MACALF 51.84 mich Laudfill

ACCEPTANCE OF BERVICE

CLERK'S STAMP

	COUNTY	OF	SPOKANE
STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,)		
Plaintiff,)		No. 88-2-0005-5
v.)	(CONSENT DECREE
SPOKANE COUNTY,	•)		
Defendant.)		

A. The State of Washington, Department of Ecology ("Ecology"), filed a Complaint in this case on January 4, 1988, under Chapter 90.48 RCW, the Water Pollution Control Act; Chapter 70.105 RCW, the Hazardous Waste Management Act; 42 U.S.C. § 9601, et seq., the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"), as amended by Public Law 99-499; and S.B. 6085 (Ch. 2, Laws of 1987, 2nd Ex. Sess.), alleging that:

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

- 1. As the owner, Spokane County, is liable for the release, threat of release, or disposal of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants at the site commonly known as the Mica Landfill (hereinafter "Site"), Spokane, Washington;
- 2. The release, or threat of release, is causing groundwater contamination and will continue to cause contamination of groundwater unless the release is abated;

KENNETH O EIKENBERRY ATTORNEY GENERAL

V. LEE OKARMA REES

AMBILIAT Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General

Ecology Division, PV-11

Olympia Wa (206) 459-6155

98504 Telephone

27 CONSENT DECREE

- 3. The release, or threat of release, of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants from the Site requires action to protect the public health or welfare or the environment;
- 4. Spokane County is one of the persons responsible for the Site within the meaning of Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 70.105 RCW, 42 U.S.C. § 6901, et seq.;
- 5. Spokane County is a potentially liable person for the Site within the meaning of S.B. 6085 (Ch. 2, Laws of 1987, 2nd Ex. Sess.);
- 6. In order to protect the public health, welfare, and the environment, it is necessary that remedial action be taken consistent with S.B. 6085 (Ch. 2, Laws of 1987, 2nd Ex. Sess.) as amended, and with the National Contingency Plan ("NCP"), as amended, to identify, eliminate, or minimize any threat or potential threat posed by hazardous substances to human health or the environment, including any investigative and monitoring activities with respect to any release or threatened release of a hazardous substance and any health assessments or health effects studies conducted in order to determine the risk or potential risk to human health; and
- 7. Ecology may conduct, provide for conducting, or require potentially liable persons to conduct remedial action or remedy a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance.
- B. Whenever Ecology has reason to believe that a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance will require remedial

-5

27 CONSENT DECREE

action, it shall notify potentially liable persons with respect to the release or threatened release, and provide them with a reasonable opportunity to propose a settlement agreement providing for remedial action.

- C. Ecology has notified Spokane County that it is a potentially liable party.
- D. Without admitting any liability as to the allegations set out in the aforementioned complaint or to this Decree, Spokane County, by their undersigned representatives, have reached agreement with the Department in the form of this proposed Consent Decree (Decree) providing for voluntary remedial action by Spokane County to do the remedial investigation/feasibility study (RIFS).
- E. Ecology and Spokane County recognize that the public interest is best served by the entry of this Decree, which is subject to at least 30 days for public comment before the proposed Decree is entered, and this settlement avoids difficult and expensive litigation.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is ordered as follows:

*		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		·	Page
3	I.	JURISDICTION AND PARTIES	5
4	II.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	5
5	III.	SCOPE OF WORK	6
3	IV.	COMMUNITY RELATIONS	12
6	V .,	DESIGNATED PROJECT MANAGERS	13
7	VI.	ACCESS	14
8	VII.	PERFORMANCE	15
0	VIII.	SAMPLING AND DATA AVAILABILITY	16
9	IX.	PROGRESS REPORTS.	16
10	x.	RECOVERY OF EXPENSES	17
1 1	XI.	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES.	18
11	XII.	ENDANGERMENT	19
12	XIII.	EXTENSION OF SCHEDULES.	20
13	XIV.	AMENDMENTS	22
1 4	xv.	PENALTIES	23
14	XVI.	INDEMNIFICATION	24
15	XVII.	OTHER ACTIONS	25
16	XVIII.	OTHER CLAIMS	25
1 ~	XIX.	OTHER REMEDIES	26
17	xx.	COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS	26
18	XXI.	NO ADMISSION OF LIABILITY	26
19	XXII	GRANT/LOAN/OR MIXED FUNDING	27
	XXIII.	CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION	28
20	XXIV.	DEFINITIONS	28
21	XXV.	NOTICE AND PUBLIC COMMENT	29
22	XXVI.	APPROVAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE	30
23			
24			
25	i i	`	
26	ļ		

-4-

27

CONSENT DECREE

I. JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Decree and personal jurisdiction over the party consenting hereto pursuant to Chapter 90.48 RCW, Chapter 70.105 RCW, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq., and S.B. 6085 (Ch. 2, Laws of 1987, 2nd Ex. Sess.)

