

**From:** [Winslow, Frank \(ECY\)](#)  
**To:** ["Robert Roe"](#)  
**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Site Status  
**Date:** Monday, January 26, 2026 10:25:27 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[Toxics Cleanup Program Procedure 440A Establishing Environmental Covenants under the Model Toxics Control Act.docx](#)

---

Hi Robert,

Ecology has reviewed the submitted monitoring report and DCA document. Your report requested Ecology to provide a No Further Action (NFA) Likely opinion letter. However, Ecology has concluded that it appears that a case has likely been made for issue of a Site NFA letter, provided the following has occurred:

1. Recording of an Ecology-signed Environmental Covenant (EC) at Kitsap County.
2. Ecology receipt of a Continued (Post NFA) Monitoring Plan. This plan should include post NFA groundwater monitoring on a 15 month interval, with four rounds for Ecology's 5-year periodic review. Monitoring should include water level measurements and sampling for chlorinated VOCs at in plume monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, and MW-6, and at proposed conditional points of compliance (CPOC) monitoring wells MW-3, MW-5, MW-5A, MW-7, MW-7A, and MW-8. This monitoring plan should include a contingency plan in case a cleanup level exceedance is found in any of the CPOC wells. The contingency plan should include resampling of a well within 30 days of an exceedance, and if the exceedance is still present, further actions to address the contamination. The NFA letter will include a statement that the NFA could be rescinded if cleanup level exceedances are verified in CPOCs.
3. The vapor extraction system continues to be operated until Ecology provides our concurrence on cessation of vapor extraction operations. Prior to Ecology providing our concurrence, in addition to recovered vapor samples demonstrating diminished returns, we would want to see the system off for a period of time prior to sampling to ensure that no rebound occurs. We recognize that the current system can only be operated when groundwater is deeper than two feet below ground surface.

Note that the above conclusion is pending our internal peer review process. Ecology is willing to consider this approach for NFA issue since 1) extensive cleanup efforts have been conducted, 2) the contamination is limited to the Property (based on a minimum of four quarterly monitoring rounds) and the contamination plume appears to be a stable or receding, 3) vapor intrusion mitigation measures are ongoing, and 4) natural attenuation has a reasonable chance of continued reduction of VC in groundwater concentrations at MW-1 and MW-4 (the two locations with remaining cleanup level exceedances in groundwater).

Please prepare and submit a draft EC based on the attached boilerplate. Ecology will also be needing a current title report for our legal counsel to assess the need for subordination agreement(s).

Please also submit a draft Continued Monitoring Plan for our review and comment. This monitoring plan should include both groundwater monitoring and monitoring of the vapor

extraction system/vapor intrusion mitigation system.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the above. We can schedule a Teams call if you would like to discuss this further.

Thanks, Frank

**Frank P. Winslow, LHG**

WA Expedited VCP Site Manager  
Department of Ecology – Toxics Cleanup Program  
1250 W. Alder Street, Union Gap, WA 98903  
(509) 424-0543 (cell)

[Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov)

---

**From:** Robert Roe <rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 16, 2026 10:20 AM  
**To:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) <fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV>  
**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Site Status

External Email

Hi Frank,

Hope you had a happy holiday season.

I've attached copies of 2 reports for the Former Clean Center site. One is the End-of-Year summary report for the ongoing remediation performance monitoring. The other is the requested disproportionate cost analysis.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Roe  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES, INC  
1380 112th Avenue NE, Suite 300  
Bellevue, Washington 98004

(425) 455-9025 office  
(206) 369-4294 cell

[rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com)

---

**From:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) [<mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV>]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 15, 2025 4:39 PM

**To:** Robert Roe  
**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Site Status

Hi Robert,

I apologize for the delayed response for this email.

It appears that you are requesting an NFA Likely opinion letter without first submitting a feasibility study/disproportionate cost analysis (DCA).

