# 2024 ANNUAL REPORT FOR GROUNDWATER MONITORED NATURAL ATTENUATION Pulp & Tissue Mill RAU, Georgia-Pacific West Site

Prepared for: Port of Bellingham

Project No. AS140298A-19 • February 17, 2025 • FINAL

earth + water





# **2024 ANNUAL REPORT FOR GROUNDWATER MONITORED** NATURAL ATTENUATION Pulp & Tissue Mill RAU, Georgia-Pacific West Site

Prepared for: Port of Bellingham

Project No. AS140298A-19 • February 17, 2025 • FINAL

Aspect Consulting

The Mosey

Delia Massey, PE Senior Remediation Engineer



Steve Germiat, LHG Senior Consultant delia.massey@aspectconsulting.com steve.germiat@aspectconsulting.com

V:\140298 POB GP West Pulp & Tissue RAU Cleanup\Deliverables\2024 GW MNA Report\Final\2024 GW MNA Annual Report\_Final\_2025.02.17.docx

# Contents

1	Background for Groundwater Monitoring Program	1
2	2024 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis	2
3	Data Evaluation	3
	3.1 Acid Plant Subarea	4
	3.1.1 pH	4
	3.1.2 Dissolved Metals	5
	3.2 Miscellaneous Metals Area	6
4	Plan for 2025 MNA Monitoring	7
5	References	8
6	Limitations	8

## **List of Tables**

1	Groundwater Chemistry Data for Acid Plant Subarea
2	Groundwater Chemistry Data for Miscellaneous Metals Area
3	Wells and Analytes for 2025 Groundwater Monitoring

## List of Figures

1	Monitoring Well Locations
2	Acidic Plume Groundwater pH Trends Over Time, 2004-2024
3	Metals Concentration and pH Trends Over Time for Well AA-MW04/04R

4 Proposed Replacement Monitoring Well Locations

## **List of Appendices**

A Data Validation Report and OnSite Environmental Laboratory Reports

# 1 Background for Groundwater Monitoring Program

This report presents results from the 2024 compliance monitoring for the groundwater monitored natural attenuation (MNA) cleanup action selected by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) for the Pulp and Tissue Mill (PTM) Remedial Action Unit (RAU) at the Georgia-Pacific West Site (Site). The PTM RAU is being cleaned up under the terms of Consent Decree No. 14207008 (Decree) between the Port of Bellingham (Port) and Ecology. Monitoring of the groundwater MNA cleanup action is being conducted by Aspect Consulting (Aspect) in accordance with the Compliance Monitoring Plan for Groundwater MNA (CMP; Aspect, 2015), which was reviewed and approved by Ecology prior to initiation of the monitoring program.

As described in the Cleanup Action Plan for the PTM RAU (CAP; Ecology, 2014), the highest beneficial use of Site groundwater is discharge to marine water and sediment, not potable use, and groundwater cleanup levels (CULs) for the PTM RAU were established accordingly. Groundwater CULs for individual contaminants are included in the data tables for this report (Tables 1 and 2).

At the time of the CAP preparation in 2014, the contaminants that exceeded CULs in PTM RAU groundwater included:

- Acidic pH and selected metals in the Acid Plant Subarea
- Chlorinated solvent volatile organic compounds (VOCs), tetrachloroethene (PCE), and vinyl chloride (VC) in the LP-MW01 Subarea
- Selected metals in the Miscellaneous Dissolved Metals Exceedances Area (termed here Miscellaneous Metals Area)

Figure 1 depicts the locations of these three areas. Subsequent to the CAP, chlorinated VOC concentrations in the LP-MW01 Subarea were demonstrated to meet groundwater cleanup levels by the end of the 2017 monitoring period; therefore, monitoring for that subarea was terminated, as documented in the 2017 MNA Monitoring Annual Report (Aspect, 2018a). The LP-MW01 Subarea is therefore not discussed further in this report; however, well LP-MW01 remains in use for monitoring the Miscellaneous Metals Area.

As described in the 2017 MNA Monitoring Annual Report (Aspect, 2018a), wells AA-MW01 through AA-MW04, FH-MW01, and GF-MW01 were decommissioned in 2016 prior to construction of the RAU-wide environmental cap and, following cap construction, were replaced with wells that were essentially identical in construction and location. The replacement well names included an 'R' suffix (e.g., AA-MW01R). Wells AA-MW01R and FH-MW01R were subsequently decommissioned in early 2021 to accommodate construction of Harcourt Development's (Harcourt) Granary Avenue Waterfront Residential project, the outline of which is depicted on Figure 1. Those wells will be reestablished for monitoring, in consultation with Ecology, following completion of that redevelopment project. Figure 1 depicts locations of the monitoring wells that have been and are currently being monitored during the MNA program, including one well that was not accessible for monitoring during the 2024 monitoring. This report documents the groundwater MNA compliance monitoring data collected to date and, in accordance with Section 2.2 of the CMP, presents the plan for the next year of monitoring.

Following this Background section, the structure of the report is as follows:

- Section 2 Describes the groundwater sampling and analysis completed in 2024.
- Section 3 Contains an evaluation of the groundwater analytical data with respect to compliance with CULs and long-term trends.
- Section 4 Presents the plan for monitoring in 2025.

# 2 2024 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis

In accordance with the CMP (Aspect, 2015) and the findings from the 2023 MNA Monitoring Annual Report (Aspect, 2024), semiannual groundwater monitoring was performed in April and October of 2024. The wells sampled are depicted on Figure 1 and their chemical analyses<sup>1</sup> in 2024 were as follows:

### Acid Plant Subarea

- Within the active construction area for Harcourt's residential redevelopment project, wells FH-MW01R and AA-MW01R were previously decommissioned and, therefore, could not be monitored in 2024. Section 4 describes the planned re-establishment of these wells as part of the MNA monitoring program.
- On May 2, 2024, Aspect conducted a supplemental field reconnaissance to locate and assess the condition of wells GF-MW01R and BC-MW05 that were not decommissioned but had been inaccessible within Harcourt's fenced construction area since 2020. Well GF-MW-01R could not be located. Well BC-MW05 was located and found to be in usable condition and was therefore monitored for field parameters in accordance with the CMP. While the BC-MW05 monitoring data were collected shortly after completion of the April 2024 monitoring for the other wells, they are included with the April 2024 data as representative of wet-season conditions (Table 1).
- The two groundwater samples from well AA-MW04R were analyzed for four dissolved metals: cadmium, copper, nickel, and zinc<sup>2</sup>.
- Because wells AA-MW04R and FH-MW01R did not yet meet CULs at the end of 2023, the following wells positioned outside the Acid Plant Subarea were also monitored in accordance with the CMP:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Groundwater parameters (temperature, pH, electrical conductance, and oxidation reduction potential [ORP]) were also measured in the field for each monitoring location and event.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Arsenic was eliminated as an analyte for this well following completion of the 2023 monitoring (Aspect Consulting, 2024).

- Cross-gradient from the Acid Plant Subarea, groundwater field parameters including pH were measured at wells AA-MW02R, AA-MW03R, and BC-MW05<sup>3</sup>. If the field measurement of groundwater pH was less than pH 6.2<sup>4</sup> in any of these wells, then that well was sampled for analysis of five dissolved metals (arsenic, cadmium, copper, nickel, and zinc) in accordance with the CMP. During the 2024 monitoring:
  - Well AA-MW03R had a measured pH (pH 5.79 and 6.13) less than 6.2 during the April and October 2024 monitoring events; therefore, groundwater samples were collected for analysis of the five dissolved metals during both events.
  - Well AA-MW02R had a pH (pH 7.30 and 7.71) greater than 6.2 during the April and October 2024 monitoring events. Regardless of pH, well AA-MW02R was sampled for dissolved copper during each round to provide monitoring downgradient of the Miscellaneous Metals Area as required by the CMP and described below.
  - Cross-gradient shoreline well BC-MW05 had a pH greater than 6.2 (pH 7.17 and 7.46) during the April and October 2024 monitoring events. Therefore, no sampling for metals was conducted at this well.

### **Miscellaneous Metals Area**

- Within the Miscellaneous Metals Area, groundwater samples from wells LP-MW01 and SC-MW02R were analyzed for dissolved copper.
- Because wells LP-MW01 and SC-MW02R did not meet CULs at the end of 2023, downgradient wells LB-MW01R and AA-MW02R were sampled for dissolved copper in accordance with the CMP.

