

engineers | scientists | innovators

Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2024

Bonneville Power Administration Site Tacoma, Washington Consent Order No. 97206045

Prepared for

Occidental Chemical Corporation 605 Alexander East Ave Tacoma, WA 98421

Prepared by

Geosyntec Consultants 520 Pike Street, Suite 2600 Seattle, WA 98101

Project Number TR0837F

February 2025

Executive Summary

In March 1997, Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC) and the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) entered into a Consent Decree with the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) to provide for the performance of a Remedial Action (RA) at the Site. The RA included excavation of impacted fill materials and soils and placement of these excavated materials in an on Site engineered landfill. The RA was considered complete on August 24, 1998.

The RA Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program includes the performance of routine Site inspections, compliance monitoring of groundwater, and comprehensive annual reporting to evaluate the effectiveness of the RA in addressing the concerns of Ecology, stated in the Consent Decree as follows:

- i) Ongoing impact of groundwater in the Lower Sand unit by volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in buried sludge and soils
- ii) Potential for direct human exposure to asbestos contained in the sludge
- iii) Potential for direct human exposure to metal contained in grit and shot
- iv) Potential surface and groundwater impacts from metals contained in the grit and shot

The O&M Program commenced with baseline groundwater monitoring in September 1998 following completion of the RA. This annual report has been prepared covering the period of November 2023 through November 2024.

The results of the O&M and Compliance Monitoring activities demonstrate that:

- i) The security and integrity of the landfill was maintained throughout this reporting period; therefore, there was no potential for direct human exposure to impacted materials.
- ii) There were no systematic increases in the concentrations of monitoring parameters in groundwater during this reporting period; therefore, there is no ongoing impact of chemicals to groundwater in the Lower Sand unit.

The O&M activities and Compliance Monitoring performed during this reporting period demonstrate that the RA is effective in mitigating Ecology's concerns for human health exposure and groundwater impacts.



Table of Contents

Exect	ES-i							
1.	Intro	luction	1					
2.	Opera	ation and Maintenance Activities	2					
	 2.1 Site Inspections and Corrective Actions							
	2.2	Routine Maintenance	4					
3.	Com	pliance Monitoring	4					
	3.1	Hydraulic Monitoring						
	3.2	Water Quality Monitoring	5					
4.	Assessment of Site Conditions							
	4.1 Direct Contact with Impacted Materials							
	4.2 Groundwater and Surface Water Impact							
5.	Conclusions and Recommendations							

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Compliance Monitoring Points
Table 3.2	Summary of Water Level Elevations
Table 3.3	Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Analytical Parameters
Table 3.4	Analytical Results Summary
Table 3.5	Monitored Natural Attenuation Analytical Results Summary

List of Figures

- Figure 3.1 Site Plan and Groundwater Contour Map
- Figure 4.1 Concentration Versus Time 1 20
- Figure 4.2 Concentration Versus Time 7 26



List of Appendices

- Appendix A Site Inspection Sheets
- Appendix B Groundwater Sample Collection Summary Logs
- Appendix C Analytical Data Verification and Assessment
- Appendix D Analytical Database

1. Introduction

The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) property (Site) is located at the corner of Taylor Way and Highway 509 in Tacoma, Washington. In the late 1960s and early 1970s, fill material from the Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC) Tacoma facility was deposited at the Site. At about the same time, baghouse grit/shot material from an unidentified source was also placed at the Site.

In March 1997, OCC and BPA entered into a Consent Decree with the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) to provide for the performance of a Remedial Action (RA) at the Site. The RA included excavation of impacted fill materials and soils and placement of these excavated materials in an on-Site engineered landfill. The RA was considered complete on August 24, 1998.

An RA Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan was submitted to Ecology with the remedial design documents. The RA O&M Plan includes the performance of routine Site inspections, compliance monitoring of groundwater, and comprehensive annual reporting. The O&M Program commenced in September 1998.

This report presents the annual report for the period of November 2023 through November 2024. The report is organized as follows:

- i) <u>Section 1 Introduction</u>: The introduction presents a brief summary of the project history and the organization of the report.
- ii) <u>Section 2 Operation and Maintenance Activities:</u> The O&M activities performed during this reporting period are discussed in Section 2.
- iii) <u>Section 3 Compliance Monitoring:</u> The groundwater compliance monitoring activities performed during this reporting period are described in Section 3 and the resultant data are presented.
- iv) <u>Section 4 Assessment of Site Conditions:</u> An assessment of the Site conditions in terms of the effectiveness of the remedy is presented in Section 4.
- v) <u>Section 5 Conclusions and Recommendations:</u> Section 5 presents the conclusions drawn from the Site assessment.

The current project coordinators for the Site are:

Ecology:

Mr. Andrew Smith 300 Desmond Drive Lacey, Washington 98503

Geosyntec Consultants

BPA:

Chad Browning Environmental Engineer 707 W. Main Street, Suite 500 Spokane, Washington 99201-0641

<u>OCC</u>:

Mr. Clint Babcock Director Operations Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc. 605 Alexander Avenue Tacoma, WA 98421

The designated Site Custodian is:

Geosyntec:

520 Pike Street, Suite 2600 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (253) 993-0190 The primary contact at Geosyntec is Rick Bieber.

2. Operation and Maintenance Activities

O&M activities performed during this reporting period included Site inspections and compliance monitoring. The O&M activities were performed by GHD, on behalf of OCC in accordance with the requirements of the "Operation and Maintenance Plan" (May 1997) with the modifications approved by Ecology in letters dated August 3, 1998, September 16, 1998, March 18, 1999, and November 14, 2003. The approved modifications consist of:

- i) The use of monitoring well 9-30 instead of monitoring well 5-21 (August 3, 1998)
- ii) The use of low-flow well purging and sampling techniques in the Compliance Monitoring Plan (CMP) (September 16, 1998)
- iii) Revision of the analyte list for compliance monitoring (March 18, 1999, and November 14, 2003)
- iv) Reduction in monitoring points from 7 to 2 (November 14, 2003)
- v) Reduction in the frequency of sampling from semi-annual to annual (November 14, 2003)
- vi) Installation of a replacement well for 1-20 in 2020

vii) Following the 2020 review Ecology requested the addition of monitored natural attenuation parameters bringing the new target analytes list to the following:

Table 2.0 Target Analytes					
Matrix	Analyte	Method			
GW	SSPL VOCs (annual)	8260			
GW	Dissolved Gases (methane, ethane,	RSK 175			
	ethene)				
GW	Total Iron and Manganese	200.7			
GW	Dissolved Iron and Manganese	200.7			
GW	Chloride, Sulfate	300			
GW	Total Organic Carbon	9060A			
GW	Nitrate	300			

2.1 Site Inspections and Corrective Actions

Site inspections were performed on a quarterly basis during this reporting period. Observations were logged on inspection log sheets. The inspection log sheets for the 2024 reporting period are contained in Appendix A.

Descriptions of the inspection observations are presented in the following subsections. No deficiencies requiring corrective action were observed during this reporting period.

2.1.1 Security

The security features include landfill perimeter fence, fence gates and locks, and signs. Each feature was examined during each Site inspection. No damage to security features was noted and the integrity of the security features was maintained. The security features and their conditions during this reporting period are described below.

Perimeter Fence

No damage to the perimeter fence was identified during the quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period.

Gates and Locks

No damage to perimeter fence gates or locks was identified during the quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period.

Signs

All signs were in place and legible.

2.1.2 Site

The Site features include final and vegetative covers, drainage swales, and monitoring wells. Each of these features was examined during each Site inspection. The integrity of the Site features was maintained. The condition of the Site features during this reporting period is described below.

