February 19, 2013

Mr. Guy Barrett Washington State Department of Ecology Southwest Regional Office 300 Desmond Drive Lacey, WA 98503

SUBJECT: FIRST QUARTER GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA REPORT PROJECT NUMBER: CL-COO

Dear Mr. Barrett:

This quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Data Report (GMDR) has been prepared by Floyd|Snider on behalf of the City of Olympia (City). This GMDR describes field activities conducted and analytical results for the groundwater monitoring of benzene at the Downtown Safeway/City Hall Site (Site). The City and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) entered into an Agreed Order (AO; No. DE 9465) on October 12, 2012 (Ecology 2012). Following finalization of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) and Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) for the Site, the AO required the City to decommission MW-4, install a replacement monitoring well, and conduct four quarters of compliance groundwater monitoring for benzene. The procedures for the compliance monitoring work as required by the AO are described in the Focused Compliance Monitoring Work Plan (FCMWP; Floyd|Snider 2012).

MW-4 DECOMMISIONING

On January 10, 2013, MW-4 was decommissioned by Cascade Drilling Inc. of Woodinville, Washington in accordance with state well construction standards provided in Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-160-460. The well was abandoned by filling the well with bentonite chips and then sealing the surface with concrete. These decommissioning procedures differed from what was described in the FCMWP. The FCMWP stated that a construction log was not available for the well and required that the well be decommissioned by over-drilling to break the well casing, filling the abandoned well with bentonite, and sealing the surface with concrete. Although a well construction log typically completed and used by environmental professionals was missing, a well card was found in the well and the well was found to be registered with Ecology at the time of installation. Because the drill log was on file at Ecology, the well could be chipped out instead of being drilled out; this was a more timely and cost effective option.

INSTALLATION OF MW-4A REPLACEMENT WELL

On January 10, 2013, one 2-inch diameter monitoring well (designated MW-4A) was installed at the Site by Cascade Drilling Inc. (Figure 1). The well was installed approximately 5 feet south of MW-4, as close to the MW-4 as possible. Prior to drilling, Washington Utility Notification Center was contacted so that public utilities could be located. Applied Professional Services, Inc. was retained to locate and mark private utilities prior to drilling. Drilling and well installation was

performed in accordance with procedures defined in the FCPWP and followed the "Minimum Standards for Construction of Maintenance Wells" from WAC 173-160; using a limited access, hollow-stem auger drill rig. Soil was collected using a split-spoon sampler for logging purposes approximately every 2.5 feet to a total depth of 17.5 feet below ground surface (bgs) under the direction of qualified field personnel. The soil was classified according to the United Soil Classification System. The first 5 feet of the soil boring was vacuumed out using a vacuum truck and air knife to ensure no utilities were hit by the drill. Consistent with depth-to-water observations during the Supplemental Remedial Investigation (RI) field event, groundwater was encountered between 11 and 12 feet bgs at the time of drilling. Generally, the subsurface soil encountered in the boring was compacted fill material consisting of brown gravel with sand. The well log is included as Attachment A.

For most of the split spoon soil samples there was little recovery and the subsurface was determined to be dense. At 12.5 feet bgs, there was a transition from dense compacted fill material to compacted pea gravel. At 14 feet bgs, there was a transition back to sand and a small sheen, approximately 1 inch in diameter, was observed on the soil core. There was also a slight hydrocarbon odor. Below 15 feet bgs the soil transitioned to sandy silts that appeared representative of hydraulic dredge fill. No other indications of contamination were observed.

The well was installed to 14 feet bgs and was screened from 4 to 14 feet bgs. The screen location was set in the site backfill material to provide a monitoring location that will provide reliable compliance monitoring data representative of post-remedial actions and current site conditions. The 4-inch end cap on the well, located below the well screen, extended below 14 feet bgs to approximately 14 feet 4 inches bgs. The surface of the well was completed with a flush-mounted steel monument, and the well was secured by a gasket cap.