## **3** Data Evaluation

The following subsections describe the analytical results from the 2024 groundwater monitoring events for the Acid Plant Subarea and Miscellaneous Metals Area. The 2024 results are compared with CULs and with data from prior monitoring events to assess temporal trends in groundwater pH and metals concentrations. Tables 1 and 2 present the analytical results over the entire period of monitoring for the Acid Plant Subarea and Miscellaneous Metals Area, respectively.

Based on Aspect's independent quality assurance validation of the 2024 analytical data, no data were qualified, and the data are usable for their intended purpose. Appendix A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The acidic metals plume was defined in the RI as groundwater exceeding CULs for both pH and dissolved metals. Well AA-MW03R had pH exceeding the CUL, but no dissolved metals exceedances during the remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) period (2009–2013), so was considered on the edge (cross-gradient) of the acidic metals plume.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> All pH measurements are reported in pH standard units.

provides Aspect's data validation report and the laboratory reports generated by OnSite Environmental<sup>5</sup> for the two rounds of 2024 analytical data.

## 3.1 Acid Plant Subarea

### 3.1.1 pH

The groundwater pH in well AA-MW04R, located within the acidic source area<sup>6</sup>, and at the cross-gradient inland well AA-MW02R, did not exceed the CUL (pH 6.2)<sup>7</sup> during either 2024 monitoring event. The groundwater pH at well AA-MW03R, located cross-gradient (mill-west) of the acidic metals plume, did exceed the CUL during both 2024 monitoring events. The measured pH met the CUL at well AA-MW02R, located cross-gradient (mill-east) of the acidic metals plume, during both 2024 monitoring events. The cross-gradient shoreline well GF-MW01R, located mill-west of the Acid Plant Subarea, could not be monitored in 2024 as described in Section 2.

Within the Acid Plant Subarea as a whole, measured pH levels continue to show a gradual, long-term improvement (increase in pH) relative to the earliest measurements in 2004, but with considerable short-term variation. Figure 2 graphically illustrates the long-term pH trends at three Subarea well locations that have had pH exceedances over the long-term monitoring program (monitoring began in 2004 for AA-MW04R and FH-MW01R<sup>8</sup>, and began in 2009 for AA-MW03R). On all figures in this report, the groundwater pH vertical axis is plotted in reverse order, so that points higher on the axis are farther from the CUL (pH 6.2), consistent with how metals concentrations are plotted. The average annual groundwater pH in these three pH-impacted wells over the past 7 years (no data available from decommissioned well FH-MW01R over past 4 years) are tabulated below.

	Area represented	Average Annual Groundwater pH (CUL = 6.2)												
Well ID	by samples from well	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024						
AA-	Acidic metals	-	-											
MW04R	plume source	4.8	5.5	6.3	5.8	6.4	6.21	6.6						
FH-	Downgradient													
MW01R	from source	5.5	5.7	5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA						
AA-	Cross-gradient													
MW03R	from source	4.5	5.6	5.8	6.18	5.7	5.7	5.9						

NA: Not monitored.

Highlighted values exceed the pH 6.2 CUL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> An analytical laboratory accredited by Ecology and located in Redmond, Washington.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The 2004 data from the acidic source area are from well GF-MW02, which was located just mill-west

of well AA-MW04/04R (Figure 1), but which could not be found at the start of the RI in 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> While the term "exceedance" typically refers to a measurement greater than a cleanup level, for acidic pH it refers to a measurement less than the pH 6.2 CUL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Combining data from original wells and replacement wells in those locations.

As of the end of 2024 monitoring, observations regarding groundwater pH for wells AA-MW-04R and AA-MW03R are as follows:

- Within the footprint of the historical Acid Plant where the release(s) of acid occurred (source area well AA-MW04R), the pH reading measured during the 2024 monitoring events (pH 6.56 and 6.69) were greater than the CUL (Table 1). The acidic pH within the source area has shown substantial improvement from the start of monitoring in 2004 (Figure 2) and the average annual pH over the last 3 years complies with the CUL.
- At cross-gradient well AA-MW03R, the pH readings during both 2024 monitoring events (pH 5.79 and 6.13) exceeded the CUL, although the well's average annual pH was somewhat higher in 2024 than in 2022 or 2023 as presented in the tabulation above.

### 3.1.2 Dissolved Metals

### AA-MW04R

During the 2024 monitoring events, concentrations of the four dissolved metals (cadmium, copper, nickel, and zinc) at source area well AA-MW04R were below their respective CULs (Table 1). Plotting the groundwater pH and the summed concentrations of the four dissolved metals currently monitored (cadmium, copper, nickel, zinc) over time illustrates a general improvement in groundwater quality since the October 2016 concentration spike created by formation disturbance during drilling of replacement well AA-MW04R, which was described in the 2018 MNA Monitoring Annual Report (Aspect, 2018b). A general correlation between lower pH and higher metals concentrations is also apparent over the past several years of data, although the correlation is not perfect (Figure 3)<sup>9</sup>.

### AA-MW03R

During both 2024 events for well AA-MW03R, the concentrations of dissolved metals were again less than CULs (non-detect) despite the pH being less than the pH 6.2 CUL (Table 1).

### AA-MW01R and FH-MW01R

Wells AA-MW01R and FH-MW01R were decommissioned and could not be sampled in 2024 as described in Section 2. Accordingly, no analysis of metals trends beyond that presented in the 2020 MNA Monitoring Annual Report (Aspect, 2021) can be conducted for these wells.

### **Other Cross-Gradient and Downgradient Wells**

During both 2024 monitoring events, dissolved copper remained nondetect at well AA-MW02R located mill-east of the acidic metals plume (Table 1). During both 2024 monitoring events, well BC-MW05 had pH greater than the cleanup level so it was not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Figure 3 does not extend back to 2004 because adjacent 2004 well GF-MW02 never had elevated metals concentrations in groundwater, despite having highly acidic groundwater, so it is not meaningful to combine those data for analysis of long-term metals trends back to 2004.

sampled for metals, and well GF-MW01R could not be monitored, as described in Section 2.

## 3.2 Miscellaneous Metals Area

The Miscellaneous Metals Area encompasses two wells, LP-MW01 and SC-MW02R, that had low-level exceedances of selected metals without pH impacts during the 2009-2010 monitoring conducted for the Site remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS). The following sections describe groundwater quality at each well.

### LP-MW01

Groundwater pH at well LP-MW01 during both 2023 monitoring events (pH 6.45 and 6.49) complied with the CUL, consistent with prior data. The dissolved copper concentrations detected in the October 2024 sample ( $6.5 \ \mu g/L$ ) exceeded the 3.1  $\mu g/L$  CUL in the October event but not in the April 2024 sample ( $1.9 \ \mu g/L$ ) (Table 2). The seasonal pattern of higher concentrations in the dry season (October) versus the wet season (April) continues with the 2024 monitoring data. The 2024 average annual copper concentration ( $4.2 \ \mu g/L$ ) was the lowest measured since 2017, as indicated in the tabulation below.

Year	LP-MW01 Average Annual Copper in µg/L
2017*	7.7
2018	7.5
2019	6.2
2020	6.0
2021	5.8
2022	14.5
2023	10.4
2024	4.2

\* 2017 average includes October 2016 data (see Table 2).

### SC-MW02R

At well SC-MW02R, the dissolved copper concentration was below the CUL during both 2024 monitoring events.

Notably, the dissolved copper concentrations at SC-MW02R have now been below the CUL for four consecutive sampling events and in 7 of the past 8 events (Table 2), demonstrating compliance with the CUL in accordance with the CMP (Aspect, 2015).

### Downgradient Wells AA-MW02R and LB-MW01R

At well AA-MW02R, downgradient of the Miscellaneous Metals Area, dissolved copper remained non-detect, consistent with prior results (Table 2).

At the other downgradient well, LB-MW01R, dissolved copper was marginally above the CUL during the April 2024 monitoring event ( $3.3 \mu g/L$ ) but below it in the October 2024 event (non-detect) (Table 2). The 2024 average annual dissolved copper concentration at

Year	LB-MW01 Average Annual Copper in µg/L
2017*	5.2
2018	5.6
2019	5.0
2020	5.6
2021	4.6
2022	3.6
2023	7.2
2024	1.9

LB-MW01 (1.9  $\mu$ g/L) was the lowest measured since 2017 and the first year it was below the CUL, as indicated in the tabulation below.