Final and Vegetative Covers

No surface cracking or failure of the landfill cap was observed during this reporting period. Mowing of the entire mound was conducted following the hand removal of weeds in November 2024. The cut vegetation was raked and removed. No burrows were observed during recent inspections and during the mowing of the cap, GHD will continue to monitor for the presence of burrowing animals during quarterly inspections.

Drainage Swales

No erosion or excessive buildup of sediment was identified in the drainage swales during the quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period. No vegetation was identified encroaching on the drainage swales during quarterly inspections conducted for this reporting period.

Monitoring Wells

The inspection of the monitoring wells was conducted in November, 2024 and included the verification of the presence and condition of well caps and locks, integrity of protective casings, and inspection for settlement or displacement of the wells. Each of the wells were found in proper working order.

2.2 Routine Maintenance

The O&M Plan requires that the landfill cap vegetative cover be fertilized and maintained as necessary. In November 2024 mowing of the mound was completed following hand weeding. Overall the landfill cap is in good condition and no repairs outside of the routine maintenance activities are required at this time.

3. Compliance Monitoring

The CMP is included as Appendix B of the O&M Plan. The groundwater CMP commenced in September 1998 at the completion of the RA. The CMP states that groundwater monitoring will be conducted semi-annually for 5 years following which a review will be conducted. The first 5-year review period ended in September 2003. A review of the groundwater monitoring program was presented in the "Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2004." Based on the review, a modified groundwater monitoring program was approved by Ecology (see letter from G. Barrett (Ecology) to C. Barron (CRA) dated November 14, 2003).



The second 5-year review period ended in September 2008. A review of the groundwater monitoring program was presented in the "Remedial Action Operation and Maintenance and Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report – 2008." There were no approved modifications to the CMP following the second 5-year review period; however, potentiometric contour lines have been added to the site plan, as recommended by Ecology (see letter from G. Barrett (Ecology) to J. Cornetta (CRA) dated February 4, 2008). A third 5-year review was completed by Ecology in 2014, results were presented in a March 2015 report. A fourth 5-year review was completed by Ecology in 2018 with results presented in the 2019 report. No amendments to the CMP were made following this review. In 2020 Ecology requested in response to public comment for natural attenuation parameters be added to the sampling suite:

Compliance monitoring currently consists of:

- Metals Iron, Iron (dissolved), Manganese, Manganese (dissolved)
- Dissolved Gases Ethane, Ethene, Methane
- General Chemistry Chloride, Nitrate (as N), Sulfate, Total organic carbon (TOC)
- i) Annual hydraulic monitoring of all site monitoring wells
- ii) Annual groundwater sample collection and analyses of two wells, 1-20 and 7-26

The monitoring wells included in the Site monitoring program are listed in Table 3.1 and shown on Figure 3.1.

3.1 Hydraulic Monitoring

Site-wide hydraulic monitoring is performed in conjunction with each groundwater sampling event. A tabulation of the groundwater elevations measured since the commencement of the CMP is presented in Table 3.2. Groundwater elevations, potentiometric contour lines and groundwater flow direction are presented on Figure 3.1.

3.2 Water Quality Monitoring

Groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the low-flow monitoring well purging and sample collection procedures approved by Ecology on September 16, 1998 with one exception. As noted above, GHD was unable to use the bladder pump lodged in the well. The sample was collected using a peristaltic pump following approval from Ecology. A sample collection summary log is contained in Appendix B.

Groundwater samples collected during this reporting period were analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 3.3. Cleanup levels for the monitoring parameters listed in Table 3.3 are the Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA) Method B groundwater levels, or the analytical method's Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) where the Method B levels are lower than the PQL.



The groundwater analytical data generated during this reporting period and cleanup levels are presented in Table 3.4. The results are consistent with the historic data. The addition of the monitored natural attenuation (MNA) parameters are presented in table 3.5. As we collected more rounds of MNA data, trends will be plotted and presented in this section. The data validation for this reporting period is contained in Appendix C of this report. A complete groundwater analytical database is presented in Appendix D. All investigative samples were analyzed within the recommended holding time.

4. Assessment of Site Conditions

One of the purposes of the annual review of the O&M activities and monitoring data is to assess the current Site conditions in terms of the effectiveness of the RA.

Based upon the findings of the site investigations performed prior to the RA, Ecology stated the following concerns regarding fill materials present at the Site:

- i) Ongoing impact to groundwater in the Lower Sand unit by volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in buried sludge and soils
- ii) Potential for direct human exposure to asbestos contained in the sludge
- iii) Potential for direct human exposure to metals contained in grit and shot
- iv) Potential surface and groundwater impacts from metals contained in the grit and shot

These concerns were presented in Exhibit B, "Cleanup Action Plan," of the Consent Decree filed March 3, 1997.

The RA addressed each of these concerns through the removal of impacted sludge, grit, and shot and containment of these materials in the on-Site engineered landfill. Confirmatory analyses were performed on samples of in situ soils to confirm that concentrations of the Site compounds which exceeded the cleanup standards specified in Table 2 of Exhibit B of the Consent Decree did not remain following the completion of the RA.

The following subsections present evaluations of the current Site condition relative to these concerns. The evaluations are based upon the O&M activities performed during this reporting period and on the Compliance Monitoring data.

4.1 Direct Contact with Impacted Materials

The security and integrity of the landfill was maintained throughout this reporting period; therefore, there was no potential for direct human exposure to asbestos contained in sludge or metals contained in grit or shot.



4.2 Groundwater and Surface Water Impact

The sludge and soils containing concentrations of the Site compounds at concentrations exceeding the cleanup standards are isolated within the landfill and the integrity of the landfill was maintained throughout this reporting period. Therefore, the sludge or soils no longer represent a continuing source of VOCs or metals to groundwater or surface water.

The groundwater points of compliance are Lower Sand Unit monitoring wells located adjacent to the containment facility (MW-2) and at the downgradient boundaries of the Site (1-20, 2-27, 6-26, and 7-26). The locations of the points of compliance are shown on Figure 3.1. In the first 5-year review of the groundwater monitoring program conducted in November 2003, it was demonstrated that compliance with cleanup standards was achieved and maintained at all monitoring points except 1-20 and 7-26. Therefore, routine monitoring of the remaining compliance points is no longer required.

The 2024 groundwater sampling event was conducted on November 19, 2024. Samples were collected from monitoring wells 1-20 and 7-26. There were detections of cis-1,2-DCE and Vinyl Chloride for both monitoring wells 1-20 and 7-26. The analytical data for cis-1,2-DCE and vinyl chloride in monitoring wells 1-20 and 7-26 have been graphed as shown on Figures 4.1 and 4.2. To assist in the evaluation of chemical presence at these locations, linear trend lines have been added to the graphs. The graphs show overall downward trends in the cis-1,2-DCE concentrations in these wells since the baseline monitoring event was conducted in September 1998. The graphs also show a downward trend in vinyl chloride concentrations in well 1-20 and stable concentrations in well 7-26.

For the last 8 years the concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE in well 1-20 haw continued to show some variability hovering at or below the clean-up criteria for the site. These detections are consistent with the historic data and the general decreasing trend of both contaminates continues. This increase will be further assessed following the fall 2025 sampling event. Continued monitoring is scheduled and appropriate.

The presence of cis-1,2-DCE, vinyl chloride, and ethene suggest that natural attenuation is occurring at the site. Chloride and Sulfate were left of the laboratory request and will be added back into the target analytes going forward. We will continue to monitor the MNA parameters and prepare trend graphics in subsequent sampling rounds. It is expected that, over time, the natural attenuation process will restore the Lower Sand groundwater to a quality that meets or exceeds the cleanup standards.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

The O&M activities and Compliance Monitoring performed during this reporting period demonstrate that the RA remains effective in mitigating the concerns for human health exposure



and groundwater impact that are stated in the Cleanup Action Plan. There is no further remedial action required at the Site at this time.

