

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

00-2-02909-8KNT

STATE OF WASHINGTON,
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,

Plaintiff,

v.

LINDA T. Y. LEE,
GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C.

Defendants.

Table of Contents

14			Page
15	I.	INTRODUCTION	
	II.	JURISDICTION	
16	III.	PARTIES BOUND	5
	IV.	DEFINITIONS	6
17	V.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	
	VI.	WORK TO BE PERFORMED	8
18	VII.	DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS	13
10	VIII.	PERFORMANCE	14
19	IX.	ACCESS	14
17	X.	SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY	14
20	XI.	PROGRESS REPORTS	15
220	XII.	RETENTION OF RECORDS	16
21	XIII.	TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY	16
21	XTV	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES	16
22	XV.	AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE	18
22	XVI.	EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE	18
23	XVII	ENDANGERMENT	
23	XVIII	OTHER ACTIONS	
24	XIX	INDEMNIFICATION	
24	XX.	COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS	22
25	XXI	REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS	
ا دے	XXII	IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION	
26	VVIII	FIVE YEAR REVIEW	
26	AAIII.	1110 11411 11	1

CONSENT DECREE 140690.01 1226/44939.00004

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Ecology Division

PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 FAX (360) 438-7743

1 2	XXIV. XXV. XXVI.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
	XXVII	COVENANT NOT TO SUE
3	XXVIII. XXIV.	EFFECTIVE DATE
4		
5		Exhibit A - Site Diagram Exhibit B - Cleanup Action Plan
6		Exhibit B - Cleanup Action Plan Exhibit C - Scope of Work and Schedule Exhibit D - Public Participation Plan
7		Exhibit E - Ground Water Sampling Data Submittal
8		Requirements
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
l		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	,	

I. INTRODUCTION

- A. In entering into this Consent Decree (Decree), the mutual objective of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), and Linda T.Y. Lee, owner of the facility, and Gateway Investment LLC, the lessee of the facility (Jointly referred to as Defendants or separately as Lee and Gateway) is to provide for remedial action at a facility where there has been a release or threatened felease of hazardous substances. This Decree requires the Defendants to undertake the following remedial action(s):
 - (1) Constructed Covers: As part of the redevelopment of the site into first a pay parking lot, and eventually as a hotel complex, constructed covers will be installed to minimize infiltration of precipitation and stormwater runoff into site soil and underlying groundwater. Constructed covers will include asphalt pavement, concrete pavement, and building floor slabs.
 - (2) Stormwater Management System: A stormwater management system will be installed that will collect surface water runoff from paved areas across the entire site and adjacent property associated with the parking lot. Once constructed, the building roof shall be tied to stormwater controls such that stormwater is conveyed and managed appropriately.
 - (3) <u>Soil and Groundwater Treatment:</u> Source control will be achieved using soil-vapor extraction and onsite treatment of groundwater by air sparging with vapor extraction. Extraction wells will be installed onsite for purposes of soil vapor extraction and air sparging.
 - (4) <u>Compliance Monitoring</u>: Monitoring of groundwater will be required to ensure that the cleanup actions were effective at the site.
 - Operations and Maintenance Plan: Preparation of this plan, and implementation of the actions described in this plan, will be required to ensure the continued protection of human health and the environment at the site.

	\cdot				
В. Т	he Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An				
answer has not b	been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case.				
However, the par	rties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's complaint by entering into a				
settlement pursu	ant to RCW 70.105D.040(4). In addition, the parties agree that settlement of				
these matters wit	thout litigation is reasonable and in the public interest and that entry of this				
Decree is the mo	st appropriate means of resolving these matters.				
C. In	signing this Decree, Defendants agree to its entry and agree to be bound by its				
terms.					
D. B	y entering into this Decree, the parties do not intend to discharge nonsettling				
persons or entitie	s from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the				
complaint. The p	parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any				
liable persons for sums expended under this Decree.					
E. Th	nis Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any				
releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor as an admission of any facts;					
provided, howeve	er, that the Defendants shall not challenge the jurisdiction of Ecology in any				
proceeding to enf	orce this Decree.				

