```
2
 3
 5
            IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
                            FOR KING COUNTY
   STATE OF WASHINGTON
   DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,
 8
                    Plaintiff,
                                             No. 90-213283-8
 9
               v.
                                             CONSENT DECREE
   CITY OF SEATTLE,
                   Defendant.
11
                            Table of Contents
12
                                                               Page
13
        I
              INTRODUCTION
       II.
              JURISDICTION
14
      III.
              PARTIES BOUND
       IV.
              DENIAL OF LIABILITY
15
        v.
              PURPOSE . .
       VI.
              COVENANT NOT TO SUE
16
      VII.
              ECOLOGY FINDINGS OF FACT.
     VIII.
              ECOLOGY DETERMINATION
                                                              11
17
       IX.
              DEFINITIONS . .
        X.
              STATEMENT OF FACTS.
                                                              15
18
       XI.
              SCOPE OF WORK .
                                                              16
      XII.
              DESIGNATED PROJECT
                                                              25
19
     XIII.
              PERFORMANCE .
                                                              26
      XIV.
              ACCESS. . .
                                                              26
20
              SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING AND AVAILABILITY
       XV.
                                                              27
      XVI.
              PROGRESS REPORTS
                                                              28
21
     XVII.
              RETENTION OF RECORDS
                                                              29
    XVIII.
              PROVISION OF OTHER DOCUMENTS
22
      XIX.
              TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY.
                                                              30
       XX.
             RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES. .
                                                              30
23
      XXI.
              AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE
                                                              32
     XXII.
             EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE
                                                              33
24
    XXIII.
              STIPULATED PENALTIES
                                                              36
     XXIV.
             ENDANGERMENT. . .
                                                              37
25
              RESERVATION OF RIGHTS
                                                              39
26
  CONSENT DECREE
```

1	1	
1	XXVI.	COVENANT REOPENERS 40
	XXVII.	CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION 41
2	XXVIII.	INDEMNIFICATION 42
	XXIX.	COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS 42
3	xxx.	OVERSIGHT COSTS 42
	XXXI.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
4	XXXII.	DURATION OF DECREE 45
	XXXIII.	PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT 46
5	XXXIV.	CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION 46
	XXXV.	OTHER CLAIMS 47
6	XXXVI.	SATISFACTION OF THIS DECREE 47
٦	AAAVAV	
7		Exhibit A
1		Exhibit B
8		
0		
9		
9		
4		
10		
11	·	
_		
12		
13		
14		
15	ļ	
16		
ļ		
17		
18		
19		
20		
	,	
21		
- •		
22		
~~		
23		
دع		
24		

-

25

7

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

The State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the City of Seattle (hereinafter "Seattle") enter into this Consent Decree pursuant to Chapter 70.1050 RCW, Laws of 1989, (passed by voters on November 8, 1988 as Initiative 97).

> II. JURISDICTION

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over 8 matter pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq., 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq., Chapter 70.105D RCW, and personal jurisdiction over 10 Ecology and Seattle as consenting hereto. The Court shall 11 retain jurisdiction over this matter. No party shall challenge this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent 13 Decree. The parties stipulate that venue is proper.

- Authority is conferred upon the State of Washington Attorney General by Chapter 70.105D.040(4)(a) RCW to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person if after public notice and hearing Ecology finds that the proposed settlement expeditious cleanup οf to a more in compliance with cleanup standards 70.105D.030(2)(d).
- After public notice and concurrent with the filing of this Decree, the Attorney General of the State of Washington will file a complaint against Seattle pursuant to RCW 70.105D, seeking relief regarding the cleanup of the Midway Landfill as defined herein and recovering costs incurred and to be incurred

25

26

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

CONSENT DECREE

in responding to the release or threat of release of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Midway Landfill.

C. Authority is conferred upon the Attorney General by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b), to enter the settlement agreement as a consent decree, issued by an appropriate state court.

# III. PARTIES BOUND

This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the undersigned parties and their successors, assigns, officers, employees and agents. The undersigned representative of each party to this Decree certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party or parties whom she or he represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind that party to the terms of said Decree.

Seattle shall provide a copy of this Decree to all contractors, subcontractors, and consultants retained to conduct any portion of the work performed pursuant to this Decree, not later than fourteen (14) days after the effective date of this Decree or the date of such retention, which ever is later.

- A. Seattle consents and agrees to finance and perform the work to be performed set out in this Decree, which in summary fashion is outlined below:
- Complete a landfill cover, as technically described in Section XI herein. Ecology has required said landfill cover

2 performance criteria: Provides long-term minimization of migration 3 а. liquids through the closed landfill. 4 Functions with a minimum of maintenance. 5 b. Promotes drainage and minimizes erosion of cover. 6 c. Accomodates settling and subsidence in cover design 7 d. so that cover integrity is maintained. 8 Has a cover permeability less than the existing e. 9 natural system. 10 Minimizes the need for further maintenance. f. 11 Controls, minimizes, or eliminates, to the extent g. 12 health the protect human and necessary to 13 hazardous post-closure escape of environment, 14 emissions from the Midway Landfill. 15 Complete a gas extraction system including, design 16 and construction of a gas manifold system at the Midway Landfill facility. 18 Complete construction of a surface water management 19 system. 20 Perform and comply with all provisions of this 21 Decree. 22 Ecology consents and agrees to be bound by the terms В. 23 of this Decree. However, conduct described herein by the words 24 may, shall, "expects to," etc. does not create a promise 25

determined that it meets the following technical

-5-

5

6

7

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

undertaking or separate legal duty on the part of Ecology. Such expressions shall operate only as a condition precedent to a duty of Seattle to perform some act or refrain from acting as appropriate under the terms of this Decree.