Ecology requested the following within our November 13, 2023 email:

*With respect to the submitted document requesting a NFA Likely determination, Ecology also requests the following to facilitate our review:*

- 1. An updated version of Table 1 with shaded rows for samples that have been removed, and highlighted rows for samples collected beneath the structure on the Site. Please also add sample dates to Table 1. **Provided in Appendix B of the August 8, 2025 report.***
- 2. A map showing all soil sampling results for PCE and TCE in soil by depth (with a data format like 20'-5.5/<1.0). Collocated samples can show only the most recent results. **Soil maps provided in Appendix B of the August 8, 2025 report.***
- 3. A disproportionate cost analysis (DCA) consistent with 173-340-360(3)(e) comparing the proposed alternative with the most permanent solution (excavation and offsite disposal). The DCA should compare costs and relative benefit scores for at least the alternatives. For contamination beneath the building, Ecology understands that the most permanent solution would not include the removal of the building. We anticipate that cleanup beneath the building would likely need to await a time in the future when the building is no longer present. **Not found.***

Page 10 of the August 8, 2025 report stated:

*Responding to the above request, EAI notes that prior site characterizations and feasibility studies already concluded that direct excavation of residually impacted soil was not financially viable or feasible, particularly while the current building remains. The above review comment suggests that Ecology concurs that such direct excavation would not be required while the current building remains. The building was recently renovated and current ownership has no plans for its removal in the foreseeable future.*

*Acknowledging that remediation efforts have already been initiated to address residual contaminated soil and shallow groundwater in the exterior and accessible areas of the Source Property, and soil vapor extraction is also underway to address residual contaminant mass along the north foundation and potentially below the building, there does not appear to be a need or demonstrable benefit to development or presentation of a disproportionate cost analysis for implementing additional or alternative remediation approaches at this time.*

A NFA Likely letter essentially provides Ecology's concurrence on the selection of a proposed cleanup plan. The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) is required by MTCA to ensure that the

most permanent cleanup approach is implemented that is not disproportionate in costs when compared to relative benefits. The only time a DCA will not be needed is when the cleanup option that is selected is a permanent option such as excavation and offsite disposal, or if cleanup levels have been achieved throughout a site. In order to issue a NFA Likely letter, Ecology needs to review and concur with a FS/DCA. We are currently not finding presentation of proposed cleanup actions nor a DCA within the submitted documents.

Our statement “For contamination beneath the building, Ecology understands that the most permanent solution would not include the removal of the building” means that Ecology cannot expect a building to be removed, but we can expect that the most permanent cleanup option in a DCA to be based on removal of the contamination to the maximum extent practicable. Hence the base (most permanent) alternative would generally be excavation and offsite disposal of contaminated soil that is currently accessible. Other alternatives can be compared with this base alternative within the DCA process. If another alternative for cleanup makes the case that it is the most permanent option that can be implemented that is not disproportionate in costs compared to relative benefits, then we can provide our concurrence in the form of a NFA Likely letter.

Ecology compared soil cleanup level exceedances under (or immediately adjacent to) the building with soil cleanup level exceedances away from the building in the following table:

| <b>Summary of Soil Cleanup Level Exceedances</b> |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <b>Beneath and Away from the Building</b>        |  |  |
| <b>Depth (feet)</b>                              | <b>Count of Exceedances Under Building</b> | <b>Count of Exceedances Away from Building</b> |
| 5'   | 4  | 0  |
| 10'  | 3  | 5  |
| 15'  | 1  | 4  |
| 20'  | 1  | 2  |

Based on these counts, it appears that a significant number of soil cleanup level exceedances are away from the building. However, the soils that have cleanup level exceedances away from the building are fairly deep (10 to 20 feet below ground surface [ft bgs]). If it can be demonstrated that the excavation cleanup of these soils with cleanup level exceedances away from the building are not technically practicable due to geotechnical risk to the building, then that could be a basis to exclude this option from a DCA.

Overall, a cleanup option of capping and environmental covenant (EC) with continued groundwater monitoring (including conditional points of compliance at the southern property boundary) could be considered for the Site; however, Ecology would not be able to issue a NFA Likely letter without a FS/DCA that compares this option with other cleanup options. Besides excavation and offsite disposal, other options that can be potentially considered include remedial injection, and air sparge/soil vapor extraction.

If a FS/DCA that proposes capping and EC with continued groundwater monitoring is submitted to Ecology, and Ecology concurs with the DCA, then the next steps would be recording an Ecology signed EC and our review and approval of a compliance monitoring plan. Under this

scenario, Ecology could either issue a NFA Likely letter following our review and concurrence of a FS/DCA, or await the compliance monitoring plan submittal and EC recording to proceed to issue of a NFA letter.

I hope this email provides sufficient clarity on appropriate next steps at the Site.

