

One Concord Center 2300 Clayton Road, Suite 610 Concord, CA 94520

925.688.1200 PHONE 925.688.0388 FAX

www.TRCsolutions.com

April 30, 2013

Mr. Norm Hepner Toxics Cleanup Program - CRO State of Washington – Department of Ecology 15 W. Yakima Avenue, Suite 200 Yakima, Washington 98902-3152

RE: Second Semi-Annual 2012 Groundwater Monitoring Report John Michael Lease Site Adjacent to 5640 Sunset Highway, Cashmere, Washington BNSF File No: WACAS-05-001 Facility/Site No.: 3154383 Cleanup Site No.: 2149 VCP Project No.: CE0278

Dear Mr. Hepner:

On behalf of the BNSF Railway Company (BNSF), TRC is pleased to provide this Second Semi-Annual 2012 Groundwater Monitoring Report documenting the groundwater monitoring activities completed in September and December 2012 at the John Michael Lease Site located in Cashmere, Chelan County, Washington.

Please give me a call if you have any questions regarding this submittal.

Sincerely,

North Woodle

Keith Woodburne, LG Senior Project Manager

cc: Scott MacDonald, BNSF Kristin, Darnell, Farallon



SECOND SEMI-ANNUAL 2012 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

BNSF John Michael Lease Site Cashmere, Washington

Prepared for:

BNSF Railway Company 2454 Occidental Avenue South, Suite 1A Seattle, Washington 98134

Prepared by:

TRC

April 2013



SECOND SEMI-ANNUAL 2012 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

April 30, 2013

BNSF John Michael Lease Site Cashmere, Washington

TRC Project No. 196947

Prepared For:

BNSF Railway Company 2454 Occidental Avenue South, Suite 1A Seattle, Washington 98134

By:

Brandon Reed Staff Engineer

Keith Woodburne L.G. Senior Project Manager



TRC One Concord Center 2300 Clayton Road, Suite 610 Concord, California (925) 688-1200

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1
2.0	GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES1
3.0	GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS 2
	3.1 Groundwater Elevations 2
	3.2 Constituents of Potential Concern 2
	3.3 Natural Attenuation and Water Quality Parameters 2
4.0	RECOMMENDATIONS
5.0	REFERENCES

LIST OF FIGURES

- 1 Site Vicinity Map
- 2 Site Plan
- 3 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map September 2012
- 4 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map December 2012
- 5 Groundwater Analytical Results September and December 2012

LIST OF TABLES

- 1 Summary of Groundwater Elevation Data
- 2 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results TPH and BTEX
- 3 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
- 4 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
- 5 Summary of Natural Attenuation and Water Quality Parameter Results
- 6 Summary of Molecular Biology Results

LIST OF APPENDICES

A Laboratory Reports and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This second semi-annual groundwater monitoring report has been prepared on behalf of BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) to document the results of the groundwater monitoring conducted by TRC and their subcontractor Farallon Consulting, L.L.C. (Farallon) at the John Michael Lease Property located adjacent to 5640 Sunset Highway in Cashmere, Chelan County, Washington (herein referred to as the Site, Figure 1). The groundwater monitoring events were completed September 12, 2012 and December 12, 2012 in accordance with Chapter 173-350-500 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC 173-350-500).

The purpose of the groundwater monitoring event was to evaluate the nature and extent of hazardous substances detected above the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Regulation for groundwater. The hazardous substances detected in groundwater at the Site during previous investigations, and collectively referred to herein as the constituents of potential concern (COPCs), include:

- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel-range organics (DRO), oil-range organics (ORO), and as gasoline-range organics (GRO);
- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX compounds);
- Carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs); and
- Naphthalene.

The results from the initial groundwater investigations in 2004 (EMR, 2005) and in 2008 (Farallon, 2009) showed that TPHs and BTEX compounds were detected in Site groundwater. However, only DRO, ORO, benzene the cPAH benzo(a)pyrene were reported at concentrations above the MTCA Method A cleanup levels during those initial investigations. The results from the groundwater samples collected during the September and December 2012 monitoring events show that COPCs in Site groundwater are not present at concentrations above the MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater.

2.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING ACTIVITIES

On September 25, 2012 and December 11, 2012, Farallon recorded groundwater elevations and collected groundwater samples from the four Site monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4). During sample collection, Farallon recorded the dissolved oxygen levels, pH, temperature, conductivity and oxidation reduction potential (ORP) using a YSI multimeter and flow-through cell after parameter stabilization. The groundwater samples were submitted to ESC Lab Sciences of Mt. Juliet, Tennessee for analysis of the following COPCs and natural attenuation and water quality parameters:

- DRO by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx;
- ORO, GRO, and BTEX by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx;
- Non-carcinogenic PAHs and carcinogenic PAHs (cPAHs) by EPA Method 8270C-S;
- Nitrate and Sulfate by EPA Method 9056;
- Free carbon dioxide by Standard Method (SM) 4500C;
- Ferrous iron by SM 3500-Fe;
- Sulfide by SM 4500-S2; and
- Iron and dissolved iron by EPA 6000/7000 Series Method.

3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS

3.1 Groundwater Elevations

Groundwater elevations were consistent from August 2008 to December 2012, with little variation in depth to water between events (Table 1). Groundwater flow direction for September and December 2012 were both analogous with flow direction generally eastward towards the Wenatchee River (Figures 3 and 4). Additionally, groundwater gradient remained stable between September and December 2012, at approximately 0.014 feet per foot (ft/ft).

3.2 Constituents of Potential Concern

DRO concentrations in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4, during the September 2012, and December 2012 monitoring events were primarily below laboratory reporting limits (Table 2, Figure 5). During the December 2012 monitoring event, monitoring well MW-1 had a reported detection of DRO at a concentration of 200 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), less than the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 500 μ g/L.

Concentrations of ORO in groundwater samples collected from the Site monitoring wells during the September 2012 and December 2012 monitoring events were below the laboratory reporting limit (Table 2, Figure 5). During the December 2012 monitoring event, ORO was reported in wells MW-1 and MW-4 at estimated concentrations of $150 \mu g/L$ and $170 \mu g/L$, respectively.

Concentrations of GRO and BTEX compounds were below their respective laboratory reporting limits in the samples collected during the September 2012 and December 2012 monitoring events (Table 2, Figure 5).

No cPAHs were detected at concentrations above their laboratory reporting limits in any of the samples collected during the September 2012 and December 2012 monitoring events (Table 3). There were no detections for cPAHs therefore the Total cPAH TEQ values remain the same at a concentration of $0.038 \ \mu g/L$ (Table 3, Figure 5).

Only one of the non-carcinogenic PAHs analyzed was detected at a result above the laboratory reporting limit during the September 2012 and December 2012 monitoring events. In well MW-1 during the December 2012 monitoring event, 1-methyl naphthalene was at a concentration of 0.31 μ g/L, well below the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 1.5 μ g/L. The remainder of the non-carcinogenic PAHs were below their respective laboratory reporting limits during the September 2012 and December 2012 monitoring events.

3.3 Natural Attenuation and Water Quality Parameters

Natural attenuation is a remediation process that relies on naturally occurring destructive processes (i.e., biodegradation and abiotic degradation) or non-destructive processes (i.e., advection, diffusion sorption, dilution, and volatilization) for the reduction of contaminant mass. Biodegradation is typically the most prevalent destructive mechanism for the natural attenuation of petroleum hydrocarbons and is facilitated via biological oxidation, where electron donors, electron acceptors, and nutrients are combined by microorganisms to produce metabolic by-products and energy for microbial growth. Petroleum hydrocarbons biodegrade naturally when an indigenous population of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms is present in the aquifer and sufficient concentrations of electron acceptors and nutrients are available. Biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons can occur under aerobic or anaerobic conditions (i.e., in the presence or absence of dissolved oxygen), where hydrocarbons may be used by microbes as an electron donor in both degradation pathways.

Microbial metabolic processes generate energy via oxidation of the electron donor and reduction of the electron acceptor. Aerobic degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons occurs when dissolved oxygen (DO) is used as a terminal electron acceptor by hydrocarbon-degrading microbes that respire aerobically. Reduction of molecular oxygen is the most energetically favorable oxidation-reduction reaction involved in petroleum hydrocarbon degradation.

Analytical and field monitoring data collected at the Site suggest that site conditions are naturally more aerobic, with DO concentrations in groundwater generally in excess of 1 milligram per liter (mg/L) (Table 5). Positive oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) values, ranging from 67.8 to 276.1 mg/L further imply aerobic site conditions (Table 5). Groundwater pH and temperature measurements were within a range deemed adequate for hydrocarbon-degrading microbial populations (Table 5).