# IV. DENIAL OF LIABILITY

The actions undertaken by Seattle in accordance with this Consent Decree do not constitute an admission by Seattle of any violation of state, county, or federal law or an admission of any liability by Seattle to the United States, the State of Washington, or any person or entity. Nor shall this Consent Decree be used as evidence or as collateral estoppel against Seattle in an action or proceeding other than an action or proceeding by Ecology to enforce the terms of this Decree. The City of Seattle specifically denies liability.

# V. PURPOSE

In entering into this Decree, the mutual objectives of Ecology and Seattle are:

- 1. To provide for an expeditious cleanup of the Midway Landfill facility by completing work on the final remedial action at the landfill, including capping the landfill, completing a surface water management system and completing a gas extraction system.
- 2. To accomplish the foregoing objectives in a manner consistent with RCW 70.105D.030(2)(d) and regulations promulgated thereunder and all other applicable state law.

25

26

3. To accomplish the foregoing in a manner consistent with the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 C.F.R. Part 300.

### VI. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

Subject to the Covenant Reopeners and Reservation of Rights herein, in consideration for Seattle's satisfactory performance and completion of the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree, Ecology covenants not to sue Seattle, or seek any administrative, legal, or equitable remedy against Seattle, for the performance, conduct, completion, or appropriateness of the remedial actions performed pursuant to this Decree, which include the surface water management system, the final cover, and the landfill gas extraction systems. This covenant not to sue is of a scope commensurate with this settlement agreement.

#### VII. ECOLOGY FINDINGS OF FACT

Based upon the information available to it, Ecology makes the following Findings of Fact:

1. Ecology is an agency of the State of Washington vested with the power to provide for, investigate releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances or to require potentially liable persons to investigate releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances, conduct, provide for conducting, or require potentially liable persons to conduct remedial actions to remedy releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances.

- 2. In response to a release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the landfill, Seattle commenced a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) pursuant to a Response Order by Consent for the landfill which was issued by Ecology on October 3, 1986. The scope of that order was limited to the RI/FS phase of the cleanup process.
- 3. Seattle is still in the process of completing the RI/FS. Seattle estimates completion of the RI/FS by late-1990.
- 4. Investigations conducted by Ecology, Seattle and others indicate the presence of several contaminants of concern in the soil and groundwater on-site, as well as migration of ground water contaminants and landfill gas from the landfill. The contaminants of concern found in the landfill include benzene, chlorobenzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, vinyl chloride and xylenes in the landfill gas; arsenic, benzene, methylene chloride, chlorobenzene, vinyl chloride and xylenes in ground water and landfill leachate; and PCB-1242 and PCB-1260 in landfill leachate.
- 5. Normally no final remedial action would begin until after Seattle had completed the RI/FS and Ecology had completed a Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) regarding the chosen cleanup alternative. Normally the CAP would include a discussion of Ecology's reasons for the final action, a response to any significant comment, any new data and any significant changes in the proposed remedial action plan.

- 1 6. However, in this case, Ecology has determined that
  2 capping the landfill, completing a gas extraction system, and
  3 completing the surface water management system prior to the ROD
  4 will provide immediate protection to the public health, welfare
  5 and the environment.
- 7. Ecology has informed Seattle that the capping, gas
  extraction and surface water system constructed or to be
  constructed by Seattle hereunder may be subject to modification
  or revision if the completed RI/FS contains significant new
  information not currently known to Ecology and substantially
  different from information presently known which changes the
  technical evaluation of landfill conditions and appropriate
  remedial actions at the landfill.
  - 8. Seattle has the capability and has indicated a willingness to perform the work described herein.
  - 9. All elements of an action under Chapter 70.105D RCW are met to wit:
    - a. The Site is a "facility" as defined in RCW 70.105D.020(3).
    - b. Past, present and potential migration of hazardous substances from the facility constitute an actual or potential "release" as defined in RCW 70.105D.020(10).
    - c. Seattle, as owner/operator of the Seattle Midway Landfill, is a potentially liable person as defined in RCW 70.105D.020(8).

21

22

23

- d. On the basis of findings from investigations and all other information available to date, Ecology determined that there has been soil and surface water contamination on-site, as well as migration of groundwater and landfill gas from the landfill and that said releases other than landfill gas will continue to pose a threat or potential threat to human health or the environment unless abated or mitigated.
- e. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.030, if there is a reasonable basis to believe that a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance may exist, Ecology may require potentially liable persons to conduct remedial actions, including investigations, to remedy releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances.
- 10. To minimize leachate production and to expedite cleanup action at the landfill, Seattle is proceeding with the work described herein prior to implementation of this Consent Decree.
- 11. Seattle has consultants familiar with the landfill, has performed six prior phases of the remedial action required at the landfill and has indicated a willingness to proceed immediately with this final phase of the remedial action at the landfill. Seattle can now proceed with remedial action in a more expeditious manner than if Ecology were to proceed with the work set out herein.

### VIII. ECOLOGY DETERMINATION

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, Ecology has concluded that execution of this Decree will be conducive to prompt, efficient performance of the remedial actions set out herein, and result in a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances in compliance with cleanup standards under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a).

#### XI. DEFINITIONS

- A. Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), Chapter 2, laws of 1989: An act (Initiative No. 97), Chapter 70.105D RCW of Washington State, relating to the cleanup of hazardous waste sites.
- SARA: The federal amended by В. CERCLA as Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601 et seg. which has been amended by the Superfund Amendments Reauthorization Act (SARA) of 1986.
- C. National Contingency Plan (NCP): 40 C.F.R. Part 300 as published in the Federal Register 47912 on November 20, 1985, and as revised and amended, Federal Register, Vol. 55, pg. 8666 on March 8, 1990, (see EPA proposed rule December 21, 1988). The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan which describes the process for conducting a remedial response.

