

Groundwater Sampling Report

November 27, 2024

SITE INFORMATION Yarrow Bay Marina 5207 Lake Washington Boulevard NE Kirkland, King County, Washington 98033

PROJECT INFORMATION

Washington Department of Ecology Facility No.: 2486 Washington Department of Ecology VCP No.: NW1791 Washington Department of Ecology Cleanup Site No.: 8780 AEI Project No. 469497

PREPARED FOR

Mr. Dale Myers Toxics Cleanup Program Washington State Department of Ecology - NW Regional Office 3169 160th Avenue SE Bellevue, WA 98008-5452

PREPARED BY AEI Consultants 2500 Camino Diablo Walnut Creek, California

> 1.800.801.3224 www.aeiconsultants.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 BACKGROUND	4
2.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES	4
 2.1 Monitoring Well Condition Assessment 2.2 Groundwater Elevation Gauging 2.3 Groundwater Sampling Activities 	4 4 5
3.0 FINDINGS	5
3.1 Depth to Groundwater Observations3.2 Groundwater Analytical Results	5 5
4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	6
5.0 REFERENCES	6
6.0 SIGNATURES	7

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Site Map

TABLES

Table 1	Groundwater Sample Data Summary - VOCs
Table 2	Historical Groundwater Sample Data Summary - PAHs

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Field Data Sheets
Appendix B	Laboratory Analytical Report





November 27, 2024

Mr. Dale Myers Toxics Cleanup Program Washington State Department of Ecology - Northwest Regional Office 3169 160th Avenue SE Bellevue, Washington 98008-5452

Subject: Groundwater Sampling Report 5207 Lake Washington Boulevard NE Kirkland, Washington 98033 AEI Project No. 469497 Washington Department of Ecology Facility No.: 2486 Washington Department of Ecology VCP No.: NW1791 Washington Department of Ecology Cleanup Site No.: 8780

Dear Mr. Myers,

On behalf of Kirkland Yarrow Bay, LLC, AEI Consultants (AEI) has prepared this report to document the groundwater monitoring well sampling activities for the property located at 5207 Lake Washington Boulevard NE, in the city of Kirkland, King County, Washington (the "Site"). In a letter dated January 24, 2017, a *Restrictive Covenant No Further Action* (Restrictive Covenant) was granted by the Washington Department of Ecology that requested three consecutive years of groundwater sampling at the Site. The recent sampling was performed in an effort to satisfy the confirmation groundwater sampling activities as required in the Restrictive Covenant.

AEI appreciates the opportunity to support this important project. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Cade Klock Senior Geologist

AEI Consultants 701 Campus Square West, Suite 723A El Segundo, California 90245 Phone: 310.798.4255 Email: <u>cklock@aeiconsultants.com</u>

1.0 BACKGROUND

A release of petroleum hydrocarbons was discovered at the Site in 2006. After the completion of investigation activities, a *Restrictive Covenant No Further Action* (Restrictive Covenant) was granted by the Washington State Department of Ecology (WDOE) in their letter dated January 24, 2017, in which three consecutive years of sampling were requested. The first groundwater sampling event was completed on December 8, 2017 by ATC Group Services, LLC (ATC), as documented in their January 9, 2018 *Groundwater Monitoring Report – 2017 Annual Event*. Following the 2017 sampling event, a change of ownership resulted in the unintentional lapse of continued consecutive annual sampling activities. Upon discovery of the oversight during 2022, a sampling event was immediately scheduled in accordance with the Restrictive Covenant letter.

On October 7, 2022 and October 18, 2023, AEI conducted the second and third groundwater monitoring activities at the Site, as described in our November 15, 2022 and November 13, 2023 Groundwater Sampling Reports. Results from the second and third rounds of the groundwater monitoring collected from well MW-1 indicated that the concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbon in diesel range (TPH-DRO) and residual oil range (TPH-RRO) exceeded the Method Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A combined and/or individual screening levels for TPH-DRO and TPH-RRO of 500 micrograms per liter (μ g/L). Additionally, the results of the third groundwater sampling event yielded TPH-RRO above the Method A screening level. Based on the exceedance of TPH-RRO during the third sampling event, the groundwater sample was additionally analyzed for polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Results from further PAHs analysis did not yield concentrations of PAHs above their respective Method A/B screening levels. The WDOE requested that monitoring be continued until cleanup levels are met to comply with MTCA.

The field activities outlined in the below report document the 2024 sampling event and are intended to satisfy the third sampling event required by WDOE in their 2017 letter.

2.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

AEI performed the third groundwater monitoring event, including groundwater level gauging measurements and groundwater sampling. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the current and historical analytical results.

2.1 Monitoring Well Condition Assessment

Prior to conducting gauging and sampling activities on October 25, 2024, a monitoring well condition assessment of well MW-1 was completed. This assessment consisted of observing the condition of the casing, well box, well plug, bolts, and lid for indications of wear or failure. The inspection found that one bolt needed replacement as the bolt did not fit properly to secure the well box. Additional issues were not observed, and the bolt fitting does not pose a condition that would impact the integrity of the planned testing; thus, sampling was completed as planned. Well condition report is included in field notes, presented in Appendix A.

