

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	STATE OF WASHINGTON SNOHOMISH COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT				
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, NO. 24 2 01561 31				
10	Plaintiff, CONSENT DECREE				
11	v.				
12 13	AMERICAN DISTRIBUTING COMPANY; and EXXONMOBIL OIL CORPORATION,				
	Defendants.				
14	Detendants.				
15					
16	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
17	I. INTRODUCTION				
18	II. JURISDICTION				
19	V.FINDINGS OF FACT5VI.WORK TO BE PERFORMED9VII.DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS13VIII.PERFORMANCE14IX.ACCESS15X.SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, AND AVAILABILITY16				
20					
21					
22					
23	XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS				
24	XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY				
25	XV. AMENDMENT OF DECREE 21 XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE 21				
26	XVII. ENDANGERMENT				
	$\parallel$				

1 2 3 4 5 6	XIX. XXI. XXII. XXIII. XXIV. XXV. XXVI. XXVIII. XXVIII. XXVIIII.	INDEMNIFICATION 25 I. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS 26 II. REMEDIAL ACTION COSTS 27 III. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION 28 IV. PERIODIC REVIEW 29 V. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION 29 VI. DURATION OF DECREE 30				
7 8		EXHIBIT A EXHIBIT B EXHIBIT C	Site Location D Cleanup Action Scope of Work	Plan		
9			1			
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17 18						•
18						
20						
21						
22						
23						•
24						
25						
26						•

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

# 

# 

# 

## 

# 

## 

# 

# 

## 

## 

## I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The mutual objective of the State of Washington, Department of Ecology (Ecology), and American Distributing Company (American Distributing), and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (ExxonMobil) (Defendants) under this Decree is to provide for remedial action at a facility where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. This Decree requires Defendants to perform the remedial actions at the ExxonMobil ADC Site in Everett, Washington (Site) in accordance with the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) attached as Exhibit B to this Decree.
- 2. Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect human health and the environment.
- 3. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An Answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the Parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's Complaint. In addition, the Parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest, and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.
- 4. By signing this Decree, the Parties agree to its entry and agree to be bound by its terms.
- 5. By entering into this Decree, the Parties do not intend to discharge non-settling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint. The Parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for sums expended under this Decree.
- 6. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts; provided, however, that Defendants shall not challenge the authority of the Attorney General and Ecology to enforce this Decree.

1	7.	The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause	
2	having been shown:		
3	Now,	therefore, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:	
4		II. JURISDICTION	
5	1.	This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Parties pursuant	
6	to the Model	Toxics Control Act (MTCA), RCW 70A.305.	
7	2.	Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by	
8	RCW 70A.30	05.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person (PLP) if,	
9	after public	notice and any required public meeting, Ecology finds the proposed settlement	
10	would lead t	o a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70A.305.040(4)(b)	
11	requires that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent		
12	jurisdiction.		
13	3.	Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous	
14	substances ha	as occurred at the Site that is the subject of this Decree.	
15	4.	Ecology has given notice to Defendants of Ecology's determination that	
16	Defendants a	re PLPs for the Site, as required by RCW 70A.305.020(26) and WAC 173-340-500.	
17	5.	The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public	
18	health and th	e environment.	
19	6.	This Decree has been subject to public notice and comment.	
20	7.	Ecology finds that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of	
21	hazardous su	ibstances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards established under	
22	RCW 70A.30	05.030(2)(e) and WAC 173-340.	
23	8.	Defendants have agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and	
24	consents to tl	ne entry of this Decree under MTCA.	
25			
26			

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### III. PARTIES BOUND

1. This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to this Decree, their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that they are fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with this Decree. Defendants agree to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter Defendant's responsibility under this Decree. Defendants shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents, contractors, and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree, and shall ensure that all work undertaken by such agents, contractors, and subcontractors complies with this Decree.

#### IV. DEFINITIONS

- 1. Unless otherwise specified herein, all definitions in RCW 70A.305.020 and WAC 173-340 shall control the meanings of the terms in this Decree.
  - A. <u>Site</u>: The Site is referred to as the ExxonMobil ADC Site, Cleanup Site ID: 5182. The Site constitutes a facility under RCW 70A.305.020(8). The Site is defined by where a hazardous substance, other than a consumer product in consumer use, has been deposited, stored, disposed of, or placed, or otherwise come to be located.
  - B. <u>Consent Decree or Decree</u>: Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the exhibits to this Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree.
  - C. <u>Defendants</u>: Refers to the American Distributing Company and the ExxonMobil Oil Corporation.
  - D. <u>Parties</u>: Refers to the State of Washington, Department of Ecology and Defendants.

#### V. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Ecology makes the following findings of fact without any express or implied admissions of such facts by Defendants.

