100% site: Bithel - farmer Topaco 1xed Funding FS 7614

1 | 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KITSAP 7 8 STATE OF WASHINGTON, 02 2 01504 0 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, 9 NO. Plaintiff, 10 CONSENT DECREE 11 B. AND C.B. ENTERPRISES, INC., 12 Defendant. 13 14 TABLE OF CONTENTS 15 Page 16 INTRODUCTION..... 2 I. JURISDICTION II. 17 III. PARTIES BOUND...... IV. DEFINITIONS 18 STATEMENT OF FACTS.......... V. 8 WORK TO BE PERFORMED..... VI. 19 10 DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATOR..... VII. 10 REIMBURSEMENT OF ECOLOGY'S COSTS..... VIII. 20 11 IX. 11 TRANSFERENCE OF PROPERTY..... х. 21 13 DISPUTE RESOLUTION..... XI. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE..... 14 XII. 22 14 INDEMNIFICATION..... XIII. PUBLIC NOTICE AND PARTICIPATION..... 15 XIV. 23 15 SATISFACTION OF THIS DECREE.... XV. 15 XVI. EFFECTIVE DATE..... 24 PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT .. 15 XVII. 25 26 CONSENT DECREE

2 ||

3 ||

5

6

7

8

9 |

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 || 17 ||

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

A. In entering into this Consent Decree (Decree), the mutual objective of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and B. and C.B. Enterprises, Inc. (Defendant), is to remediate a release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Bethel former Texaco Site.

- B. The complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. The parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's complaint and agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.
- C. In signing this Decree, the Defendant agrees to its entry and agrees to be bound by its terms.
- D. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause having been shown: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

II. JURISDICTION

A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and personal jurisdiction over the parties pursuant to the Model Toxics Control Act (ch. 70.105D RCW).

- B. Ecology has authority pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040(4) to file this Consent Decree with the appropriate superior court after appropriate public notice and comment.
- C. On the basis of the sampling and analysis described in the Statement of Facts, Section V, and information contained in Ecology files and records, Ecology has determined that a release of hazardous substances has occurred at the Site.
- D. Ecology has determined that the Defendant is a potentially liable person (PLP) with respect to the Site pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040. The Defendant has been given notice of Ecology's determination, and has had opportunity to comment thereon. In a document submitted to Ecology, the Defendant acknowledged its status as a PLP with respect to this Site.
- E. The Defendant has applied to Ecology for financial assistance under WAC 173-340-560. Based on the Defendant's application, Ecology has determined the Defendant is eligible for funding. Ecology has also determined that making available funding under the circumstances described in Section VIII of this Decree will achieve a more expeditious or enhanced cleanup than would otherwise occur and will prevent or mitigate unfair economic hardship.

1 |

- F. Entering this Decree will result in a more expeditious cleanup of the Site and is appropriate given the use of funding at the Site.
- G. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment.

III. PARTIES BOUND

This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon B. and C.B. Enterprises, Inc. and Ecology, and their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he/she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with the Decree. The parties agree to undertake all action required by the terms and conditions of this Decree and the Defendant agrees not to contest state jurisdiction regarding this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter the responsibility of the Defendant under this Decree.

IV. DEFINITIONS

- A. "Site" refers to the property located at 4831 Bethel Avenue S.E., Port Orchard, Washington, and to the surrounding area where petroleum has come to be located.
- B. "Remedial action costs" refer to those direct and indirect costs incurred by Ecology under this Consent Decree. Such costs include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for investigations, remedial actions, and order

preparation, negotiations, oversight, and administration.

Ecology costs also include costs of direct activities; e.g.,

employee salary, laboratory costs, travel costs, contractor

fees, and employee benefit packages; and agency indirect costs

of direct activities.

- C. "Days" refers to calendar days unless specified otherwise.
- D. "Parties" refers to the Department of Ecology and B. and C.B. Enterprises, Inc.
- E. The definitions set forth in ch. 70.105D RCW and ch. 173-340 WAC shall control the meanings of other terms used in this Decree.

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Ecology makes the following findings of fact:

A. B. and C.B. Enterprises, Inc. is the current owner of property located at 4831 Bethel Avenue S.E., Port Orchard, Washington. A 50 ft. x 50 ft. section of this property was deeded to the Kitsap County Sewer District in 1991 for the construction of a pump station. From the 1930s or 1940s through 1988, various petroleum product operations existed at this location. The most recent such operation was a Texaco service station, which closed in September 1988. In December 1988, the underground storage tanks used by the station were removed. The property is now vacant.

