

Abandoned Mine Lands Initial Investigation Report Hortense Mill Metaline Falls, Washington

Prepared for Washington State Department of Ecology

December 28, 2006 17274-00(H0)







Abandoned Mine Lands Initial Investigation Report Hortense Mill Metaline Falls, Washington

Prepared for Washington State Department of Ecology Rick Roeder, Project Manager

December 28, 2006 17274-00(HO)

Prepared by Hart Crowser, Inc.



Michael J. Bailey, P.E. Senior Principal Engineer

Abby S. Bazin, E.I.T. Senior Staff Geotechnical Engineer

1910 Fairview Avenue East Seattle, Washington 98102-3699 Fax 206.328.5581 Tel 206.324.9530

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0 INTRODUCTION	2
3.0 SITE DESCRIPTION, OPERATIONAL HISTORY, AND WASTE- CHARACTERISTICS	3
3.1 Site Location 3.2 Site Description 3.3 Site Ownership and Operations History	3 3 4
4.0 SITE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES	5
5.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD ASSESSMENT	6
5.1 Surface Water and Sediment 5.2 Soil 5.3 Air 5.4 Methodology for Threatened and Endangered Species Information	6 6 7 7
6.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	7
7.0 USE OF THIS REPORT	8
8.0 REFERENCES	9
TABLES	

1	Hortense Mine Data Summary	1
2	Project Team Roles and Responsibilities	
3	Ownership Timeline	
4	Hortense Mine Sample Inventory	
5	Analytical Results for Soil Samples - Hortense Mine	
6	Threatened and Endangered Species	

Tables with no page number shown are included following page 9.

Page

CONTENTS (Continued)

FIGURES

- 1 Site Location Map
- 2 Vicinity Map Standard Key for Site Plan
- 3 Site Plan
- 4 Cross Sections
- 5 Mine Waste Rock Conceptual Site Model for Human and Ecological Risk

APPENDIX A FIELD DOCUMENTATION

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Information obtained during this assessment is summarized in Table 1.

Mine Name	Hortense Mine (Josephine property, Hortense Mill)		
Last Known Operation	1955. Production of the Josephine Mine, which included the		
	Hortense and Sullivan claims, was as follows: 40,000 tons prior		
	to 1919 (Huntting, 1956); 243,000 tons prior to 1935; 187,000		
	tons in 1949; and 273,520 tons in 1951. The mine included three		
	connected openings, the Josephine shaft, Cascade or 500-foot		
	adit, and a "new" shaft constituting, in all, more than one mile of		
	workings. Two mills were built, a 750-ton flotation mill and a		
	2,400-ton flotation mill. There was a complete camp at the site in		
	1956 when Huntting visited the site.		
Location	0.8 miles northwest of Metaline Falls, Washington, in Pend		
	Oreille County.		
	Latitude, Longitude: 48.87483, 117.38100		
	Quadrangle Map: Abercrombie Mtn.		
	TRS: Township 39N, Range 43E, Section 16, NE ¼, SW ¼		
Features Observed	5 Waste Rock Piles (5,360 cubic yards)		
	3 Seeps		
	One small pond		
	Collapsed/dilapidated mill structures		
	Other wood, metal, and rubber (tires) debris		
Results Above Criteria	Two seep (water) samples exceeded drininking water MCL		
	criteria for cadmium, lead, zinc and mercury, as well as acute		
	aquatic protection criteria for mercury and zinc and chronic		
	criteria for cadmium and lead. Two sediment samples, and six		
	waste rock samples exceeded MTCA human health criteria for		
	arsenic, cadmium, lead, mercury, zinc, and antimony for one		
	sample. The soil and sediment samples exceeded ecological		
	screeing levels for the same levels as well as selenium and		
	occasionally aluminum or thallium. The applicable criteria for		
	one or more metals.		
Work by Others	No previous site assessment information was identified.		

Table 1 – Hortense Mill Data Summary

Potential Receptors /	There are no known residences in this watershed within one mile		
Degree of Hazard	of the site. Flume Creek is adjacent to the site. Human health		
	risks possible are possible while risks to ecological receptors are		
	likely. Soil and sediments have the potential to fail TCLP criteria		
	for dangerous waste for cadmium, lead, mercury and silver.		

2.0 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results of the initial limited soil and surface water investigation at the Hortense Mill site located near Metaline Falls, Washington (Figure 1). Hart Crowser performed this preliminary assessment (PA) for the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) under Contract No. C06254 according to the Ecology Statement of Work (SOW) and project Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) prepared by Hart Crowser (Hart Crowser, 2006).

