

October 17, 2024 Project No. M0615.24.002

Scott Hooton Project Manager, Environmental Programs Port of Tacoma One Sitcum Plaza, Tacoma, WA, 98421

Re: Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber Site Enforcement Order No. DE 92TC-S312 Facility Site ID: 1209 Monitoring Date: August 14, 2024

Dear Scott Hooton:

On August 14, 2024, Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc. (MFA), conducted a groundwater monitoring event on behalf of the Port of Tacoma (the Port) at the former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber site (Ecology Facility ID 1209), located at 3701 Taylor Way in Tacoma, Washington (the Site) (Figure 1). Groundwater monitoring activities were conducted consistent with the requirements set forth in the Enforcement Order (DE 92TC-S312) between the Louisiana Pacific Corporation and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the revised operation, maintenance manual, and monitoring plan (Ecology 1992, Louisiana Pacific Corporation 2001). Groundwater monitoring is conducted every 30 months at the Site consistent with a memorandum of understanding (MOU) between Ecology and the Port (Ecology 2011). Field activities and results of the groundwater monitoring event are summarized below.

# Site Background

The Site is located at the former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber facility and encompasses approximately 18 acres. Between 1968 and 1969, approximately 1,800 tons of Asarco smelter slag was used as fill at the property to build stable ground for machinery. In 2004, Louisiana Pacific sold the property to Pony Lumber Company, which sold it to the Port in 2006. The property is leased to a Port tenant and operates as a parking and storage facility for newly imported backhoes and vehicles (Ecology 2016).

Between November 1983 and June 1984, Ecology collected stormwater runoff samples at the Site (Norton and Johnson 1985). Analytical results from stormwater samples indicated that metal concentrations above the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) quality standards were discharged from the Site in stormwater. It was concluded that Asarco slag was leaching contaminants and that the former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber property's stormwater was contributing contamination in Hylebos Creek and the Hylebos Waterway, which run adjacent to the Site (Ecology 2016).

In 1987, Ecology issued an order requiring a site investigation, groundwater investigation, and feasibility study; the results of these studies were presented in a site investigation report (CH2M Hill

1987). In 1990, Ecology issued Remedial Action Order No. DE 90-S170, requiring Louisiana Pacific to evaluate the effectiveness of capping as a cleanup method and to prepare a cap design for the Site (Ecology 1990). In 1993, following the issuance of the Engineering Design Report (CH2M Hill 1993) and under Enforcement Order DE92TC-S312, Louisiana Pacific constructed a low-permeability asphalt cap and stormwater drainage system on the Site. A restrictive covenant was recorded for the Site in 1993, limiting activities that may interfere with or reduce the effectiveness of the cleanup action and requiring that the Site be used only for industrial uses (Louisiana-Pacific Corporation 1993).

In March 2024, Ecology conducted a periodic review of post-cleanup site conditions and site data to ensure that human health and the environment were protected on the Site. The review determined that the remedial actions conducted at the Site continue to be protective of human health and the environment (Ecology 2024).

Groundwater monitoring has been conducted at monitoring wells LP-1, LP-2, LP-4, and LP-5 since 1995 to monitor the effectiveness of the remedial action on the Site (Figure 2). The previous groundwater monitoring event was completed by MFA in February 2022 (MFA 2022). The most recent cap inspection, completed by MFA in August 2024, is described in a separate report (MFA 2024).

# **Groundwater Monitoring Fieldwork**

On August 14, 2024, groundwater samples were collected from LP-1, LP-2, LP-4, and LP-5 using lowflow sampling procedures. The groundwater level in each well was measured prior to sampling (Table 1). During purging, the flow rates, water levels, and water quality parameters (pH, temperature, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, and turbidity) were recorded on field sampling data sheets. Water quality field parameters were stabilized before sample collection, with exception of LP-5, which exhibited low recharge and ran dry. LP-5 was sampled following purge of four well volumes, recharge of groundwater, and recording one set of field parameters (see Attachment A). Groundwater samples were field filtered with a 0.45-micron filter and preserved with nitric acid during sample collection. A field duplicate was collected from monitoring well LP-1. Samples were collected directly into laboratory-provided bottles and were immediately placed in a cooler on ice. The samples were submitted to Apex Laboratories, LLC, in Tigard, Oregon, under standard chain-of-custody procedures for analysis of dissolved arsenic and copper by EPA Method 200.8.

# **Groundwater Monitoring Results**

The laboratory analytical report is provided as Attachment B, and analytical data are presented in Table 2. Analytical data and the laboratory's internal quality assurance and quality control data were reviewed to assess whether they met project-specific data quality objectives. A data validation memorandum summarizing data evaluation procedures, data usability, and deviations from specific field and/or laboratory methods is included as Attachment C. The data are considered acceptable for their intended use, with the appropriate data qualifiers assigned. Results from the groundwater monitoring are as follows:

• Dissolved arsenic was detected at a concentration of 0.487 micrograms per liter (ug/L) in LP-1, 1.16 ug/L in LP-2, 0.291 ug/L in LP-4, and 2.20 ug/L in LP-5. None of the detected results exceeded the cleanup level of 36 ug/L.

Dissolved copper was detected at a concentration of 0.530 ug/L in LP-2 and at 0.786 ug/L in LP-4. Dissolved copper was not detected above the reporting limit (of 0.180 ug/L) in LP-1 and LP-5. None of the detected results exceeded the cleanup level of 2.9 ug/L.

Plots depicting dissolved arsenic and copper concentrations versus time (since monitoring began in 1995) for LP-1, LP-2, LP-4, and LP-5 are presented in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Arsenic and copper concentrations have remained below their respective cleanup levels in groundwater since the March 2012 sampling event. Groundwater monitoring results were submitted to Ecology within 45 days after completion of data validation.

# Recommendations

Consistent with the MOU, dissolved arsenic and copper concentrations in groundwater will continue to be monitored on a 30-month schedule (Ecology 2011). Therefore, the next scheduled monitoring event will take place in February 2027.

Please contact Audrey Hackett at (206) 556-2015 if you have any questions related to the groundwater monitoring activities or results presented above.

Sincerely,

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc.

Audrey Hackett Senior Environmental Scientist

# Attachments

References

Limitations

Figures

Tables

A–Water Field Sampling Data Sheets

- **B**—Analytical Laboratory Report
- C-Data Validation Memorandum

10.17.24

Carolyn R. Wise, LHG Senior Hydrogeologist

# References

CH2M Hill. 1987. Site Investigation Report.

