FILED Log Sort

ソUN 2 4 1987

CLERK & STAMP ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE SUPERIOR COURT CLERK THURSTON COUNTY, WASH IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 1--IN AND FOR THURSTON COUNTY 2 87 2 01193 1 STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, No. DE 86-S159 4 Plaintiff. 5 CONSENT DECREE ٧. 6 PENNWALT CORPORATION, INC., 7 Defendant. 8 Table of Contents 9 Page 10 3 11 12 13 20 14 IX. DATA REPORTING/AVAILABILITY, SAMPLING. 21 15 22 16 XIV. ENDANGERMENT 17 25 XVI. AMENDMENT OF DECREE AND MODIFICATION OF WORK PLAN. 18 XVIII. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS. 19 COVENANT NOT TO SUE AND SATISFACTION OF XIX. 20 XX. 32 21 34 XXII. EFFECTIVE DATE . XXIV. 22 ATTACHMENT A - Site ATTACHMENT B - Focused Feasibility Study Tasks KENNETH O EIKENBERRY, ATTORNEY GENERAL Augustant Attorney General

Wa. Telephone

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Consent Decree. There has not been an answer filed, nor has there been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the parties wish to resolve the issues raised by plaintiff's complaint. In addition, the parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is in the public interest and that entry of this Consent Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.
- 2. In signing this Consent Decree, defendant Pennwalt Corporation ("Pennwalt") does not admit and retains the right to controvert any of the factual or legal statements or determinations made herein. Pennwalt does agree, however, to the entry of this Consent Decree and agrees to be bound by its terms.
- 3. This Consent Decree shall not be admissible in any judicial or administrative proceeding as proof of liability or an admission of any fact dealt with herein.
- 4. By entering into this Consent Decree, the parties do not intend to discharge nonsettling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the complaint.
- 5. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Consent Decree, and good cause having been shown:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

Z

_.3

CONSENT DECREE

JURISDICTION

- A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the parties pursuant to RCW 90.48.037 and RCW 70.105.120.
- B. The State of Washington Department of Ecology ("Ecology") asserts authority for this Consent Decree (the "Decree") under the following statutes:

Chapter 90.48 RCW, the Water Pollution Control Act;
Chapter 70.105 RCW, Hazardous Waste Management;
Chapter 70.105A RCW, Hazardous Waste Fees Act;
42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq., Resource Conservation and
Recovery Act (RCRA);

- 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., Comprehensive Environmental
 Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"),
 as amended by the Superfund Amendment and
 Reauthorization Act ("SARA").
- C. The parties reserve their rights to object to the jurisdiction of Ecology under these statutes, but for purposes of entry into and performance under the Decree, agree to perform their obligations under the Decree.
- D. On the basis of the results of the testing and analysis described in the Statement of Facts, <u>infra</u>, and Ecology files and records, Ecology has determined that past practices at a former log sort yard located at 3009 Taylor Way, Tacoma, Washington (the "Site") may have given rise to a release of metals into surface

- water runoff, and that the release may be causing surface water contamination and may continue to cause contamination of surface water. Metals contamination of soils and ground water has not yet been investigated at the Site.
 - E. Ecology has also determined that:
- 1. The following parties are persons potentially responsible for any releases of hazardous substances from the Site, within the meaning of CERCLA and SARA:
- a. Pennwalt Corporation ("Pennwalt") is the current owner of property located on the southern bank of the Hylebos Waterway at 3009 Taylor Way, Tacoma, Washington (the "Site").
- b. Pennwalt purchased the Site in April 1957 from Milwaukee Boom Company, which subsequently merged with Foss Tug & Barge Co.
- c. Balfour Guthrie & Co., Ltd., leased the Site from July 1, 1964 through December 31, 1966 and operated a log sort business on the Site.
- d. Goodwin-Johnson (1960) Ltd., through its subsidiary, Johnson-Byers, Inc., leased the Site from Pennwalt from January 1, 1967 through December 31, 1977 and operated a log sort business on the Site.
- e. West Coast Orient Lumber Mills assumed the lease on October 24, 1977 from Johnson-Byers, Inc. and operated a log sort business on the Site from that date until October 31,

_ 3

1978. However, on August 23, 1978, West Coast Orient Lumber Mills filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy. In 1978, Mitsui U.S.A., a creditor of West Coast Orient -3 Lumber Mills ("W.C.O.L.M."), formed a wholly owned subsidiary, 4 5 named West Coast Lumber Operations Company ("W.C.L.O. Co."). W.C.L.O. Co. took over the lease from W.C.O.L.M. on November 1, 1978 and continued to lease the site through November 1, 1983. 7 W.C.L.O. Co. changed its name to Portac, Inc. on August 15, 1983. 8 Dunlap Towing Company subleased the Site from 9 W.C.L.O. Co. and/or Portac, Inc. from January 1, 1979 through 10 November 1, 1983, and operated a log sort business on the Site. 11 12 q. 13

Echo Lumber Company leased the Site from Pennwalt from August 1, 1984 through September 1986, and operated a log chipping and processing business on the Site.