\* 2017 average includes October 2016 data (see Table 2)

# 4 Plan for 2025 MNA Monitoring

Following completion of the 2024 MNA monitoring, well SCMW-02R has been demonstrated to comply with CULs, as described in Section 3.2, and therefore qualifies for exclusion from the future monitoring program in accordance with the CMP. As described in the 2015 CMP and reiterated above, wells AA-MW02R, AA-MW03R, and LB-MW01 must be monitored until other specified wells reach CULs.

It is expected that, in 2025, the Harcourt residential development will be complete to the point that decommissioned wells AA-MW01R and FH-MW01R can be replaced, and well GF-MW01R can either be found or else replaced, and the three wells' monitoring can be reinitiated. Figure 4 shows the planned approximate locations for replacement wells AA-MW01R2 and FH-MW01R2 with the intent they will be located on City of Bellingham (City) property just outside the Harcourt property boundary: AA-MW01R2 within the City's planned Waypoint Park expansion, and FH-MW01R2 within the City's Granary Avenue easement. If well GF-MW01R cannot be located and determined to be intact, its replacement will be installed within 10 feet of the original well location. Specific locations of the replacement wells will be coordinated with appropriate City staff and will account for existing and planned infrastructure and subsurface utilities.

The semiannual groundwater MNA monitoring program will be conducted in April and October 2025, including whichever of the three reinitiated wells can be re-established. Monitoring for well SC-MW02R will be discontinued. For the other wells, the well-specific analytes will be the same as those measured in 2024. Assuming monitoring of wells GF-MW01R, AA-MW01R2, and FH-MW01R2 is reinitiated, it will continue from where it was left off in 2020, in accordance with the CMP. Table 3 lists the wells and analytes to be monitored for the 2025 monitoring program.

Following receipt of data from the 2025 monitoring events, an annual report will be prepared that analyzes the collective data and re-evaluates the scope of the monitoring program for the subsequent (2026) monitoring, in accordance with the CMP.

# **5** References

- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2015, Compliance Monitoring Plan for Groundwater Monitored Natural Attenuation, Pulp & Tissue Mill RAU, Georgia-Pacific West Site, July 7, 2015.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2018a, 2017 Annual Report for Groundwater Monitored Natural Attenuation, Pulp & Tissue Mill RAU, Georgia-Pacific West Site, January 3, 2018.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2018b, 2018 Annual Report for Groundwater Monitored Natural Attenuation, Pulp & Tissue Mill RAU, Georgia-Pacific West Site, December 17, 2018.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2021, 2020 Annual Report for Groundwater Monitored Natural Attenuation, Pulp & Tissue Mill RAU, Georgia-Pacific West Site, January 19, 2021.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2024, 2023 Annual Report for Groundwater Monitored Natural Attenuation, Pulp & Tissue Mill RAU, Georgia-Pacific West Site, February 22, 2024.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), 2014, Cleanup Action Plan, Pulp/Tissue Mill Remedial Action Unit, Georgia-Pacific West Site, Bellingham, Washington, Exhibit B to Consent Decree No. 14207008, October 30, 2014.

# 6 Limitations

Work for this project was performed for the Port of Bellingham (Client), and this report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional practices for the nature and conditions of work completed in the same or similar localities, at the time the work was performed. This report does not represent a legal opinion. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

All reports prepared by Aspect Consulting for the Client apply only to the services described in the Agreement(s) with the Client. Any use or reuse by any party other than the Client is at the sole risk of that party, and without liability to Aspect Consulting. Aspect Consulting's original files/reports shall govern in the event of any dispute regarding the content of electronic documents furnished to others.

# TABLES

										Wells Withi	in Acidic M	etals Plume	)						
		Groundwater	GF-MW02		AA-MW04			AA-MW04R											
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	07/25/04	09/29/09	03/30/10	02/25/15	10/14/16	11/02/16	01/11/17	04/17/17	07/05/17	01/24/18	04/23/18	07/10/18	10/02/18	01/13/19	04/08/19	07/24/19	10/09/19
Field Parameters																			
рН	pH units	6.2	3.34	4.18	4.49	4.50	4.34	4.38	4.51	4.53	4.45	4.58	4.54	5.5	6.5	5.93	5.08	6.28	5.68
Temperature	deg C		18.87	15.35	11.12	11.0	15.49	15.48	8.81	11.1	15.95	10.9	12.8	15.1	15.7	12.66	10.6	16.8	15.9
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		2442	2345	1716	539	2901	2123	1527	1195	1096	835	981	1150	1088	1254	1478	1149	1126
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		1.55	1.23	0.38	0.51	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.26	0.13	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.11	0.14	0.22	0.61	0.19
ORP	mV		394	-164	278	75	204	167	136	158	135	83	62	44	-177	63	145	-9	68
Turbidity	NTU		5	10	20	2	22	7	13		6		20	35	0.02	29	17	15	46
Metals																			
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	38	4.73	48	5.3	83	17	6.4	7.1	25	14	3.0 U	8.1	17	3.0 U	3.0 U	4.3	3.0 U
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	81.1	1650	74.3	7.8	5000	920	120	49	20	14	9.4	47	4.0 U	81	54	4.0 U	120
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	795	2.78	179	1.4	15000	3900	1000	420	240	120	170	130	3.8	100	300	18	94
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	626	1560	108	19	1400	320	65	39	42	24	13	83	4.0 U	93	60	18	130
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	2440	7420	836	82	10000	1800	440	250	330	150	83	330	25 U	480	470	68	530

				Wells Within Acidic Metals Plume										
		Groundwater				)								
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	04/21/20	10/07/20	04/29/21	10/20/21	04/27/22	10/26/22	04/11/23	10/25/23	04/17/24	10/09/24		
Field Parameters														
рН	pH units	6.2	6.13	6.43	6.30	5.53	6.23	6.73	6.29	6.15	6.56	6.69		
Temperature	deg C		11.4	16.3	12.1	16.1	11.1	15.3	11.4	15.7	11.6	16.08		
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		770	838	748	823	1022	967	689	1492	891	639		
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.93	0.28	0.23	0.11		0.27	0.14	0.30	0.17	0.03		
ORP	mV		-135	-39	-202	147	125	-42	36	-143	-342	-191		
Turbidity	NTU		12	8	3	4	5	11	6	11	2	4		
Metals		-												
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	5.0	4.4	3.0 U	3.0 U				
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	66	23	4.0 U	6.3	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U		
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	1.2	1.0 U	1.2	190	110	3.0	6.2	4.5	1.0 U	1.0 U		
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	38	21	24	44	18	4.0 U	17	18	5.4	5.5		
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	66	44	63	230	110	25 U	46	31	25 U	25 U		

Table 1 2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Page 1 of 7

				Wells Within Acidic Metals Plume															
		Groundwater		FH-N	IW01								FH-MW01R						
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	07/25/04	09/29/09	03/30/10	02/25/15	10/15/16	01/11/17	04/18/17	07/05/17	01/24/18	04/23/18	07/10/18	10/02/18	01/14/19	04/08/19	07/24/19	10/09/19	04/22/20
Field Parameters																			
рН	pH units	6.2	4.11	4.36	4.64	5.27	4.92	5.16	5.41	5.08	5.46	5.52	5.35	5.7	5.96	5.71	5.69	5.62	5.00
Temperature	deg C		20.39	16.53	11.22	11.9	16.91	9.93	12.5	19.52	11.8	12.2	16.3	17.1	10.82	11.9	16.8	16.7	11.7
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		2305	2132	1613	1877	2085	1528	1348	1459	1152	1563	1899	2086	2291	2370	2110	1879	1005
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.73	0.82	0.45	0.80	0.1	0.16	0.33	0.35	1.4	0.3	0.1	0.17	0.34	0.38	0.29	0.17	0.80
ORP	mV		261	-159	72	122	-155	44	83	-114	-22	-7	-57	-174	32	-10	-24	64	32
Turbidity	NTU		3.22	10	10	300	36.1	19.2		21.2		39	25	3.94	37	40.5	34.1	11.8	17
Metals																			
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	2	2.72	0.5 U	3 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	5.3	15	88	20	29	35	25	27	46
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	0.2 U	0.02 U	0.443	4 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	5.9	4.0 U						
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	0.8	1.55	1.55	1 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	405	209	459	190	140	69	59	77	54	6200	2700	1100	2400	2500	990	850	590
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	760	616	1130	530	510	250	180	230	150	950	560	180	810	1300	940	840	750

