

GROUNDWATER MONITORING STATUS REPORT

COLEMAN OIL WENATCHEE FACILITY 3 EAST CHEHALIS STREET WENATCHEE, WASHINGTON

> Submitted by: Farallon Consulting, L.L.C. 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, Washington 98027

Farallon PN: 1001-001

For: Coleman Oil Company 335 Mill Road Lewiston, Idaho

January 2013

Prepared by:

Janua Hanthe

Javan Ruark Project Geologist

Reviewed by:

J. Riley Conkin, L.G., L.H.G. Principal Geologist



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACR	ONYN	IS AND ABBREVIATIONS	ii
1.0	INT	RODUCTION	1-1
2.0	SITE	E BACKGROUND	2-1
3.0	MO	NITORING ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS	
	3.1	GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES	
	3.2	GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS	
4.0	CON	VCLUSIONS	4-1
5.0	REF	ERENCES	5-1
6.0	LIM	ITATIONS	6-1

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Vicinity Map
Figure 2	October 2012 Potentiometric Surface Contour Map
Figure 3	October 2012 Groundwater Analytical Results

TABLES

- Table 1
 Summary of Groundwater Elevation Data
- Table 2Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results for GRO and BTEX

APPENDIX

Appendix A Laboratory Analytical Report



ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Farallon	Farallon Consulting, L.L.C.
GRO	total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline-range organics
µg/l	micrograms per liter
MTCA	Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation
PQLs	practical quantitation limits
Site	Coleman Oil Wenatchee facility at 3 East Chehalis Street in Wenatchee, Washington
ТРН	total petroleum hydrocarbons
VCP	Voluntary Cleanup Program



1.0 INTRODUCTION

Farallon Consulting, L.L.C. (Farallon) has prepared this Groundwater Monitoring Status Report on behalf of Coleman Oil Company, Inc. (Coleman Oil) to provide the results of the groundwater monitoring event conducted on October 11, 2012 at the Coleman Oil Wenatchee Facility at 3 East Chehalis Street in Wenatchee, Washington (herein referred to as the Site) (Figure 1).

Prior subsurface investigations and groundwater monitoring conducted at the Site have confirmed that total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline-range organics (GRO) and benzene have been released to the subsurface at concentrations exceeding Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation (MTCA) Method A cleanup levels as a result of a gasoline leak from piping associated with an aboveground storage tank (AST) at the Site. Continued groundwater monitoring events are being conducted to evaluate groundwater quality for GRO and benzene at the Site.

Prior subsurface investigations and groundwater monitoring events conducted at the Site have been performed in accordance with the letter regarding Subsurface Investigation Work Plan, Coleman Oil Wenatchee Facility, 3 Chehalis Street East, Wenatchee, Washington dated July 6, 2010, prepared by Farallon (Work Plan); and the letter regarding Final Subsurface Investigation Work Plan Addendum, Coleman Oil Wenatchee Facility, 3 Chehalis Street East, Wenatchee, Washington dated September 3, 2010, prepared by Farallon (Work Plan Addendum), which were approved by Ecology. The Work Plan and Work Plan Addendum were prepared to meet the substantive requirements of MTCA as established in Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code for a remedial action. The overall objective of the project is to complete the cleanup action and obtain a No Further Action determination from Ecology for the Site. The Site has been enrolled in the Ecology Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) and has been assigned VCP Identification No. CE0328 and Facility Site No. 83844381.



2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

The Site (Figure 1) comprises approximately 1 acre (Figure 2). The north end of the property is developed with a building used for office and warehouse storage, a smaller storage building, 18 aboveground bulk fuel tanks and associated piping, a transport truck filling station, and an underground storage tank. The central portion of the property is developed with two card-lock dispenser islands, and the southern portion of the property is undeveloped land used for equipment storage. The facility stores wholesale petroleum products such as diesel fuel, gasoline, and lube oils, and provides commercial fueling at the southernmost card-lock dispenser island. Dispensers on the island closest to the bulk fuel tank containment area have been removed.