American Smelting and Refining Co. ("ASARCO") h. generated slag waste material ("ASARCO Slag") which was disposed of on the Site between 1964 and 1986.

- B & L Trucking Company transported ASARCO Slag i. to the Site for disposal between 1964 and 1986.
- L-Bar Products, Inc., or its predecessor(s), handled the ASARCO Slag for ASARCO, had the exclusive right of distribution of the ASARCO Slag, and distributed the ASARCO Slag to the Site through an agreement with B & L Trucking Company.
- Any other generator, transporter, owner or k. operator potentially responsible for contamination of the Site; and

27 CONSENT DECREE

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

l. Any such other responsible parties as may be discovered after additional investigation.

The parties listed above who sign this Decree are jointly referred to hereafter as the Potentially Responsible Parties ("PRPs"). The PRPs shall act as a single entity.

- 2. The actions required to be taken under the Decree are reasonable and necessary to protect the public health and welfare or the environment, and have been determined by Ecology to be consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. pt 300 ("NCP"), and the requirements of CERCLA and SARA, including the requirement that the PRPs' consultants are qualified to conduct the actions required by the Decree. Pennwalt asserts that such actions will be "properly and promptly" carried out.
- 3. The Signing PRPs have agreed to undertake the actions specified in the Decree.

II.

PARTIES BOUND

The Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the Signing PRPs and Ecology, their agents, successors, and assigns, and upon all persons, contractors and consultants acting under or for one or all such parties to conduct the actions required by the Decree. The Signing PRPs agree, jointly and severally, to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of the Decree and not to contest the state's or the court's jurisdiction concerning this Decree.

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

CONSENT DECREE

-7-

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

In agreeing to entry of the Decree, the mutual objective of Ecology and the PRPs is to provide a framework for a remedial investigation and feasibility study (RI/FS) in accordance with the National Contingency Plan, and mitigate to the extent necessary to protect public health and welfare or the environment the release of metals contamination originating from surface water runoff at the Site. To accomplish this objective and to resolve the matter constructively and without litigation, the PRPs intend to be legally bound by the covenants set forth herein, and the PRPs consent to the actions required by the Decree. Ecology understands that consent to performing the actions required by the Decree and its execution hereof do not constitute an admission by any PRP of any allegation, fact, or liability associated with the matters described herein, or of Ecology's authority; and Ecology shall not assert otherwise.

IV.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The site is situated near Commencement Bay, in Tacoma, Washington. The approximate location and boundaries of the Site are depicted in Attachment A to the Decree. The Site consists of a 17.5 acre log sorting yard located on the southern bank of Hylebos Waterway, at 3009 Taylor Way, Tacoma, Washington, 98421. The Site is bounded on the east by Kaiser Ditch and the west by

the East Channel Ditch, both of which empty directly into Hylebos Waterway.

B. The Site is owned by Pennwalt. Pennwalt purchased the property from Milwaukee Boom Co. on April 1, 1957. From July 1, 1964 through December 31, 1966, Balfour Guthrie & Co., Ltd., leased the Site. From January 1, 1967 through December 31, 1977, Goodwin-Johnson (1960) Ltd., through its subsidiary, Johnson-Byers, Inc., leased the west twelve (12) acres of the Site from Pennwalt.

West Coast Orient Lumber Mills assumed the lease on October 24, 1977 from Johnson-Byers, Inc. and operated a log sort business on the Site from that date until October 31, 1978. However, on August 23, 1978, West Coast Orient Lumber Mills filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy.

In 1978, Mitsui U.S.A., a creditor of West Coast Orient Lumber Mills ("W.C.O.L.M."), formed a wholly owned subsidiary, named West Coast Lumber Operations Company ("W.C.L.O. Co."). W.C.L.O. Co. took over the lease from W.C.O.L.M. on November 1, 1978 and continued to lease the site through November 1, 1983. W.C.L.O. Co. changes its name to Portac, Inc. on August 15, 1983.

Dunlap Towing Company subleased the Site from W.C.L.O. Co. and/or Portac, Inc. from January 1, 1979 through November 1, 1983, and operated a log sort business on the Site. Echo Lumber Company leased the property from Pennwalt from August 1, 1984 through September 1986 as a log chipping and processing area.

