

May 15, 2025

Mr. Dean Kruse Toula Properties 3801 92nd Avenue Northeast Bellevue, Washington 98004

#### Re: Fourth Quarter 2024 and Second Quarter 2025 Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Firestone Complete Auto Care Property 351 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98057 RGI Project No. 2021-465-1 VCP No. NW3354

Dear Dean Kruse:

The Riley Group, Inc. (RGI) is pleased to present this 2024 Fourth Quarter and 2025 Second Quarter Groundwater Monitoring Report (2024-Q4 & 2025-Q2 GWM Report) for the Former Firestone Complete Auto Care Property project located at 351 Rainier Avenue South in Renton, Washington (herein referred to as the subject Property). The general location of the subject Property is depicted on Figure 1.

Toula Properties (hereafter referred to as the Client) retained RGI to perform the groundwater sampling activities documented herein. The scope of work for this project was performed in general accordance with RGI's Proposal dated October 19, 2021 (authorized October 30, 2021).

#### **PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS**

The subject Property consists of a 0.36-acre tax parcel (King County parcel number 000720-0126) of land located approximately 3,100 feet southwest of the Cedar River. The former Firestone Complete Auto Care shop building was constructed at the subject Property in 1960 and was demolished in early 2022. The subject Property is bounded by Rainier Avenue South and commercial/retail businesses to the north (auto parts store), south (Chick-Fil-A), and west (multiple retail operations including Fred Meyers and a dry cleaner). Currently, the subject Property has completed the building, including a commercial parking lot/drive through for the south-adjacent Chick-Fil-A restaurant. The subject Property has asphalt surface cover as well as decorative landscaping.

In February 2021, Environmental Associates, Inc. (EAI) reported the presence of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in the boiling range of diesel as well as tetrachloroethene (PCE) in soils at concentrations exceeding their applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels located around two former in-ground hoists (the casings of which had been filled with concrete prior to EAI's investigation). Additionally, diesel-range TPH impacts were identified in groundwater at the same two in-ground hoist locations. Further evaluation by EAI in April 2021 revealed gasoline-range TPH and arsenic in soils at concentrations exceeding applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels, co-located with the previous PCE detections.

Corporate Office

17522 Bothell Way Northeast Bothell, Washington 98011 Phone 425.415.0551 • Fax 425.415.0311

www.riley-group.com

In November 2021, Toula Properties contracted with RGI to perform interim remedial work based on prior assessments of EAI. The interim remedial work included limited excavation and lawful removal/disposal of impacted soils, groundwater treatment, and groundwater monitoring.

In April 2022, excavation of the previously identified non-compliant impacted soils occurred along with confirmatory sampling and testing. Details regarding the soil excavation activities are detailed in RGI's report titled *Interim Remedial Action & Cleanup Action Plan*, dated August 4, 2022. In June 2024, as the subject Property was being redeveloped as a parking lot and drive-through for the neighboring Chick-Fil-A restaurant, RGI installed a network of six groundwater monitoring wells and commenced with quarterly groundwater monitoring.

Since the installation of the six groundwater monitoring wells, RGI conducted two previous sampling events: one in June 2024, and one in September 2024. Groundwater was collected from all six monitoring wells each quarter and analyzed for gasoline-range TPH, diesel/oil-range TPH, PCE, and naphthalene. Gasoline-range TPH, PCE, nor naphthalene were detected above the laboratory's lower detection limits. Diesel-range TPH was detected in only MW4, and had concentrations of 67  $\mu$ g/L (2024-Q2) and 55x  $\mu$ g/L (2024-Q3), which is significantly below the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 500  $\mu$ g/L. According to the analytical laboratory report, the laboratory flag "x" indicates that the chromatographic pattern does not represent the fuel standard used for quantitation of diesel-range TPH.

The reader is referenced to RGI's prior reports for further details.

#### **SCOPE OF SERVICES**

This scope of work included sampling the six existing groundwater monitoring wells (MW1 to MW6) on the subject Property, and included the following tasks:

- Opened all well covers, removed the J- plug, inspect wellhead seals, and allow pressure equilibration with outside air. In addition, measured depth to static water from well top of casing (TOC) using an electronic water level meter.
- During well purging, RGI utilized a water parameter meter, which frequently measured temperature, pH, and conductivity in the groundwater.
- > All six groundwater monitoring wells were sampled under low-flow conditions.
- Groundwater samples were collected in laboratory-supplied containers, placed in a cooler with ice, and transported to the analytical laboratory under proper chain-of-custody documentation.
- Prepared this 2024-Q3 & 2025-Q2 GWM Report presenting our findings, observations, conclusions, and recommendations.

#### **REGULATORY ANALYSIS OF SITE CONDITIONS UNDER MTCA**

Washington State's hazardous waste cleanup law, the Model Toxics Control Act (70.105D RCW), mandates the necessity for site cleanups to protect human health and the environment. MTCA Cleanup Regulations (173-340 WAC) define the approach for establishing cleanup requirements for individual sites, including the establishment of cleanup standards and selection of cleanup actions.



MTCA Cleanup Regulations provides three options for establishing generic and site-specific cleanup levels for soil and groundwater. Method A cleanup levels have been adopted for this particular release.

#### **Contaminants of Concern**

RGI's evaluation of analytical data obtained during RGI's investigations indicate that only diesel-range TPH has concentrations detected – at concentrations well below the diesel-range TPH cleanup levels. The other potential groundwater contaminants of concern (gasoline-range TPH; oil-range TPH, PCE, and Naphthalene) have all been below their respective cleanup levels (all non-detect).

#### 2024 FOURTH QUARTER GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

On December 27, 2024, RGI performed a groundwater monitoring event which included sampling monitoring wells MW1, MW2, MW3, MW4, MW5, and MW6. Figure 2 depicts the Property layout with groundwater monitoring well locations, analytical results, calculated groundwater flow direction, and the boundaries of the site.

Prior to groundwater sampling, the depth to groundwater was measured at all wells from the northernmost point of TOC using an electronic water level meter. After collection of groundwater level data, wells were purged using a peristaltic pump and dedicated tubing. Measurements of water quality parameters (including temperature, pH, conductivity, and oxygen reduction potential [ORP]) were recorded using a Hanna flow-through meter. Purging continued until water quality parameter readings stabilized. At that point, the groundwater meter was disconnected and groundwater samples were collected.

Groundwater pH values stabilized from approximately 5.66 (MW2) to 6.29 (MW3). Conductivity stabilized from 0.23 (MW2) to 0.53 (MW3) millisiemens per centimeter, temperature stabilized from 11.8 (MW5 and MW6) to 14.4 (MW2) degrees Celsius, and ORP stabilized from 50 (MW3) to 86 (MW2) millivolts.

During sample collection, the flow rate of the peristaltic pump was reduced to less than 100 milliliters per minute in accordance with standard low flow sampling techniques. Groundwater was pumped directly through dedicated tubing into laboratory-supplied containers appropriate for the intended analyses. A total of six groundwater samples, one from each monitoring well, were submitted for laboratory analyses.

Depth to groundwater measurements for wells located on the subject Property ranged from 5.46 feet (MW5) to 8.96 feet (MW2) below TOC. The groundwater flow direction on the subject Property was measured as toward the northwest.

#### **Standard Sampling Protocols**

All groundwater samples obtained during this project were collected in accordance with RGI's standard operating and decontamination procedures. Samples were placed in preconditioned, sterilized containers provided by an Ecology accredited analytical laboratory. All reusable equipment was decontaminated between sample locations. All samples were appropriately labeled and stored in an iced cooler and transported to the analytical laboratory using standard chain-of-custody protocols.

#### 2025 SECOND QUARTER GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

On April 7, 2025, RGI performed a groundwater monitoring event which included sampling monitoring wells MW1, MW2, MW3, MW4, MW5, and MW6. Figure 2 depicts the Property layout with



groundwater monitoring well locations, analytical results, calculated groundwater flow direction, and the boundaries of the site.

Prior to groundwater sampling, the depth to groundwater was measured at all wells from the northernmost point of TOC using an electronic water level meter. After collection of groundwater level data, wells were purged using a peristaltic pump and dedicated tubing. Measurements of water quality parameters (including temperature, pH, and conductivity) were recorded using a Hanna flow-through meter. Purging continued until water quality parameter readings stabilized. At that point, the groundwater meter was disconnected and groundwater samples were collected.

Groundwater pH values stabilized from approximately 5.71 (MW2) to 6.26 (MW3). Conductivity stabilized from 0.19 (MW2) to 0.51 (MW3) millisiemens per centimeter, and temperature stabilized from 11.8 (MW6) to 15.0 (MW3) degrees Celsius.

During sample collection, the flow rate of the peristaltic pump was reduced to less than 100 milliliters per minute in accordance with standard low flow sampling techniques. Groundwater was pumped directly through dedicated tubing into laboratory-supplied containers appropriate for the intended analyses. A total of six groundwater samples, one from each monitoring well, were submitted for laboratory analyses.

Depth to groundwater measurements for wells located on the Property ranged from 6.68 feet (MW5) to 8.74 feet (MW2) below TOC. The groundwater flow direction on the subject Property was measured as toward the northwest.

#### 2024 FOURTH QUARTER ANALYTICAL LABORATORY ANALYSES

A total of six groundwater samples (plus one duplicate groundwater sample) were collected during this sampling event and submitted to Friedman and Bruya, Inc. in Seattle, Washington, for the following analyses:

- Sasoline-range TPH using Northwest Test Method NWTPH-Gx.
- > Diesel- and oil-range TPH using Northwest Test Method NWTPH-Dx.
- > PCE and naphthalene by EPA Test Method 8260.