24

1

2

8

9

12

13

18

19

21

22

25

26

- D. Remedial Action: As defined by RCW 70.105D.020(11), any action or expenditure consistent with the purposes of the MTCA to identify, eliminate, or minimize any threat or potential threat posed by hazardous substances to human health or the environment including any investigative and monitoring activities with respect to any release or threatened release of a hazardous substance and any health assessments or health effects studies conducted in order to determine the risk or potential risk to human health and in particular shall mean all work required by this Consent Decree and all appendices and attachments, plans and schedules and all amendments to any of the above.
  - E. Feasibility Study: The process of identifying, evaluating, and selecting remedial action alternatives as described in EPA document "Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies under CERCLA" (Interim Final, October 1988, OSWER Directive 9355.3-01).
  - F. Remedial Investigation: Data collection and site characterization activities that determine the nature and extent of the contamination sufficient to determine the need for and to identify appropriate remedial actions, as outlined in the NCP and as described in the EPA document listed in E of this section.
  - G. Facility: "Facility," as defined by RCW 70.105D.020(3) means (a) any building, structure,

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

installation, equipment, pipe or pipeline (including any pipe into a sewer or publicly owned treatment works), well, pit, pond, lagoon, impoundment, ditch, landfill, storage container, motor vehicle, rolling stock, vessel, or aircraft, or (b) any site or area where a hazardous substance, other than a consumer product in consumer use, has been deposited, stored, disposed of, or placed, or otherwise come to be located.

- H. Hazardous Substance as defined in RCW 70.105D.020(5), means:
- (a) Any dangerous or extremely hazardous waste as defined in RCW 70.105.010(5) and (6), or any dangerous or extremely dangerous waste designated by rule pursuant to ch. 70.105 RCW;
- (b) Any hazardous substance as defined in RCW 70.105.010(14) or any hazardous substance as defined by rule pursuant to ch. 70.105 RCW;
- (c) Any substance that, on the effective date of this Section, is a hazardous substance under Section 101(14) of the federal cleanup law, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601(14);
  - (d) Petroleum or petroleum products; and
- (e) Any substance or category of substances, including solid waste decomposition products, determined by the director by rule to present a threat to human health or the environment if released into the environment.

24

8

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7

10

16 17

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

Day: For the purposes of this Decree, day refers to a I. calendar day.

- "Consent Decree" means this Decree and all Exhibits J. and Appendices attached hereto.
- Washington K. "Ecology" the Department means οf Ecology.
- "Seattle" means The City of Seattle, a municipal L. corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington.
- "Work Plan" shall mean the design plans and their attachments, which describes the remedial actions undertaken at and around the landfill, and includes the plans 13 and specifications revised to include the one-foot clay layer specified in section XI.B.1., all change orders negotiated to the construction contract and which materially affect the work and which are approved by Ecology, and construction schedule prepared the according to by contractor the specifications.
  - "Response Costs" means any past and future costs Ν. incurred by Ecology pursuant to the Chapter 70.105D including oversight costs.
  - "Scope of Work ('SOW')" means the scope of work for implementation of the remedial design, remedial action, operation and maintenance of the remedial action landfill, as set forth herein.

## X. STATEMENT OF FACTS

# A. Site Location and Status

The project area in this matter (the "Site"), is known as the Midway Landfill Site, and is located within the Kent city limits. The land areas comprising the Midway Landfill are represented by King County Assessor's tax parcel numbers, which are listed with the owner and which are set out in detail in Appendix A. Seattle is the current owner of the Midway Landfill and adjacent property shown in Figure 1, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

Additionally, the scope of the Site as defined herein includes the areal extent of the plume of contamination in groundwater, areal extent of the area of gas movement, and all other locations that extend outside of the boundaries described above which have been found to be contaminated as a result of the Midway Landfill.

In October 1984, the Midway Landfill was nominated to the National Priority List (NPL). The landfill was placed on the NPL in 1986.

# B. Facility History and Operations

From 1947 to 1966, the landfill was operated as a gravel pit. The pit originally was adjacent to a peat bog, Lake Meade, located northeast of the center of the landfill. In January 1966, the City of Seattle leased the property and began using it as a landfill. During operations at the landfill, an

26

1

2

3

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 estimated three million cubic yards of solid waste were 2 deposited. This waste covers approximately 40 acres and is up 3 to 130 feet deep in places. The facility stopped accepting 4 waste in October 1983.

#### C. Previous Site Investigations

In 1984 and 1985, Seattle conducted field investigations 7 which showed the presence of surface water contamination 8 on-site and contaminated groundwater and landfill gas outside landfill boundary. The findings 9 the of groundwater 10 contamination lead to the listing of the Midway Landfill on the 11 National Priority List. In 1985, Ecology conducted site 12 inspections and found concentrations of methane gas in nearby 13 structures. Both Seattle and Ecology have conducted extensive field investigations for landfill gas since that time.

In October 1986, Seattle signed a "Response Order Consent" with Ecology and agreed to conduct investigation/feasibility study for the Midway Landfill.

#### XI. SCOPE OF WORK

The work to be performed under this Decree will consistent with draft regulations filed January 3, 1990, WAC 173-340-400 and 173-340-430.

Seattle consents and agrees to finance and perform the following remedial action projects for the Midway Landfill, as set out in this Decree, including:

CONSENT DECREE

6

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

. .

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CONSENT DECREE

- 1. Design and construction of a landfill cover, as described in Section XI.B. below.
- 2. Construction of a gas extraction system, including facilities both on and off of the landfill.
- 3. Construction of a surface water management system.
- 4. Preparation of an Operation and Maintenance Manual for all remedial action projects implemented at the landfill as a part of this Decree.