Prior to sampling, the well was developed using the procedures described in the FCMWP. A total of approximately 78 gallons of groundwater was purged from the newly installed well during development. A hydrocarbon odor was observed during purging, and there were black particulate particles in the water for the first half of the purge water.

COMPLIANCE MONITORING

Compliance Monitoring Field Activities

The first quarterly groundwater monitoring event, as described in the FCMWP, was conducted on January 15, 2013. Except where noted below, the compliance monitoring field methods were conducted in accordance with the FCMWP. Field activities are summarized below.

Groundwater Sampling

On January 15, 2013, a groundwater sample was collected from MW-4A consistent with the procedures in the FCMWP, except as described below. No indications of contamination were observed in the purge water or during groundwater sample collection. The groundwater sample collection form is provided in Attachment B.

Laboratory Analysis

A total of two groundwater samples (a parent and a duplicate sample) and one trip blank were collected on January 15, 2013 and submitted to Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) in Tukwila, Washington (ARI) for chemical analyses by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8260C. The laboratory data report is provided in Attachment C.

Benzene was analyzed with USEPA Method 8260C, rather than USEPA Method 8021, as described in the FCMWP at the recommendation of ARI because the USEPA Method 8260C provides a more accurate analysis at the same cost. Method 8260C was used because the analysis is run on a mass spectrometer and results in fewer false positives.

Data Quality Review

A Compliance Screening, Tier I data quality review was performed on the benzene data resulting from laboratory analysis. The analytical data were validated in accordance with the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (1999 and 2008).

The analytical holding times were met and the method blanks had no detections. The laboratory control sample (LCS), laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD), and surrogate recoveries all met USEPA data quality requirements demonstrating acceptable accuracy. Precision was acceptable as demonstrated by the LCS/LCSD relative percent difference.

No qualifiers were added to the analytical results based on the data quality review. Data are determined to be of acceptable quality for use as reported by the lab.

Compliance Monitoring Results

Analytical results for the groundwater sample from MW-4A are presented in Table 1 and on Figure 1. Benzene was detected at concentrations just greater than the reporting limit in the parent sample (0.81 μ g/L) and the duplicate sample (0.82 μ g/L), and less than the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup level of 5 μ g/l. The benzene data are also shown below in Figure 2, which compares the previous MW-4 data to the current quarter compliance data collected in MW-4A.

Investigation-derived Waste

Investigation-derived waste (IDW) including drill cuttings, decontamination water, and well development water was collected in 55-gallon drums. Drill cuttings, decontamination water, and purge water were characterized by previous analytical soil and groundwater results at the Site. On January 28, 2013, two drums containing soil IDW and two drums containing water generated during the January 2013 sampling event were transported by Cascade Drilling Inc. for disposal.

Sincerely yours, F L O Y D | S N I D E R

Encl.: Table 1: MW-4A Benzene Compliance Monitoring Results
Figure 1: Compliance Well Location and Sampling Data
Figure 2: Detected Concentrations of Benzene in Monitoring Wells MW-4 and MW-4A by Monitoring Event
Attachment 1: MW-4A Well Log
Attachment 2: Groundwater Sample Collection Form
Attachment 3: January 2013 Laboratory Data Report

Copies: Tom Morrill, City of Olympia

REFERENCES

- Floyd|Snider. 2012. City of Olympia Former Downtown Safeway/City Hall Site Focused Compliance Monitoring Work Plan. Prepared for City of Olympia, Olympia, Washington. November.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1999. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, OSWER 9240.1-05A-P, PB99-963506, EPA540/R-99/008. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. October.
- ——. 2008. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9240.1-58, USEPA-540-R-08-01. Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation (OSRTI). June.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) 2012. Agreed Order No. DE 9465. 12 October.