The objectives of this PA are to:

- Determine if the site has released or has a high potential to release hazardous substances to the environment at concentrations above Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) human health or ecological screening levels, to identify sites that may require additional investigation and sampling;
- Identify and document waste source areas including estimates of waste mass and/or volume; and
- Identify and document the presence of potential waste transport pathways and receptors.

For this study, samples were collected of the soil-like fraction of waste rock, mine tailings, and/or natural soil that was potentially affected by mining. Analytical results were compared to the MTCA criteria for soils. Use of terms such as "soils" or "waste rock", etc. are for convenience only and do not indicate potential future designation in accordance with Chapter 173-350 WAC, or Chapter 173-303 WAC, or other regulatory criteria.

Subsurface openings observed for this study may include shafts, adits, prospect pits, collapsed stopes, and/or excavations completed for other purposes. The terms used in this report are based on visual interpretation in the field and may not fully characterize historic site use.

Prior to the site visit, Hart Crowser performed file reviews; evaluated aerial photographs, U.S. Forest Service and USGS maps; reviewed the Inventory of Washington Minerals; and reviewed county tax assessor records in order to:

- Identify the location of mines and associated features/structures;
- Identify property owners, mineral claimants, and mine operators; and
- Obtain contact information to gain permission for site access.

Table 2 presents the project team members and their roles and responsibilities for this investigation. A site visit was accomplished on June 17, 2006.

3.0 SITE DESCRIPTION, OPERATIONAL HISTORY, AND WASTE-CHARACTERISTICS

3.1 Site Location

The Hortense Mill is approximately 0.8 miles northwest of Metaline Falls, WA. The site is adjacent to Flume Creek, a tributary of the Pend Oreille River. To reach the site from Metaline Falls:

- Head south on Highway 31.
- Turn right on Boundary Road
- At approximately 2.1 miles, turn right onto an unnamed road with a locked gate. If you pass a gravel pit on the right side of the road you have gone approximately one-tenth of a mile too far.
- Park at the gate and walk in along the road for approximately 0.25 miles.
 The Hortense Mill features will be visible on both sides of the road.

The access description provided herein is based on observations at the time the site was visited for this work. References to roads do not reflect property ownership, and does not imply that public access is available.

This site is located on Pend Oreille County Parcel No. 43-39-16-46-0002.

3.2 Site Description

The Hortense Mill is an inactive mill site, possibly used to process ore from the nearby Sullivan, Josephine, and Gold Hill mines. The last date of operation is unknown. Huntting (1956) notes production levels in 1955; however, production may have continued past that date. A well-maintained and gated access road bisects the site. Four wood and metal support structures lie on the hill above the road. Boards and timbers, some of which are charred, and other debris are scattered alongside the supports (Photograph 1). Tailings and metal

debris, including a car frame, lie below the access road, upslope of Flume Creek. The tailings were categorized into five separate piles based on location and composition as is shown in Figure 3 and described below.

A stockpile of old tires lies near WR-1, which is a dry, gray, gravelly Sand. WR-2 overlaps WR-1 and is a moist, brown, gravelly, silty Sand. The volumes of WR-1 and WR-2 are about 560 cubic yards and 100 cubic yards, respectively. The consistency of WR-2 is soil-like (probably mill tailings).

WR-3 is just downslope of WR-1 and WR-2 and consists of a dry to moist, gray, very silty Sand (Photograph 2), and is probably mill tailings. WR-3 is spread throughout the lower portion of the site and raveling indicates that it is likely the main contributor of much of the windblown dust found nearby. The estimated volume of WR-3 is about 1,860 cubic yards. Several small seeps run downslope of WR-3 and WR-1. The seeps join together near a rusted car frame and pass through a wet, grassy area before entering Flume Creek (Photograph 3). Some infiltration may occur in the wet grassy area next to the stream bank. A worn road grade splits from the main access road and leads through WR-3 before forking and heading south toward the Flume Creek and west toward WR-4. A drainage channel runs alongside the road grade, then forks. Both branches pass over WR-3 and head towards the river.

WR-4 is a waste rock pile (tailings) that has about 2,800 cubic yards of moist, brown-gray, fine sandy, Silt. Ravelling on WR-4 indicates wind erosion and dry drainage channels were observed leading to a small stagnant pond between WR-2 and WR-4. WR-5 is an isolated pile to the southeast. It is a moist, brown-gray, gravelly, silty, Sand and is approximately 40 cubic yards in volume (Photograph 3). Signs of human activity (bottles and cans) and animal presence (droppings and footprints) are scattered throughout the site.

3.3 Site Ownership and Operations History

Information on site ownership and operations is based on readily available public information and may not reflect all details of ownership and operations. An ownership timeline is shown in Table 3.

