RECEIVED On 1218

MAR 1.8 1988

SYRDAL, DANELO, KLEIN, MYKE & WOODS, P.S. DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGIC

IUL Ux 1901

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

IN THE MATTER OF:

Docket No. 1088-03-13-106

CITY OF SPOKANE,

Respondent.

ORDER ON CONSENT

Proceedings Under Section 106

of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This Order is issued to the Respondent named above by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to Section 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. § 9606. By signing this Order, the Respondent ("City of Spokane" or "Spokane") consents to its issuance.

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 1

Form OBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

- A. Authority conferred on the President by Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9606(a);
- B. Authority conferred on the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by Executive Order 12580 dated January 29, 1987, published in Volume 52, Federal Register, page 2923;
- C. Authority conferred on the EPA Regional Administrators (RAs) by EPA Delegations Manual Section 14-14-C; and
- D. Authority conferred on the EPA Region 10 Director, Hazardous Waste Division, by a Regional Redelegation Order signed by the Regional Administrator.

## 3. STIPULATIONS

By the signature appearing below, Spokane hereby consents and agree to:

- A. the issuance of this Order;
- B. perform and comply with Spokane's obligations as specified in this Order;
- C. not contest or legally challenge the issuance of this Consent Order or EPA's jurisdiction to enforce this Consent Order; and
- D. the fact that EPA has determined that there may be an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment because of an actual or threatened release of a hazardous substance as set forth below.

# 4. EPA NOT BOUND TO ACT

Any conduct by EPA described herein by means of the words "will,"
"may," "expects to," etc., does not create a promise, undertaking, or any
ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 2

legal act o only Spoka the t

.. 9

legal duty on the part of EPA. Instead, any such expressions indicating an act or omission contemplated on the part of EPA, shall operate (at most, and only if legally appropriate) only as a condition precedent to a duty of Spokane to perform some act or to refrain from acting, as appropriate under the terms of this Order.

## 5. <u>JUDICIAL REVIEW</u>

Nothing in this Order shall make a matter judicially reviewable prior to the commencement of judicial proceedings by or on behalf of EPA which pray for relief in the form of:

- A. Specific enforcement of this Order;
- B. Civil or criminal sanctions provided by statute for violation of the terms of this Order;
  - C. A declaratory judgment; or
  - D. A decree of exoneration of reimbursement.

## PURPOSES OF ORDER

To determine thoroughly the nature and extent of the risks or threats, if any to the public health or welfare or the environment in accordance with the provisions of 42 U.S.C. §9604 and applicable regulations. This determination shall address the facility, known as the Northside Landfill, as identified in the listing of the site on the National Priorities List. All activity toward this objective is called a Remedial Investigation or RI. RI activities shall include consideration of and take into account any available relevant studies or reports.

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 3

\* 1

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Form OBD-183

- B. To evaluate the alternatives currently available for remedial action which will, to an appropriate extent, prevent and/or ameliorate the effects of any release or further release, of any hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at or from the facility. State requirements which are applicable or relevant and appropriate will be considered in this evaluation pursuant to Section 121 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9621. All activity toward this objective is called a Feasibility Study ("FS").
- C. The exact boundary of the facility may be adjusted during the conduct of the RI/FS, to conform to the definition of facility in 42 U.S.C. §9601(9). The definition of facility allows the site to include all of the area impacted by the site. Adjusting the boundaries will be addressed in the RI/FS, to be submitted pursuant to this Order.
- To accomplish the foregoing objectives in a manner consistent with D. the Nation Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR Part 300, 50 Fed. Reg. 47912 published on November 20, 1985, and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("SARA").

# 7. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The Northside (or North) Landfill covers 175 acres of a 345-acre facility in the extreme northwest part of the City of Spokane. The landfill is about one-half mile east of the Spokane River and located partly in the lowlands of the river valley and along the bluff of Five Mile Prairie.
- B. The Northside Landfill has been operated by the City of Spokane since the 1930s. The site was operated as an open burning facility into the 1950s. In the 1960s, open burning was phased out and waste disposal shifted to a combination of area fill and shallow excavation. In the mid-1960s, open-burning ended and landfilling using soil cover was instituted. This practice continues today.

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 4

C. In 1982, the city began groundwater monitoring of on-site and selected nearby domestic wells. The wells were found to be contaminated with landfill leachate components and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including tetrachloroethylene, trichloroethylene, and trichlorethane. The above chemicals and some other substances found on-site are hazardous substances pursuant to CERCLA, and are known toxicants.

- D. In response to the October 1983 discovery of VOC contamination identified by the CH<sub>2</sub>M/Hill studies and because of concerns about public health and the substantial damage claim potential for the city, the City of Spokane extended water service to 50 affected homes northwest of the landfill. At the same time, the City began quarterly well sampling to monitor the movement of the VOC plume.
- E. Part of the Northside Landfill overlies the Spokane Valley-Rathdrum Aquifer, designated by the EPA as a "sole source" aquifer. In 1983 it was discovered by hydrogeologic studies and groundwater monitoring that VOCs contamination of this aquifer is taking place. Northside Landfill has been shown to be a major source of the aquifer contaminants.
- F. Two Preliminary Assessment Surveys of the site were conducted in 1980 and 1984 by EPA. The results were used to nominate the Northside Landfill for the National Priorities List (NPL) in October 1984 and list it in June 1986.
- G. Pursuant to a Memorandum of Agreement with the Washington State Department of Ecology ("Ecology") the City of Spokane submitted a draft remedial investigation to Ecology in October 1986 and a draft Feasibility Study in June 1987.
- H. The site is a facility as defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(9).
- The Respondent is a person as defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA,
   U.S.C. §9601(21).

