

**STATE OF WASHINGTON
CLALLAM COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT**

STATE OF WASHINGTON,
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAYONIER A.M. PROPERTIES LLC
and RAYONIER ADVANCED
MATERIALS INC.

Defendants.

NO. _____

CONSENT DECREE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	3
II.	JURISDICTION	4
III.	PARTIES BOUND	5
IV.	DEFINITIONS.....	5
V.	FINDINGS OF FACT	6
VI.	WORK TO BE PERFORMED.....	10
VII.	DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS.....	14
VIII.	PERFORMANCE.....	15
IX.	ACCESS	16
X.	SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, AND AVAILABILITY	17
XI.	ACCESS TO INFORMATION	17
XII.	RETENTION OF RECORDS	18
XIII.	TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY	19

XIV.	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES.....	19
XV.	AMENDMENT OF DECREE.....	21
XVI.	EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE.....	22
XVII.	ENDANGERMENT	23
XVIII.	COVENANT NOT TO SUE	24
XIX.	CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION	26
XX.	INDEMNIFICATION	26
XXI.	COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS	27
XXII.	REMEDIAL ACTION COSTS	29
XXIII.	IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION.....	29
XXIV.	PERIODIC REVIEW	30
XXV.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	30
XXVI.	DURATION OF DECREE.....	32
XXVII.	CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE.....	32
XXVIII.	EFFECTIVE DATE.....	32
XXIX.	WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT	32

EXHIBIT A	Port Angeles Rayonier Mill Study Area Diagram
EXHIBIT B	Interim Action Plan for Port Angeles Rayonier Mill Study Area
EXHIBIT C	Western Port Angeles Harbor Study Area Diagram

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The mutual objective of the State of Washington, Department of Ecology (Ecology) and Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC and Rayonier Advanced Materials Inc. (Defendants) under this Decree is to provide for remedial action at a facility where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. This Decree requires Defendants to implement the Interim Action Plan (IAP) attached as Exhibit B by employing various environmental remediation methods to achieve final cleanup standards in marine sediments, upland soils and groundwater throughout the Study Area of the facility.

2. Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect human health and the environment.

3. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An Answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the Parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's Complaint. In addition, the Parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest, and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.

4. By signing this Decree, the Parties agree to its entry and agree to be bound by its terms.

5. By entering into this Decree, the Parties do not intend to discharge non-settling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint. The Parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for sums expended under this Decree.

6. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts; provided, however, that Defendants shall not challenge the authority of the Attorney General and Ecology to enforce this Decree.

7. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause having been shown:

Now, therefore, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Parties pursuant to the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), RCW 70A.305.

2. Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by RCW 70A.305.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person (PLP) if, after public notice and any required public meeting, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70A.305.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.

3. Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous substances has occurred at the Site that is the subject of this Decree.

4. Ecology has given notice to Defendants of Ecology's determination that Defendants are PLPs for the Site, as required by RCW 70A.305.020(26) and WAC 173-340-500.

5. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public health and the environment.

6. This Decree has been subject to public notice and comment.

7. Ecology finds that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards established under RCW 70A.305.030(2)(e) and WAC 173-340.

8. Defendants have agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consent to the entry of this Decree under MTCA.

III. PARTIES BOUND

1. This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to this Decree, their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that they are fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with this Decree. Defendants agree to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter a Defendants' responsibility under this Decree. Defendants shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents, contractors, and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree and shall ensure that all work undertaken by such agents, contractors, and subcontractors complies with this Decree.

IV. DEFINITIONS

1. Unless otherwise specified herein, all definitions in RCW 70A.305.020, WAC 173-204, and WAC 173-340 shall control the meanings of the terms in this Decree.

A. Site: The Site is referred to as former Port Angeles Rayonier Mill Site, Cleanup Site ID 2270. The Site constitutes a facility under RCW 70A.305.020(8). The Site is defined by where a hazardous substance, other than a consumer product in consumer use, has been deposited, stored, disposed of, or placed, or otherwise come to be located. The full Site boundaries have not been identified yet. Based on current information, the Site includes, but is not limited to, the former Rayonier Mill property and the adjacent marine environment, referred to as the Study Area and shown in Exhibit A, which is the subject of this Decree.

B. Study Area: For purposes of this Decree, the Study Area refers to the former Rayonier Mill property and the adjacent marine environment, as shown in Exhibit A. The upland portion of the Study Area includes the former Rayonier Mill property that is owned in part and leased in part by Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC and owned in part by the City of Port Angeles (City), and is generally located at 700 North Ennis Street,

Port Angeles, Washington 98362. The marine portion of the Study Area is bounded by a line drawn from the shoreline on the eastern edge of the former Rayonier Mill property to sediment sampling station OF-08 to OF-06 to OF-07 to OF-01 to SD-69 to SD-28, then perpendicular to the shoreline, as shown in Exhibit A. Based upon factors currently known to Ecology, the Study Area may be only a portion of the Site and its boundaries may not reflect the boundaries of the full Site as defined by MTCA.