After discussions with the Ecology Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) manager, testing for arsenic in groundwater was determined to not be necessary due to the results of arsenic soil testing during remedial excavation activities. Copies of the analytical laboratory report and associated sample chain-of-custody form are included in Appendix A. Groundwater analytical results pertaining to COCs are summarized in Table 1.

#### **Groundwater Analytical Results**

Diesel-range TPH was detected in MW3 and MW4 at concentrations of 59x and 57x micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L), respectively, which are well below the MTCA Method A cleanup level for groundwater of 500  $\mu$ g/L. Additionally, a duplicate groundwater sample collected from MW3 reported a concentration of 82x  $\mu$ g/L, which is below the MTCA Method A cleanup level. According to the analytical laboratory report, the laboratory flag "x" indicates that the chromatographic pattern does not represent the fuel standard used for quantitation of diesel-range TPH.

Other potential COCs (oil-range TPH, gasoline-range TPH, PCE, and naphthalene) were not detected above laboratory detection limits in any of the six groundwater monitoring wells.



#### 2025 SECOND QUARTER ANALYTICAL LABORATORY ANALYSES

A total of six groundwater samples (plus one duplicate groundwater sample) were collected during this sampling event and submitted to Friedman and Bruya, Inc. in Seattle, Washington, for the following analyses:

- Sasoline-range TPH using Northwest Test Method NWTPH-Gx.
- > Diesel- and oil-range TPH using Northwest Test Method NWTPH-Dx.
- > PCE and naphthalene by EPA Test Method 8260.

#### Groundwater Analytical Results

Diesel-range TPH was detected in MW1, MW3, MW4, MW5, and MW6 at concentrations between 54x and 79x  $\mu$ g/L, which are all well below the MTCA Method A cleanup level for groundwater of 500  $\mu$ g/L. According to the analytical laboratory report, the laboratory flag "x" indicates that the chromatographic pattern does not represent the fuel standard used for quantitation of diesel-range TPH.

Other potential COCs (oil-range TPH, gasoline-range TPH, PCE, and naphthalene) were not detected above laboratory detection limits in any of the six groundwater monitoring wells.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Based on the data obtained during these Fourth Quarter 2024 (2024-Q4) & First Quarter 2025 (2025-Q2) groundwater sampling events, RGI concludes the following:

- Flagged detections diesel-range TPH were reported at concentrations well below MTCA Method A cleanup levels at all wells detected. No other contaminants of concern were reported in groundwater samples.
- Based on the analytical laboratory results, it appears that previous interim cleanup actions were successful in treating impacted groundwater at the subject Property.
- Concentrations of contaminants of concern in all subject Property wells have been in compliance with MTCA Method A cleanup levels for four quarterly sampling events.
- RGI recommends submitting this report to Ecology's Northwest Regional Office and requesting an opinion for No Further Action. RGI can complete this task on behalf of the Client upon request.

#### LIMITATIONS

This report is the property of RGI, Toula Properties, and their authorized representatives or affiliates and was prepared in a manner consistent with the level of skill and care ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in the same locality and under similar conditions. This report is intended for specific application to the Former Firestone Complete Auto Care property located at 351 Rainier Avenue South in Renton, Washington. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made. Please contact us at (425) 415-0551 if you have any questions or need additional information.



2024-Q4 & 2025-Q2 GWM Report Former Firestone Complete Auto Care Property Page 6

Sincerely,



Tait Russell, LG Project Geologist

Attachments

Figure 1, Property Vicinity Map Figure 2, Property Plan with Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Well Analytical Results

Table 1, Summary of Monitoring Well Groundwater Analytical Laboratory Results

Appendix A, Analytical Laboratory Reports and Chains of Custody Appendix B, Groundwater Sampling Logs Appendix C, Monitoring Well Logs

Distribution

Dean Kruse, Toula Properties (electronic PDF)







Rainier Ave							
inue south							
			MW5				
$\mathbf{N}$	Date	Gas	DSL 70 vi	Oil	PCE	Naph.	
	12/27/24						
	09/24/24	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
$\mathbf{I}$	06/27/24	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	

			MW6			
	Date	Gas	DSL	Oil	PCE	Naph.
	04/07/25	ND	54 x	ND	ND	ND
	12/27/24	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Redevelopment	09/24/24	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Site (Chick-Fil-A)	09/24/24*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
· · ·	06/27/24	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1						

	A	_					
	L O	1	0	20		40	N
er Firestone Complete Auto Care					Figure 2		
er:	er: Property Representation with Groundwater Date Drawn:						Drawn:
Analytical Results 05/2025						/2025	
: 351 Rainier Avenue South, Renton, Washington 98057							

#### Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Sample Analytical Laboratory Results

Former Firestone Complete Auto Care

351 Rainier Avenue South, Renton, Washington 98057

The Riley Group	/he Riley Group, Inc. Project No. 2021-465-1										
Sample Number	Sample Date	TOC Elevation	Depth to Water (bgs)	Groundwater Elevation	Gasoline TPH	Diesel TPH	Oil TPH	Diesel TPH with SGC	Oil TPH with SGC	PCE	Naph.
				April	2025 Monitori	ng Well Sampl	ing				
MW1	04/07/25	29	8.41	20.59	ND<100	56 x	ND<250			ND<0.5	ND<1
MW2	04/07/25	29.39	8.74	20.65	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<0.5	ND<1
MW2 Dup	04/07/25	29.39	8.74	20.65	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<0.5	ND<1
MW3	04/07/25	28.6	7.87	20.73	ND<100	63 x	ND<250			ND<0.5	ND<1
MW4	04/07/25	28.97	7.1	21.87	ND<100	79 x	ND<250			ND<0.5	ND<1
MW5	04/07/25	28.92	6.68	22.24	ND<100	79 x	ND<250			ND<0.5	ND<1
MW6	04/07/25	29.13	6.98	22.15	ND<100	54 x	ND<250			ND<0.5	ND<1
				Decemb	er 2024 Monit	oring Well Sam	npling				
MW1	12/27/24	29	8.62	20.38	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW2	12/27/24	29.39	8.96	20.43	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW3	12/27/24	28.6	8.09	20.51	ND<100	59 x	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW Dup (MW3)	12/27/24	28.6	8.09	20.51	ND<100	82 x	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW4	12/27/24	28.97	8.62	20.35	ND<100	57 x	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW5	12/27/24	28.92	5.46	23.46	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW6	12/27/24	29.13	7.1	22.03	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
				Septem	per 2024 Monit	oring Well San	npling				
MW1	09/24/24	29	10.12	18.88	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW2	09/24/24	29.39	10.45	18.94	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW3	09/24/24	28.6	9.60	19.00	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW4	09/24/24	28.97	9.11	19.86	ND<100	55 x	ND<250	ND<50	ND<250	ND<1	ND<1
MW5	09/24/24	28.92	7.53	21.39	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW6	09/24/24	29.13	10.06	19.07	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW6 Extra	09/24/24	29.13	10.06	19.07	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
	June 2024 Monitoring Well Sampling										
MW1	06/27/24	29	9.88	19.12	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW2	06/27/24	29.39	10.25	19.14	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW3	06/27/24	28.6	9.37	19.23	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW4	06/27/24	28.97	8.71	20.26	ND<100	67 x	ND<250	ND<50	ND<250	ND<1	ND<1
MW5	06/27/24	28.92	9.58	19.34	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MW6	06/27/24	29.13	9.60	19.53	ND<100	ND<50	ND<250			ND<1	ND<1
MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for Ground Water			800/1,000 <sup>1</sup>	50	00	50	0	5	5		

Notes:

Samples collected by RGI field staff using a peristaltic pump under low-flow conditions.

Unless otherwise noted, all analytical results are given in micrograms per liter (ug/L), equivalent to parts per billion (ppb).

TOC = Top of casing elevation in feet

Gasoline TPH (total petroleum hydrocarbons) determined using Northwest Test Method NWTPH-Gx.

Diesel and Oil TPH (total petroleum hydrocarbons) determined using Northwest Test Method NWTPH-Dx.

PCE (tetrachloroethene), Naph. (Naphthalene)

ND = Not detected at a concentration above the analytical detection limit.

---- = Not analyzed or not applicable.

x = The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels for Ground Water (WAC 173-340-900, Table 720-1).

The higher cleanup level is applicable if no benzene is detected in groundwater.

Bold results indicate concentrations (if any) above laboratory detection limits.

Bold and yellow highlighted results indicate concentrations (if any) that exceed MTCA Method A or B Cleanup Levels for Ground Water.

