Blaine Mini Mart Groundwater Monitoring Blaine, WA

First Quarter of 2013 Sampling Summary Report

Prepared for



Toxics Cleanup Program Headquarters Washington State Department of Ecology Lacey, Washington

Prepared by



Science Applications International Corporation 18912 North Creek Parkway, Suite 101 Bothell, WA 98011

May 2013

Table of Contents

Page

1.0	Introduction	L
2.0	Field Sampling	2
3.0	Groundwater Results	3
4.0	References	5

Figures

Figure 1.	Location Map for the Blaine Mini Mart Site
Figure 2.	Site Map
Figure 3.	Groundwater Elevation Contour Map

Tables

Table 1.	Sampling Locations
Table 2.	Groundwater Analytical Results

Appendices

- Appendix A Groundwater Sampling Field Forms
- Appendix B Laboratory Reports and Chain-of-Custody Forms

Appendix C Data Validation Report

List of Acronyms

BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes
CUL	cleanup level
dCAP	draft Cleanup Action Plan
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EDB	1, 2-dibromoethane (ethylene dibromide)
EDC	1, 2-dichlorethane
μg/L	micrograms per liter
MTBE	methyl-tertiary-butyl ether
MTCA	Model Toxics Control Act
NAD	North American Datum
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
TPH-G	total petroleum hydrocarbons – gasoline
TPH-Dx	total petroleum hydrocarbons - diesel and motor oil
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency

1.0 Introduction

The Blaine Mini Mart is an active gas station located at 2530 Peace Portal Drive within the city limits of Blaine, Washington (Figure 1). Previously identified as 1828 Peace Portal Drive, the property is situated within a mixed commercial/residential area. The property is a half-acre triangular lot bounded by Peace Portal Drive to the southwest and Bell Road to the west. Adjacent to the property, vacant land is located to the east and northeast, and an abandoned former Rocky Mountain Trading Post building is located to the southeast. The property is entirely covered with asphalt, concrete, and other structures. The surface slopes gently to the southwest, toward Peace Portal Drive. Dakota Creek is located approximately 1,000 feet south of the property and discharges to Drayton Harbor of Puget Sound, roughly 1,500 feet southwest of the site (Environmental Associates 2005). Shallow groundwater at the site generally flows to the south-southwest, toward Dakota Creek and Drayton Harbor (SAIC 2010a).

In April and May 2011, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) performed a remedial excavation on the site (SAIC 2011), per the draft Cleanup Action Plan (dCAP) (SAIC 2010b). Following remediation, three monitoring wells were installed. Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) has performed two rounds of groundwater monitoring at this site to obtain data to assess onsite groundwater contamination on behalf of Ecology. The first round of sampling was conducted in the third quarter of 2012 on July 24, 2012 (SAIC 2012b), and the second round was conducted in the first quarter of 2013 on March 6, 2013. The locations of the groundwater monitoring and observation wells are presented in Figure 2.

This document provides information regarding the sampling locations and presents the results for the second round of sampling. Descriptions of the sample collection and handling procedures, analytical methods, data quality objectives, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements for this study are presented in the Sampling and Analysis Plan/Quality Assurance Project Plan (SAP/QAPP) (SAIC 2012a).

The field activities and results are summarized in the following sections.

2.0 Field Sampling

As the second round of sampling, the first quarter of 2013 semi-annual groundwater monitoring event was conducted by SAIC on March 6, 2013. The well depths, depths to groundwater, elevation (relative to site datum), and coordinates are listed in Table 1. Groundwater monitoring field forms are provided in Appendix A.

Groundwater elevation (relative to site datum) ranged from 39.78 feet (MW-8) to 41.63 feet (MW-6). Shallow groundwater flowed in the south-southeast direction. The hydraulic gradient during this sampling event was approximately 0.023 foot per foot (ft/ft). Only the three groundwater monitoring wells were used to calculate the hydraulic gradient. The groundwater elevation measurement in the observation well OW-1 was not included in the calculation because it is not considered a representative monitoring well and the well casing was found uncapped inside an unsealed well vault containing a significant amount of water. Groundwater elevation contours are presented in Figure 3.

Well ID	Depth of Well (in feet from top of casing)	Depth to Water (feet)	Elevation of Well Casing (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)	Northing	Easting
MW-6	17.08	1.60	43.23	41.63	726374.01	1185408.25
MW-7	16.77	1.33	42.57	41.24	726341.51	1185386.48
MW-8	19.59	2.05	41.83	39.78	726294.58	1185432.36
OW-1	12.51	1.60	42.18	40.58	726315.94	1185479.26

Table 1.	Sampling Locations
----------	--------------------

Note: Data are measured to the north rim of the monitoring wells.

Coordinates are in the WA State coordinate system North Zone NAD 1983.

Observation well OW-1 was originally intended to be sampled during the first round of groundwater monitoring in July 2012; however, it was successfully located, monitored, and sampled during this round of sampling instead. There were no other field deviations.

All three observation wells (OW-1, OW-2, and OW-3) were found uncapped with open casings inside unsealed vaults, where a significant amount of water was observed inside. Strong hydrocarbon odors were observed inside the well vaults of observation wells OW-2 and OW-3. A sheen was observed in observation wells OW-1 and OW-2.

3.0 Groundwater Results

Groundwater samples were collected from the three monitoring wells and one observation well (Table 1) on March 6, 2013, and submitted to Test America in Tacoma, Washington, for analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX); 1,2-dibromoethane (EDB); 1,2-dichlorethane (EDC); methyl-tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE); naphthalene; 1-methylnapthalene; 2-methylnapthalene; total petroleum hydrocarbons – gasoline (TPH-G); and total petroleum hydrocarbons – diesel and motor oil (TPH-Dx). The laboratory reports and chain-of-custody forms are provided in Appendix B.

No chemicals were detected in any of the samples collected from the groundwater monitoring wells MW-6, MW-7, and MW-8. Similarly, no chemicals were detected in any of the samples collected from the groundwater monitoring wells in the first round of sampling in July 2012 (SAIC 2012b).

The sample collected from observation well OW-1 had detected concentrations of 1methylnaphthalene (0.12 μ g/L), total naphthalenes (0.12 μ g/L), and TPH-diesel (250 μ g/L), although these detected concentrations were below cleanup levels (CULs). Sample analysis results are summarized and presented in Table 2.

All analytical results were independently validated by EcoChem, Inc. of Seattle, Washington. EcoChem performed a summary level EPA Stage 2B data validation following U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) guidance (USEPA 2008, 2009) on all data. The laboratory received three sample coolers with measured temperatures greater than the upper control limit of 6.0°C, at 6.6°, 6.8° and 6.9°C; however, these temperature outliers did not impact data quality. Three analytical results were J-qualified as estimated for the following reasons: the recovery of a continuing calibration verification sample was below control limits (TPH-gasoline in MW-6-030613), a sample surrogate recovery was below control limits (toluene in MW-6-030613), and the chromatographic pattern for TPH-diesel in sample OW-1-030613 did not match the pattern of the calibration standard. No data were rejected during data validation; all results are acceptable for use, as qualified. Analytical results are presented in Table 2 and the data validation report is provided in Appendix C. No additional monitoring is planned at this time.

Chemical	Unit	CULs	MW-6- 030613	MW-7- 030613	MW-8- 030613	OW-1- 030613	Trip Blank
Volatile Organic Compounds							
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	µg/L	0.01 ^a	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	µg/L	4 ^b	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Benzene	µg/L	2.6 ^b	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	700 ^a	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
m, p-Xylene	µg/L	-	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U
Methyl t-butyl ether	µg/L	20 ^a	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
o-Xylene	µg/L	-	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Toluene	µg/L	1,000 ^a	1.0 UJ	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Total Xylenes	μg/L	900 ^b	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U	2.0 U
Naphthalenes							
1-Methylnaphthalene	μg/L	-	0.095 U	0.10 U	0.098 U	0.12	na
2-Methylnaphthalene	µg/L	-	0.12 U	0.13 U	0.13 U	0.13 U	na
Naphthalene	µg/L	-	0.095 U	0.10 U	0.098 U	0.1 U	na
Total Naphthalenes	µg/L	160 ^a	0.12 U	0.13 U	0.13 U	0.12	na
Petroleum Hydrocarbons							
TPH-Gasoline	µg/L	800 ^a	50 UJ	50 U	50 U	50 U	50 U
TPH-Diesel	µg/L	500 ^a	120 U	130 U	120 U	250 J	na
TPH-Motor oil	µg/L	500 ^a	250 U	250 U	240 U	250 U	na

 Table 2.
 Groundwater Analytical Results

Detections are **bold**

^a MTCA Method A CUL

^b Site-Specific CUL

 $CUL = cleanup \ level$

MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act

na = not analyzed

TPH – total petroleum hydrocarbons

U = not detected at reporting limit shown

UJ = not detected at estimated reporting limit shown

J = the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample

4.0 References

- Environmental Associates, Inc. 2005. Subsurface Sampling and Testing Blaine Mini Mart (Gas Station and Convenience Store). December 08, 2005.
- SAIC. 2010a. Site Characterization Report, Blaine Mini Mart, Blaine, Washington. Submitted to Ecology, July 21, 2010.
- SAIC. 2010b. Draft Cleanup Action Plan, Blaine, Washington, Submitted to Ecology, September 14, 2010.
- SAIC. 2011. Blaine Remedial Excavation Report, Blaine, Washington, Submitted to Ecology, August 2011.
- SAIC. 2012a. Blaine Mini Mart Groundwater Monitoring, Blaine, Washington, Sampling and Analysis Plan / Quality Assurance Project Plan, Submitted to Ecology, July 2012.
- SAIC. 2012b. Blaine Mini Mart Groundwater Monitoring, Blaine, Washington, Third Quarter of 2012 Sampling Summary Report, Submitted to Ecology, October 2012.
- USEPA, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. June 2008. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program, National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review. EPA-540-R-08-01. Washington, DC.
- USEPA, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. January 2009. *Guidance for labeling externally validated laboratory analytical data for Superfund use*. EPA-540-R-08-005. Washington, DC.

This page is intentionally blank.