_ 3

C. Between 1981 and 1985, Ecology conducted studies at and in the vicinity of the Site, the specific purpose of which was to locate the sources of suspected contamination.

1981: Ecology sampled surface water runoff at the Site and found metals contamination levels several orders of magnitude above United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 1976 Quality Criteria for Water: zinc 120,000* ppb; arsenic 140,000 ppb; lead 81,000 ppb; nickel 4,400 ppb; and copper 90,000 ppb.

* Values are for Total Metals analysis

1983-84: Ecology survey (Norton and Johnson, Assessment of Log Sort Yards as Sources of Metals to Commencement Bay Waterways) again found metals in site runoff: zinc 1,425 ppb and 315 ppb, copper 183 ppb and 342 ppb, arsenic 3,800 ppb and 2,680 ppb, and lead 171 ppb and 267 ppb in Site runoff.

The Norton and Johnson study theorized that the cause of the contamination was the use of ASARCO slag as yard ballast. According to this theory, as slag became pulverized by heavy equipment, metals within the slag were mobilized in a combined acidic extraction by woodwaste decomposition products and stormwater.

...3 4

> 5 6

8

9

7

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

Norton and Johnson documented the existence; of at least 1,000 tons of ASARCO slag on the Site.

1985:

The Commencement Bay Nearshore/Tideflats Remedial Investigation (RI) determined sediments at the head of Hylebos Waterway to be one of the most heavily contaminated areas in Commencement Bay. further identified the Site as a significant sort yard contributor of metals to these Hylebos sediments and a potential source of phenol, 4-methylphenol, isopimaradiene, and metals to Kaiser and East Channel ditches.

Both the Ecology (1983-84) survey and the RI documented the potential for significant contaminant migration from the Site to the Hylebos Waterway via ground water discharge. Norton and Johnson estimated 40 percent of precipitation falling on the log sorting yards left the properties as surface water and 60 percent of precipitation entered ground water, mobilizing metals as the water migrated through the slag. Data are not currently available to estimate the magnitude of metals input to the Hylebos Waterway via this route.

Based on the above facts, Ecology has determined the D. release and threat of release of contaminated substances from the Site requires further study and may require remedial measures to protect the public health and welfare or the environment.

V.

WORK TO BE PERFORMED

A. Focused Feasibility Study

- 1. Work Plan for a Focused Feasibility Study. The PRPs have submitted to Ecology a work plan for a Focused Feasibility Study.
- 2. <u>Focused Feasibility Study</u>. Following review and written approval of the work plan by Ecology, the PRPs shall complete the Focused Feasibility Study. The intent of the Focused Feasibility Study shall be to determine a methodology (called an interim remedial alternative) to abate or minimize, to the extent necessary to protect public health or welfare or the environment, the release of metals contamination in surface runoff from the Site. The Focused Feasibility Study shall select and recommend, with justification, the most appropriate remedial alternative (see Attachment B). This Focused Feasibility Study will be carried out consistent with the National Contingency Plan and will be designed to constitute an integral part of the overall Feasibility Study covered in Part V.B.4.
- 3. <u>Selection and Implementation of Approved Interim</u>
 Remedial Alternative.
- (a) Following submission of the Focused

 Feasibility Study Final Report referenced in paragraph V.D.2.a.,

 the PRPs and Ecology shall meet within a reasonable time to

 CONSENT DECREE -11-

discuss this report. If Ecology and the PRPs mutually agree to the recommended remedial alternative, the PRPs shall submit engineering plans and specifications for performance of the recommended remedial alternative. If Ecology and the PRPs do not agree to the recommended remedial alternative, the dispute shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution procedures specified in Part XIII hereof.

(b) Following written approval by Ecology of engineering plans and specifications for implementation, the PRPs shall initiate and complete the work specified in the engineering plans and specifications.

B. Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study:

shall prepare and submit to Ecology a Work Plan for an overall Remedial Investigation ("RI") of the Site, to be carried out consistent with the National Contingency Plan. The Work Plan shall consist of a statement of work and detailed explanation of the standards, procedures, technology, and schedules which the PRPs will implement to conduct a remedial investigation of potential metals contamination of the ground water and soils at the Site. The Work Plan shall also include mechanisms to establish whether phenol, 4-methylphenol, and isopimaradiene are sort yard woodwaste degradation products entering Kaiser and East Channel ditches from the Site. When the Work Plan referenced above is approved by Ecology, it shall become a part of this Decree.

