

July 30, 2013 Project 101.00989.00003

Mr. Frank Stauff Director of Construction & Development PMF Investments, LLC 15015 Main Street, Suite 203 Bellevue, Washington 98007

Re: Additional Subsurface Investigation Report Former 395 Cleaners Facility – Kennewick Plaza Shopping Center 128 South Ely Street Kennewick, Washington

Dear Mr. Stauff,

SLR International Corporation (SLR) has prepared this report for PMF Investments, LLC (PMF) to present the results of additional subsurface investigation activities that were performed at the former 395 Cleaners facility located in the southeast portion of the Kennewick Plaza Shopping Center (subject property) at 128 South Ely Street in Kennewick, Washington (see Figures 1 and 2). The objective of the additional investigation was to assess the current chlorinated volatile organic compound (CVOC) concentrations in soil beneath the former dry cleaning facility.

BACKGROUND

The subject property consists of Benton County Tax Parcels 103891012524001, 1038911110000001, 103891012524003, 103891012524002, and 103891110000003 which comprise approximately 13.07 acres. The subject property was developed in 1979 as the Kennewick Plaza Shopping Center. Prior to 1979, the subject property was primarily used for farming. The former 395 Cleaners operated in the southeast portion of the subject property from 1983 through at least 2000. The tenant space formerly occupied by 395 Cleaners is currently operated as a nail salon.

ATC Associates, Inc. (ATC) prepared a *Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Kennewick Plaza* (Phase I ESA), dated December 13, 1999. The findings of the Phase I ESA identified that 395 Cleaners & Laundromat, located in the southeastern portion of the subject property, operated only as a "drop-off" dry cleaner and laundromat. However, additional information was obtained after the Phase I ESA was issued indicating that a dry cleaning machine had previously operated in the tenant space.

ATC conducted a preliminary assessment of the subsurface conditions in the area of 395 Cleaners at the subject property in December 1999 and January 2000. Soil samples collected

July 30, 2013 Mr. Frank Stauff Page 2

from hand auger borings HA-1 and HA-2 contained tetrachloroethene (PCE) at concentrations of 0.14 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) to 0.16 mg/kg, respectively, which did not exceed the Washington State Department of Ecology's (Ecology) Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup level of 0.5 mg/kg in 2000. However, the PCE concentrations exceeded the current Method A soil cleanup level of 0.05 mg/kg. The results of the assessment were presented in ATC's *Subsurface Investigation* report, dated February 2, 2000.

ATC conducted supplemental subsurface investigation activities at the subject property during March 2000, as documented in the *Report of Subsurface Investigation*, dated May 5, 2000. The supplemental investigation included the collection of soil vapor and soil samples from soil borings advanced in the area of the 395 Cleaners tenant space. A total of 14 soil vapor samples [VP-1(12), VP-2(4), VP-3(12), VP-4(4), VP-4(8), VP-4(12), VP-5(4), VP-5(8), VP-6(12), VP-7(9), VP-8(3), VP-10(2.5), VP-11(3), and VP-12(2.5)] contained one or more VOCs at concentrations that exceeded current applicable MTCA Method B soil gas screening levels. One soil sample contained a PCE concentration of 0.07 mg/kg [boring SP-4 at 8-feet below ground surface (bgs)], and one soil sample contained a trichloroethene (TCE) concentration of 0.09 mg/kg (boring VP-11-5 at 1-foot bgs) that did not exceed MTCA Method A cleanup levels (0.5 mg/kg for both) at the time. However, those PCE and TCE concentrations exceeded the current Method A soil cleanup levels for PCE and TCE (0.05 mg/kg and 0.03 mg/kg, respectively). The locations of the soil vapor probes and soil borings are shown on Figure 3.

From June 25 through June 27, 2013, SLR conducted subsurface investigation activities that included the drilling and sampling of two soil borings and the collection of soil vapor, ambient air, and indoor air samples in the area of 395 Cleaners at the subject property to assess current VOC concentrations in soil and soil vapor beneath and near the former dry cleaning facility, and to assess groundwater conditions, if possible.

