover every possible cultural resource. When in doubt, assume the material is a cultural resource.

3. ON-SITE RESPONSIBILITIES

If any employee, contractor, or subcontractor believes that they have uncovered cultural resources or human remains at any point in the project, take the following steps to *Stop-Protect-Notify*. If you suspect that the discovery includes human remains, also follow Sections 5 and 6.

STEP A: Stop Work.

All work must stop immediately in the vicinity of the discovery.

STEP B: Protect the Discovery.

Leave the discovery and the surrounding area untouched and create a clear, identifiable, and wide boundary (30 feet or larger) with temporary fencing, flagging, stakes, or other clear markings. Provide protection and ensure integrity of the discovery until cleared by the Department of Archaeological and Historical Preservation (DAHP) or a licensed, professional archaeologist.

Do not permit vehicles, equipment, or unauthorized personnel to traverse the discovery site. Do not allow work to resume within the boundary until the requirements of this IDP are met.

STEP C: Notify Project Archaeologist (if applicable).

If the project has an archaeologist, notify that person. If there is a monitoring plan in place, the archaeologist will follow the outlined procedure.

STEP D: Notify Project and Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) contacts.

Project Lead Contacts

Primary Contact	Alternate Contact
Name:	Name:
Organization:	Organization:
Phone:	Phone:
Email:	Email:

Ecology Contacts (completed by Ecology Project Manager)

Ecology Project Manager	Alternate or Cultural Resource Contact
Name:	Name:
Program:	Program:
Phone:	Phone:
Email:	Email:
STEP E: Ecology will notify DAHP.

Once notified, the Ecology Cultural Resource Contact or the Ecology Project Manager will contact DAHP to report and confirm the discovery. To avoid delay, the Project Lead/Organization will contact DAHP if they are not able to reach Ecology.

DAHP will provide the steps to assist with identification. DAHP, Ecology, and Tribal representatives may coordinate a site visit following any necessary safety protocols. DAHP may also inform the Project Lead/Organization and Ecology of additional steps to further protect the site.

Do not continue work until DAHP has issued an approval for work to proceed in the area of, or near, the discovery.

DAHP Contacts:

Name: Rob Whitlam, PhD Title: State Archaeologist Cell: 360-890-2615 Email: <u>Rob.Whitlam@dahp.wa.gov</u> Main Office: 360-586-3065

Human Remains/Bones:

Name: Guy Tasa, PhD Title: State Anthropologist Cell: 360-790-1633 (24/7) Email: <u>Guy.Tasa@dahp.wa.gov</u>

4. TRIBAL CONTACTS

In the event cultural resources are discovered, the following tribes will be contacted. See Section 10 for Additional Resources.

Tribe:	Tribe:
Name:	Name:
Title:	Title:
Phone:	Phone:
Email:	Email:
Tribe:	Tribe:
Name:	Name:
Name: Title:	Name: Title:
Name: Title: Phone:	Name: Title: Phone:

Please provide contact information for additional tribes within your project area, if needed, in Section 11.

5. FURTHER CONTACTS (if applicable)

If the discovery is confirmed by DAHP as a cultural or archaeological resource, or as human remains, and there is a partnering federal or state agency, Ecology or the Project Lead/Organization will ensure the partnering agency is immediately notified.

Federal Agency:	State Agency:
Agency:	Agency:
Name:	Name:
Title:	Title:
Phone:	Phone:
Email:	Email:

6. SPECIAL PROCEDURES FOR THE DISCOVERY OF HUMAN SKELETAL MATERIAL

Any human skeletal remains, regardless of antiquity or ethnic origin, will at all times be treated with dignity and respect. Follow the steps under **Stop-Protect-Notify.** For specific instructions on how to handle a human remains discovery, see: <u>RCW 68.50.645</u>: <u>Skeletal human remains</u>—<u>Duty to notify</u>—<u>Ground disturbing activities</u>—<u>Coroner determination</u>—<u>Definitions</u>.