Table 5 – Ownership Timenne				
Year	Owner			
Current Land Owner	Stimson Washington Inc.	Parcel No. 43-39-16-46-0002,		
		Pend Oreille County Tax		
		Assessor		
Current Claim Owner	No records of currently active	Pend Oreille County Tax		
	or patented claims were	Assessor, BLM, Huntting		
	located. The property is one of	(1956)		
	the Josephine (Clark) mines,			
	which includes the Sullivan,			
	Hortense, and Gold Hill claims.			
	Huntting (1956) records 20			
	claims, and one fraction. At			
	least 17 of those claims were			
	patented.			
1934 - ?	Pend Oreille Mines & Mateals	Huntting (1956)		
	Company			
1924-1926	Metaline Lead Company	Huntting (1956)		
1912-1924	Lead-Zinc Company	Huntting (1956)		

Table 3 – Ownership Timeline

4.0 SITE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES

An initial site investigation was conducted on June 17, 2006. Photos, and GPS coordinates, as well as soil, sediment, and surface water samples were collected. Site sketches showing mine features and sample locations were completed. A total of six waste rock samples, two sediment samples, and two surface water samples were collected from the Hortense Mill site. One waste rock sample was collected from each waste rock pile, with the exception of WR-3, where two samples were collected. The sediment sample Hort_Sed 1 was collected from the north side of WR-1, near the stockpile of old tires. Hort-Sed 2 was collected on the southeast area of the pond. Surface water sample Hort-Seep 1 was collected at the confluence of three small seeps near WR-3. Hort-Seep 1 Out was collected from Flume Creek at the location where Seep 1 enters the Flume Creek. Sample descriptions are given in Table 4. No groundwater wells were identified at or near the site. According to the Washington State Department of Ecology four shallow (7 ft.) monitoring wells at the Josephine Mill No. 2 site (east of the Hortense Mill) in Section 16 were abandoned by BLM in 2003. Photo locations and directions, GPS waypoints, sample locations, and the likely direction of surface water flows are shown on Figure 3.

5.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD ASSESSMENT

Soil and water quality data were compared to regulatory criteria for screening purposes as discussed below. Further analysis, including risk-based analyses may be appropriate in additional future site assessments.

5.1 Surface Water and Sediment

Surface water sample Hort-Seep 1 exceeded the maximum contaminant level (MCL) for drinking water and the chronic surface water quality standard in Chapter 173-201A WAC for cadmium, lead, and zinc. Hort-Seep 1 also exceeded the acute surface water quality standard for cadmium and zinc. Sample Hort- Seep 1 Out exceeded all three criteria for mercury. Sample analytical results and the applicable cleanup levels are displayed in Table 5.

Cadmium, lead, and mercury concentrations in both sediment samples (Hort-Sed 1 and Hort-Sed 3) exceeded the ecological criteria and MTCA Method A cleanup levels. Both samples also exceeded ecological criterion for aluminum and selenium, and Method B carcinogenic criteria for arsenic and zinc. Hort-Sed-1exceeded Method B cleanup levels for arsenic and iron and ecological protection criteria for copper and silver. Cadmium, lead, and mercury concentrations in both sediment samples have the potential to fail TCLP criteria for dangerous waste.

5.2 Soil

Soil and waste rock sample analytical results were compared with applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels, MTCA Method B cleanup levels for soil ingestion, soil ingestion and dermal contact combined, and with criteria for ecological protection of plants, soil biota, and wildlife. The six samples collected (Hort-WR1-S1, Hort-WR2-S1, Hort-WR3-S1, Hort-WR3-S2, Hort-WR4-S1, and Hort-WR5-S1) exceeded MTCA Method A criteria for cadmium and lead; Method B carcinogenic criteria for arsenic, cadmium, and zinc; and ecological protection of Hort-WR2-S1, mercury concentrations in those samples also exceeded Method A criteria. Samples Hort-WR1-S1 and Hort-WR2-S1 exceeded the ecological protection criteria for antimony, arsenic, copper, and silver. Sample Hort-WR3-S2 exceeded MTCA the Method A criterion for arsenic.

Cadmium and lead concentrations in the six waste rock samples collected are sufficient to fail the TCLP criteria for dangerous waste. Concentrations of

mercury in Hort-WR1-S1 and Hort-WR4-S1, and silver concentrations in Hort-WR2-S1 are also sufficiently high to fail the dangerous waste criteria.

5.3 Air

Although no air samples were collected, the fine material in WR-3 was seen spread throughout the site. This is likely due to wind eroding the pile and depositing wind-blown dust.