Figures





Figure 1. Location Map for the Blaine Mini Mart Site











Appendix A Groundwater Sampling Field Forms

Blaine Mini Mart

	SAMPLE I	D NO.: _/	NW-6-030	613	WELL ID: $M \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{G}$						
	DATE/TIM	E: 3/6/1	3 1050			WEATHER: dyercoust 45°F					
	ANALYSIS	: <u>Coc</u>									
	Method of pu	o water: <u>2</u> rval: 7 .	.71 ft 08-17.09 Stultic Pun	~0	Depth of well: 17.08 Volume of water in well: 4.69 Jallous Purge rate: 40 mL/3min						
			ing: Liquinor/	Dedicated	Luberry			\frown			
	SAMPLE C	CONTAIN	ER DATA:			SAMPLE ME		<u> </u>	~		
		eser- Volume	No. No.			FILTERED F	OR MEI	TALS? Ye	es No		
	weth He	CI 40mi	Required Fill			Photograph		P			
	KJUGT -					Sample Ente	ered on C	.0.C.? 🖆			
	SAMPLE PRESERVATION METHOD:								Iced		
	[Volume of w	vater in mon	uitoring well (2	-ich diameter	PVC) = 0	(0.655)*h' h = he	ight of wa	ter column ir	i welll		
	WATER (UALITY Volume	Y OBSERV Depth to	ATIONS I	DURIN TO.1	(0.655)*h', h = he 2 G PURGING Conductivity (uS(cm))	<u>r</u> 3 ⁶ 7. D.O. ¹⁰	-Redox		+10	
		QUALITY	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft)	ATIONS I Temp (°C)	DURIN TO.1 pH	2 G PURGING	27. D.O. ¹⁰ (mg/L)	Y. ORP		<u> </u>	
	WATER (Volume Purged	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 乳,72	ATIONS I	DURIN то.і рн 7.22	2 G PURGING Conductivity (µS/cm) c+Wm 935	D.O. 10 (mg/L)	-Redox (mV) 10mV 48,2		<u> </u>	
	WATER (Date/Time	Volume Purged	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) (1.57	DURIN то.і рн 7.22 7.31	2 G PURGING Conductivity (µS/cm) CHW7 935 935	D.O. ¹⁰ (mg/L) (<i>l</i>]1 ²⁷ (<i>mg</i> /L) (0RP -Redox (mV) 10mV 48.2 41.1	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.8	<u> </u>	
colleg total	WATER (Date/Time 3/6/13 1012 1/6/13 1015	Volume Purged (gallons)	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2,75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.63 9.63 9.79	URIN ^{то.1} рн 7.22 7.31 7.31 7.31	2 G PURGING Conductivity (µS/cm) cHWm cHWm cHWm cHWm cHWm cHWm cHWm cHWm	D.O. ¹⁰ (mg/L) (<i>Mg/L</i>) (<i>J</i>). 56-3 (<i>J</i>). 7, 36 2,84	7. OR P Redox. (mV) 10 mV 48,2 41.1 31.5	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.8 4.2	<u> </u>	
cjallen totel	WATER (Date/Time ひんり 1012 ひんり 1012	Volume Purged	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2,75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.576 9.63 9.79 9.85	DURIN To.i pH 7.22 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.35	2 G PURGING Conductivity (µS/cm) c+Wm c+Wm c+Wm c+Wm c+Wm c+Wm c+Wm c+Wm	2.53 D.O. ¹⁰ (mg/L) (<i>Mg/</i> L) (<i>J</i> , 7 (<i>Mg/</i> L) (<i>J</i> , 7 (<i>Mg/</i> L) (<i></i>	7. OR P -Redox (mV) 10 mV 48,2 41.1 31.5 23.5	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.7	<u> </u>	
Gallen total	WATER (Date/Time 7/6/13 1012 7/6/13 1015 1613 1613 1624	Volume Purged (gallons)	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2,75 2,75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.63 9.63 9.79 9.85 9.85 9.89	DURIN TO.1 pH 7.22 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.35 7.35 7.35	2 G PURGING Conductivity (µS/cm) c+W? 935 935 939 939 940 938	2.53 D.O. ¹⁰ (mg/L) (<i>U</i>) 3.76 2.76 2.76 2.76 2.75 2.15	70 RP -Redox (mV) 10 mV 48,2 41.1 31.5 23.5 16.7	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.7 3.7	<u> </u>	
Galler total	WATER (Date/Time 7/6/13 1012 7/6/13 1015 1613 1624 1024 1027	Volume Purged (gallons)	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.68 9.68 9.79 9.85 9.85 9.84 9.94	DURIN TO.1 pH 7.22 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31	2 G PURGING Conductivity (µS/cm) CHW7 935 935 938 938 938 938	D.O. ¹⁶ (mg/L) (<i>Mg/L</i>) 3.76 3.76 2.75 2.75 2.15 1.95	0RP -Redox (mV) 10mV 48,2 41.1 31.5 23.5 16.7 12.7	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.8 4.8 4.2 3.7 3.7 2.9	<u> </u>	
gallen total	WATER (Date/Time 3/6/13 1012 4/6/13 1015 16/13 10/34 1024 1027 10/30	Volume Purged (gallons)	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.68 9.68 9.79 9.85 9.85 9.85 9.89 9.94 10.03	DURIN TO.1 pH 7.22 7.31 7.32 7.31 7.31 7.35 7.35 7.36 7.36 7.36 7.37	2 G PURGING (µS/cm) CHW7 935 935 938 939 938 938 938 938 938 938	2.53 D.O. ¹⁰ (mg/L) (mg/L) 2.75 2.75 2.75 1.95 1.95 1.83	0 RP -Redox (mV) 10 mV 48.2 41.1 31.5 23.5 16.7 12.7 12.7 9.3	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.7 7.7 2.9 2.9 2.4	<u> </u>	
Cjallen total	WATER (Date/Time 3/6/13 1012 4/6/13 1015 1618 1024 1027 1027 1057	Volume Purged (gallons)	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.57 9.68 9.79 9.85 9.85 9.89 9.85 9.89 9.97 10.07 10.12	DURIN TO.1 pH 7.22 7.32 7.31 7.32 7.31 7.35 7.35 7.35 7.35 7.35	2 G PURGING Conductivity (µS/cm) c+W7 935 935 938 939 938 938 938 938 938 938 938 938	2.53 1.83 1.83 1.80	0RP -Redox (mV) 10mV 48,2 41.1 31.5 23.5 16.7 12.7	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.7 7.7 2.9 2.9 2.4 2.4 1.2	<u> </u>	
Galler total	WATER (Date/Time 7/6/13 1012 7/6/13 1012 7/6/13 1015 1613 1634 1024 1027 10 ³ 7 16 ¹³ 7 16 ¹³ 7	Volume Purged (gallons)	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.55 9.68 9.68 9.79 9.85 9.85 9.89 9.85 9.89 9.85 10.03 10.12 10.12 10.19	DURIN TO.1 pH 7.22 7.31 7.32 7.31 7.31 7.35 7.35 7.36 7.36 7.36 7.37	2 G PURGING (µS/cm) CHW7 935 935 938 939 938 938 938 938 938 938	2.53 D.O. ¹⁰ (mg/L) (mg/L) 2.75 2.75 2.75 1.95 1.95 1.83	$ \begin{array}{c} 0RP \\ -Redox \\ (mV) \\ 10mV \\ 48,2 \\ 41.1 \\ 31.5 \\ 23.5 \\ 16.7 \\ 12.7 \\ 12.7 \\ 0.2 \\ 6.2 \\ 6.2 $	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.7 7.7 2.9 2.9 2.4	<u> </u>	
galler total	WATER (Date/Time 3/6/13 1012 4/6/13 1015 1618 1024 1027 1027 1057	Volume Purged (gallons)	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2.75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.57 9.68 9.79 9.85 9.85 9.89 9.85 9.89 9.97 10.07 10.12	URIN TO.1 pH 7.22 7.31 7.32 7.31 7.31 7.35 7.40	2 G PURGING (µS/cm) (µS/cm) (µS/cm) (µS/cm) (µS/cm) (µS/cm) (935 (935 (938 (938 (938 (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (938) (935) (938) (938) (938) (938) (935) (938) (936) (936) (935) (936) (937) (936) (937) (936) (937) (936) (937) (936) (936) (936) (937) (936) (937) (936) (936) (937) (936) (936) (937) (937) (936) (937) (937) (936) (937) (936) (936) (937) (936) (937) ((2.53 1.83 1.83 1.83 1.83	0RP -Redox (mV) 10mV 48.2 41.1 31.5 23.5 16.7 12.7 9.3 6.2 3.9	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.2 3.7 2.7 2.7 2.9 2.4 1.9	<u> </u>	
Gallen total	WATER (Date/Time 7/6/13 1012 7/6/13 1012 1613 1624 1024 1027 1027 1027 1027 1027	Volume Purged (gallons)	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75 2,75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.68 9.68 9.68 9.79 9.85 9.85 9.89 9.85 9.89 9.97 10.07 10.12 10.12 10.19 10.20	URIN TO.1 pH 7.22 7.31 7.32 7.31 7.35 7.	2 GPURGING Conductivity (µS/cm) c+W7 935 935 938 938 938 938 938 938 938 938 938 938	2.53 1.83 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	0RP -Redox (mV) 10mV 48,2 41.1 31.5 23.5 16.7 12.4 9.3 6.2 3.9 1.3	Turbidity (NTU) 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.7 3.7 2.9 2.9 2.4 3.2 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.6	<u> </u>	
Gallen total	WATER (Date/Time V6/13 1012 V6/13 1012 V6/13 1012 V6/13 1015 I613 I634 I024 I027 I037 I037 I037 I037 I039 I039 I039 I039 I039 I039 I039 I039	Volume Purged (gallons)	Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2,72 2,75 2.75	ATIONS I Temp (°C) 9.57 9.68 9.68 9.79 9.85 9.85 9.85 9.89 9.85 9.89 9.94 10.03 10.12 10.12 10.25	ITO.I PH F.22 F.31 F.31 F.31 F.31 F.35	2 GPURGING Conductivity (µS/cm) c+W7 935 935 938 938 938 938 938 938 938 938 938 938	2.53 1.83 1.59 1.49	0RP -Redox (mV) 10mV 48,2 41.1 31.5 23.5 16.7 12.7 9.3 6.2 3.9 1.3 -0.4	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Turbidity} \\ \text{(NTU)} \\ 5.4 \\ 4.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ \end{array} $	<u> </u>	

₽°

2.51 Bend of xplay

Date/Time	Volume Purged (gallons)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pН	Conductivity (µS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	Redox (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)
			t,tarxn - i			121	See that	HUAR
			192214		See week		42. V.1	a .b.a)
							h i lugi	102 A
						1.7.4.78	had a find the	11000
			duest here			2341.2		A LO HAL
profess.					200	285		
	- 2-2.13			-		Develop 1	i na naly	umund
				-1 -1	m ram tatal		111.4 - 125 - 11 - 11	
	1				0/00		li ne ne ne	d the second
	1.12.13	n sonagh	31,005					
				\square				Mag-1
		in Char	ing state			- 2		
			(P)		\mathbf{N}	1.1		
			D					
							1 I. I.	ina.
-								
		- 1		1.01		1940 - A 19		e exe
				1-				
						- 13		
			-2			- 51		
	25							

Comments:

Signature: MR

Date/Time: 3/6/13 1100

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION FORM

Blaine Mini Mart

SAMPLE I	d no.: <u>/</u>	NW-7-03	0613		WELL ID: _/	4W-7	1983	
DATE/TIM	E: 3/6/1	3 1338			WEATHER:	Overcast	45°F	
ANALYSIS								
WELL PUF			CHW 3-	6-13				
		. 68 AL 2			_Depth of well:	16.77	1	
Screened inter	rval:	5.77 - 1	16.77		Volume of wate Purge rate: 40	r in well:	1.61 gal	long
Method of pu	rging: Peri	staltic Pu	inp	6.1	Purge rate: 40	014/31	lin	
		ing: Liquinox	/ Dedicated	Tubing			0	
SAMPLE C	CONTAIN	ER DATA:			SAMPLE ME	THOD: 1	um) Bailer (Other
					FILTERED F	OR MET	ALS? Yes	No
^{Type} va	tive	Required Fill						
have H	CI 70ml	6			Photograph	Taken?		
water -	- 11L	22						
			- de		Sample Ent	ered on C.	0.C.?	
SAMPLE P	RESERV	ATION ME	THOD:	HC	L + Tre		Io	ced 2
[Volume of w	vater in mor	itoring well (2	-ich diameter	PVC) = ((0.655)*h', h = h	eight of wat	er column in	well]
[· · · · ·	2			
WATER (UALITY	Y OBSERV	ATIONS I	DURING	G PURGING		ORP	
Date/Time	Volume Purged	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	Redox- (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)
Date/Time	(gallons)	water (II)	(C)	To.i	ミって	±107.	lonv	*- (07
3-6-13 1254		2,67	9,94	5,92	220	7.59	53.4	35.9
1257-100	3/6/13	2.60	9.88	5.92	28.3	7,23	155.5	27.6
1260		2.58	9.69	5.93	284	7.16	157.5	22.6
1303		2.58	9.97	5,93	254	7.12	159	18.6
1306		2,58	9.98	5.93	284	7.00	160.0	5.9
1304		2.60	9.94	5.92	284	7.03	162,4	13.8
1312	T.	2.59	9.93	592	284	6.96	163.4	p.4
1315		2,60	9.92	5.12	285	6.88	1648	10.4
1318		2.60	9.91	5.42	386	6.80	165.8	9.4
1321	16-illen	2.59	9.92	5.92	286	6.75	166.2	8.3
1724		2.51	27.19	5/12	386	6.69	1668	8.0
1377-		2.54	3.86	511	287	6.67	167.3	7.4
1330		2.54	9.84	5.12	297	6.03	118-0	6.8
1353		2,60	9.53	5.92	352	6.64	168.4	1.5
4								