CONSENT DECREE 140690.01 1142/44939.00004

В.

25

26

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON **Ecology Division** PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 FAX (360) 438-7743

70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person if, after public

Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by RCW

notice and hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.

- C. Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous substances has occurred at the site which is the subject of this Decree.
- D. Ecology has given notice to Defendants, as set forth in RCW 70.105D.020(16), of Ecology's determination that the Defendants are a potentially liable persons for the site and that there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the site.
- E. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public health, welfare, and the environment and will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances in accordance with RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a).
- F. Defendants have agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree to resolve their liability with the State of Washington and consent to the entry of this Decree under the MTCA.

III. PARTIES BOUND

This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the signatories to this Decree (parties), their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with the Decree. Defendants agree to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree and not to contest state jurisdiction regarding this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter the responsibility of the Defendants under this Decree. Defendants shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents, contractors and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree and shall ensure that all work undertaken by such contractors and subcontractors will be in compliance with this Decree.

14

15

1617

18

19 20

2122

2324

25

26

IV. DEFINITIONS

Except for as specified herein, all definitions in WAC 173-340-200 apply to the terms in this Decree.

- A. <u>Site</u>: The Site, referred to as the former Tac-Sea Motel, is located at 17024

 Pacific Highway South, SeaTac, Washington 98188. The Site is more particularly described in Exhibit A to this Decree which is a detailed site diagram.
- B. <u>Parties</u>: Refers to the Washington State Department of Ecology and Linda T.Y. Lee (owner) and Gateway Investment LLC (lessee).
 - C. <u>Defendants</u>: Refers to Lee and Gateway.
- D. <u>Consent Decree or Decree</u>: Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the exhibits to the Decree which are entered into pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040(4). All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree. The terms "Consent Decree" or "Decree" shall include all exhibits to the Consent Decree.

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Ecology makes the following findings of fact without any express or implied admissions by Linda T.Y. Lee, hereinafter referred to as "Lee", and/or by Gateway Investment LLC, hereinafter referred to as "Gateway."

- 1. Lee is the owner of property known as the former Tac-Sea Motel (the "Site"), located at 17024 Pacific Highway South, SeaTac, Washington, 98188, in King County, and has been the owner of the Site where she operated a motel for many years.
- 2. Gateway became a tenant on the property at the Site pursuant to a lease agreement effective June 1, 1998, and will participate in the remediation and redevelopment of the property.
- 3. The Site was the location of a dry cleaning facility, that was operated prior to the time that the Tac-Sea Motel operated on the Site. The "owner" or "operator" of the dry cleaner is unknown at this time; research is continuing to discover this information. The Tac-Sea Motel building was demolished late summer 1998.

- 4. Areas of environmental concern at the Site are related to the dry cleaners and printer formerly in operation at the Site and the historical use of solvents onsite. Operation of the dry cleaning facility left soil and groundwater underlying the Site contaminated with a volatile organic compound identified as perchloroethylene ("PCE"), and related chlorinated solvents (including chloroform, cis-1,2-dichoroethelene, tetrachoroethelene; 1,1,1-trichloroethane and trichloroethene).
- 5. In connection with demolition of the motel building on the Site, certain septic tanks and associated piping and conduits had been located, one or more of which contained effluents and/or sediments that have been determined, on the basis of laboratory analysis to contain PCE, related chlorinated solvents and /or other hazardous substances. Two heating oil underground storage tanks (USTs) were removed from the site on September 9, 1998 under an Interim Action Agreed Order between Ecology and the Defendants. These tanks were apparently used by the Tac-Sea Motel. Soil associated with the two tanks was found to be contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). This contaminated soil was removed and taken offsite by the end of September, 1998.
- 6. Gateway has performed environmental investigations at this Site. These investigations are described more fully in Section 3 of the former Tac-Sea Motel Cleanup Action Plan, attached to this Decree as Exhibit B. Based on the RI and FS documents and additional background reports contained in Ecology's files, Ecology finds as follows: The investigations have documented the "release," as defined in RCW 70.105D.020(20), of hazardous substances into the soil and groundwater at the Site. The hazardous substances include: PCE and its degradation products, trichloroethane (TCE) and cis-1,2-DCE. Chloroform and 1,1,1-TCA were also detected.
- 7. On September 30, 1998, Ecology issued Lee and Gateway final potentially liable person status letters.

