```
1
            IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
                           FOR SPOKANE COUNTY
3
   STATE OF WASHINGTON,
4
   DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,
5
                                             No. 94-2-05788-4
                   Plaintiff,
6
         v.
                                             AMENDED
                                             CONSENT DECREE
   THE WASHINGTON WATER POWER COMPANY
                   Defendant.
8
9
                            Table of Contents
10
           I. INTRODUCTION
          II. JURISDICTION
11
         III. PARTIES BOUND
          IV. DEFINITIONS
12
           V. STATEMENT OF FACTS
          VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED
13
         VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS
        VIII. PERFORMANCE
14
          IX. ACCESS
           X. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY
15
          XI. PROGRESS REPORTS
         XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS
16
        XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY
         XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES
17
          XV. AMENDMENT OF AMENDED CONSENT DECREE
         XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE
18
        XVII. ENDANGERMENT
       XVIII. OTHER ACTIONS
19
         XIX. INDEMNIFICATION
          XX. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS
20
         XXI. REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS
        XXII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION
21
       XXIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
        XXIV. DURATION OF DECREE
22
         XXV. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE
        XXVI. COVENANT NOT TO SUE
23
       XXVII. EFFECTIVE DATE
      XXVIII. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT
24
              Exhibit A - Site Diagram
              Exhibit B - Cleanup Action Plan
              Exhibit C - Public Participation Plan
              Exhibit D - Restrictive Covenant
```

### I. INTRODUCTION

- A. On November 8, 1994, WWP entered into a Consent Decree in this matter with Ecology to perform a remedial investigation and feasibility study under MTCA. The Consent Decree entered in 1994 shall be referred to hereafter as the "Original Consent Decree."
- B. In entering into this Amended Consent Decree (Amended Decree), the mutual objective of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), and The Washington Water Power Company (WWP or Defendant) is to provide for remedial action at the Washington Water Power Central Steam Plant Site where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. This Amended Decree requires the Defendant to undertake the remedial action identified in the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) described in Exhibit B. Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect public health and the environment.
- c. The Complaint in this action was filed simultaneously with the Original Consent Decree. An answer was not filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. A remedial investigation (RI) and feasibility study (FS) were completed under the Original Consent Decree and reports were submitted on behalf of WWP. The parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's complaint and to remediate the contamination identified in the RI/FS reports. In addition, the parties agree that settlement of these matters without

litigation is reasonable, is in the public interest and that entry of this Amended Consent Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.

- D. In signing this Amended Decree, Defendant agrees to its entry and agrees to be bound by its terms.
- E. By entering into this Amended Decree, the parties do not intend to discharge nonsettling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the complaint. The parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for sums expended under the Original Consent Decree and in this Amended Decree.
- F. This Amended Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts; provided, however, that the Defendant shall not challenge the jurisdiction of Ecology in any proceeding to enforce this Amended Decree.
- G. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Amended Decree, and good cause having been shown: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

#### II. JURISDICTION

- A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the parties pursuant to Chapter 70.105D RCW, the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA).
- B. Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a

settlement with any potentially liable person if, after public notice and hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.

- c. Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous substances has occurred at the Site which is the subject of this Amended Decree.
- D. Defendant has accepted status as a potentially liable person for the Site, as set forth in RCW 70.105D.020(8).
- E. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Amended

  Decree are necessary and appropriate to protect public health,

  welfare, and the environment.
- F. Defendant has agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Amended Decree and consents to the entry of this Amended Decree under the MTCA.

### III. PARTIES BOUND

This Amended Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the signatories to this Amended Decree (parties), their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Amended Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with the Amended Decree. Defendant agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Amended Decree and not to contest state jurisdiction regarding this Amended Decree. No change in ownership or

'7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

under this Amended Decree. Defendant shall provide a copy of this Amended Decree to all agents, contractors and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Amended Decree and shall ensure that all work undertaken by such contractors and subcontractors will be in compliance with this Amended Decree.

corporate status shall alter the responsibility of the defendant

### IV. DEFINITIONS

Except for as specified herein, all definitions in WAC 173-340-200 apply to the terms in this Amended Decree.

