STATE OF WASHINGTON					
KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT					
STATE OF WASHINGTON, NO.					
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,					
Plaintiff, CONSENT DECREE					
v.					
ART BRASS PLATING, INC., a					
Washington corporation; BLASER DIE CASTING CO., a Washington					
corporation; BURLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC, a					
Washington limited liability company; CAPITAL INDUSTRIES, INC., a					
15 CAPITAL INDUSTRIES, INC., a Washington corporation, Defendants.					
			<u>18</u>		
			TABLE OF CONTENTS		
I. INTRODUCTION					
III. PARTIES BOUND					
IV. DEFINITIONS					
V. FINDINGS OF FACT65					
VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED <u>14</u> 7					
VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS <u>19</u> 44					
VIII. PERFORMANCE					
IX. ACCESS					
X. SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, AND AVAILABILITY					

CONSENT DECREE

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Ecology Division PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 360-586-6770

1	XI.	ACCESS TO INFORMATION	V
2	XII.	RETENTION OF RECORDS	<u>23</u> 15
2	XIII.	TRANSFER OF INTEREST I	N PROPERTY
<u>3</u>	XIV.	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTE	S
4	XV.	AMENDMENT OF DECREE	<u>25</u> 18
<u>4</u>	XVI.	EXTENSION OF SCHEDULI	<u>26</u> 19
<u>5</u>	XVII.		
	XVIII.	COVENANT NOT TO SUE	
<u>6</u>	XIX.	CONTRIBUTION PROTECT	ION
<u>7</u>	XX.		<u>30</u> 23
<u>8</u>	XXI.		CABLE LAWS
<u>o</u>	XXII.		<u>3225</u>
<u>9</u>	XXIII.		MEDIAL ACTION
<u>10</u>	XXIV.		<u>33</u> 27
10	XXV.		<u>34</u> 27
<u>11</u>	XXVI.		ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.29
12	XXVII.		ATE ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED, 29
	XXVIII.		ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.29
<u>13</u>	XXIX.	WITHDRAWAL OF CONSE.	NT <u>ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.</u> 29
14		FYHIRIT A Site Location	n Diagram
<u>14</u>		EXHIBIT A Site Location EXHIBIT B Legal Description	iptions
<u>14</u> <u>15</u>		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
		EXHIBIT B Legal Descri	iptions
15 16		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
<u>15</u>		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18 19 20		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18 19 20		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		EXHIBIT B Legal Descr EXHIBIT C Cleanup Ac	iptions

<u>1</u>	
2	
<u>3</u>	
<u>4</u>	
<u>5</u>	
<u>6</u>	
<u>7</u>	
<u>8</u>	
<u>9</u>	
<u>10</u>	
<u>11</u>	
<u>12</u>	
<u>13</u>	
<u>14</u>	
<u>15</u>	
<u>16</u>	
<u>17</u>	
<u>18</u>	
<u>19</u>	
<u>20</u>	
21	
<u>22</u>	
<u>23</u>	
<u>24</u>	
<u>25</u>	
26	I

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The mutual objective of the State of Washington, Department of Ecology (Ecology) and Art Brass Plating, Inc., a Washington corporation; Blaser Die Casting Corporation, a Washington corporation; Burlington Environmental, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; and Capital Industries, Inc., a Washington corporation, (collectively, Defendants) under this Decree is to provide for remedial action at a facility where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. This Decree requires Defendant(s) to perform the remedial actions at the West of 4th Site (Site) in Seattle, Washington, as depicted and described in Exhibits A and B, in accordance with the Cleanup Action Plan, attached as Exhibit C to this Decree.
- 2. Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect human health and the environment.
- 3. The Complaint in this action will be filed simultaneously with this Decree. An Answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the Parties (as defined herein) wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's Complaint. In addition, the Parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest, and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.
- 4. By signing this Decree, the Parties agree to its entry and agree to be bound by its terms.
- 5. By entering into this Decree, the Parties do not intend to discharge non-settling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint. The Parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for sums expended under this Decree.
- 6. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts;

provided, however, that Defendants shall not challenge the authority of the Attorney General and Ecology to enforce this Decree.

7. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause having been shown:

Now, therefore, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

II. JURISDICTION

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Parties pursuant to the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), RCW 70A.305.
- 2. Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by RCW 70A.305.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person (PLP) if, after public notice and any required public meeting, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70A.305.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- 3. Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous substances has occurred at the Site that is the subject of this Decree.
- 4. Ecology has given notice to Defendants of Ecology's determination that Defendants are PLPs for the Site, as required by RCW 70A.305.020(26) and WAC 173-340-500.
- 5. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public health and the environment.
 - 6. This Decree has been subject to public notice and comment.
- 7. Ecology finds that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards established under RCW 70A.305.030(2)(e) and WAC 173-340.
- 8. Defendants have agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consent to the entry of this Decree under MTCA.

III. PARTIES BOUND

This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to this Decree, their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that they are fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with this Decree. Defendants agree to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter Defendants' responsibility under this Decree. Defendants shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents, contractors, and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree and shall ensure that all work undertaken by such agents, contractors, and subcontractors complies with this Decree.

IV. DEFINITIONS

- Unless otherwise specified herein, all definitions in RCW 70A.305.020 and WAC 173-340 shall control the meanings of the terms in this Decree.
- A. <u>Site</u>: The Site is referred to as West of 4th, Facility Site ID 47779679, Cleanup Site ID 12260. The Site is defined by where a hazardous substance, other than a consumer product in consumer use, has been deposited, stored, disposed of, or placed, or otherwise come to be located. Based upon factors currently known to Ecology, the Site is more particularly described in the Site Location Diagram (Exhibit A) and the CAP (Exhibit C). The Site constitutes a "Facility" under RCW 70A.305.020(8).
- B. <u>Site Unit</u>: The Site consists of multiple administrative sub-divisions of the Site to facilitate implementation of the remedial activities to be performed under the CAP, and to afford a more effective means for targeting remedial actions associated with specific contaminants, specific contaminated media, or specific areas of contamination. The Site Units are Site Unit 1 (SU1) and Site Unit 2 (SU2). A third area of targeted action is an area referred to as the SU Boundary Area. The Site Units and the SU Boundary Area are more fully described in the CAP (Exhibit B). Actions at individual Site Units and SU Boundary Area will contribute

to the overall cleanup of the entire Site and will not interfere with or foreclose remedial actions at other Site Units or any other portion of the Site.