7

10

.14

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

- J. Certain wastes and constituents at the site are hazardous substances as defined in 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(14).
- K. The past, present, and potential migration of hazardous substances from the site constitutes an actual or potential release as defined in Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(22).
- L. The City of Spokane is an owner and operator pursuant to Section 107(a)(1) and (2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a)(1) and (2).
- M. The Respondent shall carry out all actions required by this Order unless indicated otherwise:
- N. On the basis of past inspections, investigations and reports, and all other available information, the Regional Administrator of EPA has determined that (pursuant to Section 106 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9606) there may be an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment because of an actual or threatened release of a hazardous substance from the site herein described.
- O. Under Section 106 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606, the Regional Administrator has been delegated the authority to issue orders to secure such relief as may be necessary to protect the public health or welfare and the environment.

#### ORDER

Based on the foregoing FINDINGS OF FACT. and CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

# 8. DESIGNATION OF PROJECT COORDINATORS

Not later than five (5) days after the effective date of this Order, Spokane and EPA each will designate a Project Coordinator and a substitute.

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 6

Each Project Coordinator will have the day to day responsibility for overseeing the implementation of this Order. To the extent possible, all communications between Spokane and EPA (including communication by letter, reports, notices, etc.) concerning activities related to this Order shall be directed through the respective Project Coordinators.

## 9. CHANGING PROJECT COORDINATORS

Either EPA or Spokane may change their respective Project Coordinators by notifying the other in writing no later than five (5) calendar days before the date of such change.

## 10. DIRECTOR AND CONTRACTORS

Except as otherwise agreed to by EPA and Spokane, all Spokane activity in implementation of the RI/FS pursuant to this Order shall be supervised by the Project Coordinator for the City of Spokane. Prior to commencement of applicable RI/FS activities at the site, Spokane shall notify the EPA in writing of: the name, address, qualifications, and affiliations of all contractors and subcontractors expected to be used in performing activities to carry out the provisions of this Order.

#### 11. <u>DISTRIBUTION OF ORDER</u>

Spokane shall provide a copy of this Order to all contractors, subcontractors, laboratories, and consultants retained to conduct any portion of the work performed pursuant to this Order, not later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the effective date of this Order or the date of such retention, whichever is later.

## 12. DEVELOPMENT AND SCOPE OF RI/FS WORK PLAN

A. Spokane shall implement the tasks detailed in the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan set forth in Appendix "A" hereto in accordance with the deadlines specified in Appendix "B" or as those tasks or deadlines may be modified in accordance with the procedures set forth in paragraphs 16, 28, and/or 29, below. Spokane shall carry out the Work Plan and any subsequent modifications to the Work Plan in accordance with applicable EPA Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study guidance which EPA will provide to Spokane and with the standards, specifications, and schedule set forth in the Work Plan and Appendix "B".

# 13. CONSISTENCY WITH NATIONAL CONTINGENCY PLAN

All of Spokane's actions in compliance with this Order shall be consistent with Subpart F of the National Contingency Plan (40 C.F.R. Part 300.61-300.71) in effect on the effective date of this Order, and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended by SARA.

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 8

Form OBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

## 14. COMMENCEMENT OF WORK

Not later than seven (7) calendar days after the effective date of this Order, Spokane shall commence work in accordance with the RI/FS Work Plan.

## 15. DESIGNATION OF ON-SCENE COORDINATOR

In accordance with the criteria and procedures set forth in the National Contingency Plan and any amendments thereto, EPA may designate one or more persons as a "On-Scene Coordinator" for the facility and activities mentioned in this Order, who will have the authority specified in the National Contingency Plan. Such authority includes authority to halt work on activities required by this Order, to direct any tasks so required, and to take any response action appropriate if the On-Scene Coordinator determines that conditions may present an immediate and significant risk to the public health or welfare or the environment. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to diminish that authority. The On-Scene Coordinator may authorize minor field deviations which will be documented in writing and signed by both Spokane's Project Coordinator and EPA's On-Scene Coordinator within five (5) working days of authorization. The EPA Project Coordinator may be, but need not be, an On-Scene Coordinator.

## 16. ABSENCE OF EPA COORDINATOR(S)

The absence of the EPA Project Coordinator and/or On-Scene Coordinator from the site may not constitute an excuse for halting the work required under this Order.

## 17. QUALITY ASSURANCE

Throughout all sample collection, transportation, and analysis ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 9

.

27.

Form CBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

4

5

6 7

8 9 10

12

13

11

14

16

15

17 18

19 .

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

activities carried out pursuant to this Order, Spokane shall use the procedures for quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody specified in or incorporated by the Quality Assurance Project Plan.