- A. <u>Site</u>: The Site, referred to as the Central Steam Plant, is located within Section 19, T. 25 N., R. 43 E.W.M., Spokane, Washington. The Site is more particularly shown in Exhibit A to this Amended Decree which is a detailed site diagram and described in section V. A. of this Amended Decree. The Site is a "facility" within the meaning of the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), RCW 70.105D.020(3).
- B. <u>Parties</u>: Refers to the Washington State Department of Ecology and The Washington Water Power Company.
- C. <u>Defendant</u>: Refers to The Washington Water Power Company.
- D. Amended Consent Decree or Amended Decree: Refers to this Amended Consent Decree and each of the exhibits to the Amended Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Amended Consent Decree. The terms "Amended Consent

Decree" or "Amended Decree" shall include all Exhibits to the Amended Consent Decree.

#### V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Ecology makes the following finding of facts without any express or implied admissions by Defendant.

- A. The Site, referred to as Washington Water Power Central Steam Plant, is located within Section 19, T. 25 N., R. 43

  E.W.M., Spokane County, Washington. The Site, further identified in Exhibit A of this Amended Decree and in section V.

  C., is located within the City of Spokane business district.

  The Site is illustrated in Exhibit A and is defined in Exhibit B in the CAP as the area in which the identified hazardous substances have come to be located.
- B. The Central Steam Plant was built in 1915 and was designed to burn coal to produce steam and electric power. In the late 1930s, equipment was installed that allowed the plant to burn sawdust and wood shavings. In the mid-1960's sawdust and coal were phased-out as fuels and all plant boilers were converted from coal to a petroleum product by 1970. Any waste associated with the burning of coal, sawdust, and wood shavings at the plant has not been identified on-site. Reportedly, small amounts of diesel were added to the petroleum product that was used as fuel at the steam plant. PCB containing oil was burned on one occasion. WWP owned and operated the Central Steam Plant from 1916 through 1986.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

251

D. Tanks A, B, C, and D were the operating tanks that supplied petroleum to the boilers. The larger storage tanks (Tanks F, G, and H) were used to store the petroleum. Fuel was unloaded directly to Tanks A and B between 1966 and 1975 and to Tanks C and D between 1972 and 1975. Once constructed, tanks F G, and H were used as the storage tanks for fuel unloading. The fuel was transferred from the storage tanks (F, G, and H) to the operating tanks (A, B, C, and D) on an as-needed basis for plant operation. In April 1982, fuel in Tanks F, G and H was transferred to Tanks A, B, C and D and Tanks F, G and H were taken out of service.

E. In May 1982, steam plant workers noticed that petroleum was seeping through small cracks in the steam plant basement wall into the sump for the elevator shaft. Weekly monitoring of

the Lincoln Street tanks detected a drop in the surface of approximately 1.5 inches in Tank C over a period of 20 days. The contents of Tank C were transferred into Tanks A and B and use of Tank C was discontinued. Tanks F, G, and H were emptied in 1982, and were hydrocleaned in 1985.

F. WWP reported the release to Ecology in June 1982. On July 26, 1982, Ecology issued Order No. DE 82-438 to WWP to investigate and mitigate the release. Investigations in response to the leakage of petroleum from the underground storage tanks were conducted in 1982 - 1984. Data from these investigations confirmed that a release of "hazardous substances", as defined in RCW 70.105D.020(5), to the soil had occurred on the Site. Petroleum is a hazardous substance as defined in WAC 173-340-200. In April 1984, Ecology determined that all requirements of the Order had been fulfilled, and the decision was made to discontinue monitoring.

The reports are found in the following references:

Hart Crowser, 1983, <u>Final Report Bunker C Oil Seepage</u>
<u>Study, The Washington Water Power Company, Spokane Steam</u>
<u>Plant</u>, February 4, 1983.

Hart Crowser, 1984, <u>Hydrogeologic Evaluation of Monitoring Data</u>, <u>December 1982 to February 1984 Spokane Steam Plant</u>, March 9, 1984.