# **APPENDIX A**



#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Vineta Mills, M.S. Eric Young, B.S. 5500 4th Ave South Seattle, WA 98108-2419 (206) 285-8282 office@friedmanandbruya.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

January 2, 2025

Eric Zuern, Project Manager The Riley Group, Inc. 17522 Bothell Way NE Bothell, WA 98011

Dear Mr Zuern:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 27, 2024 from the Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 2021-46S, F&BI 412484 project. There are 15 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days, or as directed by the Chain of Custody document. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Cale

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Devin Emerson TRG0102R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on December 27, 2024 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the The Riley Group Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 2021-46S, F&BI 412484 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

The Riley Group
MW1
MW2
MW3
MW4
MW5
MW6
MW Dup

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/02/25 Date Received: 12/27/24 Project: Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 2021-46S, F&BI 412484 Date Extracted: 12/30/24 Date Analyzed: 12/30/24

# RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Gasoline Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
MW1 412484-01	<100	104
MW2 412484-02	<100	105
MW3 412484-03	<100	101
MW4 412484-04	<100	104
MW5 412484-05	<100	104
MW6 412484-06	<100	107
MW Dup 412484-07	<100	95
Method Blank 04-3045 MB	<100	107

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/02/25 Date Received: 12/27/24 Project: Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 2021-46S, F&BI 412484 Date Extracted: 12/30/24 Date Analyzed: 12/30/24

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
MW1 412484-01	<50	<250	86
MW2 412484-02	<50	<250	80
MW3 412484-03	59 x	<250	85
MW4 412484-04	57 x	<250	91
MW5 412484-05	<50	<250	93
MW6 412484-06	<50	<250	81
MW Dup 412484-07	82 x	<250	93
Method Blank 04-3080 MB	<50	<250	73

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW1 12/27/24 12/30/24 12/30/24 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 412484-01 123016.D GCMS11
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	IIT
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	87	78	126
Toluene-d8		104	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	96	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		<1		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW2 12/27/24 12/30/24 12/30/24 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 412484-02 123017.D GCMS11
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	e-d4	98	78	126
Toluene-d8		103	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	92	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		<1		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID:	MW3		Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	12/27/24		Project:	Former Firestone Complete Auto Care
Date Extracted:	12/30/24		Lab ID:	412484-03
Date Analyzed:	12/30/24		Data File:	123018.D
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	GCMS11
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	92	78	126
Toluene-d8		99	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	102	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		<1		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW4 12/27/24 12/30/24 12/30/24 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 412484-04 123019.D GCMS11
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	104	78	126
Toluene-d8		101	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	98	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		<1		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW5 12/27/24 12/30/24 12/30/24 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 412484-05 123020.D GCMS11
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	78	126
Toluene-d8		98	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	110	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene Naphthalene		<1 <1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW6 12/27/24 12/30/24 12/30/24 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 412484-06 123021.D GCMS11
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	19L
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	e-d4	118	78	126
Toluene-d8		105	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	101	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		<1		
napinilaielle		<b>N</b> 1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID:	MW Dup		Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	12/27/24		Project:	Former Firestone Complete Auto Care
Date Extracted:	12/30/24		Lab ID:	412484-07
Date Analyzed:	12/30/24		Data File:	123022.D
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	GCMS11
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	94	78	126
Toluene-d8		97	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	93	72	130
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		<1		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID:	Method Bla	ink	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	Not Applica	able	Project:	Former Firestone Complete Auto Care
Date Extracted:	12/30/24		Lab ID:	04-3170 mb
Date Analyzed:	12/30/24		Data File:	123009.D
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	GCMS11
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	99	78	126
Toluene-d8		97	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	98	72	130
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		<1		
Naphthalene		<1		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/02/25 Date Received: 12/27/24 Project: Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 2021-46S, F&BI 412484

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 4	12484-01 (Dupl	icate)			
	Reporting	Samp	le Duj	olicate	$\operatorname{RPD}$
Analyte	Units	Resu	lt Re	esult	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	) <	:100	nm
Laboratory Code: L	aboratory Cont	rol Sampl	le Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	_
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	97	70-130	-

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/02/25 Date Received: 12/27/24 Project: Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 2021-46S, F&BI 412484

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	$\operatorname{RPD}$
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	88	92	65-151	4

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/02/25 Date Received: 12/27/24 Project: Former Firestone Complete Auto Care 2021-46S, F&BI 412484

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260D

Laboratory Code: 412484-06 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	$\mathbf{MS}$	Criteria
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	111	50 - 150
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	81	50 - 150

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L (ppb)	10	111	114	70-130	3
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	10	99	91	70-130	8

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased low; or, the calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, with a detection for the analyte in the sample. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.

 ${\rm j}$  - The analyte concentration is reported between the method detection limit and the lowest calibration point. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$  - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

 $k-\mbox{The calibration results}$  for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, and the analyte was not detected in the sample.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

 $\rm pc$  - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

412484	Ŧ			SAMPLE	CHAIN	OF (	CUS	бто	DY					12	./27	24	(	4 /VW	2	
Report To Eric 2	JEM			SAMPL	ERS (signo	uture)	FR	V	3	-	G	in	_			Pa TU	ge # JRNA	AROUND	f TIME	<u> </u>
Company The Riley Group, Inc Address 17522 Bothell Way NE			PROJEC For men Cimplet REMAR	PROJECT NAME Former Firestone Complete Auto Care REMARKS INVOICE TO					Standard turnaround URUSH Rush charges authorized by: SAMPLE DISPOSAL UArchive samples											
Phone 425 415 (155 (Em	ailezver	Qrile	y-group.(	Project s	specific RL	s? - Ye	es /	No								Other Default	:	spose afte	er 30	days
		,				11111				AN	JAL	YSES	REQ	UE	ESTE	D				
Sample ID	Lab I	ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX EPA 8021	NWTPH-HCID	VOCs EPA 8260	PAHs EPA 8270	PCBs EPA 8082	KCE	Napthalene	-		No	otes	
Mwl	DIA-	5	2/27/24	1000	H10	5	X	X					2	X	X					
MWZ	02			1030																
M W3	03			1100														ka Gerrig		
MWY	04			1130																
MW5	05			1200																
MW6	06			1230													•		204-04	
MW DUD	07		$\checkmark$	1300	$\bigvee$	V	$\checkmark$	V					V	/	$\checkmark$					
		SIG	NATURE			PRIN	JT N	[AM]	E				CO	MI	PAN	Y		DATE	T	ME
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 5500 4th Ave S.	Relinquished	oy:	in Cer	m	Devi	nE	me	rsc	n			R	GI		10 			12/27/20	( 12	Sl
Seattle WA 98108 (206) 285-8282	Received by:	hph	lam		pulli-	LPL	nm					F	BT				1:	2/27/24	12	:51
office@friedmanandbruya.com	Received by:	oy:	an the																	

An D se beninear and

SA	MPLE CONDI	TION UPON RECI	EIPT CH	ECKLIS	Г	
PROJECT # 412484	CLIENT	Riley group	·	INITIAI DATE:	.s/ P	12/27/20
If custody seals are	present on co	oler, are they intac	et?	NA	□ YES	S 🗆 NO
Cooler/Sample temp	perature			Ther	mometer ID:	9°C Fluke 96312917
Were samples receiv	ved on ice/cold	l packs?			Ø YES	S 🗆 NO
How did samples ar	<b>rive?</b> he Counter	□ Picked up by F&	BI	□ FedE	k/UPS/GS	50
Is there a Chain-of- *or other representative do	Custody* (COC ocuments, letters, a	C)? YES	G 🗆 NO	Init Dat	ials/ e:	12/27/24
Number of days san	nples have bee	en sitting prior to r	eceipt at	laborat	ory	days
Are the samples clea	arly identified	<b>!?</b> (explain "no" answer b	elow)		D-YES	S 🗆 NO
Were all sample con leaking etc.)? (explain	tainers receiv n "no" answer below	<b>ved intact (i.e. not l</b>	oroken,		⊡∕YES	S 🗆 NO
Were appropriate sa	ample contain	ers used?	B YES	S 🗆 N	0 🗆	Unknown
If custody seals are	present on sa	mples, are they int	act?	D-NA	□ YES	S 🗆 NO
Are samples requiri	ing no headspa	ace, headspace free	e?	□ NA	₽-YES	S 🗆 NO
Is the following info (explain "no" answer below	ormation prov	ided on the COC, a	ind does	it match	the san	nple label?
Sample ID's	🛛 Yes 🗆 No				□ Not on	COC/label
Date Sampled	🖞 Yes 🗆 No				□ Not on	COC/label
Time Sampled	🗹 Yes 🗆 No				□ Not on	COC/label
# of Containers	I-Yes □ No		8 - -			
Relinquished	🗹 Yes 🗆 No					
Requested analysis	🗹 Yes 🗆 On 1	Hold				
Other comments (us	se a separate pa	ge if needed)				
Air Samples: Were a	any additional	canisters/tubes re	ceived?			5 🗆 NO
Number of unused '	TO15 canister	s** Numl	ber of un	used TO	17 tubes	6
FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC./FC	DRMS/CHECKIN/SAMI	PLECONDITION.doc			Re	ev. 05/01/24

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Elizabeth Webber-Bruya Ann Webber-Bruya Michael Erdahl Vineta Mills Eric Young 5500 4th Ave South Seattle, WA 98108-2419 (206) 285-8282 office@friedmanandbruya.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 14, 2025

Tait Russell, Project Manager The Riley Group, Inc. 17522 Bothell Way NE Bothell, WA 98011

Dear Mr Russell:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 8, 2025 from the Former Firestone Complete Autocare 2021-465, F&BI 504122 project. There are 15 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days, or as directed by the Chain of Custody document. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: demerson@riley-group.com TRG0414R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on April 8, 2025 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the The Riley Group Former Firestone Complete Autocare 2021-465, F&BI 504122 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>The Riley Group</u>
504122 -01	MW1
504122 -02	MW2
504122 -03	MW3
504122 -04	MW4
504122 -05	MW5
504122 -06	MW6
504122 -07	MW2 Dup

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/14/25 Date Received: 04/08/25 Project: Former Firestone Complete Autocare 2021-465, F&BI 504122 Date Extracted: 04/09/25 Date Analyzed: 04/10/25

# RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
MW1 504122-01	<100	94
MW2 504122-02	<100	97
MW3 504122-03	<100	84
MW4 504122-04	<100	95
MW5 504122-05	<100	86
MW6 504122-06	<100	100
MW2 Dup 504122-07	<100	102
Method Blank 05-677 MB	<100	108

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/14/25 Date Received: 04/08/25 Project: Former Firestone Complete Autocare 2021-465, F&BI 504122 Date Extracted: 04/09/25 Date Analyzed: 04/09/25

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>25</sub> )	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
MW1 504122-01	56 x	<250	121
MW2 504122-02	<50	<250	107
MW3 504122-03	63 x	<250	93
MW4 504122-04	79 x	<250	82
MW5 504122-05	79 x	<250	108
MW6 504122-06	54 x	<250	113
MW2 Dup 504122-07	<50	<250	107
Method Blank <sup>05-845 MB2</sup>	<50	<250	110

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW1 04/08/25 04/09/25 04/09/25 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	The Riley Group 2021-465, F&BI 504122 504122-01 040913.D GCMS11 IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	101	78	126
Toluene-d8		97	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	99	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		< 0.5		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW2 04/08/25 04/09/25 04/09/25 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	The Riley Group 2021-465, F&BI 504122 504122-02 040914.D GCMS11 IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	102	78	126
Toluene-d8		98	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	99	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene Naphthalene		<0.5 <1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW3 04/08/25 04/09/25 04/09/25 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	The Riley Group 2021-465, F&BI 504122 504122-03 040915.D GCMS11 IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	107	78	126
Toluene-d8		98	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	99	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		<0.5		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW4 04/08/25 04/09/25 04/09/25 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	The Riley Group 2021-465, F&BI 504122 504122-04 040920.D GCMS11 IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	107	78	126
Toluene-d8		97	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	102	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		< 0.5		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW5 04/08/25 04/09/25 04/09/25 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	The Riley Group 2021-465, F&BI 504122 504122-05 040921.D GCMS11 IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	108	78	126
Toluene-d8		98	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	110	72	130
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		< 0.5		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW6 04/08/25 04/09/25 04/09/25 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	The Riley Group 2021-465, F&BI 504122 504122-06 040922.D GCMS11 IJL
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	102	78	126
Toluene-d8		103	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	96	72	130
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		<0.5		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW2 Dup 04/08/25 04/09/25 04/09/25 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	The Riley Group 2021-465, F&BI 504122 504122-07 040923.D GCMS11 IJL
	8 (11-7		- <u>-</u>	
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	104	78	126
Toluene-d8		98	84	115
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	102	72	130
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		< 0.5		
Naphthalene		<1		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID:	Method Blank	Ξ	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	Not Applicabl	e	Project:	2021-465, F&BI 504122
Date Extracted:	04/09/25		Lab ID:	05-0776 mb
Date Analyzed:	04/09/25		Data File:	040909.D
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	GCMS13
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	MD
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:	(	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	·d4	105	71	132
Toluene-d8		100	68	139
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	83	62	136
	С	oncentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Tetrachloroethene		< 0.5		
Naphthalene		<1		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/14/25 Date Received: 04/08/25 Project: Former Firestone Complete Autocare 2021-465, F&BI 504122

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 5	04121-17 (Dupl	icate)				
	Reporting	Samp	le Duj	olicate	RPD	
Analyte	Units	Resu	lt Re	esult	(Limit 20)	
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	) <	:100	nm	
Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample Percent						
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance		
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	_	
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	110	70-130	-	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/14/25 Date Received: 04/08/25 Project: Former Firestone Complete Autocare 2021-465, F&BI 504122

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	$\operatorname{RPD}$
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	104	100	65-151	4

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/14/25 Date Received: 04/08/25 Project: Former Firestone Complete Autocare 2021-465, F&BI 504122

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260D

Laboratory Code: 504118-02 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L (ppb)	10	< 0.5	97	50 - 150
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	31 ip	50 - 150

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L (ppb)	10	105	106	70-130	1
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	10	84	100	61-133	17

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased low; or, the calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, with a detection for the analyte in the sample. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.

 ${\rm j}$  - The analyte concentration is reported between the method detection limit and the lowest calibration point. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$  - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

 $k-\mbox{The calibration results}$  for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria, biased high, and the analyte was not detected in the sample.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

 $\rm pc$  - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

1	104122																			,	
	Report To Mi-	+ P			SAMP	LE (	CHAI	N OF	CU	STO	DDY	ζ		0	4/0	181	125	-	Vr	J2/C.	2,
	Comment The	P-1	sse []			PLER	tS (sigr	nature)	R		-	[1	in	-				Pa TT	ige #	AROUND 7	
	Company 176	Kiley	Group	, Inc.	PRO.	JECT	NAME	E			Τ		P	0#			A	Stand	lard	turnaround	l
	Address_1/>d	2 5	othell	Way	NE CON	mer		18STO	ine	~	2	02	.1 -	- 4	6	5	RUSH Rush charges authorized by:				
	City, State, ZIP	sothel	l, w	A 98011	REM	ARKS		VIOC	ai	e		IN	VO	ICE	ТО		SAMPLE DISPOSAL				
	Phone 425 415 (	055/Ema	ail <u>tress</u> e	I Priley-		(C: demersion (en reg-grant RGT								□ Archive samples							
				com	Project specific RLs? - Yes / No									efaul	t: Di	ispose afte	r 30 days				
									+	T		A	NAI	YSE	IS RE	EQUI	ESTE	D			
	Sample ID		Labr	Date	Time		,		-Dx	Gx	802	ICID	8260	8270	8082		len				
	Lab ID Sampled						атріе Гуре	# of Jars	HJT	HdT	EPA	PH-H	EPA	EPA	EPA	Щ	the			No	tes
						MN	NW	3TEX	TWN	70Cs	AHs	CBs	PC	Nac							
	MWI		OI A.	DHALA	5 1309	+	120	4	X	X	щ			<u>н</u>		X	X				
ł	MWL		02		1229	+	1									1					
ŀ	MWS		03		11)6	+										_					
$\vdash$	MW4		OU		1) 00									_		_					
$\vdash$	MWS		05		INCZ	+						-+		_		-					
$\vdash$	MwG		06		1320								-+	$\rightarrow$		$\bot$					
	MW2 DU	D			1738							_		_							
		F			1259			V	V	$\mathbf{V}$						V	$\mathbf{V}$				
			4																		
п.																					
Frie 550	adman & Bruya, Inc. 9 4th Ave S	1		5	PRIN	T N.	AME	2				C	OM	PANY	ζ		DATE	TIME			
Seat (206	tle WA 98108	1	-	lar	Ŧ	<			2			$\boldsymbol{k}$	<del>(</del> ]	-			4/8	930			
office	ce@friedmanandbruya.com Relinquished by:					VINH F				È.	=B/ 4/8 10;00										
			Samp					aple	es received at <u>4</u> °C												
																					1 1

SA	MPLE COND	TION UPON REC				
PROJECT # 504122			EIPT	CHECK	LIST	
If custody seals are	CLIENT	RGI		INI DA'	TIALS AP	310.
	resent on coo	ler, are those in t				125
Cooler/Sample temper	ature	y are they intac	ct?	D'N	IA 🗆 YE	S 🗆 NC
Were samples received	on ico/orll				Thermometer TP	<b>∀_</b> °C
How did samples	t bli ice/cold p	acks?			<u>Mormometer ID:</u>	<u>Fluke 96312917</u>
□ Over the (	e? Jounter	Picked up by F&B	I	🗆 Fed	Ø YES Ex/UPS/GS	0 NO
Is there a Chain of Con						
*or other representative docum	cody* (COC)?	YES or shipping memos	□ NO	In Da	itials/ Np	) 4/8
Number of days sample	s have been s	itting prior to				
Are the second		to rec	eipt at	t labora	tory/	davs
The the samples clearly	identified? (ex	plain "no" answer belo	w)			
Were all sample contain					U YES	🗆 NO
leaking etc.)? (explain "no" a	ers received i	ntact (i.e. not bro	oken,		Ø YES	□ NO
Were appropriate sample	e containers i	used?	d yes	— N	Ο ΠΙ	
If custody seals are prese	ent on sample	s, are they intact	?			
Are samples requiring no	headspace, ]	neadspace free?			VES	
Is the following informat (explain "no" answer below)	ion provided	on the COC, and	does it	match	the sample	e label?
Sample ID's	s 🗆 No			_		
Date Sampled	я П No			L	Not on CO	C/label
Time Sampled	в П No			L	Not on CO	C/label
# of Containers	s П No			L	Not on CO	C/label
Relinquished IVe	s П No					
Requested analysis Z Ye	s 🗆 On Hold					
Other comments (use a sep	arate page if n	eeded)	·			
					·····	
Air Samples: Were any ad	ditional canis	ters/tubes receiv	ed?	‡ NA	□ YES	D NO
Number of unused TO15 c *Fill out Green manifolds billing sheet	anisters**	Number o	of unus	ed TO1	7 tubes	

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC./FORMS/CHECKIN/SAMPLECONDITION.doc