C. Consent Decree or Decree: Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the exhibits to this Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree.

D. Defendants: Refers to Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC, and Rayonier Advanced Materials Inc.

E. Parties: Refers to the State of Washington, Department of Ecology; Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC; and Rayonier Advanced Materials Inc.

F. Potentially Liable Persons (PLPs): Refers to Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC, and Rayonier Advanced Materials Inc.

G. Western Port Angeles Harbor Study Area: Refers to the area of Port Angeles Harbor below the mean higher high-water mark that was characterized under Agreed Order No. DE 9781, as depicted in Exhibit C.

V. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Ecology makes the following findings of fact without any express or implied admissions of such facts by Defendants.

Study Area and Ownership

A. The Study Area is generally located at 700 North Ennis Street, Port Angeles, Washington 98362, and is in Sections 2, 11, 12, Township 30 North, Range 06 West, Willamette Principal Meridian in Clallam County and generally found at 48.11508, -123.40642 NAD 83 HARN, as shown in the Port Angeles Rayonier Mill Study Area

Diagram (Exhibit A). The Study Area consists of the former Rayonier Mill property and the adjacent marine environment.

B. Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC, formerly named Rayonier Properties, LLC before a spinoff from Rayonier, Inc. in June 2014, owns real property and leases additional property from the City and the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) located at or near 700 North Ennis Street, Port Angeles, Washington 98362.

C. On November 4, 2010, a Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement was entered by and between Rayonier Properties, LLC, the City and Rayonier TRS Holdings Inc. whereby the City purchased certain parcels within the Study Area, including but not limited to Parcel 063000570160.

Corporate History and Site Operations

D. Predecessors of Rayonier Advanced Materials Inc., including Olympic Forest Products Company (1930-1937), Rainier Pulp & Paper Company (prior to 1937), Rayonier Incorporated (1937-1968), ITT Rayonier (1968-1994), and again Rayonier Incorporated (1994 – 2014), owned and operated a dissolving sulfite pulp mill on a portion of the Site from 1930 until early 1997, when Rayonier Incorporated closed the mill and dismantled the mill buildings. During its operation, the mill stacks, machinery used at the mill site, the mill wastewater outfalls, and the log storage pond released hazardous substances. Hazardous substances released to the environment include, but are not limited to, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), lead, dioxins/furans, and arsenic.

E. On January 1, 2004, Rayonier Incorporated conveyed the property situated at the former mill site in Port Angeles, Washington, to its subsidiary Rayonier Properties LLC.

F. In June 2014, Rayonier Advanced Materials Inc. was spun off from Rayonier Incorporated.

G. In connection with the spin-off, Rayonier Properties, LLC changed its name to Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC.

PLP Identification and Site Remedial Activities

H. Ecology named Rayonier Incorporated a PLP in a letter dated January 11, 2001. Rayonier Incorporated accepted its PLP status in a waiver dated January 23, 2001.

I. Ecology named Rayonier Properties, LLC a PLP in a letter dated March 16, 2009.

J. In 2010, Rayonier Properties, LLC and Ecology entered into Agreed Order No. DE 6815 under which Rayonier Properties, LLC agreed to complete the first four volumes of an Interim Action Report. Upon completion of Volumes I through IV, Ecology would develop an Interim Action Plan to address groundwater, freshwater and marine sediments, and the upland soils at the Study Area within the Site.

K. In 2012, Rayonier Properties, LLC submitted *Interim Action Report Volume I, Upland Data Summary Report for the Study Area, Port Angeles Rayonier Mill Site, Public Review Draft (Volume I)*. Volume I summarizes the upland sampling results to define the nature and extent of contamination within the Upland Study Area. The sampling results show the presence of hazardous substances identified above applicable state criteria for soil, which include metals, dioxins/furans, PCBs, cPAHs, and TPH. Soil is also impacted with PCBs, cPAHs, and TPH in localized areas associated with distinct sources. The results also show the presence of hazardous substances identified above applicable state criteria for groundwater, which include ammonia, PAHs, and metals which were detected in most monitoring wells across the upland portion of the Study Area. These hazardous substances in soil and groundwater pose a threat to human health and the environment.

L. In 2014, Rayonier Properties, LLC submitted *Former Rayonier Mill in Port Angeles, Interim Action Report Volume II: Marine Data Summary Report, Public Review Draft (Volume II)*. Volume II summarizes the marine sampling results to define the nature and extent of contamination within the Marine Study Area. The sampling results show the presence of hazardous substances identified above applicable state criteria for sediment, which include metals, cPAHs, PCBs, dioxins/furans, phenols, and phthalates. They also show that sediment contamination is present in the log pond and near the mill dock in the marine portion of the Study Area and appears to be associated with former mill activities including discharge from the nearshore outfalls. These hazardous substances in sediment pose a threat to human health and the environment.

