

April 8, 2025

Dale Myers Washington State Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office 15700 Dayton Avenue North Shoreline, WA 98133

Re: Progress Report No. 26 – 1st Quarter 2025

Texaco Strickland Cleanup Site 6808 196th Street SW Lynnwood, Washington 98036 Agreed Order No. 14315 Ecology PM – Dale Myers Aspect Project No. 180357

Dear Dale:

Aspect Consulting (Aspect), has prepared this Progress Report on behalf of potentially liable persons (PLPs) Strickland Real Estate Holdings (SREH) and Chevron Environmental Management Company (CEMC), who are signatories to Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Agreed Order (AO) #14315, effective September 10, 2018, for the Texaco Strickland Site (Site). The AO requires PLPs to submit quarterly progress reports to Ecology until satisfaction of the AO.

This Progress Report No. 26 is for the 1st quarter 2025 reporting period ending on March 31st, 2025.

Progress Made During the Reporting Period

The following sections detail the progress during the reporting period.

- Laboratory analytical data from the second confirmation sampling event for the Chri-Mar passive ventilation system in December was received. The laboratory analytical report is included as Attachment A. The analytical results were below unrestricted Method B soil gas screening levels for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and naphthalene. Further interpretation and a summary of confirmation ventilation sampling results will be presented in the forthcoming Feasibility Study and Draft Cleanup Action Plan.
- Aspect prepared the draft Feasibility Study and Cleanup Action Plan to support the selection of a final remedy for the Site in accordance with the Model Toxics Control Act and the AO. The draft Feasibility Study and Draft Cleanup Action Plan will be submitted to CEMC for review in April 2025 and is anticipated to be submitted to Ecology in June 2025.

Washington State Department of Ecology April 8, 2025

Project No. 180357

Sampling and/or Testing Reports Received

Analytical results received during this progress reporting period are attached.

Summary of Deviations

There have been no deviations from the AO during this reporting period.

Contacts with Other Entities or Public

There has been no contact with other entities or the public during this reporting period.

Potential Problems and Suggested Solutions

No potential problems were noted.

Changes in Key Personnel

No changes in key personnel occurred during the 1st quarter.

Activities Planned for the Next Reporting Period

The following activities are planned for the 2nd quarter of 2025:

Transmittal of the draft Feasibility Study and draft Cleanup Action Plan to CEMC and Ecology for review. The next quarterly progress report will be submitted on or before July 15, 2025. If you have any questions concerning this progress report, please contact Eric Marhofer or Daniel Babcock at 206-328-7443.

Sincerely,

Aspect Consulting,

En 1

Eric Marhofer, PE Principal Engineer eric.marhofer@aspectconsulting.com

Josho Bho

Daniel Babcock, LG Project Geologist daniel.babcock@aspectconsulting.com

Attachments:

Attachment A – Laboratory Analytical Report

cc: Ryan Megenity – Rainier Property Management Co. LLC Nate Blomgren – Chevron Environmental Management Company

V:\180357 Aloha Cafe\Deliverables\AO Progress Reports\2025_Q1\Texaco Strickland AO Progress Report No. 26.docx

ATTACHMENT A

Laboratory Analytical Report

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Vineta Mills, M.S. Eric Young, B.S. 5500 4th Ave South Seattle, WA 98108-2419 (206) 285-8282 office@friedmanandbruya.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

January 15, 2025

Daniel Babcock, Project Manager Aspect Consulting 710 2nd Ave S, Suite 550 Seattle, WA 98104

Dear Mr Babcock:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 31, 2024 from the Texaco - Strickland 180357, F&BI 412508 project. There are 12 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Color

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Aspect Data ASP0115R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on December 31, 2024 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Aspect Consulting Texaco - Strickland 180357, F&BI 412508 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>Aspect Consulting</u>
412508 -01	AMB-1-241230
412508 -02	AMB-2-241230
412508 -03	VS-EFF-241231

Non-petroleum compounds identified in the air phase hydrocarbon (APH) ranges were subtracted per the MA-APH method.

Naphthalene was present in the TO15 method blank. The data were qualified accordingly.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method MA-APH

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	AMB-1-241230 12/31/24 12/30/24 01/02/25 Air ug/m3	Client Projec Lab II Data I Instru Opera	rt: D: File: iment:	Aspect Consulting Texaco - Strickland 180357 412508-01 010213.D GCMS7 bat
Surrogates: 4-Bromofluoroben:	% Recovery: zene 92	Lower Limit: 70	Upper Limit: 130	
Compounds:	Concentration ug/m3			
APH EC5-8 alipha APH EC9-12 aliph				

APH EC9-12 aliphatics <25 APH EC9-10 aromatics <25

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method MA-APH

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	AMB-2-241230 12/31/24 12/30/24 01/02/25 Air ug/m3	Client Projec Lab II Data I Instru Opera	et: D: File: iment:	Aspect Consulting Texaco - Strickland 180357 412508-02 010214.D GCMS7 bat
Surrogates: 4-Bromofluoroben:	% Recovery: zene 95	Lower Limit: 70	Upper Limit: 130	
Compounds:	Concentration ug/m3			
APH EC5-8 alipha APH EC9-12 aliph				

