# Western Port Angeles Harbor Agreed Order



Rebecca Lawson, P.E., LHG, Section Manager TCP-SWRO Project Team: Connie Groven, EIT; Pete Striplin, Sediment Specialist; Diana Smith, Public Involvement March 28, 2013

### **Presentation Overview**

- Harbor background
- Responsible parties (PLPs)
- Draft Agreed Order
- Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Work Plan
  - RI approach
  - FS approach
- Public comment period schedule

### **Port Angeles Harbor Cleanup & Restoration Timeline**



# **Components of Harbor Cleanup**



# Port Angeles Harbor Sediments Investigation



# **Areas of Sediment Contamination**



# **Dioxins/Furans by Source Type**



L Delwiche, 2011

# Western Port Angeles Harbor



## Western Port Angeles Harbor Site

- Separate cleanup site
- Five Potentially Liable Persons (PLPs) signing agreed order
  - Georgia Pacific
  - Nippon
  - Merrill & Ring
  - Port of Port Angeles
  - City of Port Angeles

### **Agreed Order**

- Requires PLPs to complete a remedial investigation (RI) and feasibility study (FS)
  - Sampling and Analysis Plan
  - Collect supplemental data
  - Supplemental data collection technical memorandum
  - Agency and public review draft RI/FS reports
- Quarterly progress reports

## Schedule

Submittal/Action	Enforceable Schedule in Agreed Order	Anticipated Date
Sampling and Analysis Plan	Due on the day the Agreed Order is final	May 2013
Fieldwork		June/July 2013
Supplemental Data Report	Due 75 days after receiving data	October 2013
Agency Review RI/FS Report	Due 180 days after receiving data	January 2014
Public Review RI/FS Report	Due 90 days after receiving Ecology's comments	June 2014
Public comment period		August/September 2014
Final RI/FS Report	Due 60 days after receiving revisions from Ecology	December 2014

## **RI/FS Work Plan Overview**

- Attached to agreed order
- Collaboratively developed
- Describes the investigation
- Relies on existing data with focused additional sampling
- Addresses data quality objectives
- Defines sampling needs
- Outlines contents of the RI/FS report

# **RI Approach**

### Collect data to fill data gaps

Develop sampling and analysis plan
Field work

### • Prepare RI report containing:

- General site information
- Site conditions
- Location of sediment contamination
- Movement of sediment
- Sediment sources
- Human health and ecological risk
- Preliminary cleanup levels and boundaries

## **RI/FS Work Plan: Data Quality Objectives**

Step 1: State the problem

Step 2: Identify the goal of the study

Step 3: Identify information needed

Step 4: Define the boundaries of the study

Step 5: Develop the analytic approach

Step 6: Specify the performance or acceptance criteria

Step 7: Develop the plan for obtaining the data

Step 1: The Problem:

How are contaminants and wood debris affecting plants, animals, and living conditions on the harbor floor?

•Previous bioassays have possible false positives results

Not all locations have bioassays and chemistry

•Wood debris may affect habitat

### Step 7: The Plan:

Larval bioassay retests with resuspension method
Collect bioassays and chemistry at stations with gaps
Sediment Profile Imaging

# **Bioassay Stations**



# **Sediment Profile Imaging (SPI) Locations**

Port Angeles

KP06A

Ediz Hook

SPI0/

Ediz Hook



#### SPI Only Station - Proposed

SPI and Full Suite Bioassay Station - Proposed

NPI-L1

02A-LA02A-01

WP.11.80

- O SPI and Larval Bioassay Re-test Station
- SAIC 1999 Woodwaste Study SPI Station

#### GeoSea 2009 Woodwaste Extent (Approximate)

Low and Medium<sup>1</sup> Medium and High<sup>1</sup>

 
 1 The categories "Low and Medium" and "Medium and High" were determined by Ecology and reflect their interpretation of the GeoSea data.

 Ecology and reflect their interpretation of the GeoSea data.

 SAIC = Science Applications International Corporation.

 SPI = Sediment profile image

 Ortholmagery provided by ArcGIS World Imagery.

 0
 1,000
 2,000
 4,000

Scale in Feet

Step 1: The Problem:

How is contamination affecting people who eat marine plants and animals from the harbor?

•A human health risk assessment has been completed.

•Is the risk higher than the risk from background levels?

Step 7: The Plan:

•Human health risk may be reevaluated based on new data.

•Ecology will be determining regional background contaminant levels.

### Step 1: The Problem:

How is contamination affecting plants and animals who living in the harbor?

•An ecological risk assessment has been completed.

•Does the new data change conclusions?

Step 7: The Plan:

•Ecological risk may be reevaluated based on new data.

### Step 1: The Problem:

Is anything continuing to contaminate harbor sediments?Ongoing sources need to be identified

### Step 7: The Plan:

Compile new data with existing data from literature, previous sediment reports, upland reports, and Ecology's Water Quality Program
If ongoing sources are identified, Ecology will address

them separately.

# **Feasibility Study Approach**

- Develops and evaluates cleanup options
- Considers cost, technical feasibility, and environmental impact
- Considers multiple lines of evidence
  - Sediment and tissue chemistry
  - Bioassays
  - Habitat condition (SPI)
  - Additional lines of evidence

Step 1: The Problem:

Are there areas of the harbor where contamination is more likely to affect marine plants, animals, and the people who eat them?

•Information on how contamination builds up in the tissue of living things will help focus the cleanup on high priority areas.

Step 7: The Plan:

Collect additional lines of evidence including:

- Bioaccumulation testing
- •Black carbon analysis

Porewater using solid phase microextraction (SPME)

# **Bioaccumulation Sampling Locations**



# Work Plan Summary

The Work Plan:

- Defines steps for completing the RI/FS
- Builds on extensive existing data
- Identifies data needed to fill data gaps
- Includes some additional testing that may help prioritize cleanup efforts
- Includes a schedule for completing each step

### **Documents for comment**

- Agreed Order—Requires the PLPs to do:
  - A remedial investigation, which describes the nature and extent of contamination
  - A feasibility study, which evaluates cleanup options
  - A remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) report
- **RI/FS Work Plan**—Describes how the PLPs will investigate the site and evaluate cleanup options
- **Public Participation Plan**—Describes tools Ecology will use to inform the public about, and gather input on, the cleanup

# **Public Comment Period**

- Comment period March 20 April 18
- Ecology will review and respond to comments late April – early May
- Documents will be revised if needed
- PLPs and Ecology will sign agreed order

### **Next Steps**

- PLPs will submit a sampling and analysis plan.
- PLPs will do sampling in summer 2013.
- PLPs will develop and submit the RI/FS report.
- A cleanup plan will be implemented under a separate legal agreement.

# **Components of Harbor Cleanup**



# **Questions ?**