A. Based upon factors currently known to Ecology, the Site is generally located at 2717 and 2731 Federal Avenue, Everett, (longitude 122.21668; latitude 47.98167), as shown in the Site Location Diagram (Exhibit A). The Site is situated less than ¼ mile to the east of Port Gardner. The Site includes the ExxonMobil/American Distributing properties, former Everett Avenue property (owned by the Port of Everett), City of Everett Federal Avenue and Terminal Avenue rights-of-way, BNSF Railway Co. parcel, and Port of Everett properties to the west. The Terminal Avenue overpass, constructed in 2003, is located within the eastern portion of the Site. The location of the MTCA Site, as well as the parcels contained within the Site is depicted in Exhibit A, Figure 2.

B. The Miller Trust currently owns lots 1 through 9 and part of lot 10 of Block 619, Plat of Everett, Division C, Everett, Washington. American Distributing formerly operated on this property. ExxonMobil currently owns or formerly operated lots 11 through 14 and part of lot 10 of Block 619, Plat of Everett, Division C, Everett, Washington.

C. Between approximately 1922 and 1990, the Site was used by American Distributing, ExxonMobil, and other entities for operating a bulk petroleum storage, transfer, and distribution facilities. Contamination at the Site is related to petroleum releases from the bulk petroleum operations.

D. Multiple environmental investigations and remedial actions have been conducted at the Site beginning in 1985. Investigation results have found the following contaminants above MTCA cleanup levels in both soil and groundwater at the Site: total petroleum hydrocarbons-gasoline range (TPH-Gx), total petroleum hydrocarbons-diesel range (TPH-Dx), total petroleum hydrocarbons-heavy oil range (TPH-HO), benzene, total-xylenes, carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs), lead, and 1-

methylnaphthalene in both soil and groundwater, as well as ethyl-benzene in soil. In addition, light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) was encountered at the Site.

- E. Release(s) and/or potential release(s) of hazardous substances occurred at the Site. The following hazardous substances at the Site have been detected at concentrations above MTCA cleanup levels in both soil and groundwater: TPH-Gx, -Dx, -HO, benzene, total-Xylenes, cPAHs, 1-methylnaphthalene, and lead. In addition, ethylbenzene was detected in soil above the respective cleanup level. These hazardous substances have been, and may continue to be, released at the Site into the environment including soil, groundwater, and potentially soil vapor.
- F. Ecology has assigned the Site an overall priority ranking of two pursuant to MTCA.
- G. In April 1996, Ecology entered into Agreed Order No. DE 95TC-N402 (the 1996 Order) with Mobil Oil Corporation, a predecessor to ExxonMobil, American Distributing, and Mr. A.P Miller (Miller), which required the cleanup and elimination and/or containment of petroleum releases at and near the City of Everett's combined sewer overflow (CSO) discharge line into Port Gardner Bay. The 1996 Order also required pilot testing of LPH recovery technologies and characterization of the areal and vertical distribution and concentration of the free-phase waste petroleum liquid and groundwater contamination. Between June 1996 and January 1997, approximately 23,000 gallons of LPH were recovered.
- H. In October 1998, Ecology, Mobil Oil Corporation, American Distributing, and Miller entered into Agreed Order No. DE 98TCP-N223 (the 1998 Order) which required the preparation of a Remedial Investigation/Focused Feasibility Study Report (RI/FFS), an Interim Action Work Plan (IAWP), and the subsequent implementation and performance of the work described in the IAWP. Based on the results of the RI/FFS, the

Mobil Oil Corporation, American Distributing, and Miller prepared and implemented the IAWP. The following interim remedial actions were performed:

- (1) **Demolition of site structures**. Structures that were demolished on the Site included buildings, piping, loading racks, the firewall and the northeast corner of the firewall's foundation, and the above ground storage tank pad.
- (2) **Monitoring well abandonment**. A total of 22 groundwater monitoring wells were abandoned in 1998. In addition, three wells were abandoned and then reinstalled in 1999.
- (3) **Construction of interceptor trench**. An interceptor trench was constructed along the western and northern Site boundaries.
- (4) **Construction of site cover**. The site cover was designed to minimize the potential for infiltration of surface water into subsurface soil. In addition to an asphalt cap, a storm water collection system was included in the design for the cover.
- (5) **Water management**. The water management and treatment system was constructed in December 1998. Between December 1998 and September 1999, the system treated approximately 2.5 million gallons of water from the Site

The above remediation activities commenced in November 1998 and ended in January 2000. After the RI/FFS and subsequent interim remedial actions were conducted at the Site, the ExxonMobil and Miller properties were converted into a parking lot for neighboring businesses.