To further evaluate the potential for biodegradation, and to quantify the microbial populations present at the Site, BNSF deployed two Bio-Trap[®] samplers in wells MW-1 and MW-2. The Bio-Trap[®] samplers were deployed on September 25, 2012 and retrieved on November 1, 2012 and shipped to Microbial Insights for phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis.

PLFA is a primary component of all microbial membranes; however, some microorganisms produce specific PLFA biomarkers, which enable microbial populations to be classified into specific structural groups. In both samples, Proteobacteria was identified as the most prominent structural group, accounting for 74.08% and 64.55% of the total PLFA population in wells MW-1 and MW-2, respectively (Table 6). A variety of both aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms are classified by the Proteobacteria grouping, including the majority of microbial species capable of degrading hydrocarbons. Proteobacteria are typically characterized as fast-growing, quickly adaptable to a variety of environments, and able to utilize a range of carbon sources.

4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Concentrations of COPCs in Site groundwater have been below the MTCA Method A Cleanup levels for groundwater since groundwater monitoring has begun, with only two historical exceptions. The results from the initial groundwater investigations in 2004 (EMR, 2005) and in 2008 (Farallon, 2009) showed that TPHs (DRO and ORO) and BTEX compounds were present in Site groundwater at concentrations above their respective laboratory reporting limits. However, only DRO, ORO, benzene, and the cPAH benzo(a)pyrene were reported at concentrations above the MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater during those initial investigations.

The initial, post-installation sample collected from MW-1 during the August 2008 subsurface investigation (Farallon, 2009) had a reported DRO concentration of 1,110 μ g/L. Additionally, ORO and BTEX compounds were detected in that initial sample at elevated concentrations (Table 2, Figure 5). Groundwater samples collected a relatively short time following well installation and development are often not representative of true groundwater conditions.

Consistently low results for all COPCs were reported for all Site wells during the September and December 2012 monitoring events with no reported results above the MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater. Furthermore, cPAHs and benzene results were below the MTCA Method B surface water cleanup levels for carcinogensⁱ.

Based on the 2012 groundwater data, BNSF does not consider the initial 2008 groundwater results representative of groundwater conditions at the Site. Furthermore, the 2012 monitoring data demonstrate that the low to non-detect concentrations of COPCs in Site groundwater do not pose a

threat to surface waters of the Wenatchee River. Finally, the 2012 monitoring data suggests that any remaining COPCs in groundwater will likely degrade under natural conditions.

5.0 **REFERENCES**

- EMR, Inc. (EMR), 2005. Letter Report Regarding Phase II Assessment Report Leased Property No.: 40,250,477, John Michael, Cashmere, Chelan County, Washington. From Andrea Schiller, Staff Geologist and Jeremy Raye, Environmental Manager. To BNSF Railway Company. January 12.
- Farllon, 2009. Subsurface Investigation Report, John Michael Lease Site, 5640 Sunset Highway, Cashmere, Washington, March 3.

¹ MTCA Method B Cleanup Levels for Surface Water – Carcinogen, Standard Formula Value, 720(4)(b)(iii)

FIGURES























LEGEND

+

Monitoring well

Groundwater analytical results (ug/L):

MW-1	DRO	ORO/RRO	GRO	В	Т	E	Х	TOTAL cPAH TEQ
2008	1,110	<472	145	1.09	0.700	0.893	2.84	0.3032
9/25/12	<100	<250	<100	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50	<1.5	0.038
12/11/12	200	150 J	<100	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50	<1.5	0.038

Total petroleum hydrocarbons as:

DRO	Diesel-range organics
ORO/RRO	Oil-range organics / residual-range organics
GRO	Gasoline-range organics

- B Benzene
- T Toluene
- E Ethyl-benzene
- X Xylenes

TOTAL cPAH TEQ	Total carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic
	hydrocarbons, toxic equivalence

J Estimated value below lowest calibration point



TABLES



Table 1Summary of Groundwater Elevation DataBurlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company

John Michael Lease Site Cashmere, Washington

Monitoring Well	Date Measured	Well Head Elevation (feet) ¹	Depth to Groundwater (feet) ²	Elevation of Groundwater (feet) ¹
	08/06/08		Well Head Elevation (feet) ¹ Depth to Groundwater (feet) ² 13.94 13.94 13.96 13.96 13.98 13.96 13.98 13.96 13.98 13.96 13.98 13.96 9.00 9.12 499.14 9.30 8.88 8 496.09 7.783 7.83 7.79 7.70 7.62 6.39 6.45 6.33 6.33	488.00
MTA7 1	04/07/09	501.04	13.96	487.98
101 00 - 1	09/25/12	501.94	13.98	487.96
	12/11/12		13.66	Elevation of Groundwater (feet) ¹ 488.00 487.98 487.96 488.28 490.14 490.02 489.84 490.26 488.30 488.30 488.30 488.39 488.47 489.46 489.40 489.52 489.55
	08/06/08		9.00	490.14
MW o	04/07/09		9.12	490.02
101 00 -2	09/25/12	499.14	9.30	489.84
	12/11/12		filtion (feit)1Groundwater (feet)2Groundwater (feet)2 13.94 483 13.94 483 13.96 48 13.98 48 13.98 48 13.66 48 13.66 48 9.00 49 9.12 490 9.12 490 9.30 48 8.88 49 7.83 48 7.79 48 7.79 48 7.62 48 6.39 48 6.33 48 6.33 48 6.33 48 6.30 48	490.26
	08/06/08		7.83	488.26
MW o	04/07/09	406.00	7.79	488.30
101 00 -3	09/25/12	490.09	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	12/11/12		7.62	Youndwater (feet)2Groundwater (feet)113.94488.0013.95487.9813.96487.9813.98487.9613.66488.289.00490.149.12490.029.30489.848.88490.267.83488.267.79488.307.70488.397.62489.466.39489.466.45489.406.33489.526.30489.55
	08/06/08		6.39	489.46
	04/07/09	405 9 5	6.45	489.40
101 00 -4	09/25/12	495.05	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	489.52
	12/11/12		6.30	489.55

NOTES:

¹Elevations based on an arbitrary 100-foot datum established at the Site.

² In feet below top of well casing.

Table 2Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results -TPH and BTEXBurlington Northern Santa Fe Railway CompanyJohn Michael Lease SiteCashmere, Washington

			Hitrical Results in micrograms per liter (µg/). DRO¹ ORO/RRO² GRO² Benzene² Toluene² Benzene² Xylenes² 1,110 <472 145 1.09 0.700 0.893 2.84 <100 <250 <100 <0.500 <0.500 <1.5 200 150 J <100 <0.500 <0.500 <1.5 <236 <472 <100 <0.500 <0.500 <1.00 <100 <250 <100 <0.500 <0.500 <1.5 <100 <250 <100 <0.500 <0.500 <1.00 <100 <250 <100 <0.500 <0.500 <1.5 <100 <250 <100 <0.50 <0.50 <1.5 <100 <250 <100 <0.50 <0.50 <1.5 <100 <250 <100 <0.50 <0.50 <1.5 <100 <250 <100 <0.50 <0.50 <1.5 <100									
Monitoring Well	Sample Identification	Sample Date	DRO ¹	ORO/RRO ²	GRO ²	Benzene ²	Toluene ²	Ethyl- benzene ²	Xylenes ²			
	MW1-080608	08/06/08	1,110	<472	145	1.09	0.700	0.893	2.84			
Monitoring Well MW-1 MW-2 MW-3 MW-4 MTCA Method A	MW1-092512	09/25/12	<100	<250	<100	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50	<1.5			
	MW1-121112	12/11/12	200	150 J	<100	<0.50	per liter (μg/L) Eth Toluene ² benz 0.700 0.8 <5.0	<0.50	<1.5			
	MW2-080608	08/06/08	<236	<472	<50	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<1.00			
MW-2	MW2-121112	09/25/12	<100	<250	<100	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50	<1.5			
	MW2-121112	12/11/12	<100	<250	<100	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50	<1.5			
	MW3-080608	08/06/08	<236	499	<50	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<1.00			
MW-3	MW3-121112	09/25/12	<100	<250	<100	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50	<1.5			
	MW3-121112	12/11/12	90 J	<250	<100	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50	<1.5			
	MW4-080608	08/06/08	<236	<472	<50	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<1.00			
MW-4	MW4-092512	09/25/12	<100	<250	<100	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50	<1.5			
	MW4-121112	12/11/12	78 J	170 J	<100	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50	<1.5			
MTCA Method	A Cleanup Levels ³		500	500	800 ⁴ /1,000 ⁵	5	1,000	700	1,000			

NOTES:

< denotes analyte not detected at or above the reporting limit listed.

