

1 2 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 FOR SPOKANE COUNTY 5 STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, 6 ио. 94-2-05788 - 4 Plaintiff, 7 v. CONSENT DECREE 8 THE WASHINGTON WATER POWER COMPANY 9 Defendant. 10 Table of Contents 11 INTRODUCTION I. II. JURISDICTION 12 III. PARTIES BOUND 13 IV. DEFINITIONS V. STATEMENT OF FACTS VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED 14 VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS VIII. PERFORMANCE 15 IX. ACCESS X. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY 16 XI. PROGRESS REPORTS XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS 17 SXIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY 18 XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES XV. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE 19 XVII. ENDANGERMENT 20 XVIII. OTHER ACTIONS XIX. INDEMNIFICATION XX. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS 21 XXI. REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS 22 XXII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION XXIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION XXIV. DURATION OF DECREE 23 XXV. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE XXVI. EFFECTIVE DATE 24 XXVII. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT Exhibit A - Site Diagram 25 Exhibit B - Work Plan

Exhibit C - Public Participation Plan

0

2

25||

A. In entering into this Consent Decree (Decree), the mutual objective of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), and The Washington Water Power Company (WWP or Defendant) is to provide for remedial action at the Washington Water Power Central Steam Plant Site where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. This Decree requires the Defendant to undertake the following remedial action(s):

- (1) Complete the remedial investigation (RI);
- (2) Complete a feasibility study (FS); and
- (3) Negotiate with respect to a Cleanup Action.

 Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect public health and the environment.
- B. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's complaint. In addition, the parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.
- C. In signing this Decree, Defendant agrees to its entry and agrees to be bound by its terms.
- D. By entering into this Decree, the parties do not intend to discharge nonsettling parties from any liability they

3

may have with respect to matters alleged in the complaint. The parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for sums expended under this Decree.

- E. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts; provided, however, that the Defendant shall not challenge the jurisdiction of Ecology in any proceeding to enforce this Decree.
- F. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause having been shown: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

II. JURISDICTION

- A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the parties pursuant to Chapter 70.105D RCW, the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA).
- Attorney General by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person if, after public notice and hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.

7

4

8

9

10

12

15

16.

14

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

26

- C. Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous substances has occurred at the Site which is the subject of this Decree.
- D. Defendant has accepted status as a potentially liable person for the Site, as set forth in RCW 70.105D.020(8).
- E. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary and appropriate to protect public health, welfare, and the environment.
- F. Defendant has agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consents to the entry of this Decree under the MTCA.

III. PARTIES BOUND

This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the signatories to this Decree (parties), their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with the Decree. Defendant agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree and not to contest state jurisdiction regarding this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter the responsibility of the defendant under this Decree. Defendant shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents, contractors and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree and shall ensure that all work undertaken by such contractors and subcontractors will be in compliance with this Decree.

2

IV. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

Except for as specified herein, all definitions in WAC 173-340-200 apply to the terms in this Decree.

- A. <u>Site</u>: The Site, referred to as the Central Steam

 Plant, is located within Section 19, T. 25 N., R. 43 E.W.M.,

 Spokane, Washington. The Site is more particularly described in

 Exhibit A to this Decree which is a detailed site diagram. The

 Site is a "facility" within the meaning of the Model Toxics

 Control Act (MTCA), RCW 70.105D.020(3).
- B. <u>Parties</u>: Refers to the Washington State Department of Ecology and The Washington Water Power Company.
- C. <u>Defendant</u>: Refers to The Washington Water Power Company.
- D. <u>Consent Decree</u> or <u>Decree</u>: Refers to this <u>Consent</u>

 Decree and each of the exhibits to the <u>Decree</u>. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent <u>Decree</u>. The terms "Consent <u>Decree</u>" or "<u>Decree</u>" shall include all Exhibits to the Consent <u>Decree</u>.

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Ecology makes the following finding of facts without any express or implied admissions by Defendant.

