Lake Washington Apartments Phase II Follow-up Groundwater Characterization

Technical Report

Prepared for Bayside Washington, LLC 626 Wilshire Blvd. #1160 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Prepared by Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc. 2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100 Seattle, Washington 98121 Telephone: 206/441-9080

September 13, 2012

CONTENTS

Background	1
Results	2
Subsurface Conditions	
Findings and Conclusions	3

Appendix A	Soil Boring Logs
Appendix B	Laboratory Data Reports

TABLES

Table 1. I	Near-surface soil and groundwater conditions	2
Table 2.	Groundwater sampling results, Lake Washington Apartments Phase II follow	
	up groundwater assessment, Seattle, Washington	3

FIGURES

Figure 1.	Phase II follow up groundwater characterization, Lake Washington
	Apartments 5



Background

On July 23, Bayside Washington, LLC received an opinion letter from the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) addressing the May 16, 2012 Site Characterization report documenting an independent cleanup at the Lake Washington Apartments site. Site characterization at the time of tank removal in 1997 indicated that most heating oilcontaminated soil had been removed, with small amounts left beneath some buildings; because groundwater was not evident at the time, no groundwater characterization was performed. A groundwater investigation was conducted in spring of 2012 to determine whether contaminated groundwater might be found adjacent to Building 35 as a result of residual soil contamination beneath the building (Figure 1). This investigation provided a detailed examination of a shallow clay layer across the site and interpretation of perched water conditions near the building. Review of the report resulted in an opinion stating that a determination of further cleanup is contingent on additional characterization of groundwater at the site, particularly addressing conditions near Buildings 2, 5, and 35. A phone conversation between Peter Jowise of Herrera and Eugene Freeman of Ecology on July 26 resulted in an agreement that conditions at Building 35 had been adequately characterized and that further sampling at Buildings 2 and 5 was warranted.

Herrera returned to the site on August 9 to advance borings at buildings 2 and 5; however, a mix up resulted in borings advanced at Buildings 2 and 12. Herrera then returned to the site on August 31 to address conditions at Building 5. At each location, an initial boring was advanced into the former tank excavation backfill (Figure 1). Probes were advanced until groundwater was encountered such that a sample could be collected. Because groundwater was encountered in the first boring at each former tank location, two additional borings also were advanced approximately 20 feet to the east and west to determine whether groundwater appeared to extend laterally in a consistent manner or appeared to consist of potentially independent perched zones, as found at Building 35. If heating oil was identified as present in groundwater collected from the first boring, groundwater from the other two borings were to be analyzed.

Sampling and analytical procedures were conducted according to those documented in the May 16th Site Characterization report. Three borings were advanced at each of Buildings 2, 5, and 12. Groundwater was sampled from all three borings at Building 2 (LKWA-11, LKWA-12, LKWA-13), three borings at Building 5 (LKWA-17, LKWA-18, LKWA-19), and two borings at Building 12 (LKWA-14, LKWA-16); no groundwater was encountered in LKWA-15 to a depth of 28.5 feet, where the probe met refusal due to cobbles. Samples were analyzed at each location, except LKWA-18 and -19, where no heating oil was detected in initial sample LKWA-17.



Results

Subsurface Conditions

Two of the three borings at each building were advanced through former tank excavation backfill that ranged from 8 to 14.5 feet thick. The third boring at each building found fill associated with site grading that ranged from 4.5 to 6 feet thick. Groundwater was sampled at each location from backfill, except at two locations where it was collected from the backfill-peat layer interface (LKWA-12 at Building 2 and LKWA-14 at Building 12) and one location where it was collected from a 23 foot deep sand layer situated beneath a clay layer beneath a peat layer (LKWA-18 at Building 5). Groundwater at LKWA-18 was under pressure, rising to just below the ground surface after setting the screen between 21 to 25 feet deep. A summary of near-surface soil and groundwater conditions is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Near-surface soil and groundwater conditions.										
Boring	Fill Thickness (ft)	Screened interval (ft)	Static Water Level Position in Fill	Boring Within Tank Excavation	Saturated Zone Description					
Building 2										
LKWA-11	8.5	3 – 8	mid	Y	Gravelly sand					
LKWA-12	7.5	9.5 – 14.5	bottom	N	Peat, silty clay, sand					
LKWA-13	8.5	4 – 9	mid	Y	Gravelly sand					
Building 12										
LKWA-14	10.5	8.5 – 13.5	bottom	Y	Gravelly sand, gravelly					
					clayey sand, peat					
LKWA-15	10.5	_	_	Y	_					
LKWA-16	6	3 – 8	mid	N	Silty gravelly sand, peat					
Building 5										
LKWA-17	14.5	2 – 7	mid	Y	Silty sandy gravel					
LKWA-18	6	21 – 25	top*	Ν	Silty clay, silty sand, silty clay					
LKWA-19	8	3 – 8	mid	Y	Sandy gravel					

