City of Clarkston Street Shop SHARP Report — Part 1 of 2					
					JUALL
Ranking incomplete. SH SHARP Tool Version SHARP rating SHARP date Elelagged?	ARPen It. v2024.02.02A Low 1/29/2025		This section is blank if this	is a Shake	first ranking
 LD data confidence level Cleanup milestone Ranker 	 ▶ high initial investigat Beth Kercher 	tion			
Ranking Media	Scores	Conf	Additional Factors		Ecology Info
Indoor air Groundwater Surface water Sediment Soil	D4 C4 D4 D4 C3	high medium high high high	multiple chemical types risk to off-site people climate change impacts plant/animal tissue data	2000	ERTS n/a CSID 9040 FSID 41379712 VCP n/a UST ID 100255 LUST ID 2119
Location and Land Use In	ifo				
1455 Bridge St, Clarkston, Asotin County, 99403 Parcel/s			Responsible unit – ERO Land use – Commercial		
Local demographics com no comments	ments				
Soil comments					
no comments					
Groundwater comments					
no comments					
Surface water comments					
no comments					
Sediment comments					
no comments					

Indoor air comments

no comments

Additional factors comments

no comments

Site narrative summary

In 1992, Ecology was notified of a suspected release of petroleum products from a UST system located at the site. Three USTs including one 500-gallon gasoline, one 1,000-gallon gasoline, and one 1,000-gallon diesel tanks, product transfer lines and dispensers were removed from the site (Wyatt-Jaykim Engineers [WJE] 1993).

Following UST removal, petroleum-contaminated soil (PCS) was identified and believed to be from a failed weld at the base of the fill pipe on one of the gasoline tanks. Approximately 60 cubic yards (cy) of PCS were excavated to the extent possible without affecting the integrity of the adjacent building. Confirmation samples collected within the excavation indicated that gasoline- and diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons (GRPH and DRPH, respectively) were greater than the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup levels in soil left in place on the east and south sides of the excavation, and at the bottom of the excavation at approximately 13 feet below ground surface (bgs) (WJE 1993). Following excavation activities, one groundwater monitoring well (MW-1) was installed approximately 20 feet north of the excavation. Contaminants of concern were not detected in the soil sample from MW– 1. GRPH was detected at 1,050 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), greater than the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 1,000 μ g/L in the groundwater sample from MW-1 (WJE 1993). MW-1 appears to have been abandoned sometime between the last sampling event and August 2023.

The site is bounded by Bridge Street to the north and by commercial and industrial properties to the south, east and west. The Snake River is approximately 1,000 to 1,500 feet northwest and north of the site and local topography has a gradient to the north.

In Dec 2023 Ecology contracted GeoEngineers to complete a site assessment to determine if comtamination from the LUST remained at the site. Five soil borings were advanced on December 5 and 6, 2023, at the Site. Soil and grab groundwater samples were collected

from the borings and the samples were submitted for chemical analysis.

Chemical analytical results indicate that GRPH is present in soil at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A cleanup level for unrestricted land use at 3 boring locations.

GRPH is present in groundwater at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A cleanup level at 2 boring locations. DRPH is present at concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A

cleanup levels in groundwater at each location sampled.

The soil samples where GRPH was detected were collected at the soil/groundwater interface; this could indicate that GRPH contamination in soil samples near groundwater are part of a smear zone associated with the groundwater contamination. Field screening results from the soil samples collected above the smear zone do not indicate the likely presence of petroleum contamination at concentrations greater than the applicable cleanup levels.

The results of this soil and groundwater assessment indicate that soil and groundwater contamination likely related to the former USTs is still present at the site.