- I. On March 16, 2010, the Parties entered into Agreed Order No. DE 6184 (the 2010 Agreed Order), which required Defendants to conduct a supplemental Remedial Investigation and Focused Feasibility Study (RI/FFS) and develop a draft Cleanup Action Plan (DCAP) to address upland (soil and groundwater) contamination for the Site.
- J. On June 21, 2022, the Parties amended Agreed Order No. DE 6184. The amendment required Defendants to conduct an interim action that involved excavation of accessible soil on the Port of Everett's property at the Site containing Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid (LNAPL) or residual LNAPL saturation. From July 2022 to

February 2023, Defendants excavated and transported approximately 7,500 cubic yards of contaminated soil off-site. Clean soil was used to backfill the excavation area and an asphalt cap was be placed on top of the backfilled soil. In addition, a permanent subsurface barrier was installed along Federal Avenue to limit LNAPL migration following the remedial excavation on the Port's property.

K. In May 2023, Defendants submitted a Site Characterization/Focused Feasibility Study (SC/FFS) Report as well as a SC/FFS Addendum (Addendum), and DCAP for the Site. The SC/FFS and SC/FFS Addendum demonstrate that petroleum related contaminants of concern exceed MTCA cleanup levels in soil and groundwater at the Site.

L. As documented in the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) (Exhibit B), Ecology has chosen a final cleanup action to be implemented at the Site.

## VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

- 1. This Decree contains a program designed to protect human health and the environment from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances at, on, or from the Site. All remedial action(s) conducted by Defendants at the Site shall be done in accordance with WAC 173-340.
- 2. Defendants shall implement the CAP (Exhibit B) in accordance with the Scope of Work and Schedule attached to this Decree (Exhibit C). Among other remedial actions, the CAP requires Defendants to:
  - A. Excavate accessible soils from the ExxonMobil and American Distributing properties and adjacent City of Everett right-of-way to established predetermined extents in order to remove LNAPL and soil exceeding the Site-specific residual saturation remediation levels to the maximum extent practicable.
  - B. Implement institutional controls to address residual contamination in inaccessible areas (e.g., beneath the Terminal Avenue overpass and other areas at the Site

where contamination remains above cleanup levels) to prevent future exposure or exasperation of residual contamination, such as limiting future use of the Site to commercial or industrial uses, maintain existing asphalt cap, prohibit groundwater use, and to require any future structures constructed in the Site source areas to assess and mitigate any potential soil vapor migration into these structures.

- C. Develop and implement a post-excavation performance monitoring plan for both soil and groundwater and a confirmational monitoring plan for groundwater.
- 3. All plans or other deliverables submitted by Defendants for Ecology's review and approval under the CAP (Exhibit B) or Scope of Work and Schedule (Exhibit C) shall, upon Ecology's approval, become integral and enforceable parts of this Decree.
- 4. If Defendants learn of a significant change in conditions at the Site, including but not limited to a statistically significant increase in contaminant and/or chemical concentrations in soil or groundwater, Defendants, within seven (7) days of learning of the change in condition, shall notify Ecology in writing of said change and provide Ecology with any reports or records (including laboratory analyses, sampling results) relating to the change in conditions.
- 5. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-440(11), Defendants shall maintain sufficient and adequate financial assurance mechanisms to cover all costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the remedial action at the Site, including institutional controls, compliance monitoring, and corrective measures.
  - A. Within sixty (60) days of the completion of soil solidification at and disposal of excavated soils from the Site, Defendants shall submit to Ecology for review and approval an estimate of the costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the remedial action at the Site that Defendants will incur in carrying out the terms of this Decree. Within sixty (60) days after Ecology approves the aforementioned cost estimate, Defendants shall provide proof of financial assurances sufficient to cover those costs in a form acceptable to Ecology.

- B. Defendants shall adjust the financial assurance coverage and provide Ecology's project coordinator with documentation of the updated financial assurance for:
  - i. Inflation, annually, within thirty (30) days of the anniversary date of the entry of this Decree; or if applicable, the modified anniversary date established in accordance with this section, or if applicable, ninety (90) days after the close of Defendant's fiscal year if the financial test or corporate guarantee is used.
  - ii. Changes in cost estimates, within thirty (30) days of issuance of Ecology's approval of a modification or revision to the CAP that result in increases to the cost or expected duration of remedial actions. Any adjustments for inflation since the most recent preceding anniversary date shall be made concurrent with adjustments for changes in cost estimates. The issuance of Ecology's approval of a revised or modified CAP will revise the anniversary date established under this section to become the date of issuance of such revised or modified CAP.
- C. The Financial Assurance Officer for Ecology shall work with the project coordinators to review and approve financial assurance coverage pursuant to this Decree and make determinations on any adjustments necessary based on the annual reporting. As of the execution date of this Decree, Ecology's Financial Assurance Officer is Joanna Richards, (360) 485-5992 or Joanna.richards@ecy.wa.gov.
- 6. As detailed in the CAP, institutional controls are required at the Site. Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants will be used to implement the institutional controls.
  - A. In consultation with Defendants, Ecology will prepare the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants consistent with WAC 173-340-440, RCW 64.70, and any policies or procedures specified by Ecology. The Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants shall restrict future activities and uses of the Site as agreed to by Ecology and Defendants.

