IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, No. 88-7-72080-8 4 Plaintiff, v. 5 CONSENT DECREE PACCAR Inc 6 Defendant. 7 8 Table of Contents 9 <u>Paqe</u> 10 INTRODUCTION . . 2 11 JURISDICTION AND VENUE I. II. PARTIES BOUND. . . . 12 III. DEFINITIONS. IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS . 13 5 WORK TO BE PERFORMED v. 10 VI. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS. 14 11 VII. 12 VIII. ACCESS 15 12 IX. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING AND AVAILABILITY. Χ. 16 14 XI. RETENTION OF RECORDS 15 XII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY 17 XIII. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES 16 XIV. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE 18 ENDANGERMENT . . . XV. XVI. OTHER ACTIONS. 19 21 XVII. 22 XVIII. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS. 20 22 XIX. OVERSIGHT COSTS. XX. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE . . 21 24 XXI. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS . . 24 XXII. DURATION OF DECREE . . 22 XXIII. 25 PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT. . . XXIV. 23 25 Exhibit A: Legal Description Exhibit B: Work Plans 24 25 26

A. In entering into this Consent Decree (Decree), the mutual objective of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and PACCAR (Defendant) is to provide a framework for investigation and recommendation of remedial alternatives at property owned and operated by PACCAR in Renton, Washington, to prevent or mitigate the release of pollutants and hazardous substances from such property, to prevent or mitigate contamination of the waters of the state, and to protect the public health, welfare and environment. To accomplish these objectives and to resolve the matter constructively and without litigation, PACCAR consents to the actions required by this Decree.

B. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's complaint. In addition, the parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.

C. In signing this Decree, Defendant agrees to its entry and agrees to be bound by its terms.

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

CONSENT DECREE

By entering into this Decree, the parties do not D. intend to discharge nonsettling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the complaint. PACCAR and Ecology retain the right to seek reimbursement in whole or in part from any responsible entities for sums expended pursuant to this Decree.

The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry E. of this Decree, and good cause having been shown: ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter Α. and over the parties pursuant to ch. 70.105B RCW (chapter 2, laws of 1987, 3rd Ex. Sess.), the Hazardous Waste Cleanup Act. Venue is properly laid in King County, the location of the property at issue.

Under RCW 70.105B.070(1), whenever Ecology has reason to believe that a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance will require remedial action, it shall notify potentially liable persons with respect to the release or threatened release and provide potentially liable persons a reasonable opportunity to propose a settlement agreement providing for remedial action. Pursuant to RCW 70.105B.070(5), where Ecology and a potentially liable person reach such an agreement, the

CONSENT DECREE

agreement shall be filed with the appropriate superior Court as a proposed consent decree, subject to a thirty (30) day public comment period.

- C. On the basis of the results of the testing and analysis described in the Statement of Facts, infra, and Ecology files and records, Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance which will require remedial action pursuant to RCW 70.105B has occurred at the PACCAR facility located at 1400 North Fourth Street in Renton, Washington.
- D. PACCAR is a potentially liable party for the site pursuant to RCW 70.105B.040. PACCAR has agreed to voluntarily undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consents to the issuance of this Decree, pursuant to ch. 70.105B RCW.
- E. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment.

II.

PARTIES BOUND

This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the signatories to this Decree (parties), their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with the

CONSENT DECREE

Decree. Defendant agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree and not to contest state jurisdiction regarding this Decree. No change in Defendant's ownership or corporate status shall alter the responsibility of the Defendant under this Decree. Defendant shall provide a copy of this Decree to each of its agents, including all contractors and subcontractors retained to perform work contemplated by this Decree, and shall condition any contract for such work on compliance with this Decree.

III.

DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise specified, the definitions set forth in ch. 70.105 RCW, ch. 90.48 RCW, and ch. 70.105B RCW shall control the meaning of the terms used in this Consent Decree.