-- 3

2.

3.

4.

- _ 3
 - 4 5
 - 6
- Ī
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

26

27 | CONSENT DECREE

C.

-13-

Remedial Investigation (RI). Following review and

Feasibility Study. Following review and written

The PRPs have prepared and submitted to Ecology for

Ecology shall approve or modify the work plan by

The PRPs shall

written approval of the Work Plan by Ecology, the PRPs shall

complete the RI. The RI shall be conducted in accordance with

applicable federal, state, and local laws, including the National

Contingency Plan and EPA's "Guidance on Remedial Investigations

under CERCLA," EPA/540/G-85-002 (June 1985; or current edition at

the time the work plan is submitted to Ecology for approval).

public health or welfare or the environment.

is submitted to Ecology for approval).

Schedules

2.

Work Plan for Feasibility Study.

submit to Ecology a Work Plan for a Feasibility Study ("FS") to

identify and evaluate remedial alternatives necessary to protect

approval of the Feasibility Study Work Plan by Ecology, the PRPs

shall complete the Feasibility Study. The Feasibility Study will

be conducted in accordance with the applicable federal, state, and

local laws and regulations including the National Contingency Plan

and "EPA's Guidance on Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA," EPA/540/

G-85-002 (June 1985; or current edition at the time the work plan

review and approval a work plan for a Focused Feasibility Study.

June 19, 1987. Provided that by June 19, 1987 the PRPs receive

notice that the work plan is approved or agree to the work plan as

- modified by Ecology, the PRPs shall complete the Focused Feasibility Study no later than September 18, 1987. If Ecology and the PRPs do not agree to a work plan, the dispute shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution procedures specified in Part XIII.
- 3. Ecology and the PRPs shall determine whether they can mutually agree on an interim remedial alternative no later than October 16, 1987. If Ecology and the PRPs do not agree to the recommended remedial alternative, the dispute shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution procedures specified in Part XIII.
- 4. Provided agreement is reached on the interim remedial alternative by October 16, 1987, the PRPs shall submit engineering plans and specifications for the interim remedial alternative to Ecology for review and approval no later than November 27, 1987.
- 5. Ecology shall review, approve, and/or modify the engineering plans and specifications submitted pursuant to Part V.C.4. within twenty (20) working days following their submittal by the PRPs. In the event that agreement cannot be reached, the dispute shall be resolved in accordance with dispute resolution procedure specified in Part XIII.
- 6. Provided agreement is reached on the engineering plans and specifications submitted pursuant to Part V.C.5., the PRPs shall initiate the approved interim remedial alternative

_ 3

- within twenty (20) working days following Ecology's written approval of the engineering plans and specifications.
- 7. The PRPs shall prepare and submit, within forty (40) working days of the entry of this Decree, to Ecology for review and written approval, a work plan for an overall Remedial Investigation (RI). Following approval by Ecology, the PRPs shall complete the work plan in accordance with the schedule set forth in the RI work plan.
- 8. Within forty (40) working days following receipt of written approval of the final RI report, the PRPs shall submit to Ecology a work plan for a feasibility study (FS). Following Ecology's review and written approval of the Feasibility Study work Plan, the PRPs shall complete the FS in accordance with the schedule set forth in the FS work plan.

D. Reporting

1. Progress Reports

The PRP coordinator shall submit to Ecology written quarterly progress reports which describe the actions the PRPs have taken during the previous three months to implement the requirements of this Decree. Progress reports shall also describe generally the activities scheduled to be taken during the next quarter. All progress reports shall be submitted by the fifteenth day of the month following the completed reporting period. The progress reports shall include a detailed statement of the manner and extent to which the requirements and time schedules set out in the

- 3

Decree are being met. Unless otherwise specified, progress reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, and addressed to Ecology's project coordinator.

2. Final Reports

- (a) Subject to Part V.C., the PRPs shall submit a Focused Feasibility Study Final Report to Ecology by September 18, 1987. The report will discuss interrelationships between task findings and surface water hydrology, levels of contamination in surface water, surface water contaminant routes and loading assessments, and an evaluation of interim remedial action alternatives. The report will identify and evaluate potential interim remedial alternatives to mitigate, to the extent necessary to protect public health and welfare or the environment, the release of metals contamination originating from surface water runoff at the Site. The Focused Feasibility Study shall also select and recommend, with justification, the most appropriate interim remedial alternative to mitigate the release of metals contamination from surface water runoff at the Site.
- (b) Subject to Part V.C., the PRPs shall submit a report detailing engineering plans and specifications standards and procedures for the approved interim remedial alternative to Ecology no later than November 27, 1987.
- (c) Within twenty (20) working days following completion of the approved interim remedial alternative, the PRPs

_3

- shall submit to Ecology a final report on the interim remedial alternative.
 - (d) Within forty (40) working days following completion of the RI, and in accordance with the schedule set forth in the RI work plan, the PRPs shall submit to Ecology a final report on the RI.
 - (e) Within forty (40) working days following completion of the FS, and in accordance with the schedule set forth in the FS work plan, the PRPs shall submit a final report on the FS.