- Groundwater not found.

* Groundwater under pressure between clay layers.

Detailed descriptions of soil sequences and subsurface conditions are provided in boring logs in Attachment 1.



Analytical Data

A data review was performed for chemical data collected for this project; both the laboratory data reports and a data quality summary are provided in Attachment 2. Chemistry data met criteria associated with the method used and are considered acceptable for use; no data were qualified or rejected.

Groundwater sample analytical results are summarized in Table 2. Diesel-range or lube oilrange hydrocarbons were found at concentrations below the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) method A cleanup level at LKWA-11 (Building 2) and LKWA-14 (Building 12); no petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in any other sample.

Table 2. Groundwater sampling results, Lake Washington Apartments Phase II follow up groundwater assessment, Seattle, Washington.										
	Diesel-range Petroleum Hydrocarbons	Lube-oil Range Petroleum Hydrocarbons								
MTCA method A Cleanup Level (µg/L)	500	500								
LKWA-11	330	410 U								
LKWA-12	310 U	470 U								
LKWA-13	260 U	410 U								
LKWA-14	260 U	440								
LKWA-16	220 U	360 U								
LKWA-17	260 U	410 U								

Method A soil cleanup level for unrestricted land use (Ecology 2007). а

µg/L microgram per liter

The analyte was not detected above the associated reporting limit U

Bold values indicate a result above the laboratory reporting limit

Findings and Conclusions

Three push probes were installed at each of three building locations to determine if groundwater was present and, if present, whether it was contaminated by residual heating oil associated with historical buried tanks removed in 1997. Groundwater was found at each building location, but samples indicated no concentrations exceeding MTCA method A cleanup levels. Groundwater was found at all borehole locations, except one. Boreholes were installed at each building at the center of the former tank locations and approximately 20 feet to both the east and west. At each building, two of the borings were situated within the former tank excavation backfill and one boring was located beyond the former tank excavation footprint. Depth to groundwater ranged between:

- 3.5 7.8 feet at Building 2
- 4.4 9.9 feet at Building 12
- 3.6 23 feet at Building 5.



These highly variable conditions across a total of 40 lateral feet at each building are consistent with those observed at Building 35, indicating that shallow groundwater does not exist as a continuously distributed water body that flows in a consistent direction. Shallow water appears to be trapped near the surface, with no offsite flow path. One groundwater sample collected at Building 35 exhibited diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons of 1,200 ug/L, exceeding the MTCA method A cleanup level of 500 ug/L, two of the other nine samples collected at the four buildings detected diesel- or lube oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons above the reporting laboratory limit and no petroleum hydrocarbons were detected at the other seven locations. Residual heating oil appears to exist in soil beneath some buildings, but there is no evidence of significant impact on groundwater at the four locations tested. Also, based on the sporadic depth to groundwater at each building, groundwater does not appear to flow offsite. Based on these site conditions, we request no further action at this site.







Figure 1. Phase II follow up groundwater characterization, Lake Washington Apartments.

APPENDIX A

Soil Boring Logs





Boring ID <u>LKWA-11</u> Total depth <u>10</u> Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Project nar	ne Lake W	/A Apts		Drilling Contra	ctor Cascade		ush-probe rig		
Project nur		5186-000		Location Lake WA Apartments		Sampling method	5 ft core tube with plast	ic liner	
Client	EPMI			-	Bldg 2 ~ 5 ft W of chimney ftg	Ground elevation	NA		
HEC rep.	Bruce C	Bruce Carpenter		Start date	8/9/12	Air monitoring (Y/N) Instrument(s) NA	No		
				Compl. date	8/9/12				
Sample type, interval	% recovery	Water level (feet)	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description				
			1	SM	Topsoil Brown gravelly SAND, tra	ace of silt and cobble	es, (fill), moist		
5-foot				2					
long probe	40		3						
sampler		$\overline{\nabla}$	4	_	SWL 3.5 feet				
			5		Gray gravelly SAND, trac	e of silt and cobbles,	(fill), wet		
			6	_					
5-foot long	35		7	_					
probe sampler			8	_					
			9	MH	Layer of blue gray clayey				
			10	PT	Brown peat, wood fragme				
					Temporary screen set from Borehole backfilled with b		t water sample.		