IV.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Site History and Description

PACCAR Inc, a Delaware corporation, manufactures heavy equipment at several facilities in the United States and overseas. PACCAR operates three industrial facilities in Washington state, a Kenworth truck assembly plant in Seattle, a truck research and development technical center in Mount Vernon, and the PACCAR Defense Systems ("PDS") facility located

4

5 6 7

9

8

12

13

11

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

at 1400 North Fourth Street in Renton. In addition, PACCAR's corporate headquarters are located in Bellevue, Washington.

PACCAR and its predecessors have operated on portions of the Renton site (the "site") since 1907, except for a period from 1924 through 1934 when the facility was owned and operated by American Car and Foundry. The site is described in the attached legal description (Exhibit A). Increasing portions of the site were used by PACCAR's division, Pacific Car and Foundry Company, until 1984 as a foundry and for the manufacture of rail cars, winches, and military equipment. Recently the site was operated by PACCAR's PDS division as a foundry and for the manufacture of military and industrial equipment. PACCAR intends to shut-down the PDS manufacturing operation by September of 1988. PACCAR has proposed relocating its Seattle Kenworth truck assembly plant to the Renton site. Current plans include construction of a large building (initially 400,000 to 600,000 square feet with a maximum build-out of 720,000 to 800,000 square feet) within the central area of Building construction, including soil remediation, the site. is presently scheduled to begin during late spring of 1989, although existing building demolition and selected soil remediation may commence during 1988.

B. Site Conditions; Sampling and Analysis

Data have been collected by PACCAR and several consultants to evaluate soil and groundwater quality conditions beneath the The database on the site conditions includes: facility. geotechnical reports completed between August 1961 and May 30, 1984 (referenced in Hart Crowser, Site Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Quality, PACCAR Facility, Renton, Washington [1986]), a study by PACCAR to confirm the areal extent and quality of fill materials located within the north half of the site (HNTB, Field Investigation, Soil Contamination Study, Pacific Car and Foundry Company, Renton, Washington [Applied Geotechnology, Inc. 1984]), and a sampling of soil and groundwater by EPA's contractor, Ecology & Environment, both on-site and at the Renton well field during early 1986 (EPA, Site Inspection Report of Pacific Car & Foundry Company, Renton, Washington [TDD RIO-8510-11B 1986]). In addition to field testing, two additional sampling rounds were completed by Applied Geotechnology, Inc. in December 1984 and July 1985. During the summer of 1986, a sampling and analysis program (completed by Landau Associates, Inc.) was conducted to assess the site conditions pursuant to a real estate purchase and sale This latter work consisted of a soil and groundwater sampling program developed for the site based on the findings of previous work, interviews with past PACCAR

25

26

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2:

CONSENT DECREE

employees, and review of historical aerial photographs. The results of this and the previously completed work are summarized in Hart Crowser, Inc. <u>Site Assessment Report</u> (1986), which has been submitted to both Ecology and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

The City of Renton (using the consulting firm of CH2M Hill) is conducting an aquifer protection study of the aquifer which supplies groundwater to production wells located 1,700 or more feet south and southwest of the site. As part of the Renton study several monitoring wells were installed between the well field and the site. Water level measurements and water quality data are available from these wells. This work is summarized in three reports prepared by CH2M Hill (1986a, 1986b, and 1988).

Hart Crowser, Inc. under contract to PACCAR is evaluating groundwater flow direction in the vicinity of the site. Work completed through August 1987 is documented in their report dated October 5, 1987 (Hart Crowser 1987). Water level measurements were obtained monthly through August 1987, bi-monthly through June of 1988, and quarterly thereafter as part of the proposed RI/FS work plan.

During the Autumn of 1987, selected site remediation was completed under Ecology's supervision in the vicinity of monitoring well LW-11 and soil boring LB-24, including

excavation and removal of contaminated soil. The extent of contamination within the LW-11 area was greater than had been anticipated prior to excavation. The soil contamination resulted from spills and leaks of petroleum-hydrocarbons (fuel oil). Some petroleum-contaminated soil remains on the site which will be assessed as part of the RI/FS.

Additional soils contaminated with fuels were discovered in the vicinity of LW-10 during repair of a water pipe in 1987. This area will also be addressed as part of the RI/FS.