Thanks, Frank

**Frank P. Winslow, LHG**

WA Expedited VCP Site Manager  
Department of Ecology – Toxics Cleanup Program  
1250 W. Alder Street, Union Gap, WA 98903  
(509) 424-0543 (cell)

[Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov)

---

**From:** Robert Roe <[rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, August 18, 2025 2:44 PM

**To:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) <[fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV](mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV)>

**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Site Status

External Email

Hi Frank

Please find attached, a copy of our June 2025 Groundwater Monitoring report for the above-referenced site. This report also contains additional information that had been requested in your prior review. Our client is hopes that the findings of this report along with the included additional information will be sufficient to allow Ecology to issue an NFA-Likely opinion letter as an interim achievement until such time that the site qualifies for an NFA. Having an interim NFA-Likely opinion letter may help our client secure financing and tenant leases etc.

As always, please feel free to contact me anytime to discuss.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Roe  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES, INC  
1380 112th Avenue NE, Suite 300  
Bellevue, Washington 98004

(425) 455-9025 office  
(206) 369-4294 cell

[rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com)

---

**From:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) [<mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 10, 2025 2:52 PM  
**To:** Robert Roe  
**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Site Status

Hi Robert,

Thank you for your email and the work performed to define the extent of contamination at Site.

The new data appear to be promising. The February 2025 groundwater sampling results had no cleanup level exceedances other than at MW-1, MW-4, and MW-6. These three locations are all on upgradient portions of the Property, and no locations on the downgradient property boundary had any cleanup level exceedances. The new deeper monitoring wells (MW-5A and MW-7A) appear to confirm that groundwater contamination is not migrating deeper near the southern Property boundary. Hence, the groundwater contamination appears to be limited to the Property.

Ecology concurs with your proceeding with preparing and submitting a Feasibility Study (FS) including disproportionate cost analysis (DCA) with a request for a No Further action (NFA) Likely opinion letter to Ecology. The specific items previously requested by Ecology and reiterated in your below email can be included within that document.

We look forward to your next submittal.

Thanks, Frank

**Frank P. Winslow, LHG**

WA Expedited VCP Site Manager  
Department of Ecology – Toxics Cleanup Program  
1250 W. Alder Street, Union Gap, WA 98903  
(509) 424-0543 (cell)

[Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov)

---

**From:** Robert Roe <[rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 3, 2025 11:10 AM  
**To:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) <[fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV](mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV)>  
**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Site Status

External Email

Hi Frank,

It took longer than initially anticipated, but in January 2025 two (2) deeper monitoring wells were drilled / installed proximal to existing shallow wells MW-5 and MW-7 on the Former Clean Center site on Bainbridge Island. This work was performed in response to your prior

comments that additional exploration was need to evaluate if the CVOCs present in the shallow groundwater zone might be sinking into deeper groundwater zones (if present). Your general recommendation was to drill and install up to two (2) deeper wells (down to 30 feet) proximal to existing shallow (15-foot) wells MW-5 and MW-7 which are located along the southern boundary of the Source Parcel. The attached report documents their installations along with the results of the most recent site-wide groundwater monitoring event.

In your prior communications you had opined...

*"If the new monitoring well is dry (no measurable water after a minimum of 24 hours following installation), then it would appear that we can conclude that there is no groundwater depth interval. If MW-7D is dry, and coupled with the data from DW-1, it would appear that the shallow groundwater can be concluded to be perched and separation from the deeper outwash unit would appear to be clear. If MW-7D well does produce water, then a similar offset location in the vicinity of MW-5, downgradient of where cis-1,2-DCE was detected at 110 µg/L in MW-4, would appear to be warranted." [For efficiency, we elected to drill both deeper wells, designated MW-5A and MW-7A in the same mobilization.]*

Your prior communications further advised...

*"The need for additional data following this requested work would be dependent on the results at these new installations (MW-7D and MW-5D). If groundwater sampling results in similar or lower concentrations than from the current installations (MW-7S and MW-5S), then Ecology may be able to conclude that the extent of contamination has been sufficiently defined. If increased concentrations are found at these deeper locations, then additional data may be needed to define the extent of contamination. Ecology recommends proceeding with the work to address this data gap."*

The attached report documents that groundwater did accumulate in the two slightly deeper wells (MW-5A and MW-7A), however the contaminants of concern were not detected above laboratory reporting limits, with the exception of a trace of vinyl chloride in the groundwater sample from MW-5A which was an order of magnitude below its target cleanup level (i.e. in compliance).

