

**From:** [Evered, John \(ECY\)](#)  
**To:** [Peter Leon](#)  
**Cc:** [Grant Hainsworth](#); [Todd Nicholson](#); [Brooks, Bonnie \(ECY\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: PoFH: Jensen's RIWP ("track changes" and comment response)  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 3:54:44 PM  
**Attachments:** [image002.png](#)

---

Todd and Peter,

Thank you for sending on the draft final RIFS workplan, and making the suggested changes to the document. The only issue that I am still a little unclear about is how the soil vadose and saturated zone extents were calculated, but I think that can be resolved in the final RI. At this point I think we can call it good and finalize the document. Can you please send on a final version once it is available.

With regards the RAG match, we are in the process of routing the memo to Ecology's director who will make the final determination. I anticipate that we should hear something toward the end of the month. I will keep you updated.

Moving forward, can you please provide an updated schedule once it is available. For now, please also keep me as the point of contact. Once we are in a position to switch over to Bonnie I will let you know. I anticipate that this will be late summer/fall.

Many thanks,

John Evered  
(360) 407-7071

---

**From:** Peter Leon <peter@leon-environmental.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 23, 2022 2:22 PM  
**To:** Evered, John (ECY) <jeve461@ECY.WA.GOV>  
**Cc:** Grant Hainsworth <grant.hainsworth@creteconsulting.com>; Todd Nicholson <toddn@portfridayharbor.org>  
**Subject:** PoFH: Jensen's RIWP ('track changes' and comment response)

**THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED FROM OUTSIDE THE WASHINGTON STATE EMAIL SYSTEM -  
Take caution not to open attachments or links unless you know the sender AND were expecting  
the attachment or the link**

Hi John,

Attached please find the revised RIWP and our associated responses to Ecology components. We used the 'track changes' function in the revised Word documents to facilitate your review of our revised documents. Where Ecology provided comments in PDFs, we responded within the same document.

Please note that I made a version control error with the Word files you reviewed. Although the Agency Draft Review RIWP I sent originally in PDF format were the final drafts intended for your review, the Word versions I sent in response to your subsequent request were an older, pre-QC version, which contained

errors that we'd corrected in the PDF version. I'm highlighting my error, because you inserted comments in the non-final draft Word versions, so we (L-E and CRETE) consolidated our responses into the non-final Word version you reviewed; however, our text revisions are provided the most current Word version, which was the source for the PDF. I apologize for the inconvenience.

We've implemented the following file naming conventions to help you differentiate our response to comments from actual text revisions:

- "COMMENT RESPONSE ONLY" prefix = A response to comments ONLY. Text revisions not included.
- "Tracked" suffix = Revised text (the CRETE files may also include response to comments).

Finally, we have not addressed formatting, page spacing, Table of Contents, or similar "presentation" issues, because these will all change after we prepare a final version.

Please don't hesitate to reach out if we can answer any questions.

## **Peter Leon**

Principal Scientist  
206.948.5366



[www.leon-environmental.com](http://www.leon-environmental.com)