# A. Work Performed To Date

As of the effective date of this Consent Decree, Seattle has completed the planning, design, and construction of the following remedial action projects at the Midway Landfill:

Gas Extraction System. The landfill gas extraction Landfill consists of the following at the Midway include vertical facilities wells on-site extracting landfill gas, temporary and permanent motor blower and flare systems to extract and combust the extracted gas, and temporary manifold pipe systems to connect the wells to the motor blower and flare system; off-site facilities include construction of individual gas extraction units consisting of a vertical well, motor blower, filter, and exhaust pipe to extract gas from areas outside of the landfill boundary.

Construction of 135 on-site gas extraction wells at 80 locations in and around the landfill perimeter was completed between October 1985 and October 1989. Construction of the

-17-

temporary motor blower and flare and temporary manifold systems 2 was completed in the spring of 1986. Construction of the 3||permanent motor blower and flare system was completed in March 4||1990. Construction of seventeen off-site gas extraction well 5 units was completed as an Interim Remedial Measure 6||between January 1986 and January 1988.

Surface Water Management System. The surface water 8 management system at the Midway Landfill consists of 9||following elements: preparation of a Surface Water Management 10 Plan; site grading to control surface water drainage and 11 construction of a 10-million gallon surface water detention 12 pond (the On-Site Grading and Drainage Project); construction 13 of a pipeline and outfall to convey the discharged surface 14 water to McSorley Creek, also called the North Fork of Smith Creek (the Off-Site Drainage with Hwy 99 Project); construction of a pump station and diversion system to divert surface water runoff from I-5 and surrounding areas out of the landfill and into the detention pond (the East I-5 Pump Station Project).

Preparation of a detailed Surface Water Management Plan 1987. Construction of the On-Site was completed in June Grading and Drainage Project was completed in June construction of the Off-Site Drainage with Hwy 99 Project was completed in January 1989. Construction of the East/I-5 Pump Station Project was completed in April 1990.

CONSENT DECREE

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The planning and design of each of these projects was reviewed and approved by Ecology prior to construction. Any supporting documents for these projects previously approved and which are listed in Appendix B are hereby incorporated into this Decree by reference.

## B. Work To Be Performed

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decree, or as soon thereafter as weather conditions will permit, Seattle shall begin work on the Landfill Cover and Final Gas Manifold Projects as described below. While Ecology has approved the concept for these projects, Ecology has not yet approved the design drawings, specifications, and project manual for the addition of one foot of clayey silt or silty clay as described herein:

- 1. Landfill Cover. The work to be performed for this Decree consists of construction of a multi-layered landfill cover system comprised of the following layers (from bottom to top):
  - a. One foot of clayey silt/silty clay or amended soil material with a permeability not greater than 1 x  $10^{-7}$  cm/sec, as measured after placement.
  - b. 50-mil synthetic membrane
  - c. Geonet drainage layer.
  - d. One foot of sand.

25

26

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

e. One foot of topsoil planted with shallow rooted grasses.

The placement of the clayey silt/silty clay will be limited to the central area of the landfill. The north slope along the detention pond and the east slope along I-5 will receive the 50-mil synthetic membrane (textured) only because of the difficulty in placing the clayey silt/silty clay on the steep slopes.

2. Final Gas Manifold System. The work to be performed for this Decree consists of construction of a permanent manifold piping system which links the on-site gas extraction wells to the permanent motor blower and flare system. The gas manifold system shall include construction of a collection system for condensate from the gas pipelines. The final gas manifold system constitutes the final element for completion of the on-site landfill gas extraction system.

Any supporting documents for these projects previously approved and which are listed in Appendix B are hereby incorporated into this Decree by reference. While Ecology has approved the concept for these projects, Ecology has not yet approved the design drawings, specifications, and project manual for the addition of one foot of clayey silt or silty clay as described herein.

3. Final Project Reports. Seattle shall prepare a final project report for each remedial action project constructed at

CONSENT DECREE

1

2

3

8

9

13

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

landfill which

and specifications.

which

final manual to Ecology.

Work Plan

shall

Landfill

incorporates

submit five copies to Ecology for review.

record drawings documenting the work as constructed,

narrative report identifying deviations from the approved plans

and

short-term

Operation

Seattle shall prepare a comprehensive Operation and Maintenance

both

operation and maintenance requirements for all remedial action

projects constructed at the Midway Landfill under the terms of

this Decree. Seattle shall prepare a draft manual and shall

revisions as appropriate and submit five (5) copies of the

Decree, Seattle shall submit to Ecology a proposed work plan

purposes of this Decree, the work plan shall consist of a cover

letter with the following attachments: a copy of all plans and

specifications revised to include the one foot clay layer

specified in Section XI.B.1. above; all change orders to the

construction contract negotiated prior to the effective date of

this Decree and approved by Ecology; an organization chart for

Seattle's management staff assigned to the project, including

the senior staff of the construction contractor retained by

for the two projects listed in Section XI.B. above.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this

4

5

6

7 8 Manual

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

CONSENT DECREE

-21-

include the following elements:

Maintenance

and

Seattle shall make

Manual.

4

5

r a

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

CONSENT DECREE

Seattle to construct the projects; and a construction schedule prepared by the contractor according to the project specifications.

Within fifteen (15) days of the receipt of the work plan Ecology shall notify Seattle, in writing, of Ecology's approval or disapproval of the work plan. In the event of Ecology shall specify, in writing, both disapproval, Ecology recommended modifications deficiencies and any regarding the work plan.

Within fifteen (15) days of the receipt of Ecology's the work plan disapproval or recommended notification of modification, Seattle shall amend and submit to Ecology a If deemed appropriate, Ecology may allow revised work plan. notification. time to respond to the Any pursuant to Section XX, resolved disagreement shall be Resolution of Disputes.