Date/Time	Volume Purged (gallons)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	рН	Conductivity (µS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	Redox (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)
1316		2.60	ST.P	5.92	388	6,66	169.1	5.6
13 R Sand	le Colle	2.700 2.70 at	1.6371					
		2.70 at	end of s	ampler	Na			
				1.)			
								1.1110
<i>e</i>								·
						0.9130-0		
	/							
				1				<u></u>
								Constant of
			A)				
- i	1							
, ,								
•								
	2							
				1				
				1				ъ.
					· · · · ·			
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Comments:

Signature: MCPS

Date/Time: 3/6/13 1400

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION FORM

Blaine Mini Mart

SAMPLE ID NO .: MW-8-0306/3					WELL ID: MW-8				
DATE/TIM	E: 3/6/	13	0.01		WEATHER:		ain 45%	c	
ANALYSIS			-951 4		11 M				
WELL PUF	-		1686 18						
Initial depth to			818	- K	_Depth of well:	19.59			
Screened inte	rval: <u>9</u>	59-19,5			Volume of wate	r in well:		llons	
Method of purging: Peristal Fill Purp. Method of decontaminating: liquinese / deducated fub					Purge rate:	mi/in n	, PL 5		
Method of de	contaminati	ng: (190100)	x (dedicate	d fubi			1 2		
SAMPLE C	CONTAIN	ER DATA:			SAMPLE MI	ETHOD:	Pump Bailer (Other	
					FILTERED H	FOR MET	ALS? Yes	No	
Type Pre va	tive	Required Fill							
herety H	C1 40m	66			Photograph	Taken?	V	- 27	
	CILL	23							
water -	- 16	7 0	-		Sample Ent	ered on C.	0.C.? 🗹		
-1 5 0									
				11.					
CAMDI E D	DECEDV	ATTON ME	THOD.	H	+ 100		L	bod	
SAMPLE P	PRESERV	ATION ME	THOD:	HCL	+ Ice		I	ced	
					<u>+ Ice</u> 0.655)*h', h = h	eight of wa	2.1		
[Volume of w	vater in mor	nitoring well (2	-ich diameter	PVC) = ((0.655)*h', h = h		2.1		
[Volume of w	vater in mor	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV	-ich diameter	PVC) = ($\frac{0.655)*h'}{2}$, h = h G PURGING		ter column in	well]	
[Volume of w	vater in mor UALIT Volume	nitoring well (2	-ich diameter	PVC) = ($\frac{0.655)*h'}{2}$, h = h G PURGING Conductivity	D.O.	2.1	well] Turbidity (NTU)	
[Volume of w	vater in mor	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to	-ich diameter ATIONS I Temp	PVC) = ($\frac{0.655)*h'}{2}$, h = h G PURGING		Redox (mV)	well] Turbidity	
[Volume of w	Vater in mor UALITY Volume Purged	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to	-ich diameter ATIONS I Temp	PVC) = (DURINO pH to 1 7.22	$\frac{0.655)*h'}{2}, h = h$ $Conductivity$ $(\mu S/cm)$ $\frac{5}{2}, \frac{6}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	D.O. (mg/L)	ter column in Redox (mV)	well] Turbidity (NTU)	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time	Vater in mor UALITY Volume Purged	hitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft)	-ich diameter ATIONS I Temp (°C)	PVC) = (DURINO pH to 1 to 1	$\frac{0.655)*h'}{2}, h = h$ $Conductivity$ $(\mu S/cm)$ $\frac{5}{2}, \frac{6}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	D.O. (mg/L) 5 i. J.	Redox (mV)	well] Turbidity (NTU) T 167.	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time	Vater in mor UALITY Volume Purged	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft)	-ich diameter ATIONS I Temp (°C)	PVC) = (DURINO pH to 1 to 1	$\frac{0.655)*h'}{2}, h = h$ $Conductivity$ $(\mu S/cm)$ $\frac{5}{2}, \frac{6}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	D.O. (mg/L) 5 ic 7 1.95	Redox (mV) $ c \cap V$ 73.2	well] Turbidity (NTU) Lo7. E.0	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time 1430 1436	Vater in mor UALITY Volume Purged	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2.90 2.99	-ich diameter ATIONS E Temp (°C)]0.i5 iv.19	PVC) = (DURINO pH to 1 7.22	0.655)*h', h = h 2 G PURGING Conductivity (μS/cm) <u>5</u> 6'; 1/3(1) 1/3(5)	D.O. (mg/L) 5 ie 9 1.95 1.55	Redox (mV) 10 AV 73.2 75 4	well] Turbidity (NTU) ± 167. 8.0 5.0	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time 1430 1436 1436	Vater in mor UALITY Volume Purged (gallons)	hitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2.90 2.99 3.35	-ich diameter ATIONS I Temp (°C) 10.15 10.15 10.19 10.30	PVC) = (DURINO pH ±0.1 7.12 7.24 7.25 7.25 7.25	0.655)*h', h = h Conductivity (μS/cm) 53"; 13(1) 1368 1373 1375	D.O. (mg/L) 5 169 1.95 1.55 1.55	ter column in Redox (mV) 1c rrdv 13.2 75.4 65.7	well] Turbidity (NTU) $\pm 107.$ 8.0 5.0 5.0 4.1 7.7	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time 1430 1436 1436 1439	Vater in mor	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2.90 3.42 2.99 3.35 3.34	-ich diameter ATIONS L Temp (°C)]0.15]0.15]0.70]0.70]0.70	PVC) = (DURINO pH ±0.1 7.04 7.05 7.15 7.15 7.25	$\frac{0.655)*h', h = h}{2}$ G PURGING Conductivity (μ S/cm) $53'';$ $1'3(1)$ 1368 1373 $1'375$ 1375	D.O. (mg/L) ± ie % 1.95 1.55 1.55 1.55	ter column in Redox (mV) 10 rr V 75.4 65.7 57.7 57.7	well] Turbidity (NTU) ± 107. 8.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 4.1 7.7 2.6	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time 1430 1436 1436 1436 1434	Vater in mor UALITY Volume Purged (gallons)	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2.90 3.40 2.99 3.35 3.34 3.34 3.34	-ich diameter ATIONS E Temp (°C) 10.15 10.15 10.39 10.39 10.39 10.39 10.39	PVC) = (DURINO PH ±0.1 7.024 7.024 7.024 7.025 7.025 7.05 7.05 7.05	0.655)*h', h = h 2 G PURGING Conductivity (μ S/cm) 53''; 1'3(1) 1'3(5) 1'3(5) 1'3(7) 1'375 1'375 1'375 1'375 1'375 1'375	D.O. (mg/L) 5 169 1.95 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.75 1.75	ter column in Redox (mV) le rightarrow V 73.2 75.4 65.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7	well] Turbidity (NTU) $\pm 107.$ 8.0 5.0 4.1 7.7 2.6 3.6	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time 1430 1436 1436 1436 1434 1449 1449	Vater in mor UALITY Volume Purged (gallons)	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2.99 3.35 3.35 3.34 3.01	-ich diameter ATIONS I Temp (°C) 10.15 10.15 10.30 10.30 10.34 10.34 10.52 10.49	PVC) = (DURINO PH ±0.1 ±0.2 ±0.25	$0.655)*h', h = h$ 2 G PURGING Conductivity (μ S/cm) $53''.$ $1'3C1$ $1'3C1$ $1'3C8$ $1'375$ $1'375$ $1'375$ $1'375$ $1'375$ $1'375$	D.O. (mg/L) 5 169 1.95 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.95 1.95 1.95 1.9	ter column in Redox (mV) 10 or V 13.2 75.4 65.7 57.7 57.7 43.4 43.4 35.7	well] Turbidity (NTU) ± 107. 8.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 4.1 7.7 2.6	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time 1430 1436 1436 1436 1434 1449 1449	Vater in mor UALITY Volume Purged (gallons)	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2.99 3.35 3.04 3.04 3.01 3.01 3.01	-ich diameter ATIONS I Temp (°C) 10.15 10.15 10.19 10.70 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79	PVC) = (DURINO pH ±0.1 7.12 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25	$0.655)*h', h = h$ 2 G PURGING Conductivity (μ S/cm) $53''; 1/3(1)$ 1365 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375	D.O. (mg/L) 5 16 % 1.95 1.55 1.55 1.77 1.75 1.55 1.97 1.95 1.97 1.96	ter column in Redox (mV) 10 ov 75.4 65.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 473.1 -375.7 28.8	well] Turbidity (NTU) ± 107. 8.0 5.0 4.1 7.7 2.6 0 1.9 1.0 1.9	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time 1430 1430 1436 1436 1436 1439 1449 1449 1449 1449 1449	Vater in mor UALITY Volume Purged (gallons)	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2.90 3.40 3.05 3.04 3.04 3.01 3.01 3.05	-ich diameter ATIONS E Temp $(^{\circ}C)$ 10.15 10.15 10.19 10.30 10.39 10.39 10.39 10.39 10.39 10.39 10.52 10.49 10.52 10.49 10.52 10.49 10.51 10.15	PVC) = ($PVC) = ($ PH $to 1$ 7.024 7.024 7.024 7.05 7.25	$0.655)*h', h = h$ 2 G PURGING Conductivity (μ S/cm) 53% 1361 1365 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1365	D.O. (mg/L) 5 16 % 1.95 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.95 1.95 1.95 1.95	Redox (mV) 10 AV 73.2 75.4 65.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 43.1 35.7 28.8 18.6	well] Turbidity (NTU) ± 107. 8.0 5.0 5.0 4.1 7.7 2.6 0 1.9 0 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.0 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	
[Volume of w WATER (Date/Time 1430 1436 1436 1436 1434 1449 1449	Vater in mor	nitoring well (2 Y OBSERV Depth to Water (ft) 2.99 3.35 3.04 3.04 3.01 3.01 3.01	-ich diameter ATIONS I Temp (°C) 10.15 10.15 10.19 10.70 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79 10.79	PVC) = (DURINO pH ±0.1 7.12 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25 7.25	$0.655)*h', h = h$ 2 G PURGING Conductivity (μ S/cm) $53''; 1/3(1)$ 1365 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375	D.O. (mg/L) 5 16 % 1.95 1.55 1.55 1.77 1.75 1.55 1.97 1.95 1.97 1.96	ter column in Redox (mV) 10 ov 75.4 65.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 473.1 -375.7 28.8	well] Turbidity (NTU) ± 107. 8.0 5.0 4.1 7.7 2.6 0 1.9 1.0 1.9	