Table 3.1 Compliance Monitoring Points Bonneville Power Administration Site Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

Well No.	Hydraulic Monitoring	Monitoring
1-20	Х	Х
2-27	Х	
6-26	Х	
7-26	Х	Х
9-30	Х	
MW-1	Х	
MW-2	Х	

Table 3.2 Summary of Groundwater Elevations Bonneville Power Administration Site Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

	Top of									
Well No.	Casing*	Nov. 98	May 99	Nov. 99	May 00	Nov. 00	May 01	Nov. 01	May 02	Nov. 02
1-20	8.40	2.00	2.94	2.24	3.42	3.10	3.15	2.24	3.70	2.01
2-27	14.92	3.14	5.13	3.48	5.44	4.34	5.00	3.58	6.07	2.96
6-26	13.27	2.38	4.09	2.77	4.55	3.57	4.06	2.87	4.87	2.41
7-26	12.73	1.85	2.91	2.14	3.55	2.94	3.02	2.14	3.54	1.90
9-30	14.65	3.76	5.74	4.22	5.99	4.96	5.66	4.28	6.43	3.49
MW-1	13.97	2.42	4.03	1.87	4.48	4.06	4.11	NM	4.88	3.85
MW-2	12.32	2.12	3.03	2.42	3.92	3.23	3.28	2.25	3.85	NM
	Top of									
Well No.	Casing*	May. 03	Nov. 03	Nov. 04	Nov. 05	Nov. 06	Nov. 07	Nov. 08	Nov. 09	Nov. 10
1-20	8.40	3.58	3.04	3.01	3.66	1.04	2.71	4.29	-0.36	4.39
2-27	14.92	5.76	5.02	4.81	5.34	3.18	4.16	6.36	6.30	6.60
6-26	13.27	4.66	4.04	3.86	4.59	2.49	3.45	5.73	5.39	5.76
7-26	12.73	3.46	2.86	2.92	3.58	1.91	2.73	4.15	3.20	4.38
9-30	14.65	6.28	5.68	5.52	5.82	3.82	4.74	6.27	6.14	6.68
MW-1	13.97	4.73	4.02	3.94	4.57	NM	3.11	5.56	5.42	5.59
MW-2	12.32	3.79	2.92	3.15	3.8	2.00	2.90	4.47	4.39	4.45
	Top of									
Well No.	Casing*	Nov. 11	Nov. 12	Nov. 13	Nov. 14	Nov. 15	Nov. 16	Nov. 17	Nov. 18	Nov. 19
1-20	8.40	2.71	4.78	3.80	4.10	4.55	4.93	4.09	2.28	2.37
2-27	14.92	3.93	6.93	5.94	5.96	6.79	7.53	5.85	3.21	3.02
6-26	13.27	3.27	6.09	4.99	5.2	5.96	6.80	5.30	2.62	2.47
7-26	12.73	2.62	4.72	3.79	4.04	5.47	4.01	4.47	2.21	1.87
9-30	14.65	4.43	7.01	6.21	6.25	6.70	7.26	6.54	3.68	3.88
MW-1	13.97	3.17	5.92	4.92	5.12	5.71	6.51	4.30	1.99	2.37
MW-2	12.32	2.74	4.90	3.88	4.14	4.66	5.15	4.25	2.26	3.05
Well No.	Top of Casing*	Nov. 20	Nov. 21	Nov. 22	Nov. 23	Nov. 24				
1-20	12.40	2.37	4.7	2.4	4.22	5.20				
2-27	14.92	3.02	7.12	3.02	4.37	3.94				
6-26	13.27	2.47	6.49	2.57	4.22	3.51				
7-26	12.73	1.87	4.93	2.8	4.93	3.53				
9-30 MW 1	14.65	3.88	6.35	3.26	5.07	4.01				
WW-1	13.97	2.57	0.10 1 00	2.34	4.81	3.// 3.68				
1V1 VV -2	12.32	5.05	4.82	2.32	4.00	5.00				

Notes:

* Elevation surveyed January 2010. Vertical Datum: NGVD 29. Water level elevations updated based on 2010 survey. 1-20 replaced 2020

NM Not measured

Table 3.3Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Analytical ParametersBonneville Power Administration SiteTaylor WayTacoma, Washington

Parameter ⁽¹⁾	Cleanup Level ⁽²⁾ (µg/L)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	70
Dichloromethane (methylene chloride)	5
Tetrachloroethene	5
Trichloroethene	5
Vinyl chloride	10*

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Analytical parameter list modified in January 2004.

⁽²⁾ Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method B Surface Water Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC), Version 3.1, updated November 2001.

* Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL), "Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, Guidance on Sampling and Data Analysis Methods," January 1995.

Table 3.4 Analytical Results Summary Bonneville Power Administration Site Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

	Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 1-20-111924-SC 11/19/2024	7-26 7-26-111924-SC 11/19/2024	7-26 7-26-111924-SC- Dup 11/19/2024 (Duplicate)	
Parameter	Units	Cleanup Level ⁽¹⁾				
Volatile Organic Comp	ounds					
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	μg/L	70	37	6.3	6.4	
Methylene chloride	μg/L	5	1.4U	1.4U	1.4U	
Tetrachloroethene	μg/L	5	0.41U	0.41U	0.41U	
Trichloroethene	µg/L	5	0.26U	0.26U	0.26U	
Vinyl chloride	μg/L	10*	6.0F1	0.28J	0.35J	

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method B Surface Water Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC). Version 3.1, updated November 2001.

- J Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.
- F1 MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits.
- U Non-detect at associated value.
- * Practical quantitation limit.

Concentration exceeds the cleanup standard.

Table 3.5 Monitored Natural Attenuation Analytical Results Summary Bonneville Power Administration Site Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

	Sample Location:	1-20	7-26	7-26
	Sample ID.	1-20-111924-SC	7-26-111924-SC	7-26-111924-SC-Dup
	Sample Date:	11/19/2024	11/19/2024	11/19/2024 (Duplicate)
Parameter Dissolved Gases	Units			()
Ethane	µg/L	4.8J	42	37
Ethene	µg/L	0.40U	0.40U	0.40U
Methane	µg/L	2800F2	1800	1800
Metals				
Iron	μg/L	37000	25000	29000
Iron (dissolved)	μg/L	40000	25000	24000
Manganese	µg/L	2500	2500	2400
Manganese (dissolved)	µg/L	2500	2500	2500
General Chemistry				
Chloride	μg/L	-	-	-
Nitrate (as N)	μg/L	0.090U	0.090U	0.090U
Sulfate	μg/L	-	-	-
Total organic carbon (TOC	C) μg/L	33F1	20	19

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA Method B Surface Water Standard, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC), Version 3.1, updated November 2001.

J Estimated.

F1 MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits.

F2 MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits.

U Non-detect at associated value.

* Practical quantitation limit.

Concentration exceeds the cleanup standard.