The opinion offered in the attached report is that we've met the above performance criteria and we seek Ecology's concurrence. Given that groundwater was present in these two deeper wells we have recommend that we continue to monitor these slightly deeper wells for four (4) consecutive quarters. The client has approved this recommendation and additional monitoring events are scheduled for June, September, and December 2025.

In regard to our client's desire to seek an interim NFA-Likely opinion letter, you had advised...

*With respect to the submitted document requesting a NFA Likely determination, Ecology also requests the following to facilitate our review:*

- 1. An updated version of Table 1 with shaded rows for samples that have been removed, and highlighted rows for samples collected beneath the structure on the Site. Please also*

*add sample dates to Table 1.*

2. *A map showing all soil sampling results for PCE and TCE in soil by depth (with a data format like 20'-5.5/<1.0). Collocated samples can show only the most recent results.*
3. *A disproportionate cost analysis (DCE) consistent with 173-340-360(3)(e) comparing the proposed alternative with the most permanent solution (excavation and offsite disposal). The DCA should compare costs and relative benefit scores for at least the alternatives. For contamination beneath the building, Ecology understands that the most permanent solution would not include the removal of the building. We anticipate that cleanup beneath the building would likely need to await a time in the future when the building is no longer present.*

We are prepared to move forward with preparing the above requested additional submittals but would first like to get Ecologys opinion on initial findings from the slightly deeper groundwater data from MW-5A and MW-7A.

As always please feel free to contact us anytime to discuss the above and/or any other aspects of the project. Your ongoing assistance on this project is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Roe  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES, INC  
1380 112th Avenue NE, Suite 300  
Bellevue, Washington 98004

(425) 455-9025 office  
(206) 369-4294 cell

[roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com)

---

**From:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) [<mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 18, 2024 8:46 AM  
**To:** Robert Roe  
**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Site Status

Hi Robert,

Thank you for your submittal of your letter report dated March 27. Ecology concurs that a strong case has been presented regarding the lack of a vapor intrusion pathway at the City Hall building. Generally, Ecology first considers sub-slab soil gas data to assess whether or not the vapor intrusion pathway could be of concern at a structure. In this case, four sub-slab soil gas samples were collected and none of the Site contaminants (PCE and it's degradation products TCE, *cis*-1,2-DCE, and VC) were detected. The four indoor air samples collected from within the City Hall building provide further verification that the vapor intrusion pathway is not complete at the City Hall building.

As discussed within previous correspondence, Ecology has noted that the PCE groundwater contamination plume appears to be getting deeper with distance away from the release. Hence, the lack of a vapor intrusion concern at the City Hall building is consistent with our conceptual model for the Site.

With respect to groundwater contamination, Ecology suggests that the priority at this time should be defining the extent of groundwater contamination. Additional data from groundwater monitoring is warranted to assess time trends (which could affect identification of appropriate remedial actions), but extent definition is a critical need at this time. Ecology has no objection to reducing the current monitoring frequency from quarterly to semi-annually. Limiting the monitoring network at this time to locations that have historically had cleanup level exceedances (or concentrations approaching the cleanup level) would appear to be appropriate. Please note that four consecutive quarters of groundwater results below cleanup levels is generally required to demonstrate compliance.

For this site, the pathway to a no further action (NFA) determination would appear to entail 1) determine the extent of groundwater contamination above Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) cleanup levels, 2) identification of appropriate remedial actions within a Feasibility Study and Cleanup Action Plan (including a Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA), and 3) implementation of such remedial actions. Once the extent of contamination has been determined, then Ecology will be happy to discuss potential remedial approaches toward reaching a NFA determination for the Site.

Thanks, Frank

**Frank P. Winslow, LHG**

WA Expedited VCP Site Manager  
Department of Ecology – Toxics Cleanup Program  
1250 W. Alder Street, Union Gap, WA 98903  
(509) 424-0543 (cell)

[Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov)

---

**From:** Robert Roe <[rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 16, 2024 2:57 PM

**To:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) <[fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV](mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV)>

**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Status

External Email

Hi Frank,

In March 2024, EAI completed one (1) year of quarterly soil vapor and air monitoring at the south-adjacent City Hall parcel. A copy of our Fourth Quarter Summary Report is attached for your review and inclusion in Ecology's files. Please note that the Recommendations section seeks Ecology's opinion that *"the soil vapor intrusion pathway for City Hall has been adequately evaluated and can be eliminated as a risk factor for the City Hall Parcel."* Upon

review, please let us know if you concur with that assessment.