FIDE

7.27

10.85

10.92

10.12

315

3.15

1500

1509

1.04

1.03

-8:4

-1-3. (

2

1.2

1390

1389

Date/Time	Volume Purged (gallons)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	рН	Conductivity (µS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	Redox (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)
1512		3.20	10.92	7.02	13:11	1.01	-21.2	[.]
515		3.14	10-45	7-281	1314	C. 10	-30.6	0.6
1518	2.5	3.08	10.90	7.27	1396	393	-51.0	1.0
1521		3.03	10.59	7,28	1397	096	-65.0	0.5
1524		310	10.84	7,25	1397	(195	-78.4	0.5
1527		3.12	10.96	7,25	1396	11:15	103.5	O.4
1530	12.2	3.13	10,92	71,25	1396	0.25	1169	0.4
1533		3.05	10:39	7.25	1397	074	124.5	0.3
1556	3.5	3.06	10:32	7.23	1398	0.73	1351	0.3
1540		Sam gl	e MW		-030613	Ca	Deut	C. And
_	18.U/TT	1						,
		3.10	a end	je je	Sandin			
*								
	-							
		na da	TR					
			A					
	1							
		+		1		+	1	
								5
				-				

Comments:

Signature: MRR

Date/Time: 3/6(13 1600

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION FORM

Blaine Mini Mart

SAMPLE ID NO .: 0 1- 030613					WELL ID: Our				
DATE/TIM			-		WEATHER: Light ren, 45° F				
ANALYSIS	: (lab	hold)				1			
WELL PUR	RGING DA	АТА							
Initial depth to water: 1.65 6+					Depth of well: Volume of water	12.5'			
Screened inter			<u> </u>		_Volume of water	in well:	21.30-	allos	
Method of pur	ging: Pro	stell - Pun	0		Purge rate: 40	0 ml	13.00		
				Fubi.	÷.		-		
SAMPLE CONTAINER DATA:					SAMPLE ME	THOD:	Pump Bailer (Other	
					FILTERED F	OR MET	ALS? Yes	No	
	ser- Volume	No. No							
water Ha	CI 40 mil	Required Fille			Photograph	Taken?	4		
ustre He	1 12	1313							
weak -	- 12	20	-		Sample Entered on C.O.C.?				
			-						
	_		-						
SAMPLE P	RESERV	ATION ME	FHOD:	HCL	+ ice		Io	ced	
[Volume of w	ater in mon	itoring well (2	-ich diameter	PVC) =	$(0.655)^{*}h', h = he$	ight of wat	ter column in	well]	
		ODCEDU		TIDINI					
WATERQ	UALITY	UBSERV.	ATIONS D	UKIN	G PURGING			8	
Date/Time	Volume Purged (gallons)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pН	Conductivity (µS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	Redox (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	

6.77 58 4,22 1625 1.65 6.64 80.2 3.0 81.9 6.77 3.95 11.9 1.64 8621 8.68 58 3,32 E.H. 86.3 11.2 8.63 57 1(31 1.64 3.94 10.8 91.6 1.65 8.56 6.74 57 134 3.90 95.5 10.7 874 56 1.69 8.56 1(37 3.86 6.72 10.6 8.51 56 99.2 .64 1646 1642 Cilected Dund 1.62 at and of 5 ding AF

Date/Time	Volume Purged (gallons)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	рĤ	Conductivity (µS/cm)	D.O. (mg/L)	Redox (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)
\								
/		i.					-	
			11-12				12.4	
					(ANN L
··· ·· ··								1.1.291
	$\overline{}$							
				†			2	
				<u> </u>				
				1000 C 2000				
							THE FL	
	10.27 1.5							
	1							
				\mathbb{N}			-1	
<u> </u>								
			14		\frown			
	+			+				
						$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$		
						+	<u> </u>	
							$ \rightarrow $	
								<u> </u>
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	- 10 C							

Comments:

Signature: Me Psl

Date/Time: 3/6 (13 1730



3

9

9

D

Ð

9

9

D

9

9

99

Ð

D



ALL-WEATHER FIELD No. 353

BLAINE MINI MART CONFIRMATIONAL SAMPLING

TASK 62/ROMEO GW SAMPLING

3/6/3 0915 - M. Paul J Atuells on site in Blaine (mins Mart) - discour OW-1 to be uncovered? nu - Express to be incovered for sen time.] 0940 - collected water level measurements DTW T.P. MW-6 11.60' MW-7 will callect sample 1.33 from OW-1 even 2.05' MW-8 12.5' though there is (12" draw) no cap 1.60' $\Delta W - 1$ 0950 molo te MWG 1012 - begin purging Milli-6 1050- parameters stabilized, 1- MW-6-030613 Collected - MW-6-030613-MS 1 - MW-6-0306B-MSD - packal samples demob equipment 135- moto to MW-7 1245 - begin purging MW-7 1338 - Darameter Stabilizal - MW-7-030613 calleded - poeted samples, demob equipment

1410 - mds to MW-8 1430 - bosn purging MW-8 1500 - Conditions of OW'S & OW-1 : open casing, Vault w/weter, strang order, sheen @ OW-2 open casing, Vault w/weter, strang order, sheen @ OW-3 open casing, Vault w/weter, strang order, sheen @

1540- all parameters statilized except for OFR/redox, which in more/less statilized but just outside stable range, b consistently MW 8-0306 13 cullested

- Spoke with Nick Ackkin/ECY Re: the OWT | songeling, which he still wants collected, will hold at lab to want for analysis confirmation

1600 mob to OW-1 1615 - besin purging OW-1, Sheen observed 1642 - permeters statestime, - collected OW-1-030613 scaple removed 1715 - demote pack all scaples in coolers W ample amount of ice + padding



Appendix B Laboratory Reports and Chain-of-Custody Forms



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Job Number: 580-37398-1 Job Description: Blaine Mini Mart Groundwater Monitoring

> For: Science Applications International Corp 18912 North Creek Parkway, Suite 101 Bothell, WA 98011 Attention: Marina Mitchell

Kristine D. allen

Approved for release. Kristine Allen Project Manager I 3/26/2013 4:58 PM

Kristine Allen Project Manager I kristine.allen@testamericainc.com 03/26/2013

TestAmerica Seattle is a part of TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

This report is issued solely for the use of the person or company to whom it is addressed. Any use, copying or disclosure other than by the intended recipient is unauthorized. If you have received this report in error, please notify the sender immediately at 253-922-2310 and destroy this report immediately.

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without prior express written approval by the laboratory. The results relate only to the item(s) tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

The results included in this report have been reviewed for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan and meet all requirements of NELAC. All data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocol, with the exception of any items noted in the case narrative.



37398

	SAIC			th Creek Par ashington	-	te 101		Ana	lyses / T	ests		Shipping Information
	From Science to Solutions			85.5800 • F		85.5566		1		ene, 8270-	- Ga.	Number of Shipping Containers:
										aphthal e (EPA		Date Shipped:
	Project Number:				Pa _ P		,	÷ ⊋ ₽		hyina alen	а.	
8	Project Name:			Indwater Mon	ntoring		0 T	H-D eant	30)	Phth		Carrier:
	Project Location: Contact Name:	Blaine, w		0.0010 mori	no i mitoboll <i>i</i>	Regio com	TP.	WTF gel cl	A 826	ie, 1 iylna		Waybill No.:
	Contact Name: Samples Collected by:						NN)	0x (N lica g	(EPA	haler Meth		науын но
	Samples Collected by: Sample ID	Depth	Matrix	Date	Time	# of Containers	TPH-G (NWTPH-G)	TPH-Dx (NWTPH-Dx) with silica gel cleanup	VOCs (EPA 8260) Naphthalene, 1-Methylnaphthalene, and 2-Methylnaphthalene (EPA 8270- SIM)			Comments
1-	MW-6-030613	na	water	3/6/13	1050	10	х	X	Х	Х		
2-	MW-7-030613	na	water	36/13	1338	10	х	X	х	х		Analyze per SAP/QAPP
3-	MW-8- 030613	na	water	363	1540	10	х	X	.Х.	х		provided under separate cover.
	OW-1 030613	na	water	3/6/13	1642	10	×X	х	х	х		
	MW- 6-030613-MS	na	water	3/6/13	1050	10	x	X	X	x		Do not dispose of samples
- 13	MW- 6 - 030613-MSD	na	water	3613	1050	10	x	X	. X	X		without written authorization
5-7-	Trip Blank	na	DI water	na	na		Х		x			
MF-113					-							from SAIC.
691*	5 0.0	d.	1	5 S		8 V			7	"<	J.	
	Cooler/TB Dig/IR	or <u>6.8</u>	un <u>65</u>	Cooler/B	Dig/IR con	r <u>7.6 unc7.3</u>	Cooler	r/ TB Di	g/IR co	r <u>6.6</u> u	nc <u>43</u>	VOCs include:
	Cooler Dsc Lg Graw Wet/Packs Packi	<u>18442 (a)</u> 1 ng Babbi	ab	Cooler Dsc	Ly Green/Bl	n <u>e (a)</u> Lau <u>izc</u> 7 Bubble	_ Coole Wet/I	Packs	Packin	<u>e Bubbl-e</u>	U <u>1500</u>	BTEX, EDB, EDC, MTBE
	AZ W/cs	<u> </u>		AZ	v/cs	<u></u>	wl	C5 AZ				
-	RELINQUISHED BY:		RECE	VED BY:		RE	LINQUISH	ED BY:			RECEIVE	D BY:
	Signature: Mul Yel			ture: Z	1					Signature		
		094		ime: <u>3/7/1</u>	3 1500		te/Time:				Date/Time Affiliation	
	Affiliation:SAIC		Affilia	tion: $TA - 5$	t H	Af	iliation:				AIIIIIAUON	·

Onion 2/11/13

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Client Matrix	Date/Time Sampled	Date/Time Received		
580-37398-1	MW-6-030613	Water	03/06/2013 1050	03/07/2013 1500		
580-37398-1MS	MW-6-030613	Water	03/06/2013 1050	03/07/2013 1500		
580-37398-1MSD	MW-6-030613	Water	03/06/2013 1050	03/07/2013 1500		
580-37398-2	MW-7-030613	Water	03/06/2013 1338	03/07/2013 1500		
580-37398-3	MW-8-030613	Water	03/06/2013 1540	03/07/2013 1500		
580-37398-4	OW-1-030613	Water	03/06/2013 1642	03/07/2013 1500		
580-37398-5	Trip Blank	Water	03/06/2013 0000	03/07/2013 1500		

METHOD SUMMARY

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Job Number: 580-37398-1

Description	Lab Location	Method Preparation Method
Matrix: Water		
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	TAL SEA	SW846 8260B
Purge and Trap	TAL SEA	SW846 5030B
Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS SIM)	TAL SEA	SW846 8270C SIM
Liquid-Liquid Extraction (Continuous)	TAL SEA	SW846 3520C
Northwest - Volatile Petroleum Products (GC)	TAL SEA	NWTPH NWTPH-Gx
Purge and Trap	TAL SEA	SW846 5030B
Northwest - Semi-Volatile Petroleum Products (GC)	TAL SEA	NWTPH NWTPH-Dx
Liquid-Liquid Extraction (Continuous)	TAL SEA	SW846 3520C
Silica Gel Cleanup	TAL SEA	SW846 3630C

Lab References:

TAL SEA = TestAmerica Seattle

Method References:

NWTPH = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Lab Section	Qualifier	Description
GC/MS VOA		
	х	Surrogate is outside control limits
GC VOA		
	۸	ICV,CCV,ICB,CCB, ISA, ISB, CRI, CRA, DLCK or MRL standard: Instrument related QC exceeds the control limits.
GC Semi VOA	Y	The chromatographic response resembles a typical fuel pattern.
	•	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-6-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-1 Water					ampled: 03/06/2013 1050 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
		8260B Volatile Orga	nic Compound	s (GC/MS)		
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	8260B 5030B 1.0 03/12/2013 1624 03/12/2013 1624	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131539 N/A	Instrument ID: Lab File ID: Initial Weight/V Final Weight/V		TAC043 vb00150986.D 5 mL 5 mL
Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m-Xylene & p-Xylen o-Xylene Methyl tert-butyl eth EDC 1,2-Dibromoethane		Result (u ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	g/L)	Qualifier		RL 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenze Ethylbenzene-d10 Fluorobenzene (Sur Toluene-d8 (Surr) Trifluorotoluene (Su	т)	%Rec 91 87 96 83 103		Qualifier X	Acceptanc 75 - 120 80 - 120 80 - 120 85 - 120 85 - 120	e Limits