Tables

FLOYD | SNIDER

Table 1 MW-4A Benzene Compliance Monitoring Results¹ (µg/L)

First Quarter-	-January 2013
MW-4A-011513	MW-4A-011513-D
1/15/2013	1/15/2013
0.81	0.82
	First Quarter- MW-4A-011513 1/15/2013 0.81

Note:

1 The Model Toxics Contol Act (MTCA) cleanup level for benzene is 5 µg/L.

Abbreviation:

µg/L Micrograms per liter

Figures



F:\projects\CL-COO\GIS\MXD\Task 6000\Figure 1 (MW4-A Location and Compliance Monitoring Results).mxd 2/13/2013



F:\projects\CL-COO\Task 7000 - GW Compliance Monitoring\Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Data Reports\Figures\CL-COO Qtr 1 GMDR F2 Benzene graph 021313.docx

Attachment 1 MW-4A Well Log

FLOYD | SNIDER strategy • science • engineering

Ground Surf Elev. & Datum: Coordinate System: NAD 83 WA SP S Latitude/Northing: 633230.073 ft Longitude/Easting: 1043581.548 ft Casing Elevation: Drill Date: January 10, 2013 Drill Type:8"-dia Hollow Stem Auger Boring Diameter: 8-inch Boring Depth (ft bgs):17.5 feet Sample Method: 3"x18" D&M Split Spoon w/140lb Hammer Groundwater ATD (ft bgs): 11.5

Monitoring Well ID: MW-4A

Logged By: T.Stevens/C.Gardner Drilled By: Cascade Drilling Client: City of Olympia Project: CL-COO Task Number: T. 6000 Site Location: City Hall Olympia, WA

Remarks: Weather cold, dry, cloudy. Easting and northing approximate pending final survey.

Sample ID	DRIVE /	BLOW	DEPTH	USCS	SOIL DESCRIPTION AND OBSERVATIONS: (color, texture,	MONITORING WELL
oumpic ib	RECOVERY	COUNT	FT BGS	SYMBOL	moisture, MAJOR CONSTITUENT, odor, staining, sheen, debris, etc.)	DETAIL



Notes:

FT BGS = feet below ground surface

USCS = Unified Soil Classification System = denotes groundwater table ATD Attachment 2 Groundwater Sample Collection Form

Destant Marken Oladon		E. U.D.		/15/13		
Project Number: CL-COS	•.	Field Perso	onnel: <u>7.</u>	stevens		
Purge Data						
Well ID:Mw-4A	_ Secure: 🙀 Yes 🗋 No	Well Condition/Dan	nage Description	New w	<u>ell - 900</u>	d condition
Depth Sounder decontaminated Prior to Place	ement in Well: 🎾 Yes 🔲 No	One Casing Volum	e (gal):	2.4 gal		
Depth of water (from top of well casing):	9.25	Well Casing Type/E	Diameter/Screene	ed Interval: _2	"PVC	Serverned 4
After 5 minutes of purging (from top of casing	9.31	- [Volume o	f Schedule	40 PVC Pip)e
Begin purge (time): 0910		Diameter	O.D. I.	D. (Gal/I	olume .inear Ft.)	Weight of Water (Lbs/Lineal Ft.)
End purge (time):1035		- 1 ¼" 2"	1.660" 1.3 2.375" 2.0	80")67"	0.08 0.17	0.64 1.45
Gallons purged: <u>4.5 gall</u>	ans	3 4	3.500" 3.0 4.500" 4.0	168")26"	0.38	3.2 5,51
Purge water disposal method:	- IDW Digesal	6	6.625" 6.0	165"	1.5	12.5
Time Depth to Vol. Water Purged	рн оо ∾ 🤊	Conductivity	Turbidity	Temp	ORP	Comments
1005 9.04 84	<u>6.17</u> <u>3.10</u>	0.237	15.8	8.87	209	1
1410 9,04 GL	$\frac{681}{100}$	0,190	12.5	<u>9.63</u>	140	
1015 <u>9.04</u> 17.1	6.11 2:00	0.183	5.5	1001	82	4
1025 9.06 13.51	6.98 3.83	0,181	4.5	10.04	80	
1830 9.05 ISL	6.98 2.85	0.181	3.5	10.06	83	
1035 9.10 16.5	7.00 3.10	0.180	2.4	10.07	72	
ampling Data						
Sample No: MW4A-011	513	Location and De	epth: 11.5	Sangele	death	· Server from
Date Collected (mo/dy/yr):	3 Time Collected:	1040 DRAM	IDIPM We	ather: Cold	and Uva	vcast
Type: 🕅 Ground Water 🔲 Surface Water	Other:	Samp	le: 🗆 Filtered 🕫	Unfiltered Of	her:	
Sample Collected with: Bailer	Other:	Type:	evisted the			
Matan Ovelite Instrument Date Collected with	. Tree E Hariba H 00. 📌 Hari					
vvater Quality Instrument Data Collected with	: Type: Li Horiba U-22 Jur Hori	ba 0-50 Other:	>			
Sample Decon Procedure: Sample collect	ed with (circle one): decontamina	ated all tubing dispose	able and/or dedic	ated silicon and	I poly tubing C	Other:
Sample Description (Color, Turbidity, Odor, C	Other): <u>Clear</u> , No al	er, tinge cr	sheen			
ample Analyses						
TPH-D (HCI) 🗌 Chlor / Flu	ior (unpres) 🗌 COD /	TOC (H2SO4) 🗆	l Orthopho	s (FILTER)	Diss. N	/letals (HNO3)
TPH-G (HCI) 🖾 BT	(HCI) Total M	etals (HNO3) 🗌	TKN/Pho	s (N2SO4)		VOCs (HCI) [
dditional Information	8260					
Types of Sample Containers: Qua	ntity: Duplicate Sample N	umbers:		Comr	nents:	
VOA - Benzene 8260	3 MW-4A-0115	13-D-7 Collec	etcl dupin	at smp	ort 1100	3 was for
						3 VOAS for
Signatura T. Van (7~ -		-)oto:	1/10/12	
Signature			L	ale:	11510	
			the second se			
:\Technical\Field Prep\Field Forms\Groundwater S	ample					Page 1 of