- CH2M Hill. 1993. Engineering Report—Tacoma log sort yard RCC cap.
- Ecology. 2011. Memorandum of understanding, former log yard groundwater monitoring and cap inspection. Washington Department of Ecology. September 12.
- Ecology. 2024. Draft Third Periodic Review, Louisiana Pacific Corp, (aka Pony Lumber Company LLC). Washington State Department of Ecology: Lacey, Washington. March.
- Louisiana Pacific Corporation. 2001. *Revised Operation, Maintenance Manual, and Monitoring Plan* for concrete log yard groundwater monitoring report.
- MFA. 2022. A. Hackett and C. Wise, LHG, Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc. Groundwater Monitoring Report, Former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber Site, Enforcement Order No. DE 92TC-S312, Facility Site ID: 1209, Monitoring Date: February 17, 2022. Letter to Sarah Weeks, Port of Tacoma. June 6.
- MFA. 2024. Environmental Cap and Drainage System Inspection Report, Former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber Site.
- Norton, D., and A. Johnson. 1985. Completion Report on WQIS Project 1 for the Commencement Bay nearshore/tideflats Remedial Investigation: Assessment of log sort yards as metal sources to Commencement Bay waterways, November 1983 to June 1984. Washington State Department of Ecology memorandum. February 27.

# Limitations

The services undertaken in completing this report were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This report is solely for the use and information of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

Opinions and recommendations contained in this report apply to conditions existing when services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames, and project parameters indicated. We are not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the accuracy of information supplied by others, or the use of segregated portions of this report.

# **Figures**









# Figure 2 Site Features

Former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber 3701 Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

#### Legend

Monitoring Well

Site Boundary

 $\bullet$ 

Tax Lot





Data Sources Aerial photograph (2022) obtained from Esri; tax lot data obtained from Pierce County; monitoring well locations obtained from Anchor QEA site plan figure.



This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for, or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. Users of this information should review or consult the primary data and information sources to ascertain the usability of the information. © 2024 Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc.

Figure 3 Dissolved Arsenic Trend Plot Former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber Site Tacoma, Washington



Several of the concentrations are undetected results plotted at the reporting limit or are estimated values.

ug/L = micrograms per liter.

Figure 4 Dissolved Copper Trend Plot Former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber Site Tacoma, Washington



See Table 2 for analytical data.

Several of the concentrations are undetected results plotted at the reporting limit or are estimated values.

ug/L = micrograms per liter.

# **Tables**





# Table 1 Water Levels Former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber Site Tacoma, Washington

Location	Date <sup>(1)</sup>	Depth to Water (feet) <sup>(a)</sup>				
	07/07/2007	14.15				
	05/08/2008	12.20				
	09/16/2010	13.75				
	03/05/2012	11.71				
LP-1	09/06/2014	14.07				
	02/16/2017	10.2				
	08/21/2019	13.64				
	02/17/2022	12.41				
	08/14/2024	14.32				
	07/07/2007	15.90				
	05/08/2008	13.10				
	09/16/2010	12.71				
	02/16/2012	10.37				
LP-2	09/16/2014	6.46				
	02/16/2017 <sup>(b)</sup>					
	08/21/2019	12.22				
	02/17/2022	12.07				
	08/14/2024	11.96				
	07/07/2007	8.42				
	05/08/2008	9.26				
	09/16/2010	7.89				
	03/05/2012	7.47				
LP-4	09/06/2014	8.85				
	02/16/2017	6.10				
	08/21/2019	8.55				
	02/17/2022	7.97				
	08/14/2024	8.57				
	07/07/2007	8.80				
	05/08/2008	8.56				
	09/16/2010	8.81				
	03/05/2012	8.39				
LP-5	09/06/2014	9.10				
	02/16/2017	6.49				
	08/21/2019	8.88				
	02/17/2022	9.54				
	08/14/2024	9.04				



### Table 1 Water Levels Former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber Site Tacoma, Washington

#### Notes

-- = not measured.

<sup>(a)</sup>Surveyed reference elevations are not available. Water levels are presented as feet below top of casing.

<sup>(b)</sup>Due to a broken well casing, a measurement was not collected from LP-2 in 2017.

#### Reference

<sup>(1)</sup>Depth-to-water measurements collected before 2022 measured by others and obtained from: Anchor. 2019. Memorandum (re: groundwater monitoring report, former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber facility, Enforcement Order No. DE 92TC-S312, Washington State Department of Ecology facility site ID #1201, monitoring date: August 21, 2019) to P. Balaraju and A. Smith, Washington State Department of Ecology, from N. Bacher, Anchor QEA, LLC, Tacoma, Washington. November 14.



Location	Collection Date <sup>(1)</sup> :	Sample Type:	Dissolved Arsenic	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved Lead	Dissolved Zinc
		Units:	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
	Clea	anup Levels <sup>(2)</sup> :	36	2.9	8.5	86
	03/22/1995	N	10 U	3	3 U	20 U
	06/21/1995	N	4.6	1.9	1 U	3
	09/25/1995	N	5 U	1.4	3 U	20 U
	12/28/1995	N	5 U	10 U	3 U	50
	04/19/1996	N	5 U	3	3 U	10 U
	06/27/1996	N	10 U	2 U	8 U	10 U
	11/25/1996	N	5 U	2 U	2 U	5 U
	12/17/1996	N	200 U	20 U	50 U	20 U
	03/28/1997	N	10 U	2 U	8 U	80 U
	07/09/1997	Ν	1 U	1 U	0.5 U	9.4
	09/26/1997	Ν	2.7	1 U	0.5 U	4.3
	12/18/1997	Ν	3.3	1.8	0.5 U	5.6
	06/30/1998	Ν	4.2	1 U	0.5 U	2 U
	10/22/1999	Ν	1.7	1.3	1 U	170
	08/01/2000	Ν	1.8	1.6	ND	4
LP-1	02/02/2002	Ν	ND	4.01	ND	14.9
	07/07/2007	N	1 U	2 U	1 U	10 U
	07/07/2007	FD	1 U	2 U	1 U	10 U
	05/08/2008	Ν	ND	ND	ND	ND
	05/08/2008	FD	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09/16/2010	N	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	2.7
	03/05/2012	Ν	0.5 U	13		
	09/06/2014	Ν	1 U	1 U		
	09/06/2014	FD	1 U	1 U		
LP-1 -	02/16/2017	Ν	0.4	0.5 U		
	08/21/2019	Ν	0.337	0.5 U		
	02/17/2022	Ν	0.382	0.339 J		
	02/17/2022	FD	0.370	0.262 J		
	08/14/2024	N	0.487	0.180 U		
	08/14/2024	FD	0.460	0.180 U	3 U         50         20 U           3 U         10 U           8 U         10 U           2 U         5 U           50 U         20 U           8 U         80 U           0.5 U         9.4           0.5 U         9.4           0.5 U         4.3           0.5 U         2.0           1 U         170           ND         4           ND         14.9           1 U         10 U           ND         ND           0.5 U         2.7   3 U         20 U	
	03/22/1995	Ν	10 U	2 U	3 U	20 U
	06/21/1995	Ν	4.6	1.3	1 U	5.8
	09/25/1995	Ν	5 U	43	5.8 U	20 U
	12/28/1995	Ν	5 U	10 U	3 U	20 U
LP-2	03/28/1996	Ν	10 U	2 U	8 U	20 U
	06/27/1996	Ν	10 U	2 U	8 U	10 U
	11/25/1996	Ν	5 U	2 U	2 U	5 U
LP-2	12/17/1996	N	200 U	20 U	50 U	20 U
	07/09/1997	Ν	1 U	1 U	0.74	18
	09/26/1997	Ν	3.7	1 U	0.5 U	3