- Not Sampled

Figures



REPORT ANNUAL 2024/TR083 FORT ř ANNI ģ ð CAD/9 AND GIS 000 3083 Ш S 5 ATL

ojects\Oxychem TR0837\900 GIS and CAD\910 CAD\BPA Annual Report 2024\TR0837-BPA Annual Report 2024-G001.dwg





Appendix A Site Inspection Sheets

SITE

Erocion or Sottlement of Low D. 199	No	Yes (If was give details below)
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	/	res (ij yes give ueiaus below)
urface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes		
nergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)		
	/	
egetation Burnout	V	
	/	
cessive Growth of Vegetation	V	
Cap	/	
Drainage Swale	V	
sence of Burrowing Mammals	n en folge fan Annae en ante en folgen an de folgen an de ante en folgen an de ser folge	
	V	
ell Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition		
		Vier
osion of Drainage Swale/Ditch		1 1tg
mments: If no problems with can not a state the state of the		,
problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions to the		
r and concentre actions taken, use addi	tional sheets if ne	cessary.
	ĸŔĸĊĸĊĊĸĿĸĊĸĸĸŦĊĿţĸĸĸĸĊĊĸŢĊĊĊţĸŎĸĊĸĸĿĊĸĊĊŎĊŎŎĊŢŎĬĸŎŎŎŎŎŎ	No problems Noted
		l. A al-of
	· · ·	RIGE DIEBER
		(Inspector's Name - Please Print)
		1/1/10/11/10
		(Inspector)/Sight

TreaTek-CRA 7412 (4) APPA

SECURITY			
		No	Yes (If yes give details below)
Specific Item	had mit by we plan you are a result on a low own of the second of the second of the second of the second of the Notified the method of the second of the s		
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive			
deterioration)			
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)			
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)			
it a simple forge (gates of	locks noted - state "Ne	o Problems Noted."	
Comments: If no problems with perimeter lence, gates of	ive actions taken, use	additional sheets if n	recessary. No problems Nuted
If problems noted summarize problems below and correct		nan ming mar an ann an an an an an an an ann an an a	
		n na sa	
	understeller ander an eine Granden eine eine eine eine eine eine eine		

Rich Breber (Inspector's Name - Please Print) 11-19-24 (Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

SITE

Specific Home	The Transmission of the second s	
specific tiem	/\0	Yes (If yes give details below)
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap		
Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	~	
Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)		
Vegetation Burnout		
Excessive Growth of Vegetation Cap Drainage Swale	/	
Presence of Burrowing Mammals		
Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition		Ves
Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch		
Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use ad	lditional sheets if ne	cessary. NO Problems Noted
		Rick Bither (Inspector's Name - Please Print) Rick Bither B-22-3

SECURITY

Specific Item		No	Yes (If yes give details below)				
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive	annais ann ann an Annaichtean ann ann ann an Annaichtean ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann						
deterioration)		V					
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)							
	andra a sa a						
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)		V					
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or lo	Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - state "No Problems Noted."						
If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use additional sheets if necessary. No problems Noted							

(Inspector's Name - Please Print)

8-22-24

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

SITE

Specific Item	NERVIEWEN (NEUTING IN BUTCHING IN STATES IN THE THEORY	No	Yes (If yes give details below)		
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap		\checkmark			
Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes					
Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees	s, brush, etc.)				
Vegetation Burnout					
Excessive Growth of Vegetation	Cap Drainage Swale				
Presence of Burrowing Mammals					
Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable	Condition		Ves		
Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	ՠ՟ՠ֍֎ՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠ	V	mener frances and a second		
Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Prol If problems noted summarize problems below and correcti	blems Noted." ve actions taken, use ad	ditional sheets if neo	cessary. No problems Nuted		
			Rich Bieber		
			(Inspector's Name - Please Print)		

5-15-24

SECURITY

Specific Item	No	Yes (If yes give details below)
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive deterioration)		
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)		
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)		
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or locks noted - st If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions take	ate "No Problems Noted." n, use additional sheets if ne	cessary. No Problems miled

Rick Bieber

(Inspector's Name - Please Print)

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

SITE

Specific Item	No	Yes (If yes give details below)			
Erosion or Settlement of Low Permeability Cap	V	en en la compañía de la compañía de La compañía de la comp			
Surface Cracking or Failure of Cap Along Slopes	\checkmark				
Emergence/Presence of Deep Rooted Vegetation (i.e. trees, brush, etc.)	V				
Vegetation Burnout	V				
Excessive Growth of Vegetation Cap Drainage Swale	\checkmark	Calculation in the provide state of the second			
Presence of Burrowing Mammals	V				
Well Protective Casings and Appurtenances in Acceptable Condition		yes			
Erosion of Drainage Swale/Ditch	\checkmark				
Comments: If no problems with cap noted - state "No Problems Noted." If problems noted summarize problems below and corrective actions taken, use ad	lditional sheets if ne	No problems Noted			
		(Inspector's Name - Please Print)			

SECURITY

Specific Item		No	Yes (If yes give details below)
Perimeter Fence (i.e. damage or excessive			
deterioration)			
Gates and Locks (missing damaged or inoperable)			
Signs (damaged, missing or no longer readable)		\checkmark	
Comments: If no problems with perimeter fence, gates or If problems noted summarize problems below and correct	locks noted - state "No ive actions taken, use a	Problems Noted." dditional sheets if ne	cessary. No problems nuted

(Inspector's Name - Please Print) 2-15-24

(Inspector's Signature and Date of Inspection)

Appendix B Groundwater Sample Collection Summary Logs

Table B Sample Collection Data Sheet - Groundwater Sampling Program Occidental Chemical Corporation BPA Site Tacoma, Washington

		:	SAMPLE C	OLLECTIO	N DATA S	SHEET -	GROUM	IDWATI	ER SAM	PLING	PROG	RAM	
PROJ	ECT NAME			BPA						PROJEC	T NO.		TR0837
SAMF	PLING CREW MEMBERS			Scott Colagros	S					SUPERV	ISOR	R. Bieber	
DATE	OF SAMPLE COLLECTION			11/19/2024									
								[Note: For	2" dia. well,	1 ft. = 0.1	4 gal (imp) or 0.16 gal (us)]	
	Sample I.D.	Well Number	Measuring Point Elev.	Water Depth	Water Elevation	Volume Flow	Volume Purged	Field pH	Field Temp.	Field Cond.	Time	Sample Description	
	Number		(NGVD)	(ft. btoc)	(NGVD)	(ml/min)	(gal US)		(C)	(uS/cm)		& Analysis	
	1-20-111924-SC	1-20	12.40	7.20	5.20	0.5	7.75	6.49	12.1	729	15:20	Brown Tint	
	7-26-111924-SC	7-26	12.73	9.20	3.53	0.5	8.50	6.57	12.4	554	13:20	Slight Brown Tint	
	Additional Comments: Copies to:		SAMPLE SET (1) MS / MSD (2) field duplic	: 3 x 40ml glass taken ate taken	w/ HCl prese	rve for VOC	;						
Ge	consultants												

Appendix C

Analytical Data Verification and Assessment



Data Verification Report

March 13, 2025

То	Richard Bieber (rbieber@geosyntec.com)	Contact No.	248-893-3381					
Copy to	File	Email	James.abston@ghd.com					
From	James Abston, GHD/lg	Project No.	11218874					
Project Name	Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc – Bonneville Power Administration Site							
Subject	Analytical Results and Data Verification Annual Sampling Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc – Bonneville Pov Tacoma, Washington November 2024	ver Administration S	Site					

The services undertaken by GHD in connection with preparing this report were limited to those specifically detailed in the report and are subject to the scope limitations set out in the report.

1. Introduction

This document details a data verification of analytical results for water samples collected in support of the Annual Sampling at the Bonneville Power Administration Site (BPA) during November 2024. Samples were submitted to Eurofins Environment Testing located in Tacoma, Washington. A sample collection and analysis summary is presented in Table 1. The validated analytical results are summarized in Table 2. A summary of the analytical methodology is presented in Table 3.

Standard GHD report deliverables were submitted by the laboratory. The final results and supporting quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) data were assessed. Evaluation of the data was based on information obtained from the chain of custody form, finished report forms, method blank data, recovery data from surrogate spikes/laboratory control samples (LCS)/matrix spikes (MS) and field quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) samples.

The QA/QC criteria by which these data have been assessed are outlined in the analytical methods referenced in Table 3 and applicable guidance from the documents entitled:

- 1. "National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review", United States (or U.S.) Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 542-R-20-006, November 2020.
- "National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review", USEPA 540-R-20-005, November 2020.