5.4 Methodology for Threatened and Endangered Species Information

We contacted the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR), the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and the Colville National Forest – USDA Forest Service to obtain information on the presence of state and federal threatened or endangered terrestrial and aquatic species. We determined that the WDFW maintained the most accurate and up-to-date information on species distribution in its Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) Database. We requested maps and narratives identifying documented species presence at the Hortense Mine (Mill). In addition, we contacted DNR and requested information on rare plants and high quality native wetland and terrestrial ecosystems within the vicinity of the Hortense Mine (Mill).

Our search ranges included a 4-mile radius for terrestrial species and a 15-mile radius for aquatic species.

We reviewed approximately 86 PHS maps and accompanying narratives to determine whether any threatened or endangered species were documented within our search ranges. We drew 4- and 15-mile radii around the Hortense Mine (Mill) on a Colville National Forest Map. We then examined the PHS maps in relation to the search ranges for our project areas. All state and federal threatened and endangered species and habitats that occurred within our search ranges were recorded. Species and habitats that occurred on the edge of our search range were considered within the range and recorded.

A summary of the threatened and endangered species within our search ranges is provided in Table 6.

6.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Wood, metal, rubber, and concrete debris, and a total of about 5,360 cubic yards of waste rock are present at the Hortense Mill site. The waste rock

contains metals that exceed MTCA and/or ecological protection criteria. The main contaminants of concern are arsenic, cadmium, lead, mercury, selenium, and zinc. A portion of the waste rock may also contain elevated concentrations of aluminum, antimony, copper, and silver. Cadmium, lead, mercury, and silver concentrations have the potential to exceed the TCLP criteria for dangerous waste in one or more waste rock piles.

Sediments on site also contain metals concentrations above the applicable criteria. Arsenic, aluminum, cadmium, lead, mercury, selenium, and zinc are the contaminants of concern. Elevated concentrations of copper, iron, and silver may also be of concern in some sediments. Cadmium, lead, and mercury concentrations have the potential to fail TCLP criteria for dangerous waste.

In addition, concentrations of cadmium, lead, mercury, and zinc may be a concern for on-site surface waters. Hardness dependent water quality criteria were calculated using seep water hardness, rather than the potential receiving water hardness.

No residences were observed on site. A gravel pit lies 1,500 feet to the northwest, but the nearest residence is in the Pend Oreille Village, across the Pend Oreille River. There are residences on the same side of the Pend Oreille River, approximately 6,000 feet to the southwest. However, due to the local topology, it is unlikely that any surface water would reach these houses from the site. Human health risks are likely limited to recreational and occupational site users since there are no residences in the vicinity. MTCA Method A and B screening levels may overestimate risk to recreational users since their exposure duration is less than the duration for residential exposure. A site-specific terrestrial ecological evaluation (TEE) would be required to evaluate ecological risks.

7.0 USE OF THIS REPORT

Work for this project was performed, and this report prepared, in accordance with generally accepted professional practices for the nature and conditions of the work completed, in the same or similar localities, at the time the work was performed. It is intended for the exclusive use of the Washington State Department of Ecology for specific application to the referenced property. This report is not meant to represent a legal opinion. No other warranty, express or implied, is made.

The information in this report is intended to be used to determine whether the site has released or has a potential to release hazardous substances to the

environment at concentrations above Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) human health or ecological screening levels.

8.0 REFERENCES

BLM (Bureau of Land Management) Website http://www.geocommunicator.gov/

Ecology 1990. Washington Ranking Method Scoring Manual. Ecology Publication 90-14. Revised April 1992.

Ecology 2001a. Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC II) Update. November 2001.

Ecology 2001b. Adopted Amendments. Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulations. Chapter 173-340-WAC. February 2001.

Ecology 2006. "Water Well Log Viewer" at http://apps.ecy.wa.gov/welllog/.

Hart Crowser 2006. Sampling and Analysis Plan, Abandoned Mine Lands Assessments, Washington State. Prepared for Washington State Department of Ecology. June 9, 2006.

Huntting, Marshall T., 1956, "Inventory of Washington Minerals", Part II Metallic Minerals, 2 volumes. State of Washington Department of Conservation and Development, Bulletin No. 37, Washington State printing office, Olympia, Washington.

Mindat.org website http://www.mindat.org/index.php

Pend Oreille County Tax Assessor's Office, Newport, Washington.