- G. The Central Steam Plant was closed by WWP in December 1986. Tanks A, B, D, and E were emptied in 1987, and Tanks A, B, C, D, and E were hydrocleaned in early 1988.
- H. In 1991 WWP began to conduct additional investigations to determine if the petroleum product had migrated. The

1	independent remedial investigations conducted from 1982 to 1994
2	included drilling 39 soil borings, the installation of
3	monitoring wells in 13 of the soil borings, collecting soil and
4	ground water samples and conducting chemical analyses on those
5	samples, conducting a geophysical survey, and tank integrity
6	assessments. Data from these studies confirmed the presence of
7	petroleum product containing PAHs in soil and petroleum product
8	in ground water, and that the contamination had migrated since
9	the original studies conducted in 1982 - 1984. The
10	concentrations of PAHs in one soil sample were above Method A
11	cleanup levels.
12	These studies are found in the following references:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ReTec, 1993, Status Report on Site Investigation Central Steam Plant Facility, Spokane, Washington, December 1993.

ReTec, 1994, Work Plan for an Independent Remedial Investigation, The Central Steam Plant Site, Spokane, Washington, February 1994.

ReTec, 1994, Groundwater Elevation Data, Groundwater Contour Map, Hydrocarbon Occurrence, Monitoring Well Evaluation Survey Data, Soil Boring Logs, Groundwater Analysis Results, Soil Analytical Results, February 7, 1994.

I. Other studies conducted at the Site are found in the following references:

RZA-AGRA, 1993, Analytical Test Results on Groundwater Monitoring Well MW-10, November 29, 1993.

RZA-AGRA, 1993, <u>Review of Washington Water Power Data</u>, <u>Central Steam Plant-Bunker C Release</u>, <u>Spokane</u>, <u>Washington</u>, <u>December 20</u>, 1993.

These reports were prepared for Mr. Young K. Choi of the Travelodge Motel and not for WWP.

K. On December 28, 1993, WWP entered into an agreement with Ecology and voluntarily requested negotiations for an Amended Consent Decree. As owners of property where a release or potential release of hazardous substances has occurred or may occur, WWP accepted status as a potentially liable person under the Model Toxic Control Act.

On November 8, 1994 WWP entered into the Original Consent Decree (No. 94-2-05778-4) with Ecology to perform a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) under MTCA. The purpose of the RI/FS was to characterize the nature and extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and ground water resulting from activities at the Central Steam Plant, and to develop and evaluate cleanup action alternatives to address the Steam Plant release. During the course of the investigation an additional 37 borings were installed, 16 of which were constructed as monitoring wells. Physical and chemical characteristics of soil and petroleum product were studied, and systematic ground water sampling and analysis were performed The FS developed and evaluated 6 cleanup during the RI. alternatives and identified a recommended alternative. studies are found in the following references:

Landau Associates, Inc., Remedial Investigation WWP Central Steam Plant, Spokane, Washington, June 1996.

26

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Landau Associates, Inc., Feasibility Study WWP Central Steam Plant, Spokane, Washington, June 1996.

- M. The results of the RI indicated an estimated 75,000 gallons of petroleum product leaked from the Central Steam Plant. The hazardous substances are petroleum hydrocarbons, chiefly Bunker C with minor amounts of diesel. The Bunker C contains polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). The petroleum and PAHs are located in soils as shown on Exhibit A. Free phase petroleum also is present in some of the monitoring wells in the area of contaminated soil.
- N. The Feasibility Study identified combined cleanup technologies of bioventing, shallow soil excavation, barrier wall, paving, surface water diversion, product recovery, tank closure, monitoring, and institutional controls as the preferred cleanup alternative for the site.
- O. In 1996 WWP transferred the Central Steam Plant property to its subsidiary, Water Power Finance Company, which in turn conveyed the property to Steam Plant Square L.L.C. WWP provided notice of such transfer to Ecology pursuant to the Original Consent Decree.
- p. In order to address the hazardous substances released from the Central Steam Plant, WWP has agreed to enter into this Amended Consent Decree with Ecology.

## VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

This Amended Decree contains a program designed to protect public health, welfare and the environment from the known

A. WWP shall implement the cleanup action selected in the Cleanup Action Plan as shown in Exhibit B, which is by this reference made a part hereof as though set out in full. In general, WWP shall excavate soils containing hazardous substances in the vicinity of the former storage tanks, A, B, C, and D, close all underground storage tanks found on the Site, recover free-phase petroleum product where practicable, treat site soils using bioventing technology to the maximum extent practicable, install a subsurface barrier wall to ensure containment of hazardous substances, and control surface water through paving and stormwater diversion, as needed.

wwp will also perform required long term monitoring to ensure that the cleanup standards are met and to confirm that the containment system is performing as required. Finally, WWP will ensure that a restrictive covenant is entered on property now owned by Steam Plant Square L.L.C. that is similar in form to the example attached in Exhibit D and will obtain appropriate restrictions on the use of other property at the Site.