The previously completed sampling and analysis work indicate that several metals and organic compounds exceed federal water quality standards beneath the site. While the extent of groundwater and soil contamination has not been fully identified, the parties believe, based on the currently available data, that any risk to the Renton well-field is remote.

c. Conclusion

Based on the above facts, Ecology has determined that the release or potential release of hazardous substances from the Renton site requires remedial investigation to determine the nature and extent of the contamination at the site, to consider alternatives for remedying the contamination and, potentially, to implement remedial action for such problems. This Decree sets forth measures necessary to insure the protection of

CONSENT DECREE

public health, welfare and the environment. Ecology has determined that PACCAR is a potentially liable party for purposes of implementing these actions as described below.

V.

WORK TO BE PERFORMED

This Consent Decree contains a program designed to protect the public health and welfare and the environment from the known release, or threatened releases, of hazardous substances and pollutants at, on, or from the site. The work to be performed by the Defendant is set forth in Exhibit B. Exhibit B is made an integral and enforceable part of this Consent Decree. The term "Consent Decree" shall include the work to be performed under Exhibit B whenever used in this document. Except where performance by another party is expressly provided in Exhibit B, the Defendant commits to implement the requirements of the work plan.

All changes to the work plan, if any, will be documented. PACCAR or its representative will contact Ecology to propose any changes to the work plan. Initial contact and approval may be accomplished either verbally or in writing. Proposals for work plan changes must include justification for the changes proposed. If initial contact is verbal, both PACCAR's request and Ecology's approval or disapproval must be documented in writing. Any disagreement shall be addressed through the

Decree.

3

5 6

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

CONSENT DECREE

dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIII of this

VI.

DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

On or before the entry of this Decree, Ecology and Defendant shall each designate a project coordinator. Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree. The Ecology project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative at the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and the Defendant and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the remedial work required by this Decree.

Any party may change its respective project coordinator. To the extent possible, written notification shall be given to the other party, in writing, at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

The project coordinator for Ecology is Lynn Cashion. project coordinator for PACCAR is Robert K. Butler.

PERFORMANCE

All work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction and supervision, as necessary, of an Ecology-approved professional engineer, qualified hydrogeologist, or equivalent, with experience and expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and cleanup. For all purposes of this Decree, Hart Crowser is approved as having these qualifications.

VIII.

<u>ACCESS</u>

Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall have the authority to enter and freely move about all property at the Site at all reasonable times for the purposes of, inter alia: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing the progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting samples as Ecology or the project coordinator may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by the Defendant. In the event that neither Defendant's project coordinator nor an employee of Defendant's consultants is present on the site, or in the event

Ecology wishes to conduct sampling, Ecology will, except in emergency situations, provide Defendant's project coordinator with three (3) days' notice prior to entering the site.

Ecology shall split any samples taken during an inspection unless the Defendant fails to make available a representative for the purpose of splitting samples. All parties with access to the Site pursuant to this paragraph shall comply with reasonable health and safety requirements. The Defendant shall allow such persons to inspect and copy all records, files, photographs, documents, and other writings including all sampling and monitoring data, in any way pertaining to work undertaken pursuant to this Decree.

The foregoing paragraph notwithstanding, the parties agree that Ecology shall not have access to privileged material and that, to the extent permitted by law, Ecology will maintain the confidentiality of proprietary information which shall be subject to Ecology access.

IX.

SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING AND AVAILABILITY

The Defendant shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by them, or on their behalf, with respect to the implementation of this Decree available to Ecology and shall submit these results in

__

26 CONSENT DECREE

interim or final reports submitted in accordance with the provisions of the work plan (Exhibit B).

At the request of Ecology, the Defendant shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Ecology and/or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by the Defendant pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Except in the event of an emergency, the Defendant shall notify Ecology five (5) working days in advance of any sample collection activity. Ecology shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by the Defendant, or its authorized representatives, of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Except in the event of an emergency, Ecology shall notify the Defendant three (3) working days prior to conducting any sample collection activity.

Х.