#### NOTICE TO EPA OF EXCAVATION, DRILLING OR SAMPLING 18.

Spokane shall notify EPA of any excavation, drilling, or sampling to be conducted pursuant to this Order at least five (5) working days in advance of any such activity and shall, upon EPA's verbal request, provide EPA with a split or duplicate of any sample taken pursuant to this Order. Spokane shall not use any portion of the site in any manner that would adversely affect the monitoring wells that are currently at the site or that will be installed pursuant to the RI/FS without first receiving written permission of the EPA Project Coordinator.

# 19. EPA OVERSIGHT OF QUALITY ASSURANCE

With regard to all sample collection, transportation, or analysis required by the RI/FS Work Plan, Spokane shall:

- A. Conduct all sampling and analysis in accordance with the specific requirements by the RI/FS Work Plan (EPA may require Spokane to revise the Sampling and Analysis Plan to comply with EPA's sampling and analysis protocols, regulations, and guidance.)
- B. Allow EPA and state personnel and EPA and state authorized representatives access during normal working hours to information collected under the RI/FS Work Plan, including (1) each laboratory and laboratory worker employed or used by Spokane for collection or transportation or analysis of samples pursuant to the RI/FS Work Plan, and (2) every ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 10

laboratory record and item of equipment used in connection with the collection or analysis of samples pursuant to the RI/FS Work Plan;

C. Ensure that each laboratory used by Spokane for analyses performs such analyses according to the methods specified in the RI/FS Work Plan.

## 20. EPA ACTIVITIES AT SITE

EPA and the state will have the authority to enter and move freely about all portions of the site at all reasonable times for the purpose of, among other matters:

- A. inspecting records, operating logs, and documents related to the RI/FS Work Plan activities or work at the site;
  - B. reviewing Spokane's progress in carrying out this Order;
- C. conducting such RI/FS Work Plan-related tests as EPA deems necessary;
  - D. using a camera, sound recorder or other equipment; and
- E. verifying the data submitted to EPA by Spokane. Spokane shall permit such persons to inspect and copy records, files, photographs, documents, and data, pertaining to work undertaken pursuant to this Order. Spokane may accompany EPA and state representatives when they are on site.

## 21. RETENTION OF RECORDS

Spokane shall preserve all records and documents in possession or control of its divisions, employees, agents, accountants, or contractors, which relate in any way to the RI/FS Work Plan activities to be identified at the site for at least seven (7) years from the date of termination of this Order, despite any document retention policy to the contrary. Upon request by EPA or the state, Spokane shall make available to EPA or the ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 11

orm OBD-183 ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 12

state such records or true copies of any such records. Any destruction of records after this seven (7) year period shall not be done without prior notice to and approval of EPA.

## 22. PROVISION OF OTHER DOCUMENTS

Upon EPA's written request, Spokane shall provide EPA with a copy of other documents obtained or prepared by Spokane pursuant to its implementation of this Order, including draft and final plans, draft and final task memoranda (including memoranda recording minor field modifications), recommendations for further action, quality assurance memoranda and audits, draft and final reports, raw data, field notes, laboratory analytical reports and any studies relevant to, but not specifically required by, this Order. Internal communications not produced in furtherance of this order and not otherwise available to the public on demand may be subject to claims of confidentiality by the City of Spokane.

## 23. PROGRESS REPORTS

Commencing with the first month following the effective date, Spokane shall deliver to EPA and the state monthly written progress reports concerning the implementation of this Order. At a minimum, the progress reports shall: (a) describe the actions which have been taken to implement this Order during the previous month, (b) include the results of any sampling and tests and any other data received by Spokane pursuant to this Order, and (c) describe all actions to implement this Order scheduled for the next month. Spokane shall submit these reports to EPA every month by the tenth (10th) day of the month. Any report to which there remains any unresolved EPA objections, unless remedied to EPA's satisfaction, shall fail to satisfy the duties imposed upon Spokane by this paragraph.

## 24. PRELIMINARY AND FINAL REPORTS

Respondent shall provide preliminary and final reports and other items described as "deliverables" in the RI/FS Work Plan.

#### 25. DELIVERY OF DOCUMENTS

A. Documents, including reports and other correspondence required by this Order, to be submitted to EPA shall be delivered to the following addressee at the address indicated, and to such other persons as EPA may specify by written notice sent to Spokane:

Neil Thompson
Superfund Branch, HW-113
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

## 26. SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS.

This Order may be amended by agreement of EPA and Spokane. This Order may be amended as to its scope and any of its provisions, tasks or deadlines, including those set forth in the approved RI/FS Work Plan. EPA and Spokane agree that amendment by mutual agreement is the preferred procedure for making any necessary adjustments to this Order and that the dispute resolution procedures of paragraph 29 of this Order are to be avoided if possible so that the overall purposes of this Order may be achieved with a minimum of delay. Amendments shall be in writing and shall become effective, after they have been signed by Spokane, on the date such

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 13

Form OBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

. 17

27.

amendments are signed by the EPA Hazardous Waste Division Director, Region 10.