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-7-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-2 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1338 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
		8260B Volatile Orga	nic Compound	s (GC/MS)		
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	8260B 5030B 1.0 03/12/2013 1754 03/12/2013 1754	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131539 N/A	Instrument ID Lab File ID: Initial Weight/ Final Weight/	Volume:	TAC043 vb00150992.D 5 mL 5 mL
Analyte		Result (u	g/L)	Qualifier		RL
Benzene		ND				1.0
Toluene		ND				1.0
Ethylbenzene		ND				1.0
m-Xylene & p-Xylen	e	ND				2.0
o-Xylene		ND				1.0
Methyl tert-butyl eth	er	ND				1.0
EDC		ND				1.0
1,2-Dibromoethane		ND				1.0
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptan	ce Limits
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene (Surr)	88			75 - 120	
Ethylbenzene-d10		102			80 - 120	
Fluorobenzene (Sur	r)	98			80 - 120	
Toluene-d8 (Surr)		100			85 - 120	
Trifluorotoluene (Su	rr)	105			80 - 120	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-8-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-3 Water					mpled: 03/06/2013 1540 eceived: 03/07/2013 1500
		8260B Volatile Orga	nic Compound	s (GC/MS)		
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	8260B 5030B 1.0 03/12/2013 1825 03/12/2013 1825	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131539 N/A	Instrument ID: Lab File ID: Initial Weight/Vo Final Weight/Vo	lume:	TAC043 vb00150994.D 5 mL 5 mL
Analyte Benzene		Result (u ND	g/L)	Qualifier		RL 1.0
Toluene Ethylbenzene		ND ND				1.0 1.0
m-Xylene & p-Xylen o-Xylene	е	ND ND				2.0 1.0
Methyl tert-butyl eth EDC	er	ND ND				1.0 1.0
1,2-Dibromoethane		ND				1.0
Surrogate		%Rec			Acceptance 75 - 120	Limits
4-Bromofluorobenze Ethylbenzene-d10	ene (Surr)	88 99		-	30 - 120 30 - 120	
Fluorobenzene (Sur	r)	115			30 - 120	
Toluene-d8 (Surr) Trifluorotoluene (Su	rr)	99 105			35 - 120 30 - 120	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	OW-1-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-4 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1642 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
		8260B Volatile Orga	nic Compound	s (GC/MS)		
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	8260B 5030B 1.0 03/11/2013 2125 03/11/2013 2125	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131457 N/A	Instrument ID Lab File ID: Initial Weight/ Final Weight/	Volume:	TAC043 vb00150960.D 5 mL 5 mL
Analyte		Result (u	g/L)	Qualifier		RL
Benzene		ND				1.0
Toluene		ND				1.0
Ethylbenzene		ND				1.0
m-Xylene & p-Xylen	e	ND				2.0
o-Xylene		ND				1.0
Methyl tert-butyl eth	er	ND				1.0
EDC		ND				1.0
1,2-Dibromoethane		ND				1.0
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene (Surr)	89			75 - 120	
Ethylbenzene-d10		96			80 - 120	
Fluorobenzene (Sur	r)	94			80 - 120	
Toluene-d8 (Surr)		96			85 - 120	
Trifluorotoluene (Su	rr)	80			80 - 120	
Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	Trip Blank					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-5 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 0000 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
		8260B Volatile Orga	nic Compound	s (GC/MS)		
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	8260B 5030B 1.0 03/12/2013 1855 03/12/2013 1855	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131539 N/A	Instrument ID: Lab File ID: Initial Weight/Vo Final Weight/Vo		TAC043 vb00150996.D 5 mL 5 mL
Analyte Benzene Toluene		Result (u ND ND	g/L)	Qualifier		RL 1.0 1.0
Ethylbenzene m-Xylene & p-Xylen	e	ND ND ND ND				1.0 2.0 1.0
o-Xylene Methyl tert-butyl eth EDC 1,2-Dibromoethane	er	ND ND ND ND				1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
Surrogate		%Rec			Acceptanc	ce Limits
4-Bromofluorobenze Ethylbenzene-d10 Fluorobenzene (Sur Toluene-d8 (Surr) Trifluorotoluene (Su	r)	92 100 97 98 104			75 - 120 80 - 120 80 - 120 85 - 120 80 - 120	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-6-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-1 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1050 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	82	270C SIM Semivolatile Or	ganic Compou	inds (GC/M	IS SIM)	
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	8270C SIM 3520C 1.0 03/12/2013 1346 03/11/2013 1252	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131533 580-131487	La In Fi	nstrument ID: ab File ID: nitial Weight/Volume: inal Weight/Volume: njection Volume:	SEA016 16t031123011.D 1056.3 mL 10 mL 1 uL
Analyte		Result (u	g/L)	Qualifier		RL
Naphthalene		ND				0.095
2-Methylnaphthalen	ne	ND				0.12
1-Methylnaphthalen	ne	ND				0.095
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
Terphenyl-d14		60			20 - 150	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-7-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-2 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1338 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	8	270C SIM Semivolatile Or	ganic Compou	inds (GC/M	IS SIM)	
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	8270C SIM 3520C 1.0 03/12/2013 1454 03/11/2013 1252	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131533 580-131487	L: Ir F	nstrument ID: ab File ID: nitial Weight/Volume: inal Weight/Volume: njection Volume:	SEA016 16t031123014.D 991.5 mL 10 mL 1 uL
Analyte		Result (u	g/L)	Qualifier		RL
Naphthalene		ND				0.10
2-Methylnaphthalen	e	ND				0.13
1-Methylnaphthalen	e	ND				0.10
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
Terphenyl-d14		61			20 - 150	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-8-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-3 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1540 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	82	270C SIM Semivolatile Or	ganic Compou	inds (GC/N	IS SIM)	
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	8270C SIM 3520C 1.0 03/12/2013 1516 03/11/2013 1252	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131533 580-131487	L Ir F	nstrument ID: ab File ID: nitial Weight/Volume: inal Weight/Volume: njection Volume:	SEA016 16t031123015.D 1019.6 mL 10 mL 1 uL
Analyte		Result (u	g/L)	Qualifier		RL
Naphthalene		ND				0.098
2-Methylnaphthalen	ne	ND				0.13
1-Methylnaphthalen	ne	ND				0.098
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
Terphenyl-d14		58			20 - 150	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	OW-1-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-4 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1642 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	8	270C SIM Semivolatile Or	ganic Compou	inds (GC/N	AS SIM)	
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	8270C SIM 3520C 1.0 03/12/2013 1539 03/11/2013 1252	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131533 580-131487	L Ir F	nstrument ID: .ab File ID: nitial Weight/Volume: Final Weight/Volume: njection Volume:	SEA016 16t031123016.D 1000.4 mL 10 mL 1 uL
Analyte		Result (u	g/L)	Qualifier		RL
Naphthalene		ND				0.10
2-Methylnaphthalen	e	ND				0.13
1-Methylnaphthalen	e	0.12				0.10
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
Terphenyl-d14		62			20 - 150	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-6-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-1 Water					e Sampled: 03/06/2013 1050 e Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	N	WTPH-Gx Northwest - Vo	latile Petroleu	m Produc	cts (GC)	
Analysis Method:	NWTPH-Gx	Analysis Batch:	580-131530		Instrument ID:	TAC003
Prep Method:	5030B		N/A		Initial Weight/Volume:	5 mL
Dilution:	1.0				Final Weight/Volume:	5 mL
Analysis Date:	03/12/2013 1554				Injection Volume:	
Prep Date:	03/12/2013 1554				Result Type:	PRIMARY
Analyte		Result (m	ıg/L)	Qualifier		RL
Gasoline		ND		٨		0.050
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	- Accepta	nce Limits
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene (Surr)	81			50 - 150	
Trifluorotoluene (Su	rr)	104			50 - 150)

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-7-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-2 Water					e Sampled: 03/06/2013 1338 e Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	NV	WTPH-Gx Northwest - Vo	latile Petroleu	ım Produ	icts (GC)	
Analysis Method:	NWTPH-Gx	Analysis Batch:	580-131891		Instrument ID:	TAC003
Prep Method:	5030B		N/A		Initial Weight/Volume:	5 mL
Dilution:	1.0				Final Weight/Volume:	5 mL
Analysis Date:	03/18/2013 1411				Injection Volume:	
Prep Date:	03/18/2013 1411				Result Type:	PRIMARY
Analyte		Result (m	ıg/L)	Qualifie	er	RL
Gasoline		ND				0.050
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifie	er Accepta	ince Limits
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene (Surr)	110			50 - 150	
Trifluorotoluene (Su	rr)	108			50 - 150)

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-8-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-3 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1540 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	N	WTPH-Gx Northwest - Vo	latile Petroleu	m Produ	cts (GC)	
Analysis Method:	NWTPH-Gx	Analysis Batch:	580-131891		Instrument ID:	TAC003
Prep Method:	5030B		N/A		Initial Weight/Volume:	5 mL
Dilution:	1.0				Final Weight/Volume:	5 mL
Analysis Date:	03/18/2013 1433				Injection Volume:	
Prep Date:	03/18/2013 1433				Result Type:	PRIMARY
Analyte		Result (m	ıg/L)	Qualifie	r	RL
Gasoline		ND				0.050
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifie	r Accepta	nce Limits
4-Bromofluorobenzo	ene (Surr)	114			50 - 150	
Trifluorotoluene (Su	ırr)	106			50 - 150	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	OW-1-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-4 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1642 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	NV	WTPH-Gx Northwest - Vo	olatile Petroleu	m Products (G	SC)	
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	NWTPH-Gx 5030B 1.0 03/18/2013 1456 03/18/2013 1456	Analysis Batch:	580-131891 N/A	Initia Final Injec	ument ID: I Weight/Volume: I Weight/Volume: tion Volume: ult Type:	TAC003 5 mL 5 mL PRIMARY
Analyte		Result (m	ıg/L)	Qualifier		RL
Gasoline		ND				0.050
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
4-Bromofluorobenz Trifluorotoluene (Su	· · ·	117 108			50 - 150 50 - 150	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	Trip Blank					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-5 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 0000 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	N	WTPH-Gx Northwest - Vo	olatile Petroleu	m Product	ts (GC)	
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	NWTPH-Gx 5030B 1.0 03/12/2013 1254 03/12/2013 1254	Analysis Batch:	580-131530 N/A	lı F lı	nstrument ID: nitial Weight/Volume: Final Weight/Volume: njection Volume: Result Type:	TAC003 5 mL 5 mL PRIMARY
Analyte		Result (m	ıg/L)	Qualifier		RL
Gasoline		ND				0.050
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
4-Bromofluorobenz Trifluorotoluene (Su	(<i>)</i>	86 108			50 - 150 50 - 150	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-6-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-1 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1050 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	NWTI	PH-Dx Northwest - Semi	-Volatile Petrol	eum Products (GC)		
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	NWTPH-Dx 3520C 1.0 03/12/2013 1807 03/11/2013 1307	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131543 580-131489	Instrument I Lab File ID: Initial Weigh Final Weigh Injection Vol	t/Volume: t/Volume:	SEA011 DT13581.d 1008.1 mL 5 mL 1 uL
Analyte		Result (m	ng/L)	Qualifier		RL
#2 Diesel (C10-C2	4)	ND				0.12
Motor Oil (>C24-C3	6)	ND				0.25
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
o-Terphenyl		91			50 - 150	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-7-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-2 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1338 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	NWT	PH-Dx Northwest - Semi	-Volatile Petrol	eum Products (GC)		
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	NWTPH-Dx 3520C 1.0 03/12/2013 1931 03/11/2013 1307	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131543 580-131489	Instrument I Lab File ID: Initial Weigh Final Weigh Injection Vol	t/Volume: t/Volume:	SEA011 DT13587.d 980.5 mL 5 mL 1 uL
Analyte		Result (m	ng/L)	Qualifier		RL
#2 Diesel (C10-C2	4)	ND				0.13
Motor Oil (>C24-C3	6)	ND				0.25
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
o-Terphenyl		89			50 - 150	