 Boring ID
 LKWA-12

 Total depth
 15

 Sheet
 1
 of
 1

Project nan Project nun Client HEC rep.	nber <u>11-0</u> EPMI	/A Apts 5186-000 arpenter	L		ctor <u>Cascade</u> Lake WA Apartments Bldg 2 ~ 8 ft W of building 8/9/12	Drilling method Prise Sampling method Ground elevation	ush-probe rig 5 ft core tube with plastic liner NA No
·		•	C	compl. date	8/9/12	Instrument(s) NA	
Sample type, interval	% recovery	Water level (feet)	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description		
5-foot			1	SM	Grass/Topsoil Brown gravelly SAND, tr	race of silt and cobble	es, (fill), dry
long probe sampler	15		3	-	moist		
			5	GP	Brown sandy GRAVEL ((pea gravel – fill), moi	ist
5-foot long	60		6 7	-	SWL 7.83 feet		
probe sampler			<u>8</u> 9	PT	Brown PEAT, wood frag	ments, moist	
			10 11	OL	Brown silty CLAY, with	organic material, moi	st
5-foot long probe sampler	100		12 13 14 15	SW CL SW CH SW CH	Gray fine to medium SAI Brown gray silty CLAY, Gray fine to medium SAI Blue gray silty CLAY, m Gray fine to medium SAI	moist ND, 5-inch layer, wet toist ND, 2-inch layer, wet	
			13		Blue gray silty CLAY, m Temporary screen set fro Borehole backfilled with	m 9.5 to 14.5 feet to c	ollect water sample.



Boring ID <u>LKWA-13</u> Total depth <u>20</u> Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Project nam				Drilling Contra			ush-probe rig
Project num		5186-000			Lake WA Apartments	Sampling method	5 ft core tube with plastic liner
	EPMI				2, East of chimney footing	Ground elevation	NA
HEC rep.	Bruce C	arpenter		Start date Compl. date	8/9/12 8/9/12	Air monitoring (Y/N) Instrument(s) NA	No
			,	Jumpi. uale	0/3/12		
Sample type, interval	% recovery	Water level (feet)	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description		
					Topsoil		
			1	ML	Brown gray gravelly sand	ly SILT, (fill), moist	
5-foot			2	_			
long	40			_			
probe			3				
sampler				GW	Gray brown gravelly SAN	ND, (fill), moist	
			4	_			
		$\overline{\nabla}$	5	_	SWL 4.34 feet Gray gravelly SAND, (fil	1) wet	
			5	-	Gray graveny SAND, (III	I) wet	
			6	_			
5-foot			7	_			
long	40		0	_			
probe sampler			8	_			
sampler			9	PT	Brown PEAT with silt, m	oist	
				_	Temporary screen set from		t water sample,
			10		then drilled to 20 feet		
			11	_			
5-foot			11	_			
long			12	_			
probe	100		12	_			
sampler			13		Wood fragments		
			14	01		• .	
			15	OL	Gray brown silty CLAY, 1-inch sand layer	moist	
			15	-	1-men sand layer		
			16	СН	Blue gray silty CLAY, me	oist	
5-foot]			
long			17	_			
probe	90		10	4			
sampler			18	-			
			19	-			
			17	-			
			20	1	Borehole backfilled with	bentonite chips.	