## 27. FORCE MAJEURE; DEVIATION AND DELAY

If any event occurs which is beyond Spokane's reasonable control and which may cause or has caused a delay in or deviation from the achievement of the requirements of this Order, Spokane shall promptly notify EPA's Project Coordinator orally, and shall, within five (5) working days of oral notification to EPA, notify EPA in writing of:

- A. the anticipated duration and cause of the delay or deviation;
- B. the measures that have been or will be taken to prevent or minimize the delay or deviation; and
  - C. the timetable by which Spokane proposes to carry out such measures.

Spokane shall adopt all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize deviation and delay. EPA in turn will adopt all reasonable measures to ensure that its review of and any determinations with respect to a Spokane notice of delay or deviation shall not add to or increase the delay or deviation.

The burden shall be upon Spokane to show that any delay or deviation was, or will be, caused by circumstances beyond its reasonable control.

Increased costs to Spokane of performance of the terms of this order shall not be considered circumstances beyond the control of Spokane.

If EPA and Spokane can agree that the delay or deviation or anticipated delay or deviation has been or will be caused by circumstances beyond Spokane's reasonable control, this order will be modified accordingly and the time for performance extended for a period equal to the delay resulting ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 14

5

. 

19 ·

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 15

Form OBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ from such circumstances.

If EPA and Spokane cannot agree that any delay in or deviation from the achievement of the requirements of this Order, including the failure to submit any report or document, has been or will be caused by circumstances beyond Spokane's reasonable control, the dispute shall be resolved in accordance with paragraph 29 of this Order.

## 28. EPA NOTICE OF DISAPPROVAL REGARDING REPORTS AND ACTIVITIES

The EPA Project Coordinator will notify Spokane of all objections to, or disapprovals of any reports, activities, or failures to act on the part of the City of Spokane. Such notice shall be given as soon as practicable and put in writing within thirty (30) days of receiving progress, preliminary, final, and deviation or delay reports, activities, or other items described as "deliverables" in the RI/FS Work Plan. It shall include: (a) each activity, deviation, or delay to which EPA has an objection, (b), each portion of any such report EPA regards as deficient, and (c) any directions necessary to implement EPA's requirements. EPA will set forth in writing its reasons for any such objections. Failure of EPA to object to reports, activities, deviations, or delays within thirty (30) days does not constitute a waiver of EPA's right to object at a later time.

## 29. SPOKANE'S RESPONSE TO EPA'S OBJECTIONS AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

A. If the EPA Project Coordinator objects pursuant to paragraph 28 above to any activity, failure to act, deviation, or delay by Spokane; Spokane shall, except as provided in this paragraph and paragraph 27 of this Order, implement the directions of the EPA Project Coordinator. Not later than the 21st calendar day after Spokane's receipt of the EPA Project Coordinator's written notice of disapproval specifying EPA's objections to all or part of any report or any action or failure to act on the part of Spokane, Spokane shall:

1i

- amend the affected portions to incorporate the EPA directions and deliver the amendment(s) to EPA, or
- object to EPA in writing pursuant to paragraph 29.B. of this
   Order.
- B. If Spokane objects to any EPA Project Coordinator's notice of disapproval made pursuant to paragraph 28 of this Order, Spokane shall notify EPA in writing of such objections not later than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the date of Spokane's receipt of the notice of disapproval. EPA and Spokane will meet or confer within fourteen (14) days of EPA's receipt of Spokane's objections or explanations and attempt in good faith to resolve all objections by agreement. If EPA and Spokane cannot resolve their dispute, within this fourteen (14) day period, EPA will promptly provide Spokane a written statement of its final position. If Spokane fails or refuses to carry out the directions or to make the amendments specified by EPA in its final position, EPA may elect to carry out the directions or to make the amendments itself and/or to take any other actions it deems necessary including, but not limited to, those specified in paragraphs 34 and 40 of this Order.

## 30. OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS

All actions required to be taken pursuant to this Order shall be coordinated and consistent with and performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable local, state, and federal laws and regulations.

## 31. OTHER CLAIMS

Nothing in this Order shall constitute or be construed as an admission with respect to nor a release from any claim, cause of action or demand in law or equity against any person, firm, partnership, corporation, ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 16

or state or local governmental entity not a signatory to this Order for any liability it may have arising out of or relating in any way to the generation, storage, treatment, handling, transportation, release, or disposal of any hazardous substances, hazardous wastes, pollutants, or contaminants found at, taken to, or taken from the site. This Order does not preauthorize or constitute any decision on preauthorization of funds under 42 U.S.C. § 9611(a)(2).

#### 32. PERSONS BOUND

This Order shall apply to and be binding upon Spokane, and all of Spokane's agents, subsidiaries, successors, assigns, contractors, subcontractors, and consultants, and upon EPA, its officials and employees. Spokane remains obligated by this Order regardless of any change in ownership of the facility or site, and regardless of efforts made to carry out the terms hereof through agents, contractors, and consultants.