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	MW-8-030613						
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-3 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1 Received: 03/07/2013 1	
	NWT	PH-Dx Northwest - Semi	-Volatile Petrol	eum Products (GC)			
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	NWTPH-Dx 3520C 1.0 03/12/2013 1959 03/11/2013 1307	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131543 580-131489	Instrument Lab File ID Initial Weig Final Weig Injection Ve	: ht/Volume: ht/Volume:	SEA011 DT13589.d 1037.3 mL 5 mL 1 uL	
Analyte		Result (m	ıg/L)	Qualifier		RL	
#2 Diesel (C10-C2	4)	ND				0.12	
Motor Oil (>C24-C3	6)	ND				0.24	
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits	
o-Terphenyl		92			50 - 150		

Client: Science Applications International Corp

Client Sample ID:	OW-1-030613					
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	580-37398-4 Water					Sampled: 03/06/2013 1642 Received: 03/07/2013 1500
	NWT	PH-Dx Northwest - Semi	-Volatile Petrol	eum Produ	ucts (GC)	
Analysis Method: Prep Method: Dilution: Analysis Date: Prep Date:	NWTPH-Dx 3520C 1.0 03/12/2013 2122 03/11/2013 1307	Analysis Batch: Prep Batch:	580-131543 580-131489	La In Fi	nstrument ID: ab File ID: nitial Weight/Volume: inal Weight/Volume: njection Volume:	SEA011 DT13595.d 989.8 mL 5 mL 1 uL
Analyte		Result (m	ıg/L)	Qualifier		RL
#2 Diesel (C10-C2	4)	0.25		Y		0.13
Motor Oil (>C24-C3	6)	ND				0.25
Surrogate		%Rec		Qualifier	Acceptar	nce Limits
o-Terphenyl		90			50 - 150	

Appendix C Data Validation Report



DATA VALIDATION REPORT

BLAINE MINI MART 1ST QTR 2013 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Prepared for:

SAIC 18912 North Creek Parkway, Suite 101 Bothell, Washington 98011

Prepared by:

EcoChem, Inc. 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 1011 Seattle, Washington 98104

EcoChem Project: C4149-3

April 18, 2013

Christine L. Ransom Technical Manager EcoChem, Inc.

Approved for Release

PROJECT NARRATIVE

Basis for Data Validation

This report summarizes the results of validation performed on groundwater and quality control (QC) sample data for the Blaine Mini Mart Groundwater Monitoring, 1st Quarter 2013 Sampling – Blaine, Washington. All data received a summary (EPA Stage 2B) level of review. A complete list of samples is provided in the **Sample Index**.

TestAmerica, Tacoma, Washington, analyzed the samples. The analytical methods and EcoChem project chemists are listed below:

Analysis	Method of Analysis	Primary Review	Secondary Review	
Volatile Organic Compounds (MTBE/BTEX)	SW8260B		Eric Strout	
Naphthalenes	SW8270 SIM	Magan Failar		
Diesel and Residual Range Organics	NWTPH-Dx	Megan Failor	Elic Strout	
Gasoline Range Organics	NWTPH-Gx			

The data were reviewed using guidance and quality control criteria documented in the analytical method; *Blaine Mini Mart Groundwater Monitoring, Blaine, WA- Sampling and Analysis Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan* (July 2012) and USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (EPA, 2008).

EcoChem's goal in assigning data assessment qualifiers is to assist in proper data interpretation. If values are estimated (J or UJ), data may be used for site evaluation and risk assessment purposes but reasons for data qualification should be taken into consideration when interpreting sample concentrations. If values are assigned an R, the data are to be rejected and should not be used for any site evaluation purposes. If values have no data qualifier assigned, then the data meet the data quality objectives as stated in the documents and methods referenced above.

Data qualifier definitions, reason codes, and validation criteria are included as **Appendix A**. **Appendix B** contains the Qualified Data Summary. Data validation worksheets will be kept on file at EcoChem, Inc.

All data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

SAMPLE INDEX Blaine MiniMart - Groundwater Monitoring 1st QTR 2013

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	VOC	SVOC	TPH-Gx	TPH-Dx
MW-6-030613	580-37398-1				
MW-7-030613	580-37398-2				
MW-8-030613	580-37398-3				
OW-1-030613	580-37398-4				
Trip Blank	580-37398-5				

DATA VALIDATION REPORT Blaine Mini Mart - Groundwater Monitoring 1st QTR 2013 Volatile Organic Compounds by Method 8260B

This report documents the review of analytical data from the analysis of groundwater samples and the associated laboratory and field quality control (QC) samples. Samples were analyzed by TestAmerica, Tacoma, Washington. Refer to the **Sample Index** for a list of samples that were reviewed.

SDG	Number of Samples	Validation Level
580-37398	4 Groundwater 1 Trip Blank	EPA Stage 2B

I. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS

The laboratory submitted all required deliverables. The laboratory followed adequate corrective action processes and all anomalies were discussed in the case narrative.

II. EDD TO HARDCOPY VERIFICATION

A complete (100%) verification of the electronic data deliverable (EDD) results was performed by comparison to the hardcopy laboratory data package. Laboratory QC results were also verified (10%). No errors were found.

III. TECHNICAL DATA VALIDATION

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

- 1 Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times
- 1 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check
- 1 Initial Calibration (ICAL)
- ✓ Continuing Calibration (CCAL)
- 1 Laboratory Blanks
- 1 Trip Blank
- 2 Surrogate Compounds
- ✓ Laboratory Control Samples (LCS/LCSD)

- 1 Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)
- 1 Field Duplicates
- ✓ Internal Standards
- ✓ Target Analyte List
- 1 Reporting Limits
- ✓ Compound Identification
- ✓ Reported Results

² Quality control outliers that impact the reported data were noted. Data qualifiers were issued as discussed below.

Sample Receipt, Preservation and Holding Times

As stated in the QAPP, sample shipping coolers should arrive at the laboratory within the temperature range of 0° to 6° C. The laboratory received three sample coolers with temperatures greater than the upper control limit at 6.6°, 6.8° and 6.9°C. These temperature outliers did not impact data quality; no data were qualified.

[✓] Method quality objectives (MQO) and QC criteria have been met. No outliers are noted or discussed.

¹ Quality control results are discussed below, but no data were qualified.

GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

As summarized in the table below, several measured percent relative ion abundance values were outside the specified laboratory control limits for the bromofluorobenzene (BFB) instrument performance check compound analyses. However, the control limits specified in the analytical method do not include any decimal places, e.g. 15% rather than 15.00%. The reported percent relative abundance values were rounded to the same number of significant digits and all values are acceptable; no action was taken.

Tune Date	m/z	% Relative Abundance	Lab Control Limits
2/25/2013	50	14.73%	15.00-40.00% of mass 95
3/12/2013	176	101.42%	95.00-101.00% of mass 174
3/15/2013	176	94.85%	95.00-101.00% of mass 174

Initial Calibration

The percent difference value for methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) was greater than the 25% control limit (at 30.1%) in the initial calibration verification analyzed 2/25/13 at 20:34. This compound was not detected in any associated sample. No action was necessary based on the potential high bias.

Laboratory Blanks

To assess the impact of blank contamination on the reported results, an action level is established at five times the concentration detected in the blank (ten times for common laboratory contaminants). If a contaminant is detected in an associated field sample and the concentration is less than the action level, the result is qualified "U" at the reported concentration to indicate an elevation of the reporting limit. No action is taken if the sample result is greater than the action level or for non-detected results.

Toluene was detected in the method blank; however, it was not detected in the field samples. No qualification of data was necessary.

Trip Blanks

One trip blank was submitted. No target analytes were detected in this sample.

Surrogate Compounds

The surrogate recovery for toluene-d8 in Sample MW-6-030613 was less than the lower control limit of 85%, at 83%. Toluene was not detected in the sample; the result was estimated (UJ-13) to indicate a potential low bias. No other data were qualified as the remaining four surrogate recovery values were acceptable.

Matrix Spikes/ Matrix Spike Duplicate

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analyses were performed with Sample MW-6-030613.

All recovery values were acceptable. The MS/MSD relative percent difference (RPD) value for MTBE was greater than the upper control limit of 30%, at 38%. The analyte was not detected in the parent sample, therefore; no data were qualified.

Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were collected.

Reporting Limits

The laboratory reporting limits were less than the MTCA Method A Clean Up Levels (CUL) specified in the QAPP.

IV. OVERALL ASSESSMENT

As was determined by this evaluation, the laboratory followed the specified analytical method. With the exception noted above, accuracy was acceptable as demonstrated by the surrogate, laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD), and MS/MSD percent recovery values. With the exception mentioned above, precision was also acceptable as demonstrated by the LCS/LCSD and MS/MSD RPD values.

One result was estimated based on a surrogate recovery outlier.

All data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT Blaine Mini Mart – Groundwater Monitoring 1st QTR 2013 Semivolatile Organic Compounds by 8270C-SIM

This report documents the review of analytical data from the analysis of groundwater samples and the associated laboratory quality control (QC) samples. Samples were analyzed by TestAmerica, Tacoma, Washington. Refer to the Sample Index for a complete list of samples for which data were reviewed.

SDG	Number of Samples	Validation Level
580-37398	4 Groundwater	EPA Stage 2B

Ι. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS

The laboratory submitted all required deliverables. The laboratory followed adequate corrective action processes and all anomalies were discussed in the case narrative.

П. EDD TO HARDCOPY VERIFICATION

A complete (100%) verification of the electronic data deliverable (EDD) results was performed by comparison to the hardcopy laboratory data package. Laboratory QC results were also verified (10%). No errors were found.

III. **TECHNICAL DATA VALIDATION**

The OC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

- 1 Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times ✓ Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) ✓ Initial Calibration (ICAL) ✓ Internal Standards ✓ Continuing Calibration (CCAL) 1 Field Duplicates ✓ Laboratory Blanks ✓ Target Analyte List 1 Field Blanks **Reporting Limits** 1
- ✓ Surrogate Compounds **Compound Identification Reported Results**
- ✓ Laboratory Control Sample (LCS/LCSD)
 - ✓ Method quality objectives (MQO) and QC criteria have been met. No outliers are noted or discussed. ¹ Quality control results are discussed below, but no data were qualified.

Sample Receipt, Preservation and Holding Times

As stated in the QAPP, sample shipping coolers should arrive at the laboratory within the temperature range of 0° to 6° C. The laboratory received three sample coolers with temperatures greater than the upper control limit at 6.6°, 6.8° and 6.9 °C. These temperature outliers did not impact data quality; no data were qualified.

Field Blanks

No field blanks were collected.

Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were collected.

Reporting Limits

The laboratory reporting limits were less than the MTCA Method A Clean Up Levels (CUL) specified in the QAPP.

IV. OVERALL ASSESSMENT

As was determined by this evaluation, the laboratory followed the specified analytical method. Accuracy was acceptable, as demonstrated by the surrogate, laboratory control sample, and matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) percent recovery values. Precision was also acceptable as demonstrated by the MS/MSD relative percent difference values.