APH EC9-10 aromatics <25

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method MA-APH

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	VS-EFF-241231 12/31/24 12/30/24 01/02/25 Air ug/m3	Client Projec Lab II Data Instru Opera	et: D: File: ament:	Aspect Consulting Texaco - Strickland 180357 412508-03 010215.D GCMS7 bat
Surrogates: 4-Bromofluoroben:	% Recovery: zene 91	Lower Limit: 70	Upper Limit: 130	
Compounds:	Concentration ug/m3			
APH EC5-8 alipha APH EC9-12 aliph				

APH EC9-10 aromatics <25

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method MA-APH

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 01/02/25 01/02/25 Air ug/m3	Client Projec Lab II Data Instru Opera	et: D: File: ament:	Aspect Consulting Texaco - Strickland 180357 05-003 MB 010212.D GCMS7 bat
Surrogates: 4-Bromofluoroben:	% Recovery: zene 94	Lower Limit: 70	Upper Limit: 130	
Compounds:	Concentration ug/m3			
APH EC5-8 alipha APH EC9-12 aliph				

APH EC9-10 aromatics <25

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	AMB-1-241230 12/31/24 12/30/24 01/02/25 Air ug/m3	Clien Proje Lab I Data Instr Oper	ct: D: File: ument:	Aspect Consulting Texaco - Strickland 180357 412508-01 010213.D GCMS7 bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene 92	70	130	
	entration			
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv		
Benzene	0.42	0.13		
Toluene	<7.5	<2		
Ethylbenzene	< 0.43	< 0.1		
m,p-Xylene	< 0.87	< 0.2		
o-Xylene	< 0.43	< 0.1		
Naphthalene	0.073 j fb (0.014 j fb		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	AMB-2-241230 12/31/24 12/30/24 01/02/25 Air ug/m3	Inst	ect:	Aspect Consulting Texaco - Strickland 180357 412508-02 010214.D GCMS7 bat
a .	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene 95	70	130	
Compounds:	Conce ug/m3	entration ppbv		
Benzene	0.60	0.19		
Toluene	<7.5	<2		
Ethylbenzene	< 0.43	< 0.1		
m,p-Xylene	0.97	0.22		
o-Xylene	< 0.43	< 0.1		
Naphthalene	0.11 fb	0.020 fb		
1				

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	VS-EFF-241231 12/31/24 12/30/24 01/02/25 Air ug/m3	Clien Proje Lab I Data Instr Opera	ct: D: File: ument:	Aspect Consulting Texaco - Strickland 180357 412508-03 010215.D GCMS7 bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene 90	70	130	
	ntration			
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv		
Benzene	< 0.32	< 0.1		
Toluene	<7.5	<2		
Ethylbenzene	< 0.43	< 0.1		
m,p-Xylene	< 0.87	< 0.2		
o-Xylene	< 0.43	< 0.1		
Naphthalene	0.079 j fb (0.015 j fb		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Collected: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable Not Applicable 01/02/25 Air ug/m3	Clien Proje Lab I Data Instru Opera	ct: D: File: ument:	Aspect Consulting Texaco - Strickland 180357 05-003 MB 010212.D GCMS7 bat
	%	Lower	Upper	
Surrogates:	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:	
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene 94	70	130	
	ntration			
Compounds:	ug/m3	ppbv		
Benzene	< 0.32	< 0.1		
Toluene	<7.5	<2		
Ethylbenzene	< 0.43	< 0.1		
m,p-Xylene	< 0.87	< 0.2		
o-Xylene	< 0.43	< 0.1		
Naphthalene	0.073 j lc (0.014 j lc		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/15/25 Date Received: 12/31/24 Project: Texaco - Strickland 180357, F&BI 412508

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AIR SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY METHOD MA-APH

Laboratory Code: 412493-01 1/10 (Duplicate)

	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 30)
APH EC5-8 aliphatics	ug/m3	2,500	2,900	15
APH EC9-12 aliphatics	ug/m3	320	340	6
APH EC9-10 aromatics	ug/m3	<250	<250	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	and sumpro		Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
APH EC5-8 aliphatics	ug/m3	67	82	70-130
APH EC9-12 aliphatics	ug/m3	67	98	70-130
APH EC9-10 aromatics	ug/m3	67	94	70-130

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/15/25 Date Received: 12/31/24 Project: Texaco - Strickland 180357, F&BI 412508

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AIR SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY METHOD TO-15

Laboratory Code: 412493-01 1/10 (Duplicate)

	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 25)
Benzene	ug/m3	5.5	5.6	2
Toluene	ug/m3	<75	<75	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/m3	<4.3	<4.3	nm
m,p-Xylene	ug/m3	<8.7	<8.7	nm
o-Xylene	ug/m3	<4.3	<4.3	nm
Naphthalene	ug/m3	<2.6	<2.6	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Laboratory couct Laboratory c	ond of Sampio	Percent					
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance			
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria			
Benzene	ug/m3	43	110	70-130			
Toluene	ug/m3	51	115	70-130			
Ethylbenzene	ug/m3	59	107	70-130			
m,p-Xylene	ug/m3	120	108	70-130			
o-Xylene	ug/m3	59	106	70-130			
Naphthalene	ug/m3	71	108	70-130			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased low; or, the calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, with a detection for the analyte in the sample. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.