## 33. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

- A. Notwithstanding compliance with the terms of this Order, including completion of an EPA-approved Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study, Spokane is not released from any of its liability, if any, for costs of any removal or remedial actions outside the terms of this Order taken by EPA respecting the facility or site.
- B. EPA reserves the right to take any action pursuant to CERCLA or any other legal authority, including the right to seek injunctive relief, statutory penalties, and punitive damages.
- C. By entering into and agreeing to this Order, Spokane does not admit to any liability nor to any violation of any law nor to any of the ORDER ON CONSENT Page 17

factual or legal findings, conclusions, or determinations made by EPA herein; however, Spokane will not contest EPA's authority or jurisdiction to issue and enforce this Order, as provided in paragraph 6. Spokane reserves the rights and defenses which it may have regarding liability or responsibility in any subsequent proceedings regarding the Northside landfill facility. EPA and Spokane agree that this order may not be used in any other litigation by a third party for the purpose of establishing fault or liability on the part of Spokane.

D. Spokane, its elected officials, and employees agree not to petition EPA for reimbursement from the Fund pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §9606(b) for costs of RI/FS activities which Spokane has agreed to undertake pursuant to this Order. Nothing in this paragraph or this Order precludes Spokane from exercising its rights under 42 U.S.C. §9613(f) to seek contribution from any person who is liable or potentially liable under 42 U.S.C. §9607(a) or to seek indemnification from any person other than the Fund with respect to RI/FS activities which Spokane has agreed to undertake pursuant to this order as provided above.

# 34. OTHER EPA REMEDIES

EPA may pursue any remedies or sanctions available to it as relief for failure by Spokane to comply with any requirement of this Order. Such remedies and sanctions may include, but are not limited to, a suit for statutory penalties as authorized by 42 U.S.C. §9606, a federally-funded response action, and/or a suit for exoneration/reimbursement of costs incurred by the United States and any state.

# 35. REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS

Following the end of each federal fiscal year, EPA will submit to Spokane a detailed accounting of all costs incurred by and billed to the ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 18

United States after the date of this Order in connection with response, oversight, and community relations costs incurred by the United States government and its contractors and representatives with respect to this Order. Spokane shall pay the amount of those costs by check made payable to the Hazardous Substance Response Trust Fund. A copy of the transmittal letter for payment of EPA's costs shall be sent to each Project Coordinator. Checks shall specifically reference the Northside Landfill site, note that payment is for reimbursement of EPA costs, and be sent to the following address:

Mellon Bank
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(Regional Hearing Clerk)
P.O. Box 371003M
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251
Attention: Collection Officer for Superfund

with a copy of the transaction sent to:

Regional Hearing Clerk
Office of Regional Counsel
EPA Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, M/S SO-125.
Seattle, Washington 98101

#### 36. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Order becomes effective, after it has been signed by Spokane, on the date it is signed by the EPA Hazardous Waste Division Director, Region 10.

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 19

19 -

#### 37. NOTICE TO THE STATE

So that the state may be involved in the initiation, development, and selection of any remedial action as provided under Section 121 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9621, and so that all Spokane remedial activities may be coordinated with appropriate state agencies, the state of Washington Department of Ecology has been notified of this Order.

#### 38. COMPUTATION OF TIME AND FORM OF NOTICES

- A. Any time periods scheduled to begin on the occurrence of an act or event shall begin on the day after the act or event.
- B. Any time period scheduled to begin before the occurrence of an act or event shall be computed so that the period ends on the day before the act or event.
- C. If the final day of any time period falls on a weekend or legal holiday the time period shall be extended to the next working day.
- D. Unless otherwise specified, all notifications shall be sent certified mail, return receipt requested.

## 39. NEGOTIATION OF REMEDIAL ACTIONS

Upon completion of appropriate components of the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study required by this Order, it is the intent of EPA and Spokane, if necessary, to negotiate in good faith toward implementation of remedial actions approved by EPA for the site. If Spokane properly completes the tasks set out in the approved RI/FS Work Plan, EPA shall give Spokane the opportunity to undertake voluntarily under a consent decree, whatever remedial steps may be determined to be necessary as a result of the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study produced under this Order, consistent with all laws and EPA policy.

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 20

## 

#### 40. ADDITIONAL RESPONSE TASKS

The parties reaffirm that it is the mutual objectives of EPA and the City of Spokane to carry out the Purpose of the Order set forth in Paragraph six (6) above. In light of the substantial amount of investigation already accomplished at the site and the draft RI/FS already produced it is presumed by both parties that the RI/FS Work Plan attached shall accomplish said purposes. It is however, recognized by both parties that information obtained as a result of the work to be performed may necessitate additional response tasks.

- A. In the event that EPA or Spokane determines that additional work, including remedial investigatory work and/or engineering evaluation, is necessary to accomplish the objectives of the RI/FS, notification of such additional work shall be provided to all of the Project Coordinators identified for this site.
- B. Any additional work determined to be necessary by Spokane shall be subject to approval by EPA.
- C. If EPA and Spokane agree that such additional work should be performed, this order and, if necessary, the schedule contained in the Appendix hereto will be modified pursuant to paragraph 26 to incorporate the additional work.
- D. Any additional work determined to be necessary by Spokane and approved by EPA, or determined to be necessary by EPA shall be completed by Spokane in accordance with the standards, specifications, and schedule determined or approved by EPA.
- E. If Spokane does not agree that additional work should be performed, Spokane may invoke the "Disputes" procedure in paragraph 29 of this Order. If, following completion of the dispute resolution procedures ORDER ON CONSENT Page 21

in paragraph 29 of this order, Spokane fails or refuses to perform any additional work in accordance with the standards, specifications, and schedules specified by EPA, EPA reserves the right to undertake such tasks and/or to pursue any other remedies available to EPA, including but not limited to those remedies referenced in paragraph 34 above, provided, however, that Spokane's failure or refusal to perform such additional work shall not be deemed to be a violation of this Order and shall not be subject to an action for statutory penalties, punitive damages, or stipulated penalties under this Order.