Soil borings DSB-1 and DSB-2 were advanced to depths of approximately 51.5-feet bgs and 21.0-feet bgs, respectively. Groundwater was not encountered in either boring advanced at the subject property. Two additional borings were intended to be located inside the tenant space near locations of previously elevated soil and soil vapor concentrations. However, refusal was encountered immediately beneath the concrete slab of the tenant space, and attempts to drill the interior borings were terminated. The locations of DSB-1 and DSB-2 are shown on Figure 3.

To assess potential indoor air risks in the existing building that are due to chlorinated VOCimpacted soil vapors associated with the former 395 Cleaner operations, SLR collected sub-slab soil vapor samples from two locations inside the building (designated as SSSV-1 and SSSV-2), one indoor air sample (designated as INDOOR), and an ambient air sample (designated as AMBIENT). In addition, a soil vapor sample was collected at a depth of approximately 10 feet bgs from boring DSB-2 (designated DSB-2). The locations where the soil vapor, ambient air, and indoor air samples were collected are shown on Figure 3.



July 30, 2013 Mr. Frank Stauff Page 3

Soil sample analytical results showed that none of the samples contained VOC concentrations above the laboratory's method reporting limits (MRLs). The sub-slab soil vapor samples contained concentrations of several VOCs at concentrations that exceeded applicable MTCA Method B soil gas screening levels. The indoor air sample contained several VOCs at concentrations that exceeded applicable MTCA Method B indoor air screening levels; however, the indoor air sample only had exceedances of VOCs that are likely attributable to chemicals and products used at the nail salon that currently operates in the tenant space. The results of the assessment were presented in SLR's *Subsurface Investigation Report*, dated July 22, 2013.

SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES

On July 25, 2013, the additional subsurface investigation activities included the advancement and sampling of two soil borings inside the former 395 Cleaners tenant space to assess the current CVOC concentrations in soil beneath the former dry cleaning facility. Soil borings designated as SLR-1 and SLR-2 were advanced at locations inside the tenant space at locations of previously elevated soil concentrations (borings HA-1 and HA-2). The locations of SLR-1 and SLR-2 are shown on Figure 3.

Prior to drilling, the locations of the underground utilities in the vicinity of the proposed borings were identified by using both the public one-call locating service and by Utilities Plus, LLC of Yakima, Washington, a private utility locating company. Pro-Cut Concrete Cutting & Breaking, Inc. (Pro-Cut) of Kennewick, Washington, advanced two 8-inch diameter cores through the concrete slab to allow for the collection of soil samples. Soil borings SLR-1 and SLR-2 were advanced to approximately 2.0-feet bgs by an SL R geologist using a spade, rock-bar, and trowel. Upon completion, each boring was backfilled with concrete to match the existing surface concrete slab inside the tenant space.

During the advancement of each boring, soil was logged in accordance with the Unified Soils Classification System (USCS). SLR screened the soil from each boring for the potential presence of CVOCs by using visual appearance, odors, and photoionization detector (PID) readings.

Soil samples were collected at depths of 0.5-feet and 1.0-feet bgs in borings SLR-1 and SLR-2, respectively, which correspond with depths of soil samples collected from previous borings HA-1 and HA-2 where elevated concentrations of PCE were previously identified by ATC. The soil samples were submitted to Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (F&B) in Seattle, Washington for analysis of CVOCs by EPA Method 8260C.

RESULTS

The subsurface investigation results are summarized below:

• The soil encountered during advancement of the borings generally consisted of cobbles with trace to few amounts of gravel and sand to the maximum depth explored of



July 30, 2013 Mr. Frank Stauff Page 4

approximately 2-feet bgs. Copies of the soil boring logs for SLR-1 and SLR-2 are attached.

- The results of field screening did not indicate that any staining or odors were present in the soil borings, and PID readings did not exceed 0.0 parts per million (ppm) total volatile organic compounds (tvocs).
- Soil sample analytical results showed that none of the samples contained CVOC concentrations above the laboratory's method reporting limits (MRLs).

The soil sample analytical results are summarized in Table 1. Copies of the laboratory report are attached.