Suggestion: If you are unsure whether the discovery is human bone or not, contact Guy Tasa with DAHP, for identification and next steps. Do not pick up the discovery.

Guy Tasa, PhD State Physical Anthropologist Guy.Tasa@dahp.wa.gov (360) 790-1633 (Cell/Office)

For discoveries that are confirmed or suspected human remains, follow these steps:

1. Notify law enforcement and the Medical Examiner/Coroner using the contacts below. **Do not call 911** unless it is the only number available to you.

Enter contact information below (required):

- Local Medical Examiner or Coroner name and phone:
- Local Law Enforcement main name and phone:
- Local Non-Emergency phone number (911 if without a non-emergency number):
- 2. The Medical Examiner/Coroner (with assistance of law enforcement personnel) will determine if the remains are human or if the discovery site constitutes a crime scene and will notify DAHP.
- 3. DO NOT speak with the media, allow photography or disturbance of the remains, or release any information about the discovery on social media.
- 4. If the remains are determined to be non-forensic, Cover the remains with a tarp or other materials (not soil or rocks) for temporary protection and to shield them from being photographed by others or disturbed.

Further activities:

- Per <u>RCW 27.44.055</u>, <u>RCW 68.50</u>, and <u>RCW 68.60</u>, DAHP will have jurisdiction over non-forensic human remains. Ecology staff will participate in consultation. Organizations may also participate in consultation.
- Documentation of human skeletal remains and funerary objects will be agreed upon through the consultation process described in <u>RCW 27.44.055</u>, RCW 68.50, and RCW 68.60.
- When consultation and documentation activities are complete, work in the discovery area may resume as described in Section 8.

If the project occurs on federal lands (such as a national forest or park or a military reservation) the provisions of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990 (NAGPRA) apply and the responsible federal agency will follow its provisions. Note that state highways that cross federal lands are on an easement and are not owned by the state.

If the project occurs on non-federal lands, the Project Lead/Organization will comply with applicable state and federal laws, and the above protocol.

7. DOCUMENTATION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL MATERIALS

Archaeological resources discovered during construction are protected by state law <u>RCW 27.53</u> and assumed eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion D until a formal Determination of Eligibility is made.

The Project Lead/Organization must ensure that proper documentation and field assessment are made of all discovered cultural resources in cooperation with all parties: the federal agencies (if any), DAHP, Ecology, affected tribes, and the archaeologist.

The archaeologist will record all prehistoric and historic cultural material discovered during project construction on a standard DAHP archaeological site or isolate inventory form. They will photograph site overviews, features, and artifacts and prepare stratigraphic profiles and soil/sediment descriptions for minimal subsurface exposures. They will document discovery locations on scaled site plans and site location maps.

Cultural features, horizons, and artifacts detected in buried sediments may require the archaeologist to conduct further evaluation using hand-dug test units. They will excavate units in a controlled fashion to expose features, collect samples from undisturbed contexts, or to interpret complex stratigraphy. They may also use a test unit or trench excavation to determine if an intact occupation surface is present. They will only use test units when necessary to gather information on the nature, extent, and integrity of subsurface cultural deposits to evaluate the site's significance. They will conduct excavations using standard archaeological techniques to precisely document the location of cultural deposits, artifacts, and features.

The archaeologist will record spatial information, depth of excavation levels, natural and cultural stratigraphy, presence or absence of cultural material, and depth to sterile soil, regolith, or bedrock for each unit on a standard form. They will complete test excavation unit level forms, which will include plan maps for each excavation level and artifact counts and material types, number, and vertical provenience (depth below surface and stratum association where applicable) for all recovered artifacts. They will draw a stratigraphic profile for at least one wall of each test excavation unit.

The archaeologist will screen sediments excavated for purposes of cultural resources investigation through 1/8-inch mesh, unless soil conditions warrant 1/4-inch mesh.