E. <u>Incorporation of Submittals</u>

Upon Ecology's approval of the above-referenced Focused Feasibility Study Final Report, interim remedial alternative engineering plans and specifications, and remedial investigation and Feasibility Study Work Plan, they shall become part of this Decree.

F. Maintenance of Containment, Treatment, or Monitoring Systems

The PRPs shall maintain the integrity of any containment system, treatment system, or monitoring system which is required pursuant to this Decree.

G. <u>Delays by Ecology</u>

In the event of any delay by Ecology in meeting its deadlines under this Decree, the deadlines for performance of all subsequent work by Pennwalt that is dependent upon Ecology's meeting its

- 3

deadline shall be extended by a period equivalent to the period of Ecology's delay.

VI.

DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

On or before the entry of this Decree, Ecology and the PRPs (collectively) shall each designate one project coordinator. The project coordinators shall be responsible for overseeing implementation of this Decree. The Ecology project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative for the Site. All communications between the PRPs and Ecology and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Decree, shall be directed through the project coordinators.

Ecology hereby designates Fran Stefan as its project coordinator. Her address is:

Fran Stefan Commencement Bay Contaminated Site Manager Southwest Regional Office Washington State Department of Ecology 7272 Cleanwater Lane, LU-11 Olympia, Washington 98504-6811

The PRPs hereby designate Sam Balamoun as their project coordinator.

Either party may change its respective project coordinator by notifying the other party, in writing, at least ten (10) working days prior to the change.

25

2

__3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

2

-- 3 4

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 26

27 | CONSENT DECREE

ACCESS

Subject to the provisions of Part XI, Ecology or any Ecologyauthorized representative shall have the authority, at all reasonable times, and after registering in writing at the Pennwalt plant office front desk at 2901 Taylor Way, Tacoma, to enter and freely move about the Site for the purpose of inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the performance of work on the Site; reviewing the progress and carrying out the terms of the Decree; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary-type equipment to record work done pursuant to the Work Plan; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by the PRPs. shall split any samples taken by Ecology during an inspection unless Pennwalt fails to make available a Pennwalt representative for the purpose of splitting such samples. The PRPs shall permit such persons to inspect and copy all records, files, photographs, documents, and other writings (including all sampling and monitoring data) that are on the Site and are in any way related to work undertaken pursuant to the Decree.

Pennwalt's consent to access under this part does not grant access to any property or items located outside the boundaries of the Site. If Pennwalt leases the Site, Ecology will take any reasonable steps necessary to minimize disruption of the use of the site by Pennwalt's lessee(s).

_3

- |

CONSENT DECREE

PERFORMANCE

All work performed pursuant to the Decree shall be under the direction and supervision, as necessary, of a qualified professional engineer(s), certified hydrogeologist(s), or person(s) with equivalent expertise or experience, who have been designated by the PRPs. The PRP coordinator shall identify such engineer(s), hydrogeologist(s), or other such persons used in carrying out the terms of this Decree to Ecology, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

IX.

DATA REPORTING/AVAILABILITY, SAMPLING

The PRPs shall submit reports summarizing the data collected during implementation of the studies required in Part V above to Ecology's project coordinator. The PRPs shall submit these results in progress reports, as described in Part V of the Decree.

The PRPs shall, upon request, make available to Ecology all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results that are generated by the PRPs or on their behalf as required by the Decree, and that have been received by the PRPs. Ecology shall make available to the PRPs the results of any sampling and/or tests or other data generated by Ecology relating to the Decree.

The PRPs shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Ecology and/or its authorized representatives of any samples required by the Decree. The PRPs shall notify Ecology five (5) working days prior to any ground water and soil sampling and one (1) working day prior to any surface water, storm water, or woodwaste sampling. Ecology shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by the PRPs and/or their authorized representatives of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Subject to the access provisions in Part VII herein, Ecology shall notify the PRPs five (5) working days prior to any ground water and soil sampling and one (1) working day prior to any surface water, stormwater, or woodwaste sampling.