(the "Toxic Control Act")

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

For the purposes of this Decree only, the parties hereby stipulate to the following:

- A. Mica Landfill is located in Sections 11 and 14, Township 24 North, Range 44 E.W.M., in Spokane County, approximately 12 miles southeast of the City of Spokane. The Site encompasses approximately 150 acres of land in a suburban-agricultural area of rolling hills with forests and fields.
- B. The landfill had been in operation since 1972. The landfill is owned by the County of Spokane who contracts the daily landfill operations to a private contractor. Residential, municipal, and industrial wastes are or have been accepted at the landfill and include certain wastes which are considered hazardous wastes subject to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., as amended, and/or Chapter 2, Session Laws of 1987 of the State of Washington.
- C. On February 10 and 11, 1981 an EPA subcontractor conducted an analysis of water from the Mica monitoring well on the south side of the landfill and the Hidden Hollow well 2,700 feet south of the Mica monitoring well on privately owned property. Results of the analysis indicated the presence of 1,1,1, trichloroethane at CONSENT DECREE

S F No 9928-A---OS--5-70

concentrations which exceeded the background levels set by EPA to score hazardous waste sites for inclusion on the national priority list but below the currently established maximum contaminant level for drinking water. Subsequent quarterly sampling of these wells to date has not shown the presence of this contaminant.

- D. As a follow-up to these findings, Spokane County hired George Maddox and Associates, Inc., to conduct a hydrogeologic study of the landfill. This study was done in three phases: Phase I preliminary data gathering; Phase II construction of monitoring wells; and Phase III monitoring program, and resulted in the drilling of seven additional on-site monitoring wells. Water samples of these wells have been taken on a quarterly basis since 1983. Analyses shows that concentrations of acetone, 1,2, dichloroethane, toluene, 1,1,1, trichloroethane, chloride, and calcium have been found in quantities which indicate a threat of release to groundwater.
- E. On April 17, 1984, the site was scored in the Hazard Ranking Score (HRS) system by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and nominated for the National Priority List in May 1984. It was included on this list in August 1985.

III. SCOPE OF WORK

- A. Work Plan. By April 30, 1988, Spokane County shall submit to Ecology a proposed work plan, consisting of a detailed breakdown of the scope of work, personnel requirements, project costs, and schedules for the RI/FS, including the following elements thereof:
 - 1. Quality Assurance/Quality Control
 - 2. Health and Safety Plan

27 CONSENT DECREE

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

- 7 8
- 9

10

- 11 12
- ٠3
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 18 19
- 20 21

24

- 26
- 27 CONSENT DECREE

- Data Management Plan
- Sampling and Analysis Plan
- Community Relations Plan

This work plan and each element thereof shall be designed, implemented, and completed in accordance with the National Contingency Plan (NCP) in effect on the effective date of this Decree, and as amended, and in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA as amended by SARA, the Toxic Control Act, as may be amended, all applicable federal and state laws and regulations and all applicable EPA guidance documents.

Within 30 days after receipt of the work plan Ecology shall notify the county, in writing, of Ecology's approval or disapproval of the work plan. In the event of any disapproval, Ecology shall specify, in writing, both the deficiencies and any Ecology recommended modifications regarding the work plan.

Within 15 days of the receipt of Ecology's notification of the work plan disapproval or recommended modification, the county shall amend and submit to Ecology a revised work plan. Disagreement shall be resolved pursuant to Section XI, Resolution of Disputes, contained herein.

Within 15 days of the final approval of the work plan, Spokane County shall commence work and thereafter complete all tasks by the 23 dates indicated in subsections B and C of this section. schedule of the work plan or performance of task of the work in the work plan developed by Spokane County indicates that 22 months is

an impracticable or infeasible period in which to perform because of (1) significant and unreasonable difficulty, expense or loss; (2) complexity or difficulty in geohydrologic investigation or other task which requires work or expense significantly greater than that estimated in the forward plan; (3) insufficient time frame to conduct sampling and analysis to adequately characterize the contamination, or (4) other good and sufficient reason, then Ecology and Spokane County agree to reconsider the time frames established herein through Section XII, Extension of Schedule, and Section XIV, Amendments. The approved work plan shall be attached to and incorporated into this Decree.

In developing the work plan and in performing the RI/FS, Spokane County shall consider, but shall not be bound to follow, the forward plan.

B. Scope of Remedial Investigation. Pursuant to the work plan, Spokane County shall plan, propose, initiate, complete, and report upon a remedial investigation of the Site. Such plan and proposals shall be subject to approval by Ecology. Where a plan or proposal includes completion of the RI in phases, such plan for each phase will be subject to approval by Ecology who will determine and authorize additional phases. Work will begin 15 days after authorization and approval by Ecology. The purpose of the remedial investigation is to: (1) determine the nature and extent of the release or threatened release of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants at the Site; and (2) characterize the Site to

provide sufficient information to determine the necessity for and proposed extent of remedial action.

This plan shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA as amended by SARA (1986), the National Contingency Plan (NCP) in effect on the effective date of this Decree and as amended, the Toxic Control Act in effect on the effective date of this Decree and as amended and in accordance with all federal and state laws and regulations, and all applicable EPA guidance documents relating to remedial investigations, including document EPA/540/G-85/002, "Guidance on Remedial Investigations Under CERCLA," and Data Quality Objectives for Remedial Response Activities, EPA guidance document No. 540/C-37/003A."