A. The Site, referred to as Washington Water Power Central Steam Plant, is located within Section 19, T. 25 N., R. 43 E.W.M., Spokane County, Washington. The Site, further identified in Exhibit A of this Decree, is located within the city of Spokane business district. As illustrated in Exhibit A,

- The Central Steam Plant was built in 1915 and was В. designed to burn coal to produce steam and electric power. In the late 1930s, equipment was installed that allowed the plant to burn sawdust and wood shavings. In the mid-1960's sawdust and coal were phased-out as fuels and all plant boilers were converted from coal to a petroleum product by 1970. Any waste associated with the burning of coal, sawdust, and wood shavings at the plant has not been identified on site. Reportedly, small amounts of diesel were added to the petroleum product that w used as fuel at the steam plant. PCB containing oil was burned on one occasion. WWP owned and operated the Central Steam Plant from 1916 through 1986.
- C. Seven concrete underground storage tanks were constructed at the facility, referred to as tanks A, B, C, D, F, G, and H. The tank locations are shown in Exhibit A. Tanks A and B have a storage capacity of approximately 75,000 gallons each and were constructed on the west side of the steam plant in 1966. Tanks C and D also have a storage capacity of approximately 75,000 gallons each and were constructed in 1972 immediately south of Tanks A and B. Tanks F, G, and H were constructed on the east side of the steam plant in 1975 and h a capacity of approximately 100,000 gallons each. In addition,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- D. Tanks A, B, C, and D were the operating tanks that supplied petroleum to the boilers. The larger storage tanks (Tanks F, G, and H) were used to store the petroleum. Fuel was unloaded directly to Tanks A and B between 1966 and 1975 and to Tanks C and D between 1972 and 1975. Once constructed, tanks F, G, and H were used as the storage tanks for fuel unloading. The fuel was transferred from the storage tanks (F, G, and H) to the operating tanks (A, B, C, and D) on an as-needed basis for plant operation. In April 1982, fuel in Tanks F, G and H was transferred to Tanks A, B, C and D and Tanks F, G and H were taken out of service.
- E. In May 1982, steam plant workers noticed that petroleum was seeping through small cracks in the steam plant basement wall into the sump for the elevator shaft. Weekly monitoring of the Lincoln Street tanks detected a drop in the surface of approximately 1.5 inches in Tank C over a period of 20 days. The contents of Tank C were transferred into Tanks A and B and use of Tank C was discontinued. Tanks F, G, and H were emptied in 1982, and were hydrocleaned in 1985.
- F. WWP reported the release to Ecology in June 1982. On July 26, 1982, Ecology issued Order No. DE 82-438 to WWP to investigate and mitigate the release. Investigations in response to the leakage of petroleum from the underground storage tanks were conducted in 1982 1984. Data from these

3 4 5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

investigations confirmed that a release of "hazardous substances", as defined in RCW 70.105D.020(5), to the soil had occurred on the Site. Petroleum is a hazardous substance as defined in WAC 173-340-200. In April 1984, Ecology determined that all requirements of the Order had been fulfilled, and the decision was made to discontinue monitoring.

The reports are found in the following references:

Hart Crowser, 1983, <u>Final Report Bunker C Oil Seepage</u>
<u>Study, The Washington Water Power Company, Spokane Steam</u>
<u>Plant, February 4, 1983.</u>

Hart Crowser, 1984, <u>Hydrogeologic Evaluation of Monitoring Data</u>, <u>December 1982 to February 1984 Spokane Steam Plant</u>, March 9, 1984.

- G. The Central Steam Plant was closed by WWP in December 1986. Tanks A, B, D, and E were emptied in 1987, and Tanks A, B, C, D, and E were hydrocleaned in early 1988.
- H. In 1991 WWP began to conduct additional investigations to determine if the petroleum product had migrated. The independent remedial investigations conducted from 1982 to present included drilling 39 soil borings, the installation of monitoring wells in 13 of the soil borings, collecting soil and ground water samples and conducting chemical analyses on those samples, conducting a geophysical survey, and tank integrity assessments. Data from these studies confirmed the presence of petroleum product containing PAHs in soil and petroleum product in ground water, and that the contamination had migrated since the original studies conducted in 1982 1984. The

the Model Toxic Control Act.

2

L. In order to address the petroleum released for the Central Steam Plant, WWP has agreed to enter into a Consent Decree with Ecology.

VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

This Decree contains a program designed to protect public health, welfare and the environment from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances or contaminants at, on, or from the Site.