Items 1 and 2 will subsequently be referred to as the "Guidelines" in this report.

2. Sample Holding Time and Preservation

The sample holding time criteria for the analyses are summarized in Table 3. Sample chain of custody documents and analytical reports were used to determine sample holding times. All samples were (prepared and) analyzed within the required holding times.

All samples were properly preserved, delivered on ice, and stored by the laboratory at the required temperature (0-6°C).

3. Laboratory Method Blank Analyses

Method blanks are prepared from a purified matrix and analyzed with investigative samples to determine the existence and magnitude of sample contamination introduced during the analytical procedures.

For this study, laboratory method blanks were analyzed at a minimum frequency of 1 per 20 investigative samples and/or 1 per analytical batch.

All method blank results were non-detect, indicating that laboratory contamination was not a factor for this investigation except for a low-level detection for carbon disulfide. The associated sample results were non-detect and therefore, no qualification was required.

4. Surrogate Spike Recoveries - Organic Analyses

In accordance with the methods employed, all samples, blanks, and QC samples analyzed for organics are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. Surrogate recoveries provide a means to evaluate the effects of laboratory performance on individual sample matrices.

All samples submitted for volatile organic compound (VOC) determinations were spiked with the appropriate number of surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis.

Surrogate recoveries were assessed against laboratory control limits. All surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory control limits.

5. Laboratory Control Sample Analyses

LCS or LCS/laboratory control sample duplicates (LCSD) are prepared and analyzed as samples to assess the analytical efficiencies of the methods employed, independent of sample matrix effects. The relative percent difference (RPD) of the LCS/LCSD recoveries is used to evaluate analytical precision.

High LCS recoveries and/or RPDs do not impact any associated non-detect sample results.

For this study, LCS or LCS/LCSD were analyzed at a minimum frequency of 1 per 20 investigative samples and/or 1 per analytical batch.

Organic Analyses

The LCS/LCSD contained all compounds of interest. Most LCS recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision except for high recoveries for trichlorofluoromethane and chloroethane. The associated sample results were non-detect and therefore, no qualification was required.

Inorganic Analyses

The LCS contained all analytes of interest. LCS recoveries were assessed per the "Guidelines" using the laboratory control limits. All LCS recoveries were within the control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy.

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) Analyses

To evaluate the effects of sample matrices on the preparation process, measurement procedures, and accuracy of a particular analysis, samples are spiked with a known concentration of the analyte of concern and analyzed as MS/MSD samples. The RPD between the MS and MSD is used to assess analytical precision.

If the original sample concentration is significantly greater than the spike concentration (> four times), the recovery is not assessed.

If only the MS or MSD recovery was outside of control limits, no qualification of the data was performed based on the acceptable recovery of the companion spike and the acceptable RPD.

MS/MSD analyses were performed as specified in Table 1.

Organic Analyses

The MS/MSD samples were spiked with all compounds of interest. All percent recoveries and RPD values were within the laboratory control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision except for high recoveries for several VOCs. However, the associated sample results were non-detect and therefore, no qualification was required.

Inorganic Analyses

The MS/MSD samples were spiked with the analytes of interest, and the results were evaluated using the "Guidelines" using the laboratory control limits. All percent recoveries and RPD values were within the control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision.

7. Field QA/QC Samples

The field QA/QC consisted of one trip blank sample and one field duplicate sample set.

Trip Blank Sample Analysis

To evaluate contamination from sample collection, transportation, storage, and analytical activities, one trip blank was submitted to the laboratory for volatile organic compound (VOC) analysis. All results were non-detect for the compounds of interest except for low-level detections for carbon disulfide and methylene chloride. The associated sample results were non-detect and therefore no qualification was required.

Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

To assess the analytical and sampling protocol precision, one field duplicate sample set was collected and submitted "blind" to the laboratory, as specified in Table 1. The RPDs associated with these duplicate samples must be less than 50 percent for water samples. If the reported concentration in either the investigative sample or its duplicate is less than five times the reporting limit (RL), the evaluation criteria is one times the RL value for water samples.

All field duplicate results met the above criteria demonstrating acceptable sampling and analytical precision.

8. Analyte Reporting

Non-detect data were reported down to the laboratory's sample-specific MDL for each analyte. Positive analyte detections less than the RL but greater than the sample-specific MDL were qualified as estimated (J) in Table 2.

9. Conclusion

Based on the assessment detailed in the foregoing, the data summarized in Table 2 are acceptable without qualification.

Regards,

James Aboton

James Abston NA Environmental – Midwest – Chemistry Data Validator / Analytical Coordinator

Sample Collection and Analysis Summary Annual Sampling Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc – Bonneville Power Administration Site Tacoma, Washington November 2024

					Analysis/Parameters	
Sample Identification	Location	Matrix	Collection Date	Collection Time	VOC DISSOIVEG Gases Total Metals DISSOIVEG Metals Nitrate TOC	Comments
			(mm/dd/yyyy)	(hr:min)		
1-20-111924-SC 7-26-111924-SC	MW1-20 MW7-26	Groundwater Groundwater	11/19/24 11/19/24	15:20 13:20	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	MS/MSD
7-26-111924-SC-Dup Trip Blank	MW7-26 Trip Blank	Groundwater Water Quality Control Matrix	11/19/24 11/20/24	13:30 8:50	X X X X X X X	FD(7-26-111924-SC) Trip Blank

Notes:

FD - Field Duplicate sample of sample in parenthesis MS/MSD - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate VOC - Volatile Organic Compounds TOC - Total Organic Carbon -- - - Not applicable Page 1 of 1

Validated Analytical Results Summary Annual Sampling Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc – Bonneville Power Administration Site Tacoma, Washington November 2024

Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW1-20 1-20-111924-SC 11/19/2024	MW7-26 7-26-111924-SC 11/19/2024	MW7-26 7-26-111924-SC-Dup 11/19/2024 Duplicate
Parameters	Unit			
Volatile Organic Compounds				
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	µg/L	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U
1,2-Dibromoethane (Ethylene dibromide)	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
2-Butanone (Methyl ethyl ketone) (MEK)	µg/L	15 U	15 U	15 U
2-Hexanone	µg/L	15 U	15 U	15 U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (Methyl Isobutyl ketone) (MIBK)	µg/L	5.0 0	5.0 0	5.0 0
Acetone	µg/L	15 U	8.9 J	15 U
Benzene	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Bromodicnioromethane	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Bromororm Bromorethene (Methyl bromide)	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.0 0
Bromometnane (Methyl bromide)	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.0 0
Carbon disulide	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.0 0
Chlorobonzono	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.0 0
Chlorobromomothana	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.0 0
Chloroothana	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.0 0
Chloroform (Trichloromothano)	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.0 0
Chloromothana (Mothyl chlorida)	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.0 0
cis 1.2 Dichloroothono	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	6.4
cis-1,2-Dichloropropene	µg/L	1011	1.011	1011
Cyclobeyane	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.0 0
Dibromochloromethane	µg/L	1.0 0	1.0 0	1.00
Dichlorodifluoromethane (CEC-12)	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 0
Ethylbenzene	μg/L μg/l	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.00
	μg/L μg/l	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.00
m&n-Xylenes	µg/L µg/l	2011	2011	2011
Methyl acetate	µg/L µg/l	5.0 U	5.0 U	501
Methyl cyclohexane	µg/L	3011	3011	3011
Methyl tert butyl ether (MTRE)	µg/L µg/l		1011	101
Methylene chloride	µg/L	501	5011	500
o-Xvlene	ug/L	10U	10U	100
Styrene	µg/L	100	100	100
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	101	1.00	101
Toluene	ug/l	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	ua/l	0.76 J	1.0 U	1.0 U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/l	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Trichloroethene	ua/l	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC-11)	µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U