х.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The PRPs may assert that documents or information provided pursuant to the Decree are confidential, if appropriate, pursuant to RCW 43.21A.160. Such an assertion shall be adequately substantiated when the confidentiality claim is made. Analytical data shall not be claimed as confidential. All information submitted by or on behalf of the PRPs to Ecology with a claim of confidentiality shall be treated as confidential and not made available to the public until after Ecology has made a final determination regarding the claim of confidentiality and notified Pennwalt in writing of such determination and, if the PRPs appeal the determination, until after all appeals have been exhausted. If no such claim accompanies the information when it is submitted to Ecology, it may be made available to the public by Ecology without further notice to Pennwalt.

CONSENT DECREE

_ 3

RETENTION OF RECORDS

-3

CONSENT DECREE

Unless otherwise required by law, the PRPs shall preserve, during the pendency of the Decree and for six (6) years from the date of entry of the Decree, all records, reports, documents, and underlying data generated by their employees, agents, or contractors and required by the Decree, despite any corporate document retention policy to the contrary. If such reports, documents and underlying data are not in archives, the PRPs shall, upon request from Ecology, make them available to Ecology within two (2) working days and allow access for review. If such reports, documents, and underlying data are in archives, they shall be made available to Ecology within a reasonable period of time.

XII.

INDEMNIFICATION

Each PRP individually agrees to indemnify and save and hold Ecology, its agents and employees harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of said PRP, its officers and employees, in entering into and implementing the Decree; provided, however, that the PRPs shall not indemnify Ecology or save or hold its agents or employees harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of the acts or omissions of Ecology, or its agents or employees, in implementing the activities pursuant to the Decree.

RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

Ecology notice of disapproval, proposed modification, or decision

made pursuant to this Decree within five (5) working days of the

object to any Ecology notice of disapproval, proposed modifi-

cation, or decision made pursuant to this Decree, the PRP coordi-

nator shall notify Ecology in writing of the objections within

of such disapproval, proposed modification, or decision.

fifteen (15) working days of receipt of notification from Ecology

after, the parties shall confer to resolve the dispute. If agree-

receipt by Ecology of any such objection, Ecology shall provide a

written statement of decision. If Ecology's final written deci-

sion is unacceptable to the PRPs, they have the right to submit

the dispute to the Court for resolution. Ecology and the PRPs

agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and

such judge shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under

dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to

the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is

Ecology and the PRPs agree to only utilize the

ment cannot be reached within fifteen (15) working days after

coordinator's receipt of the same from Ecology.

The PRP coordinator shall notify each PRP, in writing, of any

If the PRPs

1

2

-3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

used.

24

25

26

27

CONSENT DECREE

the Decree.

2

-3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CONSENT DECREE

ENDANGERMENT

If Ecology determines or concurs in a determination by another local, state, or federal agency that activities implementing or in noncompliance with the Decree, or any other circumstances or activities, are creating or have the potential to create a danger to the health or welfare of the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Ecology may order the PRPs to stop further implementation of the Decree for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition this Court for an order pursuant to Section XIII of this Decree. During any stoppage of work under this part, PRPs' obligations with respect to the work ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Part XV of the Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances, but at a minimum no less than the time period during which work under the Decree is suspended.

of the Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating an imminent and substantial endangerment to the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, the PRPs may stop implementation of the Decree for such periods of time necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine

whether the PRPs should proceed with implementation of the Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. The PRPs shall notify either Ecology field personnel on-site or the Ecology project manager as soon as is possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage, and provide Ecology with documentation of its analysis in reaching this determination. If Ecology disagrees with the PRPs' determination, it may require the PRPs to resume implementation of the If Ecology concurs in the work stoppage, the PRPs' Decree. obligations shall be suspended and the time periods for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Part XV of the Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances, but at a minimum no less than the time period during which work under the Decree is suspended.

Any disagreements pursuant to this part shall be resolved through the dispute resolution procedures. Unless otherwise specified, all other notification of Ecology pursuant to the Decree shall be made to Ecology's project coordinator.

XV.