26

B. After approval by Ecology, Defendants shall record the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant for affected properties it owns with the office of the Snohomish County Auditor as detailed in the Schedule (Exhibit C). Defendants shall provide Ecology with the original recorded Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants within thirty (30) days of the recording date.

As detailed in the CAP, as part of the remedial action for the Site. C. institutional controls are required on properties not owned by Defendants. Defendants will ensure that the owner of each affected property records an Ecology-approved Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant as detailed in the Schedule (Exhibit C). Upon a showing that Defendant(s) has made a good faith effort to secure an Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant for an affected property and failed to do so, Ecology may provide assistance to Defendant(s). Unless Ecology determines otherwise, affected properties are the following Snohomish County Assessor Office parcel numbers: 00437161900101, 00437161900100, 00437161901000, 00597761803901, 00437161901702, 00437161901801, 00437161901400, 29051900301600, 29051900302500, 29051900302700, 29051900302800, and 29051900302900. Defendant(s) shall provide Ecology with the original recorded Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant within thirty (30) days of the recording date.

7. Unless otherwise directed by Ecology, until completion of soil excavation and disposal, Defendants shall submit to Ecology written monthly Progress Reports that describe the actions taken during the previous month to implement the requirements of this Decree. Once soil excavation and disposal is completed, the frequency of Progress Reports to Ecology will be documented in the Post Excavation Performance Monitoring plan. All Progress Reports shall be submitted by the tenth (10th) day of the month in which they are due after the effective date of this Decree. Unless otherwise specified in writing by Ecology, Progress Reports and any other

1	documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by electronic mail to Ecology's project
2	coordinator. The Progress Reports shall include the following:
3	A. A list of on-site activities that have taken place during the month or other
4	designated period.
5	B. Description of any sample results which deviate from the norm.
6	C. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise
7	documented in project plans or amendment requests.
8	D. Description of all deviations from the Scope of Work and Schedule
9	(Exhibit C) during the current month or other designated period and any planned
10	deviations in the upcoming month or designated period.
11	E. For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and
12	maintaining compliance with the schedule.
13	F. All raw data (including laboratory analyses) received during the previous
14	quarter (if not previously submitted to Ecology), together with a detailed description of
15	the underlying samples collected.
16	G. A list of planned activities for the upcoming month or other designated
17	period.
18	8. Except in the case of an emergency, Defendants agree not to perform any
19	remedial actions at the Site outside the scope of this Decree without prior written approval of
20	Ecology. In the case of an emergency, Defendants must notify Ecology of the event and remedial
21	action(s) as soon as practical, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after discovery of the
22	emergency.
23	VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS
24	1. The project coordinator for Ecology is:
25	Jason Cook Toying Cleanup Program
26	Toxics Cleanup Program PO Box 47600

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Olympia, WA 98504 360-407-6834 asco461@ECY.WA.GOV

2. The project coordinator for Defendants is:

Robert Thompson Stantec 720 Third Avenue, Suite 1500 Seattle, WA 98104-1878 206-510-5855 robert.thompson@stantec.com

- 3. Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree. Ecology's project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative for the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and Defendants and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the work to be performed required by this Decree.
- 4. Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other party at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

#### VIII. PERFORMANCE

- 1. Except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43 and 18.220, all geologic and hydrogeologic work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the supervision and direction of a geologist or hydrogeologist licensed by the State of Washington or under the direct supervision of an engineer registered by the State of Washington.
- 2. Except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130, all engineering work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington.

- 3. Except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130, all construction work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington or a qualified technician under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington.
- 4. As required by RCW 18.43 and 18.220, any documents submitted containing geologic, hydrogeologic, or engineering work shall be under the seal of an appropriately licensed professional.
- 5. Defendants shall notify Ecology in writing of the identity of any engineer(s) and geologist(s), contractor(s) and subcontractor(s), and other key personnel to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

#### IX. ACCESS

- 1. Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall have access to enter and freely move about all property at the Site that Defendants either own, control, or has access rights to at all reasonable times for the purposes of, *inter alia*: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing Defendants' progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by Defendants.
- 2. Nothing in this Decree is intended by Defendants to waive any right it may have under applicable law to limit disclosure of documents protected by the attorney work-product privilege and/or the attorney-client privilege. If Defendants withholds any requested records based on an assertion of privilege, it shall provide Ecology with a privilege log specifying the records withheld and the applicable privilege. No Site-related data collected pursuant to this Decree shall be considered privileged.