Within fifteen (15) days of the final approval of the work plan, Seattle shall commence work on those activities not completed prior to this Decree and thereafter complete all tasks by the dates indicated in subsection D of this section. If the schedule of the work plan or the performance of tasks specified in the work plan developed by Seattle indicates that the time frame(s) is an impractical or infeasible period in which to perform the tasks, then Ecology and Seattle agree to reconsider the time frames established herein through Section

XXII, Extension of Schedule, and if necessary, amend the Decree through Section XXI, Amendment of Consent Decree. The approved work plan shall be attached to and incorporated into this Decree as part of Appendix B.

This work plan and each element thereof shall be designated, implemented and completed in accordance with the NCP as defined herein and in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA as amended by SARA; Chapter 70.105D RCW; all applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations; and all applicable EPA guidance documents.

In the event amendments to the NCP and/or the Chapter 70.105D RCW or other applicable and relevant laws, regulations, and guidance documents become effective after the effective date of this Decree and prior to completion of the remedial actions specified herein which materially affect the right or obligation of any party or construction documents or schedules of the Decree, the Parties agree to negotiate in good faith a written amendment to the Decree to provide for such changes, if deemed necessary.

20

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

- 5

- 11
- 12
- 13
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24

25

26

- Seattle shall submit final project reports for the projects completed prior to the effective date of this Decree to Ecology no later than July 31, 1990.
- 2. Seattle shall complete all construction work performed under this Consent Decree no later than September 30, The final project report for the landfill cover and final gas manifold construction project shall be submitted to Ecology not later than sixty (60) days after construction is considered complete by Seattle's Senior Construction Engineer.
- submit з. Seattle shall the draft Operations and Maintenance Manual to Ecology for review not later than May 1, Ecology 1991. understands and accepts that the Operations and Maintenance Manual may not be complete with respect to the cover and final gas manifold projects. agrees to submit any review comments to Seattle within sixty (60) days of receipt of the draft manual. Seattle shall make revisions as appropriate. Seattle shall in any event submit a final manual to Ecology within sixty (60) days of receipt of within 60 days completion Ecology's comments or of construction of the cover and manifold, which ever occurs If deemed appropriate by Ecology, additional time may be allowed to Seattle to address Ecology's comments.

### XII. DESIGNATED PROJECT MANAGERS

On or before the entry of this Decree, Ecology and Seattle shall each designate a project manager and alternate. be responsible for overseeing project manager shall implementation of this Decree. The Ecology project manager will be Ecology's designated representative for the Site. the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and Seattle and all documents, including reports, approvals, and activities performed other correspondence concerning the pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the project managers or alternate if project manager for either party is not available. The project managers may designate staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the remedial work required by this Decree.

Seattle and Ecology may change their respective project managers or alternates without amending this Decree by notifying the counterpart designated project manager, in writing, at least ten (10) days prior to the change.

The project manager for Ecology is:

Russ Darr
Washington State Department of Ecology
Hazardous Waste Investigation and Cleanup
Program
Mail Stop PV-11, Woodland Square
Olympia, WA 98504-8711
(206) 438-3043

24

1

2

3

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

The project manager for Seattle is:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Lin Robinson City of Seattle Solid Waste Utility Suite 505 710 2nd Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 684-7687

#### XIII. PERFORMANCE

All construction work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the oversight, as necessary, of a professional engineer or qualified hydrogeologist, or equivalent. Seattle shall notify Ecology as to the identity of such engineer(s) or hydrogeologist(s), and of any contractors and subcontractors to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Midway Landfill. All contractors and Seattle construction management staff shall meet federal and laws regarding construction work state health and safety conducted on an NPL site. This provision is not intended to relieve any contractor, subcontractor, and does not consultant of any responsibility, liability or obligation under contract with Seattle.

#### XIV. ACCESS

Ecology and its authorized representative shall have the authority to enter and freely move about all property at the Midway Landfill at all reasonable times for the purposes of, inter alia: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts

CONSENT DECREE

-26-

related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing the progress in carrying out the terms of this 4 6 7 8 9

12 13

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

CONSENT DECREE

Decree; conducting such tests or collecting samples as Ecology or the project manager may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by Seattle. Ecology shall reasonable effort to notify Seattle ten (10) days in advance of any field sampling event. All consultants and contractors with access to the Midway Landfill pursuant to this paragraph shall comply with the Ecology reviewed and accepted health and safety plans. Any new off-site access locations necessary for remedial

activities must be identified in writing and such information Seattle has obtained all must be submitted to Ecology. necessary permits and easements for access to private property for the purpose of conducting necessary off-site remedial If, after diligent efforts, Seattle is unable to activities. achieve additional access, Ecology will use its best efforts, consistent with its legal authority under the Chapter 70.105D Seattle shall RCW, to assist Seattle in obtaining such access. comply with the Chapter 70.105D RCW with respect to entry on real property not owned by Seattle.

# SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING AND AVAILABILITY

Seattle shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory

1 reports, and/or test results generated by it, or on its behalf, available to Ecology and shall submit these results once quality assurance data is received by Seattle (unless immediate in progress reports submitted action is required) or accordance with Section XIV, Progress Reports.

At the request of Ecology, Seattle shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Ecology and/or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Seattle pursuant to the implementation of this Decree unless Ecology fails to make available a representative for this purpose. Seattle shall make a reasonable effort to notify Ecology ten (10) days in advance of any field sampling activity (sample collection, Ecology shall allow split or drilling, excavation, etc.). duplicate samples to be taken by Seattle or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree, unless Seattle fails to make available a representative for this purpose. Ecology shall make a reasonable effort to notify Seattle ten (10) days in advance of any field sampling activity.