## 41. DELAYS IN PERFORMANCE AND STIPULATED PENALTIES

- A. Except with respect to any extensions agreed to or allowed by EPA pursuant to paragraphs 26, 27, of this Order, Spokane agrees to pay the sums set forth below as stipulated penalties for the violations set forth below:
- 1. For failure to complete, carry out and/or submit any of the "deliverables" set forth in the Appendix to this Order (except the monthly submission of progress reports), Spokane shall pay stipulated penalties accruing in the amount of \$5,000 for the first week or part thereof and \$5,000 per day for each day after the first full week.
- 2. For failure to submit a monthly progress report by the tenth (10th) day of each month as required by paragraph 23 of this Order, Spokane shall pay stipulated penalties accruing in the amount of \$2,000 for the first week or part thereof and \$2,500 per day for each day after the first full week.

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 22

B. The above stipulated penalties shall accrue commencing upon Spokane's receipt of written notice from EPA that Spokane has failed to timely submit a credible version of one or more of the deliverables specified in the Appendix B to this Order, except that there will be a seven (7) day period in which the City or Spokane may rectify any progress report deficiencies without accruing stipulated penalties.

C. Stipulated penalties shall be due and payable within fifteen (15) days of receipt by Spokane of EPA's written notice of violation. Spokane shall forward to Mellon Bank, at the address and in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph 35 of this Order, a check payable to the Hazardous Substance Response Trust Fund. The check shall note that the payment is for penalties.

### 42. EXONERATION/INDEMNIFICATION OF THE UNITED STATES

Insofar as the City of Spokane may be authorized to do so under the laws and Constitution of the State of Washington, Spokane shall save and hold harmless the United States, its agencies, officers, employees, and agents (thereby exonerating the foregoing from), and shall indemnify each of the foregoing against and for, any and all claims arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Spokane, or the officers, employees, receivers, trustees, agents, or assigns of Spokane, relating in any way to carrying out activities pursuant to this Order; but excluding claims arising from or on account of acts or omissions of the United States, its agencies, officers, employees and agents. EPA is not a party to any contract involving the site or facility which is made by Spokane.

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 23

3

**4** 5

6 7

8

10 . 11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27.

28

EPA shall be the lead for community relations, the City shall be responsible for helping to coordinate and implement community relations for the Site. EPA shall consult with the City in the preparation and finalization of fact sheets, press releases, and public notices.

The EPA shall accommodate where possible the City's concerns prior to release of such information. The City shall assist in:

- Distribution of the fact sheets referred to above;
- Coordination of public meetings related to the investigation program; and
- in supplying appropriate documents and information for the information repository(ies).

In the event of the disagreement over the contents of any document prepared for purposes of community relations, EPA shall make the final decision about its content.

## 44. SATISFACTION OF ORDER AND TERMINATION

Spokane's duties under this Order shall be satisfied upon issuance by EPA of a writing stating that Spokane have complied with all provisions of this Order, including such additional tasks as EPA may require.

IT IS SO AGREED.

3/15/88

Date

3/16/86

ORDER ON CONSENT - Page 24

CITY OF SPOKANE

DIRECTOR, EPA HAZARDOUS WAST

DIVISION, Region 10

#### APPENDIX A

# REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION AND FEASIBILITY STUDY WORK PLAN

#### WORK PLAN

This work plan is for supplemental data needed to complete the Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Study (FS) for the Northside Landfill site consistant with the National Contingency Plan (NCP). The Sampling and Analysis Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, and Health and Safety Plan, which are required tasks as a part of the work plan, will include detailed discussion of the technical approaches to be used to implement this investigation and complete the reports.

## TASK 1 - PLANS AND MANAGEMENT

## A. Sampling and Analysis Plan

Update the sampling and analysis plan to address all field activities necessary to obtain additional site data. The plan will be based on previously established sampling plans that are currently in effect at the Northside Landfill. The plan will define the level of effort and specific field activities for each of the media to be sampled. The plan will discuss the following:

Investigation objectives
Sampling locations
Sampling frequency
Sampling procedures
Field equipment calibration and maintenance procedures
Sample custody
Sample parameters
Analytical procedures and detection limits
Data analysis

## B. Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)

Update the QAPP plan to assure that the entire RI and the individual components are conducted in a technically correct manner. The plan will encompass the review of existing data, field program development and implementation, sampling techniques, instrument calibration, laboratory analysis, data interpretation, and verification of computer models.