- 3. Defendants shall make all reasonable efforts to secure access rights for those properties within the Site not owned or controlled by Defendants where remedial activities or investigations will be performed pursuant to this Decree.
- 4. Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall give reasonable notice before entering any Site property owned or controlled by Defendants unless an emergency prevents such notice. All Parties who access the Site pursuant to this section shall comply with any applicable health and safety plan(s). Ecology employees and their representatives shall not be required to sign any liability release or waiver as a condition of Site property access.

## X. SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, AND AVAILABILITY

- 1. With respect to the implementation of this Decree, Defendants shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it or on its behalf available to Ecology by submitting data as detailed in this section. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-840(5), all sampling data shall be submitted to Ecology in both printed and electronic formats in accordance with paragraph 8 of Section VI (Work to be Performed), Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Policy 840 (Data Submittal Requirements), and/or any subsequent procedures specified by Ecology for data submittal.
- 2. If requested by Ecology, Defendants shall allow Ecology and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Defendants pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Defendants shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or work activity at the Site. Ecology shall, upon request, allow Defendants and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree, provided that doing so does not interfere with Ecology's sampling. Without limitation on Ecology's rights under Section IX (Access), Ecology shall notify Defendants prior to any sample collection activity unless an emergency prevents such notice.

3. In accordance with WAC 173-340-830(2)(a), all hazardous substance analyses shall be conducted by a laboratory accredited under WAC 173-50 for the specific analyses to be conducted, unless otherwise approved by Ecology.

## XI. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

- 1. Defendants shall provide to Ecology, upon request, copies of all records, reports, documents, and other information (including records, reports, documents, and other information in electronic form) (hereinafter referred to as "Records") within Defendants' possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to activities at the Site or to the implementation of this Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information regarding the work. Defendants shall also make available to Ecology, for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, their employees, agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the work.
- 2. Nothing in this Decree is intended to waive any right Defendants may have under applicable law to limit disclosure of Records protected by the attorney work-product privilege and/or the attorney-client privilege. If Defendants withhold any requested Records based on an assertion of privilege, Defendants shall provide Ecology with a privilege log specifying the Records withheld and the applicable privilege. No Site-related data collected pursuant to this Decree shall be considered privileged, including: (1) any data regarding the Site, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, radiological, biological, or engineering data, or the portion of any other record that evidences conditions at or around the Site; or (2) the portion of any Record that Respondents are required to create or generate pursuant to this Order.

3. Notwithstanding any provision of this Order, Ecology retains all of its information gathering and inspection authorities and rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under any other applicable statutes or regulations.

#### XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

1. During the pendency of this Decree, and for ten (10) years from the date this Decree is no longer in effect as provided in Section XXV (Duration of Decree), Defendants shall preserve all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in its possession relevant to the implementation of this Decree and shall insert a similar record retention requirement into all contracts with project contractors and subcontractors. Upon request of Ecology, Defendants shall make all records available to Ecology and allow access for review within a reasonable time.

#### XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

- 1. No voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Site shall be consummated by Defendants without provision for continued operation and maintenance of any monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.
- 2. Prior to Defendant's transfer of any interest in all or any portion of the Site, and during the effective period of this Decree, Defendants shall provide a copy of this Decree to any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in said interest; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Defendants shall notify Ecology of said transfer. Upon its transfer of any interest, Defendants shall notify all transferees of the restrictions on the activities and uses of the property under this Decree and incorporate any such use restrictions into the transfer documents.

## XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

1. In the event that a Defendant elects to invoke dispute resolution, the Defendant must utilize the procedure set forth below.

- A. Upon the triggering event (receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's written decision or an itemized billing statement), Defendant has fourteen (14) calendar days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator in writing of its dispute (Informal Dispute Notice).
- B. The Parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute informally. The parties shall informally confer for up to fourteen (14) calendar days from receipt of the Informal Dispute Notice. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within those 14 calendar days, then within seven (7) calendar days Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision (Informal Dispute Decision) stating: the nature of the dispute; the Defendant's position with regards to the dispute; Ecology's position with regards to the dispute; and the extent of resolution reached by informal discussion.
- C. Defendant may then request regional management review of the dispute. Defendant must submit this request (Formal Dispute Notice) in writing to the Section Manager of Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program's Headquarter Section within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of Ecology's Informal Dispute Decision. The Formal Dispute Notice shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth: the nature of the dispute; the disputing Party's position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support its position.
- D. The Section Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute (Decision on Dispute) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Formal Dispute Notice.
- E. If Defendant finds Ecology's Headquarters Section Manager's decision of the disputed matter unacceptable, Defendant may then request final management review of that decision. Defendant must submit this request (Final Review Request) in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) calendar days of

Defendant's receipt of the Decision on Dispute. The Final Review Request shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth: the nature of the dispute; the disputing Defendant's position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support its position.