2.2 Groundwater Elevation Gauging

Prior to the groundwater sampling activities, a depth-to-groundwater measurement was



obtained at monitoring well MW-1 on October 25, 2024. Before the depth to water was measured, the well cap was removed from the well and the well was allowed to equilibrate for 10 minutes. Depth to groundwater was measured from the top of the well casing using an electric water level indicator calibrated to within 0.01 foot, and recorded on field sampling forms, presented in Appendix A.

2.3 Groundwater Sampling Activities

Following groundwater elevation gauging activities, a groundwater sample was obtained from the well. Prior to collection of the sample, the well was purged using low-flow sampling techniques with a peristaltic pump at a rate of approximately 200 milliliters per minute. During purging and sampling, groundwater quality parameters [e.g., temperature, pH, specific conductivity, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and oxidation-reduction potential] were recorded.

After the groundwater parameters had stabilized, a groundwater sample was collected from the well using the peristaltic pump. The groundwater sample was decanted into laboratory supplied, 40-milliliter, hydrochloric acid-preserved, volatile organic analysis vials, and 1-liter amber bottles. Upon filling and capping each bottle, the bottles were checked for the presence of air bubbles to ensure there was no visible headspace. The sample was labeled and placed in an insulated, ice-chilled cooler for transport under chain-of-custody protocol to Pace Analytical in Mount Juliet, Tennessee. The groundwater sample was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) using United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Testing Method 8260D, TPH Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) using Testing Method NWTPH-Gx and TPH-DRO and TPH-RRO using Testing Method NWTPH-Dx. Appendix B contains the laboratory analytical report and chain-of-custody documentation.

No notable anomalies or variations to sampling methods are reported during the October 25, 2024, sampling activities.

3.0 FINDINGS

The findings from the groundwater gauging and sampling activities are summarized below.

3.1 Depth to Groundwater Observations

Depth to groundwater was recorded to be 6.82 feet below the top of well casing during the October 25, 2024, event.

3.2 Groundwater Analytical Results

For purposes of providing context to the data generated during this investigation, analytical results were compared to the WDOE MTCA Method A (unrestrictive land use) and Method B (common method for setting clean-up levels), Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculation (CLARC), as referenced in Ecology's CLARC Tables, revised July 2024. The presence of a chemical at concentrations below applicable cleanup levels can generally be assumed to not pose a significant threat to human health or the environment.

Tables 1 and 2 present the current and historical groundwater analytical results for monitoring event at the Site and the comparative screening levels. (Note: B: the same analyte is found in the associated blank; J: the identification of the analyte is acceptable; however, the reported value is an estimate; C3: The reported concentration is an estimate. The continuing calibration



standard associated with this data responded low. Method sensitivity check is acceptable). The groundwater analytical results from the October 2024 groundwater monitoring event can be summarized as follows:

- TPH-GRO was detected at a concentration of 36.6 J micrograms per liter (µg/L). The detected concentration is below the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 800 µg/L.
- TPH-DRO was detected at a concentration of 570 µg/L. The detected concentration is above the MTCA Method A combined screening levels for TPH-DRO and TPH-RRO of 500 µg/L.
- TPH-RRO was detected at a concentration of 687 µg/L. The detected concentration is above the MTCA Method A combined screening levels for TPH-DRO and TPH-RRO of 500 µg/L.
- Benzene was detected at a concentration of 0.831 μ g/L. The detected concentration is above the MTCA Method B cancer of 0.8 μ g/L.
- Other VOCs, including toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes were detected either below their respective Method A/B screening levels, where applicable, or below the laboratory reported detection limits (RDLs) in the groundwater sample collected and analyzed as shown on Table 1.

4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

AEI has performed groundwater monitoring and sampling at the Site as described above. The sampling activities were completed to meet the requirement in the *Restrictive Covenant No Further Action*, that was granted by the WDOE in their letter dated January 24, 2017. Results from the fourth round of the groundwater monitoring collected from well MW-1 yielded benzene at a concentration of 0.831 μ g/L, which exceeds the MTCA Method B level of 0.8 μ g/L. Other VOCs were detected below their respective MTCA Method A and B levels. TPH-DRO was detected at a concentration of 570 μ g/L and TPH-RRO was detected at a concentration of 687 μ g/L, which exceeds the MTCA Method TPH results.

Based on the results from the groundwater monitoring event, the benzene concentration in groundwater beneath the Site exceeds the MTCA Method B screening level and the TPH-DRO and RRO concentrations in groundwater beneath the Site exceed the appliable MTCA Method A screening levels.

5.0 REFERENCES

- AEI Consultants, 2023, Groundwater Sampling Report, 5207 Lake Washington Boulevard NE, Kirkland, Washington, 98033, dated November 13.
- AEI Consultants, 2022, Groundwater Sampling Report, 5207 Lake Washington Boulevard NE, Kirkland, Washington, 98033, dated November 15.
- ATC Group Services Inc, 2018, Groundwater Monitoring Report-2017 Annual Event. 5207 Lake Washington Boulevard NE, Kirkland, Washington 98033, dated January 9.