In regard to the ongoing groundwater monitoring and Ecology's request to drill and install slightly deeper monitoring wells along the southern parcel boundary, EAI can report the following:

- Our client expressed concerns regarding the costs spent on the remediation in 2023 and asked that we scale back the frequency of groundwater monitoring from quarterly to semi-annual until groundwater results warrant attempting to demonstrate four (4) consecutive quarters of compliance. Therefore groundwater sampling in 2024 will occur in June and December. Following the December 2024 monitoring event, EAI will prepare and submit to Ecology, an end-of-year summary report documenting the groundwater monitoring results and any additional work completed during the year.
- The slightly deeper monitoring wells have not yet been drilled / installed, but we hope to work with our client to have that work authorized and completed later this year. The findings will then be presented in the above-referenced end-of-year summary report.

As always, please contact us anytime if you desire to further discuss the above and/or request additional information.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Roe  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES, INC  
1380 112th Avenue NE, Suite 300  
Bellevue, Washington 98004

(425) 455-9025 office  
(206) 369-4294 cell

[roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com)

---

**From:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) [<mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV>]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 13, 2023 12:15 PM  
**To:** Robert Roe  
**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Status

Hi Robert,

Thank you for the Teams call today. That was very helpful for Ecology to better understand the Site.

Unfortunately, Ecology would not be able to issue a No Further Action (NFA) Likely opinion letter at this time. This is because there is still a significant data gap with respect to the extent of contamination. Ecology notes that even when seeking a Property-specific NFA Likely or NFA determination, the extent of contamination, including off-property must be defined.

The data gap in question pertains to the vertical extent of contamination (and thus also uncertainties regarding the lateral extent of contamination). The basis for this data gap is discussed as follows:

1. Site monitoring wells have been completed with a typical screened interval of 5-15 feet below ground surface (ft bgs).
2. At location MW-2, the monitoring well was completed with a screened interval of 5-15 ft bgs; however, there was a PID reading of 42 ppm at 20 ft bgs. In addition, PCE was found in soil at MW-2 at 20 ft bgs at 3.3 mg/kg, a significantly elevated concentration. The vertical extent of contamination at MW-2 has therefore not been defined.
3. Contamination from MW-1 appears to be diving deeper downgradient of MW-1 at MW-2. Although PCE was not found in soil at location DW-1, south of MW-2, it is possible that the contamination is migrating to the southwest of MW-2 toward MW-7. Similarly contamination from MW-4 could be diving deeper toward the vicinity of MW-5.

Ecology therefore requests the installation and sampling of a deeper monitoring well in the vicinity of MW-7. The screened interval of this well should be roughly 20 to 30 ft bgs. A conductor casing to seal the interval from ground surface to 20 ft bgs may be warranted to minimize potential drainage from the shallow aquifer system at about 10 ft bgs.

If the new monitoring well is dry (no measurable water after a minimum of 24 hours following installation), then it would appear that we can conclude that there is no groundwater depth interval. If MW-7D is dry, and coupled with the data from DW-1, it would appear that the shallow groundwater can be concluded to be perched and separation from the deeper outwash unit would appear to be clear. If MW-7D well does produce water, then a similar offset location in the vicinity of MW-5, downgradient of where *cis*-1,2-DCE was detected at 110 µg/L in MW-4, would appear to be warranted.

During drilling, soil sampling should be done with PID readings on a minimum 2 foot interval (including in the saturated zone) to better define the vertical extent of contamination. If PID readings are above background at 30 ft bgs, then deeper drilling may be warranted. Field adjustments to screened intervals may be appropriate based on field data and observations.

The need for additional data following this requested work would be dependent on the results at these new installations (MW-7D and MW-5D). If groundwater sampling results in similar or lower concentrations than from the current installations (MW-7S and MW-5S), then Ecology may be able to conclude that the extent of contamination has been sufficiently defined. If increased concentrations are found at these deeper locations, then additional data may be needed to define the extent of contamination. Ecology recommends proceeding with the work to address this data gap.

Ecology could proceed with preparing a "Further Action needed" opinion letter at this time; however, we anticipate that may be of limited utility toward meeting the overall goals of the project. We recognize that a lot of time and money has been spent to characterize and cleanup the Site to date. However, Ecology considers the above data gap to be a critical need at this time. We note that filling this data gap does not preclude performing additional source control measures, such

as is currently proposed at the Site within your Remedial Action Progress Update.