- C. <u>Consent Decree or Decree</u>: Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the exhibits to this Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree.
- D. <u>Defendants</u>: Refers to Art Brass Plating, Inc., a Washington corporation; Blaser Die Casting Corporation, a Washington corporation; Burlington Environmental, LLC, a Washington limited liability company; and Capital Industries, Inc., a Washington corporation.
- E. <u>Parties</u>: Refers to the State of Washington, Department of Ecology and the Defendants.

V. FINDINGS OF FACT

Ecology makes the following findings of fact without any express or implied admissions of such facts by Defendants.

- A. Based upon factors currently known to Ecology, the Site is generally located in Seattle's Georgetown Neighborhood, bounded by Fourth Ave. S. to the east; the Duwamish Waterway to the west; S. Lucile St. to the north; and Slip 2 of the Duwamish Waterway to the south as shown in the Site Location Diagram (Exhibit A).
- B. Contaminated groundwater is present west of 4th Ave. S. Hazardous substances continue to migrate in the groundwater in the direction of regional groundwater flow, which is generally westerly to southwesterly. Contamination at the Site has been attributed to the following sources:÷
- $\label{eq:continuous} (1) \qquad \text{The Art Brass Plating (ABP) property is located at 5516 $3^{\rm rd}$ Avenue South,}$ Seattle, Washington.
- (a) Since 1983, ABP has conducted industrial operations on the ABP property exclusively for metal plating and related work (e.g., metal polishing and powder coating). Metal plating has included nickel, chrome, brass (an alloy of copper and zinc), copper,

1	and gold. The chlorinated solvent trichloroethene (TCE) was used at the ABP property for vapor
<u>2</u>	degreasing from approximately 1983 to February 2004.
<u>3</u>	(b) Hazardous substances exceeding MTCA cleanup levels were
<u>4</u>	detected in soil and groundwater on the ABP property. ABP performed interim remedial actions,
<u>5</u>	including groundwater air-sparging, soil vapor extraction, and vapor intrusion mitigation.
<u>6</u>	(2) The Blaser Die Casting (BDC) property is located at 5700 Third Avenue
<u>7</u>	South, Seattle, Washington.
<u>8</u>	(a) BDC has occupied the BDC property in its present location since
<u>9</u>	1962. BDC performed die casting until 2010 when the building was converted to a storage and
<u>10</u>	distribution facility. BDC's processes did not change substantively from 1962 until 2010. A
<u>11</u>	release of TCE occurred sometime before 1996 when a building addition was constructed at the
<u>12</u>	BDC property. The building addition is currently used as warehouse and temporary storage
<u>13</u>	space.
<u>14</u>	(b) BDC performed soil, soil gas, indoor air, and groundwater
<u>15</u>	sampling on and under its property and in the vicinity of its property and detected TCE and viny
<u>16</u>	chloride (VC) exceeding MTCA cleanup levels. BDC performed interim remedial actions at the
<u>17</u>	BDC property, including excavating and removing contaminated soils and vapor intrusion
<u>18</u>	mitigation.
<u>19</u>	(c) Contaminated groundwater is present west of 4 th Ave. S. TCE and
<u>20</u>	VC continue to migrate in the groundwater from the BDC property in the direction of regional
<u>21</u>	groundwater flow, which is generally westerly to southwesterly.
<u>22</u>	(3) The Capital Industries (CI) property located at 5801 Third Avenue South
<u>23</u>	Seattle, Washington.
<u>24</u>	(a) The CI Property consists of multiple buildings (referred to as
<u>25</u>	Plants 1 through 5 typically) located at 5801 3rd Avenue South. Former CI operations that may
<u>26</u>	have resulted in releases of TCE and/or tetrachloroethene (PCE) to soil and groundwater from

1	the
2	sol
<u>3</u>	ha
4	soi
<u>5</u>	
<u>6</u>	the
7	lev
<u>8</u>	
<u>9</u>	inc
<u>10</u>	vaj
<u>11</u>	
<u>12</u>	plu
<u>13</u>	flo
<u>14</u>	Lo
<u>15</u>	and
<u>16</u>	ext
<u>17</u>	
<u>18</u>	op
<u>19</u>	Wa
<u>20</u>	20
21	
<u>22</u>	Au

<u>25</u>

<u> 26</u>

the CI property include use of a vapor degreaser formerly located in CI Plant 4, and use of a solvent-based parts cleaner formerly located in CI Plant 2. Concentrations of PCE and/or TCE have been confirmed in soil, groundwater, and soil gas at the CI Property. PCE was detected in soil and groundwater at CI Plant 4.

- (b) CI performed soil, soil gas, and groundwater sampling on and in he vicinity of the CI property and detected hazardous substances exceeding MTCA cleanup evels.
- (c) CI performed interim remedial actions at the CI property, including soil excavation at CI Plant 2, an in-situ chemical oxidation pilot test at CI Plant 4, and vapor intrusion mitigation.
- (d) Contaminated groundwater is present west of 4th Ave. S. At SU2, plumes of TCE-contaminated groundwater extend from the BDC Property and the CI Property flowing generally to the southwest in the direction of regional groundwater but do not reach the Lower Duwamish Waterway. Plume boundaries in SU1 and SU2 are defined for TCE. The PCE and TCE plume associated with CI Plant 4 is a separate plume with a more limited downgradient extent than the plume from CI Plant 2.
- (4) Burlington Environmental, LLC (BE) is and has been the owner and operator of the dangerous waste management facility located at 734 South Lucile Street, Seattle, Washington. The BE facility ceased operations and is subject to a separate CAP (dated May 2010) under Agreed Order DE 7347 with Ecology.¹
- (a) Chemical Processors, Inc. or "Chempro" was incorporated in August 1970 and began solvent distillation and recycling operations on its property initially on behalf of the Preservative Paint Company. In December 1986, the Sabey Corporation purchased

Formatted: Line spacing: single

¹ The BE facility is defined as "the dangerous waste/hazardous waste management facility owned and operated by PSC located at 734 South Lucile Street, Seattle, Washington, and all contiguous land, and structures, other appurtenances, and improvements on the land used for recycling, reusing, reclaiming, transferring, storing, treating or disposing of dangerous waste or dangerous waste constituents also controlled by PSC. "

<u>1</u>	
<u>2</u>	
<u>3</u>	
<u>4</u>	
<u>5</u>	
<u>6</u>	
<u>7</u>	
<u>8</u>	
9	
<u>10</u>	
<u>11</u>	
<u>12</u>	
<u>13</u>	
<u>14</u>	
<u>15</u>	
<u>16</u>	
<u>17</u>	
<u>18</u>	
<u>19</u>	
<u>20</u>	
<u>21</u>	
<u>22</u>	
<u>23</u>	
<u>24</u>	
<u>25</u>	

a 100% interest in Chempro and became the sole owner. In March 1988, Burlington Northern Inc. ("BNI"), through its subsidiary Glacier Park Company ("GPC"), acquired a majority interest in Chempro from the Sabey Corporation. Subsequent to the corporate spin-off of the BNI resources companies into a separate company, Burlington Resources Inc. ("BR") became the majority owner of Chempro.