	Personnel	
Project Role	Assignment	Roles/Responsibilities
Ecology Project Manager	Rick Roeder	Client Project Manager
	Ecology	
	(509) 454-7837	
Program Manager	Mike Bailey	Ensures that all work is carried out in accordance with
	Hart Crowser	contractual obligations and the Delivery Order statement of
	(206) 324-9530	work. Assists the Project Manager as needed with
		technical decisions and in resolving issues. Final reviewer.
Project/Task Manager	Roger McGinnis	Overall responsibility for execution of the Work Plan.
	Hart Crowser	Coordinate with Client, Field Manager and Program
	(206) 324-9530	Manager as necessary to resolve issues.
Corporate Health and	Mike Ehlebracht	Overall responsibility for review and answering questions
Safety Officer (HSO)	Hart Crowser	regarding H&S.
	(206) 324-9530	
Field Manager and Site	Abby Bazin/Pat Reed	Ensures that explorations are conducted and samples are
Safety Coordinators (SSC)	Hart Crowser	collected in accordance with project specifications.
	(206) 324-9530	Coordinates field activities with Project and Program
		Managers.
Mine Information Research	Pat Reed	Determined location of mine, access route, and ownership
	Mike Swenson	
	Hart Crowser	
	(206) 324-9530	
Project Chemist	Erin Breckel	Performs laboratory coordination and data quality review to
	Hart Crowser	assure analytical methods and data are consistent with
	(206) 324-9530	project needs and data quality objectives.
Laboratory Services	Harvey Jacky	Analyzes soil, sediment, and water samples.
	Columbia Analytical	
	Services	
	(360) 577-7222	

Sample Name Sample Location		Sample Description	
Waste Rock Samples			
Hort-WR1-S1	Center of WR-1.	dry, gray, gravelly SAND	
Hort-WR2-S1	Southeast corner of WR-2.	moist, brown, gravelly, silty SAND	
Hort-WR3-S1	East side of WR-3.	a dry to moist, gray, very silty SAND	
Hort-WR3-S2	West side of WR-3.	a dry to moist, gray, very silty SAND	
Hort-WR4-S1	Northwest corner of WR-4.	moist, brown-gray, fine sandy, Silt	
Hort-WR5-S1	Center of WR-5.	moist, brown-gray, gravelly, silty, SAND	
Soil/Sediment Samples			
Hort-Sed 1	Near debris on WR-1.	N/A	
Hort-Sed 3	Drainage channel on WR-3.	N/A	
Water Samples			
Hort-Seep 1	Confluence of three smaller seeps.	N/A	
Hort-Seep 1 Out	Confluence of Seep 1 and Flume Creek	N/A	

Table 4 – Hortense Mill Sample Inventory

Table 5 - Analytical Results for - Hortense Mine

Sheet 1 of 2

SDG	MTCA	MTC	A Method B	Ecological	K0605942	K0605942	K0605942
Sample ID	Method A	Soil	Soil	Protection (c)	Hort-Sed 1	Hort-Sed 3	Hort-WR1-S1
Sampling Date	(a)	Ingestion (b)	Ingestion &	Plant/Soil Biota/Wildlife	6/17/2006	6/17/2006	6/17/2006
			Dermal Contact (b)				
Total Solids in %					99.0	99.7	99.6
Total Metals in mg/kg							
Aluminum		80,000	72,072	50 / /	11,500	876	
Antimony		32	29	5 / /	3.02	1.92	9.3
Arsenic	20	0.67	0.62	10 / 60 / 132	12.3	4.3	10.6
Beryllium		160	144	10 / /	0.3	0.05	0.05
Cadmium	2	80	74	4 / 20 / 14	190	183	340
Chromium	2,000 ^e	120,000 ^e	44,571 ^e	42 / 42 / 67	16.9	2.4	3.4
Copper		2,960	2,700	100 / 50 / 217	149	45	553
Iron		24,000	21,622	/ /	30,100	5,010	
Lead	250			50 / 500 / 118	13,400	3,820	16,000
Manganese		11,200	10,090	1,100 / / 1,500	556	168	
Mercury	2	24	18	0.3 / 0.1 / 5.5	5.77 J	4.26 J	14.4 J
Nickel		1,600	1,441	30 / 200 / 980	29.5	3.9	8.3
Selenium		400	360	1 / 70 / 0.3	2.6	2.4	5.6
Silver		400	360	2 / /	3.5	1.12	4.99
Thallium		5.6	5.0	1 / /	0.41	0.11	0.41
Zinc		24,000	22,000	86 / 200 / 360	87,200	75,300	104,000

Notes:

U = Not detected at the detection limit indicated.

J = Estimated value.

-- Not established or Not applicable.

Bold - Concentration exceeds ecological criterion.

Box - Concentration exceeds MTCA Method A or Method B criterion.