j - The analyte concentration is reported between the method detection limit and the lowest calibration point. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

 ${\bf k}-{\bf The}$ calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, and the analyte was not detected in the sample.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

 $\rm pc$ - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

FORMS\COC\COCTO-15.DOC	Fax (206) 283-5044	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98108	5500 4 th Avenue South	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.							N3-EFF. 241231	KMB-2-241230	AMB-1-241230	Sample Name		SAMPLE INFORMATION	Phone Email	C H	Address TIU ZAL	PD	412508
	Received by:	Relinqu	Received by:	Relinqu								, Ož	202	01	Lab ID			Email		~	3	1.
	ed by:	Relinquished by:	ed by:	Relinquished by:	SIG						÷	20542	21437	2323706006	Canister ID				- / .	Ave #550	uniel Babcock	
			Jul	M	SIGNATURE							0/	22490	obedo	Flow Cont. ID					0	3	
				und.	E		IA / SG	IA / SG	IA / SG	IA / SG	IA / SG	IA / SG	IA / SG	IA / SG	Reporting Level: IA=Indoor Air SG=Soil Gas (Gircle One)				NOTES	2	- SAMP	SAMPL
		- × ×	Anh	Nikoly Carron	H							12/34/24/24.5	7/30/24 7	12/30/24 20	Date V Sampled (")			د میں استقاد میں ا	, , ,	Texaco -	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY
		ж 	Anh Phan	lai (a	PRINT NAME							1.5 0951	730 1025	rais iozur	Initial Field Vac. Initial ("Hg) Time					Strickland	ADDRES	OF CUS
				JIS V	ME							r VJ	2		d Final al Vac. e ("Hg)					chand		TODY
												1037	nEat	6	Field Final Time	•						
			FBI	As Pe	COMPANY				Sampl			K		X	TO15 Full Sca TO15 BTEXN APH	anN	ANALYSIS REQUESTED	TP III	INVOICE TO	15509	PO#	12/31/24
2				rect	ANY				les recei						Chlorinated VC	DCs	REQUE			Rus		
			12	5					red						Helium		STED	efault:C inal repo old (Fee	SAM	□ RUSH_ ush charge	Page #	
			12/31/24	131/24	DATE				at 13					Q. My	Analyze AMB-1- DB 01/0 N	tion		Default:Clean following final report delivery Hold (Fee may apply):	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	□ RUSH Rush charges authorized by:	Page # of TURNAROUND TIME tandard	-
			11:21	1121	TIME			24	Ð.					th in 23	Analyze AMB-1-241230 per DB 01/03/25 ME Notes	HOLD AMB-1-2412	Y)wing ry ry	POSAL	rized by:	D TIME	_
Ĺ	I		4	· .		L					l			Encological and		P		L				

project # <u>412508</u>	CLIENT	Aspect		INITIALS/ DATE:	AP 12/31	1/24
If custody seals are p	present on coo	oler, are they in	tact?	NA [I YES	□ NO
Cooler/Sample tempe	erature	S	a -	Thermom	neter ID: Flu	<u>3</u> °C ke 96312917
Were samples receive	ed on ice/cold	packs?			YES	Ø NO
How did samples arr		□ Picked up by ∃	F&BI	□ FedEx/U	1982	
Is there a Chain-of-C *or other representative doc	ustody* (COC uments, letters, ar	()?	TES □ NO s	Initials Date: _	1 AP 12/31	124
Number of days samp	oles have been	n sitting prior t	o receipt at	laboratory	ø-1	_ days
Are the samples clear	rly identified	explain "no" answe	er below)	Z	YES	🗆 NO
Were all sample contain " leaking etc.)? (explain "	ainers receive no" answer below)	ed intact (i.e. no	ot broken,	Z	YES	D NO
Were appropriate sar	nple containe	ers used?	yes	S 🗆 NO	ΟU	nknown
If custody seals are p	resent on san	ples, are they i	ntact?	Ø NA	YES	□ NO
Are samples requiring	g no headspa	ce, headspace fi	ree?	₽ NA □	YES	□ NO
s the following informer (a state of the sta	mation provid	ded on the COC	, and does i	it match the	e sampl	e label?
Sample ID's	Yes □ No _				ot on CC	C/label
Date Sampled	□Yes □ No _				ot on CO	C/label
Time Sampled	Yes □ No _				ot on CO	C/label
# of Containers	Yes □ No _					
Relinquished	Yes □ No _					a odgi i
Requested analysis] Yes 🗆 On He	old			0	
		e if needed)			$\tau_{2,T}$	

Fl YA, INC./FORMS/CHECKIN/SAMPLECONDITION.doc