No data were qualified for any reason. All data, as reported, are acceptable for use.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT Blaine Mini Mart – Groundwater Monitoring 1st QTR 2013 Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons by Method NWTPH-Gx

This report documents the review of analytical data from the analysis of groundwater samples and the associated laboratory and field quality control (QC) samples. Samples were analyzed by TestAmerica, Tacoma, Washington. Refer to the **Sample Index** for a complete list of samples for which data were reviewed.

SDG	Number of Samples	Validation Level
580-37398	4 Groundwater 1 Trip Blank	EPA Stage 2B

I. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS

The laboratory submitted all required deliverables. The laboratory followed adequate corrective action processes and all anomalies were discussed in the case narrative.

II. EDD TO HARDCOPY VERIFICATION

A complete (100%) verification of the electronic data deliverable (EDD) results was performed by comparison to the hardcopy laboratory data package. Laboratory QC results were also verified (10%). No errors were found.

III. TECHNICAL DATA VALIDATION

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

- 1 Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times
- ✓ Initial Calibration (ICAL)
- 2 Continuing Calibration (CCAL)
- ✓ Laboratory Blanks
- 1 Trip Blanks
- ✓ Surrogate Compounds

- ✓ Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)
- 1 Field Duplicates
- ✓ Target Analyte List
- 1 Reporting Limits
- ✓ Compound Identification
- Reported Results
- ✓ Laboratory Control Samples (LCS/LCSD)
 - ✓ Method quality objectives (MQO) and QC criteria have been met. No outliers are noted or discussed.

¹Quality control results are discussed below, but no data were qualified.

² Quality control outliers that impact the reported data were noted. Data qualifiers were issued as discussed below.

Sample Receipt, Preservation and Holding Times

As stated in the QAPP, sample shipping coolers should arrive at the laboratory within the temperature range of 0° to 6° C. The laboratory received three sample coolers with temperatures greater than the upper control limit at 6.6°, 6.8° and 6.9 °C. These temperature outliers did not impact data quality; no data were qualified.

Continuing Calibration

The continuing calibration (CCAL) percent difference (%D) control limits for gasoline range organics (GRO) are +/-20%. The %D value in the CCAL analyzed on 3/12/2013 at 18:19 was less than the lower control limit, at -24.1%, and indicated a potential low bias. GRO was not detected in the associated sample, MW-6-030613; the reporting limit was estimated (UJ-5B).

Trip Blanks

One trip blank was submitted. No target analytes were detected in this sample.

Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were collected.

Reporting Limits

The laboratory reporting limits were less than the MTCA Method A Clean Up Levels (CUL) specified in the QAPP.

IV. OVERALL ASSESSMENT

As was determined by this evaluation, the laboratory followed the specified analytical method. Accuracy was acceptable as demonstrated by the surrogate, laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD), and matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries. Precision was also acceptable as demonstrated by the LCS/LCSD and MS/MSD relative percent difference values.

One result was estimated based on a CCAL %D outlier.

All data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT Blaine Mini Mart – Groundwater Monitoring 1st QTR 2013 Diesel and Residual Range Hydrocarbons by Method NWTPH-Dx

This report documents the review of analytical data from the analysis of groundwater samples and the associated laboratory quality control (QC) samples. Samples were analyzed by TestAmerica, Tacoma, Washington. Refer to the **Sample Index** for a complete list of samples for which data were reviewed.

SDG	Number of Samples	Validation Level
580-37398	4 Groundwater	EPA Stage 2B

I. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS

The laboratory submitted all required deliverables. The laboratory followed adequate corrective action processes and all anomalies were discussed in the case narrative.

II. EDD TO HARDCOPY VERIFICATION

A complete (100%) verification of the electronic data deliverable (EDD) results was performed by comparison to the hardcopy laboratory data package. Laboratory QC results were also verified (10%). No errors were found.

III. TECHNICAL DATA VALIDATION

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

- 1 Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times
- ✓ Initial Calibration (ICAL)
- ✓ Continuing Calibration (CCAL)
- ✓ Laboratory Blanks
- 1 Field Blanks
- ✓ Surrogate Compounds
- ✓ Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

- ✓ Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)
- 1 Field Duplicates
- ✓ Target Analyte List
- 1 Reporting Limits
- ✓ Compound Identification
- 2 Reported Results

✓ Method quality objectives (MQO) and QC criteria have been met. No outliers are noted or discussed.

¹ Quality control results are discussed below, but no data were qualified.

²Quality control outliers that impact the reported data were noted. Data qualifiers were issued as discussed below.

Sample Receipt, Preservation and Holding Times

As stated in the QAPP, sample shipping coolers should arrive at the laboratory within the temperature range of 0° to 6° C. The laboratory received three sample coolers with temperatures

greater than the upper control limit at 6.6°, 6.8° and 6.9 °C. These temperature outliers did not impact data quality; no data were qualified.

Field Blanks

No field blanks were collected.

Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were collected.

Reporting Limits

The laboratory reporting limits were less than the MTCA Method A Clean Up Levels (CUL) specified in the QAPP.

Reported Results

The chromatogram for sample OW-1-030613 did not match the pattern of the calibration standards, indicating weathering. The diesel result for this sample was estimated (J-2).

IV. OVERALL ASSESSMENT

As was determined by this evaluation, the laboratory followed the specified analytical method. Accuracy was acceptable, as demonstrated by the surrogate, laboratory control sample, and matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) percent recovery values. Precision was also acceptable as demonstrated by the MS/MSD relative percent difference values.

One data point was estimated because the chromatogram did not match those of the calibration standards.

All data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.



APPENDIX A DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS, REASON CODES, AND CRITERIA TABLES

DATA VALIDATION QUALIFIER CODES National Functional Guidelines

The following definitions provide brief explanations of the qualifiers assigned to results in the data review process.

U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
Ν	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification".
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents the approximate concentration.
UJ	The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

The following is an EcoChem qualifier that may also be assigned during the data review process:

DNR Do not report; a more appropriate result is reported from another analysis or dilution.

DATA QUALIFIER REASON CODES

1	Holding Time/Sample Preservation
2	Chromatographic pattern in sample does not match pattern of calibration standard.
3	Compound Confirmation
4	Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC) (associated with NJ only)
5A	Calibration (initial)
5B	Calibration (continuing)
6	Field Blank Contamination
7	Lab Blank Contamination (e.g., method blank, instrument, etc.)
8	Matrix Spike(MS & MSD) Recoveries
9	Precision (all replicates)
10	Laboratory Control Sample Recoveries
11	A more appropriate result is reported (associated with "R" and "DNR" only)
12	Reference Material
13	Surrogate Spike Recoveries (a.k.a., labeled compounds & recovery standards)
14	Other (define in validation report)
15	GFAA Post Digestion Spike Recoveries
16	ICP Serial Dilution % Difference
17	ICP Interference Check Standard Recovery
18	Trip Blank Contamination
19	Internal Standard Performance (e.g., area, retention time, recovery)
20	Linear Range Exceeded
21	Potential False Positives

EcoChem Validation Guidelines for Volatile Analysis by GC/MS (Based on Organic NFG 1999)

VALIDATION QC ELEMENT	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	ACTION	REASON CODE
Cooler Temperature	4°C±2°C Water: HCl to pH < 2	J(+)/UJ(-) if greater than 6 deg. C (EcoChem PJ)	1
Hold Time	Waters: 14 days preserved 7 Days: unpreserved (for aromatics) Solids: 14 Days	J(+)/UJ(-) if hold times exceeded If exceeded by > 3X HT: $J(+)/R(-)$ (EcoChem PJ)	1
Tuning	BFB Beginning of each 12 hour period Method acceptance criteria	R(+/-) all analytes in all samples associated with the tune	5A
Initial Calibration (Minimum 5 stds.)	RRF > 0.05	(EcoChem PJ, see TM-06) If MDL= reporting limit: J(+)/R(-) if RRF < 0.05 If reporting limit > MDL: note in worksheet if RRF <0.05	5A
	%RSD < 30%	(EcoChem PJ, see TM-06) J(+)	5A
Continuing Calibration (Prior to each 12 hr. shift)	RRF > 0.05	(EcoChem PJ, see TM-06) If MDL= reporting limit: J(+)/R(-) if RRF < 0.05 If reporting limit > MDL: note in worksheet if RRF <0.05	5B
	%D <25%	(EcoChem PJ, see TM-06) If > +/-90%: J+/R- If -90% to -26%: J+ (high bias) If 26% to 90%: J+/UJ- (low bias)	5B
	One per matrix per batch	U(+) if sample (+) result is less than CRQL and less than appropriate 5X or 10X rule (raise sample value to CRQL)	7
Method Blank	No results > CRQL	U(+) if sample (+) result is greater than or equal to CRQL and less than appropriate 5X and 10X rule (at reported sample value)	7
	No TICs present	R(+) TICs using 10X rule	7
Storage Blank	One per SDG <crql< td=""><td>U(+) the specific analyte(s) results in all assoc.samples using the 5x or 10x rule</td><td>7</td></crql<>	U(+) the specific analyte(s) results in all assoc.samples using the 5x or 10x rule	7
Trip Blank	Frequency as per project QAPP	Same as method blank for positive results remaining in trip blank after method blank qualifiers are assigned	18
Field Blanks (if required in QAPP)	No results > CRQL	Apply 5X/10X rule; U(+) < action level	6

EcoChem Validation Guidelines for Volatile Analysis by GC/MS (Based on Organic NFG 1999)

VALIDATION QC ELEMENT	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	ACTION	REASON CODE	
MS/MSD (recovery)	One per matrix per batch Use method acceptance criteria	Qualify parent only unless other QC indicates systematic problems: J(+) if both %R > UCL J(+)/UJ(-) if both %R < LCL J(+)/R(-) if both %R < 10% PJ if only one %R outlier	8	
MS/MSD (RPD)	One per matrix per batch Use method acceptance criteria	J(+) in parent sample if RPD > CL	9	
LCS low conc. H2O VOA	One per lab batch Within method control limits	J(+) assoc. cmpd if > UCL J(+)/R(-) assoc. cmpd if < LCL J(+)/R(-) all cmpds if half are < LCL	10	
LCS regular VOA (H2O & solid)	One per lab batch Lab or method control limits	J(+) if %R > UCL	10	
LCS/LCSD (if required)	One set per matrix and batch of 20 samples RPD < 35%	J(+)/UJ(-) assoc. cmpd. in all samples	9	
Surrogates	Added to all samples Within method control limits	J(+) if %R >UCL J(+)/UJ(-) if %R <lcl but="">10% (see PJ¹) J(+)/R(-) if <10%</lcl>	13	
Internal Standard (IS)	Added to all samples Acceptable Range: IS area 50% to 200% of CCAL area RT within 30 seconds of CC RT	J(+) if > 200% J(+)/UJ(-) if < 50% J(+)/R(-) if < 25% RT>30 seconds, narrate and Notify PM	19	
Field Duplicates	Field Duplicates Use QAPP limits. If no QAPP: Solids: RPD <50%		9	
TICs	Major ions (>10%) in reference must be present in sample; intensities agree within 20%; check identification	NJ the TIC unless: R(+) common laboratory contaminants See Technical Director for ID issues	4	
Quantitation/ Identification	RRT within 0.06 of standard RRT Ion relative intensity within 20% of standard All ions in std. at > 10% intensity must be present in sample	See Technical Director if outliers	14 21 (false +)	

PJ¹ No action if there are 4+ surrogates and only 1 outlier.