Washington State Department of Ecology, 2017, Environmental Covenant. 5207 Lake Washington Boulevard NE, Kirkland, Washington 98033, dated January 24.



Washington State Department of Ecology, 2023, Method Toxic Control Act (MTCA) Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculation (CLARC) Master Table. January.

6.0 SIGNATURES

This document was prepared by, or under the direction of the undersigned.

Natasha Budimirovic Project Geologist





Figures







Tables



					5207 Lake V	-	oulevard, Kirklar ject Number: 469	•	n 98033		
		TPH by NV	VTPH-Gx and	NWTPH-Dx					VO	Cs by US EPA 8260	0D
Location ID	Date	TPH-GRO (μg/L)	TPH-DRO (µg/L)	TPH-RRO (µg/L)	Benzene (µg/L)	Toluene (µg/L)	Ethylbenzene (µg/L)	Total Xylenes (µg/L)	Acetone (µg/L)	2-Butanone (MEK) (µg/L)	Me bi
MW-1	12/8/2017* 10/7/2022 10/18/2023 10/25/2024	ND<50.0 ND<100 62.6 B J 36.6 J	ND<49.9 278 246 570	ND<99.8 312 534 687	ND<1.0 0.0540 ND<0.0400 0.831	ND<1.0 0.407 0.137 J 0.0590 J	ND<1.0 0.0470 J ND<0.100 1.42	ND<2.0 0.288 ND<0.260 5.17	NA 21.1 14.6 8.18	NA 2.36 1.15 C3 ND<1.00	
<u>Comparison Values:</u> WDOE CLARC Method A WDOE CLARC Method B WDOE CLARC Method B	non cancer	800 	500 	500 	5.0 32 0.8	1,000 640 	700 800	1,000 1,600 	 7,200 	 4,800 	

--

--

--

Table 1: GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATA SUMMARY - VOCs 207 Lake Washington Boulevard, Kirkland, Washington 98033 5207 Lake Washington Bouley

700

10,000

--

--

1,000

Notes:

WA or Federal Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL)

µg/L	Micrograms per liter
ND <rdl< td=""><td>Not detected above the laboratory reported detection limit</td></rdl<>	Not detected above the laboratory reported detection limit
NA	Not analyzed
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
MEK	Methyl ethyl ketone
ТМВ	Trimethylbenzene
TPH-GRO	Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline
TPH-DRO	Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel
TPH-RRO	Total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil (residual range organics)
VOCs	Volatile organic compounds
	Combined TPH DRO & RRO results exceed Method A comparison value
Bold	Individual result exceeds Method A/B comparison value
	No comparison value established
В	The same analyte is found in the associated blank.
C3	The reported concentration is an estimate. The continuing calibration standard associated with this data responded low. Method sensitivity check is acceptable.
J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.
*	Sampled by ATC Group Services Inc.

5.0

Comparison Values: WDOE CLARC

Washington Department of Ecology Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculation for cancer and noncancer risk drivers for individual chemicals (WDOE, July 2024)

)				
Methyl tert-	1,2,4-	1,2,3-	1,3,5-	Remaining
butyl ether	TMB	ТМВ	ТМВ	VOCs
(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
NA	ND<0.200	ND<0.200	ND<0.200	ND <rdl< td=""></rdl<>
0.0940	ND<0.200	ND<0.200	ND<0.200	ND <rdl< td=""></rdl<>
0.0820	ND<0.200	ND<0.200	ND<0.200	ND <rdl< td=""></rdl<>
0.0720	0.369	0.225	0.133	ND <rdl< td=""></rdl<>
20				Various
	80	80	80	Various
24				Various
				Various

Table 2: HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATA SUMMARY - PAHs

5207 Lake Washington Boulevard, Kirkland, Washington 98033

AEI Project Number: 469497

			PAHs by 8270E-SIM								
Location ID	Date	Acenaphthene (µg/L)	Anthracene (µg/L)	Fluoranthene (µg/L)	Fluorene (µg/L)	Phenanthrene (µg/L)	Pyrene (µg/L)	Remaining PAHs (µg/L)			
MW-1	10/18/2023	0.784 T8	0.0282 J, T8	0.0506 J, T8	0.0361 T8	0.167 T8	0.0336 T8	ND <rdl< td=""></rdl<>			
<u>Comparison Values:</u> WDOE CLARC Method A ur	nrestricted land use							Various			
WDOE CLARC Method B non cancer		480	2,400	640	320		240	Various			
WDOE CLARC Method B cancer								Various			
WA or Federal Maximum (Various				

Notes:

µg/L	Micrograms per liter
ND <rdl< td=""><td>Not detected above the laboratory reported detection limit</td></rdl<>	Not detected above the laboratory reported detection limit
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
	No comparison value established
J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.
Т8	Sample(s) received past/too close to holding time expiration.