Attachment 3 January 2013 Laboratory Data Report



January 28, 2012

Jessi Massingale Floyd Snider 601 Union Street, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2341

RE: Project: CL-COO ARI Job No: VZ82

Dear Jessi:

Please find enclosed analytical results and chain of custody documentation (COC) for the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Incorporated (ARI) accepted two water samples on January 15, 2013. The samples were in good condition with no discrepancies in paperwork.

The samples were analyzed for VOCs, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted for these analyses. Quality control results are included for your review.

A copy of the reports and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem

Client Services Manager kellyb@arilabs.com 206-695-6211

Enclosures

cc: eFile VZ82

KB/kb

Request
Analysis
Laboratory
<u>س</u>
Record
Custody
of
Chain

ARI Assigned Number:	urn-around Requested	Stender	4	Page:	l of				Analytical Resources, Incorpo Analytical Chemists and Consi	orated
ARI Client Company: #1.4415. : du	Phone:	-242-30	8707	Date:	15 12 Pres				4611 South 134th Place, Suite Tukwila, WA 98168	100
Client Contact: Jess, Alressinga	ulc			No. of Coolers:	Cool Tem	er os: ごし			206-695-6200 206-695-6201	(fax)
Client Project Name: じレー どのひ						Analysis Req	uested		Notes/Comments	
Client Project #: C しー しゅう	amplers:	Stevens		0						
Sample ID	Date	Matrix	No. Containers	128 128						
MIN-4/A-011513	112 13 1040	\mathbb{C}^{1}	Ś	7.						
1 9-215110-YH-MW	112/13/1100	ちろ	\sim	>						
78-011513 1	15/13 10-12	water	7	>				`	Tr. P Bank	
							\langle			
			/							
								/		
	>									/
Comments/Special Instructions Re	elinquished by signature) 102 kc R	}	Received by (Signature)	N	MO -	Relinquished by. (Signature)			Received by (Signature)	
Schult is never the	TUCK 11 TE	2121	Printed Name	n le	Milkeo	Printed Name			Printed Name.	
0	Eloyd SU: A	3	Company			Company			Company:	
<u>ă</u>	ate & Time 1/15/13 1	2:45	Date & Time	13	7245	Date & Time:			Date & Time	