 Boring ID
 LKWA-14

 Total depth
 15

 Sheet
 1
 of
 1

Project nun		/A Apts 5186-000			Lake WA Apartments	Sampling method	ush-probe rig 5 ft core tube with plastic liner	
Client _ HEC rep.	EPMI Bruce C	arpenter		Start date Compl. date	Idg 12, East of chimney footing 8/9/12 8/9/12	Ground elevation NA Air monitoring (Y/N) No Instrument(s) NA		
Sample type, interval	% recovery	Water level (feet)	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description			
5-foot long probe sampler	40		1 2 3 4 5	SW	Topsoil Gray Brown gravelly SAN moist	D, (fill), dry		
5-foot long probe sampler	20		6 7 8 9					
5-foot long probe sampler	80		10 11 12 13 14 15	SC PT CH	Gray brown gravelly claye Brown PEAT with silt, wo Blue gray silty CLAY, mot	od fragments, moist		
					Temporary screen set from Borehole backfilled with b	8.5 to 13.5 feet to c	ollect water sample.	



 Boring ID
 LKWA-15

 Total depth
 28.5

 Sheet
 1
 of
 2

Project nar	ne Lake W	A Apts		Drilling Contra	ctor Cascade	Drilling method Pu	ish-probe rig											
Project nur	nber 11-0	5186-000		Location Lake WA Apartments		Sampling method	5 ft core tube with plastic liner											
_	EPMI				ldg 12 W of chimney footing	Ground elevation	NA											
HEC rep.	Bruce C	arpenter		Start date	8/9/12	Air monitoring (Y/N)	No											
				Compl. date	8/9/12	Instrument(s) NA												
Sample type, interval	% recovery	Water level (feet)	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description													
			1	SW	Topsoil Brown gravelly SAND, c	obbles, (fill), moist												
5-foot	20		2	_														
long probe sampler	20		3	_														
sampler			4															
			5	_														
			6															
5-foot long	20		7	_														
probe sampler													8					
			9	_														
			10	SC	Blue gray clayey SAND,	gravel, wet												
5-foot			11	PT	Brown PEAT, moist													
long probe	10		12															
sampler			13															
			14															
			15	СН	Blue gray silty CLAY, m	oist												
5-foot			16 17	_														
long probe sampler	20		17															
Sumpler			19	_														
			20															



Boring IDLKWA-15Total depth28.5Sheet2of2

Project nar Project nur		/A Apts 5186-000		Drilling Contra Location	ctor <u>Cascade</u> Lake WA Apartments	Drilling method Pu	ush-probe rig 5 ft core tube with plasi	tic liner
Client	EPMI				ldg 12 W of chimney footing	Ground elevation	NA	
HEC rep.	Bruce C	arpenter		Start date	8/9/12	Air monitoring (Y/N)	No	
				Compl. date	8/9/12	Instrument(s) NA		
Sample type, interval	%	Water level (feet)	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description			
Interval	recovery	(ieel)	663)	CL	Brown gray silty CLAY,	trace sand, mottled, m	noist	
			21					
5-foot	100		22					
long probe	100		23	-				
sampler			24	CL	Blue gray silty CLAY, tra	ace sand, moist		
			25	-				
				_				
			26					
3.5-foot long	100		27	_				
probe			28					
sampler					Unable to core below 28. Borehole backfilled with		n borehole.	
					Borenole backfilled with	bentonne emps.		
					L			



Boring ID <u>LKWA-16</u> Total depth <u>15</u> Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Project nar Project nur		/A Apts 5186-000		Drilling Contra	ctor <u>Cascade</u> Lake WA Apartments	Drilling method Pu	ush-probe rig 5 ft core tube with plastic liner				
Client	EPMI			East of SE C	orner Bldg 12	Ground elevation	NA				
HEC rep.	Bruce C	arpenter		Start date	8/9/12	Air monitoring (Y/N) No					
				Compl. date	8/9/12	Instrument(s) NA					
Sample type, interval	% recovery	Water level (feet)	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description						
			1	SW	Topsoil Brown gray silty, gravell	ly SAND, (fill), moist					
5-foot long	40		2	_							
probe sampler			4		wet						
			5	_	SWL 4.4 feet						
5-foot			6 7	PT	Water perched on top of Brown PEAT, wood frag						
long probe sampler	80		8								
sumpler			9 10								
5-foot			10	_	Drilled to 10 feet. Temp collect water sample, the		3 to 8 feet to				
long probe sampler	55		12								
sumpler			14								
			15	СН	Blue gray silty CLAY, m Borehole backfilled with						