- (b) Under BR's ownership, Chempro filed its Part B permit application, and in 1988 negotiated a Section 3008(h) Agreed Order with the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to perform a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) facility investigation and corrective measures study at the Georgetown Facility. In August 1991, EPA and Ecology jointly issued Permit No. WAD 000812909 to Chempro to treat and store hazardous and dangerous wastes.
- (c) In January 1992, Chempro formally changed its corporate name to "Burlington Environmental Inc." In March 2003, following several corporate mergers and acquisitions at the parent company level, Burlington Environmental Inc. became a wholly owned subsidiary of PSC Environmental Services, Inc. In April 2008, Burlington Environmental merged with Burlington-PSC, LLC to form a new entity called "Burlington Environmental, LLC" (BE).
- (d) BE owned and operated the BE property as a dangerous waste management facility on or after November 19, 1980, the date that subjected facilities to RCRA permitting requirements, including interim status requirements pursuant to Section 3005 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6925, and implementing regulations thereunder, and including authorized state dangerous waste regulations promulgated in Chapter 173-303 WAC.
- (e) BE's Georgetown dangerous waste facility Permit expired in August 2001. In February 2001, BE submitted a dangerous waste permit renewal application to Ecology to continue operations until closure and the completion of corrective action.

3
<u>4</u>
<u>5</u>
<u>6</u>
<u>7</u>
<u>8</u>
9
<u>10</u>
<u>11</u>
<u>12</u>
<u>13</u>
<u>14</u>
<u>15</u>
<u>16</u>
<u>17</u>
<u>18</u>
<u>19</u>
<u>20</u>
<u>21</u>
<u>22</u>
<u>23</u>
<u>24</u>
<u>25</u>
26

- (f) The BE dangerous waste facility consists of two adjacent and contiguous parcels of property the original permitted parcel consisting of approximately two (2) acres located at 734 Lucile Street, Seattle, Washington, and an unpermitted parcel of approximately four (4) acres (the former Amalgamated Sugar Company or "TASCO" property) located at 5400 Denver Avenue, Seattle, Washington. BE purchased the Amalgamated Sugar parcel on December 2, 2002.
- (g) On December 1, 2002, BE notified Ecology of its intent to close all permitted dangerous waste operations at its Georgetown facility. In August 2003, Ecology conditionally accepted certification for final clean closure of permitted dangerous waste management units at the facility after reviewing the certification report and supporting documentation in the "Facility Clean Closure Certification" submitted by BE in July 2003. BE closed the operating portion of the facility effective December 2003.
- (h) BE has performed an RI under permit WAD 00081 2909. The RI Report, as amended, was approved by Ecology in December 2004. During the RI, BE performed soil, soil gas, and groundwater sampling on and in the vicinity of the BE property and detected concentrations of hazardous substances in soils and groundwater, including TCE, exceeding MTCA clean up levels. The hazardous substances have been detected on and to the north and east of the BE property. Hazardous substances due to releases of hazardous substances from the BE property have contaminated groundwater east and west of 4th Ave S., and migrate in the direction of regional groundwater flow, which is generally westerly-to-southwesterly. This has resulted in contaminated groundwater located both east and west of 4th Ave. S.
- (i) The western area of the BE plume(s) is within the Site. In 2005, Ecology divided the BE property into two areas for purposes of administering cleanup, designated as the "East of 4th Avenue South Area" and the "West of 4th Avenue South Area," as shown on Exhibit A. The eastern area of the BE facility, located to the east of 4th Ave. S., is being addressed under a May 2010 Cleanup Action Plan and Agreed Order DE #7347.

1	
2	
<u>3</u>	
<u>4</u>	
<u>5</u>	
<u>6</u>	
<u>7</u>	
<u>8</u>	
9	
<u>10</u>	
<u>11</u>	
<u>12</u>	
<u>13</u>	
<u>14</u>	
<u>15</u>	
<u>16</u>	
<u>17</u>	
<u>18</u>	
<u>19</u>	
<u>20</u>	
<u>21</u>	
<u>22</u>	
<u>23</u>	
<u>24</u>	
<u>25</u>	

- (j) Agreed Order DE #7347 requires remediation of 1,4-dioxane in groundwater, which appears to be due to migration of groundwater from the E4 Site. The presence of 1,4 dioxane in Site groundwater appears to be due to migration of groundwater originating from the E4 Site and is being addressed by Clean Earth/BE under Agreed Order DE #7347.
- (k) BE's corrective action obligations with respect to its dangerous waste facility are enforceable conditions of its June 2010 dangerous waste permit, WAD 00081 2909, under the authority of Chapter 70A.305 RCW, and its implementing regulations, Chapter 173-303 WAC.
- (l) BE has performed interim remedial actions on the BE property, including implementation of an Inhalation Pathway Interim Measure Program and a vapor intrusion program that included performing reconnaissance borings, collecting indoor air, ambient air, and subslab soil gas samples at multiple building locations, and installing subslab and/or submembrane depressurization systems.
- C. Remedial Investigation (RI) reports were completed to characterize W4 Site conditions and collect the information needed to prepare the Feasibility Study (FS), as documented in the Remedial Investigation Report, Art Brass Plating (ABP RI Report; Aspect, 2012), Revised Draft Remedial Investigation Report, Capital Industries (CI RI Report; Farallon, 2012), Revised Remedial Investigation, Blaser Die Casting (BDC RI Report; PGG, 2012), and Final Comprehensive Remedial Investigation Report for Philip Services Corporation's Georgetown Facility, Philip Services Corporation (BE RI; PSC, 2003).
- D. RI activities were completed in 2012. Ecology initiated negotiations with ABP, CI, BDC, and BE for an Agreed Order to complete an FS and prepare a draft CAP (dCAP) for the Site. AO No. DE10402, which required the Defendants to conduct an FS and submit a dCAP was signed by the Parties on April 23, 2014.