In the event amendments to the NCP and/or Toxic Control Act or other applicable and relevant laws and guidance documents are promulgated after the effective date of this Decree which materially affect the rights or obligations of any party or the work plans or schedules of the Decree the parties agree to negotiate in good faith a written amendment to the Decree to provide for such changes.

In order to implement the remedial investigation, Spokane County shall, subject to approval by Ecology, incorporate all procedural and technical documents in the above guidance and regulations, including, but not limited to: Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan, Health & Safety Plan, Management Plan, Sampling Plan, Community Relations Plan, Data Management Plan, as numbered attachments to this Decree in accordance with the schedule of the work plan. Spokane County shall

submit the final report for the remedial investigation no later than May 1, 1989.

C. Scope of Feasibility Study. Pursuant to the work plan, Spokane County shall plan, propose, initiate, complete, and report upon a feasibility study for the Site. Such plans, proposals, and reports shall be subject to approval by Ecology. Where a plan or proposal includes completion of the FS in phases, such plan for each phase will be subject to approval by Ecology who will determine and authorize additional phases. Work will begin 15 days after authorization and approval by Ecology. The purpose of the feasibility study is to identify, develop, evaluate, and recommend remedial action alternatives which are consistent with a permanent remedy and which are available to prevent or minimize the release or threatened release of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants from the Site, as identified through the remedial investigation conducted pursuant to Section III-B above, so that they do not migrate to cause substantial danger to the present or future public health or welfare or the environment. The feasibility study shall be conducted in accordance with CERCLA, as amended by SARA, the NCP, in effect on the date of this Decree and as amended, the Toxic Control Act, in effect on the effective date of this Decree, and as amended, and with all federal and state laws and regulations, and all applicable EPA guidance documents relating to feasibility studies, including document EPA/540/G-85/003, "Guidance on Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA," and document EPA/625/G-85/006, "Handbook of Remedial Action"

26

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

at Waste Disposal Sites (Revised)," and Data Quality Objectives for Remedial Response Activities, EPA Guidance Documents No. 540/G-87/003A."

In order to implement the feasibility study, Spokane County shall, subject to approval of Ecology, incorporate all procedural and technical documents in the above guidance and regulations, including, but not limited to: Endangerment Assessment, Post-Closure Plan, Compliance Monitoring Schedule, Administrative Reports, and Document Control, as numbered attachments to this Decree in accordance with the schedule of the work plan. Spokane County shall submit a final report for the feasibility study no later than November 1, 1989. This date of November 1, 1989 does not include any time for public comment and/or Ecology final review subsequent to public comment.

In the event that Ecology, Scope of Removal Action. D. during the term of this Decree, determines that there is a threat to public health or welfare or the environment based upon the factors in 40 CFR Part 300 65(b)(2) of the NCP, Ecology may require Spokane County to conduct an appropriate removal action to abate, minimize, stabilize, mitigate, or eliminate the release or threat of release of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants, or the threat resulting from such release or threat of release. All removal actions shall be conducted in accordance with the NCP, in effect on the effective date of this Decree, and as amended, the Toxic Control 23 | Act as amended and all federal and state laws and regulations, and all applicable EPA guidance documents relating to removal actions.

Upon receipt of notification from Ecology that a removal action is required, Spokane County shall plan, propose, initiate, complete,

-11-

27 CONSENT DECREE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

::1

22

24

CONSENT DECREE

plans, proposals, and reports shall be subject to approval of Ecology. If Spokane County fails to undertake the required removal action in a proper and prompt manner, Ecology reserves the right to perform the required removal action and to recover all costs incurred in doing so from Spokane County.

and report upon the required removal action for the Site. Such

- E. Future Negotiations Regarding Remedial Action. If the feasibility study, performed pursuant to Section C above, indicates the need for remedial action as defined by CERCLA/SARA at the Site, Spokane County and Ecology, will enter into negotiations regarding the matter, including the design, construction, and operations, maintenance, and monitoring phases of such remedial action.
- F. Interim Operating Plan for Solid Waste. Spokane County shall plan, propose, initiate and complete an interim operating plan for this Site. Such plan shall be subject to approval by Ecology's project manager using the Minimum Functional Standards for Solid Waste Handling, Chapter 173-304 WAC, as guidance. The interim operating plan will describe operations at the landfill during the RI/FS and be done in accordance with WAC 173-304-460(4). This plan shall be submitted no later than July 1, 1988.

IV. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

Spokane County shall assist Ecology, who shall maintain the lead in community relations at the Site. EPA has requested Ecology to develop a community relations plan not inconsistent with the requirements of the NCP and relevant federal guidance documents. Spokane

27 CONSENT DECREE

County shall cooperate with Ecology in fulfilling the tasks specified in the community relations plan by specifically:

- A. Assisting Ecology in the preparation of fact sheets, press releases, and public notices;
 - B. Distributing fact sheets referred to in A. above;
- C. Participating in public meetings related to the activities taken under this Decree; and
- D. Providing extra copies of appropriate documents and information for the information repositories, located in the downtown Spokane Library Office of the Eastern Regional Ecology and the Spokane Valley Branch of the County Library. Nothing provided in this section shall prevent Spokane County from developing or conducting its own Community Relations Program consistent with this Decree.