Comparison Values:

WDOE CLARC

Washington Department of Ecology Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculation for cancer and noncancer risk drivers for individual chemicals (WDOE, July 2024)

Appendix A Field Data Sheets



Water Level Field Data Sheet ADDRESS CITY, California

Project Name: Yarrow Bay Marina

Project No.: 469497

Site Location: 5207 Lake Washington Blvd. NE, Kirkland, WA

Field Personnel: N. Budimirovic

Date: 10/25/2024

Well ID	Screen Interval	Total Depth	Depth to Water (Previous Year)	Casing Diameter	LNAPL Observed	Time	Depth to Water	Depth to Bottom	N = R = Re	Condition G = Good Needs Rep placed durit	laced ng event	Comments
weiriD	(#BIOC)		(ft BTOC)	(in.)	(Y/N) ¹		(ft BTOC)	(ft BTOC)	Well Cap	Bolts	Well Lid	
MW-1	-	7.81	3.86	2	N	1055	4.82	781	G	N	6	
						1. 1. 19 A.	11 B		/			
		b			1			i.	1327 3			
							121	*				
			i dina	1 A.	den a							
			-				1	205				
												v.
								ant.				1
								King and				
						the second second	100000	Ent.				
			1			2.04	a second and a second and a second a s	1		"Barnes	Con Contraction	
									J.			
P. all											-	
-		-					15 C	N			Contract of the	
								1. Sector Contraction				and the second
							-	and the second				
4					5.65		HT.	and the second sec				

BTOC = below top of casing

Note:

N/A = not available

NM = not measured

GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Low Flow Sampling

	Mo	onitoring Well ID:	MW-1
Project Name: Yarrow Bay Marina	1	Date of Sampling:	10/25/2024
Job Number: 469497	Name of Sampler:	10,20,2021	
Project Address: 5207 Lake WA Blvd. NE, Ki	rkland, WA		
MONITORI Well Casing Diameter (inches)	ING WELL DAT	ГА	
Static Depth to Groundwater (feet below top of casing)	4.82		
Total Well Depth (feet below top of casing)	7.81		1
Total then bepar (receberent top of easing)	TOM		
Screened Interval (feet below top of casing)	<u> </u>		1.12

GROUNDWATER EQUILIBRATION

Thickness (ft):

Free Product Present?

Time	Depth to Groundwater	Flow rate (ml/min)	Temperature (deg C)	Conductivity (µg/cm)	DO (mg/L)	рН (-)	ORP (meV)	Turbidity (NTU)	Comments
Stabilization Criteria ¹	<4" Drawdown	>500 ml/min	± 1	± 3%	±0.3	± 0.1	± 10	<10	(as needed)
1112	4.82	200	23.0	932	7.12	8.57	- 1601	112.1	
1115	4.86	200	22.68	801	6.45	8.57	-101.3	.91.2	
1118	4.91	Loo	22.55	757	5.91	8.63	-79,1	76.3	
1121	4.93	200	22.50	721	5.32	8.69	-H.O	78.1	
1124	4.94	200	21.97	714	4.91	8.71	-69.9	75.5	
1127	4,9.4	200	21.93	698	4.68	8.71	-631	7a1	
1130	9.95	200	21.68	691	4.71	8.72	-63.6	69.3	
1133	9,95	200	21,66	698	4.72	8.71	-66.7	63.5	
		1	1000		n de la companya de l Na companya de la comp	the strength			
					1 1 1		4.10		

		SAMPLING	Company of the Article	
Sample ID	Containers	Analysis	TAT	Comments
HW-1	15	TPH, VOCS	STAT	
			Sample ID Containers Analysis	Sample ID Containers Analysis TAT

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

1: As perscribed by the Representative Sampling of Groundwater for Hazardous Substances (DTSC 2006)

Appendix B Laboratory Analytical Report





Pace Analytical® ANALYTICAL REPORT

November 08, 2024

AEI Consultants - CA

Sample Delivery Group: Samples Received: Project Number: Description:

L1793144 10/26/2024 469497 Yarrow Bay Yacht Basin and Marina

Report To:

Natasha Budimirovic 2500 Camino Diablo Walnut Creek, CA 94597

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Buar Ford

Brian Ford Project Manager

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace Analytical National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures ENV-SOP-MTJL-0067 and ENV-SOP-MTJL-0068. Where sampling conducted by the customer, results relate to the accuracy of the information provided, and as the samples are received.

Pace Analytical National

12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 37122 615-758-5858 800-767-5859 mydata.pacelabs.com

ACCOUNT: AEI Consultants - CA PROJECT: 469497

SDG: L1793144

DATE/TIME: 11/08/24 12:46 PAGE: 1 of 15

Тс Ss Cn Sr ʹQc Gl A Sc

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cp: Cover Page	1
Tc: Table of Contents	2
Ss: Sample Summary	3
Cn: Case Narrative	4
Sr: Sample Results	5
MW-1 L1793144-01	5
Qc: Quality Control Summary	7
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method NWTPHGX	7
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260D	8
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method NWTPHDX-NO SGT	12
GI: Glossary of Terms	13
Al: Accreditations & Locations	14
Sc: Sample Chain of Custody	15