PROGRESS REPORTS

Defendant shall submit to Ecology brief written monthly progress reports which describe the actions it has taken during the previous month to implement the requirements of this Decree. Progress reports shall also describe the activities scheduled to be taken during the next month. All progress reports shall be submitted by the tenth (10th) day of the month in which they are due, commencing after the effective date of this Decree. The progress reports shall include a detailed

CONSENT DECREE

statement of the manner and extent to which the requirements and time schedules set out in the Decree are being met. Unless otherwise specified, progress reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by certified mail, return-receipt requested, to Ecology's project coordinator at the following:

Lynn Cashion Northwest Regional Office Department of Ecology 4350 - 150th Avenue, N.E. Redmond, Washington 98052-5301

XI.

RETENTION OF RECORDS

Defendant shall preserve, during the pendency of this

Decree and for ten (10) years from the date of completion of
the work plan, all records, reports, documents, and underlying
data in its possession relevant to the implementation of this

Decree and shall insert in contracts with project contractors a
similar records retention requirement. Upon request of

Ecology, Defendant shall make all non-privileged, non-archived
records available to Ecology and allow access for review. All
non-privileged, archived records shall be made available to

Ecology within a reasonable period of time. Ecology agrees, to
the extent permitted by law, to maintain the confidentiality of
any proprietary information requested.

CONSENT DECREE

TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

No voluntary or involuntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Site shall be consummated without provision for continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.

Upon entry of this Decree, and to the extent Defendant is the owner of the real property on which a release of a significant quantity of a hazardous substance has occurred, Defendant shall place a notice in the records of real property kept by the county auditor consistent with RCW 70.105B.160. Prior to transfer of any legal or equitable interest in all or any portion of the property, Defendant shall serve a copy of this Decree and a written statement pursuant to RCW 70.105B.160(4) upon any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in interest of the property; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Defendant shall notify Ecology of said contemplated transfer.

XIII.

RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

If Defendant objects to any Ecology disapproval, proposed modification, or decision made pursuant to this Decree, it

shall notify Ecology in writing of its objections within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of such notice. Thereafter, the parties shall confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If agreement cannot be reached on the dispute within fourteen (14) calendar days after receipt by Ecology of such objections, Ecology shall promptly provide a written statement of its decision to Defendant.

If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to

Defendant, Defendant has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. In the event Defendant presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review the action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of review. Ecology and Defendant agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.

Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required

26 CONSENT DECREE

in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

XIV.

AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE

This Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation between the parties, entered by the Court, or by Court order. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party to the Decree. The parties shall amend the Decree if required by RCW 70.105B.080(9).

Defendant shall submit any request for an amendment to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval within fifteen (15) working days after the request for amendment is received. Reasons for the disapproval shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to any proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIII of this Decree.

No guidance, suggestions, or comments by Ecology will be construed as relieving Defendant of its obligation to obtain formal approval as may be required by this Decree. No verbal communication by Ecology shall relieve Defendant of the obligations specified herein.

24

25

26

Ecology shall notify Defendant in writing of any Ecology proposed amendment and the basis for such proposal. Defendant shall thereafter comply with such modifications, or if it does not agree with those modifications, the disagreement shall be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIII of this Decree.

XV.

ENDANGERMENT

In the event Ecology determines or concurs in a determination by another local, state, or federal agency that activities implementing or in noncompliance with this Decree, or any other circumstances or activities, are creating or have the potentia to create a danger to the health or welfare of the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Ecology may order Defendant to stop further implementation of this Decree for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition the Court for an order, as appropriate. During any stoppage of work under this section, the obligations of Defendant with respect to the work ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the time periods for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which is stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XIV of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

25

26

In the event Defendant determines that activities undertaken in furtherance of this Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating a danger to the health and welfare of people on the site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Defendant may stop implementation of this Decree for such periods of time necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine whether Defendant should proceed with implementation of the Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. shall notify either Ecology field personnel on-site or the project coordinator as soon as is possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage of work, and provide Ecology with documentation of its analysis in reaching this determination. If Ecology disagrees with Defendant's determination, it may order Defendant to resume implementation If Ecology concurs in the work stoppage, of this Decree. Defendant's obligations shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XIV of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Any disagreements pursuant to this clause shall be resolved through the dispute resolution procedures in Section XIII.