<u>/</u>
<u>8</u>
9
<u>10</u>
<u>11</u>
<u>12</u>
<u>13</u>
<u>14</u>
<u>15</u>
<u>16</u>
<u>17</u>
<u>18</u>
<u>19</u>
<u>20</u>
21
<u>22</u>
<u>23</u>
<u>24</u>
25

1

2

3

4

5

6

- E. Between 2014 and 2016, the Defendants submitted numerous technical memorandums to Ecology as required by the AO. The "Site Conceptual Model Technical Memo" (SCM; Aspect, 2014) identifies the sources of constituents of concern (COCs), nature and extent of contamination, and known and potential exposure pathways and receptors.
- F. FS reports for the Site (Aspect, 2016 and PGG, 2016) were approved by Ecology in a letter dated October 25, 2016 (Ecology, 2016). The FS Addendum reports for the Site (Aspect, 2023 and Farallon, 2023) were approved by Ecology in a letter dated September 7, 2023 (Ecology, 2023).
- G. Releases of hazardous substances have occurred at the Site. The following hazardous substances at the Site have been detected at concentrations above MTCA cleanup levels: Chlorinated Solvents (PCE, TCE, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, trans-1,2-dichloroethene, 1,1-dichloroethene, vinyl chloride (VC)) and Metals (cadmium, copper, nickel, zinc, arsenic, and manganese) in soil and/or groundwater. These hazardous substances have been released at the Site into the environment including soil and groundwater.
- H. The constituents of concern (COCs) for the Site are TCE, VC, and select plating metals (nickel, copper, and zinc), and are summarized as follows:

a. Soil

i. TCE concentrations exceeding MTCA Method B direct contact cleanup levels were last detected as of sampling performed in ____. No TCE concentrations have been detected in soil above the direct contact cleanup level since implementation of interim actions by ABP. However, a historical exceedance of TCE is located under the ABP property that is inaccessible, so potential exceedances remain in this area as of 2024. The location is beneath the building where drilling would penetrate a secondary containment floor coating in an active plating area.

12

b. Groundwater

1	i. Chlorinated solvent releases from the properties owned and
2	operated by the Defendants are present in groundwater, which migrates laterally to the west
<u>3</u>	and/or southwest. In SU1, the chlorinated solvent plume migrates upward west of 1st Avenue
<u>4</u>	South to the southwest and extends to the Lower Duwamish Waterway. At SU2, the existing site
<u>5</u>	characterization data indicates that the chlorinated solvent plume migrates to the west and/or
<u>6</u>	southwest and does not reach Slip 2 of the Lower Duwamish Waterway. TCE does not extend
<u>7</u>	vertically below 40 feet in depth. Chlorinated solvent plume boundaries in SU1 and SU2 are
<u>8</u>	defined for TCE, as shown on Figure 2-4. Chlorinated solvent degradation products (including
9	Dichloroethene and Vinyl Chloride) are present in groundwater pursuant to a similar flow path.
<u>10</u>	ii. Vinyl Chloride (VC) is present in groundwater at the W4 Site in
<u>11</u>	the shallow and intermediate groundwater intervals, as illustrated in Figure 2-5. Concentrations
<u>12</u>	of VC that are migrating from the BE property within the E4 Site into the W4 Site have become
<u>13</u>	commingled with VC associated with releases that occurred at the ABP, CI, and BDC properties.
<u>14</u>	Near the center of the W4 Site, an area of elevated VC concentrations in the shallow and
<u>15</u>	intermediate groundwater intervals downgradient of 1st Avenue South is referred to as the Site
<u>16</u>	Unit Boundary Area.
<u>17</u>	iii. A PCE plume has been identified in groundwater at the CI Plant 4
<u>18</u>	property. The PCE plume is limited in extent and present only in the water table and shallow
<u>19</u>	groundwater intervals.
<u>20</u>	iv. Plating metals are present in groundwater and are only identified
<u>21</u>	as a COC in SU-1. In SU-1, plating metals copper, nickel, and zinc in groundwater exceed
<u>22</u>	cleanup levels for protection of surface water. The horizontal extent of impacts from plating
<u>23</u>	metals extends to a distance of approximately 400 feet downgradient from the ABP Property.
<u>24</u>	Copper and zinc are limited to the water table groundwater interval, and nickel extends to the
<u>25</u>	abellan annual antan internal Nichal basels annual and annual and a Cimart The
<u> 23</u>	shallow groundwater interval. Nickel has the greatest area and magnitude of impact. The

2

3

maximum extent of plating metals in groundwater is represented as the extent of nickel in groundwater, as shown in the CAP.

- I. Several interim actions have been completed or are underway at the Site. These interim actions have been both independent remedial actions or formal remedial actions as outlined in more detail in the CAP, and consist of:
- a. Treatment via in situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) at CI Plant 4 to reduce chlorinated solvent concentrations in groundwater;
- b. Treatment via an air sparging/soil vapor extraction (AS/SVE) system at the ABP property to reduce chlorinated solvent concentrations in soil and groundwater;
- c. A Metals Immobilization Pilot Study evaluated in situ treatment methods at the ABP property for immobilizing metals in groundwater (primarily nickel);
- d. An enhanced bioremediation pilot study evaluated in situ treatment options downgradient of the ABP property at South Fidalgo Street (Fidalgo Street) near the Lower Duwamish Waterway to reduce chlorinated solvent concentrations in groundwater;
- e. Permanent soil removal via excavation in the BDC property (Pacific Groundwater Group 2008) and at the CI property (Farallon 2012); and
- f. Assessment and implementing vapor intrusion mitigation measures at multiple structures throughout the Site.
 - J. Ecology has assigned the Site an overall priority ranking of 4 pursuant to MTCA.
- K. As documented in the CAP (Exhibit B), Ecology has chosen a final cleanup action to be implemented at the Site.

L. [Etc.]

VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

1. This Decree contains a program designed to protect human health and the environment from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances at, on, or

from the Site. All remedial action(s) conducted by Defendants at the Site shall be done in accordance with WAC 173-340.2.