# **APPENDIX B**



 			_
Groundwate	r Sampling	Information	

Well No./Location: MW1			Project No: 2021-465-1				Sampling Date: 12/27/24				
	Loouton						1 400 1	······································			
Depth to W	/ater:	8	.62	Time:		10:00		Water Vo	lume In Casing:	1.5 gallo	ons
Depth to P	roduct:					10:09					
Total Depth	h:	1	7.8	Purged Time	e:	9 n	nins	Volume P	urged:	3/4 ga	al
Purging Me	ethod:	Peri	Pump	Purge Volun	ne Measu	rement Meth	od:		Grad	Bucket	
Project Loc	cation: Seattle			Parar	neter	Monito	oring	Sampled	By:	BCS	
Time	Cumulative	pН	COND	TEMP	DO	TURB	ORP	SAL	TDS	Appearapea	Odor
Time	Volume	SU	mS/cm	Degree C	mg/L	NTU	mV	%	g/L	Appearance	Odor
10:00	0 gal	6.15	0.650	11.9			60			clear - no	
10:03	.25 gal	6.15	0.51	13,7			59			clear - no	
10:06	.50 gal	6.15	0.5	14.1			59			clear - no	
10:09	.75 gal	6.15	0.5	14.3			61			clear - no	
Sampling N	Methods: See	SOP			Samn	lo Data		Waste Co	ontainer:		
				,	Samp		1			1	
Field Sa	ample No.	Sample	Sample Container Time		Samp	le Depth	Matrix	к Туре	Sample Type	Preserved	Ву
Chain of C	ustody (yes/no	o):				Duplicate \$	Sample Nur	nbers:			
		Lab Name	):				Date Sent	to Lab:			
Analy	tical Lab	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment	Method:			
		l ah Name	<u>.</u>				Date Sent	to Lab.			
Analytic	al Lab/QC						Shinmont	Mothod:			
		Name(s).					onpinent	wethou.			
S	plit	Organizati									
		Organizati	ion(s).					_			
			Matrix	Types					Samj	ple Types	
AA an	nbient air	GW gro	oundwater	SD sed	iment	SW surf	ace water	CS co	mposite sample	FB field bla	nk
BM build	ling material	NS near-	surface soil	SL s	oll	TI t	issue	ER eq	uipment rinsate	FD field dupl	icate
DR det	Comments.	SB subs	surface soil	SU siu	idge	WR	water	ES envir	onmental sample	I B trip bla	nĸ
	commonto.				3 within 10%						
Recorder:	Date:										
Checker:				Date:							

		Gr	ound	wate	r Sa	mpli	ing li	nfori	matior	ו		
Well No.	/Location	: MW2			Project	No: 202	1-465-1	Sampli	ng Date: 12/	27/24		
Depth to W	/ater:	8	.96	Time:		10:28		Water Vo	ume In Casing:	1.43 gallons		
Depth to Pr	roduct:					10:34				0		
Total Depth	า:	17	7.72	Purged Time	):	6 n	nins	Volume P	urged:	1/2 gal		
Purging Me	ethod:	Peri	Pump	Purge Volum	ne Measur	ement Meth	nod:		Grad Bucket			
Project Loc	ation: Seattle	)		Paran	neter	Monito	oring	Sampled	By:	BCS		
Time	Cumulative	pН	COND	TEMP	DO	TURB	ORP	SAL	TDS	American		
Time	Volume	SU	mS/cm	Degree C	mg/L	NTU	mV	%	g/L	Appearance Odor		
10:28	0 gal	5.8	0.230	13.4			80			clear - no		
10:31	0.25 gal	5.72	0.22	14.3			83			clear - no		
10:34	0.50 gal	5.66	0.23	14.4			86			clear - no		
Sampling N	/lethods: See	SOP		(	Sampl	e Data	3	Waste Co	ntainer:			
Field Sc	ample No	Sampla	Containar	Timo	Some	o Donth	Motrix	Turno	Sample Type	Procented Pv		
Field Sa	ampie No.	Sample	Container	Time	Sampi	e Depin	IVIAUIX	туре	Sample Type	Fleselved by		
Chain of C	ustody (yes/n	o):				Duplicate	Sample Nun	nbers:				
		Lab Name	):				Date Sent	to Lab:				
Analyt	tical Lab	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment I	Method:				
		Lab Name	):				Date Sent	to Lab:				
Analytic	al Lab/QC	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment I	Method:				
		Name(s):										
S	plit	Organizat	ion(s):									
		•	Matrix	Types					Samj	ole Types		
AA an	nbient air	GW gro	oundwater	SD sedi	ment	SW sur	face water	CS cor	mposite sample	FB field blank		
BM build	ing material	NS near-	-surface soil	SL s	oil	TH	lissue	ER eq	uipment rinsate	FD field duplicate		
DR deb	oris/rubble	SB sub	surface soil	SU slu	dge	WR	water	ES envir	onmental sample	TB trip blank		
Additional	Comments:				3 י	within 10%						
Recorder:				Date:			Date:					
Checker:				Date:								

#### **Groundwater Sampling Information** Well No./Location: MW3 Project No: 2021-465-1 Sampling Date: 12/27/24 Depth to Water: Time: Water Volume In Casing: 8.09 10:52 1.58 gallons Depth to Product: 11:01 Total Depth: Purged Time: Volume Purged: 17.77 9 mins 3/4 gal Purge Volume Measurement Method: Purging Method: Peri Pump Grad Bucket Project Location: Seattle Sampled By: Parameter Monitoring BCS COND TEMP DO TURB ORP TDS Cumulative pН SAL Time Appearance Odor Volume SU mS/cm Degree C mg/L NTU mV % g/L 10:52 0 gal 6.3 0.540 10.8 50 clear - no 0.25 gal 10:55 6.28 0.54 12.4 51 clear - no 10:58 0.50 gal 6.28 0.54 12.5 51 clear - no 11:01 6.29 0.75 gal 0.53 12.9 50 clear - no Sampling Methods: See SOP Waste Container: Sample Data Sample Depth Field Sample No. Sample Container Matrix Type Sample Type Time Preserved By Chain of Custody (yes/no): Duplicate Sample Numbers: Lab Name: Date Sent to Lab: Analytical Lab Lab Address: Shipment Method: Lab Name: Date Sent to Lab: Analytical Lab/QC Lab Address: Shipment Method: Name(s): Split Organization(s): Matrix Types Sample Types AA ambient air GW groundwater SD sediment SW surface water CS composite sample FB field blank BM building material NS near-surface soil SL soil TI tissue ER equipment rinsate FD field duplicate DR debris/rubble SB subsurface soil SU sludge WR water ES environmental sample TB trip blank Additional Comments: 3 within 10% Recorder: Date: Checker: Date:

		Gr	ound	wate	r Sa	mpli	ing l	nfori	matior	l	
Well No.	/Location	: MW4			Project	No: 202	1-465-1	Sampli	ng Date: 12/	27/24	
Depth to W	/ater:	8	.62	Time:		11:18		Water Vo	lume In Casing:	0.94 gallons	
Depth to Pr	roduct:					11:24				<b>.</b>	
Total Depth	า:	14	1.36	Purged Time	e:	6 n	nins	Volume P	urged:	1/2 gal	
Purging Me	ethod:	Peri	Pump	Purge Volun	ne Measure	ement Meth	iod:		Grad	Bucket	
Project Loc	ation: Seattle	)		Daran	notor	Monit	oring	Sampled	By:		
-				Falai	leter	WOIIII	Jing			BUS	
Time	Cumulative Volume	pH	COND	TEMP	DO ma/l	TURB	ORP	SAL %	TDS	Appearance Odor	
44.40		5.02	0.420		mg/∟	NIU	74	70	y/∟	alaar na	
11.10	0 gai	5.92	0.420	13.1			71				
11:21	.25 gai	5.07	0.42	13.1			11			clear - no	
11:24	.50 gal	5.84	0.41	12.8			71			clear - no	
Sampling N	/lethods: See	SOP		,	Sampl	e Data	a	Waste Co	ntainer:	•	
Field Sa	ample No	Sample	Container	Time	Sample	e Denth	Matrix		Sample Type	Preserved By	
		oumpio	Container		Campi	o Dopui	Mathy	(T)po	eample Type	Trocorvou by	
Chain of Cu	ustody (yes/n	o):				Duplicate \$	Sample Nun	nbers:			
		Lab Name	):				Date Sent	to Lab:			
Analyt	tical Lab	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment I	Method:			
		Lab Name	):				Date Sent	to Lab:			
Analytic	al Lab/QC	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment I	Method:			
		Name(s):									
S	plit	Organizati	ion(s):								
			Matrix	Types					Sam	ole Types	
AA an	nbient air	GW gro	oundwater	SD sed	ment	SW surf	ace water	CS cor	mposite sample	FB field blank	
BM build	ing material	NS near-	-surface soil	SL s	oil	TI t	issue	ER eq	uipment rinsate	FD field duplicate	
DR deb	oris/rubble	SB subs	surface soil	SU slu	dge	WR	water	ES envir	onmental sample	TB trip blank	
Additional (	Comments:				3 ง	within 10%					
Recorder:				Date:							
Checker:				Date:							