#### PROGRESS REPORTS XVI.

Commencing with entry of this Decree, Seattle shall submit to Ecology written monthly progress reports which describe the actions it has taken during the previous month to implement the Progress reports shall requirements of this Decree. describe the activities scheduled to be taken during the next

25 26

5

6

8

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1||month. All progress reports shall be submitted by the tenth 2 day of the month in which they are due commencing in the first 3 month after the effective date of this Decree. The progress reports shall include a detailed statement of the manner and 5 extent to which the requirements and time schedules set out in 6||the work plan and this Decree are being met. Unless otherwise 7 agreed, progress reports and any other documents submitted 8 pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by certified mail, g return-receipt requested, or' overnight parcel delivery (signature required), to Ecology's project manager.

# XVII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

Seattle shall preserve, during the pendency of this Decree and for ten (10) years from the date of completion of compliance monitoring all final documents in its possession relevant to the implementation of this Decree, unless relieved of this obligation by operation of law. Upon request of Ecology, Seattle shall make all non-archived records available to Ecology and allow access for review. All archived records shall be made available to Ecology within thirty (30) days.

# XVIII. PROVISION OF OTHER DOCUMENTS

Upon Ecology's request, Seattle shall provide Ecology with copies of Midway Landfill final plans, final task memoranda, including memoranda recording minor field modifications, recommendations for further action, quality assurance memoranda and audits, final reports, raw data filed into laboratory

26

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 analytical reports and any other documents which relate in any 2 way to the facility, including those pertaining to any studies 3 relevant to but not specifically required by this Decree.

#### TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY XIX.

No voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, leasement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the 7 | landfill shall be consummated without provision for continued 8 operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, or monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.

Upon entry of this Decree, and to the extent Seattle is the owner of the real property described in Appendix A, Seattle shall place a notice in the records of real property kept by the county auditor stating that said property was used as a landfill and was on the National Priorities List. transfer of any legal or equitable interest in all or any portion of the landfill real property, Seattle shall serve a copy of this Decree upon any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in interest of the property; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Seattle shall notify Ecology of said contemplated transfer.

#### RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES XX.

Seattle and Ecology's project managers shall use their best efforts informally and in good faith to resolve all If, however, disputes disputes or differences of opinion.

25

26

24

5

11

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

arise between Seattle and Ecology concerning modifications, disapprovals, or decisions made pursuant to this Decree, which the project managers are unable to resolve informally, either Ecology shall present a written notice of such dispute to Seattle or Seattle shall present a written notice of such 6 dispute to Ecology.

If Seattle objects to any Ecology disapproval, proposed 8 modification, or decision made pursuant to this Decree, it g|shall notify Ecology in writing of its objections within 10 fifteen (15) days of receipt of such notice. Thereafter, the 11 Parties shall confer in an effort to resolve informally the dispute. This informal dispute resolution process should start with the Ecology section head and Seattle Landfill Closure If these individuals are unable to resolve the Director. dispute, the issue shall be elevated to the Ecology Program Manager and Seattle Solid Waste Utility Director. level of informal dispute resolution shall involve the Ecology Assistant Director of Waste Management and Seattle's Director of the Engineering Department.

If, through this informal dispute resolution process, agreement cannot be reached on the dispute within thirty (30) days after receipt by Ecology of such objections, Ecology shall promptly provide a written statement of its decision to Seattle.

24 25

26

18

19

20

21

22

23

CONSENT DECREE

Seattle, then Seattle has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge may retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree.

In the event Seattle presents an issue to the Court for

If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to

In the event Seattle presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review the action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of review. Ecology and Seattle agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.

Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders. Stipulated penalties shall not begin to accrue during pendancy of good faith dispute resolution procedures.

# XXI. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE

This Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation among all the Parties to this Decree that is entered by the

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

CONSENT DECREE

Court. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.

Amendment of this Consent Decree is not required for minor change orders or minor field work orders that do not materially affect the scope of work. Major design change or field work orders that materially change the scope of work or conceptual design will require amendment of this Decree.

Amendment of this Decree is not required for change of designated project managers.

### XXII. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

If any event occurs which may cause or has caused a delay in or deviation from achievement of the requirements of this Decree, Seattle shall promptly notify Ecology's project manager orally and shall, within five (5) working days of oral notification to Ecology, notify Ecology in writing of:

- The anticipated duration and cause of the delay or deviation.
- 2. The measures that have been or will be taken to prevent or minimize the delay or deviation.
- 3. The timetable by which Seattle proposes to carry out such measures.
- A. An extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion and good cause exists for granting the extension. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology.

10

19

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

. 22

23

24

25 26

CONSENT DECREE

Ecology shall act upon any written request for extension within thirty (30) days. It shall not be necessary to formally amend this Decree pursuant to Section XXI, Amendment of Consent Decree, when a schedule extension is granted.

- B. The burden shall be on Seattle to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- 1. Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of Seattle including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology such as, but not limited to, delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by Seattle; or
- 2. Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, wave or water conditions, or other unavoidable casualty; or
- 3. Endangerment as described in Section XXIV, Endangerment. However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of the Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Seattle; or
  - . Lack of access.
- C. Ecology may extend the schedule for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days, except where an extension is needed as

a result of the items listed below. Ecology may extend the schedule in excess of 90 days for the following reasons:

- 1. Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was timely applied for or, if necessary, to comply with permit conditions; or
- 2. Judicial review of the issuance, non-issuance, or reissuance of a necessary permit; or
- 3. Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology; or
- 4. Endangerment as described in Section XXIV, Endangerment; or
- 5. The need to protect the environment or public health. Ecology shall give Seattle written notice in a timely fashion of any extensions granted or denied pursuant to the Decree.
- D. If Seattle does not meet the requirements in the Plans and Specifications detailing the scope of work as set out in the work plan described in Section XI.B. and C herein, Ecology reserves the right to require the work cease until Seattle and Ecology agree to an appropriate course of action. Ecology shall provide Seattle with five (5) days written notice prior to requiring Seattle to cease work. Said written notice shall state specifically the work not meeting requirements set forth in the project plans and specifications.