- 2. The Defendants shall implement the CAP (Exhibit C) in accordance with the Schedule attached to this Decree (Exhibit D). Among other remedial actions, the CAP requires Defendants to conduct pH neutralization for immobilization of metals in groundwater in the vicinity of the ABP Property; perform enhanced bioremediation treatment injections for groundwater at Fidalgo Street; install and operate a soil vapor extraction (SVE) system at CI Plant 4 to address chlorinated solvents in soil; implement institutional and engineering controls as appropriate throughout the Site to mitigate direct contact with affected soil and groundwater; evaluate ongoing natural attenuation of chlorinated solvents in groundwater throughout the Site; conduct compliance groundwater monitoring to demonstrate to monitor natural attenuation of chlorinated solvents; and evaluate and implement contingency actions where necessary to meet the cleanup action objectives cited in the CAP for the Site.
- 3. To effectuate the work to be performed under this Decree in the most efficient manner, certain Defendants have elected to take the lead in performing various aspects of the work required under this Decree. Language in this Decree, and the exhibits attached hereto, may reflect this agreement among the Defendants. However, the Defendants remain strictly, jointly, and severally liable for the performance of any and all obligations under this Decree. In the event the party identified as a lead should fail to timely and properly complete performance of all or any portion of its work, all Defendants must perform that remaining work, if any.
- 4. All plans or other deliverables submitted by Defendants for Ecology's review and approval under the CAP (Exhibit C) or Schedule (Exhibit D) shall, upon Ecology's approval, become integral and enforceable parts of this Decree.
- 5. If Defendants learn of a significant change in conditions at the Site, including a statistically significant increasing trend in CVOC concentrations that is sustained in groundwater and air at the shoreline and where the CVOC concentrations at the shoreline pose a risk to the

Lower Duwamish Waterway, then Defendants, within seven (7) days of learning of the change in condition, shall notify Ecology in writing of said change and provide Ecology with any reports or records (including laboratory analyses, sampling results) relating to the change in conditions.

- 6. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-440(11), Defendants shall maintain sufficient and adequate financial assurance mechanisms based on net present value with an agreed discount rate to cover all costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the remedial action at the Site, including institutional controls, compliance monitoring, and corrective measures.
- A. Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Decree, Defendants shall submit to Ecology for review and approval an estimate of the costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the remedial action at the Site that it will incur in carrying out the terms of this Decree. Within sixty (60) days after Ecology approves the aforementioned cost estimate, Defendants shall provide proof of financial assurances sufficient to cover those costs in a form acceptable to Ecology.
- B. Defendants shall adjust the financial assurance coverage and provide Ecology's project coordinator with documentation of the updated financial assurance for:
- i. Inflation, annually, within thirty (30) days of the anniversary date of the entry of this Decree; or if applicable, the modified anniversary date established in accordance with this section, or if applicable, ninety (90) days after the close of Defendant's fiscal year if the financial test or corporate guarantee is used.
- ii. Changes in cost estimates, within thirty (30) days of issuance of Ecology's approval of a modification or revision to the CAP that result in increases to the cost or expected duration of remedial actions. Any adjustments for inflation since the most recent preceding anniversary date shall be made concurrent with adjustments for changes in cost estimates. The issuance of Ecology's approval of a revised or modified CAP will revise the anniversary date established under this section to become the date of issuance of such revised or modified CAP.

- C. The Financial Assurance Officer for Ecology shall work with the project coordinators to review and approve financial assurance coverage pursuant to this Decree and make determinations on any adjustments necessary based on the annual reporting. As of the execution date of this Decree, Ecology's Financial Assurance Officer is Joanna Seymour, 360-485-5992 or Joanna.Seymour@ecy.wa.gov.
- 7. As detailed in the CAP, institutional controls are required at the Site. Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants will be used to implement the institutional controls.
- A. In consultation with Defendants, Ecology will prepare the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants consistent with WAC 173-340-440, RCW 64.70, and any policies or procedures specified by Ecology. The Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants shall restrict future activities and uses of the Site as agreed to by Ecology and Defendants.
- B. After approval by Ecology, Defendants shall record the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants for affected properties it owns with the office of the King County Auditor as detailed in the Schedule (Exhibit D). Defendants shall provide Ecology with the original recorded Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants within thirty (30) days of the recording date.
- C. As detailed in the CAP, as part of the remedial action for the Site, institutional controls are required on properties not owned by Defendant(s). Defendant(s) will ensure that the owner of each affected property records an Ecology-approved Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant as detailed in the Schedule (Exhibit D). Upon a showing that Defendant(s) has made a good faith effort to secure an Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant for an affected property and failed to do so, Ecology may provide assistance to Defendant(s). Unless Ecology determines otherwise, affected properties include [addresses or parcel numbers]. Defendant(s) shall provide Ecology with the original recorded Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant within thirty (30) days of the recording date.

Commented [KL1]: This information will be incorporated before final execution of the Consent Decree.

<u>2</u>
<u>3</u>
<u>4</u>
<u>5</u>
<u>6</u>
<u>7</u>
<u>8</u>
9
<u>10</u>
<u>11</u>
<u>12</u>
<u>13</u>
<u>14</u>
<u>15</u>
<u>16</u>
<u>17</u>
<u>18</u>
<u>19</u>
<u>20</u>
<u>21</u>
<u>22</u>
<u>23</u>
<u>24</u>
<u>25</u>
<u> 26</u>

- 8. Unless otherwise directed by Ecology, Defendants shall submit written quarterly Progress Reports to Ecology that describe the actions taken during the previous quarter to implement the requirements of this Decree. All Progress Reports shall be submitted by the tenth (10th) day of the month in which they are due after the effective date of this Decree. Unless otherwise specified in writing by Ecology, Progress Reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by electronic mail to Ecology's project coordinator. The Progress Reports shall include the following:
- A. A list of on-site activities that have taken place during the prior reporting period.
 - B. Description of any sample results which deviate from the norm.
- C. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise documented in project plans or amendment requests.
- D. Description of all deviations from the Schedule (Exhibit D) during the prior three (3) month reporting period and any planned deviations in the upcoming three (3) month reporting period.
- E. For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and maintaining compliance with the schedule.
- F. All raw data (including laboratory analyses) received during the previous three (3) month reporting period (if not previously submitted to Ecology), together with a detailed description of the underlying samples collected.
- G. A list of planned activities for the upcoming three (3) month reporting period.
- 9. Except in the case of an emergency, Defendants agree not to perform any remedial actions at the Site outside the scope of this Decree without prior written approval of Ecology. In the case of an emergency, Defendants must notify Ecology of the event and remedial

23

24

2526

action(s) as soon as practical, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after discovery of the emergency.

VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

1. The project coordinator for Ecology is:

[Name] [Address] [Telephone] [Email]

2. The project coordinators for Defendants are:

[Name] [Address] [Telephone] [Email]

3. Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree. Ecology's project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative for the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and Defendants and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the work to be performed required by this Decree.

4. Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other party at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

VIII. PERFORMANCE

1. Except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43 and 18.220, all geologic and hydrogeologic work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the supervision and direction of a geologist or hydrogeologist licensed by the State of Washington or under the direct supervision of an engineer registered by the State of Washington.

Commented [KL2]: This information will be provided prior to execution of the Consent Decree.