Spokane County and Ecology shall make reasonable efforts to accommodate each other's concerns with regard to all fact sheets, press releases, and public notices issued. Each party shall communicate the contents of the fact sheets, press releases, and public notices to the other party forty-eight (48) hours, exclusive of weekends and holidays, prior to the release of such information.

V. DESIGNATED PROJECT MANAGER

Ecology and Spokane County shall each designate a project manager. Each project manager shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree. The project managers shall, at a minimum, have the authority to: (1) coordinate the activities of their respective project and contractual staffs to ensure the implementation of this Decree; (2) coordinate amendments pursuant to

-13-

Section XIV of this Decree; (3) extend schedules pursuant to
Section XIII of this Decree; (4) delegate their authority to members
of their respective project and contractual staffs to fulfill their
obligations as specified above and elsewhere in this Decree; and
(5) make or authorize modifications in all technical and procedural
documents submitted pursuant to Section III of this Decree, which are
necessary to the successful completion of the project. Any such
modifications shall be approved orally by each project manager
within seventy-two (72) hours following any modification, the project
manager who requested the modification shall prepare a memorandum
detailing the modification and shall provide or mail a copy of the
memorandum to the other project managers.

To the maximum extent possible, communications between Spokane and Ecology, and all documents and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the project managers. The Ecology project manager, Marsha A. Beery, will be Ecology's designated representative at the Site who has final approval authority by signature. Spokane County's project manager is Dean Fowler. Either party may change their respective project manager by notifying the other party, in writing, at least 10 days prior to the change.

VI. ACCESS

Pursuant to Section 11 of the Toxics Control Act, Ecology or any state authorized representative shall have the authority to enter and freely move about all property owned by the county in all reasonable

CONSENT DECREE

times for the purposes of, among other things, inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the Site; reviewing the progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests as the state or the project manager may deem necessary using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary-type equipment; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by Spokane County. Spokane County shall permit such persons to inspect and copy all records, files, photographs, documents, and other writings, including all sampling and monitoring data, in any way pertaining to work undertaken pursuant to this Decree within its control or possession, other than privileged documents.

2.3

To the extent that access to the Site or easements over property other than the Site is not authorized by this Decree or is not otherwise available to the state by the Toxics Control Act, Spokane County shall use its best efforts to obtain the access or easements to effect the purpose of this Decree. If Spokane County is unable to obtain access or easements under reasonable terms and conditions, including costs, then Spokane County shall provide assistance, consistent with their authority, including but not limited to, any authority under its eminent domain powers as provided in Chapter 8.08 RCW to obtain access to The Site or easements over property other than the Site for the purposes of accomplishing the requirements of this Decree.

VII. PERFORMANCE

All work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction and supervision of a qualified professional scientist or CONSENT DECREE -15-

licensed engineer with experience in hazardous substance release response actions. Spokane County shall notify Ecology as to such qualified experts, and of any contractors and subcontractors, to be employed in carrying out the terms of this Decree in advance of their involvement at the Site.

VIII. SAMPLING AND DATA AVAILABILITY

Spokane County shall make the results of all sampling and testing, and all other data generated by them or on their behalf with respect to the implementation of this Decree, available to Ecology and shall submit these results in progress reports as described in Section IX of this Decree. Ecology will make available to Spokane County the results of any sampling and testing, and other data similarly generated by Ecology or on Ecology's behalf. Each party shall conduct all sampling consistent with the Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan for the Site.

Each party to this Decree, at the request of the other party, shall allow split or replicate samples to be taken, by the requesting party and/or its contractor or consultant, of any samples collected pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Each party shall notify the other party not less than five days in advance of any sample collection activity. A summary of all analytical results from sampling and testing performed pursuant to this Decree shall also be included in these progress reports.

IX. PROGRESS REPORTS

Spokane County shall submit to Ecology written monthly progress reports which describe the actions Spokane County has taken during CONSENT DECREE -16-

the previous month to implement the requirements of this Decree. In addition, progress reports shall also describe the activities scheduled to be taken during the next month. All progress reports shall be submitted by the 10th day of each month after the effective date of this Decree. The progress reports shall include a detailed statement of the manner and extent to which the requirement and time schedules set out in the Decree are being met. Progress reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be addressed to:

Marsha A. Beery, Project Manager Washington State Department of Ecology Hazardous Waste Cleanup Program Mail Stop PV-11 Olympia, Washington 98504-8711

Ecology shall submit any comments to the progress reports by the county within thirty (30) days of the receipt of said report.