4

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

If Seattle fails to meet a scheduled deadline in Α. with Section XI.D. of this Decree, accordance stipulates and agrees that it shall be obligated at Ecology's discretion to pay into the State Toxics Control Account of the State of Washington, a civil penalty, in an amount of up to five hundred dollars (\$500) per day during the first thirty (30) days; up to seven hundred fifty dollars (\$750) per day during the next thirty (30) days; and up to one thousand dollars (\$1,000) per day thereafter.

Seattle shall not be liable for payment under this В. section if it has submitted a timely request to Ecology for an Extension schedule under Section XXII, extension ofSchedule, and such request has been granted by Ecology or the Court prior to or after the deadline at issue. Penalties shall begin to accrue only upon receipt by Seattle of denial notification noncompliance or of schedule of extension.

c. Upon determination by Ecology that Seattle has failed to meet a deadline referenced in Section XI.D. of this Decree or has otherwise failed to comply with this Decree, Ecology shall immediately give written notice to Seattle of the failure, specifying the provision of the Decree which has not been complied with and specifying the amount of the civil penalty due pursuant to Subsection A of this Section. Seattle

shall pay the civil penalty within sixty (60) days of receipt of notification from Ecology or as may be required after the completion of any dispute resolution under Section XX, Resolution of Disputes. Ecology may waive stipulated penalties when it deems appropriate.

D. Any disagreement over the factual basis for issuance of a penalty under this Section shall be resolved through the dispute resolution clause. In the event Seattle disagrees with the result of the dispute resolution process, Seattle may seek relief from the Court. Any penalty issued pursuant to this Section shall not be appealable to the Pollution Control Hearings Board.

## XXIV. ENDANGERMENT

Ecology determines In event or concurs determination by another local, state, or federal agency that activities implementing or in noncompliance with this Decree, or any other circumstances or activities, are creating or have the potential to create a danger to the health or welfare of the people on the Midway Landfill or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Ecology shall order Seattle to stop further implementation of this Decree for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition the Court for an order as During any stoppage of work under this Section, appropriate. the obligations of Seattle with respect to the work ordered to stopped shall be suspended and the time periods

25 26

2

3

5

6

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

22

23

24

25

26

performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which is stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XXII, Extension of Schedule, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

In the event Seattle determines that activities undertaken in furtherance of this Decree or any other circumstances or imminent and substantial activities creating are an endangerment to the people on the Midway Landfill or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Seattle shall stop implementation of this Decree for such periods necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine whether Seattle should proceed with implementation of this Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. Seattle shall notify either Ecology field personnel on-site or the project manager as soon as is possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such Within five (5) days after such stoppage, stoppage of work. provide Ecology with documentation its shall analysis in reaching this determination. If Ecology disagrees with Seattle's determination, it may order Seattle to resume implementation of this Decree. If Ecology concurs in the work stoppage, Seattle's obligations shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped,

7

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

CONSENT DECREE

2 Schedule, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Any disagreements pursuant to this clause shall be resolved through the dispute resolution procedures in Section XX, Resolution of Disputes.

extended, pursuant to Section XXII, Extension of

### RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

- Ecology reserves its rights to institute remedial Α. action(s) at the Site and subsequently pursue cost recovery, and Ecology reserves its rights to issue orders penalties pursuant to available statutory authority under the following circumstances:
- 1. In the event Seattle fails to comply with the terms of this Consent Decree; or
- In the event or upon the discovery of a release or 2. threatened release not addressed by this Decree; or
- In the event that the remedial actions are not being constructed to the specifications set forth herein; or
- Upon Ecology's determination that action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an emergency situation which threatens the public health or welfare or the environment.

Ecology will notify Seattle in writing of the need for any such remedial action and will allow Seattle the option to perform the additional remedial action before Ecology initiates such an action at the site.

B. Seattle reserves all rights and defenses available in law and in equity regarding liability or responsibility in any proceedings related to the Midway Landfill, other than proceedings by Ecology to enforce this Decree.

This Decree shall not be construed in any way as a waiver or limitation on Ecology's or Seattle's right to seek reimbursement from any responsible party, including entities not a signatory to this Decree, for investigative, response, and oversight costs incurred by Ecology or Seattle in connection with activities conducted at the Midway Landfill.

### XXVI. COVENANT REOPENERS

Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040 (4)(c), a reopener to the aforementioned Covenant Not to Sue is necessary which requires amendment of this Decree if factors not known at the time of entry of the settlement agreement are discovered and present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment. In addition, because final remedial action will begin prior to completion of the RI/FS and Record of Decision, the landfill cap, gas extraction and surface water systems remedial actions may be subject to modification for the reasons set forth below.

Therefore, Ecology specifically reserves the opportunity to reopen the Covenant Not to Sue in the following circumstances:

 In the event Seattle fails to comply with the terms of this Consent Decree; or

26 ||

CONSENT DECREE

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- 3. In the event new hazardous substances are found in the landfill which are not presently known and which present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment; or
- new information that alters the technical evaluation of landfill conditions and appropriate remedial action pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040 (4)(a).

### XXVII. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

Subject to the Covenant Reopeners and the Reservation of Rights set forth above, Ecology agrees that by entering into and carrying out the terms of this Consent Decree, pursuant to RCW 70.105D(4)(d) Seattle will have resolved its liability to the Ecology for matters covered herein and shall not be liable for claims for contribution regarding matters covered herein.

## XXVIII. INDEMNIFICATION

Insofar as Seattle may be authorized to do so under the laws and constitution of the State of Washington, agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Seattle, its officers, employees, agents, implementing this contractors in entering into or except for claims arising from or on account of the negligent officers agents, its omissions of Ecology, or employees.

# XXIX. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

All actions carried out by Seattle pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, insofar as required by law.