- Except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130, all engineering work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington.
- 3. Except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130, all construction work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington or a qualified technician under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington.
- 4. As required by RCW 18.43 and 18.220, any documents submitted containing geologic, hydrogeologic, or engineering work shall be under the seal of an appropriately licensed professional.
- 5. Defendants shall notify Ecology in writing of the identity of any engineer(s) and geologist(s), contractor(s) and subcontractor(s), and other key personnel to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

IX. ACCESS

- 1. Ecology or any Ecology-authorized representative shall have access to enter and freely move about all property at the Site that Defendants either owns, controls, or has access rights to at all reasonable times for the purposes of, *inter alia*: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing Defendant's progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by Defendants.
- 2. Nothing in this Decree is intended by the Defendants to waive any right it may have under applicable law to limit disclosure of documents protected by the attorney work-product privilege and/or the attorney-client privilege. If Defendants withhold any requested records based on an assertion of privilege, it shall provide Ecology with a privilege log specifying

the records withheld and the applicable privilege. No Site-related data collected pursuant to this Decree shall be considered privileged.

- 3. Defendants shall make all reasonable efforts to secure access rights for those properties within the Site not owned or controlled by Defendants where remedial activities or investigations will be performed pursuant to this Decree.
- 4. Ecology or any Ecology-authorized representative shall give reasonable notice before entering any Site property owned or controlled by Defendants unless an emergency prevents such notice. All Parties who access the Site pursuant to this section shall comply with any applicable health and safety plan(s). Ecology employees and their representatives shall not be required to sign any liability release or waiver as a condition of Site property access.

X. SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, AND AVAILABILITY

- 1. With respect to the implementation of this Decree, Defendants shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it or on its behalf available to Ecology by submitting data as detailed in this section. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-840(5), all sampling data shall be submitted to Ecology in both printed and electronic formats in accordance with paragraph 8 of Section VI (Work to be Performed), Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Policy 840 (Data Submittal Requirements), and/or any subsequent procedures specified by Ecology for data submittal.
- 2. If requested by Ecology, Defendants shall allow Ecology and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Defendants pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Defendants shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or work activity at the Site. Ecology shall, upon request, allow Defendants and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree, provided that doing so does not interfere with Ecology's sampling. Without limitation on Ecology's rights under Section IX

(Access), Ecology shall notify Defendants prior to any sample collection activity unless an emergency prevents such notice.

3. In accordance with WAC 173-340-830(2)(a), all hazardous substance analyses shall be conducted by a laboratory accredited under WAC 173-50 for the specific analyses to be conducted, unless otherwise approved by Ecology.

XI. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

- 1. Defendants shall provide to Ecology, upon request, copies of all records, reports, documents, and other information (including records, reports, documents, and other information in electronic form) (hereinafter referred to as "Records") within Defendants' possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to activities at the Site or to the implementation of this Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information regarding the work. Defendants shall also make available to Ecology, for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, their employees, agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the work.
- 2. Nothing in this Decree is intended to waive any rights Defendants may have under applicable law to limit disclosure of Records protected by the attorney work-product privilege and/or the attorney-client privilege. If Defendants withhold any requested Records based on an assertion of privilege, Defendants shall provide Ecology with a privilege log specifying the Records withheld and the applicable privilege. No Site-related data collected pursuant to this Decree shall be considered privileged, including: (1) any data regarding the Site, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, radiological, biological, or engineering data, or the portion of any other record that evidences conditions at or around the Site; or (2) the portion of any Record that Defendants are required to create or generate pursuant to this Order.

1

3. Notwithstanding any provision of this Order, Ecology retains all of its information gathering and inspection authorities and rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under any other applicable statutes or regulations.

XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

During the pendency of this Decree, and for ten (10) years from the date this Decree is no longer in effect as provided in Section XXVI (Duration of Decree), Defendants shall preserve all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in its possession relevant to the implementation of this Decree and shall insert a similar record retention requirement into all contracts with project contractors and subcontractors. Upon request of Ecology, Defendants shall make all records available to Ecology and allow access for review within a reasonable time.

XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

- No voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other
 interest in any portion of the Site shall be consummated by Defendants without provision for
 continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and/or
 monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.
- 2. Prior to Defendant's transfer of any interest in all or any portion of the Site, and during the effective period of this Decree, Defendants shall provide a copy of this Decree to any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in said interest. At least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Defendant(s) shall notify Ecology of said transfer. Upon its transfer of any interest, Defendants shall notify all transferees of the restrictions on the activities and uses of the property under this Decree and incorporate any such use restrictions into the transfer documents.

XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

1. In the event that Defendants elect to invoke dispute resolution, Defendants must utilize the procedure set forth below.

- A. Upon the triggering event (receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's written decision or an itemized billing statement), Defendants have fourteen (14) calendar days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator in writing of its dispute (Informal Dispute Notice).
- B. The Parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute informally. The parties shall informally confer for up to fourteen (14) calendar days from receipt of the Informal Dispute Notice. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within those 14 calendar days, then within seven (7) calendar days Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision (Informal Dispute Decision) stating: the nature of the dispute; the Defendant's position with regards to the dispute; Ecology's position with regards to the dispute; and the extent of resolution reached by informal discussion.
- C. Defendants may then request regional management review of the dispute. Defendants must submit this request (Formal Dispute Notice) in writing to the Northwest Region Toxics Cleanup Section Manager within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of Ecology's Informal Dispute Decision. The Formal Dispute Notice shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth: the nature of the dispute; the disputing Party's position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support its position.
- D. The Section Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute (Decision on Dispute) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Formal Dispute Notice.
- E. If Defendants find Ecology's Regional Section Manager's decision of the disputed matter unacceptable, Defendants may then request final management review of that decision. Defendants must submit this request (Final Review Request) in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) calendar days of Defendant's receipt of the Decision on Dispute. The Final Review Request shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth:

the nature of the dispute; the disputing Defendant's position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support its position.

- F. Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute (Final Decision on Dispute) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Final Review Request. The Toxics Cleanup Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.
- 2. If Ecology's Final Decision on Dispute is unacceptable to Defendants, Defendants have the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. Under RCW 70A.305.070, Ecology's investigative and remedial decisions shall be upheld unless they are arbitrary and capricious.
- 3. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.
- 4. Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.
- 5. In case of a dispute, failure to either proceed with the work required by this Decree or timely invoke dispute resolution may result in Ecology's determination that insufficient progress is being made in preparation of a deliverable and may result in Ecology undertaking the work under Section XXIII (Implementation of Remedial Action).