On June 2, 2010, a review of daily inventory records for AST 15A by the Coleman Oil Plant Manager revealed a discrepancy of approximately 180 gallons of unleaded gasoline. Subsequent inspection of AST 15A and associated piping revealed gasoline leaking from a fill valve and flowing onto the concrete ground surface in the AST 15A valve control box on the south side of the tank farm (Figure 2). Gasoline also was observed on the ground surface east of the AST 15A valve control box in an unpaved area between the bulk fuel tank containment area and the south-adjacent former fuel dispenser island. Coleman Oil personnel immediately stopped the flow of gasoline from the tank to the leaking fill valve, contacted emergency spill response contractor NRC Environmental Services (NRCES) to address the spill, and reported the spill to the appropriate regulatory agencies.

According to the Coleman Oil Plant Manager, the observed area of the gasoline release was limited to the narrow unpaved area between the bulk fuel tank containment area and the adjacent former fuel dispenser island (Figure 2). NRCES hand-excavated soil containing gasoline from this area to a depth of approximately 2 feet below ground surface (bgs). Feasible alternatives for excavation of additional material between the bulk fuel tank containment area and the adjacent former fuel dispenser island were severely limited due to concerns about the structural integrity of the tank farm containment area and the presence of large boulders in the excavation area.

A total of approximately 6 cubic yards of gasoline-contaminated soil was excavated from the spill area and disposed of off the Site. Soil samples subsequently collected from the excavation sidewalls and from 1 foot below the base of the excavation contained GRO, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) remaining in-place at concentrations exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels.

Follow-up characterization was conducted by Environmental Compliance Associates to evaluate the potential for migration of gasoline from the release location to areas proximate to the excavation. The characterization included advancement of shallow borings using a Geoprobe drill rig and deep borings using an air rotary drill rig. Results of the follow-up characterization indicated that concentrations of GRO and BTEX decrease rapidly in soil both laterally and vertically from the spill area.



Farallon conducted a subsurface investigation in July and September 2010 to evaluate whether the surface spill of gasoline had migrated beneath the Site to a deeper groundwater-bearing zone that may be in hydraulic communication with surface water in the adjacent Columbia River. Four groundwater monitoring wells were installed on the Site, and one groundwater monitoring well was installed east of South Worthen Street. Groundwater samples were collected from the five monitoring wells and submitted for laboratory analysis for GRO by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx and for BTEX by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8021. Laboratory analytical results for the groundwater samples confirmed that GRO and benzene had been released to the subsurface as a result of a gasoline leak from piping associated with an AST at the Site.

Groundwater levels in the monitoring wells were measured frequently in 2010 to confirm groundwater flow direction and gradient. Multiple groundwater monitoring events have been conducted to monitor concentrations of GRO and benzene since July 2010.



3.0 MONITORING ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS

The monitoring activities conducted at the Site by Farallon on October 11, 2012 included measurement of groundwater levels and collection of groundwater quality data and samples for laboratory analysis. The groundwater monitoring activities and results are summarized in the following sections.

3.1 GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES

The groundwater monitoring event included:

- Measuring the depth to groundwater in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5;
- Purging and sampling monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 using EPA low-flow sampling methods;
- Measuring water quality parameters during monitoring well purging to assess groundwater stabilization; and
- Submitting the groundwater samples for laboratory analysis.

Farallon opened monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 to allow the water levels to equilibrate with atmospheric pressure for a minimum of 15 minutes prior to obtaining groundwater level measurements. Groundwater levels were measured to an accuracy of 0.01 foot using an electronic water-level meter.

Following collection of groundwater level measurements, monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 were purged and sampled using a QED bladder pump and polyethylene tubing. The purging was conducted at flow rates ranging from 100 to 200 milliliters per minute, with the intake tubing placed at a maximum of 3 feet below the water table in each monitoring well. During purging, water quality was monitored using a Yellow Springs Instrument water-quality meter equipped with a flow-through cell. The water quality parameters monitored and recorded during purging and sampling included temperature, pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, and oxidation-reduction potential. The monitoring wells were purged until the water quality parameters stabilized in accordance with EPA guidelines for low-flow sampling. The groundwater samples were transferred directly from the tubing into laboratory-prepared containers. The containers from each sampling event were placed on ice in a cooler and transported to an Ecology-accredited laboratory under standard chain-of-custody protocols. The groundwater samples were analyzed for GRO by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx and for BTEX by EPA Method 8021B.

Purge water generated by groundwater sampling was stored in a 55-gallon drum on the Site. The purge water will be scheduled for disposal during a future groundwater monitoring event.