			Wells Within Acidic Metals Plume
		Groundwater	FH-MW01R (continued)
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	
Field Parameters			
рН	pH units	6.2	
Temperature	deg C		
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		
ORP	mV		Not Monitored
Turbidity	NTU		Oct. 2020 - Oct. 2024
Metals			
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	

Table 1 2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Page 2 of 7

				Wells Outside of Acidic Metals Plume													
		Groundwater		AA-MW01			AA-MW01R										
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	09/29/09	03/30/10	02/25/15	10/15/16	01/11/17	04/17/17	07/06/17	01/24/18	04/23/18	07/10/18	10/02/18	01/13/19	04/08/19	07/24/19	10/10/19
Field Parameters																	
pН	pH units	6.2	7.56	6.92	6.99	6.99	6.91	6.99	6.74	6.75	6.98	6.82	6.79	7.38	7.03	6.88	6.88
Temperature	deg C		18.5	12.8	11.9	16.4	11.0	12.9	17.2	12.9	13.3	16.1	17.5	13.3	12.4	18.4	17.5
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		746	848	1526	1504	1341	1333	1361	1586	1437	2720	5075	2575	1313	5253	5139
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		1.2	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.2	1.0	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.12	0.2	0.3	4.4
ORP	mV		-354	-113	9	-194	-33	-107	-81	-85	-49	-108	-210	-79	-105	-85	41
Turbidity	NTU		10	10	1	27	37		5		14	12	16	29	18	9	1
Metals																	
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	0.11 J	0.5 U	3 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	4.5	3.8	3.0 U				
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	0.02 U	0.02 U	4 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	0.3	0.58	1 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	0.84	1.62	4 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	130	16	11	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	0.5 U	0.5 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	10 U	25 U	25 U	25.0 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U

			Wells O	utside of Acidic Metals Plume
		Groundwater		AA-MW01R (continued)
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	04/21/20	
Field Parameters				
рН	pH units	6.2	7.05	
Temperature	deg C		12.8	
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		1201	
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.60	
ORP	mV		-77	Not Monitored
Turbidity	NTU		1.6	Oct. 2020 - Oct. 2024
Metals	•			
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	3.0 U	
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	4.0 U	
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	1.0 U	
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	4.0 U	
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	25 U	

2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Page 3 of 7

## Table 1

									Wells O	utside of A	cidic Metal	s Plume						
		Groundwater		AA-MW02								AA-MW02R	2					
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	10/01/09	04/01/10	02/25/15	10/14/16	04/17/17	07/05/17	01/24/18	04/24/18	07/10/18	10/03/18	01/13/19	04/08/19	07/24/19	10/09/19	04/22/20	10/07/20
Field Parameters																		
рН	pH units	6.2	7.23	7.24	7.32	7.35	7.41	7.19	7.18	7.33	7.10	7.20	7.56	7.24	7.21	7.25	7.25	7.27
Temperature	deg C		15.13	12.39	12.4	16.46	12.4	16.16	12.3	11.4	14.9	15.1	12.85	12	16.1	14.8	11.7	15.6
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		1337	984	1110	1204	1018	883.6	957	807	812	753	926	1041	1055	886	806	780
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.58	0.72	0.54	0.09	0.25	0.23	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.12	0.21	0.37	0.18	0.63	0.14
ORP	mV		-335.1	-239.1	0.3	-183.2	-41.5	-27.6	59	1	-11	-30.4	-133.1	-10	-42.9	26	13	-228
Turbidity	NTU		10	10	16	9		13		5	7	0.02	8	0.5	8	1	1	0.02
Metals																		
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	0.1 J	0.5 U	3 U		3.0 U	3.0 U										
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	0.02 U	0.02 U	4 U		4.0 U	4.0 U										
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	0.67	0.68	1 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	2.18	2.3	4 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U		
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	0.4 J	0.6 J	25 U		10 U	25 U										

					Wells O	utside of A	cidic Metal	s Plume		
		Groundwater				AA-MW02R	(continued	)		
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	04/28/21	10/20/21	04/27/22	10/26/22	04/11/23	10/25/23	04/17/24	10/09/24
Field Parameters										
рН	pH units	6.2	7.50	7.24	7.40	7.29	7.42	7.20	7.30	7.71
Temperature	deg C		12.3	15.7	11.9	15.3	12.0	15.3	11.9	15.6
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		696	591	661	746	596	1293	612	596
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.45	0.10		0.38	0.09	0.34	0.30	0.04
ORP	mV		68	91	-288	-191	-173.8	-209.5	-318	-161
Turbidity	NTU		3	0.02	2	6	4	1	1	1
Metals										
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5								
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8								
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2								
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81								

Table 1 2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Page 4 of 7

									W	ells Outside	of Acidic	Metals Plur	ne						
		Groundwater		AA-MW03								AA-M	W03R						
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	09/29/09	03/30/10	02/25/15	10/15/16	01/11/17	04/17/17	07/05/17	01/24/18	04/24/18	07/10/18	10/03/18	01/13/19	04/08/19	07/24/19	10/10/19	04/21/20	10/07/20
Field Parameters																			
рН	pH units	6.2	5.06	4.87	5.52	4.42	4.61	5.27	4.23	4.84	4.4	4.63	4.43	5.67	5.72	5.64	5.47	5.80	5.76
Temperature	deg C		15.94	11.26	12.2	16.55	10.54	11.9	16.95	11.2	11	16	16.9	12.9	11.5	17.4	17.5	12.2	18.1
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		1581	1661	1960	1821	1668	1820	1601	1431	1247	1288	1350	1153	901	976	706	813	805
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.86	0.92	0.99	0.1	0.51	1.55	0.11	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.12	0.08	0.2	0.35	0.14	0.75	0.45
ORP	mV		-268	69.9	119.3	-141.2	-10.5	-33.2	12	-25	-21	-49	39.4	-155	-77.2	-79.5	-4.4	-137	-30
Turbidity	NTU		10	10	3	7			9		4	4	0	9	12	11	2	2	0.02
Metals																			
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	0.87	0.5 U	3 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	0.02 U	0.09	4 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	0.99	1.09	1 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	3.01	7.37	8.0	4.3	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	65	33	27	45	12	6.4
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	32.3	23.6	25 U	25 U	25 U	10 U	25 U	25 U	25.0 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U

					Wells O	utside of A	cidic Metals	s Plume		
		Groundwater				AA-MW03R	(continued	)		
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	04/28/21	10/20/21	04/27/22	10/26/22	04/11/23	10/25/23	04/17/24	10/09/24
Field Parameters										
рН	pH units	6.2	6.06	6.35	6.10	5.55	5.73	5.76	5.79	6.13
Temperature	deg C		12.6	17.7	12.2	17.6	12.0	17.3	12.4	19.1
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		1126	400	992	523	738	1097	562	510
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.06	0.93		0.18	0.07	0.12	0.19	0.07
ORP	mV		-39	-149.5	-267	-168	-0.4	-246	-321	-182
Turbidity	NTU		3	0.02	2	8	3	1	1	2
Metals										
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	3.0 U		3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	4.0 U		4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	1.0 U		2.4	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	4.0 U		4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	25 U		25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U	25 U

Table 1 2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Page 5 of 7

									Wells O	utside of A	cidic Metal	s Plume				
		Groundwater								BC-N	/W05					
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	12/19/10	10/15/16	04/17/17	07/06/17	01/24/18	04/25/18	07/10/18	10/02/18	01/13/19	04/08/19	07/23/19	10/09/19	04/21/20	
Field Parameters																
рН	pH units	6.2	7.64	7.25	7.40	7.20	7.20	7.42	7.21	7.26	7.54	7.25	7.17	7.12	7.22	
Temperature	deg C		10.82	15.04	12.2	16.78	11.5	12.4	15	16	11.37	11.2	17.2	14.9	11.4	
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		25250	9868	4674	11489	5766	10857	13952	31689	15228	10350	11949	13024	13070	
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.22	0.12	0.20	0.16	0.30	0.1	1.9	0.53	0.18	0.23	0.33	0.27	6.77	
ORP	mV		-313	-327	-298	-328	-325	-207	-161	-294	-305	-290	-267	-187	-309	Not Monitored
Turbidity	NTU		3	14		8				0.02	80	8	8	0.9	0.4	Oct. 2020 - Oct. 2023
Metals																
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5														
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8														
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1														
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2														
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81														