X. RECOVERY OF EXPENSES

A. Spokane County agrees to reimburse the Treasury of the State of Washington for reasonable and appropriate costs associated with Ecology activities at the Site conducted during the implementation of this Decree. Within ninety (90) days of the end of each fiscal quarter, Ecology will submit to Spokane County an itemized statement of Ecology's expenses for the previous quarter. Following receipt of the itemized statement, Spokane County shall pay, within 90 days, in the Treasury of the State of Washington, the required sum. The required sum which shall not exceed a total of 12 percent of Spokane County's contractual costs required by this Decree.

2 s 3 a 4 p 5 s 6 p 7

- B. Spokane County agrees to reimburse the Treasury of the State of Washington for all reasonable and appropriate costs associated with Ecology's response activities at the Site conducted prior to the implementation of this Decree. Ecology will submit to Spokane County an itemized statement of Ecology's expenses incurred prior to the implementation of this Decree. Within 90 days after receipt of the itemized statement, Spokane County shall pay the Treasury of the State of Washington, the required sum. The required sum as of the date of this Decree is estimated to be approximately \$30,000.
- C. No payment shall be due under this Decree prior to September 1, 1988.
- D. This Decree shall not be construed in any way as a waiver of Ecology's or Spokane County's right to seek reimbursement from any potentially liable persons, including entities not a signatory to this Decree, for recovery of response costs incurred by Ecology or Spokane County in conjunction with activities conducted pursuant to this Decree.

XI. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

If either party objects to any subsequent action or decision of the other party under the terms of this Decree, that party shall notify the other party of its objections within seven (7) days of the receipt of knowledge or constructive knowledge of the action or decision. Within seven (7) days from the receipt of such notice the parties shall confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If agreement cannot be reached on the dispute within fourteen (14) days after

-18-

CONSENT DECREE

the notice, either party shall have the right to petition the Court to obtain appropriate relief. It is recognized that Section XI. should not be used to cause unreasonable delay of the agreed compliance schedules. If either party believes that Section XI. is being invoked for the purpose of unreasonably delaying the agreed schedules, that party, concurrent with the petition for relief, may petition the Court for sanctions, to be determined by the Court.

XII. ENDANGERMENT

In the event Ecology determines or concurs in a determination by another local, state, or federal agency that activities implementing or in compliance with this Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating or have the potential to create a danger to the health or welfare of the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Ecology may order Spokane County to stop further implementation of this Decree for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition a court of competent jurisdiction for such an order. During the stoppage of work under this Decree, the obligations of Spokane County with respect to the work ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to the Extensions of Schedules Section XIII of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances, but no less than the period of work stoppage.

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

In the event Spokane County determines that activities undertaken in furtherance of this Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating an imminent and substantial endangerment to the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Spokane County may stop implementation of this Decree for such periods of time necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine whether Spokane County should proceed with implementation of the Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. Spokane County shall notify either Ecology field personnel on-site or the project manager as soon as possible but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage and provide Ecology with documentation of its analysis in reaching this determi-If Ecology disagrees with such determination, it may order Spokane County to resume implementation of this Decree. If Ecology concurs in the work stoppage, the obligations of Spokane County shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to the Extension of Schedule, Section XIII, of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. disagreement pursuant to this paragraph shall be resolved through the Resolution of Disputes, Section XI.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2:

XIII. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULES

Extensions of time schedules shall be granted only when requests for such extensions are submitted within 14 days of the occurrence of an event which reasonably can be recognized will cause 27 CONSENT DECREE -203 be
4 ext
5 per
6 ext
7 It

8

9

10

11

12

- 3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

___25

1

2

delay, but in any event submitted prior to the deadline date, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify the reason(s) the extension is needed. Extensions shall only be granted for such period of time as is reasonable under the circumstances. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology in writing.

It shall not be necessary pursuant to paragraph XIV to amend the Decree when a schedule extension is granted.

- B. The burden shall be on Spokane County to demonstrate that the request for the extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. (a) Good cause shall mean reasons acceptable to a reasonably prudent person under the same or similar circumstances. Good cause may include, but not be limited to, the following:
 - (1) Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of Spokane County, including delays caused by Ecology.
 - (2) Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was timely applied for.
 - (3) Other circumstances which a reasonably prudent person would deem exceptional or extraordinary.
 - (4) Changes in work plans.
 - (5) Unanticipated access, drilling or logistics problems.
 - (b) Good cause shall include the following:
 - (1) Ecology review periods in excess of 30 days shall be regarded as delays caused by Ecology.

CONSENT DECREE

-21-

27 CONSENT DECREE

- (2) Acts of God, fire, flood, and blizzard which prevent a reasonably prudent person from reasonable performance of scheduled activities.
 - (3) Judicial Stay.
- C. Only one request for extension of the time schedule for the same factual circumstances on any particular item shall be considered in good faith.

Except as provided in Section IIIA and XIII above, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of this Decree nor changed economic circumstances may be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Spokane County.

XIV. AMENDMENTS

- A. Consent Decree. This Decree may only be amended by a written approval of the Court. If a party wishes to amend the Decree, a proposed amendment by stipulation shall be submitted to the other party. Agreement to the stipulation will not be unreasonably withheld by any party to the amendment of this Decree. If agreement to a proposed stipulation cannot be reached within 20 days, either party may petition the Court for an Amendment to the Decree.
- B. <u>Hazardous Substances Response Program</u>. Spokane County shall submit any request for amendment of the hazardous substance response program to Ecology's project manager for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval of such request within ten (10) days after the request for amendment is received. Any such disapproval shall state reasons for the disapproval.