Boring ID <u>LKWA-17</u> Total depth <u>20</u> Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Project nar	ne Lake W	/A Apts		Drilling Contra	ctor Cascade	Drilling method P	ush-probe rig	
Project nur		5186-000			Lake WA Apartments	Sampling method	5 ft core tube with plastic liner	
Client	EPMI				of former chimney footing	Ground elevation	NA	
HEC rep.	Bruce C	arpenter		Start date	8/31/12	Air monitoring (Y/N)	No	
				Compl. date	8/31/12	Instrument(s) NA		
Sample type, interval	% recovery	Water level (feet)	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description			
		(9.00p	Grass/Topsoil			
			1	GM	Gray brown silty sandy G	RAVEL, (fill), wet		
5-foot			2					
long	60							
probe sampler		$\overline{\nabla}$	3	_	SWL 3.6 feet			
Sumptor			4					
			5		Piece of green plastic pipe	e found in sample, bu	t no void or	
					change in fill material abo			
			6					
5-foot			7		Temporary screen set from	n 2 to 7 feet to collec	t water sample.	
long	50				1		1	
probe			8					
sampler			9					
			10			1	1.11.1	
			10		Drilled to 10 feet, collecter feet.	ed water sample then	drilled on to 20	
			11					
5-foot long			12					
probe	60							
sampler			13	_	Crushed rock			
			14					
			15	PT	Brown PEAT, wood fragr	nents, trace of silt. m	oist	
						,		
5-foot			16	_				
long			17					
probe	80		10	_				
sampler			18	_				
			19					
			20	СН	Blue gray silty CLAY, mo	oist. Backfilled with	bentonite chips.	
L	I	l				Duchinica with		



Boring ID <u>LKWA-18</u> Total depth <u>25</u> Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>2</u>

Project nan	ne Lake W	/A Apts		Drilling Contra	ctor Cascade	Drilling method Pu	ush-probe rig	
Project nun		5186-000		-	Lake WA Apartments	Sampling method	5 ft core tube with pl	astic liner
	EPMI			15.5' W and	9' N of SW corner of Bldg 5	Ground elevation	NA	
HEC rep.	Bruce C	arpenter		Start date	8/31/12	Air monitoring (Y/N)	No	
				Compl. date	8/31/12	Instrument(s) NA		
Sample		Water	Depth]
type, interval	% recovery	level (feet)	(feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description			
interval	recovery		- 200)	group	Grass/Topsoil (Confined	water level close to gr	round surface)	•
			1	GM	Gray brown silty sandy C			
				MH	Gray clayey sandy SILT,	moist		
5-foot			2					
long	40							
probe			3					
sampler			4		Crushed rock			
			5					
			6	DT				-
5-foot			7	PT	Brown PEAT, wood frag	ments, moist		
long	80		/					
probe	00		8					
sampler								
_			9					
			10					
			10					
			11					
5-foot								
long			12					
probe	70		10					
sampler			13	_	Crushed rock			
			14	_				
			15					
				_				
5 fa at			16	_				
5-foot long			17	_				
probe	90		1/					
sampler	20		18					
			19	_				
			20	СН	Gray silty CLAY, moist.			-
			20	СП	Oray Sitty CLAT, IIIOIST.			1



Boring IDLKWA-18Total depth25Sheet2of2

Project nar	ne Lake W	A Apts	[Drilling Contra	ctor Cascade	Drilling method P	ush-probe rig	
Project nur		5186-000	L		Lake WA Apartments	Sampling method	5 ft core tube with pla	astic liner
Client	EPMI				9' N of SW corner of Bldg 5	Ground elevation	NA	
HEC rep.	Bruce C	arpenter		Start date	8/31/12	Air monitoring (Y/N)	No	
			(Compl. date	8/31/12	Instrument(s) NA	A	
Sample		Water	Depth					
type, interval	% recovery	level (feet)	(feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description			
			21	СН	Brown gray silty CLAY,	moist		
5-foot			22	-				
long	100							
probe sampler			23	SM	Blue gray silty SAND, we			
			24	-	Temporary screen set from	m 21 to 25 feet to col	lect water sample.	
			25	СН	Blue gray silty CLAY, mo	oist		
					Borehole backfilled with	bentonite chips.		