			Outside Acidic	: Metals Plume
		Groundwater	BC-MW0	5 (cont'd)
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	05/02/24	10/09/24
Field Parameters				
pН	pH units	6.2	7.17	7.46
Temperature	deg C		11.9	15.9
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		9666	23960
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.7	0.02
ORP	mV		-337	-311
Turbidity	NTU		0.8	1
Metals				
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5		
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8		
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1		
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2		
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81		

Table 1 2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Page 6 of 7

									Wells O	utside of A	cidic Metal	s Plume				
		Groundwater								GF-M	W01R					
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	10/15/16	01/11/17	04/19/17	07/06/17	01/24/18	04/25/18	07/10/18	10/02/18	01/13/19	04/08/19	07/23/19	10/09/19	04/22/20	Not Monitored
Field Parameters																Oct. 2020 - Oct. 2024
рН	pH units	6.2	6.13	6.44	6.40	6.23	6.26	6.25	6.23	6.52	6.91	6.34	6.24	6.50	6.13	
Temperature	deg C		17.0	10.7	12.0	16.4	12.0	13.4	15.8	17.6	13.0	11.3	17.2	17.1	11.9	
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		7059	7951	3687	1125	8380	1231	1764	19073	3446	4901	3204	2012	1464	
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.08	0.09	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.2	
ORP	mV		-220	-168	-128	-15	-90	-61	-95	-278	-254	-127	-61	-68	9	
Turbidity	NTU		6	10		8			5	0.02	3		8	4	2	
Metals																
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	3.0 U												3.0 U	
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	4.0 U												4.0 U	
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	1.0 U		1.0 U										1.0 U	
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	4.0 U		4.0 U										4.0 U	
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	25 U												25 U	

#### Notes

Wells AA-MW01R, BC-MW05, FH-MW01R, and GF-MW01R not monitored because they were within the Harcourt construction zone.

U - Not detected at PQL. Yellow - exceeded cleanup level. "--" indicates analysis not conducted.

deg C - degrees Celsius, ug/L - micrograms per liter, uS/cm -microsiemens per centimeter, mg/L - milligrams per liter, mV - millivolts, NTU - Nephelometric Turbidity Unit

Table 1 2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Page 7 of 7

# Table 2. Groundwater Chemistry Data for Miscellaneous Metals AreaProject No. AS140298A, Georgia-Pacific West Site, Bellingham, Washington

									Wells Wit	hin Miscel	laneous Mo	etals Area						
		Groundwater								LP-N	1W01							
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	07/27/04	09/30/09	03/29/10	02/26/15	10/14/16	01/11/17	04/17/17	07/06/17	01/23/18	04/23/18	07/10/18	10/03/18	01/14/19	04/09/19	07/24/19	10/08/19
Field Parameters																		
рН	pH units	6.2	7.20	7.09	7.79	6.94	6.71	7.04	7.23	6.88	6.85	6.56	6.50	6.59	7.13	6.26	6.47	6.40
Temperature	deg C		19.14	19.1	11.39	10.8	16.11	7.6	11.2	18.79	9.1	11.7	18	16.9	10.39	11.1	17.6	14.9
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		863	712	222	193	278	237	510	430	317	164	590	670	166	265	564	273
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.73	0.99	3.14	0.60	7.46	1.79	0.21	0.16	0.4	1.2	0.1	3.21	2.5	0.92	0.22	0.23
ORP	mV		-27	-289	98	-179	77	30	-96	-193	121	-50	-111	77	-2.8	84	86	15
Turbidity	NTU		17	10	10	4	3	1		5	10	9	7	0.02	5.4	0.1	6.7	2.2
Metals																		
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	14.1	3.18	1.1 J		-							-				
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	1.5	0.097	0.061													
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	45	3.10	4.41	3.5	4.1	4.5	11	11	6.5	4.4	10	9.1	3.9	4.2	7.4	9.1
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	7	1.61	1.2	4 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U		4.1					
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	10	0.56	0.5 U													

						Wells Wit	hin Miscel	laneous M	etals Area			
		Groundwater					LP-N	IW01				
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	04/20/20	10/07/20	04/29/21	10/21/21	04/28/22	10/26/22	04/11/23	10/25/23	04/17/24	10/09/24
Field Parameters												
рН	pH units	6.2	6.09	6.59	6.57	6.35	6.63	6.56	6.66	6.27	6.45	6.48
Temperature	deg C		12.3	16.70	12.80	14.90	11.20	16.20	10.01	13.9	11.04	16.4
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		190	385	243	285	240	1618	202	1000	190	322
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.64	0.38	0.13	1.01		2.25	0.09	3.39	0.78	0.06
ORP	mV		-121	140	60	-48	-241	-18	43.6	89.3	-69	13
Turbidity	NTU		1.4	1.1	5.5	0.02	0.7	12	1.4	2.6	0	1
Metals												
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5										
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8										
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	3.1	8.8	2.1	9.4	4.0	25	5.8	15	1.9	6.5
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2										
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81										

### Table 2. Groundwater Chemistry Data for Miscellaneous Metals Area

Wells Within Miscellaneous Metals Area SC-MW02 SC-MW02R Groundwater Cleanup Level 07/27/04 09/30/09 04/01/10 02/26/15 10/14/16 04/17/17 07/05/17 01/23/18 04/23/18 07/10/18 10/02/18 01/14/19 04/09/ Analyte Units Field Parameters pH units 6.2 6.05 6.41 6.56 6.78 6.89 6.94 6.34 6.70 6.69 6.51 6.71 7.12 6.37 bН 16.6 10.9 Temperature deg C 15.3 11.3 16.5 11.6 17.4 11.1 11.1 16.1 15.3 9.5 10.3 6685 2920 3325 1587 Specific Conductance uS/cm 4137 1766 1726 1856 1389 1024 2557 4233 1187 Dissolved Oxygen 0.65 4.58 0.79 mg/L 0.68 0.58 0.12 0.1 0.1 0.6 0.1 0.06 0.15 --ORP -272 mV -385 -497 -194 -303 -178 -269 -62 -92 -215 230 -216 -116 NTU 42 Turbidity 56 15 28 12 38 18 10 9 ---------0 Metals Arsenic (Dissolved) ug/L 5 12.2 2.94 1.67 U ---------------------------Cadmium (Dissolved) ug/L 8.8 0.5 U 0.043 0.067 U ----------------------------3.1 17 4.78 0.412 2 U 4.4 3.1 4.1 3.7 4.8 Copper (Dissolved) ug/L 4.1 16 7.3 14 Nickel (Dissolved) 8.2 29 8.42 1.67 U 13 4.0 U 4.0 U 4.0 U 4.0 U 4.0 U 17 28 4.0 U 4.0 L ug/L 4.13 Zinc (Dissolved) 81 20 1.67 U ug/L ---------------------------

Wells Within Miscellaneous Metals Area SC-MW02R (continued) Groundwater 04/20/20 10/07/20 04/29/21 10/21/21 04/28/22 10/26/22 04/11/23 10/25/23 04/17/24 10/09/24 Analyte Units Cleanup Level Field Parameters pH units 6.2 6.87 6.92 Hа 6.33 6.73 6.55 7.03 7.06 6.56 6.84 7.08 deg C 12.1 16.0 12.2 15.9 15.2 16.2 Temperature 11.4 10.2 15.4 11.8 Specific Conductance uS/cm 1577 2799 2083 1067 1564 3771 787 2738 986 1768 Dissolved Oxygen 0.47 0.16 0.16 0.17 -324 0.13 0.06 mg/L 0.11 0.16 0.04 ORP -154 mV -300 -286 -209 -353 -107 -310 -272 -302 Turbidity NTU 70 9 17 6 11 13 15 132 35 63 Metals Arsenic (Dissolved) ug/L 5 ----------------------------8.8 Cadmium (Dissolved) ug/L -----------------------------Copper (Dissolved) 3.1 3.3 2.8 2.5 1.0 U 1.0 U 2.7 ug/L 2.9 2.4 6.6 2.1 8.2 Nickel (Dissolved) ug/L --------------------------Zinc (Dissolved) 81 ug/L ---------------------------

## Project No. AS140298A, Georgia-Pacific West Site, Bellingham, Washington

19	07/24/19	10/08/19
	6.55	6.56
	15.7	14.6
	2267	1053
	0.11	0.47
	-341	-44
	38	8
	7.4	5.1
J	6.2	4.0 U
		-

# Table 2. Groundwater Chemistry Data for Miscellaneous Metals AreaProject No. AS140298A, Georgia-Pacific West Site, Bellingham, Washington