B. Within one hundred twenty (120) days of entry of this Amended Decree, WWP shall submit to Ecology for approval, an institutional control plan, compliance monitoring plan, engineering design report and schedule, construction plans and

- C. Ecology shall be responsible for providing public notice of the Amended Decree with the attached Cleanup Action Plan. Prior to entry of this Amended Decree, Ecology reserves the right to modify or withdraw any provisions of this Amended Decree should public comments disclose facts or consideration which indicated that the Amended Decree is inadequate or improper in any respect.
- D. Ecology will evaluate and determine the appropriateness of the institutional control plan, compliance monitoring plan, engineering design report, construction plans and specifications, operation and maintenance plan, and health and safety plan. These plans shall not be implemented, nor shall any other remedial activity take place at this site without Ecology's approval. Upon approval by Ecology, the plans and the schedule shall become an enforceable element of this Amended Decree. Implementation of the plans shall begin within thirty (30) calendar days of the receipt of Ecology's approval.
- E. A cleanup action report, summarizing installation of treatment and containment systems and soil removal, will be submitted no later that twelve (12) months following final acceptance by Ecology of the construction plan and specifications.

5

7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21

23

24

25

26

F. Defendant agrees not to perform any remedial actions outside the scope of this Amended Decree unless the parties agree to amend the scope of work to cover these actions. All work conducted under this decree shall be done in accordance with ch. 173-340 WAC unless otherwise provided herein.

## VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

The project coordinator for Ecology is:

Patti Y. Carter Site Manager Department of Ecology 4601 N. Monroe, Suite 202 Spokane, Washington 99205-1295.

The project coordinator for Defendant is:

Douglas K. Pottratz Environmental Compliance Administrator The Washington Water Power Company P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, Washington 99220-3727

Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Amended Decree. The Ecology project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative at the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and the Defendant and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Amended Decree, shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the remedial work required by this Amended Decree. The project

coordinators may agree to minor modifications to the work to be performed without formal amendments to this Amended Decree.

Minor modifications will be documented in writing by Ecology.

Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other parties at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

### VIII. PERFORMANCE

All work performed pursuant to this Amended Decree shall be under the direction and supervision, as necessary, of a professional engineer or hydrogeologist, or equivalent, with experience and expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and cleanup. Any construction work must be under the supervision of a professional engineer. Defendant shall notify Ecology in writing as to the identity of such engineer(s) or hydrogeologist(s), or others, and of any contractors and subcontractors to be used in carrying out the terms of this Amended Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

### IX. ACCESS

Ecology or any Ecology authorized representatives shall have the authority to enter and freely move about all property at the Site at all reasonable times for the purposes of, inter alia: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Amended Decree; reviewing Defendant's progress in carrying out the terms of this Amended Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording,

or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Amended Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by the Defendant. All parties with access to the Site pursuant to this paragraph shall comply with approved health and safety plans.

# X. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY

With respect to the implementation of this Amended Decree, Defendant shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it, or on its behalf available to Ecology and shall submit these results in accordance with Section XI of this Amended Decree.

In accordance with WAC 173-340-840(5), ground water sampling data shall be submitted in an electronic format agreeable to Ecology's site coordinator. These submittals shall be provided to Ecology in accordance with Section XI of this Amended Decree.

Unless informed otherwise by Ecology, Defendant shall make every effort to provide/allow split samples to be taken by Ecology and/or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Defendant pursuant to the implementation of this Amended Decree. Duplicate samples shall be provided only if sample quantity is impossible to split for a valid sample. Defendant shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or work activity undertaken pursuant to this Amended Decree on or off the site. Ecology shall, upon request, allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Defendant or its

1 au 2 pu 3 it 4 li: 5 en 6 ac 7 WA 8 9 10 re 11 mo 12 pr 13 14 ta

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

authorized representatives of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Amended Decree provided it does not interfere with the Department's sampling. Without limitation on Ecology's rights under Section IX, Ecology shall endeavor to notify Defendant prior to any sample collection activity. Ecology sampling shall be conducted in compliance with WAC 173-340-800.