Boring ID <u>LKWA-19</u> Total depth <u>20</u> Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Project nam	ne Lake W	/A Apts		Drilling Contra	ctor Cascade	Drilling method P	ush-probe rig
Project num	nber 11-0	5186-000		Location	Lake WA Apartments	Sampling method	5 ft core tube with plastic liner
Client	EPMI			Bldg 5, 5' E c	of former chimney footing	Ground elevation	NA
HEC rep.	Bruce C	arpenter		Start date	8/31/12	Air monitoring (Y/N)	No
				Compl. date	8/31/12	Instrument(s) NA	
Sample type,	%	Water level	Depth (feet,	Soil	Soil description		
interval	recovery	(feet)	BGS)	group	-		
			1	ML	Grass/Topsoil Gray brown gravelly SIL	T trace of cond (fill)	meist
			1	GW	Dark gray and light brow		
5-foot			2		Dark gray and light blow	ii, mottied sandy GRA	(The second seco
long	80			_			
probe			3	_			
sampler							
			4		Dark gray sandy GRAVE	EL, (fill), wet	
		$\overline{\nabla}$			SWL 4.34 feet		
			5				
			6				
			0				
5-foot			7				
long	60			_	Crushed rock/brick, (fill)		
probe			8		Temporary screen set from		t water sample.
sampler				PT	Brown PEAT, wood frag	ments, moist	
			9				
			10		Duillad to 10 fast sollast	ad watan complation	deillad on to 20
			10		Drilled to 10 feet, collected feet.	eu water sample tilen	driffed off to 20
			11		1000.		
5-foot							
long			12				
probe	80						
sampler			13	_			
			1 4	_			
			14				
			15				
				-			
			16				
5-foot							
long			17				
probe	100		10	_			
sampler			18	_			
			19	_			
			17	-			
			20	СН	Blue gray silty CLAY, tra with bentonite chips.	ace of sand moist. Bo	rehole backfilled

APPENDIX B

Laboratory Data Reports





14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

August 22, 2012

Bruce Carpenter Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc. 2200 6th Avenue, Suite 1100 Seattle, WA 98121

Re: Analytical Data for Project 11-05186-000 Laboratory Reference No. 1208-085

Dear Bruce:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on August 10, 2012.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

ſ

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

Date of Report: August 22, 2012 Samples Submitted: August 10, 2012 Laboratory Reference: 1208-085 Project: 11-05186-000

Case Narrative

Samples were collected on August 9, 2012 and received by the laboratory on August 10, 2012. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of 2° C to 6° C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

NWTPH-Dx (with acid/silica gel clean-up)

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L (ppm)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	LKWA-11					
Laboratory ID:	08-085-01					
Diesel Range Organics	0.33	0.26	NWTPH-Dx	8-13-12	8-13-12	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.41	NWTPH-Dx	8-13-12	8-13-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	82	50-150				
Client ID:	LKWA-14					
Laboratory ID:	08-085-04					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.26	NWTPH-Dx	8-13-12	8-13-12	
Lube Oil	0.44	0.42	NWTPH-Dx	8-13-12	8-13-12	

	V 111	0112
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits
o-Terphenyl	64	50-150

3

NWTPH-Dx QUALITY CONTROL (with acid/silica gel clean-up)

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L (ppm)

				Date	Dat	е	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analy	zed	Flags
METHOD BLANK							
Laboratory ID:	MB0813W1						
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.25	NWTPH-Dx	8-13-12	8-13-	12	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NWTPH-Dx	8-13-12	8-13-	12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits					
o-Terphenyl	74	50-150					
			Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Result		Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE							
Laboratory ID:	08-085-01	l					
	ORIG DL	JP					
Diesel Range Organics	0.327 0.3	05			7	NA	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND N	D			NA	NA	

Surrogate:

o-Terphenyl

82 76 50-150

NWTPH-Dx (with acid/silica gel clean-up)

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L (ppm)

onits. http:///opini/				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	LKWA-12					
Laboratory ID:	08-085-02					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.31	NWTPH-Dx	8-21-12	8-22-12	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.49	NWTPH-Dx	8-21-12	8-22-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	111	50-150				
Client ID:	LKWA-13					
Laboratory ID:	08-085-03					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.26	NWTPH-Dx	8-21-12	8-22-12	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.41	NWTPH-Dx	8-21-12	8-22-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	102	50-150				
Client ID:	LKWA-16					
Laboratory ID:	08-085-05					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.22	NWTPH-Dx	8-21-12	8-22-12	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.36	NWTPH-Dx	8-21-12	8-22-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	106	50-150				