Limits of Liability: ARI will perform all requested services in accordance with appropriate methodology following ARI Standard Operating Procedures and the ARI Quality Assurance Program. This program meets standards for the industry. The total liability of ARI, its officers, agents, employees, or successors, arising out of or in connection with the requested services, shall not exceed the Invoiced amount for said services. The acceptance by the client of a proposal for services by ARI release ARI trom any liability in excess thereof, not withstanding any provision to the contrary in any contract, purchase order or contensions. signed agreement between ARI and the Client. Sample Retention Policy: All samples submitted to ARI will be appropriately discarded no sooner than 90 days after receipt or 60 days after submission of hardcopy data, whichever is longer, unless afternate retention schedules have been established by work-order or contract.

Analytical Resource Analytical Chemis	c es, Incorporated ts and Consultants	Cooler Rec	eipt Fo	orm	
ARI Client Floyd	Suider	Project Name <u>CL-COC</u>)		
COC No(s)	(NA)	Delivered by Fed-Ex UPS Cour	ier Hand Delive	ered Other	
Assigned ARI Job No	32	Tracking No			
Preliminary Examination Phase:					\bigcirc
Were intact, properly signed and	dated custody seals attached to t	the outside of to cooler?	,	YES	(NO)
Were custody papers included wi	th the cooler?	· ·· ·	Č	YES	NO
Were custody papers properly fill	ed out (ink, signed, etc)	···· ·· ·· ·	C	FES)	NO
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (re	commended 2.0-6 0 °C for chem	nistry) 5.6			
If cooler temperature is out of cor	npliance fill out form 00070F		Temp Gun ID	+ 908	77952
Cooler Accepted by	JM	Date 1/15/13 Time	1245		
	Complete custody forms a	nd attach all shipping documents	·		
Log-In Phase:					
What kind of packing material v Was sufficient ice used (if approp Were all bottles sealed in individu Did all bottles arrive in good cond Were all bottle labels complete a Did the number of containers liste Did all bottle labels and tags agre Were all bottle labels and tags agre Were all bottles used correct for t Do any of the analyses (bottles) in Were all VOC vials free of air but Was sufficient amount of sample Date VOC Trip Blank was made a Was Sample Split by ARI	vas used?	Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam	Block Paper C NA NA NA NA	Ther:	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO
	A	ilicitz -	1/15()		
Samples Logged by:	<u>INV</u> Date	of discrepancies or concerns **	1700		
Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample	e ID on CO	C

Additional Notes, Discrepancies, & Resolutions:





ARI Job No: VZ82 Client: Floyd-Snider Project Event: CL-COO Project Name: CL-COO

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	MW-4A-011513	VZ82A	13-1013	Water	01/15/13 10:40	01/15/13 12:45
2.	MW-4A-011513-D	VZ82B	13-1014	Water	01/15/13 11:00	01/15/13 12:45
3.	Trip Blanks	VZ82C	13-1015	Water	01/15/13	01/15/13 12:45



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Volatiles by Purge & Trap GC/MS-Method SW8260C Page 1 of 1 Sample ID: MW-4A-011513 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: VZ82A	QC Report No: VZ82-Floyd-Snider
LIMS ID: 13-1013	Project: CL-COO
Matrix: Water	CL-COO
Data Release Authorized: WW	Date Sampled: 01/15/13
Reported: 01/28/13	Date Received: 01/15/13
Instrument/Analyst: NT3/PAB	Sample Amount: 10.0 mL
Date Analyzed: 01/25/13 15:11	Purge Volume: 10.0 mL
CAS Number Analyte	LOQ Result Q

71-43-2 Benzene 0.20 0.81

Reported in µg/L (ppb)