J = estimated value below lowest calibration point

¹Analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx.

² Analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx.

³ Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, revised November 2007.

⁴ Benzene present in groundwater

⁵ No detectable benzene in groundwater

TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons

DRO = TPH as diesel-range organics

GRO = TPH as gasoline-range organics

RRO = TPH as residual-range organics

ORO = TPH as oil-range organics

Table 3 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company John Michael Lease Site Cashmere, Washington

					Analytical	Results in micro	grams per liter	• (µg/L) ¹		
Monitoring Well	Sample Identification	Sample Date	Benzo (a) anthracene	Chrysene	Benzo (b) fluoranthene	Benzo (k) fluoranthene	Benzo (a) pyrene	Indeno (1,2,3- cd) pyrene	Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	Total cPAH TEQ ^{2,3}
	MW1-080608	08/06/08	<0.0943	<0.0943	0.2890	<0.0943	0.2550	<0.0943	<0.0943	0.3032
MW-1	MW1-092512	09/25/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.038
	MW1-121112	12/11/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.038
Monitoring Well MW-1 MW-2 MW-3 MW-4	MW2-080608	08/06/08	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	0.0712
	MW2-092512	09/25/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.038
	MW2-121112	12/11/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.038
	MW3-080608	08/06/08	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	0.0712
MW-3	MW3-092512	09/25/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.038
	MW3-121112	12/11/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.038
	MW4-080608	08/06/08	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	0.0712
Monitoring Well MW-1 MW-2 MW-3 MW-3 MW-4 MTCA Method A	MW4-092512	09/25/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.038
	MW4-121112	12/11/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.038
MTCA Method A	Cleanup Levels ⁴									0.10 ³

NOTES:

< denotes analyte not detected at or above the reporting limit listed.

¹Analyzed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 8270C-S.

 2 For concentrations reported at less than the laboratory reporting limit, half the reporting limit was used to calculate the TEQ.

³ Total carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs) derived using the total toxicity equivalency (TEQ) method presented in Section 708(8) of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code.

⁴ Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, as revised November 2007.

Table 4 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company John Michael Lease Site Cashmere, Washington

				Aı	nalytical Resul	ts in microgram	s per liter (µg/l)¹		
Monitoring Well	Sample Identification	Sample Date	Acenaphthene	Anthracene	Fluorene	2-Chloro naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	Naphthalene
	MW1-080608	08/06/08	0.866	<0.0943	1.080	NR	<0.0943	0.266	0.975
MW-1	MW1-092512	09/25/12	0.022 J	0.027 J	0.011 J	<0.25	0.0091 J	0.040 J	0.079 J
	MW1-121112	12/11/12	0.026 J	0.016 J	0.014 J	<0.25	<0.050	0.028 J	0.11 J
	MW2-080608	08/06/08	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	NR	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943
MW-2	MW2-092512	09/25/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25
	MW2-121112	12/11/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25
	MW3-080608	08/06/08	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	NR	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943
MW-3	MW3-092512	09/25/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25
	MW3-121112	12/11/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25
	MW4-080608	08/06/08	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943	NR	<0.0943	<0.0943	<0.0943
MW-4	MW4-092512	09/25/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25	<0.050	<0.050	0.028 J
	MW4-121112	12/11/12	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.25	<0.050	<0.050	0.028 J
MTCA Method B C	leanup Levels - Non-	-Carcinogen ³	960	4,800	640	640	NR	480	160 ^{2,4}

NOTES:

< denotes analyte not detected at or above the reporting limit listed.

J = estimated value below lowest calibration point

NR = Not Reported or Not Researched

¹Analyzed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 8270C-S.

² Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, as revised November 2007.

³ MTCA Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations, Standard Method B Values for Groundwater, https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/Reporting/ChemicalQuery.aspx

⁴ Method A Cleanup Level based on total naphthalenes (i.e., sum of naphthalene, 1-methyl and 2-methyl naphthalene)

Table 5 Summary of Natural Attenuation and Water Quality Parameter Results Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company

John Michael Lease Site

Cashmere, Washington

Monitoring Well	Sample Identification	Sample Date	Nitrate ¹	Sulfate ¹	Free Carbon Dioxide ² Concentrat	Ferrous Iron ³ ions in mill	Sulfide ⁴ igrams per l	Iron ⁵ liter (mg/L)	Iron, Dissolved ⁵	Dissolved Oxygen ⁶	pH ⁶	Temperature ⁶ (Celsius)	Conductivity ⁶ (mS/cm)	ORP ⁶ (mV)
MW-1	MW1-092512	09/25/12	2	16	29 T	<0.050 T	<0.050	0.240	<0.100	0.99	6.42	13.29	0.546	110.2
	MW1-121112	12/11/12	3	16	< 20 T	0.037 J T	0.030 J	0.210	<0.100	1.19	6.57	11.13	0.481	67.8
	MW2-092512	09/25/12	3.8	16	22 T	<0.050 T	<0.050	0.170	<0.100	4.31	6.63	14.83	0.530	145.7
101 00 -2	MW2-121112	12/11/12	3.7	16	< 20 T	0.033 J T	< 0.050	0.050 J	<0.100	4.35	6.38	11.53	Conductivity ⁶ (mS/cm) 0.546 0.481 0.530 0.466 0.534 0.517 0.532 0.486	276.1
MW o	MW3-092512	09/25/12	1.4	9.9	39 T	<0.050 T	<0.050	0.046 J	<0.100	0.81	6.38	16.43	0.534	137.6
MW-3	MW3-121112	12/11/12	4.7	17	< 20 T	0.029 J T	0.028 J	0.041 J	<0.100	2.11	6.89	12.44	0.517	145.1
NAXA7 4	MW4-092512	09/25/12	4	14	22 T	<0.050 T	<0.050	0.057 J	<0.100	4.14	6.46	14.30	0.532	157.0
101 00 -4	MW4-121112	12/11/12	4.6	16	< 20 T	<0.050 T	0.026 J	0.028 J	<0.100	4.59	6.99	11.95	0.486	235.0

NOTES:

¹Analyzed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 9056.

²Analyzed by Standard Method (SM) 4500C.

³Analyzed by Conventional Chemistry Parameters by EPA Method/American Public Health Association (APHA) Methods, SM 3500-Fe. ⁴Analyzed by SM 4500-S2.

⁵Analyzed by EPA 6000/7000 Series Method.

⁶Measured using a YSI multimeter and flow-through cell after stabilization.

J = estimated value below lowest calibration point Petroleum-Degrading Bacteria = Bacterial colonies known to result in biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons mg/l = milligrams per liter; equivalent to parts per million MPN/ml = most probable number per milliliter mS/cm = milliSiemens per centimeter; specific conductance units mV = millivolts

ORP = oxidation-reduction potential

T = Sample received past/too close to holding time expiration

Table 6Summary of Molecular Biological ResultsBurlington Northern Santa Fe Railway CompanyJohn Michael Lease SiteCashmere, Washington

Well ID	Sample Date	PLFA Total Biomass cells/mL	Monos %	BrMonos %	MidBRSats %	TerBRSats %	Nsats %	Polyenoics %
MW-1	11/1/2012	128,000	74.08	0.85	1.83	3.90	17.47	1.88
MW-2	11/1/2012	161,000	64.55	0.97	2.28	5.00	26.49	0.72

Notes and Abbreviations

PLFA: Phospholipid Fatty Acid analysis

PLFA Structural Groups

Monos: Monoenoic - Consists of Proteobacteria with a wide variety of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria BrMonos: Branched monoenoic - anaerobic sulfate and iron reducers MidBrSats: Mid-chain branched saturated - anaerobic sulfate and iron reducers TerBrSats: Terminally branched saturated - includes Firmicutes type bacteria; anaerobic fermenting bacteria Nsats: Normal saturated - high proportions can indicate less diverse populations

Polyenoics: Eukaryotes - can prey upon contaminant-utilizing bacteria

Relative Biomass Cell Concentration (cells/mL)

Low 10^3 to 10^4 cellsModerate 10^5 to 10^6 cellsHigh 10^7 to 10^8 cells

APPENDIX A

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORDS





YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859 Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027

Report Summary

Friday October 05, 2012

Report Number: L597295

Samples Received: 09/26/12

Client Project: TT9206-M03

Description: BNSF - JML - Cashmere, WA

The analytical results in this report are based upon information supplied by you, the client, and are for your exclusive use. If you have any questions regarding this data package, please do not hesitate to call.

alan Stamill

T. Alan Harvill , ESC Representative

Laboratory Certification Numbers

Entire Report Reviewed By:

A2LA - 1461-01, AIHA - 100789, AL - 40660, CA - 01157CA, CT - PH-0197, FL - E87487, GA - 923, IN - C-TN-01, KY - 90010, KYUST - 0016, NC - ENV375/DW21704/BIO041, ND - R-140. NJ - TN002, NJ NELAP - TN002, SC - 84004, TN - 2006, VA - 460132, WV - 233, AZ - 0612, MN - 047-999-395, NY - 11742, WI - 998093910, NV - TN000032011-1, TX - T104704245-11-3, OK - 9915, PA - 68-02979, IA Lab #364

Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by ESC Lab Sciences.