XV. AMENDMENT OF DECREE

 The Parties may agree to minor changes to the work to be performed without formally amending this Decree. Minor changes will be documented in writing by Ecology.

- 2. Substantial changes to the work to be performed shall require formal amendment of this Decree. This Decree may only be formally amended by a written stipulation among the Parties that is entered by the Court, or by order of the Court. Ecology will provide its written consent to a formal amendment only after public notice and opportunity to comment on the formal amendment. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend the Decree shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party.
- 3. When requesting a change to the Decree, Defendants shall submit a written request to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in writing and in a timely manner after the written request is received. If Ecology determines that the change is substantial, then the Decree must be formally amended. Reasons for the disapproval of a proposed change to this Decree shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to the requested change, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XII (Resolution of Disputes).

XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

- 1. Defendant's request for an extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify:
 - A. The deadline that is sought to be extended.
 - B. The length of the extension sought.
 - C. The reason(s) for the extension.
- D. Any related deadline or schedule that would be affected if the extension were granted.
- 2. The burden shall be on Defendants to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause may include, but may not be limited to:

1

2

4

5

6

- A. Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of Defendants, including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by Defendants.
- B. A shelter in place or work stoppage mandated by state or local government order due to public health and safety emergencies.
- Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty.
 - D. Endangerment as described in Section XVII (Endangerment).
- However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of this Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendants.
- 4. Ecology shall act upon any Defendant's written request for extension in a timely fashion. Ecology shall give Defendants written notification of any extensions granted pursuant to this Decree. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology or, if required, by the Court. Unless the extension is a substantial change, it shall not be necessary to amend this Decree pursuant to Section XV (Amendment of Decree) when a schedule extension is granted.
- 5. At Defendant's request an extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Ecology may grant schedule extensions exceeding ninety (90) days only as a result of one of the following:
- A. Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner.
 - B. Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology.
 - C. Endangerment as described in Section XVII (Endangerment).

XVII. ENDANGERMENT

- 1. In the event Ecology determines that any activity being performed at the Site under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Ecology may direct Defendants to cease such activities for such period of time as it deems necessary to abate the danger. Defendants shall immediately comply with such direction.
- 2. In the event Defendants determine that any activity being performed at the Site under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Defendants may cease such activities. Defendants shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after making such determination or ceasing such activities. Upon Ecology's direction, Defendants shall provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the determination or cessation of such activities. If Ecology disagrees with Defendant's cessation of activities, it may direct Defendants to resume such activities.
- 3. If Ecology concurs with or orders a work stoppage pursuant to this section, Defendant's obligations with respect to the ceased activities shall be suspended until Ecology determines the danger is abated, and the time for performance of such activities, as well as the time for any other work dependent upon such activities, shall be extended, in accordance with Section XVI (Extension of Schedule), for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.
- Nothing in this Decree shall limit the authority of Ecology, its employees, agents, or contractors to take or require appropriate action in the event of an emergency.

XVIII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

Covenant Not to Sue: In consideration of Defendant's compliance with the terms
and conditions of this Decree, Ecology covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions
against Defendants regarding the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the

<u> </u>
<u>4</u>
<u>5</u>
<u>6</u>
<u>7</u>
<u>8</u>
9
<u>10</u>
<u>11</u>
<u>12</u>
<u>13</u>
<u>14</u>
<u>15</u>
<u>16</u>
<u>17</u>
<u>18</u>
<u>19</u>
<u>20</u>
<u>21</u>
<u>22</u>
<u>23</u>
24
<u>25</u>
<u>26</u>

Site. This Covenant Not to Sue does not cover any other hazardous substance(s) or area. Ecology retains all of its authority relative to any hazardous substance(s) or area not covered by this Decree.

This Covenant Not to Sue shall have no applicability whatsoever to:

- A. Criminal liability.
- B. Liability for damages to natural resources.
- C. Any Ecology action, including cost recovery, against PLPs not a party to this Decree.
- 2. Pursuant to RCW 70A.305.040(4)(c), the Court shall amend this Covenant Not to Sue if factors not known at the time of entry of this Decree are discovered and present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment.
- 3. 4. Reopeners: Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or administrative action against Defendants to require it to perform additional remedial actions at the Site and to pursue appropriate cost recovery, pursuant to RCW 70A.305.050, under any of the following circumstances:
 - A. Upon Defendants' failure to meet the requirements of this Decree.
- B. Failure of the remedial action to meet the cleanup standards identified in the CAP (Exhibit B).
- C. Upon Ecology's determination that remedial action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment.
- D. Upon the availability of information previously unknown to Ecology regarding Site factors including the nature, quantity, migration, pathway, or mobility of hazardous substances, and Ecology's determination, in light of this information, that further remedial action is necessary at the Site to protect human health or the environment.

CONSENT DECREE

- E. Upon Ecology's determination that additional remedial actions are necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the CAP.
- 5. Except in the case of an emergency, prior to instituting legal or administrative action against Defendants pursuant to this section, Ecology shall provide Defendants with fifteen (15) calendar days' notice of such action.

XIX. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

With regard to claims for contribution against Defendants, the Parties agree that Defendants are entitled to protection against claims for contribution for all matters addressed in this Decree as provided by RCW 70A.305.040(4)(d).

XX. INDEMNIFICATION

1. Defendants agree to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action (1) for death or injuries to persons, or (2) for loss or damage to property to the extent arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Defendants, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, Defendants shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action to the extent arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in entering into or implementing this Decree.

XXI. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

1. Applicable Law. All actions carried out by Defendants pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in RCW 70A.305.090. At this time, no federal, state, or local requirements have been identified as being applicable to the actions required by this Decree. Defendants have a continuing obligation to identify additional applicable federal, state, and local requirements which apply to actions carried out pursuant to

30

360-586-6770

this Decree, and to comply with those requirements. As additional federal, state, and local requirements are identified by Ecology or the Defendants, Ecology will document in writing if they are applicable to actions carried out pursuant to this Decree, and the Defendants must implement those requirements.