__

OTHER ACTIONS

Ecology reserves its rights to institute remedial action(s) at the Site and subsequently pursue cost recovery, and Ecology reserves its rights to issue orders and/or penalties pursuant to available statutory authority under the following circumstances:

- 1. Where Defendant fails to adhere to any material requirement of this Decree;
- 2. In the event or upon the discovery of a release or threatened release not addressed by this Decree (including the incorporated work plan) which Defendant, after notice, fails taddress;
- 3. Upon Ecology's determination that action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an emergency situation which threatens the public health or welfare or the environment and which Defendant, after notice, fails to address; or
- 4. Upon the occurrence or discovery of a situation beyond the scope of this Decree as to which Ecology would be empowered to perform any remedial action or to issue an order and/or penalty, or to take any other enforcement action.

CONSENT DECREE

1414 ODEO4 DOT1

INDEMNIFICATION

Defendant agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, the Defendant shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action brought by third parties arising out of the acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in implementing activities pursuant to this Decree.

XVIII.

COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

All actions carried out by Defendant pursuant to this Decree shall be conducted in accordance with all applicable laws and requirements, including requirements, if any, to obtain necessary permits not otherwise exempted under RCW 70.105B.250.

All facilities used by Defendant for the off-site treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous substances removed from the site must be in compliance with the applicable requirements

of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended in November 1984, 42 U.S.C. 6901, et. seq.; and ch. 70.105 RCW. Defendant must designate in a report to Ecology any facilities that Defendant proposes to use for such off-site storage, treatment, or disposal, and Ecology must give prior approval for the use of such facilities.

XIX.

OVERSIGHT COSTS

Defendant agrees to reimburse the appropriate account of the Treasury of the State of Washington, as identified by Ecology, for Ecology's actual reasonable and appropriate oversight costs associated with Ecology's activities relating to the Site which are conducted during the implementation of this Decree. Ecology will submit to Defendant a quarterly summary statement of Ecology's expenses relating to the Site. Within ninety (90) days of receipt of the statement, Defendant shall pay into the appropriate account of the Treasury of the State of Washington, as identified by Ecology, the required sum.

Defendant further agrees to reimburse the appropriate account of the Treasury of the State of Washington, within ninety (90) days of receipt of a summary expense statement, for Ecology's costs relating to the Site which were incurred prior to the entry of this Decree. The parties acknowledge that as

There a sata, antraly

14 i

 of June 30, 1988, Ecology had incurred \$3,058.14 in costs relating to the Site.

XX.

CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

Defendant hereby agrees that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies and, further, that the Defendant will make no claim against the state toxics control account or any local toxics control account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree.

XXI.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

By agreeing to the entry of this Decree, the Defendant and Ecology agree to abide by its terms. While the parties believe that the recitals contained in this Decree are accurate, the execution and performance of the Decree is not, however, an admission by the Defendant of any fact or liability for any purpose other than as a foundation for the entry of this Decree. Defendant's performance under the Decree is undertaken without waiver of or prejudice to any claims or defenses whatsoever that may be asserted in the event of further administrative proceedings or litigation about or relating to the site. Nor is the execution or the performance of the

CONC

Decree an agreement by Defendant to take any action at the site other than that described in this document.

XXII.

DURATION OF DECREE

This Decree shall remain in effect and the work described in the Decree shall be maintained and continued until the work called for by this Decree has been satisfactorily completed or until Ecology and the Defendant agree in writing that the Decree should be terminated and/or a Court directs that the Decree be terminated.

XXIII.

EFFECTIVE DATE

This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.

XXIV.

PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Decree will be subject to a 30-day public notice and comment period pursuant to RCW 70.105B.070(5). Ecology reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent to the proposed final Decree if the comments received by Ecology disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the proposed Decree is materially inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

- 1							
1	If the Count withhald and the land						
2	If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent, this						
3	Decree shall be null and void at the option of any party and						
4	the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs and						
	without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound						
5	by the requirements of this Decree.						
6	DATED this 7 th day of 100. 1988.						
7							
8	PACCAR Inc STATE OF WASHINGTON						
9	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY						
10	By Deveret By Vancy Elino						
11	R. PAUL BEVERIDGE NANCY ELLISON Heller, Ehrman, White & Northwest Regional Manager						
12	McAuliffe Department of Ecology Attorneys for PACCAR Inc						
	11/11/00						
13	Date _// / / 88 Date _!1/4/88						
14	Presented and approved by:						
15	0 001						
16	JERRY A. ACKERMAN						
17	Assistant Attorney General						
18	Attorneys for State of Washington						
19	Date ///7/88						
20							
İ	This DECREE is approved and IT IS SO ORDERED this						
21	day of, 1988.						
22							
23							
24	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE						
25							

All those pertiese of the south helf of Section 8 Township 13 worth, Range 5 East. W.H., in the City of Renten, Ring County, washington, and of Renten Farm Acrespe, as recorded in Volume 13 of piate, page 37, records of said county, including vectoes acreets and avenues as would attach by operation of law, and of Car worth Addition to the City of Benten, as recorded in Volume 13 of piate. Page 47, records of said county, including vectoes acreets, avenues, and alleys as would attach by operation of law, described acreeds, as follows:

Commonsing 4t the sest disertor corner of seld Section 6. from which point the northeast corner of seld section bears #81'02'09'2: thence #89'27'15'9, slong the north bears #81'02'0**** Thence #89'27'15**, slong the nerth line of sale equit half, 1547 56 [set to an existing center of section horizont: thence \$01'02'40**, slong the nerth-cents center of section line of sale Section 6, a distance of 68.00 feet to the southerly sergin of Horizont STR Street, sale point being on the south line of the nerth 10 feet of Sieck 1 of sale Fenton Fare Acresqu, and nerth and nerth of section the south line of the next 10 feet of Sieck 1 of sale Fenton Fare Acresqu, and the THUE POINT OF SESTIMATES; thence 849'27'23'W, along the south line of the north 10 feet of said Block 1 and its south line of the horth ju twee of make visual vectors presentation, 188.11 feet to a paint on the west line of Start 2 of said plat: thence 501'05'25'0, along said west line and its southerty prolongation, 1235.01 foot to the southwest corner of Slock 5 of said plat, said point being on the easterly margin of Garden Avenue Herth: thomas 181'09'14"W, along said casterly mergin, 1099.79 feet to the nerthwest corner of Lot 1, 3less 4, of aforemated Car Werks Addition to the City of Senton Chance 580"23"14"E. along the neeth line of said Lat 1 and its easterly prolongation 119.00 feet to the nerthwest corner of Let 10 of said Block 4: Thence 501"09'51"W, slong the word line of Lots 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 of said Block 6, a distance of 226,99 feet to the southerly line of said Block 6; thousand 6; thousand 580-23/14-8, along the emtherly line of said Block 6 and its easterly prolompation, \$69.18 feet to s point on the north-couth center of section line of soid Section of Chesen 189'33'14'6' to enti druce off the eff stone is and its easterly prelongation (if any) of sold 148.11 feet to the westerly sergin of vacated Houses pies. yes porth (a.l.a. fatired leastly betain we recommend the partie of the vector houses the partie. 339.87 (out to the westerly acres acres acres acres. 339.87 (out to the westerly acres of the burlington Porthern Entired right-ef-way, said point being here-inefter referred to as Point "A" said point being on an 1133.28 foot radius circular curve to the left, from which point the center of said curve bears 266'19'16"W: themse negtherly, along said curve, through a central angle of 11'51'84", an ere distance of 618.00 foot to a point of tamporary: Change 228'10'20"W 137 10 (cot to a point of tangency with an ilee.18 foot radius circular curve to the right: themse northerly, along said curve, through a con-trol angle of 17'19'42", an are distance of 353.35 foot: thomse 879'99'23"\$ 74.00 foot to a point on an 1114.26 foot radium circular curve to the left, from which point the comtany of said ourse meers 879'09'22"\$; themse southcontently, slowe said curve, through a central angle of 17'40'42", an arm distance of 111.72 feet to a point of tamponary: thousan 328'15'20"E 212.75 (set: thousan 531'39'20"E 42.40 feet to a point of tamponary with a 351.96 feet redime circular curve to the left: thousan semithmentarly, slowe end curve, through a central angle of 15'51'40". of 18'51'00", an are distance of 218.66 foot to a point on the westerly servin of aforesald vecated Resear May Sorthi thomme 901'00'10"8, slong said voctorly margin, 1542.45 feet to a point of tangency with a 543.40 feet radius circular curve to the left; thence northerly, along said curve and said westerly sergin, through a control ample of 09'07'54", an are distance of 1.25 feet to a point on the southwesterly mergin of the Burlimpton Serthern Relirend right-of-way, said point being on a 691.78 foot radius circular curve to the left from which point the center bears \$39°27'78"W: thence nerthwesterly, slong said curve and said margin, through a control angle of GE 27'46", an are distance of 102.18 feet: thence continuing slong sold mendin was de de la fail l'est to a boint of faudouch aith a 757.01 foot radius circular curve to the right: thence northwesterly, along said curve and said sergin, through a constal angle of 14'40'33" an arc distance of 199.32 (out to the seath line of the north 60.00 feet of the seath helf of said Section 8: thence men'27'25"W, along said seath line. 16 16 feet to the THIE WITH OF BECINGING.