M. In 2019, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC submitted *Agreed Order Task 4d Deliverable, Interim Action Report Volume III: Alternatives Evaluation, Port Angeles Rayonier Mill Study Area, Public Review Draft (Volume III)*. Volume III evaluates a range of alternatives for remediation of contaminated sediment, soil, and groundwater for both the upland and marine portions of the Study Area.

N. From August 29, 2019, to November 26, 2019, Ecology held a public comment period on Volumes I, II, and III. After revisions were completed, Ecology approved Volumes I, II, and III as Final versions on August 19, 2021.

O. On December 14, 2021, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC submitted *Agreed Order Task 5 Deliverable, Interim Action Report Volume IV: Draft Interim Action Plan, Port Angeles Rayonier Mill Study Area, Agency Review Draft (Volume IV)*. Volume IV included the recommended alternatives of Volume III as the proposed remedies, rather than the proposed remedies identified by Ecology in its August 19, 2021, approval letter for Volumes I, II, and III.

P. In 2022-2023, Ecology drafted the Interim Action Plan for Port Angeles Rayonier Mill Study Area, 2023 (Exhibit B) and Appendix A thereto, which is titled Port

Angeles Rayonier Mill Site: Under-dock and Nearshore Areas Pre-Remedial Design Analysis and Decision Framework, dated November 2023 (Decision Framework).

Q. On June 3, 2024, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC and Ecology entered into an amendment to Agreed Order No. DE 6815 requiring Rayonier A.M Properties LLC to begin implementing the Decision Framework. The Agreed Order amendment provides that upon the effective date of this Decree, the Agreed Order would be superseded, and remaining work under the Agreed Order would become an enforceable requirement of this Decree.

Other Site Activities

R. Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC leases state owned aquatic lands from DNR, under Lease No. 22-002356, on which a dock and a jetty remain. DNR and Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC have discussed a close out of the lease to remove the in-water structures, and to re-contour the shoreline. Removal of the in-water structures and re-contouring the shoreline could impact contaminated sediments in the vicinity of the structures, and these potential impacts are the subject of the Decision Framework to be implemented by Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC.

S. During summer 2021, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC removed the top decking of the dock. The remaining structure consists of nearly 4000 creosote pilings and timber stringers. Removal of the dock is a high priority for Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC and Ecology. The treated timbers and pilings are a possible source of contamination to the marine water and sediment with which they are in contact.

VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

1. This Decree contains a program designed to protect human health and the environment from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances at, on, or from the Site. Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC has elected to take the lead in performing the work required to implement the IAP, including the attached Decision Framework, on behalf of both

Defendants. Language in this Decree, and the exhibits attached hereto, may reflect this agreement between the Defendants. However, the Defendants remain strictly, jointly, and severally liable for the performance of any and all obligations under this Decree. In the event Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC should fail to timely and properly complete performance of all or any portion of its work, Rayonier Advanced Materials, Inc., must perform that remaining work, if any. All remedial action(s) conducted by Defendants at the Site shall be done in accordance with WAC 173-340 and WAC 173-204.

2. Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall implement the IAP (Exhibit B), including the attached Decision Framework, in accordance with the schedule and deliverables identified in Section 7 of the IAP.

3. All plans or other deliverables submitted by Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC for Ecology's review and approval under the IAP (Exhibit B) shall, upon Ecology's approval, become integral and enforceable parts of this Decree.

4. If Defendants learn of a significant change in conditions at the Study Area, including but not limited to a statistically significant increase in contaminant and/or chemical concentrations in soil, sediments, groundwater, surface water, and/or air, Defendants, within seven (7) days of learning of the change in condition, shall notify Ecology in writing of said change and provide Ecology with any reports or records (including laboratory analyses, sampling results) relating to the change in conditions.

5. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-440(11), Defendant(s) shall maintain sufficient and adequate financial assurance mechanisms to cover all costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the remedial action at the Study Area, including institutional controls, compliance monitoring, and corrective measures.

A. Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Decree, Defendant(s) shall submit to Ecology for review and approval an estimate of the costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the remedial action at the Study Area that it will incur

in carrying out the terms of this Decree. Within sixty (60) days after Ecology approves the aforementioned cost estimate, Defendant(s) shall provide proof of financial assurances sufficient to cover those costs in a form acceptable to Ecology.

B. Defendants shall adjust the financial assurance coverage and provide Ecology's project coordinator with documentation of the updated financial assurance for:

i. Inflation, annually, within thirty (30) days of the anniversary date of the entry of this Decree; or if applicable, the modified anniversary date established in accordance with this section, or if applicable, ninety (90) days after the close of Defendant's fiscal year if the financial test or corporate guarantee is used.

ii. Changes in cost estimates, within thirty (30) days of issuance of Ecology's approval of a modification or revision to the IAP that result in increases to the cost or expected duration of remedial actions. Any adjustments for inflation since the most recent preceding anniversary date shall be made concurrent with adjustments for changes in cost estimates. The issuance of Ecology's approval of a revised or modified IAP will revise the anniversary date established under this section to become the date of issuance of such revised or modified IAP.