25 |

24

- In May 1990, the Kitsap County Health Department В. received a complaint of possible petroleum contamination in groundwater in the vicinity of the former Texaco station. Mrs. Tilly Tripp, the complainant, reported that the contaminated water was coming from her private domestic well located at 4940 Bethel Road S.E., Port Orchard, Washington. The Health Department investigated the complaint, and found levels of benzene and xylene in excess of cleanup standards both in the Tripp well and in a private domestic well at the Peterson residence, located at 4830 Bethel Road S.E., Port The Tripp and Peterson residences were Orchard, Washington. supplied with bottled water temporarily, and later the wells at those residences were outfitted with point of entry carl filtration systems.
- C. In June 1990, Ecology began a remedial investigation of contamination at the Site. Ecology gathered water well reports, then measured water levels in, and collected water samples from, selected domestic wells. Ecology also installed eight monitoring wells, collected soil samples during the installation of those monitoring wells, and then collected water samples from the monitoring wells. The results of the remedial investigation are set forth in Groundwater Contamination Assessment, Bethel Wells, Port Orchard, Washington, prepared by the Department of Ecology in August 1991. Briefly, however, the investigation revealed that the

CONSENT DECREE

1 11

2 11

3 |

4 1

5

6

7

8

9 1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 |

1 || 2 || 3 || 4 || 5 || 6 || 7 || 8 ||

Tripp and Peterson wells have levels of benzene and/or total xylenes above cleanup standards. Much higher levels of both contaminants have been found in monitoring wells installed at the former Texaco station, which is upgradient of the Tripp and Peterson wells. Soil samples taken from the site of the former Texaco station also contained levels of benzene, total xylenes, toluene, and ethylbenzene that exceeded cleanup standards for soils.

Ecology also investigated possible sources of the Two likely sources were the former groundwater contamination. Texaco service station and a BP mini-mart located directly Tightness tests performed north of the former Texaco station. on the three underground storage tanks and lines at the BP station showed all tanks and lines to be tight. Ecology also sampled the two leak detection monitoring wells that were installed by BP when it constructed the mini-mart. the target compounds were detected in the samples taken. Based on this data, on the fact that the northernmost boundary of the contaminant plume is downgradient of the BP mini-mart, and on the fact that the highest levels of target compounds were detected in soils surrounding the location of the former Texaco station's underground storage tanks, Ecology determined that the former Texaco station was a probable source of the contamination.

25

26

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25 26 A. Scope of Work

Ecology shall direct the remedial action at the Site according to the following scope of work:

- Evaluate the existing data from previous environmental investigations and other information pertinent to the Site.
- 2. Continue to maintain the point of entry systems at the Tripp residence and Petersen residence until contaminant levels drop below cleanup levels.
- 3. Continue to collect quarterly groundwater samples from the five domestic wells downgradient of the Site, until there is no potential threat to human health or environment
- 4. Conduct public participation for the state remedial investigation report in a manner consistent with WAC 173-340-600(12) (c).
- 5. Select a cleanup action in accordance with WAC 173-340-360. The cleanup action shall include at a minimum: protection of human health and the environment, compliance with cleanup standards, compliance with applicable state and federal laws and provisions for compliance monitoring.
- 6. Make the Cleanup Action Plan available for public review and comment in accordance with WAC 173-340-600 prior to finalizing a cleanup action plan.

- 7. Implement the Cleanup Action Plan to remediate soil and groundwater. Design, construct, and operate the site cleanup and monitoring in a manner that is consistent with the Cleanup Action Plan.
- 8. Document all observations, conditions, and results in a final report with at least four copies, one for the Defendant and three for Ecology.
- B. The obligations undertaken by Ecology pursuant to this Decree need not be met unless sufficient funds are available to Ecology to meet those obligations. Ecology has discretion to decide whether funds available to it will be used for remedial action at this Site, or for some other purpose. Such exercise of discretion by Ecology shall not be subject to judicial review except as may be allowed by law.
- C. The parties may determine in the future that it would be more expeditious for Defendant instead of Ecology to perform some of the tasks identified in this section. In that event, Defendant may request that Ecology agree to amend this Decree to allow Defendant to perform such work. Defendant's request shall be in writing and directed to Ecology's project manager. If Ecology concurs that performance by the Defendant instead of Ecology is appropriate, the parties may request that the Court amend this Decree as provided in section XII below.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

D. Additional PLPs may be named with respect to this Site. Any remedial action required at this Site may be performed by the additional PLPs, rather than by Ecology.

VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATOR

The project coordinator for Ecology is:

Annette Petrie (206) 649-7257 Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office 3190 - 160th Avenue S.E. Bellevue, WA 98008-5452

The project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and the Defendant, and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the project coordinator. Ecology shall attempt to notify Defendant prior to changing project coordinators.

VIII. REIMBURSEMENT OF ECOLOGY'S COSTS

- A. Ecology has determined that the Defendant is eligible for full funding. Consequently, the Defendant's obligation to reimburse Ecology for its remedial action costs will be satisfied by use of funds from the toxics control account.
- B. Ecology's determination regarding full funding applies only to the Defendant and is not transferable to any

25

26 CONSENT DECREE

-10-

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

other person, including any subsequent purchaser of Defendant's property.