							Wel	Is Downgr	adient of M	iscellaneo	us Metals /	Area				
		Groundwater		AA-MW02							AA-MW02F	र				
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	10/01/09	04/01/10	02/25/15	10/14/16	04/17/17	07/05/17	01/24/18	04/24/18	07/10/18	10/03/18	01/13/19	04/08/19	07/24/19	10/09/19
Field Parameters																
рН	pH units	6.2	7.23	7.24	7.32	7.35	7.41	7.19	7.18	7.33	7.1	7.2	7.56	7.24	7.21	7.25
Temperature	deg C		15.1	12.4	12.4	16.5	12.4	16.2	12.3	11.4	14.9	15.1	12.9	12	16.1	14.8
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		1337	984	1110	1204	1018	884	957	807	812	753	926	1041	1055	886
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.58	0.72	0.54	0.09	0.25	0.23	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.12	0.21	0.37	0.18
ORP	mV		-335	-239	0	-183	-42	-28	59	1	-11	-30	-133	-10	-43	26
Turbidity	NTU		10	10	16	9	-	13		5	7	0.02	8	1	8	1
Metals																
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5	0.1 J	0.5 U	3 U		3.0 U	3.0 U								
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8	0.02 U	0.02 U	4 U		4.0 U	4.0 U								
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	0.67	0.68	1 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2	2.18	2.3	4 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81	0.4 J	0.6 J	25 U		10 U	25 U								

					Wel	ls Downgra	adient of M	iscellaneo	us Metals A	Area		
		Groundwater				A	A-MW02R	(continued	d)			
Analyte	Units	<b>Cleanup Level</b>	04/22/20	10/07/20	04/28/21	10/20/21	04/28/22	10/26/22	04/11/23	10/25/23	04/17/24	10/09/24
Field Parameters												
pН	pH units	6.2	7.25	7.27	7.50	7.24	7.40	7.29	7.42	7.20	7.30	7.71
Temperature	deg C		11.7	15.6	12.3	15.7	11.9	15.3	12.0	15.3	11.9	15.6
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		806	780	696	591.1	661	746	596	1293	612	596
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		0.63	0.14	0.45	0.10		0.38	0.09	0.34	0.30	0.04
ORP	mV		13	-228	68	91	-288	-191	-174	-210	-318	-161
Turbidity	NTU		1	0.02	3	0.02	2	6	4	1	1	1
Metals												
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5										
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8										
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2										
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81										

Table 2 2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Page 3 of 4

## Table 2. Groundwater Chemistry Data for Miscellaneous Metals Area

Wells Downgradient of Miscellaneous Metals Area LB-MW01 LB-MW01R Groundwater 07/27/04 10/01/09 04/01/10 02/26/15 10/14/16 01/11/17 04/19/17 07/05/17 01/24/18 04/23/18 07/10/18 10/02/18 01/13/ Analyte Units Cleanup Level Field Parameters 6.2 6.60 6.79 6.84 6.70 6.93 bН pH units 7.00 7.23 7.04 6.95 7.19 7.39 7.74 7.45 11.38 11.3 16.09 11.1 Temperature deg C 20.00 18.3 10.93 11 16.33 10.7 12.3 15.1 15.3 702 635 Specific Conductance uS/cm 858 1001 607 1008 723 540 479 589 376 1001 1489 Dissolved Oxygen 0.86 0.95 0.53 0.22 0.13 mg/L 0.61 0.15 0.15 0.06 0.3 0.1 0.1 0.01 ORP mV -295 -379 -251 57 -207 -44 -18 -51 47 -43 -33 -299 -170 NTU 2 Turbidity 10 10 19 11 2 5 6 4 ------8 --Metals Arsenic (Dissolved) ug/L 5 0.5 U 0.5 U 0.5 U 3 U -------------------------Cadmium (Dissolved) ug/L 8.8 0.5 U 0.02 U 0.02 U 4 U -----------------------3.1 1 U 8.7 5.9 2.0 2.0 5.4 3.4 Copper (Dissolved) ug/L 1.4 0.79 11.0 6.7 6.0 4.1 4.0 L Nickel (Dissolved) 8.2 1 U 0.86 2 4 U 4.0 U 4.0 U 4.0 U 4.0 U 4.0 U 4 U 4.0 U 4.0 U ug/L 10 U 0.72 0.6 J 25 U Zinc (Dissolved) 81 ug/L -----------------------

Project No. AS140298A, Georgia-Pacific West Site, Bellingham, Washington

				Wells Downgradient of Miscellaneous Metals Area								
		Groundwater				L	.B-MW01R	(continued	l)			
Analyte	Units	Cleanup Level	04/21/20	04/21/20 10/07/20 04/28/21 10/20/21 04/28/22 10/26/22 04/11/23 10/25/23 04/17/24 10/								10/09/24
Field Parameters	Field Parameters											
рН	pH units	6.2	7.44	7.66	8.24	7.10	7.75	8.39	7.22	7.38	7.16	7.84
Temperature	deg C		11.4	15.5	12.3	15.4	11.3	14.8	11.23	14.9	11.7	15.5
Specific Conductance	uS/cm		626	1122	995	760	472	905	624	1981	415	757
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L		1.17	0.18	0.11	1.96		0.11	0.34	0.11	0.90	0.05
ORP	mV		-188	-95	-273	-146	-147	-250	18	-267	-297	-191
Turbidity	NTU		3	2	3	0	2	7	0.8	2	0.7	2
Metals												
Arsenic (Dissolved)	ug/L	5										
Cadmium (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.8										
Copper (Dissolved)	ug/L	3.1	5.5	5.6	7.4	1.7	1.9	5.2	4.3	10	3.3	1.0 U
Nickel (Dissolved)	ug/L	8.2										
Zinc (Dissolved)	ug/L	81										

### Notes

Wells AA-MW02R and SC-MW02R not monitored 1/11/17 due to iced-in monuments.

U - Not detected at PQL. Yellow - exceeded cleanup level. "--" indicates analysis not conducted.

deg C - degrees Celsius, ug/L - micrograms per liter, uS/cm -microsiemens per centimeter, mg/L - milligrams per liter, mV - millivolts, NTU - Nephelometric Turbidity Unit

19	04/09/19	07/24/19	10/09/19
	7.64	7.62	7.45
	10.8	15	14.7
	1364	1361	1197
	0.22	0.08	0.12
	-146	-66	-18
	0.1	9	2
		-	
	5.7	7.1	3.7
J	4.0 U	4.0 U	4.0 U

## Table 3. Wells and Analytes for 2025 Groundwater Monitoring

Project No. AS140298A, Georgia-Pacific West Site, Bellingham, Washington

	Field	Dissolved Metals								
Well ID	Parameters	Arsenic	Cadmium	Copper	Nickel	Zinc				
AA-MW01R2	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
AA-MW04R	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х				
FH-MW01R2	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
LB-MW01R	Х			Х						
LP-MW01	Х			Х						
AA-MW02R	Х	X if pH <6.2	X if pH <6.2	Х	X if pH <6.2	X if pH<6.2				
AA-MW03R	Х				•					
BC-MW05	Х	X for As, Cd, Cu, Ni, Zn if pH <6.2								
GF-MW01R	Х									

#### Notes

If pH < 6.2 in AA-MW02R, AA-MW03R, BC-MW05R, or GF-MW01R, analyze that well for four dissolved metals (Cd, Cu, Ni, Zn). However, AA-MW02R is analyzed for Cu each event regardless of pH (refer to text).

Replacement wells AA-MW01R2 and FH-MW01R2 are listed assuming they may be installed during 2025.

# FIGURES





Note: Groundwater pH axis is plotted in reverse order so higher on axis is farther from pH 6.2 CUL.