#### XI. PROGRESS REPORTS

Defendant shall submit to Ecology written monthly progress reports which describe the actions taken during the previous month to implement the requirements of this Amended Decree. The progress shall include the following:

- A. A list of on-site and off-site activities that have taken place pursuant to this Amended Consent Decree during the month;
- B. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise documented in project plans or amendment requests;
- C. Description of all deviations from the schedule (Exhibit B) during the current month and any planned deviations in the upcoming month;
- D. For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and maintaining compliance with the schedule;
- E. All relevant data (including laboratory analysis summaries) received by the Defendant during the past month and an identification of the source of the sample;

F. A list of deliverables for the upcoming month if different from the schedule; and

After the effective date of this Amended Decree, all progress reports shall be submitted by the tenth day of the month following the reporting period for which they are required. Unless otherwise specified, progress reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Amended Decree shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested; personal service; express courier; or by facsimile with a confirmation copy via the U.S. Postal Service to Ecology's project coordinator.

# XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

Defendant shall preserve, during the pendency of this

Amended Decree and for ten (10) years from the date this Amended

Decree is no longer in effect as provided in Section XXV, all

records, reports, documents, and underlying data in its

possession relevant to the implementation of this Amended Decree

and shall insert in contracts with project contractors and

subcontractors a similar record retention requirement. Upon

request of Ecology, Defendant shall make all non-archived

records available to Ecology and allow access for review. All

archived records shall be made available to Ecology within a

reasonable period of time.

# XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

No voluntary or involuntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest held by WWP in any

portion of the Site shall be consummated without provision for continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Amended Decree.

Prior to transfer of any legal or equitable interest of WWP in all or any portion of the Site, and during the effective period of this Amended Decree, Defendant shall serve a copy of this Amended Decree upon any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor to WWP's interest in the property; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Defendant shall notify Ecology of said contemplated transfer.

# XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

- A. In the event a dispute arises as to an approval, disapproval, proposed modification or other decision or action by Ecology's project coordinator, the parties shall utilize the dispute resolution procedure set forth below.
- (1) Upon receipt of the Ecology project coordinator's decision, the Defendant has fourteen (14) days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator of its objection to the decision.
- (2) The parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within fourteen (14) days, Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision.

- (4) Ecology's Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of the Defendant's request for review. The Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.
- B. If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to Defendant, Defendant has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Amended Decree. In the event Defendant presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review the action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of review.
- c. The parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.

Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Amended Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

# XV. AMENDMENT OF AMENDED CONSENT DECREE

This Amended Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation among the parties to this Amended Decree that is entered by the Court or by order of the Court. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party to the Amended Decree.

Defendant shall submit any request for an amendment to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in a timely manner after the request for amendment is received. If the amendment to the Amended Decree is substantial, Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment. Reasons for the disapproval shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to any proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIV of this Amended Decree.

### XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

A. An extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least 30 days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in

writing. The request shall specify the reason(s) the extension is needed.

An extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology (or the Court acting pursuant to Section XIV.C). Ecology shall act upon any written request for extension in a timely fashion. It shall not be necessary to formally amend this Amended Decree pursuant to Section XV when a schedule extension is granted.

- B. The burden shall be on the Defendant to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause includes, but is not limited to, the following.
- (1) Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of Defendant including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by Defendant; or
- (2) Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty; or
  - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVII.

However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of the Amended Decree nor changed economic circumstances

13

11

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendant.

- C. Ecology may extend the schedule for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days, except where an extension is needed as a result of:
- (1) Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner; or
- (2) Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology; or
  - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVI.

Ecology shall give Defendant written notification in a timely fashion of any extensions granted pursuant to this Amended Decree.

## XVII. ENDANGERMENT

In the event Ecology determines that activities implementing or in noncompliance with this Amended Decree, or any other circumstances or activities, are creating or have the potential to create a danger to the health or welfare of the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Ecology may order Defendant to stop further implementation of this Amended Decree for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition the Court for an order as appropriate. During any stoppage of work under this section, the obligations of Defendant with respect to the work under this Amended Decree which is ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the time periods for performance of that work,

as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which is stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVI of this Amended Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the event Defendant determines that activities undertaken in furtherance of this Amended Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating an endangerment to the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Defendant may stop implementation of this Amended Decree for such period of time necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine whether Defendant should proceed with implementation of the Amended Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. Defendant shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage of work, and thereafter provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the work stoppage. disagrees with the Defendant's determination, it may order Defendant to resume implementation of this Amended Decree. Ιf Ecology concurs with the work stoppage, the Defendant's obligations shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVI of this Amended Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under Any disagreements pursuant to the clause the circumstances.

shall be resolved through the dispute resolution procedures in Section XIV.