EXTENSIONS OF SCHEDULES

A. Extensions shall be granted only when requests for extensions are submitted in a timely fashion and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in

26

27

1 |

2

_ 3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- writing. The request shall specify the reason(s) the extension is needed. Extensions shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology in writing. Ecology shall act upon all written requests for extension in a timely fashion.
- B. The burden shall be on the PRPs to demonstrate that the request for the extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause may include, but not be limited to, the following:
- 1. Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of the PRPs, including delays of any kind caused by unrelated third parties or by Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by the PRPs;
- Delays which are directly attributable to any changes in permit terms or conditions or refusal to grant a permit needed to implement the requirements of this Decree, if the PRPs filed a timely application for the necessary permit;
- 3. Acts of God, fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, work slowdown, or stoppage, strikes, extraordinary and unanticipated delay or default of third parties under contract with the PRPs with respect to the obligations undertaken hereunder, or other unavoidable casualty; and

- 3

- 1 | 4. Endangerment as described in Part XIV.
 - However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of the Decree nor changed economic circumstances may be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the PRPs.
 - C. In addition, Ecology may extend the time schedules contained in the Decree if an extension is needed as a result of:
 - 1. Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was timely applied for; or
 - 2. Judicial review of the issuance, non-issuance, or reissuance of a necessary permit; or
 - 3. Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology; or
 - 4. Endangerment as described in Part XIV.

Ecology shall give the PRPs written notice in a timely fashion of any extensions granted pursuant to the Decree.

XVI.

AMENDMENT OF DECREE AND MODIFICATION OF WORK PLAN

- A. The Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation between Ecology and the PRPs that is entered by the Court.

 Agreement to amend shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party to the Decree.
- B. The PRP coordinator shall submit any request for modifications to the work plans to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval within ten (10) days after the request for modification is received. Reasons for any

26

25

_3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CONSENT DECREE

-27-

disapproval shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree with any of the proposed modifications, the disagreement shall be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Part XIII of the Decree.

Ecology shall notify the PRP coordinator in writing of any Ecology request for modifications to the work plans and the basis for such request. If the PRPs do not agree with the requested modifications, the disagreement shall be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Part XIII of the Decree.

XVII.

STIPULATED PENALTIES

- A. For each day the PRPs fail to make a submittal to Ecology under the Decree, or fail to comply with any time schedule contained in this Decree, or any other time schedule approved by Ecology pursuant to this Decree, or otherwise fail to comply with the Decree, it is stipulated and agreed that the PRPs, collectively, shall, at Ecology's discretion, be obligated to pay a civil penalty into the General Fund of the Treasury of the State of Washington, of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) per day of such failure. Allocation among the PRPs of any penalty assessed under this part shall be made by the PRPs.
- B. The PRPs shall not be liable for payment under this part if any PRP or the PRP coordinator has submitted to Ecology a timely request for an extension of schedules under Part XVI of this Decree, and such request has been granted.

CONSENT DECREE

-28-

_ 3

- 1 Upon determination by Ecology that the PRPs have failed C. 2 to comply with the Decree, Ecology shall immediately give written notice to the PRP coordinator of the failure, specifying the - 3 provision of the Decree which has not been complied with and 4 specifying the amount of the civil penalty due pursuant to 6 Sub-Part A above. The PRPs shall pay the civil penalty within sixty (60) days of receipt of notification from Ecology that 8 payment is due.
 - D. Payments required by this part shall accrue from the date on which submittal was to have been made. Payments required by this part shall cease to accrue when the PRPs deliver the required submittal to Ecology.
 - E. Any disagreement over the factual basis for issuance of a penalty under this section shall be resolved through the Decree's dispute resolution clause. Any penalty issued pursuant to this section shall not be appealable to the Pollution Control Hearings Board.

XVIII.

COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

All actions carried out by the PRPs pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits.

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

- 3

COVENANT NOT TO SUE AND SATISFACTION OF THE DECREE

The provisions of this Decree shall be deemed satisfied upon PRPs' receipt of written certification from Ecology that the program outlined in this Decree, as amended by any modifications made pursuant to Part XVIII of this Decree, has been completed. Ecology's failure to certify completion is subject to the provisions of Part XIII. Upon successful termination and satisfaction of the requirements of this Decree, the work completed shall be characterized by Ecology as being consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 CFR Part 300.68, and such certification by Ecology shall be a part of this Decree.

In consideration of the PRPs' performance of the terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology agrees that during the period of performance of the terms and conditions of this Decree compliance with this Decree shall stand in lieu of any and all administrative, legal, and equitable remedies available to Ecology to respond to any release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site. Nothing in this Decree shall preclude Ecology from exercising any administrative, legal, or equitable remedies available to it to require, during the time period covered by this Decree, additional actions by the PRPs to be taken to remedy the following circumstances: (1) the presence of hazardous substances not evident on the effective date of this Decree; or (2) a threat or potential threat to the public health and welfare or the environment not evident on the effective date of this Decree.

Ecology reserves the right to bring an action against the PRPs pursuant to chapter 70.105A RCW and section 107 of 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq., for recovery of all response costs incurred by Ecology in responding to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from the Site, and in performing all response measures, including oversight costs, that, in the view of Ecology, are associated with conditions at the Site.