The archaeologist will analyze, catalogue, and temporarily curate all prehistoric and historic artifacts collected from the surface and from probes and excavation units. The ultimate disposition of cultural materials will be determined in consultation with the federal agencies (if any), DAHP, Ecology, and the affected tribe(s).

Within 90 days of concluding fieldwork, the archaeologist will provide a technical report describing any and all monitoring and resultant archaeological excavations to the Project Lead/Organization, who will forward the report to Ecology, the federal agencies (if any), DAHP, and the affected tribe(s) for review and comment.

If assessment activities expose human remains (burials, isolated teeth, or bones), the archaeologist and Project Lead/Organization will follow the process described in **Section 6**.

8. PROCEEDING WITH WORK

The Project Lead/Organization shall work with the archaeologist, DAHP, and affected tribe(s) to determine the appropriate discovery boundary and where work can continue.

Work may continue at the discovery location only after the process outlined in this plan is followed and the Project Lead/Organization, DAHP, any affected tribe(s), Ecology, and the federal agencies (if any) determine that compliance with state and federal laws is complete.

9. ORGANIZATION RESPONSIBILITY

The Project Lead/Organization is responsible for ensuring:

- This IDP has complete and accurate information.
- This IDP is immediately available to all field staff at the sites and available by request to any party.
- This IDP is implemented to address any discovery at the site.
- That all field staff, contractors, and volunteers are instructed on how to implement this IDP.

10. ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Informative Video

Ecology recommends that all project staff, contractors, and volunteers view this informative video explaining the value of IDP protocol and what to do in the event of a discovery. The target audience is anyone working on the project who could unexpectedly find cultural resources or human remains while excavating or digging. The video is also posted on DAHP's inadvertent discovery language website.

Ecology's IDP Video (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ioX-4cXfbDY)

Informational Resources

DAHP (https://dahp.wa.gov)

Washington State Archeology (DAHP 2003)

(https://dahp.wa.gov/sites/default/files/Field%20Guide%20to%20WA%20Arch_0.pdf)

Association of Washington Archaeologists (https://www.archaeologyinwashington.com)

Potentially Interested Tribes

Interactive Map of Tribes by Area

(https://dahp.wa.gov/archaeology/tribal-consultation-information)

WSDOT Tribal Contact Website

(https://wsdot.wa.gov/tribal/TribalContacts.htm)

11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Please add any additional contact information or other information needed within this IDP.

Chipped stone artifacts.

Examples are:

- Glass-like material.
- Angular material.
- "Unusual" material or shape for the area.
- Regularity of flaking.
- Variability of size.



Stone artifacts from Oregon.



Biface-knife, scraper, or pre-form found in NE Washington. Thought to be a well knapped object of great antiquity. Courtesy of Methow Salmon Rec. Foundation.



Stone artifacts from Washington.

Ground stone artifacts.

Examples are:

- Unusual or unnatural shapes or unusual stone.
- Striations or scratching.
- Etching, perforations, or pecking.
- Regularity in modifications.
- Variability of size, function, or complexity.



Above: Fishing Weight - credit <u>CRITFC</u> Treaty Fishing Rights website.



Artifacts from unknown locations (left and right images).



Bone or shell artifacts, tools, or beads.

Examples are:

- Smooth or carved materials.
- Unusual shape.
- Pointed as if used as a tool.
- Wedge shaped like a "shoehorn".
- Variability of size.
- Beads from shell (-----) or tusk.





Upper Left: Bone Awls from Oregon.

Upper Center: Bone Wedge from California.

Upper Right: *Plateau dentalium choker and bracelet, from <u>Nez</u> <u>Perce National Historical Park</u>, 19th century, made using <u>Antalis</u> <u>pretiosa</u> shells Credit: Nez Perce - Nez Perce National Historical Park, NEPE 8762, <u>Public Domain</u>.*

Above: Tooth Pendants. Right: Bone Pendants. Both from Oregon and Washington.





Culturally modified trees, fiber, or wood artifacts.