3.2 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS

Groundwater level measurements and calculated elevations are summarized in Table 1. Figure 2 provides a groundwater elevation contour map illustrating the estimated groundwater flow direction and gradient for the groundwater monitoring event conducted on October 11, 2012. The groundwater level measurements indicate an approximate groundwater flow direction to the northeast, and an average approximate hydraulic gradient at the Site of 0.43 foot per foot, which is consistent with previous monitoring events.

Concentrations of GRO were detected above the laboratory practical quantitation limit (PQL), but below the MTCA Method A cleanup level in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-1. Concentrations of the BTEX constituents were not detected above the laboratory PQLs in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-1. Concentrations of GRO and the BTEX constituents were not detected above the laboratory PQLs in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-1. Concentrations of GRO and the BTEX constituents were not detected above the laboratory PQLs in the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-2 through MW-5. The laboratory analytical results for groundwater samples collected on October 11, 2012 are shown on Figure 3 and summarized in Table 2. The laboratory analytical report for the October 11, 2012 groundwater monitoring event is provided in Appendix A.



4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The groundwater flow direction and hydraulic gradient estimated for the October 11, 2012 groundwater monitoring event was northeast at a gradient of 0.43 foot per foot, which is consistent with previous monitoring events.

Concentrations of GRO were detected above the laboratory PQL, but below the MTCA Method A cleanup level in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-1. Concentrations of GRO and the BTEX constituents were not detected above the laboratory PQLs in the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-2 through MW-5.

Monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 are located down- and cross-gradient of the spill area, and initially had concentrations of GRO and benzene above MTCA Method A cleanup levels. Concentrations of GRO and benzene have shown a consistent decrease in concentration since March 2011. Concentrations of toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene have not been detected above laboratory PQLs in monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 since groundwater sampling began in 2010.

Monitoring well MW-3 is up-gradient of the spill area and monitoring well MW-4 is crossgradient of the spill area. Monitoring well MW-5 is down-gradient of the Site. Concentrations of GRO and BTEX have not been detected above PQLs in monitoring wells MW-3, MW-4, and MW-5 since groundwater sampling began in 2010. Based on these data, Farallon proposes a reduced monitoring program for future groundwater monitoring events as follows:

- Groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 will be analyzed for only GRO and benzene. Groundwater samples will no longer be analyzed for toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene.
- Groundwater samples will not be collected from monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-4.
- Groundwater samples collected from monitoring well MW-5 will be analyzed for only GRO and benzene. Groundwater samples will no longer be analyzed for toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene.

The overall objective of the project is to obtain a No Further Action determination for the Site from Ecology. As noted above, the concentrations of GRO and benzene have shown consistent decreases since March 2011. Groundwater monitoring events will be conducted quarterly as necessary to continue to monitor and evaluate the natural attenuation of concentrations of benzene and GRO in groundwater at the Site.

The next groundwater monitoring event is scheduled for January 2013, pending Ecology's approval of the reduced monitoring program. Once four quarters of groundwater analytical data have been obtained showing GRO and benzene at concentrations below MTCA cleanup levels, Coleman Oil will submit a request to Ecology for a No Further Action determination for the Site.



5.0 REFERENCES

- Farallon Consulting, L.L.C. (Farallon). 2010a. Letter Regarding Subsurface Investigation Work Plan, Coleman Oil Wenatchee Facility, 3 Chehalis Street East, Wenatchee, Washington. From Stacy Patterson and J. Riley Conkin. To Norm Peck, Washington State Department of Ecology. July 6.



6.0 LIMITATIONS

The conclusions contained in this report/assessment are based on professional opinions with regard to the subject matter. These opinions have been arrived at in accordance with currently accepted hydrogeologic and engineering standards and practices applicable to this location, and are subject to the following inherent limitations:

- Accuracy of Information. Certain information used by Farallon in this report/assessment has been obtained, reviewed, and evaluated from various sources believed to be reliable. Although Farallon's conclusions, opinions, and recommendations are based in part on such information, Farallon's services did not include verification of its accuracy or authenticity. Should such information prove to be inaccurate or unreliable, Farallon reserves the right to amend or revise its conclusions, opinions, and/or recommendations.
- **Reconnaissance and Characterization**. Farallon performed a reconnaissance and characterization of the Site that is the subject of this report/assessment to document current conditions. Farallon focused on areas deemed more likely to exhibit hazardous materials conditions. Contamination may exist in other areas of the Site that were not investigated.