C. The Financial Assurance Officer for Ecology shall work with the project coordinators to review and approve financial assurance coverage pursuant to this Decree and make determinations on any adjustments necessary based on the annual reporting. As of the execution date of this Decree, Ecology's Financial Assurance Officer is Joanna Seymour, 360-485-5992 or Joar461@ecy.wa.gov.

6. As detailed in the IAP, institutional controls are required at the Study Area. Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants will be used to implement the institutional controls.

A. In consultation with Defendants, Ecology will prepare the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants consistent with WAC 173-340-440, RCW 64.70, and any

policies or procedures specified by Ecology. The Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants shall restrict future activities and uses of the Study Area as agreed to by Ecology and Defendants.

B. After approval by Ecology, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall record the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant for affected properties it owns with the office of the Clallam County Auditor as detailed in the IAP (Exhibit B). Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall provide Ecology with the original recorded Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants within thirty (30) days of the recording date.

C. As detailed in the IAP, as part of the remedial action for the Study Area, institutional controls are required on properties not owned by Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC. Defendant(s) will use their best efforts to obtain agreement from the owner of each affected property to record an Ecology-approved Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant as detailed in the IAP (Exhibit B). Upon a showing that Defendants have made a good faith effort to secure an Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant for an affected property and failed to do so, Ecology may provide assistance to Defendants. Unless Ecology determines otherwise, affected properties include parcel numbers 63000570160 and 063000501000. Defendants shall provide Ecology with the original recorded Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant within thirty (30) days of the recording date.

7. Unless otherwise directed by Ecology, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall submit to Ecology written monthly Progress Reports that describe the actions taken during the previous month to implement the requirements of this Decree. All Progress Reports shall be submitted by the tenth (10th) day of the month in which they are due after the effective date of this Decree. Unless otherwise specified in writing by Ecology, Progress Reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by electronic mail and if requested by Ecology, via certified mail, return receipt requested, to Ecology's project coordinator. The Progress Reports shall include the following:

- A. A list of on-site activities that have taken place during the month.
- B. Description of any sample results which deviate from the norm.
- C. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise documented in project plans or amendment requests.
- D. Description of all deviations from the Schedule in the IAP (Exhibit B) during the current month and any planned deviations in the upcoming month.
- E. For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and maintaining compliance with the schedule.
- F. All raw data (including laboratory analyses) received during the previous quarter (if not previously submitted to Ecology), together with a detailed description of the underlying samples collected.
- G. A list of planned activities for the upcoming month.

89. Except in the case of an emergency, Defendants agree not to perform any remedial actions at the Site outside the scope of this Decree without prior written approval of Ecology. In the case of an emergency, Defendants must notify Ecology of the event and remedial action(s) as soon as practical, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after discovery of the emergency.

VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

1. The project coordinator for Ecology is:

Marian Abbett, P.E.
PO Box 47775
Olympia, WA 98504-7775
(360) 489-4569
Marian.Abbett@ecy.wa.gov

2. The project coordinator for Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC is:

Warren Snyder, P.E.
1301 Riverplace Blvd., Suite 2300
Jacksonville, FL 32207
(904) 357-3768
warren.snyder@ryam.com

3. Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree. Ecology's project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative for the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and Defendants and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the work required by this Decree.

4. Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other party at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

VIII. PERFORMANCE

1. Except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43 and 18.220, all geologic and hydrogeologic work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the supervision and direction of a geologist or hydrogeologist licensed by the State of Washington or under the direct supervision of an engineer registered by the State of Washington.

2. Except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130, all engineering work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington.

3. Except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130, all construction work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington or a qualified technician under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington.

4. As required by RCW 18.43 and 18.220, any documents submitted containing geologic, hydrogeologic, or engineering work shall be under the seal of an appropriately licensed professional.

5. Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall notify Ecology in writing of the identity of any engineer(s) and geologist(s), contractor(s) and subcontractor(s), and other key personnel to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

IX. ACCESS

1. Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall have access to enter and freely move about all property at the Study Area that Defendants either own, control, or have access rights to at all reasonable times for the purposes of, *inter alia*: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing Defendants' progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by Defendants.

2. Defendants shall make all reasonable efforts to secure access rights for those properties within the Study Area not owned or controlled by Defendants where remedial activities or investigations will be performed pursuant to this Decree.

3. Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall give reasonable notice before entering any Study Area property owned or controlled by Defendants unless an emergency prevents such notice. All Parties who access the Study Area pursuant to this section shall comply with any applicable health and safety plan(s). Ecology employees and their representatives shall not be required to sign any liability release or waiver as a condition of Study Area property access.

X. SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, AND AVAILABILITY

1. With respect to the implementation of this Decree, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it or on its behalf available to Ecology by submitting data as detailed in this section. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-840(5), all sampling data shall be submitted to Ecology in both printed and

electronic formats in accordance with paragraph 8 of Section VI (Work to be Performed), Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Policy 840 (Data Submittal Requirements), and/or any subsequent procedures specified by Ecology for data submittal.

2. If requested by Ecology, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall allow Ecology and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or work activity at the Site. Ecology shall, upon request, allow Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree, provided that doing so does not interfere with Ecology's sampling. Without limitation on Ecology's rights under Section IX (Access), Ecology shall notify Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC prior to any sample collection activity unless an emergency prevents such notice.

3. In accordance with WAC 173-340-830(2)(a), all hazardous substance analyses shall be conducted by a laboratory accredited under WAC 173-50 for the specific analyses to be conducted, unless otherwise approved by Ecology.

XI. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

1. Defendants shall provide to Ecology, upon request, copies of all records, reports, documents, and other information (including records, reports, documents, and other information in electronic form) (hereinafter referred to as "Records") within Defendants' possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to activities at the Site or to the implementation of this Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information regarding the work. Defendants shall also make available to Ecology, for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, their employees,

agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the work.

2. Nothing in this Decree is intended to waive any right Defendants may have under applicable law to limit disclosure of Records protected by the attorney work-product privilege and/or the attorney-client privilege. If Defendants withholds any requested Records based on an assertion of privilege, Defendants shall provide Ecology with a privilege log specifying the Records withheld and the applicable privilege. No Site-related data collected pursuant to this Decree shall be considered privileged, including: (1) any data regarding the Site, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, radiological, biological, or engineering data, or the portion of any other record that evidences conditions at or around the Site; or (2) the portion of any Record that Defendants are required to create or generate pursuant to this Order.

3. Notwithstanding any provision of this Order, Ecology retains all of its information gathering and inspection authorities and rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under any other applicable statutes or regulations.

XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

1. During the pendency of this Decree, and for ten (10) years from the date this Decree is no longer in effect as provided in Section XXVI (Duration of Decree), Defendants shall preserve all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in their possession relevant to the implementation of this Decree and shall insert a similar record retention requirement into all contracts with project contractors and subcontractors. Upon request of Ecology, Defendants shall make all records available to Ecology and allow access for review within a reasonable time.

XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

1. No voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Study Area shall be consummated by Rayonier A.M. Properties

LLC without provision for continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and/or monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.

2. Prior to Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC's transfer of any interest in all or any portion of the Study Area, and during the effective period of this Decree, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall provide a copy of this Decree to any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in said interest; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall notify Ecology of said transfer. Upon its transfer of any interest, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall notify all transferees of the restrictions on the activities and uses of the property under this Decree and incorporate any such use restrictions into the transfer documents.

XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

1. In the event that Defendants elect to invoke dispute resolution, Defendants must utilize the procedure set forth below.

A. Upon the triggering event (receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's written decision or an itemized billing statement), Defendants have fourteen (14) calendar days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator in writing of their dispute (Informal Dispute Notice).

B. The Parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute informally. The parties shall informally confer for up to fourteen (14) calendar days from receipt of the Informal Dispute Notice. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within those 14 calendar days, then within seven (7) calendar days Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision (Informal Dispute Decision) stating: the nature of the dispute; the Defendants' position with regards to the dispute; Ecology's position with regards to the dispute; and the extent of resolution reached by informal discussion.

C. Defendants may then request regional management review of the dispute. Defendants must submit this request (Formal Dispute Notice) in writing to the Southwest Region Toxics Cleanup Section Manager within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of Ecology's Informal Dispute Decision. The Formal Dispute Notice shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth: the nature of the dispute; the disputing Party's position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support its position.

D. The Section Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute (Decision on Dispute) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Formal Dispute Notice.

E. If Defendants find Ecology's Regional Section Manager's decision of the disputed matter unacceptable, Defendants may then request final management review of that decision. Defendants must submit this request (Final Review Request) in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) calendar days of Defendants' receipt of the Decision on Dispute. The Final Review Request shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth: the nature of the dispute; the disputing Defendants' position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support their position.

F. Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute (Final Decision on Dispute) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Final Review Request. The Toxics Cleanup Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.

2. If Ecology's Final Decision on Dispute is unacceptable to Defendants, Defendants have the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute

arising under this Decree. Under RCW 70A.305.070, Ecology's investigative and remedial decisions shall be upheld unless they are arbitrary and capricious.

3. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.

4. Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

5. In case of a dispute, failure to either proceed with the work required by this Decree or timely invoke dispute resolution may result in Ecology's determination that insufficient progress is being made in preparation of a deliverable and may result in Ecology undertaking the work under Section XXIII (Implementation of Remedial Action).

XV. AMENDMENT OF DECREE

1. The Parties may agree to minor changes to the work to be performed without formally amending this Decree. Minor changes will be documented in writing by Ecology.