Location	Collection Date <sup>(1)</sup> :	Sample Type:	Dissolved Arsenic	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved Lead	Dissolved Zinc
		Units:	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
	Clea	anup Levels <sup>(2)</sup> :	36	2.9	8.5	86
	12/18/1997	Ν	1.5	2	0.5 U	2.8
	06/30/1998	Ν	4.2	1.3	0.5 U	2 U
Location LP-2 (continued) LP-4	10/22/1999	Ν	2.5	1 U	1 U	86
	08/01/2000	Ν	1	1	0.5	4
	02/02/2002	N	Dissolved Arsenic         Dissolved Copper         Dissolved Lead           Units:         Ug/L         Ug/L         Ug/L           evels <sup>[2]</sup> :         36         2.9         8.5           N         1.5         2         0.5 U           N         4.2         1.3         0.5 U           N         2.5         1 U         1 U           N         2.5         1 U         1 U           N         2.58         35.5         3.87           N         1 U         2 U         1 U           N         0.5 U         0.5 U         0.5 U           N         0.5 U         0.5 U         0.5 U           N         0.5 U         0.5 U         0.5 U           N         1.02         0.69            N         1.16         0.530            N         1.16         0.530            N         1.01         5         3 U           N         1.02         4.6            N         1.01         22         4.6           N         1.01         20         8 U      N         1.01         20	3.87	78.5	
LP-2	07/07/2007	N	1 U	2 U	1 U	10 U
(continued)	05/08/2008	Ν	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09/16/2010	N	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	4.0
	02/16/2012	N	0.5 U	1.8		
	09/06/2014	N	1 U	1 U		
	Collection Date         Sumplet           Ur           Cleanup Leve           12/18/1997         N           06/30/1998         N           10/22/1999         N           08/01/2000         N           02/02/2002         N           07/07/2007         N           05/08/2008         N           09/16/2010         N           02/16/2012         N           09/06/2014         N           08/21/2019         N           03/22/1995         N           03/22/1995         N           03/28/1996         N           11/25/1996         N           03/28/1997         N           03/28/1997         N           03/28/1997         N           03/28/1997         N           03/28/1997         N           03/22/1999         N <tr< td=""><td>Ν</td><td>1.2</td><td>0.69</td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	Ν	1.2	0.69		
	08/21/2019	FD	1.09	0.582		
	02/17/2022	Ν	1.82	0.526		
	08/14/2024	N	1.16	0.530		
	03/22/1995	Ν	10 U	5	3 U	20 U
	06/21/1995	Ν	6.9	5.9	1 U	18
	09/25/1995	N	7.1	22	4.6	20 U
	12/28/1995	N	2 U	5	1 U	20 U
	03/28/1996	N	10 U	2 U	8 U	20 U
	06/27/1996	N	10 U	4	8 U	10 U
	11/25/1996	Ν	5 U	4	4	7
	12/17/1996	Ν	200 U	20 U	50 U	20 U
	03/28/1997	Ν	10 U	4	8 U	80 U
	07/09/1997	N	2.9	1.7	0.55	27
	09/26/1997	N	7.6	2	0.5 U	6.6 U
LF -4	12/18/1997	Ν	7.3	6.2	0.5 U	10
	06/30/1998	Ν	3.3	2.5	0.5 U	2 U
LP-4	10/22/1999	Ν	1.8	1 U	1 U	75
	08/01/2000	Ν	1	1	0.5	4
	02/02/2002	Ν	5.54	6.05	1.04	10.4
	07/07/2007	Ν	4	2	1 U	10 U
	05/08/2008	Ν	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09/16/2010	Ν	0.5 U	0.8	0.5 U	5.5
	03/05/2012	N	0.5	0.5 U		
	09/06/2014	Ν	1.7	2		
	02/16/2017	Ν	0.421	0.984		
	08/21/2019	N	2.800	0.349 J		
LY-4 (continued)	02/17/2022	N	0.193 J	0.894		
	08/14/2024	Ν	0.291 J	0.786		
	03/22/1995	Ν	100 U	2	3 U	20 U



Location	Collection Date <sup>(1)</sup> :	Sample Type:	Dissolved Arsenic	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved Lead	Dissolved Zinc
		Units:	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L
	Clea	anup Levels <sup>(2)</sup> :	36	2.9	8.5	86
	06/21/1995	Ν	3.1	3.4	1 U	3.3
	09/25/1995	N	5.6	20	4.4	20 U
	12/28/1995	N	5 U	2 U	1 U	20 U
	03/28/1996	N	10 U	2 U	8 U	20 U
	06/27/1996	Ν	10 U	2 U	8 U	10 U
-	11/25/1996	Ν	5 U	2 U	2 U	16
	12/17/1996	N	200 U	20 U	50 U	20 U
	03/28/1997	N	10 U	2 U	8 U	80 U
	07/09/1997	N	1 U	1 U	1	37
LP-5	09/26/1997	N	7.7	1 U	0.5 U	10
	12/18/1997	N	4	1.7	0.5 U	6.1
	06/30/1998	N	11	1 U	0.5 U	3.1
	10/22/1999	N	7.9	1.2	1 U	140
	08/2000 <sup>(a)</sup>	N	1	1	0.5	4
	02/2002 <sup>(a)</sup>	N	9.05	6.15	1.02	69.6
	07/2007 <sup>(a)</sup>	N	3	2 U	1 U	10 U
	05/2008 <sup>(a)</sup>	N	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09/16/2010	Ν	0.6	0.5 U	0.5 U	1.0
	09/16/2010	FD	0.7	0.5 U	0.5 U	1.0
	03/05/2012	N	0.5 U	0.5 U		
	03/05/2012	FD	0.5 U	0.5 U		
	09/06/2014	Ν	1 U	1 U		
	02/16/2017	Ν	0.900	1.14		
	02/16/2017	FD	0.908	0.900		
	08/21/2019	Ν	3.36	1 U		
	02/17/2022	Ν	0.386	0.173 U		
	08/14/2024	N	2.20	0.180 U		



#### Notes

Lead and zinc analyses were discontinued in 2011 with Ecology approval.

Shading indicates values that exceed screening criteria; non-detects (U or ND) were not compared with screening criteria.

-- = not analyzed.

Ecology = Washington State Department of Ecology.

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

FD = field duplicate sample.

J = result is estimated.

N = normal environmental sample.

ND = result is non-detect; reporting limit value is unknown.

U = result is non-detect at the reporting limit.

ug/L = micrograms per liter.

WAC = Washington Administrative Code.

<sup>(a)</sup>Sample collection date accurate to month and year only.

#### References

<sup>(1)</sup>Analytical data collected before 2022 reported by others and obtained from: Anchor. 2019. Memorandum (re: groundwater monitoring report, former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber facility, Enforcement Order No. DE 92TC-S312, Washington State Department of Ecology facility site ID #1201, monitoring date: August 21, 2019) to P. Balaraju and A. Smith, Washington State Department of Ecology, from N. Bacher, Anchor QEA, LLC, Tacoma, Washington. November 14.