- A. WWP shall conduct and complete a remedial investigation. The work plan for the remedial investigation is shown in Exhibit B, which is by this reference made a part hereof as though set out in full.
- B. Upon completion of the remedial investigation, WWP sh conduct a feasibility study, which is described in the work plan, Exhibit B, which is by this reference made a part hereof as though set out in full. The feasibility study report shall include a recommended cleanup alternative.
- C. The remedial investigation and feasibility study described in VIA. and VIB. above shall be conducted according to the schedule. The schedule for this work is shown in Exhibit B, which is by this reference made a part hereof as though set out in full. Ecology shall endeavor to review all draft submittals in that schedule and comment to WWP on such draft submittals within 30 days of receipt.
- D. WWP and Ecology agree to negotiate in good faith in a effort to implement a cleanup action based upon results of the

remedial investigation and feasibility study. Ecology will prepare a Cleanup Action Plan which will describe site specific actions and specific cleanup standards, in accordance with WAC In its selection of cleanup actions, Ecology shall 173-340-360. consider the recommended cleanup alternative described in the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Report. shall provide a copy of its preliminary draft cleanup action plan to WWP for comment. WWP shall have thirty (30) days to provide comment to Ecology on the preliminary draft cleanup action plan prior to Ecology finalizing the draft cleanup action In preparing its draft cleanup action plan for public comment. plan for public comment, Ecology shall consider WWPs comments. WWP and Ecology will negotiate the implementation of the Cleanup Action as described in that plan. Failure to agree on that Cleanup Action shall not constitute a violation of this Decree and shall not be subject to dispute resolution under Section XIV.

E. Defendant agrees not to perform any remedial actions outside the scope of this decree unless the parties agree to amend the scope of work to cover these actions. All work conducted under this decree shall be done in accordance with ch. 173-340 WAC unless otherwise provided herein.

VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

The project coordinator for Ecology is:

Patti Y. Carter Site Manager Department of Ecology

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

24

25

26

4601 N. Monroe, Suite 202 Spokane, Washington 99205-1295.

The project coordinator for Defendant is:

Douglas K. Pottratz Environmental Compliance Administrator The Washington Water Power Company P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, Washington 99220-3727

Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree. The Ecology project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative at the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and the Defendant and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the remedial work required by this Decree. The project coordinators may agree to minor modifications to the work to be performed without formal amendments to this Decree. modifications will be documented in writing by Ecology.

Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other parties at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

VIII. PERFORMANCE

All work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction and supervision, as necessary, of a professiona

engineer or hydrogeologist, or equivalent, with experience and 2 3 5 6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and cleanup. Any construction work must be under the supervision of a professional engineer. Defendant shall notify Ecology in writing as to the identity of such engineer(s) or hydrogeologist(s), or others, and of any contractors and subcontractors to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

IX. **ACCESS**

Ecology or any Ecology authorized representatives shall have the authority to enter and freely move about all property at the Site at all reasonable times for the purposes of, inter alia: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing Defendant's progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by the Defendant. All parties with access to the Site pursuant to this paragraph shall comply with approved health and safety plans.

Χ. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY

With respect to the implementation of this Decree, Defendant shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it, or on its behalf

26

available to Ecology and shall submit these results in accordance with Section XI of this Decree.

In accordance with WAC 173-340-840(5), ground water sampling data shall be submitted in an electronic format agreeable to Ecology's site coordinator. These submittals shall be provided to Ecology in accordance with Section XI of this Decree.

Unless informed otherwise by Ecology, Defendant shall make every effort to provide/allow split samples to be taken by Ecology and/or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Defendant pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Duplicate samples shall be provided only if sample quantity is impossible to split for a valid sample. shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or work activity undertaken pursuant to this Decree on or off the site. Ecology shall, upon request, allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Defendant or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree provided it does not interfere with the Department's sampling. Without limitation on Ecology's rights under Section IX, Ecology shall endeavor to notify Defendant prior to any sample collection activity. Ecology sampling shall be conducted in compliance with WAC 173-340-800.

XI. PROGRESS REPORTS

Defendant shall submit to Ecology written monthly progreteports which describe the actions taken during the previous

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

month to implement the requirements of this Decree. The progress shall include the following:

- A. A list of on-site and off-site activities that have taken place pursuant to this Consent Decree during the month;
- B. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise documented in project plans or amendment requests;
- C. Description of all deviations from the schedule

 (Exhibit B) during the current month and any planned deviations in the upcoming month;
- D. For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and maintaining compliance with the schedule;
- E. All relevant data (including laboratory analysis summaries) received by the Defendant during the past month and an identification of the source of the sample;
- F. A list of deliverables for the upcoming month if different from the schedule; and

After the effective date of this Decree, all progress reports shall be submitted by the tenth day of the month following the reporting period for which they are required. Unless otherwise specified, progress reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested; personal service; express courier; or by facsimile with a confirmation copy via the U.S. Postal Service to Ecology's project coordinator.

XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

Defendant shall preserve, during the pendency of this

Decree and for ten (10) years from the date this Decree is no

longer in effect as provided in Section XXV, all records,

reports, documents, and underlying data in its possession

relevant to the implementation of this Decree and shall insert

in contracts with project contractors and subcontractors a

similar record retention requirement. Upon request of Ecology,

Defendant shall make all non-archived records available to

Ecology and allow access for review. All archived records shall

be made available to Ecology within a reasonable period of time.

XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

No voluntary or involuntary conveyance or relinquishment i title, easement, leasehold, or other interest held by WWP in any portion of the Site shall be consummated without provision for continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.

Prior to transfer of any legal or equitable interest of WWP in all or any portion of the Site, and during the effective period of this Decree, Defendant shall serve a copy of this Decree upon any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor to WWP's interest in the property; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Defendant shall notify Ecology of said contemplated transfer.

2

4 5

7 8

6

9

12

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

21

20

23

22

25

261

24

In the event a dispute arises as to an approval, Α. disapproval, proposed modification or other decision or action by Ecology's project coordinator, the parties shall utilize the dispute resolution procedure set forth below.

- Upon receipt of the Ecology project coordinator's decision, the Defendant has fourteen (14) days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator of its objection to the decision.
- The parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within fourteen (14) days, Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision.
- Defendant may then request Ecology management review of the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) days of receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's decision.
- Ecology's Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of the Defendant's request for The Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final review. decision on the disputed matter.
- В. If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to Defendant, Defendant has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary,

C. The parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.

Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in (). Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

XV. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE

This Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation among the parties to this Decree that is entered by the Court or by order of the Court. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party to the Decree.

Defendant shall submit any request for an amendment to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in a timely manner after the request for amendment is received. If the amendment to the Decree is substantial, Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Reasons for the disapproval shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to any proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIV of this Decree.

XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

A. An extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least 30 days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify the reason(s) the extension is needed.

An extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology (or the Court acting pursuant to Section XIV.C). Ecology shall act upon any written request for extension in a timely fashion. It shall not be necessary to formally amend this Decree pursuant to Section XV when a schedule extension is granted.

B. The burden shall be on the Defendant to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause includes, but is not limited to, the following.

24

25

- (1) Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of Defendant including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by Defendant; or
- (2) Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty; or
 - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVII.

However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of the Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendant.

- C. Ecology may extend the schedule for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days, except where an extension is needed as a result of:
- (1) Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner; or
- (2) Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology; or
 - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVI.

Ecology shall give Defendant written notification in a timely fashion of any extensions granted pursuant to this Decree.

XVII. ENDANGERMENT

In the event Ecology determines that activities (
implementing or in noncompliance with this Decree, or any other

circumstances or activities, are creating or have the potential to create a danger to the health or welfare of the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Ecology may order Defendant to stop further implementation of this Decree for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition the Court for an order as appropriate. During any stoppage of work under this section, the obligations of Defendant with respect to the work under this Decree which is ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the time periods for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which is stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVI of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

In the event Defendant determines that activities undertaken in furtherance of this Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating an endangerment to the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Defendant may stop implementation of this Decree for such period of time necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine whether Defendant should proceed with implementation of the Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. Defendant shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage of work, and thereafter provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for

11

13

14

15

17

18

16

19

20

22

24

261

the work stoppage. If Ecology disagrees with the Defendant' determination, it may order Defendant to resume implementation of this Decree. If Ecology concurs with the work stoppage, the Defendant's obligations shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVI of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Any disagreements pursuant to the clause shall be resolved through the dispute resolution procedures in Section XIV.

XVIII. OTHER ACTIONS

- A. Ecology reserves its rights to institute remedial (action(s) at the Site and subsequently pursue cost recovery, and Ecology reserves its rights to issue orders and/or penalties or take any other enforcement action pursuant to available statutory authority under the following circumstances:
- (1) Where Defendant fails, after notice, to comply with any requirement of this Decree; or
- (2) In the event or upon the discovery of a release or threatened release not addressed by this Decree; or
- (3) Upon Ecology's determination that action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an emergency situation which threatens public health or welfare or the environment; or

(4) Upon the occurrence or discovery of a situation beyond the scope of this Decree as to which Ecology would be empowered to perform any remedial action or to issue an order and/or penalty, or to take any other enforcement action. This Decree is limited in scope to the geographic Site described in Exhibit A and to those contaminants which Ecology knows to be at the Site when this Decree is entered.