SDG: L1793144 Ср

Ss

°Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

ΆI

Sc

SAMPLE SUMMARY

			Collected by	Collected date/time		
MW-1 L1793144-01 GW			N Budimirovic	10/25/24 11:50	10/26/24 09:	:30
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
			date/time	date/time		
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method NWTPHGX	WG2393405	1	11/01/24 06:41	11/01/24 06:41	CDD	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260D	WG2396393	1	11/07/24 01:56	11/07/24 01:56	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method NWTPHDX-NO SGT	WG2394061	1	11/02/24 23:09	11/05/24 22:50	MAA	Mt. Juliet, TN



Ср

SDG: L1793144 DATE/TIME: 11/08/24 12:46

CASE NARRATIVE

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

Buar Ford

Brian Ford Project Manager



SDG: L1793144

SAMPLE RESULTS - 01 L1793144

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method NWTPHGX

-								1 Cn
	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	Cp
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		date / time		2
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH	36.6	Ţ	31.6	100	1	11/01/2024 06:41	WG2393405	Tc
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	97.9			78.0-120		11/01/2024 06:41	WG2393405	³ Ss

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260D

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		date / time		5
Acetone	8.18		0.548	1.00	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Acrylonitrile	U		0.0760	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	6
Acrolein	U	<u>C3</u>	0.758	50.0	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	0
Benzene	0.831		0.0160	0.0400	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	L
Bromobenzene	U		0.0420	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	7
Bromodichloromethane	U		0.0315	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	,
Bromoform	U		0.239	1.00	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Bromomethane	U		0.148	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	8
n-Butylbenzene	U	<u>C3</u>	0.153	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
ec-Butylbenzene	U		0.101	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	9
ert-Butylbenzene	U		0.0620	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Carbon tetrachloride	U		0.0432	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	L
Chlorobenzene	U		0.0229	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Chlorodibromomethane	U		0.0180	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Chloroethane	U		0.0432	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Chloroform	U		0.0166	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Chloromethane	U		0.0556	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
-Chlorotoluene	U		0.0368	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
-Chlorotoluene	U	<u>C3</u>	0.0452	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	U		0.204	1.00	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,2-Dibromoethane	U		0.0210	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
)ibromomethane	U		0.0210	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,2-Dichlorobenzene	U		0.0400	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,3-Dichlorobenzene	U		0.0680	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,4-Dichlorobenzene	U		0.0788	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	U	<u>C3</u>	0.0788	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
1-Dichloroethane	U	<u>C3</u>	0.0230	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,2-Dichloroethane	U		0.0230	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,1-Dichloroethene	U		0.0190	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393 WG2396393	
•	U		0.0200	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56		
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	U		0.0276	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	U						WG2396393	
,2-Dichloropropane			0.0508	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,1-Dichloropropene	U		0.0280	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,3-Dichloropropane	U		0.0700	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
is-1,3-Dichloropropene	U		0.0271	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene	U		0.0612	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
,2-Dichloropropane	U		0.0317	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Di-isopropyl ether	U		0.0140	0.0400	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
thylbenzene	1.42		0.0212	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
lexachloro-1,3-butadiene	U		0.508	1.00	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
sopropylbenzene	U		0.0345	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
-Isopropyltoluene	U		0.0932	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
-Butanone (MEK)	U		0.500	1.00	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	
lethylene Chloride	U		0.265	1.00	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	U		0.400	1.00	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	
Nethyl tert-butyl ether	0.0720		0.0118	0.0400	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
laphthalene	U		0.124	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
-Propylbenzene	U		0.0472	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
ACCC	DUNT:			PROJECT:		SDG:	DATE/TIME:	PAGE:
	iltants - CA			469497		L1793144	11/08/24 12:46	5 of 15

Collected date/time: 10/25/24 11:50

SAMPLE RESULTS - 01

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260D

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		date / time		
Styrene	U		0.109	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	²
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	U		0.0200	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	U		0.0156	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	3
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	U		0.0270	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	ິິ
Tetrachloroethene	U		0.0280	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	
Toluene	0.0590	J	0.0500	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	4
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	U		0.0250	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	U		0.193	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	U		0.0110	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	<u>WG2396393</u>	⁵ S
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	U		0.0353	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
Trichloroethene	U		0.0160	0.0400	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	6
Trichlorofluoromethane	U		0.0200	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	U		0.204	0.500	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	7
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.369		0.0464	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	Í G
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	0.225		0.0460	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.133	<u>J</u>	0.0432	0.200	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	م ⁸
Vinyl chloride	U		0.0273	0.100	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	1
Xylenes, Total	5.17		0.191	0.260	1	11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	9
(S) Toluene-d8	99.7			75.0-131		11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	⁹ S
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	93.4			67.0-138		11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	93.6			70.0-130		11/07/2024 01:56	WG2396393	

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method NWTPHDX-NO SGT

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l		date / time	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	570		66.7	200	1	11/05/2024 22:50	WG2394061
Residual Range Organics (RRO)	687		83.3	250	1	11/05/2024 22:50	WG2394061
(S) o-Terphenyl	108			52.0-156		11/05/2024 22:50	WG2394061

Sample Narrative:

L1793144-01 WG2394061: Sample resembles laboratory standards for Diesel and Hydraulic Oil.