^{*}25

CONSENT DECREE

No guidance, suggestions, or comments by Ecology will be construed as relieving Spokane County of its obligation to obtain formal approval as may be required by this Decree. No verbal communication by Ecology shall relieve Spokane County of the obligations specified herein.

XV. PENALTIES

Spokane County and Ecology agree that the Court can assess a penalty of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) per day against Spokane County for violations of this Decree.

In the event that Spokane County fails to make a submittal to Ecology in accordance with this Decree or comply with any time schedule approved in writing by Ecology pursuant to this Decree, or otherwise fails to comply with this Decree, Spokane County shall be liable to pay a civil penalty into an account of the State of Washington designated by Ecology in an amount per day to be set by the Superior Court with jurisdiction over this Decree. Any penalty shall accrue from the date Ecology provides written notice to the Court and Spokane County that an alleged violation of the Consent Decree has occurred.

Upon determination by Ecology that Spokane County failed to make a submittal referenced herein or otherwise failed to comply with this Decree, Ecology shall give written notice to the Court and to Spokane County of the alleged failure. Said notice shall specify the provision(s) of the Decree which Ecology believes had (have) not been complied with and shall recommend a per day amount to be set by

the Court. In setting the per day amount, the Court may consider, but shall not be controlled by or limited to, the following:

- (1) Minimum penalties. Should the court find that the alleged failure(s) identified by Ecology did indeed occur, Ecology may propose a penalty of \$500.00 per day but the amount of the actual penalty shall be determined by the Court.
 - (2) Aggravating factors.
 - (a) The impact of the failure of Spokane County to make a timely submittal on the overall work schedule or other time schedule as set out in the Decree.
 - (b) The impact of the failure of Spokane County to accomplish a remedial investigation and feasibility study consistent with standard engineering practices.
 - (c) A record of similar failure indicating a disregard for the Consent Decree.
 - (d) The likelihood for harm to public health and the environment.
- (3) Mitigating factors. Demonstration by Spokane County (who shall have the burden of proof) of hardship or of extenuating circumstances affecting its ability to prevent the failure.

XVI. INDEMNIFICATION

Spokane County agrees to indemnify and save and hold Ecology, its agents, employees, and contractors, harmless from any and all claims or causes of action arising from, or on account of, acts or omissions of Spokane County, its officers, employees, agents, or

-24-

I CONSENT DECREE

contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree; provided, however, that Spokane County shall not indemnify Ecology, nor save or

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 20°

21

22

23

24

25

hold its employees, contractors, and agents, harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of the acts or omissions of Ecology, or the employees, and contractors, and agents of Ecology, in implementing the activities pursuant to this Decree.

XVII. OTHER ACTIONS

In the event that Spokane County fails to adhere to any requirement of this Decree; or, notwithstanding compliance with the terms of this Decree, upon the occurrence or discovery of a situation as to which Ecology would be empowered to take any further response measures including, but not limited to, removal actions; or in the event of a release or threatened release not addressed by this Decree; or upon the determination that action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate, control, or mitigate an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare or the environment that may be posed by this facility; or under any other circumstances authorized by law, Ecology may institute response measures and subsequently pursue cost recovery action available, and/or Ecology may issue orders to Spokane County pursuant to available statutory authority.

XVIII. OTHER CLAIMS

Nothing herein is intended to release any claims, causes of action or demands in law or equity by any party against any person, entity, firm, partnership or corporation not a signatory to this

26

Decree for any liability arising out of, or relating in any way to, the disposal of waste at, or the release of any substance at, to, or from the Site.

XIX. OTHER REMEDIES

Ecology and Spokane County recognize, that the scope of this Consent Decree is for an RI/FS only, and a covenant not to sue, under section 8(2) of the Toxic Control Act is premature. However, Ecology agrees that Ecology will not sue Spokane County to enforce an RI/FS action solely as defined herein as long as Spokane County adheres to each and every provision as set out in this Consent Decree.

Ecology is not restricted in any manner whatsoever from enforcing against Spokane County should new circumstances arise not evident on the effective date of this Decree; should a new potential threat to the public or environment arise not evident on the effective date of this Decree, or for any action not within the scope of this Decree.

XX. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

All actions carried out by Spokane County pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits not otherwise exempt under the Toxics Control Act.

XXI. NO ADMISSION OF LIABILITY

The county, in entering into this Decree, does not admit, accept, or intend to acknowledge any liability or fault with respect to any matters arising out of or relating to this complaint or to this Decree nor to a violation of any law. The county does not

27 | CONSENT DECREE

contest Ecology's authority or jurisdiction to enter into and enforce this Decree. However, by not contesting any factual or legal findings, conclusions, or determinations made by Ecology, Spokane County does not admit the same.