With respect to the submitted document requesting a NFA Likely determination, Ecology also requests the following to facilitate our review:

4. An updated version of Table 1 with shaded rows for samples that have been removed, and highlighted rows for samples collected beneath the structure on the Site. Please also add sample dates to Table 1.
5. A map showing all soil sampling results for PCE and TCE in soil by depth (with a data format like 20'-5.5/<1.0). Collocated samples can show only the most recent results.
6. A disproportionate cost analysis (DCE) consistent with 173-340-360(3)(e) comparing the proposed alternative with the most permanent solution (excavation and offsite disposal). The DCA should compare costs and relative benefit scores for at least the alternatives. For contamination beneath the building, Ecology understands that the most permanent solution would not include the removal of the building. We anticipate that cleanup beneath the building would likely need to await a time in the future when the building is no longer present.

Ecology is not requesting revision of the submitted report at this time. The above requested items can be provided as a separate submittal(s).

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this email.

Thanks, Frank

**Frank P. Winslow, LHG**

WA Expedited VCP Site Manager  
Department of Ecology – Toxics Cleanup Program  
1250 W. Alder Street, Union Gap, WA 98903  
(509) 424-0543 (cell)

[Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov)

---

**From:** Robert Roe <[rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:rroe@environmentalassociatesinc.com)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2023 12:34 PM

**To:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) <[fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV](mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV)>

**Subject:** RE: NW3294 Clean Center - Status

Hi Frank,

Our latest progress update report for the former Clean Center site located on Bainbridge Island is attached. This report documents additional on and off property explorations, completion of two cleanup actions, and summary of currently ongoing monitoring activities. The findings to date appear to be heading in the right direction and as such the conclusion of the report seeks Ecology's concurrence in the form of a request for an NFA-Likely opinion letter.

Please feel free to contact us anytime to discuss and/or if you require further information regarding any of the reports contents.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Roe  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES, INC  
1380 112th Avenue NE, Suite 300  
Bellevue, Washington 98004

(425) 455-9025 office  
(206) 369-4294 cell

[roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com)

---

**From:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) [<mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2021 7:58 AM  
**To:** Robert Roe  
**Subject:** RE: NW2394 Clean Center - Status

Thanks for the update, Robert.

Regards, Frank

**Frank P. Winslow, LHG**  
Toxics Cleanup Program  
Department of Ecology – Central Regional Office  
1250 W. Alder Street, Union Gap, WA 98903  
(509) 454-7835  
(509) 424-0543 (cell)

[Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov)

---

**From:** Robert Roe <[roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 4:06 PM  
**To:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) <[fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV](mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV)>  
**Subject:** RE: NW2394 Clean Center - Status

**THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED FROM OUTSIDE THE WASHINGTON STATE EMAIL SYSTEM - Take caution not to open attachments or links unless you know the sender AND were expecting the attachment or the link**

Hi Frank,

Our client informs me that the most recent round of mediation this Fall was successful and they were able to settle with the other potentially responsible parties. However, the funding from the settlement has not yet been finalized. Our client anticipated that it may be at least 6 months before we are ready to proceed with further site characterization. I would ask that you keep the site enrolled in the VCP and check back with us in about 6 months. We'll update you in the event that things move quicker.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Roe  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES, INC  
1380 112th Avenue NE, Suite 300  
Bellevue, Washington 98004

(425) 455-9025 phone  
(425) 455-2316 fax

[roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com](mailto:roeb@environmentalassociatesinc.com)

---

**From:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) [<mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV>]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 1:39 PM  
**To:** Robert Roe  
**Subject:** RE: NW2394 Clean Center - Status

Hi Robert,

My last record on this site is the below note to file. Is there anything new you can report in terms of overall plans for the site?

Thanks, Frank

---

**From:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) <[fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV](mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 21, 2021 11:42 AM  
**To:** Winslow, Frank (ECY) <[fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV](mailto:fwin461@ECY.WA.GOV)>  
**Subject:** Clean Center - Status

Note to file:

Called Robert Roe, consultant. He indicated the planned offsite investigations have been delayed, pending mediation. A mediation meeting is scheduled in August 2021. He will let us know when the investigation work proceeds.

**Frank P. Winslow, LHG**  
Toxics Cleanup Program  
Department of Ecology – Central Regional Office  
1250 W. Alder Street, Union Gap, WA 98903  
(509) 454-7835

(509) 424-0543 (cell)

[Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Frank.Winslow@ecy.wa.gov)