- 2. Relevant and Appropriate Requirements. All actions carried out by Defendants pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with relevant and appropriate requirements identified by Ecology. At this time, no relevant and appropriate requirements have been identified as being applicable to the actions required by this Decree.. If additional relevant and appropriate requirements are identified by Ecology or the Defendants, Ecology will document in writing if they are applicable to actions carried out pursuant to this Decree and the Defendants must implement those requirements.
- 3. Pursuant to RCW 70A.305.090(1), Defendants may be exempt from the procedural requirements of RCW 70A.15, 70A.205, 70A.300, 77.55, 90.48, and 90.58 and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals. However, Defendants shall comply with the substantive requirements of such permits or approvals. For permits and approvals covered under RCW 70A.305.090(1) that have been issued by local government, the Parties agree that Ecology has the non-exclusive ability under this Decree to enforce those local government permits and/or approvals. At this time, no state or local permits or approvals have been identified as being applicable but procedurally exempt under this section.
- 4. Defendants have a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70A.305.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree. In the event either Ecology or Defendants determine that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70A.305.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of its determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or Defendants shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, Defendants shall promptly consult

<u> 26</u>

with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by Defendants and on how Defendants must meet those requirements. Ecology shall inform Defendants in writing of these requirements. Once established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. Defendants shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination.

5. Pursuant to RCW 70A.305.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70A.305.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency that is necessary for the state to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and Defendants shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70A.305.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits or approvals.

XXII. REMEDIAL ACTION COSTS

1. Defendants shall pay to Ecology costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree and consistent with WAC 173-340-550(2). These costs shall include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the Site under RCW 70A.305, including remedial actions and Decree preparation, negotiation, oversight, and administration. These costs shall include work performed both prior to and subsequent to the entry of this Decree. Ecology's costs shall include costs of direct activities and support costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). For all costs incurred, Defendants shall pay the required amount within thirty (30) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-

550(4), failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement of costs will result in interest charges at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum, compounded monthly.

In addition to other available relief, pursuant to RCW 19.16.500, Ecology may
utilize a collection agency and/or, pursuant to RCW 70A.305.060, file a lien against real property
subject to the remedial actions to recover unreimbursed remedial action costs.

XXIII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

- 1. If Ecology determines that the Defendants have failed to make sufficient progress or failed to implement the remedial action, in whole or in part, Ecology may, after notice to Defendants, perform any or all portions of the remedial action or at Ecology's discretion allow the Defendants opportunity to correct. In an emergency, Ecology is not required to provide notice to Defendants, or an opportunity for dispute resolution. The Defendants shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XXII (Remedial Action Costs).
- 2. Except where necessary to abate an emergency or where required by law, the Defendants shall not perform any remedial actions at the Site outside those remedial actions required by this Decree to address the contamination that is the subject of this Decree, unless Ecology concurs, in writing, with such additional remedial actions pursuant to Section XV (Amendment of Decree). In the event of an emergency, or where actions are taken as required by law, Defendants must notify Ecology in writing of the event and remedial action(s) planned or taken as soon as practical but no later than within twenty-four (24) hours of the discovery of the event.

XXIV. PERIODIC REVIEW

So long as remedial action continues at the Site, the Parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the Site, and to review the data accumulated as a result of monitoring the Site as often as is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. Unless otherwise agreed to by Ecology, at least every five (5) years after the initiation of cleanup action at the Site the

Parties shall confer regarding the status of the Site and the need, if any, for further remedial action at the Site. At least ninety (90) days prior to each periodic review, Defendants shall submit a report to Ecology that documents whether human health and the environment are being protected based on the factors set forth in WAC 173-340-420(4). Under Section XVIII (Covenant Not to Sue), Ecology reserves the right to require further remedial action at the Site under appropriate circumstances. This provision shall remain in effect for the duration of this Decree.

XXV. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

- 1. Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site. However, Defendants shall cooperate with Ecology, and shall:
- A. If agreed to by Ecology, develop appropriate mailing lists, prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, remedial investigation/feasibility study reports, cleanup action plans, and engineering design reports. As appropriate, Ecology will edit, finalize, and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings.
- B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before meetings related to remedial action work to be performed at the Site with the interested public and/or local governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Defendants prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets related to remedial action work to be performed at the Site, and before meetings related to remedial action work to be performed at the Site with the interested public and/or local governments. For all press releases, fact sheets, meetings, and other outreach efforts by Defendants that do not receive prior Ecology approval, Defendants shall clearly indicate to its audience that the press release, fact sheet, meeting, or other outreach effort was not sponsored or endorsed by Ecology.

C. When requested by Ecology, participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter.

E. When requested by Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories at the following locations:

i. [Location] [Address of location]

At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and documents relating to public comment periods shall be promptly placed in this repository. A copy of all documents related to this Site shall be maintained at Ecology's Northwest Regional Office in Shoreline, Washington.

XXVI. DURATION OF DECREE

The remedial program required pursuant to this Decree shall be maintained and continued until Defendants have received written notification from Ecology that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. This Decree shall remain in effect until dismissed by the Court. When dismissed, Section XII (Retention of Records), Section XVIII (Covenant Not to Sue), Section XIX (Contribution Protection), Section XX (Indemnification), and Section XXVII (Claims Against the State) shall survive.

XXVII. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

Defendants hereby agree that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies; and further, that Defendants will make no claim against the State Toxics Control Account, the Local Toxics Control Account, the Environmental Legacy Stewardship Account, or a MTCA Cleanup Settlement Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree. Except as provided above, however, Defendants expressly reserve their right to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other PLP. This section does not limit or address funding that may be provided under WAC 173-322A.

XXVIII. EFFECTIVE DATE 1 This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court. 2 XXIX. WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT <u>3</u> If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and void at 4 the option of any party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs and <u>5</u> without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this Decree. 6 <u>7</u> 8 9 <u>10</u> <u>11</u> 12 <u>13</u> <u>14</u> <u>15</u> <u>16</u> <u>17</u> 18 19 <u>20</u> 21 <u>22</u> <u>23</u> 24 <u>25</u> <u>26</u>

1 2 3	STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLO		
4	Nhi Irwin	Victoria Banks, WSBA #	
<u>5</u>	Program Manager Toxics Cleanup Program	Assistant Attorney General [Telephone]	
<u>6</u>	[Telephone]	. 1	
7	Date:		
<u>8</u>	[DEFENDANT]		Commented [KL3]: Signature blocks for all parties will be
9	<u></u>		provided prior to execution of the Consent Decree.
<u>10</u>	[NAME OF SIGNATORY]		
<u>11</u>	[Title of signatory] [Telephone]		
<u>12</u>	Date:	_	
<u>13</u>		ENTEDED 4: 1 C 20	
<u>14</u>		ENTERED this day of 20	
<u>15</u>			
<u>16</u>		JUDGE	
<u>17</u>		King County Superior Court	
<u>18</u>			
<u>19</u>			
<u>20</u>			
<u>21</u>			
<u>22</u>			
<u>23</u>			
<u>24</u>			
<u>25</u>			
<u>26</u>			
	CONSENT DECREE	37 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Ecology Division PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 360-586-6770	