5

NWTPH-Dx QUALITY CONTROL (with acid/silica gel clean-up)

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L (ppm)

					Date	Dat	е	
Analyte	Result		PQL	Method	Prepared	Analy	zed	Flags
METHOD BLANK								
Laboratory ID:	MB0821W1							
Diesel Range Organics	ND		0.25	NWTPH-Dx	8-21-12	8-21-	12	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND		0.40	NWTPH-Dx	8-21-12	8-21-	12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recov	rery (Control Limits					
o-Terphenyl	101		50-150					
				Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Resu	ılt		Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE								
Laboratory ID:	08-106	6-01						
	ORIG	DUP						
Diesel Range Organics	ND	ND				NA	NA	U1
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	ND				NA	NA	
Surrogate:								

o-Terphenyl

108 102 50-150

6



Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations

A - Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.

B - The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.

C - The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.

E - The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.

F - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.

H - The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.

I - Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.

J - The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.

K - Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.

L - The RPD is outside of the control limits.

M - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.

M1 - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.

N - Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.

N1 - Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.

O - Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.

P - The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.

Q - Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.

S - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

T - The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical _____

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

U1 - The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.

V - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

W - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

X - Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.

Y - Sample extract treated with an acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

OnSite Environmental Inc.		Cha	ain o	f Cu	IS	toc	ly											Page	/	of	_/			
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052		Turnaround (in workin	Request g days)		L	.abo	orat	tory	Nu	mb	er:									8 0	} -	0 8	35	
Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com Company: Herrera Environmental Project Number: 11-05186-000 Project Name: Lake WA Apartments Project Manager: Brue Carpenter Sampled by: Brue Carpenter	Sam 2 Da	ys dard (7 Days)	☐ 1 Da	ays	-HCID	NWTPH-Gx/BTEX	-Gx	-Dx	Volatiles 8260B	Halogenated Volatiles 8260B	Semivolatiles 8270D/SIM (with Iow-level PAHs)	270D/SIM (low-level)	PCBs 8082 Organochloring Booticidos 8081 A	Organophoshoritis restortes 0001A	Chlorinated Acid Herbicides 8151A	Total RCRA Metals	Total MTCA Metals	fetals	HEM (oil and grease) 1664					
Lab ID Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	No. of Cont.	NWTPH-HCID	NWTP	NWTPH-Gx	NWTPH-Dx	Volatile	Haloge	Semivo (with lo	PAHs 8	PCBs 8082	Organo	Chlorin	Total R	Total N	TCLP Metals	HEM (c					% Moisture
1 LKWA-11	8/9/17	9:45	W	2				X																
2 LKWA-12	j	11:10	1	2				\bigotimes																
3 LKWA-13		11:45		2						_		_		_										
4 LKWA-14		16:00		2				A				_		_				_	_					
5 LKWA-16	+	15:40	4	1			1	(X))			_			_	_								_
		-			-				_			_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-				
	-				-							_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-				
									_			_	_	_	_	-	-		_	-	-			
					-			$\left \right $	_		_	_	_	_	_	+	+	-	-	-			\rightarrow	_
Signature	C	ompany		Select 1	Date	e		Time	1851	Service .	Com	ments	s/Spec	ial In	struct	ions					the se			
Relinquished 1 Sten A. Cum		Hersela	Envin	mital	3	10	12	0	:3	0		11	i.	00		ala	5 L	_k	WA-	12	,13	HE	SAL	_
Received		Ori	5		8	D	り	13	15	5	F	ren	di	ng	de	ter	400	rs	in	LL	ku	A-	-11,	P
Relinquished											f	tre	hi	ve	Sa	up	(e)	1-12	w	t-1	6 F	sen,	din	5
Received											d	lite	ecti	ous	12		.Ki	JA	£-1'	+	d	1.	- 11, din 	1
Relinquished					-					_	-	C	.1		\ \	a		Q	XA	dded	809	7114)	,
Reviewed/Date		Reviewed/Da	ite			o. 200 - 32 -				_			rams w				00	110	2		0) Π	/	_
	ta Package:	Level III 🗌 L	evel IV 🗌	F	=lectro	onic Da	ta De	liverabl	es (F			incogi	, and v		arept									