Spokane County reserves the rights and defenses which it may have regarding liability or responsibility in any subsequent proceedings.

Ecology and Spokane County agree this Decree is intended to be used by the signatory parties for the purposes set forth herein only and is not intended to be used by a nonparty for the purpose of establishing fault or liability on the part of any party.

Nothing in this Decree shall be construed as preventing Spokane County from exercising its rights under 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f) or the Toxic Control Act to seek contribution under the Uniform Contribution Among Tortfeasors Act, and other applicable law, or from any person as defined in Section 2(8) or 2(9) of the Toxic Control Act who is liable or potentially liable under 42 U.S.C. § 9606 or 42 U.S.C. § 9607, or the Toxic Control Act, or to seek relief from any person as defined in Section 2(8) or 2(9) of the Toxic Control Act other than the fund.

XXII. GRANT/LOAN/OR MIXED FUNDING

Section VII(7) and XXII(4) of the Toxic Control Act establishes the state Toxic Control Account and the local Toxic Control Account. The parties agree that by entering into this Consent Decree at this time, Spokane County shall not be precluded from applying for grants and/or loans or mixed funding once Ecology establishes eligibility guidelines or regulations. If Spokane

1 | Co 2 | Co 3 | mi 4 | Co 5 | da 6 | me 7 | an 8 | gr 9 | ne

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.,,

23

24

25

County meets the established eligibility requirement, then Spokane County shall not be prohibited from including in any grant, loan, or mixed funding application, any expenditures incurred by Spokane County under the terms of this Consent Decree commencing from the date of filing of this Decree. Ecology and Spokane County agree to meet, if necessary, within 60 days after promulgation of guidelines and regulations by Ecology and/or approval of Spokane County's grant, loan or mixed funding application and negotiate, if necessary, an amendment to this Decree with regard to Spokane County's participation.

XXIII. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

At the appropriate time, Spokane County may apply for a certificate of completion pursuant to Section 9 of the Toxic Control Act. Any disputes as to such certificate shall be subject to the Dispute Resolution clause.

XXIV. DEFINITIONS

The following definitions shall apply to this Decree:

- A. <u>Mica Landfill Site</u> (Site) means the Site located in Spokane County as described in Attachment 1 and any abutting properties that contain hazardous substances which have or potentially have migrated from the property described in Attachment 1. as a result of landfill operations.
- B. <u>CERCLA</u> means the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 <u>et seq.</u>; as amended, also known as "Superfund," and "SARA."

26

27

CONSENT DECREE

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

26

27 CONSENT DECREE

S F No 9928-A-OS-5-70

- National Contingency Plan ("NCP") means the plan Ç. promulgated pursuant to CERCLA and codified at 40 CFR Part 300 et seq., as amended.
- Remedial Action, unless otherwise defined or unless D. referenced to CERCLA/SARA or documents generated thereunder, means any action or expenditure consistent with the purposes of the Toxic Control Act to identify, eliminate or minimize any threat or potential threat posed by hazardous substances to human health or the environment including any investigative and monitoring activities with respect to any release or threatened release of a hazardous substance and any health assessment or health effects studies conducted in order to determine the risk or potential risk to human health.
- Toxic Control Act means Chapter 2, 3rd Ex. Session, Laws of 1987, State of Washington, also known as the Hazardous Waste Cleanup Act as codified in chapter 70.105B RCW.
- Hazardous Waste Response Program refers to the program provided for in Subpart F of the National Contingency Plan.
- Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (R1/F5) refers to G. a remedial investigation feasibility study as defined in the NCP.

XXV. NOTICE AND PUBLIC COMMENT

Pursuant to agreed order entered January 4, 1988, the public was provided notice of a proposed consent decree in the above subject cause and provided a 30-day public comment period.

Comments were received and reviewed by Ecology. None of the comments received disclosed facts or considerations which indicate that the decree is inappropriate, improper or inadequate.

7 | CONSENT DECREE

-30-

-30

-	XXVI. APPROVAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE			
2	This Decree is hereby approved and is effective on entry.			
3				
4	The undersigned approve this Decree and represent that they have			
5	authority to bind the parties they represent.			
6				
7	APPROVED BY:			
8	FOR SPOKANE COUNTY FOR STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY			
9	Mila titl			
0	By County Commissioner By Marc A. Horton			
1	Deputy Director Office of Operations and			
2	Enforcement Department of Ecology			
3	By atrices a Numery Date March 8, 1988			
4	County Commissioner			
5	Date 3-14-88			
6	(4/1.1.2.0)			
7	County Commissioner			
8	Date 3-14-88			
9	ATTEST 2			
0				
1	Clerk of the Board Date DATED AND ENTERED This day of Mark 1988.			
22	DATED AND ENTERED this 15 day of Mont, 1988.			
3				
24	JOHN A. SCHULTHEIS			
`5	Judge			

-31-

S F No 9928-A-OS--5-70

CONSENT DECREE

. .