SDG: L1793144

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method NWTPHGX

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Method Blank (MB)

					1 Cn
(MB) R4142052-3 10/31/2	24 23:21				Ср
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL	2
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l	Tc
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH	U		31.6	100	3
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	98.7			78.0-120	SS

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R4142052-1 10/31/2	4 21:54 • (LCSD) R4142052-2	10/31/24 22:37	,						
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	%	%	%			%	%
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH	5000	4540	4550	90.8	91.0	70.0-124			0.220	20
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)				102	101	78.0-120				

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260D

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY L1793144-01

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R4143546-2 11/06/2	4 21.29						- [`(
(00/2	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL			
Analyte	ug/l	ind Quanter	ug/l	ug/l			2_
Acetone	U		0.548	1.00			- L
Acrylonitrile	U		0.0760	0.500			3
Acrolein	U		0.758	50.0			11
Benzene	U		0.0160	0.0400			
Bromobenzene	U		0.0420	0.500			4
Bromodichloromethane	U		0.0315	0.100			
Bromoform	U		0.239	1.00			5
Bromomethane	U		0.148	0.500			•
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.153	0.500			
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.101	0.500			ິ(
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.0620	0.200			
Carbon tetrachloride	U		0.0432	0.200			7
Chlorobenzene	U		0.0229	0.100			Í
Chlorodibromomethane	U		0.0180	0.100			
Chloroethane	U		0.0432	0.200			×,
Chloroform	U		0.0166	0.100			L L
Chloromethane	U		0.0556	0.500			9
2-Chlorotoluene	U		0.0368	0.100			5
4-Chlorotoluene	U		0.0452	0.200			
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane			0.204	1.00			
1,2-Dibromoethane	U		0.0210	0.100			
Dibromomethane	U		0.0400	0.200			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U		0.0580	0.200			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	U		0.0680	0.200			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	U		0.0788	0.200			
Dichlorodifluoromethane	U		0.0327	0.200			
1,1-Dichloroethane	U		0.0230	0.100			
1,2-Dichloroethane	U		0.0190	0.100			
1,1-Dichloroethene	U		0.0200	0.100			
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	U		0.0276	0.100			
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	U		0.0572	0.200			
1,2-Dichloropropane	U		0.0508	0.200			
1,1-Dichloropropene	U		0.0280	0.100			
1,3-Dichloropropane	U		0.0700	0.200			
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	U		0.0271	0.100			
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	U		0.0612	0.200			
2,2-Dichloropropane	U		0.0317	0.100			
Di-isopropyl ether	U		0.0140	0.0400			
Ethylbenzene	U		0.0212	0.100			
Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	U		0.508	1.00			

PROJECT: 469497

SDG: L1793144

DATE/TIME: 11/08/24 12:46

PAGE: 8 of 15

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260D

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY L1793144-01

Method Blank (MB)

Method Blank (MB)					1
(MB) R4143546-2 11/06/24	21:29				Ср
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL	2
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l	Tc
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.0345	0.100	
p-lsopropyltoluene	U		0.0932	0.200	³ Ss
2-Butanone (MEK)	U		0.500	1.00	
Methylene Chloride	U		0.265	1.00	4
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	U		0.400	1.00	Cn
Methyl tert-butyl ether	U		0.0118	0.0400	
Naphthalene	U		0.124	0.500	⁵ Sr
n-Propylbenzene	U		0.0472	0.200	
Styrene	U		0.109	0.500	6
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	U		0.0200	0.100	ိရင
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	U		0.0156	0.100	
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	U		0.0270	0.100	⁷ Gl
Tetrachloroethene	U		0.0280	0.100	
Toluene	U		0.0500	0.200	8
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	U		0.0250	0.500	ĬA
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	U		0.193	0.500	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	U		0.0110	0.100	⁹ Sc
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	U		0.0353	0.100	
Trichloroethene	U		0.0160	0.0400	
Trichlorofluoromethane	U		0.0200	0.100	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	U		0.204	0.500	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.0464	0.200	
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.0460	0.200	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.0432	0.200	
Vinyl chloride	U		0.0273	0.100	
Xylenes, Total	U		0.191	0.260	
(S) Toluene-d8	100			75.0-131	
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	94.3			67.0-138	
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	88.4			70.0-130	

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R4143546-1 11/0	06/24 20:31				
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier
Analyte	ug/l	ug/l	%	%	
Acetone	25.0	27.5	110	10.0-160	
Acrylonitrile	25.0	26.4	106	45.0-153	
Acrolein	25.0	11.0	44.0	10.0-160	J
Benzene	5.00	4.43	88.6	70.0-123	