FIGURES

GROUNDWATER MONITORING STATUS REPORT Coleman Oil Wenatchee Facility 3 East Chehalis Street Wenatchee, Washington

Farallon PN: 1001-001











TABLES

GROUNDWATER MONITORING STATUS REPORT Coleman Oil Wenatchee Facility 3 East Chehalis Street Wenatchee, Washington

Farallon PN: 1001-001

Table 1Summary of Groundwater Elevation DataColeman Oil FacilityWenatchee, WashingtonFarallon PN: 1001-001

Well Identification	Monitoring Date	Depth of Monitoring Well (feet)	Monitoring Well Screened Interval (feet bgs)	Wellhead Elevation ¹ (feet) ²	Depth to Water (feet bgs)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
	7/14/2010				11.46	646.55
	9/8/2010				11.79	646.22
	9/13/2010				11.78	646.23
	9/29/2010				11.74	646.27
MW 1	10/18/2010	34.00	20.35	658 01	11.93	646.08
101 00 - 1	3/24/2011	34.90	20-33	058.01	9.88	648.13
	8/10/2011				11.16	646.85
	12/13/2011				12.30	645.71
	6/14/2012				11.43	646.58
Well Identification	10/11/2012				12.65	645.36
	7/14/2010				11.61	646.15
	9/8/2010				11.88	645.88
	9/13/2010				11.81	645.95
	9/29/2010	39.66			11.80	645.96
MW-2	10/18/2010		25-40	657 76	11.88	645.88
MW-2	3/24/2011		23-40	037.70	9.91	647.85
	8/10/2011				11.42	646.34
	12/13/2011				12.37	645.39
	6/14/2012				11.50	646.26
	10/11/2012				12.71	645.05
	9/8/2010				8.06	650.20
	9/13/2010				7.71	650.55
	9/29/2010				7.95	650.31
	10/18/2010				7.77	650.49
MW-3	3/24/2011	35.00	25-35	658.26	7.81	650.45
	8/10/2011				10.06	648.20
	12/13/2011				11.11	647.15
	6/14/2012				10.47	647.79
	10/11/2012				11.14	647.12
	9/9/2010				36.98	620.50
	9/13/2010				24.59	632.89
	9/29/2010				17.89	639.59
MW-4	10/18/2010				17.59	639.89
	3/24/2011	37.00	27-37	657.48	19.09	638.39
	8/10/2011				17.57	639.91
	12/13/2011				20.05	637.43
	6/14/2012				17.00	640.48
	10/11/2012				17.51	639.97

Table 1Summary of Groundwater Elevation DataColeman Oil FacilityWenatchee, WashingtonFarallon PN: 1001-001

Well Identification	Monitoring Date	Depth of Monitoring Well (feet)	Monitoring Well Screened Interval (feet bgs)	Wellhead Elevation ¹ (feet) ²	Depth to Water (feet bgs)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
	9/13/2010				39.02	616.98
	9/29/2010				38.71	617.29
	10/18/2010				38.67	617.33
MW-5	3/24/2011	45.00	30-45	656.00	36.97	619.03
	8/10/2011				37.06	618.94
	12/13/2011				37.65	618.35
	6/14/2012				33.94	622.06
	10/11/2012				38.72	617.28

NOTES

¹Elevations based on survey conducted by Munson Engineering.

bgs = below ground surface

²In feet at top of well casing.

Rock Island Pool elevation approximately 75 feet east of the Site on July 6, 2010 was 619.84 feet. The predicted daily fluctuation for that day was between 637.0 and 613.0 feet.

Table 2Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results for GRO and BTEX
Coleman Oil Facility
Wenatchee, Washington
Farallon PN :1001-001