2 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR SPOKANE COUNTY 3 STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, 5 No. 88-2-0005-5 Plaintiff, 6 ν. 7 NOTICE OF PRESENTATION OF CONSENT DECREE SPOKANE COUNTY, 8 Defendant. 9 10 TO: Spokane County 11 James P. Emacio, Chief Deputy, Spokane County AND TO: 12 Prosecuting Attorney, and Jerry Neal, Lukins & Annis 13 Notice is hereby served upon you that the Consent 14 Decree, Stipulation and Order for Entry of Consent Decree 15 will be presented to the Honorable John A. Schultheis for his 16 approval and signature on the 15th day of March, 1988, at 17 11:00 a.m., Spokane County Superior Court, by direction of 18 the court and agreement of the parties. 19 day of March, 1988. 20 KENNETH, O. EIKENBERRY 21 Attorpéy General 22 LEE OKARMA REES 23 Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff 24 State of Washington Department of Ecology 25

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR SPOKANE COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,

Plaintiff,

No. 88-2-0005-5

v.

SPOKANE COUNTY,

Defendant.

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT DECREE AND WAIVER OF FURTHER HEARING

STIPULATION

COME NOW the parties to the consent decree attached hereto (the "consent decree"), the Department of Ecology ("Ecology") represented by V. Lee Okarma Rees, Assistant Attorney General; Spokane County, represented by James P. Emacio, Chief Deputy, Spokane County Prosecuting Attorney, and Jerry Neal, Lukins & Annis, and stipulate and agree as follows:

1. The parties have voluntarily entered into and executed the consent decree providing for a remedial investigation and feasibility study (RI/FS) for the purpose of determining the nature and extent of the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, characterizing the site and identifying, developing, evaluating and recommending remedial action alternatives, which are consistent with a

10

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

permanent remedy to prevent or minimize the release or threatened release of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants from the site.

- 2. The consent decree contains specific descriptions of the site, work to be performed, schedules, monitoring and agreement to negotiate future remedial actions required at the site. The consent decree also addresses an Interim Operating Plan for Solid Waste at the site.
- 3. Section 7(5) of the state Toxic Control Act requires that proposed consent decrees for voluntary remedial actions be filed with the appropriate superior court for at least thirty (30) days for public comment prior to entry of a decree by the court. This provision also requires any written comments received on the proposed decree be filed with the court.
- 4. A proposed consent decree was filed with the court on January 4, 1988.
- January 4, 1988, Ecology provided notice to the public and allowed at least thirty (30) days for public comment on the proposed consent decree prior to entry of the decree in accordance with state law.

6. Ecology determined that a public meeting was not necessary until field work begins to test for the types and extent of contamination at the site.

- 7. Ecology received 34 responses to the public notice published January 11, 1988 on the proposed consent decree.

 (Attachment 2.) Eight of the responses were comment letters and the remainder were requests to be put on the mailing list. Concerns were expressed about potential health effects due to possible drinking water contamination and possible leachate and methane leaving the site. Concerns were also expressed about the cleanup process. No one opposed the consent decree.
- 8. Ecology prepared a Responsiveness Summary, (Attachment 3), and addressed the public's concerns. This responsiveness summary will be sent to all those who responded during the public comment period.
- 9. The parties have received notice of the presentment of the consent decree and this stipulation and order.
- 10. The parties agree that the Honorable John J.
 Ripple, originally assigned to this case, is unavailable and that the Honorable John A. Schultheis, presiding, has agreed to perform the duties that would otherwise be performed by Judge Ripple in his absence.

-3-

ł	1
1	11. The parties respectfully request that the court
2	enter the consent decree, as provided by Section 7(5) of the
3	Toxic Control Act and retain jurisdiction to enforce the
4	terms of the decree.
5	DATED this $\frac{1}{2}$ day of March, 1988.
6	
7	SPOKANE COUNTY STATE OF WASHINGTON KENNETH O. EIKENBERRY
8	Attorney General
9	1-L (4 2
10	JAMES P. EMACIO By V. LEE OKARMA REES
11	Chief Deputy Assistant Attorney General Spokane County Attorneys for Plaintiff
12	Prosecuting Attorney State of Washington Department of Ecology
13	
14	ву
15	JERRY NEAL Lukins & Annis
16	Attorneys for Spokane County
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel, that the consent decree attached hereto be and hereby is entered as provided by the terms of the consent decree and Section 7 of S.B. 6085, that any further notice and hearing on this matter has been waived by all parties hereto, and that this court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of said decree.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 1988.

HONORABLE JOHN A. SCHULTHEIS Superior Court Judge

Presented by:

KENNETH O. EIKENBERRY Attorney General

By

V. LEE OKARMA REES

Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington Department of Ecology

21

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Approved as to form and Notice of Presentation waived:

24

23

25

26

-5-

11	u .
1	
2	JAMES P. EMACIO Chief Deputy
3	Spokane County Prosecuting Attorney
4	prosecuting accorner
5	Dec
6	JERRY NEAL Lukins & Annis
7	Attorneys for Spokane County
8	Accorneys for spokane councy
9	ATTACHMENTS:
10	Consent Decree Public Comments
11	Responsiveness Summary
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT DECREE AND WAIVER OF FURTHER HEARING

-6-

25