## Figure 2 Acidic Plume Groundwater pH Trends Over Time, 2004-2024

2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Project No. AS140298

2/17/2025 V:\140298 POB GP West Pulp & Tissue RAU Cleanup\Deliverables\2024 GW MNA Report\Final\Attachments\Figure 2 - Acid plume pH Trend Plot long term



### Figure 3 Metals Concentration and pH Trends Over Time for Well AA-MW04/04R

**Aspect Consulting** 

2024 Groundwater MNA Annual Report Project No. AS140298



# **APPENDIX A**

Data Validation Report and OnSite Environmental Laboratory Reports

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU of GP West Site 2024 Groundwater Monitored Natural Attenuation Sampling Sample Delivery Groups 2404-240 and 2410-154

Prepared by: Aspect Consulting 710 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave, Suite 550 Seattle, WA 98104

Project No. AS140298A-19 • November 5, 2024

## **1** Introduction

This report summarizes the findings of a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Stage 2A data validation performed on analytical data groundwater samples collected in April 2024 and October 2024 for the GP West Pulp and Tissue Mill Remedial Action Unit groundwater monitoring program.

Samples were analyzed for select metals by EPA method 200.8 by Onsite Environmental, Inc. in Redmond, Washington (Onsite). Refer to the table below for further information.

Analysis	Method	Laboratory
Metals (Arsenic, Copper,		
Cadmium, Nickel, Zinc)	EPA 200.8	OnSite

Table 1. Analytical Methods

The validation followed the procedures documented in the analytical methods, and the *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review* (USEPA, 2017), and *Contract Laboratory Program SOW* (USEPA, 2016).

Data assigned a J qualifier (estimated) may be used for site evaluation purposes but the reasons for qualification should be considered when interpreting sample concentrations. Data marked as rejected (R) should not be used under any circumstances. Values without qualification meet all data measurement quality objectives and are suitable for use.

No data were qualified in the course of this review; all quality control (QC) requirements were met.

# 2 Data Validation Findings for Sample Delivery Group 2404-240

Water samples in this sample delivery group (SDG), and the chemical analyses performed on them, are tabulated below. The sections below describe the results of the data quality review.

Sample Name	Sample Date	Sample Matrix	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved Arsenic, Cadmium, Nickel and Zinc
AA-MW02R_20240417	2024/04/17 10:55	Water	X	
AA-MW03R_20240417	2024/04/17 12:00	Water	Х	X
AA-MW04R_20240417	2024/04/17 17:45	Water	Х	Х
LB-MW01R_20240417	2024/04/17 13:35	Water	Х	
LP-MW01_20240417	2024/04/17 14:20	Water	Х	
SC-MW02R_20240417	2024/04/17 14:55	Water	Х	

Table 2. Sample Index

## 2.1 Metals (EPA 200.8)

### 2.1.1 Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times

Sample receipt and preservation (2-6 degrees C) were acceptable. Samples were analyzed within the requisite holding time limit.

### 2.1.2 Method Blanks / Detection Levels

Target analytes were not detected at or above the reporting levels in the method blank. No qualification or action was needed.

### 2.1.3 Laboratory Control Samples

All laboratory control sample (LCS) percent recoveries (%R) were within the laboratory specified control limits for requested analytes. No qualification or action was needed.

## 2.1.4 Laboratory Duplicate Sample

All laboratory duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPDs) were within the laboratory-specified control limits for all target analytes. No qualification or action was needed.

### 2.1.5 Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Duplicates

All matrix spike (MS) and MS duplicate sample %Rs and RPDs were within the laboratory specified control limits for all target analytes. No qualification or actions were needed.

## 2.1.6 Overall Assessment

Accuracy was acceptable based on the LCS and MS/MSD %Rs and precision was acceptable based on the laboratory duplicate and MS/MSD RPD values. The data are of known quality and are acceptable for use.

# 3 Data Validation Findings for Sample Delivery Group 2410-154

Water samples in this sample delivery group (SDG), and the chemical analyses performed on them, are tabulated below. The sections below describe the results of the data quality review.

Sample Name	Sample Date	Sample Matrix	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved Arsenic, Cadmium, Nickel and Zinc
AA-MW02R-20241009	2024/10/09 17:10	Water	Х	
AA-MW03R-20241009	10/9/2024 16:25	Water	Х	Х
AA-MW04R-20241009	10/9/2024 17:05	Water	Х	Х
LB-MW01R-20241009	10/9/2024 11:50	Water	Х	
LP-MW01-20241009	10/9/2024 12:45	Water	Х	
SC-MW02R-20241009	10/9/2024 10:50	Water	Х	

Table 3. Sample Index

# 3.1 Metals (EPA 200.8)

### 3.1.1 Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times

Sample receipt and preservation (2-6 degrees C) were acceptable. Samples were analyzed within the requisite holding time limit.

### 3.1.2 Method Blanks / Detection Levels

Target analytes were not detected at or above the reporting levels in the method blank. No qualification or action was needed.

### 3.1.3 Laboratory Control Samples

All laboratory control sample (LCS) percent recoveries (%R) were within the laboratory specified control limits for requested analytes. No qualification or action was needed.

### 3.1.4 Laboratory Duplicate Sample

All laboratory duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPDs) were within the laboratory specified control limits for all target analytes. No qualification or action was needed.

## 3.1.5 Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Duplicates

All matrix spike (MS) and MS duplicate sample %Rs and RPDs were within the laboratory specified control limits for all target analytes. No qualification or actions were needed.

### 3.1.6 Overall Assessment

Accuracy was acceptable based on the LCS and MS/MSD %Rs and precision was acceptable based on the laboratory duplicate and MS/MSD RPD values. The data are of known quality and are acceptable for use.

# 4 Qualified Data Summary

No sample results were qualified in the course of the Stage 2A validation performed.

# **5** Data Qualifier Definitions

Data Qualifier	Definition
J	The analyte was detected above the reported quantitation limit, and the reported concentration was an estimated value.
R	The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was considered not detected at the reporting limit or reported value.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, and the associated quantitation limit was an estimated value.

# **6** References

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2017 National Functional Guidelines for Organic Methods Data Review, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation (OSRTI), USEPA Publication No. 540-R-2017-002, January.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2016, Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) Statement of Work (SOW) for Organic Superfund Methods, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM02.4, October.



April 26, 2024

Steve Germiat Aspect Consulting Dexter Horton Building 710 2nd Avenue, Suite 550 Seattle, WA 98104

Re: Analytical Data for Project AS140298A-19 Laboratory Reference No. 2404-240

Dear Steve:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on April 18, 2024.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures



Date of Report: April 26, 2024 Samples Submitted: April 18, 2024 Laboratory Reference: 2404-240 Project: AS140298A-19

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on April 17, 2024 and received by the laboratory on April 18, 2024. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

Please note that any and all soil sample results are reported on a dry-weight basis, unless otherwise noted below. However the soil results for the QA/QC samples are reported on a wet-weight basis.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

#### DISSOLVED METALS EPA 200.8

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	AA-MW02R_20240417					
Laboratory ID:	04-240-01					
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Client ID:	AA-MW03R_20240417					
Laboratory ID:	04-240-02					
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Nickel	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Zinc	ND	25	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Client ID:	LB-MW01R_20240417					
Laboratory ID:	04-240-03					
Copper	3.3	1.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Client ID:	LP-MW01_20240417					
Laboratory ID:	04-240-04					
Copper	1.9	1.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Client ID:	SC-MW02R 20240417					
Laboratory ID:						
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Client ID:	AA-MW04R_20240417					
Laboratory ID:	04-240-06					
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Nickel	5.4	4.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Zinc	ND	25	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

3

#### DISSOLVED METALS EPA 200.8 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0424D1					
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Nickel	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	
Zinc	ND	25	EPA 200.8		4-24-24	

					Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Result		Spike Level		Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE										
Laboratory ID:	04-24	0-02								
	ORIG	DUP								
Arsenic	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	20	
Cadmium	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	20	
Copper	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	20	
Nickel	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	20	
Zinc	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	20	

#### MATRIX SPIKES

Laboratory ID:	04-240-02										
	MS	MSD	MS	MSD		MS	MSD				
Arsenic	82.6	81.8	80.0	80.0	ND	103	102	75-125	1	20	
Cadmium	76.8	78.2	80.0	80.0	ND	96	98	75-125	2	20	
Copper	74.2	73.0	80.0	80.0	ND	93	91	75-125	2	20	
Nickel	76.2	75.8	80.0	80.0	ND	95	95	75-125	1	20	
Zinc	79.0	78.2	80.0	80.0	ND	99	98	75-125	1	20	

### SPIKE BLANK

Laboratory ID:	SB0424D1					
Arsenic	77.8	80.0	N/A	97	85-115	
Cadmium	78.2	80.0	N/A	98	85-115	
Copper	76.0	80.0	N/A	95	85-115	
Nickel	76.0	80.0	N/A	95	85-115	
Zinc	79.2	80.0	N/A	99	85-115	