EcoChem Validation Guidelines for Semivolatile Analysis by GC/MS (Based on Organic NFG 1999)

VALIDATION QC ELEMENT	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	ACTION	REASON CODE	
Cooler Temperature	4°C ±2°	J(+)/UJ(-) if greater than 6 deg. C (EcoChem PJ)	1	
Holding Time	Holding TimeWater: 7 days from collection Soil: 14 days from collection Analysis: 40 days from extractionWater: J(+)/UJ(-) if ext. > 7 and < 21 days J(+)/R(-) if ext. > 21 days (EcoChem PJ) Solids/Wastes: J(+)/UJ(-) if ext. > 14 and < 42 days J(+)/R(-) if ext. > 42 days (EcoChem PJ)		1	
		J(+)/UJ(-) if analysis >40 days		
Tuning	DFTPP Beginning of each 12 hour period Method acceptance criteria	R(+/-) all analytes in all samples associated with the tune	5A	
	RRF > 0.05	(EcoChem PJ, see TM-06) If MDL= reporting limit: J(+)/R(-) if RRF < 0.05	5A	
Initial Calibration (Minimum 5 stds.)		If reporting limit > MDL: note in worksheet if RRF <0.05		
	%RSD < 30%	(EcoChem PJ, see TM-06) J(+) if %RSD > 30%	5A	
Continuing Colibertion	RRF > 0.05	(EcoChem PJ, see TM-06) If MDL= reporting limit: J(+)/R(-) if RRF < 0.05	5B	
Continuing Calibration (Prior to each 12 hr. shift)		If reporting limit > MDL: note in worksheet if RRF <0.05		
	%D <25%	(EcoChem PJ, see TM-06) If > +/-90%: J+/R- If -90% to -26%: J+ (high bias) If 26% to 90%: J+/UJ- (low bias)	5B	
	One per matrix per batch	U(+) if sample (+) result is less than CRQL and less than appropriate 5X or 10X rule (raise sample value to CRQL)	7	
Method Blank	No results > CRQL	U(+) if sample (+) result is greater than or equal to CRQL and less than appropriate 5X and 10X rule (at reported sample value)	7	
	No TICs present	R(+) TICs using 10X rule	7	
Field Blanks (Not Required)	No results > CRQL	Apply 5X/10X rule; U(+) < action level	6	

EcoChem Validation Guidelines for Semivolatile Analysis by GC/MS (Based on Organic NFG 1999)

VALIDATION QC ELEMENT	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	ACTION	REASON CODE	
MS/MSD (recovery)	One per matrix per batch Use method acceptance criteria	Qualify parent only unless other QC indicates systematic problems: J(+) if both %R > UCL J(+)/UJ(-) if both %R < LCL J(+)/R(-) if both %R < 10% PJ if only one %R outlier	8	
MS/MSD (RPD)	One per matrix per batch Use method acceptance criteria	J(+) in parent sample if RPD > CL	9	
LCS CLP low conc. H2O only	One per lab batch Within method control limits	J(+) assoc. cmpd if > UCL J(+)/R(-) assoc. cmpd if < LCL J(+)/R(-) all cmpds if half are < LCL	10	
LCS regular SVOA (H2O & solid)	One per lab batch Lab or method control limits	J(+) if %R > UCL J(+)/UJ(-) if %R <lcl J(+)/R(-) if %R < 10% (EcoChem PJ)</lcl 	10	
LCS/LCSD (if required)	One set per matrix and batch of 20 samples RPD < 35%	J(+)/UJ(-) assoc. cmpd. in all samples	9	
Surrogates	Minimum of 3 acid and 3 base/neutral compounds Use method acceptance criteria	Do not qualify if only 1 acid and/or 1 B/N surrogate is out unless <10% J(+) if %R > UCL J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < LCL J(+)/R(-) if %R < 10%	13	
Internal Standards	Added to all samples Acceptable Range: IS area 50% to 200% of CCAL area RT within 30 seconds of CC RT	J(+) if > 200% J(+)/UJ(-) if < 50% J(+)/R(-) if < 25% RT>30 seconds, narrate and Notify PM	19	
Use QAPP limits. If no QAPP: Solids: RPD <50% Solids: RPD <50%		Narrate and qualify if required by project (EcoChem PJ)	9	
TICs	Major ions (>10%) in reference must be present in sample; intensities agree within 20%; check identification	NJ the TIC unless: R(+) common laboratory contaminants See Technical Director for ID issues	4	
Quantitation/ Identification	RRT within 0.06 of standard RRT Quantitation/ Ion relative intensity within 20% of standard			

EcoChem Validation Guidelines for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons-Gasoline Range

(Based on EPA National Functional Guidelines as applied to criteria in NWTPH-Gx, June 1997, Wa DOE & Oregon DEQ)

VALIDATION QC ELEMENT	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	ACTION	REASON CODE	
Cooler Temperature & Preservation	4°C±2°C Water: HCl to pH < 2	J(+)/UJ(-) if greater than 6 deg. C	1	
Holding Time	Waters: 14 days preserved 7 days unpreserved Solids: 14 Days	J(+)/UJ(-) if hold times exceeded J(+)/R(-) if exceeded > 3X (EcoChem PJ)	1	
Initial Calibration	5 calibration points (All within 15% of true value) Linear Regression: R ² ≥0.990	Narrate if fewer than 5 calibration levels or if %R >15% J(+)/UJ(-) if R ² <0.990	5A	
	If used, RSD of response factors <20%	J(+)/UJ(-) if %RSD > 20%		
Mid-range Calibration	Analyzed before and after each analysis shift & every 20 samples.	Narrate if frequency not met.		
Check Std.	Recovery range 80% to 120%	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < 80% J(+) if %R >120%	5B	
Method Blank	At least one per batch (≤10 samples)	U (at the RL) if sample result is < RL & < 5X blank result.	7	
	No results >RL	U (at reported sample value) if sample result is \geq RL and < 5X blank result	7	
Trip Blank (if required by project)	No results >RL	Action is same as method blank for positive results remaining in trip blank after method blank qualifiers are assigned.	18	
Field Blanks (if required by project)	No results > RL	Action is same as method blank for positive results remaining in field blank after method and trip blank qualifiers are assigned.	6	
MS samples (accuracy) (if required by project)	%R within lab control limits	Qualify parent only, unless other QC indicates systematic problems. J(+) if both %R > upper control limit (UCL) J(+)/UJ(-) if both %R < lower control limit (LCL) No action if parent conc. >5X the amount spiked. Use PJ if only one %R outlier		
Precision: MS/MSD or LCS/LCSD or sample/dup	At least one set per batch (\leq 10 samples) RPD \leq lab control limit	J(+) if RPD > lab control limits	9	

EcoChem Validation Guidelines for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons-Gasoline Range

(Based on EPA National Functional Guidelines as applied to criteria in NWTPH-Gx, June 1997, Wa DOE & Oregon DEQ)

VALIDATION QC ELEMENT	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	ACTION	REASON CODE
LCS (not required by method)	%R within lab control limits	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < LCL J(+) if %R > UCL J(+)/R(-) if any %R <10% (EcoChem PJ)	10
Surrogates	Bromofluorobenzene and/or 1,4-difluorobenzene added to all samples (inc. QC samples). %R = 50-150%	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < LCL J(+) if %R >UCL J(+)/R(-) if any %R <10% No action if 2 or more surrogates are used, and only one is outside control limits. (EcoChem PJ)	13
Compare sample chromatogram to standard chromatogram to ensure range and pattern are reasonable match. Laboratory may flag results which have poor match.		J(+)	2
Field Duplicates	Use project control limits, if stated in QAPP EcoChem default: water: RPD < 35% solids: RPD < 50%	Narrate outliers If required by project, qualify with J(+)/UJ(-)	9
Two analyses for one sample (e.g., dilution)	Report only one result per analyte	"DNR" (or client requested qualifier) all results that should not be reported. (See TM-04)	11

EcoChem Validation Guidelines for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons-Diesel & Residual Range (Based on EPA National Functional Guidelines as applied to criteria in NWTPH-Dx, June 1997, Wa DOE & Oregon DEQ)

VALIDATION QC ELEMENT	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	ACTION	REASON CODE
Cooler Temperature & Preservation	4°C±2°C Water: HCl to pH < 2	J(+)/UJ(-) if greater than 6 deg. C	1
Holding Time	Ext. Waters: 14 days preserved 7 days unpreserved Ext. Solids: 14 Days Analysis: 40 days from extraction	J(+)/UJ(-) if hold times exceeded J(+)/R(-) if exceeded > 3X (EcoChem PJ)	1
Initial Calibration	5 calibration points (All within 15% of true value)	Narrate if fewer than 5 calibration levels or if %R >15%	5A
	Linear Regression: $R^2 \ge 0.990$ If used, RSD of response factors $\le 20\%$	J(+)/UJ(-) if R ² <0.990 J(+)/UJ(-) if %RSD > 20%	5A
Mid-range Calibration	Analyzed before and after each analysis shift & every 20 samples.	Narrate if frequency not met.	
Check Std.	Recovery range 85% to 115%	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < 85% J(+) if %R >115%	5B
Method Blank	At least one per batch (< <u>2</u> 0 samples)	U (at the RL) if sample result is < RL & < 5X blank result.	7
	No results >RL	U (at reported sample value) if sample result is > RL and < 5X blank result	7
Field Blanks (if required by project)	No results > RL	Action is same as method blank for positive results remaining in the field blank after method blank qualifiers are assigned.	6
MS samples (accuracy) (if required by project) %R within lab control limits		Qualify parent only, unless other QC indicates systematic problems. J(+) if both %R > upper control limit (UCL) J(+)/UJ(-) if both %R < lower control limit (LCL) No action if parent conc. >5X the amount spiked. Use PJ if only one %R outlier	8
Precision: MS/MSD or LCS/LCSD or sample/dup	At least one set per batch (≤10 samples) RPD <u><</u> lab control limit	J(+) if RPD > lab control limits	9
LCS (not required by method)	%R within lab control limits	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < LCL J(+) if %R > UCL J(+)/R(-) if any %R <10% (EcoChem PJ)	10

EcoChem Validation Guidelines for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons-Diesel & Residual Range (Based on EPA National Functional Guidelines as applied to criteria in NWTPH-Dx, June 1997, Wa DOE & Oregon DEQ)

VALIDATION QC ELEMENT	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	ACTION	REASON CODE
Surrogates	2-fluorobiphenyl, p-terphenyl, o-terphenyl, and/or pentacosane added to all samples (inc. QC samples). %R = 50-150%	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < LCL J(+) if %R > UCL J(+)/R(-) if any %R <10% No action if 2 or more surrogates are used, and only one is outside control limits. (EcoChem PJ)	13
Pattern Identification	Compare sample chromatogram to standard chromatogram to ensure range and pattern are reasonable match. Laboratory may flag results which have poor match.	J(+)	2
Field Duplicates	Use project control limits, if stated in QAPP EcoChem default: water: RPD < 35% solids: RPD < 50%	Narrate (Use Professional Judgement to qualify)	9
Two analyses for one sample (dilution)	Report only one result per analyte	"DNR" (or client requested qualifier) all results that should not be reported. (See TM-04)	11



APPENDIX B QUALIFIED DATA SUMMARY TABLE

JC 06/14/95 10:12 AM I:\APPENDICES\APPENDIX.DOC

QUALIFIED DATA SUMMARY TABLE Blaine MiniMart - Groundwater Monitoring 1st QTR 2013

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Method	Analyte	Result	Lab Flag	Units	DV Qualifier	DV Reason
MW-6-030613	580-37398-1	NWTHP-GX	Gasoline	0.05	U^	mg/L	UJ	5B
MW-6-030613	580-37398-1	SW8260	Toluene	1	U	ug/L	UJ	13
OW-1-030613	580-37398-4	NWTPH-DX	#2 DIESEL	0.25	Y	mg/L	J	2