#### **Groundwater Sampling Information** Well No./Location: MW5 Project No: 2021-465-1 Sampling Date: 12/27/24 Depth to Water: Time: Water Volume In Casing: 5.46 11:40 1.72 gallons Depth to Product: 11:49 Total Depth: Purged Time: Volume Purged: 15.98 9 mins 3/4 gal Purge Volume Measurement Method: Purging Method: Peri Pump **Grad Bucket** Project Location: Seattle Sampled By: Parameter Monitoring BCS COND TEMP DO TURB ORP SAL TDS Cumulative pН Time Appearance Odor Volume SU mS/cm Degree C mg/L NTU mV % g/L 11:40 0 gal 5.82 0.260 10.8 78 clear - no 11:43 .25 gal 5.69 0.25 11.6 84 clear - no 11:46 .50 gal 5.68 0.25 11.8 84 clear - no 5.68 0.25 11:49 .75 gal 11.8 85 clear - no Sampling Methods: See SOP Waste Container: Sample Data Sample Depth Field Sample No. Sample Container Time Matrix Type Sample Type Preserved By Chain of Custody (yes/no): Duplicate Sample Numbers: Lab Name: Date Sent to Lab: Analytical Lab Lab Address: Shipment Method: Lab Name: Date Sent to Lab: Analytical Lab/QC Lab Address: Shipment Method: Name(s): Split Organization(s): Matrix Types Sample Types AA ambient air GW groundwater SD sediment SW surface water CS composite sample FB field blank BM building material NS near-surface soil SL soil TI tissue ER equipment rinsate FD field duplicate DR debris/rubble SB subsurface soil SU sludge WR water ES environmental sample TB trip blank Additional Comments: 3 within 10%

Recorder:	Date:
Checker:	Date:

		Gr	ound	lwate	r Sa	mpli	ing li	nfori	matior	ו
Well No.	/Location	: MW6			Project	No: 202	1-465-1	Sampli	ng Date: 12/	27/24
Depth to W	/ater:		7.1	Time:	<u> </u>	12:00		Water Vol	lume In Casing:	1.23 gallons
Depth to Pr	roduct:					12:06		+		ŭ
Total Depth	ו:	1/	4.61	Purged Time	ə:	6 n	nins	Volume P	urged:	1/2 gal
Purging Me	ethod:	Peri	Pump	Purge Volun	ne Measur	ement Meth	iod:		Grad	Bucket
Project Loc	ation: Seattle	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>		Parar	noter	Monito	oring	Sampled	Ву:	BCS
	Quimulative			TEMP		TIRR		SAL		1
Time	Volume	SU	mS/cm		mg/L	NTU	mV	3AL %	q/L	Appearance Odor
12:00	0 gal	5.82	0.260	10.8			78		<u> </u>	clear - no
12:03	.25 gal	5.69	0.25	11.6			84	<u>†                                    </u>		clear - no
12:06	.50 gal	5.68	0.25	11.8			84	<u> </u>		clear - no
		[]								
	1							1		
	í	[		1				1		1
	[]	[		, T				1		1
								<u> </u>		
Sampling M	/lethods: See	SOP	<u> </u>	\$	Sampl	le Data	4	Waste Co	ntainer:	
Field Sa	ample No.	Sample	Container	Time	Sampl	e Depth	Matrix	× Tvpe	Sample Type	Preserved By
		<u> </u>		1						
		├──	<u> </u>	1					<b> </b>	
		I		{ <i>!</i>					<b> </b>	
			I						l	
Chain of Cu	ustody (yes/n	o):		·	<u> </u>	Duplicate S	Sample Nun	nbers:	L	<b></b>
		Lab Name	ə:			L	Date Sent	to Lab:		
Analyt	ical Lab	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment I	Method:		
		Lab Name	): ):				Date Sent	to Lab:		
Analytica	al Lab/QC	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment I	Method:		
		Name(s):					<b></b>			
Sp	plit	Organizati	ion(s):							
			Matrix	Types					Sam	ple Types
AA arr	nbient air	GW gro	oundwater	SD sed	iment	SW surf	ace water	CS cor	mposite sample	FB field blank
BM buildi	ing material	NS near-	-surface soil	SL s	oil	TI ti	issue	ER equ	uipment rinsate	FD field duplicate
DR deb	ris/rubble	SB subs	surface soil	SU slu	ıdge	WR	water	ES envir	onmental sample	TB trip blank
Recorder:	Jomnients.			3 within 10% Date:						
Checker				Date:						

			ound	mate			<u></u>		natioi	•		
Well No.	/Location	: MW1			Project	No: 2021	-465-1	Sampling Date: 4/7/2025				
Depth to W	/ater:	8	.41'	Time:		13:00		Water Vol	ume In Casing:	1.5 c	allons	
Depth to P	roduct:					13:09						
Total Depth	ו:	1	7.6'	Purged Time	e:	9 1	min	Volume P	urged:	.2	5 gal	
Purging Me	ethod:	Peri	Pump	Purge Volun	ne Measur	ement Meth	iod:		Graduat	ted Bucket	- <b>3</b>	
Project Loc	ation: Seattle			Paran	neter	Monita	orina	Sampled I	By:		•	
	<u>Current de tinue</u>	<b>5</b> 1	COND			TUDD		CA1	TDS	1		
Time	Volume	рн SU	mS/cm		ma/L	NTU	mV	SAL %	a/L	Appearance	Odor	
13:00	0.00	5.82	0.380	15.6						Clear	None	
13:03	0.1	5.93	0.42	14.1						Clear	None	
13:06	0.2	5.95	0.42	13.9						Clear	None	
13:09	.25 gal	6	0.041	13.7						Clear	None	
Sampling N	Aethods: See	SOP		9	Samp	e Data	1	Waste Co	ntainer:			
E LLO	and the New	0 and 1	Quantainan	Time		- Double	Matuis		0	Dura	mar d Dar	
Field Sa	ample No.	Sample Container		10.00	Sample Depth		Matrix	Туре	Sample Type	Prese	erved By	
M	W1			13:09	13 ft							
Chain of C	ustody (yes/ne	o):				Duplicate \$	Sample Nur	nbers:				
		Lab Name	e:				Date Sent to Lab					
Analy	tical Lab	l ab Addre	ess.				Shipment	Method <sup>.</sup>				
							Doto Sont	to Lob:				
Analytic	al Lab/QC											
		Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment	Method:				
S	nlit	Name(s):										
5	ріп	Organizat	ion(s):									
			Matrix	Types					Sam	ple Types		
AA an	nbient air	GW gro	oundwater	SD sed	iment	SW surf	ace water	CS con	nposite sample	FB fi	eld blank	
BM build	ing material	NS near	-surface soil	SL s	oil	TI t	issue	ER equ	uipment rinsate	FD fiel	d duplicate	
DR det	oris/rubble	SB sub:	surface soil	SU slu	dge	WR	water	ES enviro	onmental sample	TB t	rip blank	
Auditional	comments. 3	Consecut	ve paramete	£15 × 1070. ~o		ged prior to	sampiing.					
Recorder:				Date:			Date:					
Checker:				Date:								

	Groundwater Sampling Information													
Well No.	./Location	: MW2			Project	No: 2021	-465	Samplir	ng Date: 4/7/	2025				
Depth to W	/ater:	8	.74'	Time:	<u> </u>	12:20		Water Vol	lume In Casing:		1.51 gal			
Depth to P	roduct:					12:29		1						
Total Depth	h:		18'	Purged Time	e:	9 r	nin	Volume P	urged:		.25 gal			
Purging Me	ethod:	Peri	-Pump	Purge Volun	ne Measur	ement Meth	od:		Graduat	ted Bucl	(et			
Project Loc	cation: Seattle	3		Parar	neter	Monito	oring	Sampled f	By:					
	Cumulative	ън		TEMP		TURB		SAL		<del></del>				
Time	Volume	SU	mS/cm		mg/L	NTU	mV	PPT	g/L	Appearar	nce Odor			
12:20	0.00	6	0.24	15.2						Clear	None			
12:23	0.1	5.69	0.19	13.8						Clear	None			
12:26	0.2	5.69	0.2	13.6						Clear	None			
12:29	.25 gal	5.71	0.19	13.5						Clear	None			
Sampling N	Nethods: See	SOP			Sampl	e Data		Waste Co	ntainer:					
Field Sa	ample No.	Sample	Container	Time	Sampl	e Depth	Matrix	(Туре	Sample Type	Pr	eserved By			
М	IW2			12:29	13 ft						-			
MW	2 Dup			12:34	1	3 ft								
	<u> </u>			1					i					
				1										
Chain of Cr	ustody (yes/n	o):		<u> </u>	<u>I</u>	Duplicate S	Sample Num	nbers:	<u></u>	<u> </u>				
		Lab Name	): ):			<u> </u>	Date Sent	to Lab:						
Analyt	tical Lab	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment I	Method:						
		Lab Name	<b>;</b> :				Date Sent	to Lab:						
Analytic	al Lab/QC	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment I	Method:						
	114	Name(s):					<u>.</u>							
5	plit	Organizati	ion(s):			·								
			Matrix	Types					Sam	ole Type	S			
AA an	nbient air	GW gro	oundwater	SD sed	iment	SW surf	ace water	CS con	nposite sample	F	B field blank			
BM build	ing material	NS near-	surface soil	SL s	oil	TI ti	ssue	ER equ	lipment rinsate	FD	field duplicate			
	oris/rubble	SB subs	surface soil	50 siu	idge	wk red prior to	sampling	ES enviro	onmental sample	<u> </u>	ΓB trip blank			
Recorder:						Joa p	Date:							
Checker							Date:							