8. Lee and Gateway are "owners or operators" as defined by RCW 70.105D.020(12) of a "facility" as defined in RCW 70.105D.020(4).

9. Based on the above facts and on the RI and FS report contained in Ecology's files, Ecology has determined that the Cleanup Action Plan attached as Exhibit B to this Decree is protective of human health and the environment, and will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances in compliance with all applicable, relevant and appropriate cleanup standards, as defined in RCW 70.105D.030(2)(e).

VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

This Decree contains a program designed to protect public health, welfare and the environment from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances or contaminants at, on, or from the Site. The requirements of this program are outlined in detail in the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) attached as Exhibit B. Lee and Gateway shall implement the cleanup selected in the attached CAP, which is by this reference made a part hereof as though set out in full.

Lee and Gateway, through its contractor(s) and subcontractor(s) as necessary, shall accomplish the following work:

1. The purpose of the cleanup actions ("Work") is to reduce residual contaminant concentrations in Site soils to further reduce continued impacts to the groundwater. Such source control shall be accomplished using soil-vapor extraction. Another objective of the Work is to reduce contaminant concentrations in the shallow aquifer to reestablish it as a potential source of drinking water through the onsite treatment of groundwater by air sparging with vapor extraction. Design of this physical soil and groundwater treatment shall be submitted to Ecology for agency approval as a part of the engineering design report. The final system shall meet the requirements and perform according to the specifications in the approved engineering design report.

- 2. Lee and Gateway shall obtain any and all state and federal, or local permits required by applicable law before work covered by that permit can begin with the exception of section XX of this Decree (Compliance With Applicable Laws Section).
- 3. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-810, Lee and Gateway shall prepare a Site Safety and Health Plan in accordance with the most recent OSHA, WISHA, Department of Ecology, and EPA guidance as well as applicable regulations, to be reviewed by Ecology.
- 4. Lee and Gateway shall prepare an engineering design report, construction plans and specifications, and an operation and maintenance plan for the cleanup actions that meet the requirements of WAC 173-340-400(4), to be approved by Ecology. Upon approval, the engineering design report, construction plans and specifications, and operation and maintenance plan shall be integral and enforceable parts of this Decree, and shall be complied with by Lee and Gateway.
- 5. Lee and Gateway shall prepare a compliance monitoring plan that meets the requirements of WAC 173-340-720 through -750, to be approved by Ecology. The compliance monitoring plan shall contain a sampling and analysis plan that meets the requirements of WAC 173-34-820, and shall provide that all analysis performed pursuant to this Decree be conducted by a laboratory accredited under Chapter 173-50 WAC. Upon Ecology's approval, the compliance monitoring plan shall become an integral and enforceable part of this Decree.
- 6. Lee and Gateway shall provide for public participation via the Public Participation
 Plan attached as Exhibit D to this Decree.
- 7. <u>Constructed Covers:</u> As part of the cleanup objective and redevelopment requirements for the Site, stormwater infiltration must be limited to minimize continued leaching of residual contaminants into groundwater. Constructed covers shall be installed that will minimize infiltration of precipitation and stormwater runoff

into Site soil and underlying groundwater. Construction covers will include asphalt pavement, possibly concrete pavement, and building floor slabs. The cover designs shall be submitted to Ecology as part of the engineering design report, and shall include a statement of thickness, permeability, asphalt criteria, inspection and maintenance, erosion control, and surface water control. The final constructed covers shall meet the requirements and perform according to the specifications in the approved engineering design report.