Validated Analytical Results Summary Annual Sampling Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc – Bonneville Power Administration Site Tacoma, Washington November 2024

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW1-20 1-20-111924-SC 11/19/2024	MW7-26 7-26-111924-SC 11/19/2024	MW7-26 7-26-111924-SC-Dup 11/19/2024 Duplicate
Parameters		Unit			
Trifluorotrichloroethane (CFC-113) Vinyl chloride Xylenes (total)		μg/L μg/L μg/L	1.0 U 6.0 2.0 U	1.0 U 0.28 J 2.0 U	1.0 U 0.35 J 2.0 U
Dissolved Gases Ethane Ethene Methane		μg/L μg/L μg/L	4.8 J 5.0 U 2800	42 5.0 U 1800	37 5.0 U 1800
Metals Iron Iron (dissolved) Manganese Manganese (dissolved)		mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L	37 38 2.5 2.6	25 25 2.5 2.5	29 24 2.4 2.5
General Chemistry Nitrate (as N) Total organic carbon (TOC)		mg/L mg/L	0.20 U 33	0.20 U 20	0.20 U 19

Notes:

U - Not detected

J - Estimated concentration

Analytical Methods Annual Sampling Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc – Bonneville Power Administration Site Tacoma, Washington November 2024

			Holding Time
Parameter	Method	Matrix	Collection or Extraction to Analysis (Days)
Metals (Total and Dissolved)	EPA 200.7	Water	180
Nitrate	EPA 300	Water	48 Hours
TOC	SW-846 9060	Water	28
Dissolved Gases	RSK 175	Water	7
VOC	SW-846 8260B	Water	14

Notes:

Method References:

SW-846 - "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", SW-846, Third Edition, 1986, with subsequent revisions EPA - Environmental Protection Agency - MCAWW - "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste," EPA-600/4-79-020, revised March 1983, with subsequent revisions

Appendix D Analytical Database

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 W-7412-092398-MW1-20-D 9/23/1998	1-20 9GMW1-20-1198-TF 11/5/1998	1-20 MW1-20-0599-TT 5/12/1999	1-20 R MWD1-0599-TR 5/12/1999 <i>Duplicate</i>	1-20 2MW1-20-1199-TH 11/8/1999	1-20 R MWD1-1199-TR 11/8/1999 Duplicate	1-20 MW1-20-0500-TI 5/9/2000	1-20 RMW1-20-1100-TR 11/9/2000	1-20 FD1-1100-TR 11/9/2000 Duplicate	1-20 MW1-20-0501-TR 5/21/2001	1-20 FD1-0501-TR 5/21/2001 Duplicate	1-20 MW1-20-1101-TF 11/9/2001	1-20 FD1-1101-TR 11/9/2001 Duplicate
Parameter	Units													
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	160 J 5 J 5 J 9 J 21 J	140 ^J 5 5 8.0 31	130 5 5 4.1 25	120 5 5 4.4 J 32	130 1.0 U 1.0 U 3.4 16	140 1.0 U 1.0 U 3.6 16	83 2.3 U 1.0 U 2.2 9.8	100 4.0 u 4.0 u 1.2 J 12	100 10 U 10 U 10 U 12	90 2.5 U 2.5 U 1.7 J 33	89 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.7 j 34	51 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.3 j 5.8	55 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.3 j 6.4
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	3.5 J 1.3 J 0.75	3.5 1.3 0.75	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -	- - -	- -	- - -	- -	- - -	- -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	1.5 5	5.2 5 J	4.8 5	4.2 5	4.2 υ 2.7 υ	4.2 ט 2.7 ט	4.2 ע 2.7 ע	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L													
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N)	mg/l mg/l													

Nitrate (as N)ing/iSulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOC)mg/l

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 MW1-20-0502-TR 5/1/2002	1-20 FD1-0502-TR 5/1/2002 Duplicate	1-20 MW1-20-1102-DC 11/14/2002	1-20 FD1-1102-DC 11/14/2002 Duplicate	1-20 I-20-0503 5/8/2003	1-20 FD1-0503 5/8/2003 Duplicate	1-20 1-20-1103 11/11/2003	1-20 1-20-1104 11/11/2004	1-20 1-20-1105-NR-00 11/18/2005	1-20 11-20-1105-NR-002 11/18/2005 Duplicate
Parameter	Units										
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	72 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 18	71 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 18	94 2.5 UJ 2.5 U 2.0 J 10	91 2.5 UJ 2.5 U 1.9 J 9.8	22 UJ 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 3.1	19 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.7	52 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 11	22 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 3.6	38 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 4.7 J	160J 2.5uJ 2.5uJ 2.5uJ 14 J
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	0.4 3.0 U	0.7 3.0 U	0.7 3.0 U	- - -	- - -	- - -
Dissolved Gases Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L										
Chloride	mg/l										

Nitrate (as N)mg/lSulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOC)mg/l

1-20 1-20 1-20 1-20-1106-ILM-001 1-20-1107-ILM-001GW-111408-TG-BPA-1-20-01 11/3/2006 11/2/2007 11/14/2008

91	28 J	65
2.5U	2.5u	2.5 U
2.5u	2.5 _U	2.5 U
0.43j	2.5u	0.26 J
11	6.3	12
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 GW-110609-TG-1-20 11/6/2009	1-20 GW-111610-JS-1-20 11/16/2010	1-20 GW-111610-JS-1-20 11/16/2010 Duplicate	1-20 GW-111711-AK-1-20 11/17/2011	1-20 GW-112912-MD-1-20 11/29/2012	1-20 GW-112613-BP-1-20 11/26/2013	1-20 GW-111814-BP-1-20 11/18/2014	1-20 GW-113015-BP-1-20 11/30/2015	1-20 GW-111716-NT-1-20 11/17/16
Parameter	Units									
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	18 2.5U 2.5U 0.17J 3.1	54 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 4.6	50 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 4.2	110 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 11	70 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.10 J 6.5	80 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 9.0	38 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 3.3	81 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 10	39 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 3.7
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L									
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l									

										+AJ:ATA:AT
Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 GW-201117-NT-1-20 11/20/17	1-20 GW-201117-NT-FD1 11/20/17 Duplicate	1-20 GW-110618-NT-1-20 11/06/2018	1-20 GW-112519-NT-1-20 11/25/2019	1-20 GW-112519-NT-FD3 11/25/19 Duplicate	1-20 GW-111820-NT-1-20 11/18/2020	1-20 1-20-111721-RB 11/17/2021	1-20 1-20-111722-MM 11/16/2022	1-20 1-20-111722-MM 11/16/2022 Duplicate
Parameter	Units									
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	80 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 7.1	75 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 5.5	43 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.5 U	23 2.5 U 0.15 U 0.46 J 2.1	23 2.5 U 0.15 U 0.15 U 2.3	71J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 8.8	52 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 3.7	56 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 14	59 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 15
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -				
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	45900 3070 2720	60000 2700	38000 2800 2600	37000 2700 2600
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L						11J 6.9 6400	0.01U 0.01U 0.11	- -	
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l						30.2 0.050J 43.3 31.2	34 0.66 37 36	31 0.15U 27 36	31 0.15U 26 37