- Ecology's determination that Defendant is eligible C. for funding is not a funding commitment. Actual funding will depend upon the availability of funds.
- Ecology's determination regarding full funding was based on financial information supplied to Ecology in Ecology reserves the right to reevaluate its September 1991. determination regarding full funding if it receives information suggesting that Defendant's financial situation Defendant shall promptly make available upon has changed. request by Ecology any and all information pertaining to its The parties agree that if Ecology financial situation. determines that Defendant is no longer eligible for full funding, this Decree will be of no further force or effect and the parties will enter into negotiations for a new Decree.

IX. ACCESS

Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative or contractor hired by Ecology, shall have the authority to enter and freely move about the Site at all reasonable times for the purposes of performing, or monitoring the performance of, remedial action at the Site.

TRANSFERENCE OF PROPERTY х.

No voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of Α. Defendant's title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in

any portion of the Site shall be consummated without provision for continued performance of all of Defendant's obligations under this Decree. If an involuntary conveyance or relinquishment of such interest occurs, the Defendant shall, if possible, give prior written notice of this Decree to the transferee.

- B. Within 90 days of entry of this Decree, the
 Defendant shall record a restrictive covenant in the title
 records to that portion of the Site over which Defendant holds
 fee title. Within 30 days of filing the restrictive covenant
 with the Kitsap County Auditor, the Defendant shall forward a
 copy of the restrictive covenant to Ecology. The restrictive
 covenant shall state that a Consent Decree entered in the
 above-captioned proceeding imposes the following restrictions
 on the use and improvement of the Site:
- 1. Any activity on the property owned by Defendant that may interfere with remedial action at the Site is prohibited.
- 2. The owner of the property at 4831 Bethel Avenue S.E., Port Orchard, Washington shall give written notice to Ecology, or any successor agency, of the owner's intent to convey any interest in the property. No conveyance of any interest in the property may be consummated by the owner without adequate and complete provision for the continued performance of all obligations undertaken by the Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree.

- 3. No ground water may be taken for domestic purposes from any well on the property owned by Defendant.
- 4. The owner of the property at 4831 Bethel Avenue S.E., Port Orchard, Washington shall allow the authorized representatives of Ecology, or any successor agency, to enter the property at reasonable times for the purpose of conducting remedial actions.
- 5. Following satisfaction of this Decree, the owner of the property at 4831 Bethel Avenue S.E., Port Orchard, Washington and the owner's successors and assigns have the right to record an instrument stating that the restrictive covenant no longer limits use of the property and/or is of no further force or effect, provided that any such instrument may be recorded only with the consent of Ecology, or any successor agency, which consent may be given only after public notice and comment.
- 6. The restrictive covenant also shall state that said restrictions run with the land.

XI. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

The Defendant may request Ecology to resolve disputes that may arise during the implementation of this Consent Decree. Such request shall be in writing and directed to the Ecology Project Coordinator. Ecology resolution of the dispute shall be binding and final. The Defendant is not relieved of any requirement of this Consent Decree during the

CONSENT DECREE

-13-

pendency of the dispute and remains responsible for timely compliance with the terms of the Consent Decree unless otherwise provided by Ecology in writing.

XII. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE

Any amendment to this Decree must be in writing and signed by the parties. Such amendment shall become effective when entered by the Court. If the amendments to the Decree are substantial, Ecology will provide additional public notice and opportunity for public comment.

XIII. INDEMNIFICATION

The Defendant agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuried to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of the Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, the Defendant shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action brought by third parties arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in implementing the activities pursuant to this Decree.

CONSENT DECREE

-14-

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.
Ecology Division
4407 Woodview Drive S.E.
QA-44
Olympia. WA 98504-8077

XIV. PUBLIC NOTICE AND PARTICIPATION

Ecology shall be the lead for public notice and participation at the Site. Ecology shall allow the Defendant to review fact sheets, press releases, and public notices prior to issuance.

XV. SATISFACTION OF THIS DECREE

The provisions of this Decree shall be deemed satisfied upon written notification from Ecology to the Defendant that remedial action is complete.

XVI. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.

XVII. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Decree will be subject to public notice and comment under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a). Ecology reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent to the proposed final Decree should the comments received by Ecology disclose facts or considerations that indicate that the proposed Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent, this

Decree shall be null and void at the option of any party and
the accompanying complaint shall be dismissed without cause

CONSENT DECREE

-15-

1	and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be
2	bound by the requirements of this Decree. It is so agreed
3	this day of, 1992.
4	
5	CAROL FLESKES, Program Manager
6	Toxics Cleanup Program Washington State
7	Department of Ecology
8	B. AND C.B. ENTERPRISES, INC. TANYA BARNETT, WSBA #17491
9	B. AND C.B. ENTERPRISES, INC. TANYA BARNETT, WSBA #17491 By Clifford Boerner, Assistant Attorney General
10	President Ecology Division Washington State
11	Office of Attorney General
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	154\cbenterp.csd
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	CONCERNM DECREE
	CONSENT DECREE -16-