- 8. Stormwater Management System: As a part of the cleanup requirement to minimize continued leaching of residual contaminants into groundwater, and as a part of the redevelopment of the Site into a pay parking lot and future hotel complex, a stormwater management system will be installed that will collect surface runoff from pavement areas (and details about future building) and convey this stormwater to an on-site detention area (details). The stormwater management design shall be submitted to Ecology as part of the engineering design report.
- 9. Operations and Maintenance Plan: The Operation and Maintenance Plan shall help to ensure the continued protection of human health and the environment through a documented procedure for maintaining and properly operating environmental components of the Site. In particular, this plan provides Site owners and the contractors with procedures for effectively and safely operating and maintaining the following components:
 - Constructed Covers
 - Stormwater Management
 - Groundwater Recovery and Monitoring Wells
 - Above ground components of Air Sparging and Vapor Extraction System
 This Operations and Maintenance Plan shall be submitted to Ecology as part of the
 Engineering Design Report.

1		
2	Schedule	
3	1. Submit Engineering Design, Construction Plans, Specifications, and	Within 45 days of the effective date of this Consent Decree.
4	Health and Safety Plan.	
5	Install Recovery Wells and Monitoring Wells	Within 90 days of the effective date of this Consent Decree.
6	3. Install Above Ground Components	Within 180 days of the effective date of
7	of Air Sparging and Vapor Extraction System.	this Consent Decree
9	4. Conduct Startup of the Remediation System.	During a 30 day period following installation of the remediation system.
10	5. Prepare Compliance Monitoring and	Within 180 days of the effective date of
11	O&M Plans (including system operation, groundwater monitoring,	this Consent Decree
12	cover maintenance, and surface water management).	
13 14	6. Routine Treatment System Monitoring.	At monthly intervals following completion of remediation system startup.
15	7. Groundwater Monitoring	At quarterly intervals following completion of remediation system startup.
16 17	8. Submit Reports of System Monitoring and Groundwater Sampling	Within 30 days after receiving analytical data from each quarterly groundwater monitoring round.
18		_
19		Implemented within 90 days after cleanup levels have been met and the remediation system has been shut down. Performed
20		at quarterly intervals following system shutdown.
21		Within 30 days after receiving analytical
22	Monitoring	data from each quarterly confirmation monitoring round.
23		
24		Within 90 days after confirmation monitoring verifies that cleanup levels have been met.
25	· · · · .	
26		

1	. [[]
3	
4	·
5	u
	h
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	D
19	To
20	all
21	pe
22	pro
23	co

25

26

	12.	Submit Fina	l Closure	Report	Within			
ı	i				on the	arat	T CIO	SI

Within 30 days after receiving comments on the draft closure report from Ecology.

Defendants agree not to perform any remedial actions outside the scope of this Decree unless the parties agree to amend the scope of work to cover these actions. All work conducted under this Decree shall be done in accordance with WAC Ch. 173-340 unless otherwise provided herein.

VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

The project coordinator for Ecology is: Glynis A. Carrosino
Washington Department of Ecology
Northwest Regional Office
3190 – 160th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98008-5452
Phone: (425) 649-7263

The project coordinator for Lee and Gateway is: Gary L. Laakso
Remediation Services Manager
AGI Technologies
PO Box 3885
Bellevue, WA 98009-3885
Phone: (425) 453-8383

Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree. The Ecology project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative at the site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and Lee and Gateway, and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the remedial work required by this Decree. The project coordinators may agree to minor modifications to the work to be performed without formal amendments to this Decree. Minor modifications will be documented in writing by Ecology.

.

Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other parties at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

VIII. PERFORMANCE

All work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction and supervision, as necessary, of a professional engineer or hydrogeologist, or equivalent, with experience and expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and cleanup. Any construction work must be under the supervision of a professional engineer. Lee and Gateway shall notify Ecology in writing as to the identity of such engineer(s) or hydrogeologist(s), or others and of any contractors and subcontractors to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the site.

IX. ACCESS

Ecology or any Ecology authorized representatives shall have the authority to enter and freely move about all property at the Site at all reasonable times for the purposes of, <u>inter alia</u>: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing Defendants' progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by the Defendants. All parties with access to the Site pursuant to this paragraph shall comply with approved health and safety plans.

X. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY

With respect to the implementation of this Decree, Defendants shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by them, or on their behalf, available to Ecology and shall submit these results in accordance with Section XI of this Decree.