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		1-20 GW-113023-MM-1-20 11/30/23	1-20 1-20-111924-SC 11/19/24	7-26 W-7412-092398-MW7-26-D 9/23/1998	7-26 GMW7-26-1198-TR 11/4/1998	7-26 MWD1-1198-TR 11/4/1998 Duplicate	7-26 MW7-26-0599-TH 5/12/1999	7-26 MW7-26-1199-TF 11/8/1999	7-26 MW7-26-0500-TR 5/10/2000
Parameter	Units								
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	28 5.0U 1.0U 1.0U 2.5	37 1.4U 0.41U 0.26U 6.0F1	180 J 5.3 5 10 J 6.9J	140J 5 5 9.2 22	150J 5 5 9.4 22	140J 5 5 5.5 4.4	220 D 1.0 U 1.0 U 4 16	170 D 5.3 D 1.3 U 1.8 6.0
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L			3.5 1.3 0.75	3.5 1.3 0.75	3.5 1.3 0.75	- - -	- - -	- - -
Metals Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	41000 2700 2700	37000 2500 2500	5.4	7.8	6.4 5	7.5 J 5	5.5 B - 2.7 U	4.2 2.7 U
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L	0.010U 0.010U 0.16	4.8J 0.40U 2800F2						
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l	30 0.15U 45 33	0.090U 33F1						

7-26 7-26 7-26 7-26 RMW7-26-1100-TRMW7-26-0501-TRMW7-26-1101-TRMW7-26-0502-TR 11/9/2000 5/21/2001 11/8/2001 5/1/2002

250 8.0 U 8.0 U 8.0 U 16	130 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.4 j 8.3	220 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 44	110 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 4.3
- -	- - -	- - -	- - -
3.8 J 2.7 U	7.8 3.0 UJ	7.2 3.0 u	4 - 3.0 U

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		7-26 MW7-26-1102-DC 11/14/2002	7-26 7-26-0503 5/8/2003	7-26 7-26-1103 11/10/2003	7-26 FD1-1103 11/10/2003 Duplicate	7-26 7-26-1104 11/11/2004	7-26 FD1-1104 11/11/2004 Duplicate	7-26 7-26-1105-NR 11/18/2005	7-26 '-26-1106-ILM-00 ' 11/3/2006	7-26 7-26-1106-ILM-003 7- 11/3/2006 Duplicate
Parameter	Units									
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	120 2.5 uj 2.5 uj 2.0 j 8.9	48 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.4	170 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.4 J 37	220 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.5 J 37	150 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.3 J 31	150 2.5 U 2.5 U 1.3 J 31	120 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 14	170 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.78 J 11	170 2.5 U 0.24J 0.85J 11
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	6.4 3.0 U	7.1 3.0 U	7.6 3.0 U	8.1 3.0 U	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Dissolved Gases Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L									
General Chemistry Chloride	mg/l									

Chronicemg/lNitrate (as N)mg/lSulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOC)mg/l

7-26 7-26 7-26 7-26-1107-ILM FDUP-1107-ILM GW-111408-TG-BPA-7-26-04 11/2/2007 11/2/2007 11/14/2008 Duplicate

110 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 16	100 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 20	62 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.34 J 4.4
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		7-26 GW-111408-TG-BPA-FD-05 11/14/2008 Duplicate	7-26 GW-110609-TG-7-26 11/6/2009	7-26 GW-110609-TG-FD1 11/6/2009 Duplicate	7-26 GW-111610-JS-7-26 11/16/2010 Duplicate	7-26 GW-111711-AK-7-26 11/17/2011	7-26 GW-111711-AK-D1 11/17/2011 Duplicate
Parameter	Units						
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	94 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.44 J 7.5	77 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.40J 7.3	91 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.39J 9.7	140 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.30 J 15 J	130 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.30 J 11	130 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.31 J 9.9
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L						
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l						

7-267-26GW-112912-MD-7-26GW-112912-MD-FD111/29/201211/29/2012

(Duplicate)

26 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.21 J 2.0 J	40 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.22 J 3.7 J
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		7-26 GW-112613-BP-7-26 11/26/2013	7-26 GW-112613-BP-FD-1 11/26/2013	7-26 GW-111814-BP-7-26 11/18/2014	7-26 GW-111814-BP-FD-1 11/18/2014	7-26 GW-120115-BP-7-26 12/1/2015	7-26 GW-120115-BP-FD-1 12/1/2015
Parameter	Units		(Duplicate)		(Duplicate)		
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	51 J 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.22 J 8.5 J	100 J 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.26 J 23 J	74 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.23 J 13	73 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.20 J 13	64 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.20 J 18	61 2.0 U 0.50 U 0.20 J 17
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L						
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l						

7-26 7-26 GW-111716-NT-7-26 GW-111716-NT-FD-1 11/17/2016 11/17/2016

Duplicate

27 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.23 J 7.1	38 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 11
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		7-26 GW-201117-NT-7-26 11/20/2017	7-26 GW-110618-NT-7-26 11/6/2018	7-26 GW-110618-NT-FD1 11/6/2018	7-26 GW-112519-NT-7-26 11/25/2019	7-26 GW-111820-NT-7-26 11/18/2020	7-26 GW-111820-NT-FD-1 11/18/2020
Parameter	Units						Duplicate
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	24J 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.21J 8.8	12 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.22 J 0.5 U	12 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.22 J 0.5 U	11 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.32 J 4.9	11 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.2	10 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.1
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
Metals Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved) Dissolved Gases	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	28100 1710J 3220 2760	28000 666J 3220 2760
Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L					64 9.6 7000	67 11 6300
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l					29.9 0.5U 40.4 16.4	29.5 0.5U 40 16.5

7-26 7-26-111721-RB 11/17/2021

7-26 7-26-111721-RB 11/17/2021

Duplicate

6.3	6.6
5.0 U	5.0 U
2.0 U	2.0 U
2.0 U	2.0 U
0.49	0.52

38000	36000
3400	3400
0.01U	0.01U
0.01U	0.01U
0.18	0.14
20	15
0.31U	0.31U
24	26
19	20

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		7-26 7-26-111626-MM 11/16/2022	7-26 GW-1130223-MM-7-26 11/30/2023	7-26 GW-1130223-MM-7-26 11/30/2023	7-26 7-26-111924-SC 11/19/2024	7-26 7-26-111924-SC-Dup 11/19/2024	MW1 MW1-1198-TR 11/4/1998	MW1 MW1-0599-TR 5/11/1999	MW1 MW1-1199-TR 11/8/1999	MW1 MW1-0500-TR 5/9/2000	MW1 MWD1-0500-TR 5/9/2000 Duplicate
Parameter	Units			Duplicate		Duplicate					Dupicaie
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	8.4 5.0 U 2.0 U 2.0 U 0.41	5.2 5.0U 1.0U 1.0U 0.5U	5.3 5.0U 1.0U 1.0U 0.5U	6.3 1.4U 0.41U 0.26U 0.28J	6.4 1.4U 0.41U 0.26U 0.35J	5 J 5 J 5 J 5 J 0.18 J	10 5 5 5 26	12 1.0 U 1.0 U 1.0 U 13	59 1.0 U 1.0 U 1.0 U 15	88 2.7 U 1.0 u 2.6 11
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L						3.5 1.3 0.75	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	28000 2700 2700	33000 3500 3400	31000 3500 3400	25000 2500 2500	29000 2400 2500	4.5 5 J	4.4 - 5	4.2 U 2.7 υ	4.2 U 2.7 υ	4.2 U 2.7 U
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	0.010U 0.010U 0.59	0.010U 0.010U 0.55	42 0.40U 1800	37 0.40U 1800					
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l	24 0.15U 28 15	22 0.15U 25 50U	25 0.15U 22 18	0.090U 20	0.090U 19					