Note: The use of the preparatory EPA Method 3511 is not approved or endorsed by the CA ELAP.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from ESC Lab Sciences. Where applicable, sampling conducted by ESC is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures: 060302, 060303, and 060304.

XESC						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Fax	65 Lebanon Juliet, TI 5) 758-5855 00-767-585 (615) 758	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859
						Tax	I.D. 62-0	814289
YOUR LAB OF CHOICE						Est	. 1970	
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AN	ALYSIS	(October 05,	2012		
Date Received : September 26, 2 Description : BNSF - JML - Ca	012 shmere, WA]	ESC Sample #	: L59	7295-01	
Sample ID : MW1-092512				:	Site ID :			
Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 09/25/12 08:00				1	Project # :	TT9206	-M03	
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.
Nitrate Sulfate	2000 16000	9.1 400	100 5000	ug/l ug/l		9056 9056	09/26/12 09/26/12	1 1
Free Carbon Dioxide	29000	6600	20000	ug/l	Т8	SM4500C	10/03/12	1
Ferrous Iron	U	17.	50.	ug/l	Т8	3500Fe-	09/27/12	1
Sulfide	U	19.	50.	ug/l		4500-S2	10/02/12	1
Iron Iron,Dissolved	240 U	26. 26.	100 100	ug/l ug/l		6010B 6010B	10/02/12 10/02/12	1 1
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Recovery(%) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	U U U U 103.	50. 0.19 0.18 0.16 0.51	100 0.50 5.0 0.50 1.5	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l % Rec.		NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX	09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12	1 1 1 1 1
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	98.8			% Rec.		NWTPHGX	09/28/12	1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Residual Range Organics (RRO)	U U	50. 120	100 250	ug/l ug/l		NWTPHDX NWTPHDX	10/05/12 10/05/12	1 1
Surrogate Recovery o-Terphenyl	97.0			% Rec.		NWTPHDX	10/05/12	1
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons Anthracene Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	0.027 0.022 U U U U U U 0.011 U 0.079 0.0091 0.040	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0076\\ 0.0082\\ 0.0068\\ 0.012\\ 0.012\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.0040\\ 0.016\\ 0.0085\\ 0.015\\ 0.020\\ 0.0082\\ 0.012\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ 0.00$	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	J J J J J J	8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

U = ND (Not Detected) RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL

Note:

The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.

Reported: 10/05/12 13:28 Printed: 10/05/12 16:32

EVAN B SICILIEINICIEIS						12 Mt (6 1- Fa: Ta: Es	065 Lebanon . Juliet, T. 15) 758-585 800-767-585 x (615) 758 x I.D. 62-0 t. 1970	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859 814289	
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF ANA	ALYSIS	,	October 05,	2012			
Date Received : September 26, 20 Description : BNSF - JML - Cash Sample ID : MW1-092512 Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 09/25/12 08:00	12 nmere, WA				ESC Sample # Site ID : Project # :	: L59 TT9206	97295-01 5-M03		
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
1-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Surrogate Recovery Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl p-Terphenyl-d14	0.15 0.024 U 107. 104. 94.7	0.0082 0.0090 0.0065	0.25 0.25 0.25	ug/l ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec. % Rec.	J J	8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5	S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12	1 1 1 1 1	

U = ND (Not Detected)
RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL
MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 10/05/12 13:28 Printed: 10/05/12 16:32

Page 3 of 11

XESC						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Fax	65 Lebanon Juliet, T 5) 758-585 00-767-585 (615) 758	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859	
						Tax	I.D. 62-0	814289	
YOUR LAB OF CHOICE						Est	. 1970		
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AN	ALYSIS		October 05,	2012			
Date Received : September 26, 20 Description : BNSF - JML - Cas)12 shmere, WA			:	ESC Sample #	: L59	7295-02		
Sample TD • MW2_002512	,			i	Site ID :				
Collected By : Jon Peterson				:	Project # :	TT9206	-M03		
Devented ate · 09/25/12 08.30	Decult	MDT	DDI		Qualifian	Mathad	Data	D.1	
	Result		RDL	UIILS	Qualifier	Method	Date	DII.	
Nitrate Sulfate	3800 16000	9.1 400	100 5000	ug/l ug/l		9056 9056	09/26/12	1	
Free Carbon Dioxide	22000	6600	20000	ug/l	Т8	SM4500C	10/03/12	1	
Ferrous Iron	U	17.	50.	ug/l	Т8	3500Fe-	09/27/12	1	
Sulfide	U	19.	50.	ug/l		4500-S2	10/02/12	1	
Iron Iron,Dissolved	170 U	26. 26.	100 100	ug/l ug/l		6010B 6010B	10/02/12 10/02/12	1 1	
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene	บ บ บ บ บ	50. 0.19 0.18 0.16 0.51	100 0.50 5.0 0.50 1.5	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l		NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX	09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12	1 1 1 1	
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	104. 99.2			% Rec. % Rec.		NWTPHGX NWTPHGX	09/28/12 09/28/12	1 1	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Residual Range Organics (RRO)	U U	50. 120	100 250	ug/l ug/l		NWTPHDX NWTPHDX	10/05/12 10/05/12	1 1	
o-Terphenyl	101.			% Rec.		NWTPHDX	10/05/12	1	
<pre>Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons Anthracene Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(g),i)perylene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene</pre>	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	0.0076 0.0082 0.0068 0.012 0.014 0.011 0.014 0.011 0.0040 0.016 0.0085 0.015 0.020 0.0082	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ \end{array}$	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l		8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Pyrene	U	0.012	0.050	ug/l		8270C-S	10/01/12	1	

U = ND (Not Detected) RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL

Note:

The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.

Reported: 10/05/12 13:28 Printed: 10/05/12 16:32

VOUR LAB OF CHOICE						12 Mt (6: 1- Fa: Ta: Est	065 Lebanon . Juliet, T. 15) 758-585 800-767-585 x (615) 758 x I.D. 62-0 t. 1970	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859 814289	
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF ANA	ALYSIS		October 05,	2012			
Date Received : September 26, 201 Description : BNSF - JML - Cash Sample ID : MW2-092512 Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 09/25/12 08:30		ESC Sample # : L597295-02 Site ID : Project # : TT9206-M03							
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
1-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Surrogate Recovery Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl p-Terphenyl-d14	0.0085 0.012 U 105. 110. 99.7	0.0082 0.0090 0.0065	0.25 0.25 0.25	ug/l ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec. % Rec.	J J	8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5	S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12	1 1 1 1 1	

U = ND (Not Detected)
RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL
MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 10/05/12 13:28 Printed: 10/05/12 16:32

Page 5 of 11

SIS October 05, 2012 ESC Sample # :	Tax I.D. 62-0814289 Est. 1970
SIS October 05, 2012 ESC Sample # :	Est. 1970
SIS October 05, 2012 ESC Sample # :	
ESC Sample # :	
	L597295-03
Site ID :	
Project # : TT9	206-M03
DL Units Qualifier Metho	od Date Dil.
00 ug/l 905 000 ug/l 905	6 09/26/12 1 6 09/26/12 1
000 ug/l T8 SM45	00C 10/03/12 1
). ug/l T8 3500	Fe- 09/27/12 1
). ug/l 4500	-S2 10/02/12 1
00 ug/l J 601 00 ug/l 601	0B 10/02/12 1 0B 10/02/12 1
00 ug/l NWTP1 .50 ug/l NWTP1 .0 ug/l NWTP1 .50 ug/l NWTP1 .50 ug/l NWTP1 .50 ug/l NWTP1	HGX 09/28/12 1 HGX 09/28/12 1 HGX 09/28/12 1 HGX 09/28/12 1 HGX 09/28/12 1 HGX 09/28/12 1
% Rec. NWTP % Rec. NWTP	HGX 09/28/12 1 HGX 09/28/12 1
00 ug/l NWTP 50 ug/l NWTP	HDX 10/05/12 1 HDX 10/05/12 1
% Rec. NWTP	HDX 10/05/12 1
D50 ug/l 82700 050 ug/l J 25 ug/l J 050 ug/l 82700 050 ug/l 82700	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	ESC Sample # : : Site ID : . Project # : TT9 DL Units Qualifier Mether 00 ug/l 905 000 ug/l 78 SM45 0. ug/l T8 SM45 0. ug/l T8 35001 0. ug/l J 601 00 ug/l J 601 00 ug/l J 601 00 ug/l NWTPI NWTPI .50 ug/l 82700 .50 ug/l

U = ND (Not Detected) RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL

Note:

The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.