ACCOUNT:	
AEI Consultants - CA	٨

PROJECT: 469497

SDG: L1793144

DATE/TIME: 11/08/24 12:46

PAGE: 9 of 15

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260D

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

L1793144-01

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R4143546-1 11/06/24 20:31

	Spike Amount		LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	
Analyte	ug/l	ug/l	%	%		
Bromobenzene	5.00	4.50	90.0	73.0-121		
Bromodichloromethane	5.00	4.60	92.0	73.0-121		
Bromoform	5.00	5.16	103	64.0-132		
Bromomethane	5.00	4.05	81.0	56.0-147		
n-Butylbenzene	5.00	3.97	79.4	68.0-135		
sec-Butylbenzene	5.00	4.27	85.4	74.0-130		
tert-Butylbenzene	5.00	4.34	86.8	75.0-127		
Carbon tetrachloride	5.00	5.01	100	66.0-128		
Chlorobenzene	5.00	4.94	98.8	76.0-128		
Chlorodibromomethane	5.00	4.88	97.6	74.0-127		
Chloroethane	5.00	4.19	83.8	61.0-134		
Chloroform	5.00	4.81	96.2	72.0-123		
Chloromethane	5.00	4.44	88.8	51.0-138		
2-Chlorotoluene	5.00	4.41	88.2	75.0-124		
4-Chlorotoluene	5.00	3.79	75.8	75.0-124		
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	5.00	4.01	80.2	59.0-130		
1,2-Dibromoethane	5.00	4.70	94.0	74.0-128		
Dibromomethane	5.00	4.80	96.0	75.0-122		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.00	4.75	95.0	76.0-124		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.00	4.49	89.8	76.0-125		
I,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.00	4.45	89.0	77.0-121		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.00	3.52	70.4	43.0-156		
1,1-Dichloroethane	5.00	4.64	92.8	70.0-127		
1,2-Dichloroethane	5.00	5.07	101	65.0-131		
1,1-Dichloroethene	5.00	4.79	95.8	65.0-131		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.00	4.51	90.2	73.0-125		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.00	4.67	93.4	71.0-125		
1,2-Dichloropropane	5.00	4.31	86.2	74.0-125		
1,1-Dichloropropene	5.00	4.74	94.8	73.0-125		
1,3-Dichloropropane	5.00	4.79	95.8	80.0-125		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.00	4.65	93.0	76.0-127		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.00	4.54	90.8	73.0-127		
2,2-Dichloropropane	5.00	5.37	107	59.0-135		
Di-isopropyl ether	5.00	5.23	105	60.0-136		
Ethylbenzene	5.00	4.87	97.4	74.0-126		
Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	5.00	7.02	140	57.0-150		
Isopropylbenzene	5.00	4.90	98.0	72.0-127		
p-Isopropyltoluene	5.00	4.08	81.6	72.0-133		
2-Butanone (MEK)	25.0	25.3	101	30.0-160		
Methylene Chloride	5.00	4.50	90.0	68.0-123		

AEI Consultants - CA

469497

L1793144

DATE/TIME: 11/08/24 12:46

PAGE: 10 of 15 Ср

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260D

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

L1793144-01

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R4143546-1 11/06/24 20:31

	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier
Analyte	ug/l	ug/l	%	%	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	25.0	29.6	118	56.0-143	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	5.00	4.72	94.4	66.0-132	
Naphthalene	5.00	4.03	80.6	59.0-130	
n-Propylbenzene	5.00	4.19	83.8	74.0-126	
Styrene	5.00	4.57	91.4	72.0-127	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.00	5.56	111	74.0-129	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.00	4.07	81.4	68.0-128	
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5.00	4.33	86.6	61.0-139	
Tetrachloroethene	5.00	5.43	109	70.0-136	
Toluene	5.00	4.75	95.0	75.0-121	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	5.00	6.45	129	59.0-139	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	5.00	6.06	121	62.0-137	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.00	4.99	99.8	69.0-126	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.00	4.72	94.4	78.0-123	
Trichloroethene	5.00	5.27	105	76.0-126	
Trichlorofluoromethane	5.00	5.04	101	61.0-142	<u>J</u>
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	5.00	4.26	85.2	67.0-129	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	5.00	4.07	81.4	70.0-126	
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	5.00	4.00	80.0	74.0-124	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	5.00	4.19	83.8	73.0-127	
Vinyl chloride	5.00	4.31	86.2	63.0-134	
Xylenes, Total	15.0	14.7	98.0	72.0-127	
(S) Toluene-d8			99.8	75.0-131	
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene			97.8	67.0-138	
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4			89.3	70.0-130	

SDG: L1793144 DATE/TIME: 11/08/24 12:46 PAGE: 11 of 15 Ср

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY L1793144-01

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method NWTPHDX-NO SGT

Method Blank (MB)

Method Blank (MB)					
MB) R4142418-1 11/04/24 2	23:35				
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL	
Analyte	ug/l		ug/l	ug/l	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	U		66.7	200	
Residual Range Organics (RRO)	U		83.3	250	
(S) o-Terphenyl	97.5			52.0-156	

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R4142418-2 11/04/24	4 23:55 • (LCSD) R4142418-3	11/05/24 00:15							
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	%	%	%			%	%
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	1500	1540	1530	103	102	50.0-150			0.651	20
(S) o-Terphenyl				110	95.5	52.0-156				

DATE/TIME: 11/08/24 12:46

PAGE: 12 of 15 ⁴Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

Â

Sc

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

Abbreviations and Definitions

MDL	Method Detection Limit.
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
(S)	Surrogate (Surrogate Standard) - Analytes added to every blank, sample, Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate and Matrix Spike/Duplicate; used to evaluate analytical efficiency by measuring recovery. Surrogates are not expected to be detected in all environmental media.
U	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state "ND" (Not Detected) or "BDL" (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.
Qualifier	Description

Quaimer	Description
C3	The reported concentration is an estimate. The continuing calibration standard associated with this data responded low. Method sensitivity check is acceptable.
J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.