2. Substantial changes to the work to be performed shall require formal amendment of this Decree. This Decree may only be formally amended by a written stipulation among the Parties that is entered by the Court, or by order of the Court. Ecology will provide its written consent to a formal amendment only after public notice and opportunity to comment on the formal amendment. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend the Decree shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party.

3. When requesting a change to the Decree, Defendants shall submit a written request to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in writing and in a timely manner after the written request is received. If Ecology determines that the change is substantial, then the Decree must be formally amended. Reasons for the disapproval

of a proposed change to this Decree shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to the requested change, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XII (Resolution of Disputes).

XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

1. Defendants' request for an extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify:

- A. The deadline that is sought to be extended.
- B. The length of the extension sought.
- C. The reason(s) for the extension.
- D. Any related deadline or schedule that would be affected if the extension were granted.

2. The burden shall be on Defendants to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause may include, but may not be limited to:

- A. Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of Defendants, including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by Defendants.
- B. A shelter in place or work stoppage mandated by state or local government order due to public health and safety emergencies.
- C. Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty.
- D. Endangerment as described in Section XVII (Endangerment).

3. However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of this Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendants.

4. Ecology shall act upon any Defendant's written request for extension in a timely fashion. Ecology shall give Defendants written notification of any extensions granted pursuant to this Decree. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology or, if required, by the Court. Unless the extension is a substantial change, it shall not be necessary to amend this Decree pursuant to Section XV (Amendment of Decree) when a schedule extension is granted.

5. At Defendant's request, an extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Ecology may grant schedule extensions exceeding ninety (90) days only as a result of one of the following:

- A. Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner.
- B. Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology.
- C. Endangerment as described in Section XVII (Endangerment).

XVII. ENDANGERMENT

1. In the event Ecology determines that any activity being performed at the Study Area under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Ecology may direct Defendants to cease such activities for such period of time as it deems necessary to abate the danger. Defendants shall immediately comply with such direction.

2. In the event Defendants determine that any activity being performed at the Study Area under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Defendants may cease such activities. Defendants shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after making such

determination or ceasing such activities. Upon Ecology's direction, Defendants shall provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the determination or cessation of such activities. If Ecology disagrees with Defendants' cessation of activities, it may direct Defendants to resume such activities.

3. If Ecology concurs with or orders a work stoppage pursuant to this section, Defendants' obligations with respect to the ceased activities shall be suspended until Ecology determines the danger is abated, and the time for performance of such activities, as well as the time for any other work dependent upon such activities, shall be extended, in accordance with Section XVI (Extension of Schedule), for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

4. Nothing in this Decree shall limit the authority of Ecology, its employees, agents, or contractors to take or require appropriate action in the event of an emergency.

XVIII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

1. Covenant Not to Sue: In consideration of Defendants' compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions against Defendants regarding the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Rayonier Mill Study Area or the Western Port Angeles Harbor Study Area as shown in Exhibit C. This Covenant Not to Sue does not cover any other hazardous substance(s) or area. Ecology retains all of its authority relative to any hazardous substance(s) or area not covered by this Decree.

This Covenant Not to Sue shall have no applicability whatsoever to:

- A. Criminal liability.
- B. Liability for damages to natural resources.
- C. Any Ecology action, including cost recovery, against PLPs not a party to this Decree; provided, however, Defendants' predecessors and former corporate parent

companies identified by Ecology as PLPs prior to June 2014, shall be protected by this Covenant Not to Sue.

2. Pursuant to RCW 70A.305.040(4)(c), the Court shall amend this Covenant Not to Sue if factors not known at the time of entry of this Decree are discovered and present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment.

3. Reopeners: Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or administrative action against Defendant to require them to perform additional remedial actions at the Study Area or to pursue appropriate cost recovery, pursuant to RCW 70A.305.050, under any of the following circumstances:

A. Upon Defendants' failure to meet the requirements of this Decree.

B. Failure of the remedial action to meet the cleanup standards identified in the IAP (Exhibit B).

C. Upon Ecology's determination that remedial action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment.

D. Upon the availability of information previously unknown to Ecology regarding Study Area factors including the nature, quantity, migration, pathway, or mobility of hazardous substances, and Ecology's determination, in light of this information, that further remedial action is necessary at the Study Area to protect human health or the environment.

E. Upon Ecology's determination that additional remedial actions are necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the IAP.

4. Except in the case of an emergency, prior to instituting legal or administrative action against Defendants pursuant to this section, Ecology shall provide Defendants with fifteen (15) calendar days' notice of such action.

5. Under the terms of distribution agreements under which Rayonier Inc. spun off from ITT Corporation in 1994 and RYAM spun off from Rayonier Inc. in 2014, RYAM and its subsidiary RAMP are the successors to the environmental liabilities associated with the Site. Because of the various indemnity obligations of Rayonier Inc. to ITT Corp. and RYAM to Rayonier Inc., RYAM is the ultimate indemnitor of both ITT Corp. and Rayonier Inc. for any third-party claims arising out of the environmental liabilities at the Site. In recognition of these circumstances, Ecology covenants not to sue ITT Corp. and Rayonier Inc. for the matters covered in this decree on the same terms as set forth in paragraphs 1 through 4 of this Section XVIII. This covenant not to sue shall be null and void if RYAM and RAMP should fail to fulfill the requirements of this decree.