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		MW1 MW1-1100-TR 11/9/2000	MW1 MW1-0501-TR 5/21/2001	MW1 MW1-1101-DC 11/8/2001	MW1 MW1-0502-TR 5/1/2002	MW1 MW-1-1102-DC 11/14/2002	MW1 MW-1-0503 5/8/2003	MW1 MW1-1103 11/11/2003	MW2 W-7412-092298-MW2-DG 9/22/1998	MW2 MW2-1198-TR 11/5/1998	MW2 MW2-0599-TR 5/11/1999	MW2 MW2-1199-TR 11/8/1999	MW2 MW2-0500-TR 5/10/2000
Parameter	Units												
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	5.7 4.0 U 4.0 U 4.0 U 2.3	41 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 16	9.2 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 0.5u	17 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 3.7	8.6 2.5 UJ 2.5 U 2.5 U 1.1	15 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 1.4	6.2 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.42 J	100 J 5 5 J 22 J	72 J 5.3 5 5 23	35 5 5 5 4.4	27 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.0 u 2.0	18 1.0 u 1.0 u 1.0 u 3.0
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	3.5 1.3 0.75	3.5 1.3 0.75	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	3.0 עז 2.7 ע	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	0.05 U 3.0 U	5.3	6.0 5 J	7.9 - 5	4.2 U 2.7 υ	4.2 U 2.7 υ
Dissolved Gases Ethane Ethene Methane General Chemistry Chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L mg/l												

Nitrate (as N)mg/lSulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOC)mg/l

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		MW2 MW2-1100-TR 11/9/2000	MW2 MW2-0501-TR 5/21/2001	MW2 MW2-1101-TR 11/9/2001	MW2 MW2-0502-TR 5/1/2002	MW2 MW-2-1102-DC 11/14/2002	MW2 MW-2-0503 5/8/2003	MW2 MW2-1103 11/11/2003	MW2-27 W-7412-092398-MW2-27-DGW-7 9/23/1998	MW2-27 7412-092398-MW100- 9/23/1998 Duplicate	MW2-27 DGMW2-27-1198-TF 11/4/1998	MW2-27 MW2-27-0599-TI 5/12/1999	MW2-27 RMW2-27-1199-TR 11/8/1999
Parameter	Units												
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	16 8.0 U 8.0 U 8.0 U 4.0	15 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 8.9	10 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 5.0	11 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 6.1	16 2.5 UJ 2.5 U 2.5 U 5.6	16 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 6.1	23 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 5.0	5 10 5 5 J 0.18 J	5 38 5 5 J 0.18 J	5 5.1 5 5 0.18	5 5 5 5 0.49	0.28 J 1.0 U 1.0 U 1.0 U 0.5 U
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -	5.3 1.3 0.75	4.4 1.3 0.75	3.5 1.3 0.75	- -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	3.0 IJ 2.7 U	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	0.1 3.0 U	4.8 5	5.2	5.9 5	4.5 5	4.2 U 2.7 ע
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L												
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N)	mg/l mg/l												

Nitrate (as N)mg/lSulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOC)mg/l

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		MW2-27 MW2-27-0500-TI 5/10/2000	MW2-27 RMW2-27-1100-TF 11/9/2000	MW2-27 MW2-27-0501-TF 5/21/2001	MW2-27 MW2-27-1101-TF 11/8/2001	MW2-27 MW2-27-0502-TI 5/1/2002	MW2-27 RMW2-27-1102-DC 11/14/2002	MW2-27 MW2-27-0503 5/8/2003	MW2-27 2-27-1103 11/10/2003	6-26 W-7412-092398-MW6-26-DO 9/23/1998
Parameter	Units									
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	1.2 1.0 U 1.0 U 1.0 U 0.60	10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 5.0 U	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.84	2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 2.5 u 0.5 u	1.0 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.80	2.5 U 2.5 UJ 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.5 U	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.77	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.5 U	4.0 J 5 5 J 1.7 J
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	- -	- - -	- - -	3.5 J 1.3 J 0.75 J
Metals Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	4.2 U 2.7 υ	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.2 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	0.2 3.0 U	0.7 3.0 U	5.4
<i>Dissolved Gases</i> Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L									
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N)	mg/l mg/l									

Sulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOC)mg/l

6-266-266-26GMW6-26-1198-TFMW6-26-0599-TFMW6-26-1199-TFMW6-26-0500-TFR11/4/19985/12/199911/4/19985/12/199911/8/19995/10/2000

5.1	4.1 J	3.6	2.8
5	5	1.0 u	1.0 U
5	5	1.0 u	1.0 U
5	5	1.0 u	0.34 J
3.5	3.2	2.5	3.0
3.5	-	-	-
1.3	-	-	-
0.75	-	-	-
4.2 - 5	6.8 J - 5	4.2 u 2.7 u	4.2 U 2.7 U

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		6-26 MW6-26-1100-T 11/9/2000	6-26 RMW6-26-0501-TI 5/21/2001	6-26 RMW6-26-1101-TF 11/8/2001	6-26 MW6-26-0502-TI 5/1/2002	6-26 RMW6-26-1102-DC 11/14/2002	6-26 6-26-0503 5/8/2003	6-26 6-26-1103 11/10/2003	MW9 W-7412-092398-MW9-1 9/23/1998	MW9 1 MW9-1198-TR 11/4/1998	MW9 MW9-0599-TR 5/11/1999	MW9 8 MW9-30-1199-TF 11/8/1999	MW9-30 MW9-30-0500-TR 5/9/2000	MW9-30 MW9-30-1100-TI 11/9/2000
Parameter	Units													
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 5.0 U	2.1 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.0	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 1.4	1.6 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.3	1.2 J 2.5 UJ 2.5 U 2.5 U 1.0	1.4 J 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.0	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 1.1	5 36 5 5 0.18	5 6.9 5 5 0.18	5 5 5 5 0.49	2.7 U 3.2 U 3.2 U 3.2 U 3.2 U 3.2 U	և 6 և 6 և 6 և 6 և 6 և 6	10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 5.0 U
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -	- -	-	- - -	3,5 1,3 0.75	3.5 1.3 0.75	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	3.0 UJ 2.7 U	1.0 U 3.0 UJ	0.47766 J 3.0 u	1.0 u 3.0 u	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	0.3 3.0 U	5.3 5	17 J - 5	- 5 5	8.4 u 2.7 u	8.4 - 2.7	3.0 UJ 2.7 U
Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L								Notes: B	< CRDL but >= Instr	ument Detection Lin	nit (IDL).		
Ethane Ethene	μg/L μg/L								J U	Compounds at secc Estimated. Non-detect at assoc	indary dilution factor			
Methane <i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfate Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	μg/L mg/l mg/l mg/l								UJ - (1) (2)	The analyte was not Not applicable. Analytical parameter Model Toxic Control Standard, Cleanup L Version 3.1, updated Practical Quantitatio Department of Ecolo Sampling and Data A	list modified in Janu Act (MTCA Method evels and Risk Calo November 2001. n Limit (PQL), "Was gy Toxics Cleanup I Analysis Methods," .	sample quantitation limit Jary 2004. B Surface Water Julations (CLARC), hington State Program, Guidance on January 1995.	. The reported quantitation	on is an estimate.

Sample Location: Sample ID: Sample Date:		MW9-30 MW9-30-0501-TR 5/21/2001	MW9-30 MW9-30-1101-TR 11/8/2001	MW9-30 MW9-30-0502-TF 5/1/2002	MW9-30 MW9-30-1102-DC 11/14/2002	MW9-30 MW9-30-0503 5/8/2003	MW9-30 9-30-1103 11/10/2003
Parameter	Units						
<i>Volatiles</i> cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 2.5u 0.5u	2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 2.5 U 0.5 U				
<i>Semi-Volatiles</i> bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L μg/L μg/L	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
<i>Metals</i> Arsenic Arsenic (Dissolved) Lead Lead (Dissolved) Iron Manganese Manganese (Dissolved)	μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	1.0 J 3.0UJ	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 3.0 U	1.0 U 15.0U	0.1 3.0 U
Dissolved Gases Ethane Ethene Methane	μg/L μg/L μg/L						
<i>General Chemistry</i> Chloride Nitrate (as N) Sulfata	mg/l mg/l						

Sulfatemg/lTotal Organic Carbon (TOC)mg/l

Geosyntec Consultants