14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

September 7, 2012

Bruce Carpenter Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc. 2200 6th Avenue, Suite 1100 Seattle, WA 98121

Re: Analytical Data for Project 11-05186-000 Laboratory Reference No. 1209-008

Dear Bruce:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 4, 2012.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

Date of Report: September 7, 2012 Samples Submitted: September 4, 2012 Laboratory Reference: 1209-008 Project: 11-05186-000

Case Narrative

Samples were collected on August 31, 2012 and received by the laboratory on September 4, 2012. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of 2° C to 6° C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

NWTPH-Dx (with acid/silica gel clean-up)

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L (ppm)

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	LKWA-17					
Laboratory ID:	09-008-01					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.26	NWTPH-Dx	9-5-12	9-6-12	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.41	NWTPH-Dx	9-5-12	9-6-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	81	50-150				

NWTPH-Dx QUALITY CONTROL (with acid/silica gel clean-up)

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L (ppm)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0905W1					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.25	NWTPH-Dx	9-5-12	9-6-12	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NWTPH-Dx	9-5-12	9-6-12	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	80	50-150				

			F	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	R	ecovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE								
Laboratory ID:	09-00	08-01						
	ORIG	DUP						
Diesel Range Organics	ND	ND				NA	NA	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	ND				NA	NA	
Surrogate:								
o-Terphenyl			8	1 85	50-150			



Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations

A - Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.

B - The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.

C - The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.

E - The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.

F - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.

H - The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.

I - Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.

J - The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.

K - Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.

L - The RPD is outside of the control limits.

M - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.

M1 - Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.

N - Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.

N1 - Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.

O - Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.

P - The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.

Q - Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.

S - Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

T - The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical _____

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

U1 - The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.

V - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

W - Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.

X - Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.

Y - Sample extract treated with an acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



Chain of Custody

Page _____ of ____

Environmental Inc.	Turnaround Request (in working days)	Laboratory Numb	er:	09-008			
Phone: (425) 883-3881 • Fax: (425) 885-4603	(Check One)						
Company: Herrera Environmental Project Number:	🗌 Same Day 🗌 1 Day						
11-05186-000	🗌 2 Day 🗌 3 Day	8260B					
Project Name: Lake WA Apartments	Standard (7 working days) (TPH analysis 5 working days)	z70D	SIM 1A 58 (8) 5 (8				
Project Name: hale wA Apartments Project Manager: Brie Carpenter Sampled by: Brie Carpenter		NWTPH-HCID NWTPH-Gx/BTEX NWTPH-Dx Volatiles by 8260B Halogenated Volatiles by Eamivolatiles by 8270D	PAHs by 8270D / SIM PCBs by 8082 Pesticides by 8151A Herbicides by 8151A Total RCRA Metals (8) TCLP Metals HEM by 1664				
Sampled by: Bruce Carpenter	(other)	NWTPH-HCID NWTPH-Gx/B ⁻ NWTPH-Dx Volatiles by 82 Halogenated V Semivolatiles I	PAHs by 82701 PCBs by 8082 Pesticides by 8 Herbicides by 8 Total RCRA M Total RCRA M TOLP Metals HEM by 1664	% Moisture			
	Date Time # of ampled Sampled Matrix Cont.	NWT NWT Volati Halog	PAHS PCBS Pestic Total F Herbid TCLP	W %			
	331/12 8:55 W 2						
2 LKWA-18 3 LKWA-19	1 11:05 2						
3 LKWA-19	+ 1155 + 2						
Signature AA	Company	Date Time		Comments/Special Instructions:			
Relinquished by	S. Herrera Environ	HI 9/4/12 8: 9/4/2 /3"	30 Sent via Co 17 Please archiv	und in			
Received by	, CBB	11711a 10	V Please archiv	e LICWAT-10			
Relinquished by Received by			and LKWA-19 in LkWA-17.	penancy difection			
Relinquished by			- in LkwA-It.				
Received by							
Reviewed by/Date	Reviewed by/Date		Chromatograms with final repo	prt 🗌			

DISTRIBUTION LEGEND: White - OnSite Copy Yellow - Report Copy Pink - Client Copy