Volatile Surrogate Recovery

d8-Toluene

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Volatiles by Purge & Trap GC/MS-Method SW8260C Page 1 of 1 IN Sample ID: MW-4A-011513-D SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: VZ82B	QC Report No: VZ82-Floyd-Snider
LIMS ID: 13-1014	Project: CL-COO
Matrix: Water	CL-COO
Data Release Authorized: WWW	Date Sampled: 01/15/13
Reported: 01/28/13	Date Received: 01/15/13
Instrument/Analyst: NT3/PAB	Sample Amount: 10.0 mL
Date Analyzed: 01/25/13 15:38	Purge Volume: 10.0 mL

CAS Number	Analyte	TOŽ	Kesuit (2
71-43-2	Benzene	0.20	0.82	

Reported in µg/L (ppb)

Volatile Surrogate Recovery

d8-Toluene



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Volatiles by Purge & Trap GC/MS-Method SW8260C Page 1 of 1 Sample ID: Trip Blanks SAMPLE

Project: CL-CO0 CL-CO0 ce Sampled: 01/15/13 e Received: 01/15/13
ole Amount: 10.0 mL cge Volume: 10.0 mL
t e I

CAS Number	Analyte	LOQ Result	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	0.20 < 0.20	U

Reported in $\mu g/L$ (ppb)

Volatile Surrogate Recovery

d8-Toluene



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Volatiles by Purge & Trap GC/MS-Method SW8260C Page 1 of 1 Sample ID: MB-012513A METHOD BLANK

Lab Sample ID: MB-012513A	QC Report No: V282-Floyd-Snider
LIMS ID: 13-1013	Project: CL-COO
Matrix: Water	CL-COO
Data Release Authorized: WW	Date Sampled: NA
Reported: 01/28/13	Date Received: NA
Instrument/Analyst: NT3/PAB	Sample Amount: 10.0 mL
Date Analyzed: 01/25/13 12:07	Purge Volume: 10.0 mL

CAS Number	Analyte	LOQ	Result Q	2
71-43-2	Benzene	0.20	< 0.20 ŭ	J

Reported in $\mu g/L$ (ppb)

Volatile Surrogate Recovery

d8-Toluene



Matrix: Water

QC Report No: VZ82-Floyd-Snider Project: CL-CO0 CL-CO0

ARI ID	Client ID	PV	DCE	TOL	BFB	DCB	TOT OUT
MD-0125137	Mothod Plank	10	NZ	1018	NZ	NΔ	0
MB-012513A LCS-012513A	Lab Control	10	NA	104%	NA	NA	0
LCSD = 012513A	Lab Control Dup	10	NA	1048	NA	NA	Õ
VZ82A	MW-4A-011513	10	NA	102%	NA	NA	0
VZ82B	MW-4A-011513-D	10	NA	103%	NA	NA	0
VZ82C	Trip Blanks	10	NA	107%	NA	NA	0
		LCS/MB LIMITS			QC LIMITS		
SW8260C							
(DCE) = d4-1, 2-Dichloroethane			(80-120))		(80-13	0)
(TOL) = d8-Toluene		(80-120)			(80-120)		
(BFB) = Bromo	fluorobenzene		(80-120))		(80-12	0)
(DCB) = d4-1,	2-Dichlorobenzene		(80-120))		(80-12	0)

Prep Method: SW5030B Log Number Range: 13-1013 to 13-1015



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Volatiles by Purge & Trap GC/MS-Method SW8260C Page 1 of 1 Sample ID: LCS-012513A LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: LCS-012513A	QC Report No: VZ82-Floyd-Snider
LIMS ID: 13-1013	Project: CL-COO
Matrix: Water	CL-COO
Data Release Authorized: MAA	Date Sampled: NA
Reported: 01/28/13	Date Received: NA
Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT3/PAB	Sample Amount LCS: 10.0 mL
LCSD: NT3/PAB	LCSD: 10.0 mL
Date Analyzed LCS: 01/25/13 11:14	Purge Volume LCS: 10.0 mL
LCSD: 01/25/13 11:41	LCSD: 10.0 mL

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzene	11.3	10.0	113%	11.0	10.0	110%	2.7%
	Repo	rted in µg/	L (ppb)				

RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.

Volatile Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
d8-Toluene	104%	104%