SDG: L1793144 Τс

Ss

Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

AI

Sc

ACCREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS

Pace Analytical National 12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 37122

Alabama	40660	Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Alaska	17-026	Nevada	TN000032021-1
Arizona	AZ0612	New Hampshire	2975
Arkansas	88-0469	New Jersey–NELAP	TN002
California	2932	New Mexico ¹	TN00003
Colorado	TN00003	New York	11742
Connecticut	PH-0197	North Carolina	Env375
Florida	E87487	North Carolina ¹	DW21704
Georgia	NELAP	North Carolina ³	41
Georgia ¹	923	North Dakota	R-140
Idaho	TN00003	Ohio-VAP	CL0069
Illinois	200008	Oklahoma	9915
Indiana	C-TN-01	Oregon	TN200002
lowa	364	Pennsylvania	68-02979
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode Island	LAO00356
Kentucky ¹⁶	KY90010	South Carolina	84004002
Kentucky ²	16	South Dakota	n/a
Louisiana	AI30792	Tennessee ¹⁴	2006
Louisiana	LA018	Texas	T104704245-20-18
Maine	TN00003	Texas ⁵	LAB0152
Maryland	324	Utah	TN000032021-11
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Vermont	VT2006
Michigan	9958	Virginia	110033
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washington	C847
Mississippi	TN00003	West Virginia	233
Missouri	340	Wisconsin	998093910
Montana	CERT0086	Wyoming	A2LA
A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP,LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 ⁵	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA-Crypto	TN00003		

¹ Drinking Water ² Underground Storage Tanks ³ Aquatic Toxicity ⁴ Chemical/Microbiological ⁵ Mold ⁶ Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable

* Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.

* Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace Analytical.

SDG: L1793144

Company Name/Address:			Billing Inf	ormation:				1	A	nalvsis /	Contai	ner / Pro	servative		Chain of Custod	y Page of	
AEI Consultants - CA 2500 Camino Diablo Walnut Creek, CA 94597						Pres Chk				C-10H-						ACCE	
Report to: Natasha Budimirovic, Cade Klack			Email To: NBudimi	@aeic	~								12065 Lebanon Rd M				
Project Description: Yarrow Bay Yacht Basin and Marina		City/State Collected:		landiw		rcle:	HOLD	d-BT		LW-sa		e			constitutes acknowled Pace Terms and Condi	ia this chain of custody Igment and acceptance o tions found at: com/hubfs/pas-standard-	
Phone: 925-746-6000	Client Project 469497	#	-	Lab Project AEICONV	# VCCA-469497		1	SGT 40mlAmb-HCl-BT		o-NoPre	0-HCl	- Hol			SDG # 116	79314	
Collected by (print):	Site/Facility ID #			P.O. # 383049	9		A0ml		40mlAmb HCl	mIAmI	mlAmk	HO			T	AEICONWCCA	
Collected by (signature):	by (signature): Rush? (Lab MUST Be 		Day y (Rad Only)		esults Needed	No. of	EPHWA 1L-Amb-Add HCI	NWTPHDX no SG		PAHs 8270SiM 40mlAmb-NoPres-WT	8260ULL 40mlAmb-HCl	A 40mlAmb			Template: T26 Prelogin: P11 PM: 110 - Bria PB:	108647	
Sample ID	Comp/Grab	Matrix *	Depth	Date	Time	Cntrs	EPHW	NWTP	NWTPHGX	PAHs 8	vocs	VPHWA			Shipped Via: Remarks	Sample # (lab c	
MW-1	grab	GW	-	10/25/	24 1150	15	-	X	X		X					-0	
						1			1								
* Matrix: SS - Soil AIR - Air F - Filter GW - Groundwater B - Bioassay WW - WasteWater	Remarks:					Ì				pH Temp				Sample Receipt Checklist COC Seal Present/Intact: NP Y COC Signed/Accurate: Bottles arrive intact:			
DW - Drinking Water OT - Other	Samples returned via: UPSFedExCourier			Tracking # 400			91	16	00	0004				Sufficie VOA Zero	ect bottles used: icient volume sent: If Applicable Zero Headspace: X		
Relinquished by (S)gnature)		Date: Time		345 3	eceived by: (Signat	V	ia Falt			Trip Blank Receiv		7	HCP/MeoH		tion Correct/Ch en <0.5 mR/hr:	ecked: Y	
Relinquished by : (Signature)	D	ate:	Tim		eceived by: (Signat		- t		5 C	remp:		Bott	les Received: 15	If preserve	ervation required by Login: Date/Time		
Relinquished by : (Signature)	D	ate:	Tim	ie: Ri	eceived for lab by:	(Signat	ure)		[Date.	6/2	Tim	= 9620	Hold:		Condition NCF / Of	