(a) WAC 173-340-740(2), WAC 173-340-900 (Table 740-1). Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A.

(b) WAC 173-340-740(3). MTCA Method B Unrestricted land use soil cleanup standards. For carcinogenic constituents, the value presented is the lower of the non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic level calculated using Equations 740-1 and 740-2 for ingestion only. Equations 740-4 and 740-5 for ingestion and dermal contact. Information from CLARC 3.1 was used unless otherwise noted.

(c) WAC 173-340-740(3)(b)(ii), WAC 173-340-749, WAC 173-340-900 (Table 749-3).

(d) Based on Arsenic V (10 mg/kg)

(e) Based on Chromium III

Table 5 - Analytical Results for - Hortense Mine

SDG Sample ID Sampling Date	K0605942 Hort-WR2-S1 6/17/2006	K0605942 Hort-WR3-S1 6/17/2006	K0605942 Hort-WR3-S2 6/17/2006	K0605942 Hort-WR4-S1 6/17/2006	K0605942 Hort-WR5-S1 6/17/2006
Total Solids in %	99.7	100	100	99.6	100
Total Metals in mg/kg					
Aluminum			339		
Antimony	140	0.17	0.49	1.29	0.84 J
Arsenic	67	5.2	3.6	8.2	4.2
Beryllium	0.43	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.04
Cadmium	174	149	122	324	118
Chromium	21.3	1.4	1.5	3.3	1.8
Copper	1,860	18.5	19.2	48	16.6 J
Iron			2,320		
Lead	18,800	1,010	485	1,020	806
Manganese			164		
Mercury	1.91 J	2.15 J	3.17 J	10.7 J	2.69 J
Nickel	29.3	3.7	2.7	5.5	2.7
Selenium	11.1	1.7 J	1.6 J	3.2	1.5 J
Silver	155	0.04 J	0.35	0.77	0.52 J
Thallium	0.07	0.15	0.07	0.18	0.08
Zinc	47,500	45,600	42,700	81,400	38,200

Notes:

U = Not detected at the detection limit indicated.

J = Estimated value.

-- Not established or Not applicable.

Bold - Concentration exceeds ecological criterion.

Box - Concentration exceeds MTCA Method A or Method B criterion.

(a) WAC 173-340-740(2), WAC 173-340-900 (Table 740-1). Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A.
(b) WAC 173-340-740(3). MTCA Method B Unrestricted land use soil cleanup standards. For carcinogenic

constituents, the value presented is the lower of the non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic level calculated using

Equations 740-1 and 740-2 for ingestion only. Equations 740-4 and 740-5 for ingestion and dermal contact.

(c) WAC 173-340-740(3)(b)(ii), WAC 173-340-749, WAC 173-340-900 (Table 749-3).

(d) Based on Arsenic V (10 mg/kg)

(e) Based on Chromium III

Table 5 - Ana	ytical Results for	- Hortense Mine
---------------	--------------------	-----------------

SDG Sample ID Sampling Date	Drinking Water MCL	Chapter 173 Acute	-201A WAC ^a Chronic	K0605186 Hort-Seep 1 6/17/2006	K0605186 Hort-Seep 1 Out 6/17/2006
Conventionals in mg/L					
Hardness as CaCO3				404	130
Sulfate				82	7.5
Total Dissolved Solids				424 J	81 J
Total Suspended Solids				332 J	11 J
Turbidity				36 J	2.9 J
Dissolved Metals in µg/L					
Arsenic	10	360	190	1.91	0.16 J
Cadmium ^b	5	18 ^c / 36 ^d	3.1 ^c / 4.8 ^d	57.1	1.38
Copper ^b	1300 (at tap)	68 ^c / 122 ^d	40 ^c / 68 ^d	5.08	0.61
Lead ^b	15 (treatment)	308 ^c / 571 ^d	12 ^c / 12 ^d	91	3.87
Zinc ^b	5000 (secondary)	400 ^c / 675 ^d	365 ^c / 616 ^d	18100	570
Total Metals in μg/L					
Aluminum				2190	3050
Iron				6080	5200
Mercury	2	2.1	0.012	0.0179	5.22

Notes:

-- Not established or Not applicable.

Bold - Concentration exceeds chronic criterion.

Box - Concentration exceeds acute criterion.

Shaded - Concentration exceeds drinking water maximum contaminant level (MCL).

a Chapter 173-201A WAC. Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Washington (Last update July 1, 2003).

b Hardness dependent criteria.

c Hardness = 404 mg/L CaCO3.

d Hardness = 130 mg/L CaCO3.