<sup>(2)</sup>Cleanup levels established by Ecology Enforcement Order (DE 92TC-S312) and EPA aquatic life criteria, marine water, chronic (WAC 173-201A).

Attachment A

Water Field Sampling Data Sheets





<b>Project Infor</b>	mation								
Projec	t No.	Client	Name	Project	Name	Samplir	ng Event	Samp	ler(s)
M0615.	24.002	Port of	Tacoma	LP Po	ony	Augus	t 2024	B. Mu	urphy
Well Informa	ation								
Location ID	Wel	Туре	Monum	ent Type	Depth Mea	asuring Point	(in)	Screen Interval (ft)	Sample Depth (ft)
LP-1	Moni	toring	Flush-	mount	Тор о	f Casing	2.0		21.0
Hydrology/L	evel Measu	rements						-	
Date	Time	Depth to Bottom (ft) DTB	Depth to Product (ft) DTP	Depth to Water (ft) DTW	Product Thickness (ft) DTP - DTW	Water Column (ft) DTB - DTW	Well Casing Volume (gal) (gal/ft x water column)	0.75" = 0.023 g 1" = 0.041 gal/ 1.5" = 0.092 gg	gal/ft /ft al/ft
08/14/2024	12:47	27.64		14.32		13.32	2.17	2" = 0.163 gal/ 3" = 0.367 gal/	'ft 'ft
Water Quali	ty Data							4" = 0.653 gal/	/ft
Purge Method	Peristal	tic Pump	Purge/Sampling inertia pump, de	Methods: perista dicated pump, di	ltic pump, subn sposable bailer,	nersible pump, va _other	cuum pump,	6" = 1.469 gal/ 8" = 2.611 gal/	íft íft
Purge Start Time	12	:53	ideally < 0.3 ft drawdown	± 0.1	± 3%	± 3%	± 10% if > 0.5	± 10	< 5 or ± 10% if > 5
Time	Cumulative Purge Volume	Flowrate	Water Level	pH	Temperature	Conductivity	Dissolved Oxygen	ORP	Turbidity
	gal	L/min	ft	SU	degrees C	uS/cm	mg/L	mV	NTU
14:15	5.3	0.2	14.17	6.60	17.7	1,262	0.12	-43.9	1.42
14:18	5.4	0.2	14.17	6.61	18.2	1,252	0.09	-59.4	2.42
14:21	5.6	0.2	14.16	6.60	18.1	1,252	0.08	-69.4	1.78
14:24	5.7	0.2	14.15	6.62	18.0	1,244	0.07	-75.5	1.33
14:27	5.9	0.2	14.14	6.61	18.2	1,240	0.06	-79.3	0.42
14:30	6.1	0.2	14.14	6.62	18.1	1,238	0.06	-82.3	0.44
Last row of wate	r qualitu data a	ra considered fin	al field paramete	rs unloss othorwig	a noted	Comple Infe	mation		
Lusi Tow Of Wate		ie considered jin	ui jielu puruillete	rs unless otherwis	se noteu.	Sampling	mation	Peristaltic Pum	n
Water Quality Observations						Method Sample Name		LP-1-081424	P
(clarity, tint, odor_sheen	Clear; sligh	nt brown tint;	slight organic l	ike-like odor; li	ght sheen.	Sample Date	08/14/2024	Sample Time	14:30
etc.)						Container Type	Preservative	Filtered	No. Containers
General Con	nments					VOA		(Y/N)	
						Amber glass			
						Poly	HNO3	Y	2
D	uplicate samp	le LP-DUP-08:	L424 collected	at this location	1.				
							Total N	lo. Containers:	2



<b>Project Infor</b>	mation								
Projec	t No.	Client	Name	Project	Name	Samplin	ng Event	Samp	oler(s)
M0615.	24.002	Port of	Tacoma	LP Po	ony	Augus	t 2024	B. Mu	urphy
Well Informa	ation								
Location ID	Wel	Туре	Monum	ent Type	Depth Mea	asuring Point	Well Diameter (in)	Screen Interval (ft)	Sample Depth (ft)
LP-2	Moni	toring	Flush-	mount	Тор о	f Casing	2.0		21.5
Hydrology/L	evel Measu	rements	-						
Date	Time	Depth to Bottom (ft) DTB	Depth to Product (ft) DTP	Depth to Water (ft) DTW	Product Thickness (ft) DTP - DTW	Water Column (ft) DTB - DTW	Well Casing Volume (gal) (gal/ft x water	0.75" = 0.023 g 1" = 0.041 gal 1.5" = 0.092 ga	gal/ft /ft al/ft
08/14/2024	15:18	31.19		11.96		19.23	3.13	2" = 0.163 gal/	/ft /ft
Water Quali	ty Data	51.15		11.50		15.25	5.15	4" = 0.653 gal/	/ft
Purge Method	Poristal	tic Pump	Purge/Sampling	Methods: perista	ltic pump, subn	nersible pump, va	сиит ритр,	6" = 1.469 gal/	/ft
Purge Start	i ensta	ucrump	inertia pump, de ideally < 0.3 ft	dicated pump, dis	sposable bailer,	other		8" = 2.611 gal/	′ft <5 or
Time	15	5:20	drawdown	± 0.1	± 3%	± 3%	± 10% if > 0.5	± 10	± 10% if > 5
Time	Cumulative Purge Volume	Flowrate	Water Level	рН	Temperature	Conductivity	Dissolved Oxygen	ORP	Turbidity
Time	gal	L/min	ft	SU	degrees C	uS/cm	mg/L	mV	NTU
15:30	0.6	0.2	12.17	6.72	16.5	2,121	0.14	-74.5	12.3
15:33	0.7	0.2	12.13	6.70	16.6	2,103	0.11	-80.7	24.1
15:36	0.8	0.2	12.11	6.73	16.6	2,118	0.09	-93.1	16.7
15:39	1.0	0.2	12.10	6.73	16.7	2,119	0.07	-99.8	16.2
15:42	1.2	0.2	12.05	6.74	16.8	2,082	0.06	-105.1	16.3
15:45	1.3	0.2	12.01	6.75	16.9	2,073	0.07	-108.5	7.19
Last row of wate	er quality data a	re considered fin	al field paramete	rs unless otherwis	se noted.	Sample Info	rmation		
Water Quality						Sampling Method	1	Peristaltic Pum	р
<b>Observations</b> (clarity. tint.	Yellow-bro	own tint; floati	ng particulates	; slightly turbic	l; no odor;	Sample Name		LP-2-081424	
odor, sheen,			slight sheen.			Sample Date	08/14/2024	Sample Time	15:45
etc.)						Container Type	Preservative	Filtered (Y/N)	No. Containers
General Com	nments					VOA			
						Amber glass			
						Poly	HNO3	Y	1
Soft bottom o	during depth-	to-bottom me	asurement. Lo	wered pump ra	ate at 15:34.				
							Total N	lo. Containers:	1