Reported: 10/05/12 13:28 Printed: 10/05/12 16:32

EVAN B SICILIEINICIEIS						120 Mt. (6] 1-8 Fax Tax	065 Lebanon Juliet, TI 5) 758-585 300-767-585 ((615) 758 (1.D. 62-0) . 1970	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859 814289	
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF ANA	ALYSIS		October 05,	2012			
Date Received : September 26, 20 Description : BNSF - JML - Casl Sample ID : MW4-092512 Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 09/25/12 09:20		ESC Sample # : L597295-03 Site ID : Project # : TT9206-M03							
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
1-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Surrogate Recovery Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl p-Terphenyl-d14	U 0.011 U 108. 109. 102.	0.0082 0.0090 0.0065	0.25 0.25 0.25	ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec. % Rec.	J	8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	<pre>10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12</pre>	1 1 1 1 1	

U = ND (Not Detected)
RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL
MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 10/05/12 13:28 Printed: 10/05/12 16:32

Page 7 of 11

XESC						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Fax	65 Lebanon Juliet, T 5) 758-585 00-767-585 (615) 758	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859	
						Tax	I.D. 62-0	814289	
YOUR LAB OF CHOICE						Est	. 1970		
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REPC	ORT OF AN	ALYSIS	(October 05,	2012			
Date Received : September 26, Description : BNSF - JML - C	2012 ashmere, WA			1	ESC Sample #	: L59	7295-04		
Sample TD • MW3_002512				2	Site ID :				
Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 09/25/12 10:00]	Project # :	TT9206	-M03		
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
Nitrate Sulfate	1400 9900	9.1 400	100 5000	ug/l ug/l		9056 9056	09/26/12 09/26/12	1 1	
Free Carbon Dioxide	39000	6600	20000	ug/l	Т8	SM4500C	10/03/12	1	
Ferrous Iron	U	17.	50.	ug/l	Т8	3500Fe-	09/27/12	1	
Sulfide	U	19.	50.	ug/l		4500-S2	10/02/12	1	
Iron Iron,Dissolved	46. U	26. 26.	100 100	ug/l ug/l	J	6010B 6010B	10/02/12 10/02/12	1 1	
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Recovery(%) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	U U U U 104. 99.5	50. 0.19 0.18 0.16 0.51	100 0.50 5.0 0.50 1.5	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec.		NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX	09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12 09/28/12	1 1 1 1 1	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Residual Range Organics (RRO)	U U	50. 120	100 250	ug/l ug/l		NWTPHDX NWTPHDX	10/05/12 10/05/12	1 1	
Surrogate Recovery o-Terphenyl	94.3			% Rec.		NWTPHDX	10/05/12	1	
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons Anthracene Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene Burene	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0076 0.0082 0.012 0.012 0.014 0.011 0.014 0.011 0.014 0.011 0.0040 0.016 0.0085 0.015 0.020 0.0082 0.012	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.25\\ 0.050$	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l		8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12 10/01/12		

U = ND (Not Detected) RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL

Note:

The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.

Reported: 10/05/12 13:28 Printed: 10/05/12 16:32

VOUR LAB OF CHOICE						121 Mt (6: 1-1 Fa: Ta: Est	065 Lebanon . Juliet, T. 15) 758-585 800-767-585 x (615) 758 x I.D. 62-0 t. 1970	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859 814289	
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AN	ALYSIS	,	October 05,	2012			
Date Received : September 26, 20 Description : BNSF - JML - Cas Sample ID : MW3-092512 Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 09/25/12 10:00	:	ESC Sample # : L597295-04 Site ID : Project # : TT9206-M03							
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
1-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Surrogate Recovery Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl p-Terphenyl-d14	0.0086 0.011 U 101. 105. 95.1	0.0082 0.0090 0.0065	0.25 0.25 0.25	ug/l ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec. % Rec.	J J	8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5 8270C-5	S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12 S 10/01/12	1 1 1 1 1	

U = ND (Not Detected)
RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL
MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 10/05/12 13:28 Printed: 10/05/12 16:32

Page 9 of 11

Attachment A List of Analytes with QC Qualifiers

Sample	Work	Sample		Run	
Number	Group	Type	Analyte	ID	Qualifier
T-597295-01	WG615955	SAMP	Free Carbon Dioxide	R2374259	 т8
2007.200 02	WG614841	SAMP	Ferrous Iron	R2368776	т8
	WG615059	SAMP	Anthracene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	Acenaphthene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	Fluorene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	Naphthalene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	Phenanthrene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	Pyrene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	1-Methylnaphthalene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	2-Methylnaphthalene	R2371994	J
L597295-02	WG615955	SAMP	Free Carbon Dioxide	R2374259	Т8
	WG614841	SAMP	Ferrous Iron	R2368776	Т8
	WG615059	SAMP	1-Methylnaphthalene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	2-Methylnaphthalene	R2371994	J
L597295-03	WG615955	SAMP	Free Carbon Dioxide	R2374259	Т8
	WG615730	SAMP	Iron	R2373174	J
	WG614841	SAMP	Ferrous Iron	R2368776	Т8
	WG615059	SAMP	Naphthalene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	2-Methylnaphthalene	R2371994	J
L597295-04	WG615955	SAMP	Free Carbon Dioxide	R2374259	Т8
	WG615730	SAMP	Iron	R2373174	J
	WG614841	SAMP	Ferrous Iron	R2368776	Т8
	WG615059	SAMP	1-Methylnaphthalene	R2371994	J
	WG615059	SAMP	2-Methylnaphthalene	R2371994	J

Attachment B Explanation of QC Qualifier Codes

Qualifier	Meaning
J	(EPA) - Estimated value below the lowest calibration point. Confidence correlates with concentration.
Т8	(ESC) - Additional method/sample information: Sample(s) received past/too close to holding time expiration.

Qualifier Report Information

ESC utilizes sample and result qualifiers as set forth by the EPA Contract Laboratory Program and as required by most certifying bodies including NELAC. In addition to the EPA qualifiers adopted by ESC, we have implemented ESC qualifiers to provide more information pertaining to our analytical results. Each qualifier is designated in the qualifier explanation as either EPA or ESC. Data qualifiers are intended to provide the ESC client with more detailed information concerning the potential bias of reported data. Because of the wide range of constituents and variety of matrices incorporated by most EPA methods, it is common for some compounds to fall outside of established ranges. These exceptions are evaluated and all reported data is valid and useable "unless qualified as 'R' (Rejected)."

Definitions

- Accuracy The relationship of the observed value of a known sample to the true value of a known sample. Represented by percent recovery and relevant to samples such as: control samples, matrix spike recoveries, surrogate recoveries, etc.
- Precision The agreement between a set of samples or between duplicate samples. Relates to how close together the results are and is represented by Relative Percent Differrence.
- Surrogate Organic compounds that are similar in chemical composition, extraction, and chromotography to analytes of interest. The surrogates are used to determine the probable response of the group of analytes that are chemically related to the surrogate compound. Surrogates are added to the sample and carried through all stages of preparation and analyses.
- TIC Tentatively Identified Compound: Compounds detected in samples that are not target compounds, internal standards, system monitoring compounds, or surrogates.