TOGETHER WITH all that portion of said south half of Section & described so follows:

Commencing at aferement Point "A": theree #23'50'20"E, alors the westerly margin of aforement vecated finance Way merin and aferement martington Northern right-of-way, 54.16 feet to a point of tempency vith a 789.82 feet to take left; theree northerly, along maid of curve and said westerly margin and said railread right-of-way through a contral angle of 00'43'04", an arc distance of 9.88 feet to the TMUE POINT OF MESTERIES, and point being on an 1157.28 foot radius circular curve to the left, from which point the center mears may 18'10""; theree northerly, along maid curve and said railread right-of-way through a central angle of 12"36'25", an arc distance of 463.36 feet to a point on a 371.96 foot radius circular curve to the left, from which point the center mears #46'42'36"E; theree easterly, along said curve and said railread right-of-way. Through a central angle of 28'10'34", an arc distance of 183.36 feet to the west sargin of maid vecated Nouser way Merri; theree said of 28'10'30", along said west margin and said railread right-of-way 37.81 feet to a point of tangency with a 789.02 foot radius circular curve to the right: theree centeriuming southerly, along said west margin and said railread curve. Enfough a central angle of 22'06'56", an arc distance of 104.35 feet to the TRUE FOINT OF MESTERIES.

Containing approximately | 1 544 252 Filter feet or \$1.8240 acres.

PARCEL 1-1

All that portion of the south half of Section 8, Township 23 Morth. Range 5 East, 4.M., in the City of Renton, Eing County, Weshington, described as follows:

Commoneing at the east quarter corner of said Section 8, from which the agreement corner of said section means and "02"09"E; thence #89"27"25"W, along the morth line of said south haif, 1647.56 feet to an estating canter of section monument; thence SG1*22'60'W, along the north-south center of section line of said Section 8. a distance of 60.30 feet to the southerly eargin of Morth \$th Street, said point being on the south line of the north 60.00 feet of said south haif; thence \$89*17'15"8, slong seld south line, 187.07 feet to a point on the northeasterly margin of the Surlington Morthern Railroad cique-of-way and the TRUE POINT OF SEGINHING, thence continuing \$89*17'13"E, along said south line, 125.49 (eet to a point on the wester, y eardin of Houser way morth (ass Railroad Avenue): thence Sis 90'46"E, along said westerly morgin, \$2.32 feet to a point of tangency with a 543.68 foot radius durquiar curve to the right; thence southerly, along said curve and said vester.y margin, through a central angle of 09°49'16", an arc distance of 93.22 feet to a point on the northeasterly eargin of said railroad cight-of-way: thence N57*69'50"W, along said northeasterly margin, 121-17 feet to the TRUE POINT OF SECTIONS.

Containing approximately 19.452 square feet or 0.4465 acres were or less.