<b>Project Infor</b>	mation								
Projec	t No.	Client	Name	Project	Name	Samplin	ng Event	Samp	oler(s)
M0615.	24.002	Port of	Tacoma	LP P	ony	Augus	t 2024	B. Mi	urphy
Well Informa	ation		-						Comula Douth
Location ID	Wel	І Туре	Monum	ent Type	Depth Mea	asuring Point	(in)	(ft)	(ft)
LP-4	Moni	itoring	Flush-	mount	Тор о	f Casing	2.0		9.5
Hydrology/L	evel Measu	rements		<b>I-</b>	<u> </u>				
Date	Time	Depth to Bottom (ft) DTB	Depth to Product (ft) DTP	Depth to Water (ft) DTW	Product Thickness (ft) DTP - DTW	Water Column (ft) DTB - DTW	Vell Casing Volume (gal) (gal/ft x water	0.75" = 0.023 g 1" = 0.041 gal, 1.5" = 0.092 ga	gal/ft /ft al/ft
08/14/2024	10:32	10.97		8.57		2.40	0.39	2" = 0.163 gal/ 3" = 0.367 gal/	/ft /ft
Water Quali	tv Data							4" = 0.653 gal/	/ft
Purge Method	Peristal	tic Pump	Purge/Sampling	Methods: perista	ltic pump, subn	nersible pump, va	сиит ритр,	6" = 1.469 gal/ 8" = 2.611 gal/	/ft /ft
Purge Start	10	):55	ideally < 0.3 ft	uicatea pump, ai	sposuble buller,			g,	< 5 or
Time	Cumulative	Elowrate	drawdown Water Level	± 0.1	± 3%	± 3%	± 10% if > 0.5 Dissolved	± 10	$\pm 10\%$ if > 5
Time	Purge Volume		ft	SU SU	degrees (		Oxygen ma/l	mV	NTU
11:36	2.0	0.2	8.82	6.05	16.9	2.084	0.24	92.5	0.79
11.39	2.2	0.2	8.82	6.06	17.0	2 007	0.23	89.4	0.46
11:42	2.2	0.2	8.82	6.05	16.7	1 917	0.23	87.3	0.40
11.42	2.5	0.2	0.02	6.05	16.7	1,917	0.23	07.5	0.24
11:45	2.4	0.2	0.82	0.05	10.7	1,852	0.23	84.4	0.31
Last row of wate	or quality data a	re considered fin	al field paramete	urs unless otherwi	se noted	Sample Info	mation		
Water Quality			arjicia paramete			Sampling Method		Peristaltic Pum	р
Observations		Charman and				Sample Name		LP-4-081424	
odor, sheen,		Clear; cold	oriess; no odor	; no sneen.		Sample Date	08/14/2024	Sample Time	11:45
etc.)						Container Type	Preservative	Filtered (Y/N)	No. Containers
General Con	nments					VOA		(1).0/	
						Amber glass			
						Poly	HNO3	Y	1
							Total N	No. Containers:	1



<b>Project Infor</b>	mation								
Projec	t No.	Client	Name	Project	Name	Samplin	ng Event	Samp	oler(s)
M0615.	24.002	Port of	Tacoma	LP P	ony	Augus	t 2024	B. Mı	urphy
Well Informa	ation							1	
Location ID	Wel	І Туре	Monum	ent Type	Depth Mea	asuring Point	Well Diameter (in)	Screen Interval (ft)	Sample Depth (ft)
LP-5	Mon	itoring	Flush-	mount	Тор о	f Casing	2.0		11.0
Hydrology/L	evel Measu	rements							
Date	Time	Depth to Bottom (ft)	Depth to Product (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	Product Thickness (ft)	Water Column (ft)	Well Casing Volume (gal) (gal/ft x water	0.75" = 0.023 <u>(</u> 1" = 0.041 gal)	gal/ft /ft gl/ft
08/14/2024	11:08	11.98		9.04		2.94	<u>column)</u> 0.48	2'' = 0.163  gal	/ft /ft
Water Quali	ty Data						0110	4'' = 0.653  gal	/ft
Water Quair			Purge/Sampling	Methods: perista	ltic pump, subn	nersible pump, va	сиит ритр,	6" = 1.469 gal/	/ft
Purge Method	Peristal	tic Pump	inertia pump, de	dicated pump, di	sposable bailer,	other		8" = 2.611 gal/	/ft
Purge Start	11	.:25	ideally < 0.3 ft drawdown	+01	+ 3%	+ 3%	+ 10% if > 0 5	+ 10	< 5 or + 10% if > 5
Time	Cumulative Purge Volume	Flowrate	Water Level	рН	Temperature	Conductivity	Dissolved Oxygen	ORP	Turbidity
	gal	L/min	ft	SU	degrees C	uS/cm	mg/L	mV	NTU
13:26	2.2	0.2		6.55	16.5	2,868	0.30	-24.5	1.39
Last row of wate	er quality data a	re considered fin	al field paramete	rs unless otherwis	se noted.	Sample Info	rmation	•	
Water Quality						Sampling Method		Peristaltic Pum	р
<b>Observations</b>		Clear: colo	vrless: no odor	· no sheen		Sample Name		LP-5-081424	
odor, sheen,			1100001	, no sheen.		Sample Date	08/14/2024	Sample Time	13:45
etc.)						Container Type	Preservative	Filtered (Y/N)	No. Containers
General Com	nments					VOA			
Dense lave	r of mud or d	irt at approxin	natlev 8.8 feet	depth inside w	ell casing.	Amber glass			
Encountered	resistance dro	opping down v	vater level met	ter and tubing.	Well ran dry	Poly	HNO3	Y	1
at 12:35 with	h 2.1 gallons j	ourged; stoppe	ed pumping. R	esumed pumpi	ng at 13:23				
with lower p	ourge rate. W	en ran dry at 1	o:∠o; stopped	pumping. Lowe	erea tubing				
			Lu αι 13.43.			Total No. Containers:			1

# Attachment B

# **Analytical Laboratory Report**





Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

Wednesday, September 11, 2024

Audrey Hackett Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle 2815 2nd Ave Suite 540 Seattle, WA 98121

#### RE: A4H1235 - LP Pony Lumber, Port of Tacoma - M0615.24.002

Thank you for using Apex Laboratories. We greatly appreciate your business and strive to provide the highest quality services to the environmental industry.

Enclosed are the results of analyses for work order A4H1235, which was received by the laboratory on 8/16/2024 at 10:55:00AM.

If you have any questions concerning this report or the services we offer, please feel free to contact me by email at: <u>pnerenberg@apex-labs.com</u>, or by phone at 503-718-2323.

Please note: All samples will be disposed of within 30 days of sample receipt, unless prior arrangements have been made.

Cooler Receipt Information							
Acceptable Receipt Temperature is less than, or equal to, 6 degC (not frozen), or received on ice the same day as sampling.							
(See Cooler Receipt Form for details)							
Default Cooler 4.7 degC							

This Final Report is the official version of the data results for this sample submission, unless superseded by a subsequent, labeled amended report.