Species	Federa	l Status	State Statu		Narrative
	Т	E	Т	E	
Lynx (<i>Lynx</i> canadensis)	Х		X		Crowell Mtn
Grizzly bear (<i>Ursus arctos</i>)	Х			X	Flume Creek
Bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus)	X				Pend Oreille River, Slate Creek, South Salmo River and Bench Creek
Woodland caribou (<i>Rangifer trandus</i>)		X		X	Beaver Creek, Slate Creek
Fisher (Martes pennanti)				X	Slate Creek and West of Sullivan Lake
Bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus)	X		Х		Pend Oreille River south of Metaline Falls

Table 6 - Threatened & Endangered Species

Site Location Map Hortense Mill



Scale in Miles

Vicinity Map Hortense Mill



Standard Key for Site Plan Hortense Mill

00	
G2 ₋ ≿-	GPS Data Point Location and Number
WR1-S2 ⊗	Soil or Waste Rock Sample Location and Number (Note: Site name prefix also part of sample number)
W1 🚳	Water Sample Location and Number
45 🕀	Composite Sediment Sample Location and Number
×	Adit
\boxtimes	Shaft
₹ <u>₩₩₩₩</u> ₽	Prospect/Pit
	Building/Structure
Ş	Seep
$\sim \sim$	Drainage Channel
	Intermittent/Seasonal Drainage Channel
— x — x —	Fence
\bigotimes	Debris, Predominantly Wood
	Debris, Predominantly Metal
	Soil or Seep Staining
\square	Other Feature
Ŷ	Groundwater Well
10	Photo Location, Number and Direction
▲ ▲' • _ •	Approximate Cross Section Location and Designation



Site Plan Hortense Mill









APPENDIX A FIELD DOCUMENTATION

AML Feature Inventory

•		7	GPS Coord GPS Coord Report in NAD 83, WA North Zone, State Plene Coordinates, Units of Feet)	
page 1			Photo # (Site-Photo#- Date)	
Survey Date (0/17/04			Animels Present (ie: bats other)	
žng	hu,	2	tera: Tempin C	
mbie	you're gave to o Bur,	te draw	Paramoters:	
Uses auad: Ather arombie	pt. you'ne	stope angle)	H	
USGS Quad:	Lend see a gravel	3. Stoped (if sto <u>ped, report approx</u> stope angle) S.C. dr ^a wi v	Flowrate in GPM	
	Rond 4 an see	3. Stoped (if sto stope angle:	Water Present (Y / N)	
	aring on map) founders, f d read. If 4	2. Vertical	Nominal Dimensions in feet	610
Horkey Mill	or landmark appe	1. Flat	Condition: {open / closed / collapsed / flooded / officer}	Collenne (?)
1) Artente	Provin Micheliber Fails Frown Micheliber Fails Jule Kury South - South a drive ear 1	erraim Slope (Circle where applicable); 'hysical Features / Sources	Count / ID	adit(s) pit(s) 3
Feature ID Surveyor(s)	Intections to Site From Wichild I cike from O(Wall, or	errain Slope (Circle where a 'hysical Features / Sources line Oeeninge:	Shaff(s)	đ đ

	•	General Description of Location(s):					
			L.			ک لا	
	Recent Human	Activity (Y/N)	n			3 ⁴	-
	Distressed Vegetation R	N/N	2	-	-	2	
		# 01 Semples % Ground Cover					
		# of Semples					
	Estimated Particle Gradation	14- 67 70					• •
Other (explain)	Seepage: Active / Inactiue?						
Low work work would be barometer, I = 1000 Map. O = Other (explain) I Pites:	Dimensions in it Feature Vol. Seepage Visible? (LXWXH) In yds ³ (Y/M)						
o-serometer,	Feature Vol. In yds ³						
automatic weather	Dimensions in A (L X W X H)						
1 Piles:	Count / ID	-					
Mining Activity-Related Piles:	Ľ	waste rock pile(s)	tailings pile(s)	ner reach pie(s)	debris pile(s)	other faundation	Thumsteel mine

1

2

618

Collegnal (3)

Elevation in feet above MSL*

Miscellaneous Nobes: In the west near the dump site is clay-tile pipe let wighze hiside, and rich that may he slag.