### XXX. OVERSIGHT COSTS

Seattle agrees to reimburse the State Toxics Control Account of the State of Washington, for all reasonable and appropriate costs expended by Ecology, including oversight costs not to exceed \$125,000 per year, associated with Ecology's activities at the Midway Landfill conducted during the implementation of this Decree. Oversight dollars that are not expended by Ecology during a given year shall not carry

CONSENT DECREE

1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

-42-

over to succeeding years. Within ninety (90) days of the end 2 of each fiscal quarter, Ecology will submit to Seattle a summary statement of Ecology's expenses for the previous quarter, with adequate documentation. Following receipt of the 4 statement and adequate documentation, Seattle shall pay, within 5 ninety (90) days, into the State Toxics Control Account of the State of Washington, the required sum. With respect to the costs incurred by Ecology prior to the work included under the Midway "Response Order by Consent" dated October Seattle will make payment within 120 days of receipt 10 satisfactory supporting documentation from Ecology. 11 has indicated the costs incurred prior to the October 3, 1986, Consent Order total approximately \$1.2 million dollars. 13 actual amount to be paid by Seattle will be determined after 14

Seattle has verified Ecology's documentation.

Documentation for payment of oversight costs made to Ecology by Seattle under the terms of this Decree shall be attached to each statement of Ecology's expenses and may include, but not be limited to, the following information: documentation of work performed, as identified by Ecology project index codes, expenses incurred, proof of payment and to whom paid, and consultant and/or contractor invoices. Upon request by Seattle, Ecology will make available to Seattle for verification all reasonable supporting records and documents,

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ms. Lin Robinson Seattle S d Waste Utility 710 Second Avenue Suite 505, Dexter Horton Bldg. Seattle, WA 98104

not privileged, which supports Ecology's claim for oversight costs.

Payment of such sums shall not be construed in any way as evidence of or an admission of liability or responsibility Seattle retains the right to 5| by Seattle in any proceeding. 6 seek reimbursement in whole or in part from any responsible entities for such sums expended.

#### XXXI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Ecology shall maintain the lead responsibility for public participation at the Site. To date, Ecology and Seattle have completed a public participation plan at the Midway Landfill and have implemented an on-going public participation program for on-going remedial activities at the landfill. Ecology will work cooperatively with Seattle to complete the remaining tasks required under WAC 173-340-600 for activities at the landfill. Ecology may delegate to Seattle all or portions of these tasks:

- Provide information to the public, public notice, and Α. opportunities to comment as specified in WAC 173-340-600 for upcoming activities at the landfill.
- Prepare drafts of press releases, public notices, and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the approval of work plans and the selection of a remedial alternative.

24

3

8

9

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

C. Coordinate press, public notice, and fact sheet releases before major meetings and presentations with the interested public and local government.

D. Supply information to the data repositories established at the Kent and Des Moines Public Libraries. For example, work plans, remedial investigation and feasibility study reports, public review documents and other information deemed necessary by Ecology.

Seattle and Ecology shall work cooperatively to implement public participation activities for the Site. This shall include participation in public meetings and presentations on the progress of remedial actions at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions or as a presenter.

In the event of a disagreement over the contents of any document or action prepared for purposes of public participation, issues shall be resolved in a mutually agreeable manner. Disagreements between Seattle's and Ecology's project managers shall be elevated to their respective section heads or directors for resolution if they cannot be resolved by the project managers.

### XXXII. DURATION OF DECREE

This Decree shall remain in effect and the remedial program described in this Decree shall be maintained and

26

continued until all requirements as specified in Section XI of this Decree have been completed to the satisfaction of Ecology.

## XXXIII. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Decree will be subject to public notice and hearing under the Chapter 70.105D RCW. Ecology reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent to the proposed final Decree if new information discloses facts or considerations which indicate that the proposed Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent, this Decree shall be null and void at the option of any party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this Decree.

### XXXIV. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Seattle may claim any exemption from disclosure of public records pursuant to existing law. Such a claim shall adequately substantiated when the confidentiality claim Quality-assured analytical data shall not be claimed as made. determined Seattle. Information confidential by Ecology will be afforded the protection confidential by specified by law. If no such claim accompanies the information when it is submitted to Ecology, it may be made available to the public.

## XXXV. OTHER CLAIMS

Nothing herein is intended to release any claims, causes of action or demands in law or equity by any party against any person, entity, firm, partnership or corporation not a signatory to this Decree for any liability arising out of, or relating in any way to, the disposal of waste at, or the release of any substance at, to, or from, the Midway Landfill.

## XXXVI. SATISFACTION OF THIS DECREE

The provisions of this Decree shall be deemed satisfied upon Seattle's receipt of written certification from Ecology that the program outlined in this Decree has been completed. Ecology's failure to certify completion is subject to the provisions of Section XX of this Decree.

By their signatures hereto, the undersigned represent that they have the authority to bind the parties they represent.

For State of Washington Department of Ecology

Carol L. Fleshes
CAROL FLESKES

Program Manager Hazardous Waste

8

9

10

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

Investigation and Cleanup

Date: May 29, 1990

For State of Washington Attorney General's Office

JAY J. MANNING Assistant Attorney General

Date: 1 36 55

1				
2	For City of Seattle	For City of Seattle City Attorney's Office	<b></b>	
3 4 5	GARY ZARKER Director Seattle Engineering Dept.	MARY KAY DOHERTY Assistant City Attorney		
1		Date: 440 , 29, 19	c <u>,</u>	
6	Date: 5/29/90	Date: / / /		
7	DATED AND ENTERED this _	day of, 1	1990.	
8	·			
9				
10		JUDGE, King County Superior	Court	
11				
12	T1/consent/midwaycd			
13				
14		•	#	
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	-	,	Æ	
20	CONSENT DECREE	-48-	16 <sub>44</sub>	