XIX. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

1. With regard to claims for contribution against Defendants, the Parties agree that Defendants are entitled to protection against claims for contribution for matters addressed in this Decree as provided by RCW 70A.305.040(4)(d). "Matters addressed" include all remedial actions undertaken at the Study Area and the Western Port Angeles Harbor Study Area.

2. In recognition of RYAM's indemnity obligations described in Paragraph 5 of Section XVIII above, the Parties agree that ITT Corp. and Rayonier Inc. are entitled to contribution protection for the matters covered in this decree on the same terms as set forth in Paragraph 1 of this Section XIX. This paragraph shall be null and void if RYAM and RAMP should fail to fulfill the requirements of this decree.

XX. INDEMNIFICATION

1. Defendants agree to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action (1) for death or injuries to persons, or (2) for loss or damage to property to the extent arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Defendant, their officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, Defendants shall not indemnify the State of

Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action to the extent arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in entering into or implementing this Decree.

XXI. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

1. *Applicable Law.* All actions carried out by Defendants pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in RCW 70A.305.090. At this time, it is anticipated that federal, state, and/or local requirements will be identified as being applicable to the actions required by this Decree and a table of these requirements will be compiled during the Engineering Design Phase. Defendants have a continuing obligation to identify additional applicable federal, state, and local requirements which apply to actions carried out pursuant to this Decree, and to comply with those requirements. As additional federal, state, and local requirements are identified by Ecology or the Defendants, Ecology will document in writing if they are applicable to actions carried out pursuant to this Decree, and the Defendants must implement those requirements.

2. *Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.* All actions carried out by Defendants pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with relevant and appropriate requirements identified by Ecology. The relevant and appropriate requirements that Ecology has determined apply have been identified in Table 6.3 through 6.5 of the IAP. If additional relevant and appropriate requirements are identified by Ecology or the Defendants, Ecology will document in writing if they are applicable to actions carried out pursuant to this Decree and the Defendants must implement those requirements.

3. Pursuant to RCW 70A.305.090(1), Defendants may be exempt from the procedural requirements of RCW 70A.15, 70A.205, 70A.300, 77.55, 90.48, and 90.58 and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals. However, Defendants shall comply with the substantive requirements of such permits or approvals. For permits and

approvals covered under RCW 70A.305.090(1) that have been issued by local government, the Parties agree that Ecology has the non-exclusive ability under this Decree to enforce those local government permits and/or approvals. At this time, it is anticipated that federal, state, and/or local requirements will be identified as being applicable to the actions required by this Decree and a table of these requirements will be compiled during the Engineering Design Phase.

4. Defendants have a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70A.305.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree. In the event either Ecology or Defendants determines that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70A.305.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of its determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or Defendants shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, Defendants shall promptly consult with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by Defendants and on how Defendants must meet those requirements. Ecology shall inform Defendants in writing of these requirements. Once established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. Defendants shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination.

5. Pursuant to RCW 70A.305.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70A.305.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency that is necessary for the state to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and Defendants shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70A.305.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits or approvals.

XXII. REMEDIAL ACTION COSTS

1. Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall pay to Ecology costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree and consistent with WAC 173-340-550(2). These costs shall include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the Study Area under RCW 70A.305, including remedial actions and Decree preparation, negotiation, oversight, and administration. These costs shall include work performed both prior to and subsequent to the entry of this Decree. Ecology's costs shall include costs of direct activities and support costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). For all costs incurred, Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC shall pay the required amount within thirty (30) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-550(4), failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement of costs will result in interest charges at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum, compounded monthly.

2. In addition to other available relief, pursuant to RCW 19.16.500, Ecology may utilize a collection agency and/or, pursuant to RCW 70A.305.060, file a lien against real property subject to the remedial actions to recover unreimbursed remedial action costs.

XXIII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

1. If Ecology determines that the Defendants have failed to make sufficient progress or failed to implement the remedial action, in whole or in part, Ecology may, after notice to Defendants, perform any or all portions of the remedial action or at Ecology's discretion allow the Defendants opportunity to correct. In an emergency, Ecology is not required to provide notice to Defendants, or an opportunity for dispute resolution. The Defendants shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XXII (Remedial Action Costs).

2. Except where necessary to abate an emergency or where required by law, the Defendants shall not perform any remedial actions at the Site outside those remedial actions required by this Decree to address the contamination that is the subject of this Decree, unless Ecology concurs, in writing, with such additional remedial actions pursuant to Section XV (Amendment of Decree). In the event of an emergency, or where actions are taken as required by law, Defendants must notify Ecology in writing of the event and remedial action(s) planned or taken as soon as practical but no later than within twenty-four (24) hours of the discovery of the event.