Per Survey recorded under Filing No. 8712189002 on December 18, 1987 at Book 58, page 249/&A, Records of King County, Washington.

FEB 2.3 1939

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

Superior Court Clerk

STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,

Plaintiff,

No. 88-2-22080-8

MARKET.

PACCAR INC

Defendant.

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT DECREE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF NOTICE OF PRESENTATION

10

11

12

1

3

5

6

7

8

COME NOW the parties in the above-encaptioned matter, by and through the undersigned counsel of stipulate and agree as follows:

and hereby MAR 1 0 1989

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

STIPULATION

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY NORTHWEST REGION

The parties hereby stipulate and agree that the previously lodged Consent Decree and this Stipulation and sub-joined Order for Entry of Consent Decree in the above-encaptioned matter will be presented to the presiding judge, King County Superior Court, for approval and signature on February 23, 1989, at or after 1:00 p.m. at the King County Superior Court, King County Courthouse, Seattle, Washington.

21 22

The parties also stipulate and agree to the filing of the February 22, 1989, Affidavit of Counsel Regarding Notice and Public Comment (Affidavit of Jerry A. Ackerman), and its attachments, and further stipulate to the Court's entry of the

25

23

1	Consent Decree previously lodged in this matter without the need
2	for further notice and/or hearing to the parties.
3	
4	PACCAR INC STATE OF WASHINGTON
5	KENNETH O. EIKENBERRY Attorney General
6	Accorney General
	By <u>/S/</u>
7	R. PAUL BEVERIDGE JERRY A. ACKERMAN Heller, Ehrman, White Assistant Attorney General
8	& McAuliffe Attorneys for State Attorneys for PACCAR INC Department of Ecology
9	2/22/20
10	DATE 2/22/89
11	
12	
13	
13	
44	OUDED
14	ORDER
14 15	ORDER NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED,

15	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED,
15 16	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel,
15 16 17	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel, That the Consent Decree previously lodged with this Court
15 16 17 18	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel, That the Consent Decree previously lodged with this Court be, and hereby is, entered as provided by the terms of said
15 16 17 18 19	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel, That the Consent Decree previously lodged with this Court be, and hereby is, entered as provided by the terms of said Decree and ch. 70.105B RCW,
15 16 17 18 19 20	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel, That the Consent Decree previously lodged with this Court be, and hereby is, entered as provided by the terms of said Decree and ch. 70.105B RCW, That any further notice and/or hearing on this matter has
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel, That the Consent Decree previously lodged with this Court be, and hereby is, entered as provided by the terms of said Decree and ch. 70.105B RCW, That any further notice and/or hearing on this matter has
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel, That the Consent Decree previously lodged with this Court be, and hereby is, entered as provided by the terms of said Decree and ch. 70.105B RCW, That any further notice and/or hearing on this matter has
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel, That the Consent Decree previously lodged with this Court be, and hereby is, entered as provided by the terms of said Decree and ch. 70.105B RCW, That any further notice and/or hearing on this matter has
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of counsel, That the Consent Decree previously lodged with this Court be, and hereby is, entered as provided by the terms of said Decree and ch. 70.105B RCW, That any further notice and/or hearing on this matter has

	11			
1	That this Court shall r	etain ju	risdiction to enforc	e the
2	11			
3	DATED this 23 day of	Feb	, 1989.	
4			,,	
5		A 22 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	er of this	
6		SIEPHEN	W. GADDIS	
7		Comment		Na Personal State of
8	· ·		Court Judge CAMML	
9	Presented by:	JWF (CAA	
_	KENNETH O. EIKENBERRY			
10	Attorney General			
11	ByKID. Mosich	•	•	
12	for Jerry A. Ackerman Assistant Attorney General			
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington			
14	Department of Ecology			
15	DATE $2/23/89$			
16	,			
17	Approved as to form and Notice			
18	of Presentation waived:			
19	By <u>/5/</u>			
20	R. PAUL BEVERIDGE Heller, Ehrman, White			
21	& McAuliffe			
	Attorneys for PACCAR INC			
22	DATE 2/22/89		•	
3				
4				
5				