- F. Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute (Final Decision on Dispute) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Final Review Request. The Toxics Cleanup Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.
- 2. If Ecology's Final Decision on Dispute is unacceptable to Defendant, Defendant has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. Under RCW 70A.305.070, Ecology's investigative and remedial decisions shall be upheld unless they are arbitrary and capricious.
- 3. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.
- 4. Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.
- 5. In case of a dispute, failure to either proceed with the work required by this Decree or timely invoke dispute resolution may result in Ecology's determination that insufficient progress is being made in preparation of a deliverable, and may result in Ecology undertaking the work under Section XXII (Implementation of Remedial Action).

#### XV. AMENDMENT OF DECREE

- 1. The Parties may agree to minor changes to the work to be performed without formally amending this Decree. Minor changes will be documented in writing by Ecology.
- 2. Substantial changes to the work to be performed shall require formal amendment of this Decree. This Decree may only be formally amended by a written stipulation among the Parties that is entered by the Court, or by order of the Court. Ecology will provide its written consent to a formal amendment only after public notice and opportunity to comment on the formal amendment. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend the Decree shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party.
- 3. When requesting a change to the Decree, Defendant shall submit a written request to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in writing and in a timely manner after the written request is received. If Ecology determines that the change is substantial, then the Decree must be formally amended. Reasons for the disapproval of a proposed change to this Decree shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to the requested change, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIII (Resolution of Disputes).

#### XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

- 1. Defendant's request for an extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify:
  - A. The deadline that is sought to be extended.
  - B. The length of the extension sought.
  - C. The reason(s) for the extension.
  - D. Any related deadline or schedule that would be affected if the extension were granted.

360-586-6770

- 2. The burden shall be on Defendants to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause may include, but may not be limited to:
  - A. Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of Defendants including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by Defendants.
  - B. A shelter in place or work stoppage mandated by state or local government order due to public health and safety emergencies.
  - C. Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty.
    - D. Endangerment as described in Section XVI (Endangerment).
- 3. However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of this Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendants.
- 4. Ecology shall act upon any Defendant's written request for extension in a timely fashion. Ecology shall give Defendants written notification of any extensions granted pursuant to this Decree. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology or, if required, by the Court. Unless the extension is a substantial change, it shall not be necessary to amend this Decree pursuant to Section XIV (Amendment of Decree) when a schedule extension is granted.
- 5. At Defendant's request an extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Ecology may grant schedule extensions exceeding ninety (90) days only as a result of one of the following:
  - A. Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner.

- B. Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology.
- C. Endangerment as described in Section XVI (Endangerment).

#### XVII. ENDANGERMENT

- 1. In the event Ecology determines that any activity being performed at the Site under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Ecology may direct Defendants to cease such activities for such period of time as it deems necessary to abate the danger. Defendants shall immediately comply with such direction.
- 2. In the event Defendants determine that any activity being performed at the Site under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Defendants may cease such activities. Defendants shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after making such determination or ceasing such activities. Upon Ecology's direction, Defendants shall provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the determination or cessation of such activities. If Ecology disagrees with Defendant's cessation of activities, it may direct Defendants to resume such activities.
- 3. If Ecology concurs with or orders a work stoppage pursuant to this section, Defendant's obligations with respect to the ceased activities shall be suspended until Ecology determines the danger is abated, and the time for performance of such activities, as well as the time for any other work dependent upon such activities, shall be extended, in accordance with Section XV (Extension of Schedule), for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.
- 4. Nothing in this Decree shall limit the authority of Ecology, its employees, agents, or contractors to take or require appropriate action in the event of an emergency.

1	XVIII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE		
2	1. Covenant Not to Sue: In consideration of Defendant's compliance with the terms		
3	and conditions of this Decree, Ecology covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions		
4	against Defendants regarding the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the		
5	Site, as described in Section V.1.A. (Findings of Fact). This Covenant Not to Sue does not cover		
6	any other hazardous substance(s) or area. Ecology retains all of its authority relative to any		
7	hazardous substance(s) or area not covered by this Decree.		
8	This Covenant Not to Sue shall have no applicability whatsoever to:		
9.	A. Criminal liability.		
10	B. Liability for damages to natural resources.		
11	C. Any Ecology action, including cost recovery, against PLPs not a party to		
12	this Decree.		
13	2. Pursuant to RCW 70A.305.040(4)(c), the Court shall amend this Covenant Not		
14	to Sue if factors not known at the time of entry of this Decree are discovered and present a		
15	previously unknown threat to human health or the environment.		
16	3. Reopeners: Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or		
17	administrative action against Defendants to require it to perform additional remedial actions at		
18	the Site and to pursue appropriate cost recovery, pursuant to RCW 70A.305.050, under any of		
19	the following circumstances:		
20	A. Upon Defendants' failure to meet the requirements of this Decree.		
21	B. Failure of the remedial action to meet the cleanup standards identified in		
22	the CAP (Exhibit B).		
23	C. Upon Ecology's determination that remedial action beyond the terms of		
24	this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to human		
25	health or the environment.		
26			

- D. Upon the availability of information previously unknown to Ecology regarding Site factors including the nature, quantity, migration, pathway, or mobility of hazardous substances, and Ecology's determination, in light of this information, that further remedial action is necessary at the Site to protect human health or the environment.
- E. Upon Ecology's determination that additional remedial actions are necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the CAP.
- 4. Except in the case of an emergency, prior to instituting legal or administrative action against Defendants pursuant to this section, Ecology shall provide Defendants with fifteen (15) calendar days' notice of such action.