CONLCUSION

In July 2013, SLR conducted additional subsurface investigation activities at the former 395 Cleaner tenant space to assess the current CVOC concentrations in the soil beneath the facility. The investigation results indicated that CVOCs were not detected in the soil samples collected from beneath the former 395 Cleaner tenant space.

Based on the soil sample analytical results, PCE and associated breakdown products do not appear to be present in the shallow soil beneath the former 395 Cleaner tenant space at concentrations of regulatory concern.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact Greg Lish at (425) 402-8800.

Sincerely, SLR International Corporation

Gregory B. Lish, LG Associate Geologist

on Reend Michael D. Staton, LG

Michael D. Staton, LG
 Principal Geologist

Enc Limitations Table 1 Figures 1 through 3 Boring Logs Laboratory Analytical Reports



Additional Subsurface Investigation Report_FINAL

LIMITATIONS

The services described in this report were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This report is solely for the use and information of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

Opinions and recommendations contained in this report apply to conditions existing when services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames, and project parameters indicated. We are not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the accuracy of information supplied by others, nor the use of segregated portions of this report.



Table 1Soil Sample Analytical Results - CVOCsFormer 395 Cleaners - Kennewick PlazaKennewick, Washington

					CVOCs ^a									
Soil Boring Number	Sample ID	Approx. Sample Depth (feet)	Date Collected	Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans-1,2-dichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride						
	MTCA Clea	nup Levels ^b		0.05 ^b	0.03 ^b	160 °	1,600 ^c	240 ^c						
SLR-1	SLR-1-0.5	0.5	7/25/2013	<0.025	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						
SLR-2	SLR-2-1.0	1.0	7/25/2013	<0.025	<0.025 <0.03 <0.05 <0.05									
SLR-2 SLR-2-1.0 1.0 7/25/2013 <0.025														
Method A	Soil Cleanup L	evels for Unres	striced Land Us											
^c Method B o	cleanup level u	sed because M	ethod A level is	s not established. Star	ndard formula values	, direct contact Method B s	oil cleanup levels as publish	ed						

on Ecology's Cleanup Level and Risk Calculations (CLARC) on-line database (June 2013).





Last Savet: July 16, 2013 4:48:31 PM by nbrennan Drawing path: Ni/Portland/Figures/Bothell/395 Cleaners and Laundromat/101.00989.00004.4wg



N:\Bothell\1 PROJECTS\PMF Investments - 989\00004 Kennewick Plaza - Former Dry Cleaner\FIGURES

					BORING NUMBER SLI	
	SL	R		SLR International Corporation 22118 20th Ave SE, Suite G202	PAGE 1 0	OF 1
	NT PMF	Inves	tments	Bothell, WA 98021 LLC	PROJECT NAME Former 395 Cleaners	
				0989.00003	PROJECT LOCATION Kennewick, WA	
					DRILLING METHOD Hand Tools	
					GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED AT: N/A	
				CHECKED BY _G. Lish		
NOTE	ES					
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	PID (ppm)
0			2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	CONCRETE		0
	SLR-1-0.5			COBBLES, brown, 3" to 12" in d odors or staining.	iameter, few very fine-grained sand, trace fine to coarse gravel, damp, no	0
 	-					0
				2.0		0
				2.0	Bottom of boring at 2.0 feet.	
VIE KENNEW						
Щ	MARKS			OR		<u> </u>

							BORING NUMBER SLI	
	SL	R		SLR Inte 22118 20	rnational Corporation 0th Ave SE, Suite G20)2	PAGE 1	OF 1
	NT <u>PMF</u>		tmonto		WA 98021		PROJECT NAME Former 395 Cleaners	
						7/23/13	DRILLING METHOD Hand Tools	
							GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED AT: N/A	
						G. Lish		
							_	
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG				MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	PID (ppm)
0	SA				ONCRETE			
	-		Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y &).5				0
	-			0	OBBLES, brown, r staining.	, 3" to 8" in diame	eter, few very fine-grained sand, few fine to coarse gravel, damp, no odors	0
	SLR-2 -1.0							0
				2.0				0
				2.0			Bottom of boring at 2.0 feet.	
ALE KENNEWI								
ш	MARKS		N DETECT	OR				ı