All other deliverables derived from this data, including Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs), CLP-like forms, client requested summary sheets, and all other products are considered secondary to this report.



The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document(s) and updated by any subsequent written communications. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director



Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle	Project:	LP Pony Lumber, Port of Tacoma	
2815 2nd Ave Suite 540	Project Number:	M0615.24.002	<u>Report ID:</u>
Seattle, WA 98121	Project Manager:	Audrey Hackett	A4H1235 - 09 11 24 1313

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

SAMPLE INFORMATION									
Client Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received					
LP-1-081424	A4H1235-01	Water	08/14/24 14:30	08/16/24 10:55					
LP-DUP-081424	A4H1235-02	Water	08/14/24 14:30	08/16/24 10:55					
LP-2-081424	A4H1235-03	Water	08/14/24 15:45	08/16/24 10:55					
LP-4-081424	A4H1235-04	Water	08/14/24 11:45	08/16/24 10:55					
LP-5-081424	A4H1235-05	Water	08/14/24 13:45	08/16/24 10:55					

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director



#### Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

#### Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle 2815 2nd Ave Suite 540

Seattle, WA 98121

# Project:LP Pony Lumber, Port of TacomaProject Number:M0615.24.002Project Manager:Audrey Hackett

<u>Report ID:</u> A4H1235 - 09 11 24 1313

#### ANALYTICAL SAMPLE RESULTS

	Dissolved Metals by EPA 200.8 (ICPMS)								
	Sample	Detection	Reporting			Date			
Analyte	Result	Limit	Limit	Units	Dilution	Analyzed	Method Ref.	Notes	
LP-1-081424 (A4H1235-01)				Matrix: Wa	ater				
Batch: 24H0882									
Arsenic	0.487	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 15:59	EPA 200.8 (Diss)		
Copper	ND	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 15:59	EPA 200.8 (Diss)		
LP-DUP-081424 (A4H1235-02)				Matrix: Wa	ater				
Batch: 24H0882									
Arsenic	0.460	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 16:17	EPA 200.8 (Diss)		
Copper	ND	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 16:17	EPA 200.8 (Diss)		
LP-2-081424 (A4H1235-03)				Matrix: Wa	ater				
Batch: 24H0882									
Arsenic	1.16	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 16:22	EPA 200.8 (Diss)		
Copper	0.530	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 16:22	EPA 200.8 (Diss)		
LP-4-081424 (A4H1235-04)				Matrix: Wa	ater				
Batch: 24H0882									
Arsenic	0.291	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 16:26	EPA 200.8 (Diss)	J	
Copper	0.786	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 16:26	EPA 200.8 (Diss)		
LP-5-081424 (A4H1235-05)				Matrix: Wa	ater				
Batch: 24H0882									
Arsenic	2.20	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 16:31	EPA 200.8 (Diss)		
Copper	ND	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	09/04/24 16:31	EPA 200.8 (Diss)		

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director



6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle

2815 2nd Ave Suite 540 Seattle, WA 98121 
 Project:
 LP Pony Lumber, Port of Tacoma

 Project Number:
 M0615.24.002

 Project Manager:
 Audrey Hackett

<u>Report ID:</u> A4H1235 - 09 11 24 1313

#### **QUALITY CONTROL (QC) SAMPLE RESULTS**

Dissolved Metals by EPA 200.8 (ICPMS)												
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Spike Amount	Source Result	% REC	% REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch 24H0882 - Matrix Match	ed Direct	Inject					Wa	iter				
Blank (24H0882-BLK2)			Prepared	: 09/04/24	12:36 Ana	lyzed: 09/04	/24 16:59					
EPA 200.8 (Diss)												
Arsenic	ND	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1							Q-1
Copper	ND	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1							Q-1
LCS (24H0882-BS2)			Prepared	: 09/04/24	12:36 Ana	lyzed: 09/04	/24 17:04					
EPA 200.8 (Diss)												
Arsenic	9.79	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	10.0		98	85-115%			Q-1
Copper	10.4	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	10.0		104	85-115%			Q-1
Duplicate (24H0882-DUP1)			Prepared	: 09/04/24	12:36 Ana	lyzed: 09/04	/24 16:03					
OC Source Sample: LP-1-081424	(A4H1235-(	<u>)1)</u>										
EPA 200.8 (Diss)												
Arsenic	0.465	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1		0.487			5	20%	
Copper	ND	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1		ND				20%	
Matrix Spike (24H0882-MS1)			Prepared	: 09/04/24	12:36 Ana	lyzed: 09/04	/24 16:08					
QC Source Sample: LP-1-081424	(A4H1235-0	<u>)1)</u>										
EPA 200.8 (Diss)												
Arsenic	10.8	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	10.0	0.487	104	70-130%			
Copper	9.86	0.180	0.360	ug/L	1	10.0	ND	99	70-130%			

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director



Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle 2815 2nd Ave Suite 540 Seattle, WA 98121 Project:LP Pony Lumber, Port of TacomaProject Number:M0615.24.002

Project Manager: Audrey Hackett

<u>Report ID:</u> A4H1235 - 09 11 24 1313

#### SAMPLE PREPARATION INFORMATION

Dissolved Metals by EPA 200.8 (ICPMS)								
Prep: Matrix Matched Direct Inject					Sample	Default	RL Prep	
Lab Number	Matrix	Method	Sampled	Prepared	Initial/Final	Initial/Final	Factor	
Batch: 24H0882								
A4H1235-01	Water	EPA 200.8 (Diss)	08/14/24 14:30	09/04/24 12:36	10mL/20mL	45mL/50mL	1.80	
A4H1235-02	Water	EPA 200.8 (Diss)	08/14/24 14:30	09/04/24 12:36	10mL/20mL	45mL/50mL	1.80	
A4H1235-03	Water	EPA 200.8 (Diss)	08/14/24 15:45	09/04/24 12:36	10mL/20mL	45mL/50mL	1.80	
A4H1235-04	Water	EPA 200.8 (Diss)	08/14/24 11:45	09/04/24 12:36	10mL/20mL	45mL/50mL	1.80	
A4H1235-05	Water	EPA 200.8 (Diss)	08/14/24 13:45	09/04/24 12:36	10mL/20mL	45mL/50mL	1.80	

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director



Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle 2815 2nd Ave Suite 540 Seattle, WA 98121 Project: LP Pony Lumber, Port of Tacoma Project Number: M0615.24.002

Project Manager: Audrey Hackett

<u>Report ID:</u> A4H1235 - 09 11 24 1313

#### **QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS**

#### Client Sample and Quality Control (QC) Sample Qualifier Definitions:

#### Apex Laboratories

J Estimated Result. Result detected below the lowest point of the calibration curve, but above the specified DL.

Q-16 Reanalysis of an original Batch QC sample.

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director



#### Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

#### Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle

2815 2nd Ave Suite 540 Seattle, WA 98121

#### Project: LP Pony Lumber, Port of Tacoma

Project Number: M0615.24.002 Project Manager: Audrey Hackett <u>Report ID:</u> A4H1235 - 09 11 24 1313

#### **REPORTING NOTES AND CONVENTIONS:**

#### Abbreviations:

DET	Analyte DETECTED at or above the detection or reporting limit.
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the detection or reporting limit.