		Gr	ounc	lwate	r Sa	mpli	ing li	nfor	matior	1	
Well No.	/Location	: MW3			Project	No: 202	1-465	Sampli	ng Date: 4/7	/2025	
Depth to W	/ater:	7	.87'	Time:		11:17		Water Vo	lume In Casing:	1.62	gal
Depth to P	roduct:					11:26					0
Total Depth	h:	17	7.77	Purged Time	e:	9 I	min	Volume P	urged:	.25 g	gal
Purging Me	ethod:	Peri	Pump	Purge Volum	ne Measur	ement Meth	iod:		Graduat	ed Bucket	
Project Loc	cation: Seattle	;	-	Paran	neter	Monito	oring	Sampled	By:	DE	
	Cumulative	pН	COND	TEMP	DO	TURB	ORP	SAL	TDS		
lime	Volume	SU	mS/cm	Degree C	mg/L	NTU	mV	%	g/L	Appearance	Odor
11:17	0.00	6.96	0.33	18.9						Clear	No
11:20	0.1	6.26	0.52	15.7						Clear	No
11:23	0.2	6.27	0.52	15.1						Clear	No
11:26	.25 gal	6.26	0.51	15						Clear	No
Sampling N	Methods: See	SOP		Ş	Samp	le Data	1	Waste Co	ntainer:		
Field Sa	ample No.	Sample	Container	Time	Sampl	e Depth	Matrix	Туре	Sample Type	Preserve	ed By
М	W3			11:26	13 ft						
Chain of C	ustody (yes/n	o):				Duplicate S	Sample Num	nbers:			
		Lab Name	):				Date Sent	to Lab:			
Analy	tical Lab	Lab Addre	SS:				Shipment I	Method:			
		Lab Name	):				Date Sent	to Lab:			
Analytic	al Lab/QC	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment I	Method:			
		Name(s):									
S	plit	Organizat	on(s):								
			Matrix	Types					Samp	ole Types	
AA an	nbient air	oundwater	SD sedi	ment	SW surf	ace water	CS cor	mposite sample	FB field	blank	
BM build	ling material	NS near	surface soil	SL so	oil	TI t	issue	ER eq	uipment rinsate	FD field d	uplicate
DR det	oris/rubble	SB sub:	surface soil	SU slu	dge	WR	water	ES envir	onmental sample	TB trip	olank
Additional (	Comments: 3	3 consecuti	ve paramete	ers < 10%. >8	0% rechar	ged prior to	sampling.				
Recorder:							Date:				
Checker:							Date:				

		Gr	ounc	lwate	r Sa	mpli	ng l	nfor	natior	ו	
Well No.	/Location	: MW4			Project	: No: 202	1-465	Samplir	ng Date: 4/7/	2025	
Depth to W	/ater:	7	.10'	Time:		11:51		Water Vol	ume In Casing:	1.18	8 gal
Depth to P	roduct:					12:00					•
Total Dept	h:	14	1.36'	Purged Time	):	9 r	nin	Volume P	urged:	.25	gal
Purging Me	ethod:	Peri	-Pump	Purge Volum	ne Measur	rement Meth	od:		Graduat	ted Bucket	
Project Loo	cation: Seattle	•	-	Paran	neter	Monito	oring	Sampled I	Зу:	ſ	DE
Time	Cumulative	pН	COND	TEMP	DO	TURB	ORP	SAL	TDS	Appearance	Odor
11110	Volume	SU	mS/cm	Degree C	mg/L	NTU	mV	PDT	g/L	rippourance	
11:51	0.00	6.07	0.48	14.3						Clear	None
11:54	0.1	6.07	0.47	13.7						Clear	None
11:57	0.2	6.01	0.47	13.6						Clear	None
12:00	.25 gal	6	0.47	13.3						Clear	None
Sampling N	Methods: See	SOP		,	Samp	le Data	1	Waste Co	ntainer:	-	
Field Sa	ample No.	Sample	Container	Time	Samp	le Depth	Matrix	Type Sample Type		Prese	ved By
М	W4			12:00	1	11 ft					
Chain of C	ustody (yes/ne	o):				Duplicate S	Sample Nur	nbers:			
		Lab Name	e:				Date Sent	to Lab:			
Analy	tical Lab	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment	Method:			
		Lab Name	9:				Date Sent	to Lab:			
Analytic	ai lad/QC	Lab Addre	ess:				Shipment	Method:			
9	nlit	Name(s):									
0	pire	Organizat	ion(s):								
			Matrix	Types					Sam	ple Types	
AA an	nbient air	GW gr	oundwater	SD sedi	ment	SW surf	ace water	CS con	nposite sample	FB fie	ld blank
BM build	ling material	NS near	-surface soil	SL so	oil	TI ti	ssue	ER equ	ipment rinsate	FD field	duplicate
DR det	bris/rubble	SB sub	surface soil	SU slu	dge 00/ == =b =:	WR	water	ES enviro	onmental sample	TB tri	p blank
Additional	Comments: 3	consecut	ive paramete	ers < 10%. <i>&gt;</i> 8	0% rechai	rgea prior to	sampling.				
Recorder:							Date:				
Checker:							Date:				

#### **Groundwater Sampling Information** Well No./Location : MW5 Project No: 2021-465 Sampling Date: 4/7/2025 Depth to Water: Time: Water Volume In Casing: 6.68' 10:44 1.52 gal Depth to Product: 10:53 Total Depth: Purged Time: Volume Purged: 15.98' 9 min .25 gal Purge Volume Measurement Method: Purging Method: Peri Pump **Graduated Bucket** Project Location: Seattle Sampled By: Parameter Monitoring DE TEMP COND DO TURB ORP Cumulative pН SAL TDS Time Appearance Odor Volume SU mS/cm Degree C mg/L NTU m٧ PDT g/L 10:44 0.00 6.73 0.37 13.3 Clear None --------------------10:47 5.99 12.7 Clear 0.1 0.33 \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ ----\_\_\_\_ ----None 10:50 0.2 5.91 0.33 12.7 Clear None ------------\_\_\_\_ ----10:53 5.92 0.33 12.7 .25 gal Clear None \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ Sampling Methods: See SOP Waste Container: Sample Data Sample Depth Field Sample No. Sample Container Time Matrix Type Sample Type Preserved By 10:53 MW5 11 ft Chain of Custody (yes/no): Duplicate Sample Numbers: Lab Name: Date Sent to Lab: Analytical Lab Lab Address: Shipment Method: Lab Name: Date Sent to Lab: Analytical Lab/QC Lab Address: Shipment Method: Name(s): Split Organization(s): Matrix Types Sample Types GW groundwater SD sediment SW surface water FB field blank AA ambient air CS composite sample BM building material NS near-surface soil SL soil TI tissue FD field duplicate ER equipment rinsate DR debris/rubble SB subsurface soil SU sludge WR water ES environmental sample TB trip blank Additional Comments: 3 consecutive parameters < 10%. >80% recharged prior to sampling. Recorder: Date: Checker: Date:

#### **Groundwater Sampling Information** Well No./Location : MW6 Project No: 2021-465 Sampling Date: 9/24/24 Depth to Water: Time: Water Volume In Casing: 6.98' 13:29 1.25 gal Depth to Product: 13:38 Total Depth: Purged Time: Volume Purged: 14.61' 12 min .25 gal Purge Volume Measurement Method: Purging Method: Peri Pump **Graduated Bucket** Project Location: Seattle Sampled By: Parameter Monitoring DE TEMP COND DO TURB ORP Cumulative pН SAL TDS Time Appearance Odor Volume SU mS/cm Degree C mg/L NTU m٧ PDT g/L 13:29 0.00 5.94 0.46 12.9 Clear None --------------------13:32 12.1 Clear 0.1 5.85 0.48 \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ ----None 13:35 0.2 5.87 0.49 11.8 Clear None ------------\_\_\_\_ ----13:38 5.87 .25 gal 0.49 11.8 Clear None \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ Sampling Methods: See SOP Waste Container: Sample Data Sample Depth Field Sample No. Sample Container Time Matrix Type Sample Type Preserved By 13:38 MW6 11 ft Chain of Custody (yes/no): Duplicate Sample Numbers: Lab Name: Date Sent to Lab: Analytical Lab Lab Address: Shipment Method: Lab Name: Date Sent to Lab: Analytical Lab/QC Lab Address: Shipment Method: Name(s): Split Organization(s): Matrix Types Sample Types GW groundwater SD sediment SW surface water FB field blank AA ambient air CS composite sample BM building material NS near-surface soil SL soil TI tissue FD field duplicate ER equipment rinsate DR debris/rubble SB subsurface soil SU sludge WR water ES environmental sample TB trip blank Additional Comments: 3 consecutive parameters < 10%. >80% recharged prior to sampling. Recorder: Date: Checker: Date:

# **APPENDIX C**





Date(s	) Drilled:	5/2/2024	4				Log	ged By: <b>GS</b>	Surface Condit	tions: <b>Asp</b>	halt
Drilling	Method(s	s): <b>Direc</b>	t Pus	h			Dril	Bit Size/Type: <b>3.25</b> "	Total Depth of	Borehole:	20 feet bgs
Drill Ri	g Type: (	Geoprob	be 773	0D	т		Dril	ing Contractor: RGI	Approximate S Elevation:	Surface 30	
Ground and Da	dwater Le ite Measu	vel 9 fe ired: 9.8	eet at 1 8 feet	tim - 0	e of dril 5/02/202	ling 24	Sar	npling Method(s): Continuous	Hammer Data	: <b>NA</b>	
Tag ID	BKZ28	81					Loc	ation: 351 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98057			
ation (feet)	h (feet)	Reading, ppm	very (percent)	ole Type	ole ID	S Symbol	hic Log			Log	
Eleva	Dept	PIDF	Reco	Samp	Samp	USC	Grap	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		Well	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
30 —	0					Asphalt SW	•••	3" Asphalt Grey gravelly SAND, medium dense, moist, no o	dor, no sheen		8x12 Monument Concrete 0' - 1' bgs
-	-	0.0	50%		MW1-2.5	SM		Grey-brown silty SAND, medium dense, moist, n sheen	o odor, no		Hydrated Bentonite 1' - 7' bgs
- 25 —	5—	0.0			MW1-5			-	-		2" SCH40 PVC Casing 0' - 8' bgs
-	-	0.0	100%	Т	MW1-7.5			-  Grey	-		Sand Pack 7' - 18' bgs
- 20—	<u>↓</u> <u>↓</u> <u>↓</u> 10	0.0			MW1-10			- —Saturated	-		2" SCH40 PVC Screen 8' - 18' bgs
-	-	0.0	100%	Т	MW1-12.5			-	-		•
- 15 —	- 15—	0.0		Г	MW1-15	SM		- Grey-brown silty SAND with gravel, medium den ∖ odor, no sheen	se, wet, no		
-	-	0.0	80%		MW1-17.5			Grey sandy GRAVEL, medium dense, very moist odor, no sheen	t to wet, no		Well depth = 18' bgs
- 10—	20—	0.0			MW1-20			Boring terminated at 20 feet bgs		-	