Examples are:

- Trees with bark stripped or peeled, carvings, axe cuts, de-limbing, wood removal, and other human modifications.
- Fiber or wood artifacts in a wet environment.
- Variability of size, function, and complexity.

Left and Below: *Culturally modified tree and an old carving on an aspen (Courtesy of DAHP).*

Right, Top to Bottom: *Artifacts from Mud Bay, Olympia: Toy war club, two strand cedar rope, wet basketry.*









Strange, different, or interesting looking dirt, rocks, or shells.

Human activities leave traces in the ground that may or may not have artifacts associated with them. Examples are:

- "Unusual" accumulations of rock (especially fire-cracked rock).
- "Unusual" shaped accumulations of rock (such as a shape similar to a fire ring).
- Charcoal or charcoal-stained soils, burnt-looking soils, or soil that has a "layer cake" appearance.
- Accumulations of shell, bones, or artifacts. Shells may be crushed.
- Look for the "unusual" or out of place (for example, rock piles in areas with otherwise few rocks).



Shell Midden pocket in modern fill discovered in sewer trench.



Underground oven. Courtesy of DAHP.

Shell midden with fire cracked rock.





Hearth excavated near Hamilton, WA.

ECY 070-560 (rev. 06/21)

Historic period artifacts (historic archaeology considered older than 50 years).

Examples are:

- Agricultural or logging equipment. May include equipment, fencing, canals, spillways, chutes, derelict sawmills, tools, etc.
- Domestic items including square or wire nails, amethyst colored glass, or painted stoneware.



Left: Top to Bottom: *Willow pattern* serving bowl and slip joint pocket knife discovered during Seattle Smith Cove shantytown (45-KI-1200) excavation.

Right: Collections of historic artifacts discovered during excavations in eastern Washington cities.







Historic period artifacts (historic archaeology considered older than 50 years).

Examples are:

- Railway tokens, coins, and buttons.
- Spectacles, toys, clothing, and personal items.
- Items helping to understand a culture or identity.
- Food containers and dishware.



Main Image: Dishes, bottles, workboot found at the North Shore Japanese bath house (ofuro) site, Courtesy Bob Muckle, Archaeologist, Capilano University, B.C. This is an example of an above ground resource.





Right, from Top to Bottom: Coins, token, spectacles and Montgomery Ward pitchfork toy discovered during Seattle Smith Cove shantytown (45-KI-1200) excavation.





- Old munition casings if you see ammunition of any type *always assume they are live and never touch or move!*
- Tin cans or glass bottles with an older manufacturer's technique maker's mark, distinct colors such as turquoise, or an older method of opening the container.









Tatum & Co. between 1924 to 1938 (Lockhart et al. 2016).



Can opening dates, courtesy of W.M. Schroeder.

You see historic foundations or buried structures. Examples are:

- Foundations.
- Railroad and trolley tracks.
- Remnants of structures.







Counter Clockwise, Left to Right: *Historic structure 45Kl924, in WSDOT right of way for SR99 tunnel. Remnants of Smith Cove shantytown (45-Kl-1200) discovered during Ecology CSO excavation, City of Spokane historic trolley tracks uncovered during stormwater project, intact foundation of historic home that survived the Great Ellensburg Fire of July 4, 1889, uncovered beneath parking lot in Ellensburg.*

Potential human remains.

Examples are:

- Grave headstones that appear to be older than 50 years.
- Bones or bone tools--intact or in small pieces. It can be difficult to differentiate animal from human so they must be identified by an expert.
- These are all examples of animal bones and are not human.

Center: Bone wedge tool, courtesy of Smith Cove Shantytown excavation (45KI1200).

Other images (Top Right, Bottom Left, and Bottom) Center: Courtesy of DAHP.











Directly Above: This is a real discovery at an Ecology sewer project site.

What would you do if you found these items at a site? Who would be the first person you would call?

Hint: Read the plan!

Appendix C

National Wetlands Inventory



U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory

Wetlands



May 9, 2023

Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

- e Wetland
- Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Lake Other Riverine This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.