In accordance with WAC 173-340-840(5), ground water sampling data shall be submitted according to Appendix E: GROUND WATER SAMPLING DATA SUBMITTAL

10

11

1213

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

2526

REQUIREMENTS. These submittals shall be provided to Ecology in accordance with Section XI of this Decree.

If requested by Ecology, Defendants shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Ecology and/or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Defendants pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Defendants shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or work activity required pursuant to the Decree or attached Cleanup Action Plan at the Site. Ecology shall, upon request, allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Defendants or their authorized representatives of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree provided it does not interfere with the Department's sampling. Without limitation on Ecology's rights under Section IX, Ecology shall endeavor to notify Defendants prior to any sample collection activity.

XI. PROGRESS REPORTS

Defendants shall submit to Ecology written monthly progress reports which describe the actions taken during the previous month to implement the requirements of this Decree. The progress shall include the following:

- A. A list of on-site activities that have taken place during the month;
- B. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise documented in project plans or amendment requests;
- C. Description of all deviations from the schedule (Exhibit C) during the current month and any planned deviations in the upcoming month;
- D. For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and maintaining compliance with the schedule;
- E. All raw data (including laboratory analysis) received by the Defendants during the past month and an identification of the source of the sample;
 - F. A list of deliverables for the upcoming month if different from the schedule; and all progress reports shall be submitted by the tenth day of the month in which they are

due after the effective date of this Decree. Unless otherwise specified, progress reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to Ecology's project coordinator.

XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

Defendants shall preserve, during the pendency of this Decree and for ten (10) years from the date this Decree is no longer in effect, as provided in Section XXV, all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in its possession relevant to the implementation of this Decree and shall insert in contracts with project contractors and subcontractors a similar record retention requirement. Upon request of Ecology, Defendants shall make all non-archived records that are not attorney/client privileged available to Ecology and allow access for review. All archived records shall be made available to Ecology within a reasonable period of time.

XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

No voluntary or involuntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Site shall be consummated without provision for continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.

Prior to transfer of any legal or equitable interest in all or any portion of the property, and during the effective period of this Decree, Defendants shall serve a copy of this Decree upon any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in interest of the property; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Defendants shall notify Ecology of said contemplated transfer.

XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

A. In the event a dispute arises as to an approval, disapproval, proposed modification or other decision or action by Ecology's project coordinator, the parties shall utilize the dispute resolution procedure set forth below.

- (1) Upon receipt of the Ecology project coordinator's decision, the Defendants have fourteen (14) days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator of its objection to the decision.
- (2) The parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within fourteen (14) days, Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision.
- (3) Defendants may then request Ecology management review of the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) days of receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's decision.
- (4) Ecology's Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of a Defendant's request for review. The Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.
- B. If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to a Defendant, the Defendant has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. In the event Defendant presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review the action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of review.
- C. The parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used.

 Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.

Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

XV. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE

This Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation among the parties to this Decree that is entered by the Court or by order of the Court. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party to the Decree.

Defendant shall submit any request for an amendment to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in a timely manner after the request for amendment is received. If the amendment to the Decree is substantial, Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment. Reasons for the disapproval shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to any proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIV of this Decree.

XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

A. An extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify the reason(s) the extension is needed.

An extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology or the Court. Ecology shall act upon any written request for extension in a timely fashion. It shall not be necessary to formally amend this Decree pursuant to Section XV when a schedule extension is granted.

B. The burden shall be on the Defendants to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause includes, but is not limited to, the following.

1

2

- (1) Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of
 Defendant including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited
 to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by
 Defendant; or
- (2) Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty; or
 - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVII.

However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of the Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendant.

- C. Ecology may extend the schedule in Section VI (Work to be Performed, Schedule of Work) for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days, except where an extension is needed for a longer period of time as a result of:
- (1) Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner; or
 - (2) Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology; or
 - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVII.

Ecology shall give Defendants written notification in a timely fashion of any extensions granted pursuant to this Decree.