	Sample		Sampled	(Groundwater Anal	ytical Results (mi	crograms per liter)	1
Monitoring Well	Identification	Sample Date	By	GRO	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes
	MW-1-071410	7/15/2010	Farallon	<100	37	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW1-091310	9/13/2010	Farallon	<100	44	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW1-10-18-10	10/18/2010	Farallon	<400	120	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0
MW 1	MW-1-032411	3/24/2011	Farallon	490	150	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
IVI VV - I	MW-1-081011	8/10/2011	Farallon	840	95	<4.0	<4.0	5.8
	MW-1-121311	12/13/2011	Farallon	210	4.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-1-061412	6/14/2012	Farallon	330	2.7	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-1-101112	10/11/2012	Farallon	320	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-2-071410	7/15/2010	Farallon	<400	220	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0
	MW2-091310	9/13/2010	Farallon	<100	2.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW2-10-18-10	10/18/2010	Farallon	<400	730	<4.0	9.4	<4.0
	MW2-032411	3/24/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
MW 2	MW-2-081011	8/10/2011	Farallon	400	160	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0
101 00 -2	MW-2-121311	12/13/2011	Farallon	100	38	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
MW-2	DUP-121311	12/13/2011	Farallon	110	38	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-2-061412	6/14/2012	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Monitoring Well I I I MW-1 I I	MW-2-101112	10/11/2012	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	DUP-101112	10/11/2012	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW3-091310	9/13/2010	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW3-10-18-10	10/18/2010	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW3-032411	3/24/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
MW-3	MW-3-081011	8/10/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-3-121311	12/13/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-3-061412	6/14/2012	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-3-101112	10/11/2012	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW4-10-18-10	10/18/2010	Farallon	<100	1.9	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW4-032411	3/24/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-4-081011	8/10/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
MW-4	MW-4-121311	12/13/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
MW-1 MW-2 MW-3 MW-4 MTCA Method A	MW-4-061412	6/14/2012	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	DUP-061412	6/14/2012	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-4-101112	10/11/2012	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
MTCA Method A	Cleanup Levels for	or Groundwater ²		800	5	1,000	700	1,000

Table 2 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results for GRO and BTEX Coleman Oil Facility Wenatchee, Washington Farallon PN :1001-001

	Sample	Sample Sampled Groundwater Analytical Results (micr fication Sample Date By GRO Benzene Toluene 091310 9/13/2010 Farallon <100 <1.0 <1.0 10-18-10 10/18/2010 Farallon <100 <1.0 <1.0 -032411 3/24/2011 Farallon <100 <1.0 <1.0 -081011 8/10/2011 Farallon <100 <1.0 <1.0 -081011 8/10/2011 Farallon <100 <1.0 <1.0 -121311 12/13/2011 Farallon <100 <1.0 <1.0 -061412 6/14/2012 Farallon <100 <1.0 <1.0 -101110 10/11/2010 Farallon <100 <1.0 <1.0		crograms per liter)	$)^{1}$			
Monitoring Well	Identification	Sample Date	By	GRO	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes
	MW5-091310	9/13/2010	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW5-10-18-10	10/18/2010	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-5-032411	3/24/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
MW-5	MW-5-081011	8/10/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-5-121311	12/13/2011	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-5-061412	6/14/2012	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
	MW-5-101110	10/11/2010	Farallon	<100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
MTCA Method A	Cleanup Levels f	or Groundwater ²		800	5	1,000	700	1,000

NOTES:

Results in **bold** denote concentrations above applicable cleanup levels.

< denotes analyte not detected at or above the reporting limit listed.

¹Analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx/BTEX.

BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes

Farallon = Farallon Consulting, L.L.C.

GRO = total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline-range organics

²Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, as revised November 2007.

G:\Projects\1001001 Coleman Oil Wenatchee Facility\Reports\GW Mon Status Rpt 12-2012\GW Mon Rpt tbls

APPENDIX A LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

GROUNDWATER MONITORING STATUS REPORT Coleman Oil Wenatchee Facility 3 Chehalis Street East Wenatchee, Washington

Farallon PN: 1001-001



14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

October 16, 2012

Stacy Patterson Farallon Consulting, LLC 975 5th Avenue NW Issaquah, WA 98027

Re: Analytical Data for Project 1001-001 Laboratory Reference No. 1210-116

Dear Stacy:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on October 12, 2012.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

Date of Report: October 16, 2012 Samples Submitted: October 12, 2012 Laboratory Reference: 1210-116 Project: 1001-001

Case Narrative

Samples were collected on October 11, 2012 and received by the laboratory on October 12, 2012. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of 2° C to 6° C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

NWTPH-Gx/BTEX

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

0 (11)				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW-5-101112					
Laboratory ID:	10-116-01					
Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Toluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
m,p-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Gasoline	ND	100	NWTPH-Gx	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	96	71-116				
Client ID:	MW-4-101112					
Laboratory ID:	10-116-02					
Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Toluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
m,p-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Gasoline	ND	100	NWTPH-Gx	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	95	71-116				
Client ID:	MW-3-101112					
Laboratory ID:	10-116-03					
Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Toluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
m,p-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Gasoline	ND	100	NWTPH-Gx	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	96	71-116				