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

4

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-naphthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1 Sample extract treated with a sulfuric acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.
- X2 Sample extract treated with a silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in methods 8260 & 8270, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.
- Y1 Negative effects of the matrix from this sample on the instrument caused values for this analyte in the bracketing continuing calibration verification standard (CCVs) to be outside of 20% acceptance criteria. Because of this, quantitation limits and sample concentrations should be considered estimates.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

Reviewed/Date	Received	Relinquished	Received	Relinquished	Received Nicult Flow	Relinquished M XQ	Signature		4 AA - MW04R - 20240417	5 SC-MWOZR_ZOZYOYIT	4 LP- MW 01-20240417	3 LB - MW 0 1R_2024 0417	2 AA-MW03R_20240417	1 AA-MWO2R_20240417	Lab ID Sample Identification	sampled by: N. Frederikson and M. Vonder Aht.	Project Manager: SJG Steve Germiat	Frigher Name: GP - West	AS 1402 98 A-19	Company: Aspect	Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052 Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com	Environmental Inc.
Reviewe					0 6	tspe	Company		1 174	. 145	142	133	1200	4/17/24 105.	Date Tim Sampled Samp	(g	]	Standard (7 D	2 Days	Same Day	(in workin	C
od/Date					Œ.	G	F		5 4 4	5	.0	5	-	5 GW 1	e Matrix Numb	her)	ontaine	ays)	3 Days	T Day	g days)	hain of
				. 4.	Hinday	4/18/24	Date								NWTP NWTP NWTP	PH-HCII PH-Gx/E PH-Gx PH-Dx (i	D BTEX (80 SG Clea	)21[] 8 in-up []	260[])		Laboratory	Custody
					0840	0840	Time								Volatil Haloge EDB E	es 8260 enated PA 801	) Volatiles 1 (Wate	s 8260 rs Only	Number:			
Chromatograms with final report 🗌 Electro	Data Package: Standard 🛛 Level III 🗍						Comments/Special Instructions								(with II PAHs PCBs Organ Organ Chlorii Total F Total M	oracles ow-leve 8270/S 8082 ochlorin ophosp nated A RCRA M ATCA M Metals	al PAHs) IM (low- ne Pestii horus P acid Herl letals	level) cides 8 esticide bicides	081 95 8270 8151	/SIM	04-240	Page
onic Data Deliverables (EDDs)	Level IV								XXXX	X	X	×	XXXXXX	×	Cao Cop Nic Zil	ren 1 miu 1 miu 1 miu per kel nC sture	grea <del>se)</del> - M	1 <del>1064 -</del>	)is. Arse	mic		of



October 21, 2024

Delia Massey Aspect Consulting Dexter Horton Building 710 2nd Avenue, Suite 550 Seattle, WA 98104

Re: Analytical Data for Project 140298 Laboratory Reference No. 2410-154

Dear Delia:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on October 10, 2024.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures



Date of Report: October 21, 2024 Samples Submitted: October 10, 2024 Laboratory Reference: 2410-154 Project: 140298

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on October 9, 2024 and received by the laboratory on October 10, 2024. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

Please note that any and all soil sample results are reported on a dry-weight basis, unless otherwise noted below. However the soil results for the QA/QC samples are reported on a wet-weight basis.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

#### DISSOLVED METALS EPA 200.8

Matrix: Water						
Units: ug/L (ppb)						
				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	SC-MW02R-20241009					
Laboratory ID:	10-154-01					
Copper	2.7	1.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Olivert ID:						
	LB-WW01R-20241009					
Capper	10-154-02 ND	1.0			10 15 04	
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Client ID:	LP-MW01-20241009					
Laboratory ID:	10-154-03					
Copper	6.5	1.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Client ID:	AA-MW04R-20241009					
Laboratory ID:	10-154-04					
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Nickel	5.5	4.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Zinc	ND	25	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Client ID:	AA-MW03R-20241009					
Laboratory ID:	10-154-05					
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Nickel	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Zinc	ND	25	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Client ID:	AA-MW02R-20241009					
Laboratory ID:	10-154-06					
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		10-15-24	

3

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

#### DISSOLVED METALS EPA 200.8 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1014F1					
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8	10-14-24	10-15-24	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8	10-14-24	10-15-24	
Copper	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8	10-14-24	10-15-24	
Nickel	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8	10-14-24	10-15-24	
Zinc	ND	25	EPA 200.8	10-14-24	10-15-24	

					Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE										
Laboratory ID:	09-35	55-03								
	ORIG	DUP								
Arsenic	3.08	3.34	NA	NA		NA	NA	8	20	
Cadmium	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	20	
Copper	1.36	1.32	NA	NA		NA	NA	3	20	
Nickel	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	20	
Zinc	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	20	

#### **MATRIX SPIKES**

Laboratory ID:	09-3	55-03									
	MS	MSD	MS	MSD		MS	MSD				
Arsenic	84.4	83.0	80.0	80.0	3.08	102	100	75-125	2	20	
Cadmium	77.0	76.4	80.0	80.0	ND	96	96	75-125	1	20	
Copper	72.4	72.0	80.0	80.0	1.36	89	88	75-125	1	20	
Nickel	75.4	74.8	80.0	80.0	ND	94	94	75-125	1	20	
Zinc	79.4	79.2	80.0	80.0	ND	99	99	75-125	0	20	

### SPIKE BLANK

Laboratory ID:	SB1014F1					
Arsenic	76.6	80.0	N/A	96	85-115	
Cadmium	74.6	80.0	N/A	93	85-115	
Copper	72.6	80.0	N/A	91	85-115	
Nickel	73.2	80.0	N/A	92	85-115	
Zinc	78.0	80.0	N/A	98	85-115	



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881



- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-naphthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1 Sample extract treated with a sulfuric acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.
- X2 Sample extract treated with a silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in methods 8260 & 8270, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.
- Y1 Negative effects of the matrix from this sample on the instrument caused values for this analyte in the bracketing continuing calibration verification standard (CCVs) to be outside of 20% acceptance criteria. Because of this, quantitation limits and sample concentrations should be considered estimates.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

Reviewed/Date	Received	Relinquished	Received	Kelinguished	Received	Relinquished			6 RA-M	5 AR-MI	4 AA-MN	3 LP-MV	2 LB-ML	1 SC-MW	Lab ID	Project Manager: Del	Company: HSPECY Project Number:	Analytical 1 14648 NE Phone: (4	Envi
			Waan Puel	IN VON	an	Mari Mar	Signature		W@2R-20241009	N038-20241009	VOMR-ROZHIOOG	NØ1-20241009	JØ1R-2024,1009	02R-20241009	Sample Identification	West in Mussey	Cons Hing	Laboratory Testing Services 595th Street • Redmond, WA 98052 25) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com	te ronmental Inc.
Reviewed/Date			350	V Solu	San	Aspenta	Company		V CILI A	1625	1405	17245	11/50 1	M 0501 h2/0/01	Date Time Sampled Sampled Matrix	(other)	Same Day 1 Day	Turnaround Request (in working days)	Chain o
		1 1	10/10/24	No light	1 he allal	10/10/24	Date				(				NWTP NWTP NWTP NWTP	er of Containers H-HCID H-Gx/BTEX (8021] 1 H-Gx H-Dx (SG Clean-up]	3260[])	Laboratory	Custody
Chr	Dat		1015	1015	0935	0220 A	Time Co								Volatile Haloge EDB E Semive (with lo	es 8260 Inated Volatiles 8260 PA 8011 (Waters Only Diatiles 8270/SIM Inv-level PAHs)	)	Number: 1	
hromatograms with final report $[$	ata Package: Standard 🗌 Le					Al samples were	mments/Special Instructions								PAHs I PCBs Organo Organo Chlorir Total R	2270/SIM (low-level) 3082 ochlorine Pesticides 8 ophosphorus Pesticid ated Acid Herbicides CRA Metals	081 es 8270/SIM 8151	0-154	
Electronic Data Deliverables (	el III 🛛 Level IV 🗍		~			find Filter			X		×	X	X	×		ICA Metals Metals ill and grease) 1664 Solvey C red CA C N	, 1, Zn		Pageof
rables (EDDs)						Hered				X			1. LON	X	Pissol Pissol Spece Mois	Wed CA, CU, N Wed As, Cd Cond. 710 ture	W, N, Zr DOMS/LM		