NR Result Not Reported

RPD Relative Percent Difference. RPDs for Matrix Spikes and Matrix Spike Duplicates are based on concentration, not recovery.

#### Detection Limits: Limit of Detection (LOD)

Validated Limits of Detection (LODs) are normally set at a level of one half the validated Limit of Quantitation (LOQ). If no value is listed ('-----'), then the data has not been evaluated below the Reporting Limit.

#### Reporting Limits: Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)

Validated Limits of Quantitation (LOQs) are reported as the Reporting Limits for all analyses where the LOQ, MRL, PQL or CRL are requested. The LOQ represents a level at or above the low point of the calibration curve, that has been validated according to Apex Laboratories' comprehensive LOQ policies and procedures.

#### **Reporting Conventions:**

Basis: Results for soil samples are generally reported on a 100% dry weight basis.

The Result Basis is listed following the units as " dry", " wet", or " " (blank) designation.

- <u>" dry"</u> Sample results and Reporting Limits are reported on a dry weight basis. (i.e. "ug/kg dry") See Percent Solids section for details of dry weight analysis.
- "wet" Sample results and Reporting Limits for this analysis are normally dry weight corrected, but have not been modified in this case.
- "\_\_\_ Results without 'wet' or 'dry' designation are not normally dry weight corrected. These results are considered 'As Received'.

Results for Volatiles analyses on soils and sediments that are reported on a "dry weight" basis include the water miscible solvent (WMS) correction referenced in the EPA 8000 Method guidance documents. Solid and Liquid samples reported on an "As Received" basis do not have the WMS correction applied, as dry weight was not performed.

#### QC Source:

In cases where there is insufficient sample provided for Sample Duplicates and/or Matrix Spikes, a Lab Control Sample Duplicate (LCS Dup) may be analyzed to demonstrate accuracy and precision of the extraction batch.

Non-Client Batch QC Samples (Duplicates and Matrix Spike/Duplicates) may not be included in this report. Please request a Full QC report if this data is required.

#### Miscellaneous Notes:

"--- " QC results are not applicable. For example, % Recoveries for Blanks and Duplicates, % RPD for Blanks, Blank Spikes and Matrix Spikes, etc.

"\*\*\* " Used to indicate a possible discrepancy with the Sample and Sample Duplicate results when the %RPD is not available. In this case, either the Sample or the Sample Duplicate has a reportable result for this analyte, while the other is Non Detect (ND).

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director



Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

#### Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle

2815 2nd Ave Suite 540 Seattle, WA 98121 Project: <u>LP Pony Lumber, Port of Tacoma</u> Project Number: **M0615.24.002** 

Project Manager: Audrey Hackett

<u>Report ID:</u> A4H1235 - 09 11 24 1313

#### **REPORTING NOTES AND CONVENTIONS (Cont.):**

#### Blanks:

Standard practice is to evaluate the results from Blank QC Samples down to a level equal to one half of the Reporting Limit (RL). Blank results for gravimetric analyses are evaluated to the Reporting Level, not to half of the Reporting Level.

Blank results for gravimetric analyses are evaluated to the Reporting Level, not to half of the Reporting Level.

-For Blank hits falling between 1/2 the RL and the RL (J flagged hits), the associated sample and QC data will receive a 'B-02' qualifier.

-For Blank hits above the RL, the associated sample and QC data will receive a 'B' qualifier, per Apex Laboratories' Blank Policy.

For further details, please request a copy of this document.

-Sample results flagged with a 'B' or 'B-02' qualifier are potentially biased high if the sample results are less than ten times the level found in the blank for inorganic analyses, or less than five times the level found in the blank for organic analyses.

'B' and 'B-02' qualifications are only applied to sample results detected above the Reporting Level, if results are not reported to the MDL.

#### **Preparation Notes:**

Mixed Matrix Samples:

#### Water Samples:

Water samples containing significant amounts of sediment are decanted or separated prior to extraction, and only the water portion analyzed, unless otherwise directed by the client.

#### Soil and Sediment Samples:

Soil and Sediment samples containing significant amounts of water are decanted prior to extraction, and only the solid portion analyzed, unless otherwise directed by the client.

#### Sampling and Preservation Notes:

Certain regulatory programs, such as National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), require that activities such as sample filtration (for dissolved metals, orthophosphate, hexavalent chromium, etc.) and testing of short hold analytes (pH, Dissolved Oxygen, etc.) be performed in the field (on-site) within a short time window. In addition, sample matrix spikes are required for some analyses, and sufficient volume must be provided, and billable site specific QC requested, if this is required. All regulatory permits should be reviewed to ensure that these requirements are being met.

Data users should be aware of which regulations pertain to the samples they submit for testing. If related sample collection activities are not approved for a particular regulatory program, results should be considered estimates. Apex Laboratories will qualify these analytes according to the most stringent requirements, however results for samples that are for non-regulatory purposes may be acceptable.

Samples that have been filtered and preserved at Apex Laboratories per client request are listed in the preparation section of the report with the date and time of filtration listed.

Apex Laboratories maintains detailed records on sample receipt, including client label verification, cooler temperature, sample preservation, hold time compliance and field filtration. Data is qualified as necessary, and the lack of qualification indicates compliance with required parameters.

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director



Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

<u>Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle</u> 2815 2nd Ave Suite 540 Seattle, WA 98121 Project: <u>LP Pony Lumber, Port of Tacoma</u> Project Number: M0615.24.002

Project Manager: Audrey Hackett

<u>Report ID:</u> A4H1235 - 09 11 24 1313

#### LABORATORY ACCREDITATION INFORMATION

#### ORELAP Certification ID: OR100062 (Primary Accreditation) EPA ID: OR01039

All methods and analytes reported from work performed at Apex Laboratories are included on Apex Laboratories' ORELAP Scope of Certification, with the <u>exception</u> of any analyte(s) listed below:

N

latrix	Analysis	TNI_ID	Analyte		TNI_ID	Accreditation
		All reported analytes are included in A	pex Laboratories' current	ORELAP scope.		

#### Secondary Accreditations

Apex Laboratories also maintains reciprocal accreditation with non-TNI states (Washington DOE), as well as other state specific accreditations not listed here.

#### Subcontract Laboratory Accreditations

Subcontracted data falls outside of Apex Laboratories' Scope of Accreditation. Please see the Subcontract Laboratory report for full details, or contact your Project Manager for more information.

#### **Field Testing Parameters**

Results for Field Tested data are provded by the client or sampler, and fall outside of Apex Laboratories' Scope of Accreditation.

