

April 10, 2024

Kim Vik  
Toxics Cleanup Program  
Department of Ecology  
Northwest Regional Office  
P.O. Box 330316  
Shoreline, Washington 98133

Re: Maralco Site Agreed Order No. DE 22343  
Progress Report No. 1: Reporting Period January to March 2024

Dear Kim:

This progress report summarizes the activities performed from January through March 2024 in fulfillment of Agreed Order DE 22343 for the Maralco Site in Kent, Washington. This progress report provides a summary of the work performed, deviations from the scope of work, laboratory analyses, and work anticipated during the following reporting period. Progress Reports will be submitted quarterly, consistent with the requirements of the Agreed Order.

### ***1. Activities Conducted During Reporting Period***

The following activities were conducted during the reporting period:

- Performed weekly TESC inspections of the stabilized, inactive 2023 interim action area.
- Submitted Agency Review Draft Supplemental RI Work Plan (SRIWP) to Ecology on February 2<sup>nd</sup>.
- Coordinated with Ecology regarding cultural resources review and the Inadvertent Discovery Plan.
- Updated SRIWP SLs and tables based on March 2023 updated PCUL spreadsheet were submitted to Ecology on March 20<sup>th</sup>.
- Received the King County Industrial Wastewater Discharge Authorization on February 7<sup>th</sup>. King County performed inspection on March 5<sup>th</sup>. Discharge of stormwater from the 2023 interim action to the sanitary sewer occurred between March 7<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup>.
- Submitted Agency Review Draft Interim Action Work Plan to Ecology on March 22<sup>nd</sup>.
- Provided support associated with Ecology review of the Construction NPDES permit application and SWPPP.

### ***2. Deviations from Scope of Work, Schedule, or Deliverables***

None.

### **3. Laboratory Analyses**

Laboratory data for KCIW discharge sampling were received in March. Data sampling and collection was per the KCIW permit, all data were non-detect. Data is included in Attachment 1.

### **4. Activities and Planned Deliverables Anticipated for Next Reporting Period**

- Complete the public review draft IAWP by June 10<sup>th</sup>.
- Address Ecology comments on the draft SRIWP.
- Prepare Final Supplemental RI Work Plan.

Implementation of the interim action is planned for July, following public review of the IAWP. RI field work will be implemented shortly after approval of the SRIWP.

Routine TESC inspection will continue during the next reporting period.

Please contact me if you have questions about any of the information contained in this Progress Report.

Sincerely,  
CRETE CONSULTING INCORPORATED, PC

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Grant Hainsworth". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Grant Hainsworth, P.E.  
Principal, Senior Project Manager

cc: Kyle Siekawitch, 7730 202nd Street, LLC

Attachment 1 – Laboratory Data Package

**Attachment 1 – Laboratory Data Package**

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D.  
Yelena Aravkina, M.S.  
Michael Erdahl, B.S.  
Vineta Mills, M.S.  
Eric Young, B.S.

5500 4th Ave South  
Seattle, WA 98108-2419  
(206) 285-8282  
office@friedmanandbruya.com  
www.friedmanandbruya.com

March 12, 2024

Rusty Jones, Project Manager  
Crete Consulting  
16300 Christensen Road, Suite 214  
Tukwila, WA 98188

Dear Mr Jones:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 7, 2024 from the Maralco Storm Water, F&BI 403095 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days, or as directed by the Chain of Custody document. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.



Michael Erdahl  
Project Manager

Enclosures

c: Jamie Stevens, Grant Hainsworth  
CTC0312R.DOC

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

---

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on March 7, 2024 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Crete Consulting Maralco Storm Water, F&BI 403095 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>Crete Consulting</u>
403095 -01	SW-1
403095 -02	SW-2
403095 -03	SW-3

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/12/24  
Date Received: 03/07/24  
Project: Maralco Storm Water, F&BI 403095  
Date Extracted: 03/08/24  
Date Analyzed: 03/08/24

**RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES  
FOR OIL AND GREASE USING EPA METHOD 1664**

Results Reported as mg/L (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Oil and Grease</u>
SW-1 403095-01	<3
SW-2 403095-02	<3
SW-3 403095-03	<3
Method Blank I4-180 MB	<3

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/12/24

Date Received: 03/07/24

Project: Maralco Storm Water, F&BI 403095

**QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER  
SAMPLES FOR OIL AND GREASE  
USING EPA METHOD 1664**

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Percent Recovery LCS	Percent Recovery LCSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 11)
Oil and Grease	mg/L (ppm)	20	79	85	78-114	7

# FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased low; or, the calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, with a detection for the analyte in the sample. The value reported is an estimate.
- c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.
- d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.
- f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.
- fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.
- fc - The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.
- ht - The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.
- j - The analyte concentration is reported below the standard reporting limit. The value reported is an estimate.
- J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- k - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, and the analyte was not detected in the sample.
- lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.
- vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



403095

SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY

03/07/24

04

Page # 1 of 1

Report To Jones, Stevens, Hainsworth

Company CRETE Consulting

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, ZIP \_\_\_\_\_

Phone 857.390.1359 Email \_\_\_\_\_

SAMPLES (signature) Rusty Jones

PROJECT NAME Maraleo

PO # \_\_\_\_\_

REMARKS

STORM WATER

INVOICE TO

Project specific RLS? - Yes / No

CRETE

TURNAROUND TIME

Standard turnaround

RUSH

Rush charges authorized by: \_\_\_\_\_

SAMPLE DISPOSAL

Archive samples

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Default: Dispose after 30 days

ANALYSES REQUESTED

Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of Jars	ANALYSES REQUESTED							Notes						
						NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX EPA 8021	NWTPH-HCID	VOCs EPA 8260	PAHs EPA 8270	PCBs EPA 8082		Non-Polar FOG					
SW-1	01	3/7/2024	0745	WATER	1														
SW-2	02		0752		1														
SW-3	03		0759		1														

Samples received at 0 °C

SIGNATURE

Relinquished by:

Rusty Jones

PRINT NAME

Rusty Jones

COMPANY

CRETE

DATE

3/7/2024

TIME

1100

Received by:

ANHPHAN

PRINT NAME

ANHPHAN

COMPANY

ESB

DATE

03/07/24

TIME

1100

Received by:

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.  
Ph. (206) 285-8282