### XVIII. OTHER ACTIONS

- A. Ecology reserves its rights to institute remedial action(s) at the Site and subsequently pursue cost recovery, and Ecology reserves its rights to issue orders and/or penalties or take any other enforcement action pursuant to available statutory authority under the following circumstances:
- (1) Where Defendant fails, after notice, to comply with any requirement of this Amended Decree; or
- (2) In the event or upon the discovery of a release or threatened release not addressed by this Amended Decree; or
- (3) Upon Ecology's determination that action beyond the terms of this Amended Decree is necessary to abate an emergency situation which threatens public health or welfare or the environment; or
- (4) Upon the occurrence or discovery of a situation beyond the scope of this Amended Decree as to which Ecology would be empowered to perform any remedial action or to issue an order and/or penalty, or to take any other enforcement action. This Amended Decree is limited in scope to the geographic Site described in Exhibit A and to those contaminants which Ecology knows to be at the Site when this Amended Decree is entered.

Ecology will not, however, bring an action against WWP to recover remedial action costs paid to and received by Ecology under this Consent Decree. In addition, Ecology will not take

additional enforcement actions against WWP to require those remedial actions required by this Amended Consent Decree, provided WWP complies with this Amended Decree.

Ecology reserves all rights regarding the injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources resulting from the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from the Washington Water Power Central Steam Plant site.

Ecology reserves the right to take any enforcement action whatsoever, including a cost recovery action, against potentially liable persons not party to this Amended Decree.

## XIX. <u>INDEMNIFICATION</u>

Defendant agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Amended Decree. However, the Defendant shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in implementing the activities pursuant to this Amended Decree.

## XX. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

A. All actions carried out by WWP pursuant to this

Amended Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable

3

4

5

6

7

81

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

B. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(1), the substantive requirements of chapters 70.94, 70.95, 70.105, 75.20, 90.48, and 90.58 RCW and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals for the remedial action under this Amended Decree that are known to be applicable at the time of entry of the Amended Decree have been included in Exhibit B, the Cleanup Action Plan, and are binding and enforceable requirements of the Amended Decree.

WWP has a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this In the event either WWP or Ecology determines Amended Decree. that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Amended Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of this determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or WWP shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, WWP shall promptly consult with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by WWP and on how WWP must meet those requirements. Ecology shall inform WWP in writing of these requirements. Once established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Amended Decree. WWP shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination.

Ecology shall ensure that notice and opportunity for comment is provided to the public and appropriate agencies prior to establishing the substantive requirements under this section.

c. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency which is necessary for the State to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and the WWP shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits.

#### XXI. REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS

The Defendant agrees to pay costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Amended Decree. These costs shall include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the Site under Chapter 70.105D RCW both prior to and subsequent to the issuance of this Amended Decree for investigations, remedial

actions, and Amended Decree preparation, negotiations, oversight, and administration. Ecology costs shall include costs of direct activities and support costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). The Defendant agrees to pay the required amount within ninety (90) days of receiving from Ecology the itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement will result in interest charges.

## XXII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

If Ecology determines that Defendant has failed without good cause to implement the remedial action, Ecology may, after notice to Defendant, perform any or all portions of the remedial action that remain incomplete. If Ecology performs all or portions of the remedial action because of the Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations under this Amended Decree, Defendant shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XXI, provided that Defendant is not obligated under this section to reimburse Ecology for costs incurred for work inconsistent with or beyond the scope of this Amended Decree.

Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site. However, Defendant shall cooperate with Ecology and, if agreed to by Ecology, shall:

- A. Prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action as deemed appropriate. Ecology will finalize (including editing if necessary) and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings;
- B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Defendant prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments;
- C. Participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter;
- D. In cooperation with Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories to be located at Spokane Public Library at 906 W. Main Avenue, Spokane, Washington; The Washington Water Power Company at 1411 E. Mission, Spokane, Washington; and Ecology's Eastern Regional Office at 4601 N. Monroe, Suite 202, Spokane, Washington. At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and press releases; all quality assured

5

7

9

8

11

12

14 15

13

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

26

ground water, surface water, soil sediment, and air monitoring data; cleanup action plans, supplemental planning documents, and all other similar documents relating to performance of the remedial action required by this Amended Decree shall be promptly placed in these repositories.

## XXIV. DURATION OF AMENDED DECREE

This Amended Decree shall remain in effect and the remedial program described in the Amended Decree shall be maintained and continued until the Defendant has received written notification from Ecology that the requirements of this Amended Decree have been satisfactorily completed.

### XXV. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

Defendant hereby agrees that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Amended Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies; and further, that the Defendant will make no claim against the State Toxics Control Account or any Local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Amended Decree. Except as provided above, however, Defendant expressly reserves its right to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Amended Decree from any other potentially liable person.

#### XXVI. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

In consideration of WWP's compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, the State, covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions against WWP regarding

contamination covered by this Decree unless confirmational monitoring indicates that additional remedial actions are necessary at the site to attain MTCA cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the CAP. Until cleanup standards are met at this Site, compliance with this Decree shall satisfy Defendant's cleanup obligations for the release or threatened release of hazardous substances covered by the terms of this Decree, unless, as noted above, confirmational monitoring indicates that additional remedial actions are necessary at the site to attain MTCA cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the CAP.

The terms and application of this Consent Decree are strictly limited to the Site specifically defined in Exhibit A and to those hazardous substances which Ecology knows to be located at the Site as of the entry of this Decree. This consent decree shall not be applicable to any other hazardous substance or area, and the State retains all of its authority relative to such substances and areas.

A. Reopeners: Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or administrative action against WWP seeking to require it to perform additional response actions at the Site, and to pursue appropriate cost recovery in accordance with provisions set out in RCW 70.105D.050, under the following circumstances:

- (1) Upon WWP's failure to meet the requirements of this Decree, including, but not limited to, failure of the remedial action to meet the cleanup standards identified in the Cleanup Action Plan (Exhibit B);
- (2) Upon Ecology's Determination that action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health or welfare or the environment;
- (3) Upon Ecology's determination that additional remedial actions are necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the CAP;
- (4) In the event new information becomes available regarding factors previously unknown to Ecology, including the nature or quantity of hazardous substances at the Site, and Ecology determines, in light of this information, that further remedial action is necessary at the Site to protect human health or the environment, and Defendants, after notice, fail to take the necessary action within a reasonable time.
- B. Applicability: Any Covenant Not to Sue concerning work performed under this Consent Decree shall have no applicability whatsoever to:
  - 1. Criminal liability;
  - Liability for damages to natural resources;
  - 3. Any Ecology action against potentially liable persons not a party to this Decree, including cost recovery.

### XXVII. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Amended Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.

### XXVIII. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Amended Decree has been the subject of public notice and comment, and a public hearing, under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a), as outlined in Exhibit C, which is incorporated herein by reference. As a result of this process, Ecology has found that this Amended Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site. Ecology has also determined that no further public notice or hearing is required under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) or WAC 173-340-600(9)(e) prior to the entry of this Amended Decree.

//

15 /

16 /

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Ecology Division PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 FAX (206) 438-7743

1	If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this
2	Amended Decree, it shall be null and void at the option of any
3	party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without
4	costs and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall
5	be bound by the requirements of this Amended Decree.
6	SIGNED by the parties who also hereby waive further notice
7	of presentation for entry by the Court.
8	$Q \sim I$
9	MARY E. BURG Date ROBERT D. FUKAI Date  Program Manager Vice President  The Washington Water Power Company
10	Program Manager Vice President
11	Toxics Cleanup Program The Washington Water Power Company
12	Those Morel 11-25 14 Cuy Day 11/21/96
13	THOMAS C. MORRILL Date JERRY K. BOYD Date Assistant Attorney General Attorney for The Washington Water
14	Power Company
15	
16	
17	THIS DECREE is approved and IT IS SO ORDERED this day of, 1996.
18	
19	
20	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE Spokane County Superior Court
21	
22	w:\11\150\01883\p117:sw
23	
24	