XXIV. PERIODIC REVIEW

1. So long as remedial action continues at the Study Area, the Parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the Study Area, and to review the data accumulated as a result of monitoring the Study Area as often as is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. Unless otherwise agreed to by Ecology, at least every five (5) years after the initiation of cleanup action at the Study Area the Parties shall confer regarding the status of the Study Area and the need, if any, for further remedial action at the Study Area. At least ninety (90) days prior to each periodic review, Defendants shall submit a report to Ecology that documents whether human health and the environment are being protected based on the factors set forth in WAC 173-340-420(4). Under Section XVIII (Covenant Not to Sue), Ecology reserves the right to require further remedial action at the Study Area under appropriate circumstances. This provision shall remain in effect for the duration of this Decree.

XXV. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

1. Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Study Area. However, Defendants shall cooperate with Ecology, and shall:

A. If agreed to by Ecology, develop appropriate mailing lists, prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, remedial investigation/feasibility study reports, cleanup action

plans, and engineering design reports. As appropriate, Ecology will edit, finalize, and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings.

B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before meetings related to remedial action work to be performed at the Study Area with the interested public and/or local governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Defendants prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets related to remedial action work to be performed at the Study Area, and before meetings related to remedial action work to be performed at the Study Area with the interested public and/or local governments. For all press releases, fact sheets, meetings, and other outreach efforts by Defendants that do not receive prior Ecology approval, Defendants shall clearly indicate to its audience that the press release, fact sheet, meeting, or other outreach effort was not sponsored or endorsed by Ecology.

C. When requested by Ecology, participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Study Area. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter.

E. When requested by Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories at the following locations:

- i. Port Angeles Library
2210 South Peabody Street
Port Angeles, WA 98362
- ii. Ecology's Southwest Regional Office Records Center
300 Desmond Drive
Lacey, WA 98503

At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and documents relating to public comment periods shall be promptly placed in this repository. A copy of all documents

related to this Study Area shall be maintained at Ecology's Southwest Region Office in Lacey, Washington.

XXVI. DURATION OF DECREE

1. The remedial program required pursuant to this Decree shall be maintained and continued until Defendants has received written notification from Ecology that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. This Decree shall remain in effect until dismissed by the Court. When dismissed, Section XII (Retention of Records), Section XVIII (Covenant Not to Sue), Section XIX (Contribution Protection), Section XX (Indemnification), and Section XXVII (Claims Against the State) shall survive.

XXVII. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

1. Defendants hereby agree that they will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies; and further, that Defendants will make no claim against the State Toxics Control Account, the Local Toxics Control Account, the Environmental Legacy Stewardship Account, or a MTCA Cleanup Settlement Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree. Except as provided above, however, Defendants expressly reserve their right to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other PLP. This section does not limit or address funding that may be provided under WAC 173-322A.

XXVIII. EFFECTIVE DATE

1. This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.

XXIX. WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

1. If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and void at the option of any party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this Decree.

STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

NICHOLAS W. BROWN
Attorney General


Nhi Irwin
Program Manager
Toxics Cleanup Program
(360) 791-5514

Jonathan Thompson, WSBA # 26375
LeeAnne Kane, WSBA # 52101
Assistant Attorneys General
(360) 586-6740

Date: _____

Date: _____


RAYONIER A.M. PROPERTIES LLC



R. Colby Slaughter
Senior Vice President
(904) 357-9154

Date: June 6, 2025


RAYONIER ADVANCED MATERIALS INC.



R. Colby Slaughter
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary
(904) 357-9154

ENTERED this _____ day of _____ 20____.

JUDGE
Clallam County Superior Court



Legend

 Study Area (Former Rayonier Mill)

 Stations

0 750 1,500 2,250 3,000
Feet

Exhibit A
Port Angeles Rayonier Mill
Study Area
Consent Decree XXXXX



DEPARTMENT OF
ECOLOGY
State of Washington

Port Angeles Rayonier Mill

Study Area

Consent Decree XXXXX

Exhibit B — Draft Interim Action Plan

Notice: This page is intentionally left blank.

To ensure optimal file accessibility and manageable download size, the **Draft Interim Action Plan** is provided as a separate document from the Consent Decree.

You may access the Draft Interim Action Plan (PDF, 12.6 MB) at the following link:

<https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/cleanupsearch/document/154537>

The document is also available for public review at the locations listed below:

Port Angeles Public Library

2210 South Peabody Street

Port Angeles, WA 98362

Phone: 360-417-8500

Washington State Department of Ecology – Lacey Office (by appointment only)

300 Desmond Drive SE

Lacey, WA 98503

Email: PublicDisclosureSWRO@ecy.wa.gov

Phone: 360-407-6365



Exhibit C
Port Angeles Harbor Study Areas



DEPARTMENT OF
ECOLOGY
State of Washington