XVII. ENDANGERMENT

In the event Ecology determines that activities implementing or in noncompliance with this Decree, or any other circumstances or activities, are creating or have the potential to create a danger to the health or welfare of the people on the site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Ecology may order Defendants to stop further implementation of this Decree for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition the Court for an order as appropriate. During any stoppage of work under this section, the obligations of Defendants with

CONSENT DECREE 140690.01 1142/44939.00004

respect to the work under this Decree which is ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the time periods for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which is stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVI of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

In the event Defendants determine that activities undertaken in furtherance of this Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating an endangerment to the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Defendants may stop implementation of this Decree for such period of time necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine whether Defendants should proceed with implementation of the Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. Defendants shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage of work, and thereafter provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the work stoppage. If Ecology disagrees with the Defendants' determination, it may order Defendants to resume implementation of this Decree. If Ecology concurs with the work stoppage, the Defendants' obligations shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVI of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Any disagreements pursuant to the clause shall be resolved through the dispute resolution procedures in Section XIV.

XVIII. OTHER ACTIONS

Ecology reserves its rights to institute remedial action(s) at the Site and subsequently pursue cost recovery, and Ecology reserves its rights to issue orders and/or penalties or take any other enforcement action pursuant to available statutory authority under the following circumstances:

(1) Where Defendants fail, after notice, to comply with any requirement of this Decree;

- (2) In the event or upon the discovery of a release or threatened release not addressed by this Decree;
- (3) Upon Ecology's determination that action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an emergency situation which threatens public health or welfare or the environment; or
- (4) Upon the occurrence or discovery of a situation beyond the scope of this Decree as to which Ecology would be empowered to perform any remedial action or to issue an order and/or penalty, or to take any other enforcement action. This Decree is limited in scope to the geographic Site described in Exhibit A and to those contaminants which Ecology knows to be at the Site when this Decree is entered.

Ecology reserves all rights regarding the injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources resulting from the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from <u>the former Tac-Sea Motel Site</u>.

Ecology reserves the right to take any enforcement action whatsoever, including a cost recovery action, against potentially liable persons not party to this Decree.

XIX. INDEMNIFICATION

Each Defendant agrees to severally indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of that Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, the Defendants shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in implementing the activities pursuant to this Decree.

12

15

16 17

1819

20

2122

23

24

25

26

A. All actions carried out by Defendant(s) pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in paragraph B of this section.

B. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(1), the substantive requirements of chapters 70.94, 70.95, 70.105, 75.20, 90.48, and 90.58 RCW and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals for the remedial action under this Decree that are known to be applicable at the time of entry of the Decree have been included in Exhibit B, the Cleanup Action Plan, and are binding and enforceable requirements of the Decree.

Defendants have a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree. In the event either Defendants or Ecology determines that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of this determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or Defendants shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, Defendants shall promptly consult with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by Defendants and on how Defendants must meet those requirements. Ecology shall inform Defendants in writing of these requirements. Once established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. Defendants shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination; provided, however, that Ecology shall grant an extension of schedule to accommodate both the additional requirements and the time required by Ecology to make its determination.

 Ecology shall ensure that notice and opportunity for comment is provided to the public and appropriate agencies prior to establishing the substantive requirements under this section.

C. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency which is necessary for the State to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and the Defendants shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits; provided, however, that Ecology shall grant an extension of schedule to accommodate both the additional requirements and the time required by Ecology to make its determination.

XXI. REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS

The Defendants agree to pay reasonable costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree. These costs shall include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the site under RCW Ch. 70.105D both prior to and subsequent to the issuance of this Decree for investigations, remedial actions, and Decree preparation, negotiations, oversight and administration. Ecology costs shall include costs of direct activities and support costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). The Defendants agree to pay the required amount within ninety (90) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff or authorized representatives, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members or authorized representatives on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement will result in interest charges.

XXII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

If Ecology determines that Defendants have failed without good cause to implement the remedial action, Ecology may, after notice to Defendants, perform any or all portions of the

remedial action that remain incomplete. If Ecology performs all or portions of the remedial action because of the Defendants' failure to comply with its obligations under this Decree, Defendants shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XXI, provided that Defendants are not obligated under this section to reimburse Ecology for costs incurred for work inconsistent with or beyond the scope of this Decree.