Page 11 of 11

Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027Mark Engdahi 2454 Occidental Ave S, Ste 1A Seattle, WA 98134-1451Report to: Kristin DarnellEmail: kjdarnell@farallonconsulting Option: BNSF - JML - Cashmere, WAEmail: Lab Project # Date email: Mark Engdahi 2010Ste Francisco CollectionPage ofProne: Collection: Description: Based not NClient Project # Date Results Needed Two Day. Toro Day. 2014Email: Lab Project # Date Results Needed Email: NAXSte Francisco Collection Point Point Point Point Point Point PointPoint Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point PointPoint Point Point Point Point Point Point Point PointPoint Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point Point PointPoint P		Billing information:						Analysis/Container/Preservative								G113 Chain of Custody		
Report to:Tronget (# toped frameViolated DetermineImage: Image: Imag	Farallon Consulting Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northw Issaquah,WA 98027	Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027 Report to: Kristin Darnell			Mark Engdahl 2454 Occidental Ave S, Ste 1A Seattle,WA 98134-1451									712	T	Page_of_		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Report to: Kristin Darnell			Email: kj o	larnell@far:	allonconsu	lting	loPre		oPres	2		1	'nAc	es-W	12065 Lebanon Road Mt. Juliet, TN 37122		
Phone:Client Project # TT9206-M03Lab Project # BNSFI FAR-CASHMERECH THE PO.#CH THE PO.#CH THE PO.#CH 	Project Description: BNSF - JML - Cashme	re, WA	I -	City/Stat Collected	e 1			PE-N		PE-N	V	-BT	b HC	Z+HC	NoPr	Phone: (800) 767-5859 Phone: (615) 758-5858		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Phone: (425) 295-0811 FAX:	Client Project # TT9206-M)3	Lab P BNS	roject # F1FAR-CA	SHMERE		SmIHD	es	[[]]	mb-HC	h-HCI	0mlAm	PE-NaC	lAmb-	Fax: (615) 758-5859		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Collected by (print) Peterson	Site/Facility ID#		P.O.#	P.O.#:			112	loPr	500	mlA	ulAn	X 4	HD	40n			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Collected by (signature):	Rush? (Lab MUST Be No Same Day			Be Notified) 200% 100%			***, SO	lAmb-N	d Metals	ron 2501	DX 40n	GXBTE	E 500ml	SIMLVI	Acctnum BNSF1FAR ^(lab use only) Template/Prelogin T81876/ P406327 Cooler # 19 9		
Sample ID Comp/Grab Matrix* Depth Date Time T	Packed on Ice N Y $\cancel{22}$	Two Day Three Day .		50% 25%	Email?No ^_Yes No FAX?NoYes of C		No. of	*NO3*	02 40n	solve	rrous I	HdTV	VTPH	LFID	PAHS	Shipped Via: FedEX Saver		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sample ID	Comp/Grab	Matrix*	Depth	Date	Time	Onaro	*	CC	Ë	Fei	Z	ź	SU	SV	Remarks/Contaminant Sample # (lab only)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	MW(-097512		GW		9/25/12	800	13	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	6597295-01		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	MW2-097512		GW			830	13	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	•2		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	MW4-092512		GW			920	13	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
GW 13 X<	MW3-042512		GW			1000	13	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	07		
	~		GW				-13	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
	an and a second s		GW_				+ 13	X	X	X-	X	X	X	X	X			
														ļ				
			1															

*Matrix: SS - Soil GW - Groundwater WW - WasteWater DW - Drinking Water OT - Other_____

pH _____ Temp _____

Flow _____ Other _____

Remarks:

<i>^</i>			5413472	45067	
Relinquished by (Signature)	Date:	Time:	Received by: (Signature)	Samples returned via: UPS	Condition: (lab use only)
Polinguished by (Signature)	7105110	1700 Time:	Received by (Singature)		0-103
Reinquisited by, (digitature)	Dale.	nine.	Received by. (algunatic)	3.29 SZ+2TT	COC Seal Intact: Y N NA
Relinquished by: (Signature)	Date:	Time:	Received for lab by: (Signature)	Date: Time: 92612 0900	PH Checked: NCF:
	I.	,	····		

-



YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859 Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027

Report Summary

Thursday December 20, 2012

Report Number: L610583

Samples Received: 12/12/12 Client Project: TT9206-M03

Description: BNSF - JML - Cashmere, WA

The analytical results in this report are based upon information supplied by you, the client, and are for your exclusive use. If you have any questions regarding this data package, please do not hesitate to call.

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Mark W. Beasley , ESC Representative

Laboratory Certification Numbers

A2LA - 1461-01, AIHA - 100789, AL - 40660, CA - 01157CA, CT - PH-0197, FL - E87487, GA - 923, IN - C-TN-01, KY - 90010, KYUST - 0016, NC - ENV375/DW21704/BIO041, ND - R-140. NJ - TN002, NJ NELAP - TN002, SC - 84004, TN - 2006, VA - 460132, WV - 233, AZ - 0612, MN - 047-999-395, NY - 11742, WI - 998093910, NV - TN000032011-1, TX - T104704245-11-3, OK - 9915, PA - 68-02979, IA Lab #364

Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by ESC Lab Sciences.

Note: The use of the preparatory EPA Method 3511 is not approved or endorsed by the CA ELAP.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from ESC Lab Sciences. Where applicable, sampling conducted by ESC is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures: 060302, 060303, and 060304.

XESC						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Fax	65 Lebanon Juliet, T1 5) 758-5859 00-767-5859 (615) 758-	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859
L·A·B S·C·I·E·N·C·E·S						Tax	I.D. 62-0	814289
YOUR LAB OF CHOICE						Est	. 1970	
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AN	IALYSIS]	December 20,	2012		
Date Received : December 12, 2 Description : BNSE - JML - Ca	012 shmere WA			1	ESC Sample #	: L61	0583-01	
	Simere, WA			:	Site ID :			
Sample ID : MW2-121112				1	Project # :	TT9206	-M03	
Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 12/11/12 06:50								
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.
Nitrate Sulfate	3700 16000	23. 77.	100 5000	ug/l ug/l		9056 9056	12/12/12 12/12/12	1 1
Free Carbon Dioxide	U	6600	20000	ug/l	Т8	SM4500C	12/19/12	1
Ferrous Iron	33.	17.	50.	ug/l	JT8	3500Fe-	12/13/12	1
Sulfide	U	19.	50.	ug/l		4500-S2	12/13/12	1
Iron Iron,Dissolved	50. U	14. 14.	100 100	ug/l ug/l	J	6010B 6010B	12/19/12 12/18/12	1 1
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Recovery(%) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	U U U U 104. 97.0	50. 0.19 0.18 0.16 0.51	100 0.50 5.0 0.50 1.5	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec.		NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX	12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12	1 1 1 1 1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Residual Range Organics (RRO)	U U	50. 120	100 250	ug/l ug/l		NWTPHDX NWTPHDX	12/18/12 12/18/12	1 1
Surrogate Recovery o-Terphenyl	114.			% Rec.		NWTPHDX	12/18/12	1
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons Anthracene Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(g)h,i)perylene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0076\\ 0.0082\\ 0.0068\\ 0.012\\ 0.012\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.0040\\ 0.016\\ 0.0085\\ 0.015\\ 0.020\\ 0.0082\\ 0.012 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.250\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ 0.0$	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l		8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12	

U = ND (Not Detected) RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL

Note:

The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.

Reported: 12/20/12 12:48 Printed: 12/20/12 12:49

ESCIPENCIES						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Fax	065 Lebanon Juliet, T 5) 758-585 300-767-585 (615) 758 (615) 758	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859 814289	
YOUR LAB OF CHOICE						Est	. 1970		
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AND	ALYSIS		December 20,	2012			
Date Received : December 12, 201 Description : BNSF - JML - Cash Sample ID : MW2-121112 Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 12/11/12 06:50		ESC Sample # Site ID : Project # :	: L61 TT9206	0583-01 -M03					
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
1-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Surrogate Recovery Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl p-Terphenyl-d14	U U U 114. 103. 99.2	0.0082 0.0090 0.0065	0.25 0.25 0.25	ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec. % Rec. % Rec.		8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	<pre>12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12</pre>	1 1 1 1 1	

U = ND (Not Detected)
RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL
MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 12/20/12 12:48 Printed: 12/20/12 12:49