#### C. Health and Safety Plan

Update the site specific health and safety plan after completion of the sampling plan to address hazards that the investigation team may encounter at the site. In preparation of the plan the city of Spokane will consider the site description and maps, results of previous sampling activities, field reports, and proposed field activities. The health and safety plan will include the following elements:

Site description
Hazard evaluation
Monitoring requirements
Levels of protection
Work limitations
Authorized personnel responsibilities
Decontamination requirements
Emergency information

## TASK 2 - SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL SITE INVESTIGATION

Conduct those additional investigations necessary to characterize the site and its actual or potential hazard to public health and the environment as set forth below. The site investigation will follow the plans developed in Task 2. Strict chain-of-custody procedures will be followed in the sampling procedures. The site investigation will include the following:

## A. Additional Hydrogeologic Investigations

The hydrogeologic investigation shall include (with details to be provided in the Work Plan):

#### 1. Aquifer Unit.

- a. Wells
  - (1) Location. Three new monitoring wells will be drilled and installed. They will be designated MW-S, MW-U, and MW-Y. MW-S will be located on the southwestern edge of the landfill. MW-U will be installed in the vicinity of MW-P and MW-Q. MW-Y will be installed in the vicinity of MW-M close to the landfill boundary and between MW-M and the Pellow well. The precise locations will be field located with concurrence by EPA.
  - (2) Completion Criteria.

# Table 1 AOUIFER UNIT WELL COMPLETION CRITERIA

Well No.	Estimated Depth (ft)	Drilling <u>Method</u>	Borehole Diameter at Completion Depth (in.)
MW-S	100	air rotary	6
MW-U .	200 <u>+</u>	air rotary	6
MW-Y	150 <u>+</u>	air rotary	6

Note: Wells U and Y will be completed at the base of the glaciofluvial aquifer. Actual depth will vary from the estimated depth due to field conditions.

#### (3) <u>Installation Techniques</u>

For those wells drilled with air rotary method, samples of subsurface materials will be retrieved from the air discharge line at approximately 5-foot intervals for inspection and visual classification. In addition, samples will be collected for inspection and visual classification wherever changed geologic conditions are observed.

All wells will be completed with flush threaded 2-inch diameter Schedule 80 PVC screen assemblies and riser pipe in compliance with proposed regulations for resource protection wells:

Wells will be developed by airlift pumping, surging, or bailing until the water is visibly free of sediment and turbidity.

# (4) <u>Disposal of cuttings</u>, <u>development water</u>, <u>and</u> <u>drilling water</u>.

MW-S, MW-U, and MW-Y. Cuttings created during the drilling of these wells will be disposed of on site.

Drilling and development water will be screened with an HNU meter. Water reading less than 10 ppm will be allowed to infiltrate on-site in an area in which no waste has been placed. Water reading more than 10 ppm will be transported for treatment to the Spokane sewage treatment plant. Any water that is characterized as a hazardous waste under federal law will be handled properly.

#### (5) Surveying.

The vertical elevations of the wells will be surveyed to within 0.01 foot, and the surveyed monitoring well reference points will be marked as notches or permanent marks on the casing. The horizontal locations of the monitoring wells will be surveyed to within five feet on an appropriate horizontal grid.

#### b. Groundwater sampling.

#### (1) Collection.

Groundwater samples will be collected from the new monitoring wells with dedicated pumps and analyzed to evaluate landfill constituents in the groundwater in the vicinity. Groundwater samples will also be collected from domestic wells described in (2)(b).

#### (2) Frequency.

- (a) A round of samples will be collected about two weeks after well installation from the monitoring wells and those "domestic" wells generally sampled quarterly (i.e. those wells depicted on Fig. ES.3 of the Preliminary Feasibility Study except the Harris well).
- (b) The new wells will be sampled according to procedures outlined in the updated sampling and analysis plan.
- (c) Samples will be shipped under chain-of-custody control to an appropriate analytical laboratory for analysis.

#### (3) Parameters.

(a) Field parameters analyzed during the sampling at the time of sample collection will include temperature, pH, and specific conductance.

(b) Laboratory analyses of groundwater samples from the monitoring wells and domestic wells taken in response to this order will include the Washington State Minimum Functional Standards (MFS) parameters listed in the Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-304-490(d), plus lead (Pb), copper (Cu), cadmium (Cd), chloroform, 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA), trichloroethylene (TCE), tetrachloroethylene (PERC), 1,2-trans-dichloroethylene, 1,1-dichloroethane.

#### c. Water level measurements.

A minimum of three sets of water level measurements, each taken within a four hour time span, will be collected from all new and existing monitoring wells, as well as the Bryson Irrigation well if possible. The level of the Spokane River will be measured during this period also.

## 2. Skimmings Unit

#### a. Monitoring well.

#### (1) Location.

One additional monitoring well (MW-W) will be installed immediately southwest of the grease skimmings (scum) pits in the undisturbed area of the landfill. The well location will be jointly determined by technical representatives of EPA and the City of Spokane and will be as close to SB-l as possible except it will not be installed over any waste material in place.