## XIX. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

1. With regard to claims for contribution against Defendants, the Parties agree that Defendants are entitled to protection against claims for contribution for matters addressed in this Decree as provided by RCW 70A.305.040(4)(d).

#### XX. INDEMNIFICATION

1. Defendants agree to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action (1) for death or injuries to persons, or (2) for loss or damage to property to the extent arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Defendants, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, Defendants shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action to the extent arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in entering into or implementing this Decree.

#### XXI. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

- 1. Applicable Law. All actions carried out by Defendants pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in RCW 70A.305.090. The permits or specific federal, state, or local requirements that the agency has determined are applicable and that are known at the time of the execution of this Decree have been identified in Exhibit B. Defendants have a continuing obligation to identify additional applicable federal, state, and local requirements which apply to actions carried out pursuant to this Decree, and to comply with those requirements. As additional federal, state, and local requirements are identified by Ecology or the Defendants, Ecology will document in writing if they are applicable to actions carried out pursuant to this Decree, and the Defendants must implement those requirements.
- 2. Relevant and Appropriate Requirements. All actions carried out by Defendants pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with relevant and appropriate requirements identified by Ecology. The permits or specific federal, state, or local requirements that the agency has determined are applicable and that are known at the time of the execution of this Decree have been identified in Exhibit B. If additional relevant and appropriate requirements are identified by Ecology or the Defendants, Ecology will document in writing if they are applicable to actions carried out pursuant to this Decree and the Defendants must implement those requirements.
- 3. Pursuant to RCW 70A.305.090(1), Defendants may be exempt from the procedural requirements of RCW 70A.15, 70A.205, 70A.300, 77.55, 90.48, and 90.58 and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals. However, Defendants shall comply with the substantive requirements of such permits or approvals. For permits and approvals covered under RCW 70A.305.090(1) that have been issued by local government, the Parties agree that Ecology has the non-exclusive ability under this Decree to enforce those local government permits and/or approvals. At this time, no state or local permits or approvals have been identified as being applicable but procedurally exempt under this section.

- 4. Defendants have a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70A.305.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree. In the event either Ecology or Defendants determine that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70A.305.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of its determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or Defendants shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, Defendants shall promptly consult with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by Defendants and on how Defendants must meet those requirements. Ecology shall inform Defendants in writing of these requirements. Once established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. Defendants shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination.
- 5. Pursuant to RCW 70A.305.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70A.305.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency that is necessary for the state to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and Defendants shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70A.305.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits or approvals.

#### XXII. REMEDIAL ACTION COSTS

1. Defendants shall pay to Ecology costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree and consistent with WAC 173-340-550(2). These costs shall include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the Site under RCW 70A.305, including remedial actions and Decree preparation, negotiation, oversight, and administration. These costs shall include

work performed both prior to and subsequent to the entry of this Decree. Ecology's costs shall include costs of direct activities and support costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). For all costs incurred, Defendants shall pay the required amount within thirty (30) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-550(4), failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement of costs will result in interest charges at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum, compounded monthly.

2. In addition to other available relief, pursuant to RCW 19.16.500, Ecology may utilize a collection agency and/or, pursuant to RCW 70A.305.060, file a lien against real property subject to the remedial actions to recover unreimbursed remedial action costs.

## XXIII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

- 1. If Ecology determines that the Defendants have failed to make sufficient progress or failed to implement the remedial action, in whole or in part, Ecology may, after notice to Defendants, perform any or all portions of the remedial action or at Ecology's discretion allow Defendants opportunity to correct. In an emergency, Ecology is not required to provide notice to Defendants, or an opportunity for dispute resolution. Defendants shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XXI (Remedial Action Costs).
- 2. Except where necessary to abate an emergency or where required by law, the Defendants shall not perform any remedial actions at the Site outside those remedial actions required by this Decree to address the contamination that is the subject of this Decree, unless Ecology concurs, in writing, with such additional remedial actions pursuant to Section XIV (Amendment of Decree). In the event of an emergency, or where actions are taken as required by law, Defendants must notify Ecology in writing of the event and remedial action(s) planned

360-586-6770

or taken as soon as practical but no later than within twenty-four (24) hours of the discovery of the event.