Hart Crowser 1727400/AML Field Checklist Page 1.xls

AML Field Checkfist Page 1

AML Feature Inventory



Physical Features / Sources (Continued) /

Mining Activity-Related Ponds or Liquid Containment Structures:

		Dimensions in ft	Lined	Lignid Present	Feature Vol	Liauid Vol		Parameters:	ers:			
0	Count / ID	(LXWXH)	(V/N)	(V/N)	in yds ³	in yds ³	Ηď	Cond in mS Temp in C	Temp in C		Animals Present # of Samulos	# of Samoloo
leach pond(s)	θ									202 6.6	I and the state	
tailings pond(s)	1.	18 X14 X05	2	5	4.7	L.7	8.1	0.37	2,2	N. due - h + 1		50.0
tailings impoundment(s)										a banc hin		·
other (explain)		10'dia x ? \$	alvauize	gulvanized shelt tuk	delon3							
									And a second			

Seep(s), Creek(s), Pond(s), Lake(s)

rong(s), Lake(s)		•		Parameters:	JS:			
Count / ID	Location	Flowrate in GPM	Ηď	Cond in mS	Temp in C		Odor streen dismonstinus	
-	Crub at Fast of worke inite	~(0	8.4	<u>.</u>	σ	bh = 11.3		
	2						han tan	

Soll or Seep Staining

the			
Source & Recento			
Distance from Potential Contaminant Source In Recentor			
Calor			
Location			
Count / ID	ø	0	9
I	Soil	dees	other

Seasonal Flow Paths or Channels

	Source & Baranter	internet a success		
	Distance from Potential Contaminant Source to Recentor			
Parameters:	Cond in mS Temp in C			
Para	Cond in mS			
	Ηd			
Elnumbe in	GPM	1. Whil him	1	
Water Present Elourate in	(V/N)	5	72	
	. Count / ID	-4	2	

Wetlands

AML Field Checklist Page 1

		:	
# of Campion			
Source & Receptor			
uisiance from Potential Contaminant Source to Receptor			
Tempin C			
Cond in mS			
Hd			-
Flowrate in GPM	Seeps		
Count / ID	d		

Parameters

page 2

Survey Date 6/17/0 6

Hart Crowser 1727400/AML Field Checklist Page 2.xls

AML Feature Inventory

Feature ID	Surveyor(s)

Physical Features / Sources (Continued)

Water Supply Structures



Buildings / Structures



Liquid or Waste Containment Structures

0 Suspected Contents			
Conditio			
Volume in Gal.			
Count / ID			
	drums	tanks	other

Debris / Refuse

ther Size Description							
Number	assay equip & retorts	drums	scrap lumber	scrap metal	machinery	other	

Ground Cover, Vegetation, Evidence of Wildlife or Recent Human Activity

Endangered / Number Threatened (Y / N) Comments*		
Type / Mame		*Note whether vegetation is distressed

AML Field Checklet Page 1

Hart Crowser 1727400/AML Field Checklist Page 3.xls

page 3

Survey Date



INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING IN THE FIELD SKETCH FOR:

North Arrow Scale Bar Photo Location(s) and View Direction(s) Sample Location(s) and ID(s): W-# Water

- S-# Soil
- T-# Tailings
- R-# Rock (Waste)
- O-# Ore
- S-# Other (Describe)

FOOTPRINT(S) OF: MILLS BUILDINGS STRUCTURES MINING-RELATED PILES OTHER SURFACE WATER (PONDS, SEEPS, ETC.) GPS Collection Location 🔅 Elevation (Topographic Variation) Slope Direction





INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING IN THE FIELD SKETCH FOR:

X - X' Cross Section Orientation Scale Bar Photo Location(s) and View Direction(s) Sample Location(s) and ID(s): W-# Water S-# Soil T-# Tailings R-# Rock (Waste) O-# Ore S-# Other (Describe)

LOCATION(S) OF: MILLS BUILDINGS STRUCTURES MINING-RELATED PILES OTHER

SURFACE WATER (PONDS, SEEPS, ETC.) GPS Collection Location \Leftrightarrow Elevation (Topographic Variation)

Ecology AML

Hortense Mill				
Point	Coordinate Name	Northing	Easting	Altitude
G1	HORTENSE WR1 S1	1306726	2391405	2294
G2	HORTENSE WR2 S1	1306700	2391375	2308
G3	HORT WR4	1306617	2391295	2291
G4	HORT WR5	1306675	2391508	2303
G5	HORTENSE SED1	1306746	2391408	2342
G6	HORTENSE SEEP1	1306699	2391450	2277
G7	HORTENSE SEEP1 OUTLET	1306635	2391500	2264
	Average	1306685	2391420	2297

Notes:

Northings and Eastings are reported in units of US Feet, relative to NAD 83 State Plane Coordinate System, Washington Zone South.



Photograph 1 - Debris near field supports



Photograph 2 - View of tire stockpile WR-1, WR_2 and WR_3



Photograph 3 - View of car frame and WR-5



Photograph 4 - Crushed Metal Tank



Photograph 5 - Cabin