3

NWTPH-Gx/BTEX

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

0 (11)				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW-2-101112					
Laboratory ID:	10-116-04					
Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Toluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
m,p-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Gasoline	ND	100	NWTPH-Gx	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	96	71-116				
Client ID:	MW-1-101112					
Laboratory ID:	10-116-05					
Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Toluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
m,p-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Gasoline	320	100	NWTPH-Gx	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	94	71-116				
Client ID:	DUP-101112					
Laboratory ID:	10-116-06					
Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Toluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
m,p-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Gasoline	ND	100	NWTPH-Gx	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	96	71-116				

4

NWTPH-Gx/BTEX QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1012W2					
Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Toluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
m,p-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Gasoline	ND	100	NWTPH-Gx	10-12-12	10-12-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Fluorobenzene	96	71-116				

					Source	Pe	rcent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Result	Rec	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE											
Laboratory ID:	10-10	02-01									
	ORIG	DUP									
Benzene	ND	ND	NA	NA		1	٨٨	NA	NA	30	
Toluene	ND	ND	NA	NA		1	NA	NA	NA	30	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	ND	NA	NA		1	NA	NA	NA	30	
m,p-Xylene	ND	ND	NA	NA		1	NA	NA	NA	30	
o-Xylene	ND	ND	NA	NA		1	NA	NA	NA	30	
Gasoline	ND	ND	NA	NA		1	NA	NA	NA	30	
Surrogate:											
Fluorobenzene						96	95	71-116			
MATRIX SPIKES											
Laboratory ID:	10-1(02-01									
	MS	MSD	MS	MSD		MS	MSD				
Benzene	49.0	47.2	50.0	50.0	ND	98	94	81-121	4	11	
Toluene	49.8	47.3	50.0	50.0	ND	100	95	83-122	5	13	
Ethyl Benzene	49.1	45.8	50.0	50.0	ND	98	92	81-121	7	15	
m,p-Xylene	49.2	45.4	50.0	50.0	ND	98	91	80-119	8	16	
o-Xylene	46.4	42.1	50.0	50.0	ND	93	84	80-119	10	15	
Surrogate:											
Fluorobenzene						102	101	71-116			



Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations

A - Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.

B - The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.

C - The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.

E - The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.

F - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.

H - The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.

I - Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.

J - The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.

K - Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.

L - The RPD is outside of the control limits.

M - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.

M1 - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.

N - Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.

N1 - Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.

O - Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.

P - The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.

Q - Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.

S - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

T - The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical ______

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

U1 - The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.

V - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

W - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

X - Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.

Y - Sample extract treated with an acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference

Reviewed/Date D	Received	Relinquished	Received	Relinquished	Received	Relinquished	Signature		-	6 DUP-10142	5 mm-1-101112	4 mw-2-10112	3 mw- 3-10111 2	2 mw-4-101112	1 mw-5-10/112	Lab ID Sample Identification	DINCER K.	STALY PATERSON	Project Manager	1001-001 Broiset Name:	Project Number:	Company: Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com	Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	OnSite Environmental Inc.
ata Package: Level III Level IV				(1026	FACALON	Company			1700	1505	8141	1324	1243	1411/12/1205 W 3	Date Time No. of Sampled Sampled Matrix Cont.	(other)		Standard (7 Days) (TPH analysis 5 Days)	2 Days 3 Days	Same Day 1 Day	(Check One)	Turnaround Request (in working days)	Chain of Cu
Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)					05 11 10 CINCI 101	10/12/12 0750	Date Time			*	7	*	7	7	×	NWTPI NWTPI NWTPI NWTPI Volatile Haloge	H-HCII H-Gx/E H-Gx H-Dx es 8260	D BTEX DB Volatile	es 8260B	3			Laboratory Numb	ıstody
Chromatograms with final report							Comments/Special Instructions									Semivo (with lc PAHs & PCBs & Organo Organo Chlorin Total F Total N TCLP I HEM (olatiles 3270D/ 3082 ochlorii phospi ated A Metals oil and	8270D0 el PAHs (SIM (lo SIM (lo Norus P Norus P Norus P Norus P Norus P Norus P Norus P Norus P Norus P Norus P	y/SIM byw-level) ticides 8 esticides rbicides p) 1664	081A	D/SIM A		per: 10-116	Page of