Nothing in this Decree shall preclude Ecology from asserting a cross-claim, third-party claim, counterclaim, or contribution claim against the PRPs in the event that Ecology is sued over any matter related to the Site.

Nothing herein shall waive Ecology's right to enforce this Decree under chapter 90.48 RCW or any and all other applicable state and federal laws. Nothing herein shall waive Ecology's right to take any action authorized by chapter 90.48 RCW or any and all other applicable state and federal laws should the PRPs fail to maintain compliance with this Decree.

XX.

TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

Prior to transfer of any legal or equitable interest in all or any portion of the property, Pennwalt shall serve a copy of this Decree upon any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in interest of the property and, at least five (5) working days prior to any transfer, shall notify Ecology of said contemplated transfer. In the event Pennwalt

shall transfer possession of the property to any such purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in interest, the terms and provisions of the Decree shall become binding upon such purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or successor in interest. Within thirty (30) days after entry of the Decree, Pennwalt Corporation shall cause to be recorded in the appropriate registry of deeds a notice and a copy of this Decree with the deeds for its property, and shall verify to Ecology that such recording has been completed.

XXI.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The execution and performance of this Decree is not an admission by any of the PRPs of liability on any issue dealt with in the Decree. Each PRP's performance under the Decree is undertaken without waiver of or prejudice to any claims or defenses whatsoever that may be asserted in the event of further litigation relating to the Site. The execution of or the performance of this Decree is not an agreement by any PRP to take any action at the site, other than those actions required by this Decree, or to undertake any action recommended in any report, other than the focused feasibility study, submitted pursuant to this Decree.

Nothing in this Decree shall constitute or be construed as a release from any claim, cause of action, or demand in law or equity which may be asserted against any person, firm, partnership, or corporation not a signatory to the Decree for any

-- 3

1 | liability it may have to any PRP arising out of or relating in any way to (1) the generation, storage, treatment, handling, transportation, release, or disposal of any contaminants found at, taken to, or taken from the Site; (2) any costs or damages arising out of compliance with this Decree; or (3) any other costs or damages whatsoever.

Nothing in the Decree shall waive or restrict any right of contribution or indemnity that any PRP may have against any other PRP or other responsible party for costs incurred in connection with the work required by this Decree at the Site.

The PRPs expressly reserve all rights and defenses they may have with respect to any claims or causes of action whatsoever relating to the Site, except the right to contest the obligations the PRPs have agreed to in the Decree. The PRPs expressly reserve the right to claim that no harm has been or will be caused by the presence of any of the chemical substances described in the Decree.

Ecology reserves its rights to institute response activities and subsequently pursue appropriate cost recovery and/or Ecology reserves the right to issue orders or penalties to the PRPs pursuant to available statutory authority, upon the occurrence or discovery of a situation as to which Ecology would be empowered to take any further response action including but not limited to an immediate removal, planned removal, and/or interim remedial action; or in the event of a release or threatened release not

26

27

2

_3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

addressed by this Decree; or upon the determination that action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment that may be posed by this facility; or under any other circumstances authorized by law. Ecology shall not penalize the PRPs for any action or failure to act for which the PRPs have been penalized under paragraph XVII. Pennwalt reserves the right to contest any action taken pursuant to this Decree.

XXII.

NOTICE TO PRPs

All communications from Ecology to the PRPs required under this Decree, including but not limited to reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Decree, shall be made to the following:

- Pennwalt Corp.
 c/o Mr. Samuel B. Balamoun
 900 First Avenue
 King of Prussia, PA 19406
- Pennwalt Corporation
 Inorganic Chemical Division
 P.O. Box 1297
 Tacoma, WA 98401

XXIV.

EFFECTIVE DATE

This Decree shall be effective upon the date that the Decree is entered.

25

2

- 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

1	BY THEIR SIGNATURES HEREON, THE UNDERSIGNED REPRESENT THAT THEY
2	HAVE AUTHORITY TO BIND THE PARTIES THEY REPRESENT, THEIR AGENTS,
_3	CONTRACTORS, AND SUBSIDIARIES.
4	
5	IT IS SO AGREED AND ORDERED.
6	
7	PENNWALT CORPORATION, INC. STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
9	DM. low Gardala
10	By My Multiple By Many Williaman
11	Its VM Menden Its Mar // (Mar)
12	Date Date
13	DATED this June, 1987.
14	Jan Da Cartel
15	JUDGE
16	
17	.01/
18	COFY
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

CONSENT DECREE