#### XXIV. PERIODIC REVIEW

1. So long as remedial action continues at the Site, the Parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the Site, and to review the data accumulated as a result of monitoring the Site as often as is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. Unless otherwise agreed to by Ecology, at least every five (5) years after the initiation of cleanup action at the Site the Parties shall confer regarding the status of the Site and the need, if any, for further remedial action at the Site. At least ninety (90) days prior to each periodic review, Defendants shall submit a report to Ecology that documents whether human health and the environment are being protected based on the factors set forth in WAC 173-340-420(4). Under Section XVII (Covenant Not to Sue), Ecology reserves the right to require further remedial action at the Site under appropriate circumstances. This provision shall remain in effect for the duration of this Decree.

#### XXV. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

- 1. Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site. However, Defendants shall cooperate with Ecology, and shall:
  - A. If agreed to by Ecology, develop appropriate mailing lists, prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, remedial investigation/feasibility study reports, cleanup action plans, and engineering design reports. As appropriate, Ecology will edit, finalize, and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings.
  - B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before meetings related to remedial action work to be performed at the Site with the interested public and/or local governments. Likewise,

Ecology shall notify Defendants prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets related to remedial action work to be performed at the Site, and before meetings related to remedial action work to be performed at the Site with the interested public and/or local governments. For all press releases, fact sheets, meetings, and other outreach efforts by Defendants that do not receive prior Ecology approval, Defendants shall clearly indicate to its audience that the press release, fact sheet, meeting, or other outreach effort was not sponsored or endorsed by Ecology.

- C. When requested by Ecology, participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter.
- D. When requested by Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories at the following locations:
  - i. Everett Public Library 2702 Hoyt Avenue Everett, WA 98501

At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and documents relating to public comment periods shall be promptly placed in this repository. A copy of all documents related to this Site shall be maintained at Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program's Headquarter Cleanup Section in Lacey, Washington.

#### XXVI. DURATION OF DECREE

1. The remedial program required pursuant to this Decree shall be maintained and continued until Defendants have received written notification from Ecology that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. This Decree shall remain in effect until dismissed by the Court. When dismissed, Section XI (Retention of Records), Section XVII (Covenant Not to Sue), Section XVIII (Contribution Protection), Section XIX (Indemnification), and Section XXVI (Claims Against the State) shall survive.

#### 1. Defendants hereby agree that they will not seek to recover any costs accrued in 2 implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any 3 of its agencies; and further, that Defendants will make no claim against any Ecology Model 4 Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree. Except as provided 5 above, however, Defendants expressly reserves its right to seek to recover any costs incurred in 6 implementing this Decree from any other PLP. This section does not limit or address funding 7 that may be provided under WAC 173-322A. 8 EFFECTIVE DATE 9 XXVIII. 1. This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court. 10 XXIX. WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT 11 1. If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and 12 void at the option of any party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs 13 and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this 14 Decree. 15 16 STATE OF WASHINGTON ROBERT W. FERGUSON 17 Attorney General DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY 18 19 LEVEL, WSBA 20439 Assistant Attorney General Program Manager 20 Toxics Cleanup Program 360-586-6753 360-485-3738 21 Date: 2/8/24 22 23 24 25 26

**CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE** 

XXVII.

1	AMERICAN DISTRIBUTING COMPANY EXXONMOBIL OIL CORPORATION
2	Liter Miller
3	STEVE MILLER MARIA QUEZADA
4	American Distributing Company Owner 360-658-3751  U.S. West-Americas Americas South Business Manager 832-624-2948
5	
6	Date: 10-24-2023 Date:
7	Α. Α
8	ENTERED this ZGM day of
9	
10	JUDGE
11	Snohomish County Superior Court
12	( ) harpson
13	
14	
15	
16	·
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
-	

1		OBIL OIL CORPORATION
2	2 Docusigned Mana A	by: I Awzada
3	II I	f.ºQUEZADA
4		t and Attamazz in Teat
5		t and Attorney-in-Fact
6	6 Date: Date: Nov	ember 20, 2023
7		<u> </u>
8	8 ENTERED this day of 2	023.
9	11	
10	10	
11	JUDGE Snohomish Co	ounty Superior Court
12		can't superior source
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
	25	
26	26	

CONSENT DECREE

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON
Ecology Division
PO Box 40117
Olympia, WA 98504-0117
360-586-6770

1	AME	RICAN DISTRIBUTING COMPAN	Y EXXONMOBIL OIL CORPORATION
2			
3	STEV	E MILLER	MARIA QUEZADA U.S. West-Americas Americas South Business
4	360-6:	can Distributing Company Owner 58-3751	Manager 832-624-2948
5			832-024-2348
6	Date:		Date:
7			
8		ENTERED this day of	2023.
9			
10			JUDGE
11			Snohomish County Superior Court
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	ā		
18			
19			
20 21	: :		
22 23	:		
23 24		,	
24 25		•	
2 <i>5</i> 26			
ا 0			