Date(s) Drilled: <b>5/2/2024</b>	Logged By: GS	Surface Conditions: Asphalt
Drilling Method(s): Direct Push	Drill Bit Size/Type: 3.25"	Total Depth of Borehole: 15 feet bgs
Drill Rig Type: Geoprobe 7730DT	Drilling Contractor: RGI	Approximate Surface <b>30</b> Elevation:
Groundwater Level 9 feet at time of drilling and Date Measured: 10.25 feet - 05/02/2024	Sampling Method(s): Continuous	Hammer Data : <b>NA</b>
Tag ID: <b>BKZ282</b>	Location: 351 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98057	
& Elevation (feet) Depth (feet) PID Reading, ppm Recovery (percent) Sample Type Sample ID USCS Symbol	O MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	ମ୍ମ S He REMARKS AND ≥ OTHER TESTS
50 0 0 <u>Concrete</u> 	Concrete surface Grey-brown silty SAND with gravel, medium den moist, no odor, no sheen, varying amounts of gra	se, dry to avel with depth Hydrated Bentonite 1' - 7' bgs
25 - 5 - 0.0 MW2-5		2" SCH40 PVC Casing 0' - 8' bgs
0.0 100% WW2-7.5	Grey, wet to saturated	Sand Pack 7' - 18' bgs
20 - ▼10 - 0.0 0.0 0.0 95% MW2-10 SP MW2-12.5	Grey SAND, medium dense, wet, no odor, no sh	een 2" SCH40 PVC Screen 8' - 18' bgs
15-15-0.0 MW2-15 GP	Grey sandy GRAVEL, medium dense, wet, no or	Jor, no sheen
	Boring terminated at 20 feet bgs	



Date(s) Drilled: 5/3/2024	Logged By: GS	Surface Conditions: Concrete
Drilling Method(s): Direct Push	Drill Bit Size/Type: 3.25"	Total Depth of Borehole: 20 feet bgs
Drill Rig Type: Geoprobe 7730DT	Drilling Contractor: <b>RGI</b>	Approximate Surface <b>30</b> Elevation:
Groundwater Level 9 feet at time of drilling and Date Measured: 9.37 feet - 05/02/2024	Sampling Method(s): Continuous	Hammer Data : <b>NA</b>
Tag ID: <b>BKZ283</b>	Location: 351 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98057	
Elevation (feet) Depth (feet) PID Reading, ppm Recovery (percent) Sample Type Sample ID USCS Symbol	Daphic Log MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	ନ୍ତି = = ≥ REMARKS AND ≥ OTHER TESTS
30 - 0 - Concrete 	Concrete Grey-brown silty SAND with gravel, moist, mediu odor, no sheen No gravel	um dense, no Hydrated Bentonite 1' - 7' bgs
25 - 5 - 0.0 80% T MW3-5		2" SCH40 PVC Casing 0' - 8' bgs
0.0 MW3-7.5	With gravel	Sand Pack 7' - 18' bgs 2" SCH40 PVC
0.0 100%		Screen 8 - 18 bgs
15 - 15 - 0.0 	Grey sandy GRAVEL, wet, medium dense, no o	dor, no sheen
10 20 0.0 MW3-20	Boring terminated at 20 feet bgs	



Date(s)	Drilled:	5/3/202	4				Log	iged By: <b>GS</b>	Surface Conditions: Cor	crete
Drilling	Method(	s): Direc	ct Pus	h			Dril	I Bit Size/Type: 3.25"	Total Depth of Borehole:	20 feet bgs
Drill Riç	g Type: (	Geoprol	be 773	80D	т		Dril	ling Contractor: RGI	Approximate Surface 30 Elevation:	
Ground and Da	lwater Le te Measu	vel 10 ired: 8.7	feet a 1 feet	t tir - 0	ne of dr 5/02/202	illing 24	Sar	npling Method(s): Continuous	Hammer Data : <b>NA</b>	
Tag ID:	BKZ28	84					Loc	ation: 351 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98057		
$\overline{}$										
levation (feet)	epth (feet)	ID Reading, ppm	ecovery (percent)	ample Type	ample ID	SCS Symbol	raphic Log		/ell Log	REMARKS AND
ш 30 —	0 0	4	~	S	S	⊃ Concrete	 47			• 8x12 Monument
-	-	0.0			MW4-2.5	SM		Grey silty SAND with gravel, medium dense, moi no sheen Greyish brown silty SAND, medium dense, moist	st, no odor,	Hydrated Bentonite 1' - 4' bgs
- 25 —	- 5—	0.0	100%	I	MW4-5			- sheen		2" SCH40 PVC Casing 0' - 5' bgs
-		0.0		T	MW4-7.5	SP		- Brown SAND, medium dense, moist, no odor, no	sheen	Sand Pack 4' - 15' bgs
20 —	¥ ⊻ <sub>10</sub>	0.0			MW4-10			—Silty SAND —Grey, saturated		2" SCH40 PVC Screen 5' - 15' bgs
-	-	0.0	100%		MW4-12.5			<ul> <li>Dark grey silty SAND with organics, wet</li> <li>Grey SAND</li> </ul>		
15 —	15—	0.0			MW4-15			-		• Well depth = 15' bgs
-	-	0.0	100%		MW4-17.5	GW		Grey sandy GRAVEL, medium dense, wet, no oc	lor, no sheen - -	
10 —	20 —	0.0			MW4-20			Boring terminated at 20 feet bgs		
	_					L		L		I



Date(s)	Drilled:	6/25/202	24				Log	iged By: <b>GS</b>	Surface Condi	tions: Con	crete
Drilling	Method(s	s): Direc	ct Pus	h			Dril	I Bit Size/Type: 3.25"	Total Depth of	Borehole: 1	I7 feet bgs
Drill Riç	g Type: <b>G</b>	Geoprok	be 773	80D1	г		Dril	ling Contractor: RGI	Approximate S Elevation:	Surface 30	
Ground and Da	water Lev te Measu	/el 9 fe red: 9.5	eet at 1 8 feet	time - 06	e of dril 6/24/202	ling 4	Sar	npling Method(s): Continuous	Hammer Data	: NA	
Tag ID:	BKZ28	5					Loc	ation: Renton, Washington 98057			
Tag ID:	Depth (feet)	5 mudd bill 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	(tuesting) 30% 100% 50%	H H K Sample Type	Qi ogues Samble D MW5-2.5 MW5-5 MW5-7.5 MW5-10 MW5-12.5	Concrete SM SM		Ation: Renton, Washington 98057 MATERIAL DESCRIPTION Concrete Grey silty SAND with gravel, medium dense, moi no sheen - - - - - - - - - - - - -	ist, no odor,		REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS 5x8 Monument Concrete 0' - 1' bgs Hydrated Bentonite 1' - 6' bgs 1.5" SCH40 PVC Casing 0' - 7' bgs Sand Pack 6' - 17' bgs 1.5" SCH40 Pre-pack Well PVC Screen 7' - 17' bgs Well depth = 17' bgs
- 10	-20—							-	-	-	
	т.			<u> </u>							



Drilling Methods;:         Direct Push         Drill Bit SizerType::         3.25°         Total Depth of Borehole::         17 feet bgs           Drilling Methods;:         Drilling Commades Usange         30         Borehole::         30           Grouphoder Level         9 feet at time of drilling:         Sampling Methods(s)::         Continuous         Hammer Data : NA           Tagl D:         BKZ286         Location::         S1 Rainler Avenue South         Feedback         <
Drilling Type: Geoprobe 77300T       Drilling Contractor: RGI       Approximate Suffice: 30         Groupdowser Level       9feet at time of drilling and Date Messanic: 9.60 feet - 06/24/2024       Sampling Method(s): Continuous       Hammer Data: NA         Tag ID: BK2286       Location: 351 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98057       Mammer Data: NA       REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS         00       gig group and Date Messanic Support of the State
Groundwater Level 9 feet at time of drilling and Date Measures 9.60 feet - 06/24/2024 Tag ID: BK2286 Tag ID:
Tag ID:       BK2286       Location:       351 Rainier Avenue South Renton, Washington 98057         1000 000000000000000000000000000000000
(a)     (a)     (b)     (b)     (c)



Boring Log Key Sheet 1 of 1

Client: Toula Properties, LLC



#### **GENERAL NOTES**

1: Soil classifications are based on the Unified Soil Classification System. Descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive, and actual lithologic changes may be gradual. Field descriptions may have been modified to reflect results of lab tests.

2: Descriptions on these logs apply only at the specific boring locations and at the time the borings were advanced. They are not warranted to be representative of subsurface conditions at other locations or times.