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg



#### Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062



Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg



Apex Laboratories, LLC

6700 S.W. Sandburg Street Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 ORELAP ID: OR100062

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc-Seattle	Project: <u>LP Pony Lumber, Port of Tacoma</u>		
2815 2nd Ave Suite 540	Project Number: M0615.24.002	Report ID:	
Seattle, WA 98121	Project Manager: Audrey Hackett	A4H1235 - 09 11 24 1313	
APEXClient: $Maul_Footer_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_AProject/Project #:Project_Project_ADelivered by:Apex_Client_ESS_FeFrom USDA Regulated Origin?Ye:Cooler InspectionDate/time inspectChain of Custody included?Ye:Signed/dated by client?Ye:Contains USDA Reg. Soils?Ye:Contains USDA Reg. Soils?Ye:Contains USDA Reg. Soils?Ye:Temperature (°C)Image: Project #Custody seals?Image: Project #Temp. blanks?Image: Project #Condition (In/Out):Image: Project #Cooler out of temp?Project #Cooler out of temp?Project #Out of temperature samples form initiateSample Inspection:Date/time inspectAll samples intact?Yes_VYes_VImage: Project #Project Project Proj$	LABS COOLER RECEIPT FORM         10ngi       Element WO#: A4 ft 12s         Lumber, Port of Tacoma         1055 By:       Ts         edEx_XUPS_Radio_Morgan_SDS_Evergreen_         ssNo	S MOle15, 24.0xz    Cooler #7	
Bottle labels/COCs agree? Yes 🔟 No	Comments:		
COC/container discrepancies form initiat Containers/volumes received appropriate	red? Yes No for analysis? Yes No Comments:		
Do VOA vials have visible headspace? Comments Water samples: pH checked: Yes <u>X</u> No Comments: 2783380	Yes No NA <u>*</u> NApH appropriate? Yes <u>*_</u> NoNApH ID: X Z421	A231172	
Labeled by: 74 Wit	tness: Cooler Inspected by: $T \leq F$	orm Y-003 R-02 -	

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Attachment C

**Data Validation Memorandum** 



# **Data Validation Memorandum**

### Project No. M0615.24.002 | September 11, 2024 | Port of Tacoma

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc. (MFA), conducted an independent Stage 2A review of the quality of analytical results for groundwater and associated quality control samples collected on August 14, 2024, at former Louisiana Pacific/Pony Lumber site in Tacoma, Washington.

Apex Laboratories LLC (Apex) performed the analyses. MFA reviewed Apex report number A4H1235. The analyses performed and the samples analyzed are listed in the following tables.

Analysis	Reference
Dissolved arsenic and copper	EPA 200.8

Notes

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Samples Analyzed					
Report A4H1235					
LP-1-081424	LP-4-081424				
LP-DUP-081424	LP-5-081424				
LP-2-081424					

# **Data Validation Procedures**

Analytical results were evaluated according to applicable sections of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidelines for data review (EPA 2020) and appropriate laboratory- and method-specific guidelines (Apex 2023, EPA 1986).

Based on the data quality assurance/quality control review described herein, the data, with the appropriate final data qualifiers assigned, are considered acceptable for their intended use. Final data qualifiers represent qualifiers originating from the laboratory and accepted by the reviewer, and data qualifiers assigned by the reviewer during validation.

Final data qualifiers:

- J = result is estimated.
- U = result is non-detect at the laboratory detection limit (LDL).

## **Sample Conditions**

### Sample Custody

Sample custody was appropriately documented on the chain-of-custody (COC) form accompanying the report.

The reviewer confirmed that the gap in custody on the COC form accompanying report A4H1235 is due to shipment via a third-party service.

## **Holding Times**

Extractions and analyses were performed within the recommended holding times.

### **Preservation and Sample Storage**

The samples were preserved and stored appropriately.

### **Sample Filtration**

Field samples for dissolved EPA Method 200.8 analysis were field-filtered with a 0.45-micron filter during sample collection.

# **Reporting Limits**

The laboratory evaluated results to LDLs.

The laboratory qualified results between the LDL and the method reporting limit with J, as estimated.

### **Blank Results**

### Method Blanks

Laboratory method blanks are used to evaluate whether laboratory contamination was introduced during sample preparation and analysis. Laboratory method blank analyses were performed at the required frequencies, in accordance with laboratory- and method-specific requirements.

All laboratory method blank results were non-detect to LDLs.

### **Equipment Rinsate Blanks**

Equipment rinsate blanks are used to evaluate the adequacy of the field equipment decontamination process when decontaminated sampling equipment is used to collect samples.

These blanks were not required for this sampling event, as all samples were collected using dedicated or single-use equipment.

### **Field Filter Blanks**

Field filter blanks are used to evaluate whether contamination was introduced during field filtering procedures.

The reviewer could not evaluate whether metals contamination was introduced during field filtering procedures.

### **Trip Blanks**

Trip blanks are used to evaluate whether volatile organic compound contamination was introduced during shipping and field handling procedures.

Trip blank samples were not required for this sampling event because samples were not analyzed for volatile organic compounds.

# Laboratory Control Sample and Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results

Laboratory control sample (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) results are used to evaluate laboratory precision and accuracy. Where LCSD results were not reported, laboratory precision was evaluated using laboratory duplicate results. The LCS samples were prepared and analyzed at the required frequency, in accordance with laboratory- and method-specific requirements.

All LCS results were within acceptance limits for percent recovery.

# Laboratory Duplicate Results

Laboratory duplicate results are used to evaluate laboratory precision and sample homogeneity. All laboratory duplicate samples were prepared and analyzed at the required frequency, in accordance with laboratory- and method-specific requirements.

All laboratory duplicate results met the acceptance criteria.

# Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate Results

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) results are used to evaluate laboratory precision, accuracy, and the effect of the sample matrix on sample preparation and target analyte recovery.

Where MSD results were not reported, laboratory precision was evaluated using laboratory duplicate results. The MS sample was prepared and analyzed at the required frequency, in accordance with laboratory- and method-specific requirements.

All MS results were within acceptance limits for percent recovery.

# **Field Duplicate Results**

Field duplicate results are used to evaluate field precision and sample homogeneity. The following field duplicate and parent sample pair was submitted for analysis:

Report	Parent Sample	Field Duplicate Sample
A4H1235	LP-1-081424	LP-DUP-081424

MFA uses acceptance criteria of 100 percent RPD for results that are less than five times the MRL or 50 percent RPD for results that are greater than five times the MRL.

All field duplicate results met the RPD acceptance criteria.

## Data Package

The data package was reviewed for transcription errors, omissions, and anomalies.

The reviewer confirmed with the laboratory that a low-level EPA Method 200.8 was used, and the samples were analyzed by triple quadrupole inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry, as requested on the COC.

Report A4H1235 was revised by Apex on September 11, 2024, to include LDLs.

No additional issues were found.

## References

Apex. 2023. Quality Systems Manual. Rev. 11. Apex Laboratories, LLC: Tigard, OR. June 20.

EPA. 1986. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods. EPA publication SW-846. 3rd ed. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Final updates I (1993), II (1995), IIA (1994), IIB (1995), III (1997), IIIA (1999), IIIB (2005), IV (2008), V (2015), VI phase I (2017), VI phase II (2018), VI phase III (2019), VII phase I (2019), and VII phase II (2020).

EPA. 2020. National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review. EPA 542-R-20-006. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation: Washington, DC. November.