Page 3 of 11

XESC						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Fax	65 Lebanon Juliet, T1 5) 758-5858 00-767-5859 (615) 758-	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859		
						Tax	I.D. 62-08	814289		
YOUR LAB OF CHOICE						Est	. 1970			
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AN	IALYSIS	I	December 20,	2012				
Date Received : December 12, 20 Description : BNSF - JML - Cas	12 hmere, WA			1	ESC Sample #	: L61	0583-02			
Sample ID : MW4-121112	,			2	Site ID :					
Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 12/11/12 07:45				Project # : TT9206-M03						
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.		
Nitrate Sulfate	4600 16000	23. 77.	100 5000	ug/l ug/l		9056 9056	12/12/12 12/12/12	1 1		
Free Carbon Dioxide	U	6600	20000	ug/l	Т8	SM4500C	12/19/12	1		
Ferrous Iron	U	17.	50.	ug/l	Т8	3500Fe-	12/13/12	1		
Sulfide	26.	19.	50.	ug/l	J	4500-S2	12/13/12	1		
Iron Iron,Dissolved	28. U	14. 14.	100 100	ug/l ug/l	J	6010B 6010B	12/19/12 12/18/12	1 1		
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Recovery(%) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID) a a a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	U U U U 103.	50. 0.19 0.18 0.16 0.51	100 0.50 5.0 0.50 1.5	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l % Rec.		NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX	12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12	1 1 1 1 1		
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Residual Range Organics (BRO)	78. 170	50. 120	100 250	ug/l	J	NWTPHDX NWTPHDX	12/18/12	1		
Surrogate Recovery o-Terphenyl	112.			% Rec.		NWTPHDX	12/18/12	1		
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons Anthracene Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	U U U U U U U U U U U U U U 0.028 U U	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0076\\ 0.0082\\ 0.0068\\ 0.012\\ 0.012\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.0040\\ 0.016\\ 0.0085\\ 0.015\\ 0.020\\ 0.0082\\ 0.012\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ 0.0$	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	J	8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

U = ND (Not Detected) RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL

Note:

The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.

Reported: 12/20/12 12:48 Printed: 12/20/12 12:49

VOUR LAB OF CHOICE						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Faz Taz	065 Lebanon Juliet, T 15) 758-585 300-767-585 (615) 758 (1.D. 62-0 2. 1970	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859 814289	
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF ANA	ALYSIS		December 20,	2012			
Date Received : December 12, 20 Description : BNSF - JML - Cas Sample ID : MW4-121112 Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 12/11/12 07:45	12 hmere, WA				ESC Sample # Site ID : Project # :	: L61 TT9206	0583-02 5-M03		
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
1-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Surrogate Recovery Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl p-Terphenyl-d14	U U U 114. 102. 97.3	0.0082 0.0090 0.0065	0.25 0.25 0.25	ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec. % Rec.		8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	S 12/13/12 S 12/13/12 S 12/13/12 S 12/13/12 S 12/13/12 S 12/13/12 S 12/13/12	1 1 1 1 1	

U = ND (Not Detected)
RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL
MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 12/20/12 12:48 Printed: 12/20/12 12:49

Page 5 of 11

XESC						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Fax	65 Lebanon Juliet, T 5) 758-585 00-767-585 (615) 758	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859	
L·A·B S·C·I·E·N·C·E·S						Tax	I.D. 62-0	814289	
YOUR LAB OF CHOICE						Est	. 1970		
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AN	ALYSIS	I	December 20,	2012			
Date Received : December 12, 20 Description : BNSF - JML - Cas	12 hmere, WA			E	ESC Sample #	: L61	0583-03		
Sample ID : MW3-121112	5	Site ID :							
Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 12/11/12 09:00				Project # : TT9206-M03					
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
Nitrate Sulfate	4700 17000	23. 77.	100 5000	ug/l ug/l		9056 9056	12/12/12 12/12/12	1 1	
Free Carbon Dioxide	U	6600	20000	ug/l	Т8	SM4500C	12/19/12	1	
Ferrous Iron	29.	17.	50.	ug/l	JT8P1	3500Fe-	12/13/12	1	
Sulfide	28.	19.	50.	ug/l	J	4500-S2	12/13/12	1	
Iron Iron,Dissolved	41. U	14. 14.	100 100	ug/l ug/l	J	6010B 6010B	12/19/12 12/18/12	1 1	
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Recovery(%) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	U U U U 104. 97.1	50. 0.19 0.18 0.16 0.51	100 0.50 5.0 0.50 1.5	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec.		NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX	12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12	1 1 1 1 1	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Residual Range Organics (RRO)	90. U	50. 120	100 250	ug/l ug/l	J	NWTPHDX NWTPHDX	12/18/12 12/18/12	1 1	
Surrogate Recovery o-Terphenyl	112.			% Rec.		NWTPHDX	12/18/12	1	
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons Anthracene Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0076\\ 0.0082\\ 0.0068\\ 0.012\\ 0.012\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.0040\\ 0.016\\ 0.0085\\ 0.015\\ 0.020\\ 0.0082\\ 0.012\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ 0.0$	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l		8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

U = ND (Not Detected) RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL

Note:

The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.

Reported: 12/20/12 12:48 Printed: 12/20/12 12:49

ESCIPE-N-C-E-S						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Fax Tax	065 Lebanon Juliet, T 5) 758-585 00-767-585 (615) 758 1.D. 62-0	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859 814289	
YOUR LAB OF CHOICE						Est	. 1970		
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AND	ALYSIS		December 20,	2012			
Date Received : December 12, 201 Description : BNSF - JML - Cash Sample ID : MW3-121112 Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 12/11/12 09:00	2 mere, WA				ESC Sample # Site ID : Project # :	: L61 TT9206	0583-03 -M03		
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
1-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Surrogate Recovery Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl p-Terphenyl-d14	U U U 116. 104. 94.9	0.0082 0.0090 0.0065	0.25 0.25 0.25	ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec. % Rec.		8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12	1 1 1 1 1	

U = ND (Not Detected)
RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL
MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 12/20/12 12:48 Printed: 12/20/12 12:49

Page 7 of 11

XESC						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Fax	65 Lebanon Juliet, T1 5) 758-5858 00-767-5859 (615) 758-	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859
						Tax	I.D. 62-08	814289
YOUR LAB OF CHOICE						Est	. 1970	
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AN	IALYSIS	I	December 20,	2012		
Date Received : December 12, 20 Description : BNSF - JML - Cas)12 shmere, WA			Ι	ESC Sample #	: L61	0583-04	
Sample ID : MW1-121112			Site ID :					
Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 12/11/12 10:20		Project # : TT9206-M03						
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.
Nitrate Sulfate	3000 16000	23. 77.	100 5000	ug/l ug/l		9056 9056	12/12/12 12/12/12	1 1
Free Carbon Dioxide	U	6600	20000	ug/l	Т8	SM4500C	12/19/12	1
Ferrous Iron	37.	17.	50.	ug/l	JT8	3500Fe-	12/13/12	1
Sulfide	30.	19.	50.	ug/l	JP1	4500-S2	12/13/12	1
Iron Iron,Dissolved	210 U	14. 14.	100 100	ug/l ug/l		6010B 6010B	12/19/12 12/18/12	1 1
Gasoline Range Organics-NWTPH Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Recovery(%) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	U U U U 104. 97.0	50. 0.19 0.18 0.16 0.51	100 0.50 5.0 0.50 1.5	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec.		NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX NWTPHGX	12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12 12/13/12	1 1 1 1 1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Residual Range Organics (RRO)	200 150	50. 120	100 250	ug/l ug/l	J	NWTPHDX NWTPHDX	12/18/12 12/18/12	1 1
o-Terphenyl	108.			% Rec.		NWTPHDX	12/18/12	1
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons Anthracene Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	0.016 0.026 U U U U U U U 0.014 U 0.11 U 0.028	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0076\\ 0.0082\\ 0.0068\\ 0.012\\ 0.012\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.014\\ 0.011\\ 0.0040\\ 0.016\\ 0.0085\\ 0.015\\ 0.020\\ 0.0082\\ 0.012\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.050\\ 0.250\\ 0.25\\ 0.050\\ 0.0$	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	J J L1 J L1 J J	8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

U = ND (Not Detected) RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL

Note:

The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.