## (2) Completion criteria.

The well will be drilled to a depth of approximately 200 feet (to the first confining layer greater than ten feet thick) with a cable tool drill rig. If groundwater is encountered, the well will be completed with flush threaded 2-inch diameter Schedule 80 PVC well screen assembly and riser pipe in compliance with proposed regulations for resource protection wells. It will be developed by airlift pumping, surging, or bailing until the water is visibly free of sediment and turbidity.

#### (3) Sampling during drilling.

Samples of subsurface materials will be taken every twenty feet as the well is being drilled and at any location at which contamination is visually indicated. The samples will be analyzed in the laboratory for PERC and TCE.

#### (4) Disposal.

Soil cuttings, development water, and purge water will be left on the ground at the well site, but water will not be allowed to infiltrate into waste in place.

#### (5) Survey.

The vertical elevation of the well will be surveyed to within 0.01 foot, and the surveyed monitoring well reference point will be marked with a notch or permanent mark on the casing. The horizontal location of the monitoring well will be surveyed to within five feet on an appropriate horizontal grid.

#### b. Groundwater sampling.

A groundwater sample will be collected from MW-W and analyzed to evaluate the impact of the skimmings unit on the perched zone. The sample will be taken after the well is stabilized. The samples will be analyzed for the parameters listed for the Aquifer unit listed in b.(3) above.

#### 3. Older Refuse Area.

#### a. Monitoring well.

#### (1) Purpose.

One additional monitoring well (MW-X) will be installed immediately southwest of the burned refuse area to determine whether this old disposal area is degrading the quality of a groundwater zone that may exist under this part of the landfill.

#### (2) Location, installation.

The well location will be jointly determined by technical representatives of EPA and the City of Spokane. The well will be drilled to a depth of approximately 200 feet (to the first confining layer greater than ten feet thick) using air rotary drilling techniques.

#### (3) Completion.

If groundwater is encountered, the well will be completed with flush threaded 2-inch diameter Schedule 80 PVC well screen assembly and riser pipe in compliance with proposed regulations for resource protection wells. It will be developed by airlift pumping, surging, or bailing until the water is visibly free of sediment and turbidity. Soil cuttings, development water, and purge water will be left on the ground at the well site.

#### (4) Surveying.

The vertical elevation of the well will be surveyed to within 0.01 foot, and the surveyed reference point will be marked with a notch or permanent mark on the casing. The horizontal location of the monitoring well will be surveyed to within five feet of an appropriate horizontal grid.

#### b. Groundwater sampling.

A groundwater sample will be collected from the new well and analyzed to evaluate the impact of the Old Refuse Area on the groundwater. The samples will be analyzed for the field parameters shown for the Aquifer unit and for the analytical parameters shown for the first sampling event for the Aquifer unit.

#### c. <u>Soil Sampling</u>.

A soil sample for the interface between the soil and the confining layer will be taken. The sample will be analyzed for PERC and TCE.

## 4. <u>Landfill Gas Management Plan</u>.

Data from the ongoing landfill gas management plan will be incorporated into the Final Remedial Investigation Report and the Final Feasibility Study Report as they become available. Any remedial actions required to protect human health from landfill gas migration will be evaluated in the Final Feasibility Study.

#### TASK 3 - REPORTS

10

## A. Final Remedial Investigation Report.

Upon completion of the supplemental characterization efforts for the identified units, a draft Remedial Investigation report will be prepared. This report will incorporate the draft remedial investigation, dated October 1986, and additional information obtained through the supplemental characterization work and the quarterly groundwater monitoring since October 1986. The report will include:

- Well logs and completion diagrams for all new monitoring wells, and boring logs and test pit logs for all borings and test pits investigated since the draft remedial investigation was submitted.
- Revised hydrogeologic profiles identifying the extent of hydrogeologic units in the vicinity.
- Potentiometric surface maps of the Spokane Valley/Rathdrum Prairie Aguifer and any perched aquifer(s).
- Isocontours of selected contaminant concentrations in the aquifers.
- A description of VOC contamination in the skimmings, older refuse, and sludge units based on groundwater and soil sample analysis.

## B. Final Feasibility Study Report.

The preliminary Feasibility Study Report submitted in 1987 will be modified by adding the information generated in the supplemental RI described in this Work Plan and incorporating comments received.

## 1. Monthly Progress Reports.

Monthly progress reports to EPA describing the technical progress of the project will be prepared. These reports shall: describe the actions that have been taken to implement the order during the previous month, include the results of any sampling and tests and any other data received by Spokane pursuant to the order and describe all work scheduled for the next 30 days.

#### APPENDIX B

# REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION AND FEASIBILITY STUDY SCHEDULE

## Project Elements (Deliverables)

<u>Date for Submittal</u> (from Effective Date of Order)

i. management Plan	1.	Management	Plans
--------------------	----	------------	-------

a.	Sampling and Analysis Plan	March	31,	88
	Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)	March		
	Health and Safety Plan	March	31,	88

Progress Reports on Site Investigation 2.

by 10th days of each month during project period.

Meeting to Review FS Report Progress 3.

Draft Remedial Investigation Report 4.

July 1, 88 July 15, 88

Draft Feasibility Study Report 5.

Aug. 15, 88

Final RI 6.

3 wks after receipt of RI comments

Final RI/FS for Public Review . 7.

3 wks after receipt of FS comments