Ecology will not, however, bring an action against WWP to recover remedial action costs paid to and received by Ecology under this Consent Decree. In addition, Ecology will not take additional enforcement actions against WWP to require those remedial actions required by this Consent Decree, provided WWP complies with this Consent Decree.

Ecology reserves all rights regarding the injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources resulting from the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from the Washington Water Power Central Steam Plant site.

Ecology reserves the right to take any enforcement action whatsoever, including a cost recovery action, against potentially liable persons not party to this Decree.

XIX. <u>INDEMNIFICATION</u>

Defendant agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Defendant, its officers, employees, agents,

or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, the Defendant shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in implementing the activities pursuant to this Decree.

XX. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

All actions carried out by Defendant pursuant to this

Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal,

state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain

necessary permits.

XXI. REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS

The Defendant agrees to pay costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree. These costs shall include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the Site under Chapter 70.105D RCW both prior to and subsequent to the issuance of this Decree for investigations, remedial actions, and Decree preparation, negotiations, oversight, and Ecology costs shall include costs of direct administration. activities and support costs of direct activities as defined in The Defendant agrees to pay the required WAC 173-340-550(2). amount within ninety (90) days of receiving from Ecology the itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

statement of work performed will be provided upon request.

Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement will result in interest charges.

XXII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

If Ecology determines that Defendant has failed without good cause to implement the remedial action, Ecology may, after notice to Defendant, perform any or all portions of the remedial action that remain incomplete. If Ecology performs all or portions of the remedial action because of the Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations under this Decree, Defendant shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XXI, provided that Defendant is not obligated under this section to reimburse Ecology for costs incurred for work inconsistent with or beyond the scope of this Decree.

XXIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site. However, Defendant shall cooperate with Ecology and, if agreed to by Ecology, shall:

A. Prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study reports and engineering design reports. Ecology will finalize (including editing if necessary) and distribute such fact sheets

25

2

3

7

8

9

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

221

and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings;

- B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Defendant prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments;
- C. Participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter;
- D. In cooperation with Ecology, arrange and/or continuinformation repositories to be located at Spokane Public Library at 906 W. Main Avenue, Spokane, Washington; The Washington Water Power Company at 1411 E. Mission, Spokane, Washington; and Ecology's Eastern Regional Office at 4601 N. Monroe, Suite 202, Spokane, Washington. At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and press releases; all quality assured ground water, surface water, soil sediment, and air monitoring data; remedial actions plans, supplemental remedial planning documents, and all other similar documents relating to performance of the remedial action required by this Decree shall be promptly placed in these repositories.

XXIV. DURATION OF DECREE

This Decree shall remain in effect and the remedial program described in the Decree shall be maintained and continued until the Defendant has received written notification from Ecology that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed.

XXV. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

Defendant hereby agrees that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies; and further, that the Defendant will make no claim against the State Toxics Control Account or any Local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree. Except as provided above, however, Defendant expressly reserves its right to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other potentially liable person.

XXVI. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.

XXVII. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Decree has been the subject of public notice and comment, and a public hearing, under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a), as outlined in Exhibit C, which is incorporated herein by reference. As a result of this process, Ecology has found that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous

1	substances at the Site. Ecology has also determined that no
2	further public notice or hearing is required under RCW
3	70.105D.040(4)(a) or WAC 173-340-600(9)(e) prior to the entry of
4	this Decree.
5	If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this
6	Decree, it shall be null and void at the option of any party and
7	the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs and
8	without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by
9	the requirements of this Decree.
10	SIGNED by the parties who also hereby waive further notice
11	of presentation for entry by the Court.
12	
13	MARY F. BURG Date W. LESTER BRYAN Date
14	Program Manager Senior Vice President
15	Toxics Cleanup Program The Washington Water Power Company
16	Thomas CHARL 10-31-94 10114-12 18 11/2/94
17	THOMAS C. MORRILL Date JERRY W. BOYD Date Assistant Attorney General Attorney for WWP
18	4584 # 18388 /WYBA # 20/94
19	
20	THIS DECREE is approved and IT IS SO ORDERED this day of, 1994.
21	, 100
22	
23	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE Spokane County Superior Court
2.4	Spokane county superior court
25	