Reported: 12/20/12 12:48 Printed: 12/20/12 12:49

VOUR LAB OF CHOICE						120 Mt. (61 1-8 Faz Taz	065 Lebanon Juliet, T. 15) 758-585 300-767-585 (615) 758 (1.D. 62-0 (1970	Rd. N 37122 8 9 -5859 814289	
Kristin Darnell Farallon Consulting - BNSF Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah, WA 98027	REP	ORT OF AN	ALYSIS		December 20,	2012			
Date Received : December 12, 20 Description : BNSF - JML - Cash Sample ID : MW1-121112 Collected By : Jon Peterson Collection Date : 12/11/12 10:20	12 nmere, WA				ESC Sample # Site ID : Project # :	: L61 TT9206	0583-04 Б-М03		
Parameter	Result	MDL	RDL	Units	Qualifier	Method	Date	Dil.	
1-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Surrogate Recovery Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl p-Terphenyl-d14	0.31 0.031 U 98.1 108. 109.	0.0082 0.0090 0.0065	0.25 0.25 0.25	ug/l ug/l % Rec. % Rec. % Rec.	J	8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S 8270C-S	<pre>12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12 12/18/12</pre>	1 1 1 1 1	

U = ND (Not Detected)
RDL = Reported Detection Limit = LOQ = PQL = EQL = TRRP MQL
MDL = Minimum Detection Limit = LOD = TRRP SDL
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 12/20/12 12:48 Printed: 12/20/12 12:49

Page 9 of 11

Attachment A List of Analytes with QC Qualifiers

Sample	Work	Sample		Run	
Number	Group	Type	Analyte	ID	Qualifier
1.610583-01	WG628714	Samd	Free Carbon Dioxide	R2485678	- — т8
1010303 01	WG628498	SAMP	Tron	R2485158	T
	WG627849	SAMP	Ferrous Iron	R2475937	JTT 8
L610583-02	WG628714	SAMP	Free Carbon Dioxide	R2485678	T8
1010303 02	WG628498	SAMP	Tron	R2485158	T
	WG627849	SAMP	Ferrous Iron	R2475937	т8
	WG628018	SAMP	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	R2484277	J
	WG628018	SAMP	Residual Range Organics (RRO)	R2484277	J
	WG627799	SAMP	Naphthalene	R2477304	J
	WG627851	SAMP	Sulfide	R2477037	J
L610583-03	WG628714	SAMP	Free Carbon Dioxide	R2485678	Т8
	WG628498	SAMP	Iron	R2485158	J
	WG627849	SAMP	Ferrous Iron	R2475937	JT8P1
	WG628018	SAMP	Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	R2484277	J
	WG627851	SAMP	Sulfide	R2477037	J
L610583-04	WG628714	SAMP	Free Carbon Dioxide	R2485678	Т8
	WG627849	SAMP	Ferrous Iron	R2475937	JT8
	WG628018	SAMP	Residual Range Organics (RRO)	R2484277	J
	WG627800	SAMP	Anthracene	R2484797	J
	WG627800	SAMP	Acenaphthene	R2484797	J
	WG627800	SAMP	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	R2484797	L1
	WG627800	SAMP	Fluorene	R2484797	J
	WG627800	SAMP	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	R2484797	L1
	WG627800	SAMP	Naphthalene	R2484797	J
	WG627800	SAMP	Pyrene	R2484797	J
	WG627800	SAMP	2-Methylnaphthalene	R2484797	J
	WG627851	SAMP	Sulfide	R2477037	JP1

Attachment B Explanation of QC Qualifier Codes

Qualifier	Meaning
J	(EPA) - Estimated value below the lowest calibration point. Confidence correlates with concentration.
Ll	(ESC) The associated batch LCS exceeded the upper control limit, which indicates a high bias; The sample analyte was "not detected" and is therefore unaffected.
P1	RPD value not applicable for sample concentrations less than 5 times the reporting limit.
Т8	(ESC) - Additional method/sample information: Sample(s) received past/too close to holding time expiration.

Qualifier Report Information

ESC utilizes sample and result qualifiers as set forth by the EPA Contract Laboratory Program and as required by most certifying bodies including NELAC. In addition to the EPA qualifiers adopted by ESC, we have implemented ESC qualifiers to provide more information pertaining to our analytical results. Each qualifier is designated in the qualifier explanation as either EPA or ESC. Data qualifiers are intended to provide the ESC client with more detailed information concerning the potential bias of reported data. Because of the wide range of constituents and variety of matrices incorporated by most EPA methods, it is common for some compounds to fall outside of established ranges. These exceptions are evaluated and all reported data is valid and useable "unless qualified as 'R' (Rejected)."

Definitions

- Accuracy The relationship of the observed value of a known sample to the true value of a known sample. Represented by percent recovery and relevant to samples such as: control samples, matrix spike recoveries, surrogate recoveries, etc.
- Precision The agreement between a set of samples or between duplicate samples. Relates to how close together the results are and is represented by Relative Percent Differrence.
- Surrogate Organic compounds that are similar in chemical composition, extraction, and chromotography to analytes of interest. The surrogates are used to determine the probable response of the group of analytes that are chemically related to the surrogate compound. Surrogates are added to the sample and carried through all stages of preparation and analyses.
- TIC Tentatively Identified Compound: Compounds detected in samples that are not target compounds, internal standards, system monitoring compounds, or surrogates.

Page 11 of 11

Summary of Remarks For Samples Printed 12/20/12 at 12:49:18

TSR Signing Reports: 134 R5 - Desired TAT

Sample: L610583-01 Account: BNSF1FAR Received: 12/12/12 09:00 Due Date: 12/19/12 00:00 RPT Date: 12/20/12 12:48 Sample: L610583-02 Account: BNSF1FAR Received: 12/12/12 09:00 Due Date: 12/19/12 00:00 RPT Date: 12/20/12 12:48 Sample: L610583-03 Account: BNSF1FAR Received: 12/12/12 09:00 Due Date: 12/19/12 00:00 RPT Date: 12/20/12 12:48 Sample: L610583-04 Account: BNSF1FAR Received: 12/12/12 09:00 Due Date: 12/19/12 00:00 RPT Date: 12/20/12 12:48

			Billing informati	on:	·			Analy	sis/Co	ntaine	r/Pres	ervati	ve		Chain of Custody		
Farallon Consulting	z - BNSF	٦													Page of		
Region 1 975 5th Avenue Northwest Issaquah,WA 98027			Mark Engdahl 2454 Occidental Ave S, Ste 1A Seattle,WA 98134-1451											712			
Report to: Kristin Darnell	ort to: Kristin Darnell			Email					Pres				E	Ac ;	12065 Lebanon Road		
Project Description: BNSF - JML - Cashmer	e, WA		City/Sta Collecte	te dcASHME	RE. WA	ining	PE-No		E-Nol	C7	ВТ	HCI	res-W	H+Zn/	ML Junet, IN 37122 Phone: (800) 767-5859		
Phone: (425) 295-0811	Client Project #		Lab F	Project #			- A		D	FCI	5	mt	loP	aO	Phone: (615) 758-5858 Fax: (615) 758-5859		
FAX:	TT9206-M	03	BNS	SF1FAR-CA	SHMERE	2	ml	s	HII	l-dr	H	mlA	4	Z-U	E151		
Collected by (print): Jon Refersion	Site/Facility ID#	ŧ	P.O.#	P.O.#: 283006			125	loPre		nlAn	Aml	X 401	IAm	IDPI	L 131		
Collected by (signature):	Rush?	(Lab MUST	Be Notified)	Date Resu	lts Needed		SQ4	P-N	tals	50n	0m	TE	40m	mlf	Acctnum BNSE1EAD (lab use only)		
Immediately Packed on Ice N _ Y \rightarrow	Next Day Two Day Three Day	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Email?N FAX?N	lo X_Yes	No.	103***, 9	40mlAm	olved Mer	ous Iron 2	PHDX 4	PHGXB	SIMLVI	FIDE 500	Template/Prelogin T81876/ P414765 Cooler # 18197		
Sample ID	Comp/ <u>Grab</u>	Matrix*	Depth	Date	Time	Cntrs	***	C02	Disse	Ferrc	-MN	LMN	PAH	SULI	Remarks/Contaminant Sample # (lab only)		
nwa lalla		GW		12-11-12	0650	14	X	X	X	Χ	X	X	X	X	1610563.01		
12/11/2		GW			0745	14	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	-112		
MW3 - 121112		GW			0900	14	X	X	X	Χ	X	X	X	X	-03		
MW1- 17112	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	GW		لم 	1020	14	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	-/14		
	<u> </u>	GW		+		14	X-	X	X	X	-X-	X	X	X-			
						L				_							
	l																

*Matrix: SS - Soil GW - Groundwater WW - WasteWater DW - Drinking Water OT - Other_____

Remarks:

pH	Temp	

Flow _____ Other ___

Relinquished by Signature)	Date	Timo:	L Dessing L (0)	543555	108375		
9 no	12-11-12	Time.	Received by: (Signature)	Samples retu	Irned via: UPS	Condition:	(lab use only)
Relinquished by: (Signature)	Date:	Time:	Received by: (Signature)	Temp:	Bottles Received:	(Or	
Relinguished by (Signature)				3.1	56+TB	COC Seal Intact:	N/ _{NA}
trainidation of states and they	Date:	l ime:	Received for lab by: (Signature)	Date: /	Time:	pH Checked:	NCF:
L			HEE	12/12/12	2 0900	22,712	