XXIII. FIVE YEAR REVIEW

As remedial action, including ground water monitoring, continues at the site, the parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the site, and to review the data accumulated as a result of Site monitoring as often as is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. At least every five years the parties shall meet to discuss the status of the site and the need, if any, of further remedial action at the site. Ecology reserves the right to require further legally necessary remedial action at the Site under appropriate circumstances. This provision shall remain in effect for the duration of the Decree.

XXIV. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the site. However, Defendant shall cooperate with Ecology and, if agreed to by Ecology, shall:

- A. Prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study reports and engineering design reports. Ecology will finalize (including editing if necessary) and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings;
- B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Defendants prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments;

- C. Participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter;
- D. In cooperation with Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories to be located at Valley View Library, 17850 Military Road South, SeaTac, Washington, 98188 and Ecology's Northwest Regional Office at 3190 160th Avenue S.E., Bellevue, Washington, 98008-5452. At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and press releases; all quality assured ground water, surface water, soil sediment, and air monitoring data; remedial actions plans, supplemental remedial planning documents, and all other similar documents relating to performance of the remedial action required by this Decree shall be promptly placed in these repositories.

XXV. DURATION OF DECREE

This Decree shall remain in effect and the remedial program described in the Decree shall be maintained and continued until the Defendants have received written notification from Ecology that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed, and thereupon, Ecology shall dismiss the Complaint.

XXVI. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

Defendants hereby agree that, except for any agency of the State of Washington that is a potentially liable party for the Site, except as otherwise provided in Section XIX (Indemnification) they will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies; and further, that the Defendants will make no claim against the State Toxics Control Account or any Local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree. Except as provided above, however, Defendants expressly reserve their rights to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other potentially liable person.

FAX (360) 438-7743

CONSENT DECREE

140690.01 1142/44939.00004

In consideration of the Defendants' compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, the State covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions against the Defendants regarding contamination covered by this Decree, unless confirmational monitoring indicates that additional remedial actions are necessary at the Site to attain MTCA cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the Cleanup Action Plan, Exhibit B (CAP). Until cleanup standards are met at this Site, compliance with this Decree shall satisfy Defendants' cleanup obligation for the release or threatened release of hazardous substances covered by the terms of this Decree, unless, as noted above, confirmational monitoring indicates that additional remedial actions are necessary at the Site to attain MTCA cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the CAP.

A. Reopeners: Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or administrative action against the Defendants seeking to require it to perform additional response actions at the Site, and to pursue appropriate cost recovery in accordance with provisions set out in RCW 70.105D.050, under the following circumstances:

- (1) Upon the Defendants' failure to meet the requirements of this Decree, including, but not limited to, failure of the remedial action to meet the cleanup standards identified in the Cleanup Action Plan (Exhibit B);
- (2) Upon Ecology's Determination that action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health or welfare or the environment;
- (3) Upon Ecology's determination that additional remedial actions are necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the CAP;
- (4) In the event new information becomes available regarding factors previously unknown to Ecology, including the nature or quantity of hazardous substances at the Site, and Ecology determines, in light of this information, that further remedial action is necessary at the

2 STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY 3 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY Attorney General MAIA D. BELLON, WSBA #24777 Assistant Attorney General Attorney For Plaintiff State of Washington Department of Ecology Dated: 1 24 90 GRAHAM & JAMES LLP/ RIDDELL WILLIAMS P.S. 10 HARRY EDWARD GRANT WSBA #13494 Attorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-24-99 DATED this day of 1999. King County Superior Court STEPHEN M. GADDIS JUDGE	1	without prejudice. In such an event, no p	arty shall be bound by the requirements of this Decree
MAIA D. BELLON, WSBA #24777 Assistant Attorney General Attorney for Plaintiff State of Washington Department of Ecology Dated: 1/24/60 GRAHAM & JAMES LLP/ RIDDELL WILLIAMS P.S. Authorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-28-99 DATED this day of			•
MAIA D. BELLON, WSBA #24777 MAIA D. BELLON, WSBA #24777 Assistant Attorney General Attorney for Plaintiff State of Washington Department of Ecology Dated: 24 00 Dated: 1/19/2000 GRAHAM & JAMES LLP/ RIDDELL WILLIAMS P.S. HARRYEDWARD GRANT WSBA #13494 Attorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 Dated: 9-29-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-30-99 Dated: 9-29-99 DATED this day of			M_{α} Ω ℓ M
Program Manager Toxics Cleanup Program Assistant Attorney General Attorney for Plaintiff State of Washington Department of Ecology Dated: 124 00 GRAHAM & JAMES LLP/ RIDDELL WILLIAMS P.S. Attorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-29-99 Dated: 9-29-99 Dated: 9-29-99 Example 15 Assistant Attorney General Attorney for Plaintiff State of Washington Department of Ecology Dated: 1/19/2000 Dated: 1/19/2000 STEPHEN M. GADDIS JUDGE	M	JIM PENDOWSKI	MAIA D. BELLON, WSBA #24777
Department of Ecology Dated: 1 24 00 GRAHAM & JAMES LLP/ RIDDELL WILLIAMS P.S. HARRY EDWARD GRANT WSBA #13494 Attorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Experiment of Ecology Dated: 1/19/2000 Dated: 1/19/2000 Dated: 1/19/2000 Experiment of Ecology Experiment of Ecology Dated: 1/19/2000 Experiment of Ecology Experiment of Ecology Experiment of Experiment L.L.C.	6		Assistant Attorney General Attorney for Plaintiff
GRAHAM & JAMES LLP/ RIDDELL WILLIAMS P.S. HARRYEDWARD GRANT WSBA #13494 Attorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-25-99 Dated: 9-25-99 Dated: 9-39-99 Dated: 9-39-99 Example 15 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-15-99 Example 15 Exa	7		
RIDDELL WILLIAMS P.S. RIDDELL WILLIAMS P.S. HARRY EDWARD GRANT WSBA #13494 Attorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-16-99 Dated: 9-26-99 Dated: 9-26-99 Dated: 9-26-99 Edit of the control		Baica.	Dated: 1/19/2000
HARRY EDWARD GRANT WSBA #13494 Attorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-36-99 Dated: 9-36-99 Dated: 9-36-99 Dated: 9-36-99 EDMANDER Dated: 9-36-99 Dated: 9-36-99 Dated: 9-36-99 EDMANDER Dated: 9-36-99 EDMANDER DATED this day of , 1999. King County Superior Court STEPHEN M. GADDIS JUDGE	1		
WSBA #13494 Attorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Each Dated: 9-28-99 DATED this day of 1999. King County Superior Court STEPHEN M. GADDIS JUDGE	·	1/2 51 (6.1	Al - i p
Attorney for Gateway Investment L.L.C. Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-30-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Member Dated: 9-28-99 King County Superior Court STEPHEN M. GADDIS JUDGE	12	HARRY EDWARD GRANT	LINDA T.Y. LEE
Dated: 9-30-99 GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-30-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Dated: 9-28-99 Member Dated: 9-28-99 Member Dated: 9-28-99 King County Superior Court STEPHEN M. GADDIS JUDGE	13		
GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C. 18 Member Dated: 75/99 DATED this		Dated: 9-30-99	Dated: 9.28-99
Dated: 78/79 Dated: 98/79 DATED thisday of, 1999. King County Superior Court STEPHEN M. GADDIS JUDGE	∥.	GATEWAY INVESTMENT L. L. C.	
Member Dated: 73/97 DATED this		1994	
Dated: 75/99 Dated: 75/99 DATED this		Mamber 1	
Dated: 78/97 DATED this	19	gaennoei 7	
DATED this		Dated: 9/30/99	
King County Superior Court STEPHEN M. GADDIS JUDGE			. 1999.
STEPHEN M. GADDIS' JUDGE			
JUDGE	24		King County Superior Court
	.5		STEPHEN M. GADDIS
·	6		10000

CONSENT DECREE 140690.01 1142/44939.00004

28

ORIGINAL

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Ecology Division PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 FAX (360) 438-7743