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

July 30, 2013

Greg Lish SLR International Corp. 22118 20th Ave. SE., G-202 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Lish:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on July 26, 2013 from the Former 395 Dry Cleaners Kennewick WA 101.00989.00003, F&BI 307412 project. There are 7 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

mulule Postal Poquiz

Michele Costales Poquiz Chemist

Enclosures SLR0731R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on July 26, 2013 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Former 395 Dry Cleaners Kennewick WA 101.00989.00003, F&BI 307412 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
307412-01	SLR-1-0.5'
307412-02	SLR-2-1.0'

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	SLR-1-0.5' 07/26/13 07/29/13 07/29/13 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	1	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Fmr 395 Dry Cleaners Kennewick 307412-01 072908.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	62	142
Toluene-d8	ui	101	51	121
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	98	32	146
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.05		
Chloroethane		<0.5		
1,1-Dichloroethene		< 0.05		
Methylene chloride		<0.5		
trans-1,2-Dichloroet	thene	< 0.05		
1,1-Dichloroethane		< 0.05		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethe		< 0.05		
1,2-Dichloroethane		< 0.05		
1,1,1-Trichloroetha	ne	< 0.05		
Trichloroethene		< 0.03		
Tetrachloroethene		< 0.025		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	SLR-2-1.0' 07/26/13 07/29/13 07/29/13 Soil mg/kg (ppm))	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Fmr 395 Dry Cleaners Kennewick 307412-02 072909.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	96	62	142
Toluene-d8		101	51	121
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	101	32	146
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.05		
Chloroethane		<0.5		
1,1-Dichloroethene		< 0.05		
Methylene chloride		< 0.5		
trans-1,2-Dichloroet	thene	< 0.05		
1,1-Dichloroethane		< 0.05		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethe		< 0.05		
1,2-Dichloroethane		< 0.05		
1,1,1-Trichloroetha	ne	< 0.05		
Trichloroethene		< 0.03		
Tetrachloroethene		< 0.025		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blar Not Applicat 07/29/13 07/29/13 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	le	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Fmr 395 Dry Cleaners Kennewick 03-1465 mb 072907.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98 [°]	62	142
Toluene-d8		100	51	121
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	99	32	146
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.05		
Chloroethane		<0.5		
1,1-Dichloroethene		< 0.05		
Methylene chloride		<0.5		
trans-1,2-Dichloroet	thene	< 0.05		
1,1-Dichloroethane		< 0.05		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethe		< 0.05		
1,2-Dichloroethane		< 0.05		
1,1,1-Trichloroetha	ne	< 0.05		
Trichloroethene		< 0.03		
Tetrachloroethene		< 0.025		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 07/30/13 Date Received: 07/26/13 Project: Former 395 Dry Cleaners Kennewick WA 101.00989.00003, F&BI 307412

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 307412-01 (Matrix Spike)

	actini opino)		Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	42	43	10-138	2
Chloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.5	56	56	10-176	0
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	57	60	10-160	5
Methylene chloride	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.5	42	42	10-156	0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	69	70	14-137	1
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	73	75	19-140	3
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	77	78	25-135	1
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	80	80	12-160	0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	71	72	10-156	1
Trichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.03	78	77	21-139	1
Tetrachloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.025	75	73	20-133	3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 07/30/13 Date Received: 07/26/13 Project: Former 395 Dry Cleaners Kennewick WA 101.00989.00003, F&BI 307412

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	F		Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Vinyl chloride	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	58	22-139
Chloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	67	10-163
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	77	47-128
Methylene chloride	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	54	42-132
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	86	67-127
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	87	68-115
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	91	72-113
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	91	56-135
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	88	62-131
Trichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	90	64-117
Tetrachloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	85	72-114

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ca}}$ - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

FORMSICOCICOCIDOC	¥ 	÷.		Friedman & Bruya, Inc.					101-2-10	SLR-2-0.5'	Sample ID		Phone # (425) 402 - 8800	City, State, ZIP Corner.	Address 22118 20TH	Company <u>SLR /NTE-PEN</u>	Send Keport TO CPREG	S14408
	Received by:	Relinquished by	Received hv.	elinanie					A.H	A0 #	ID Lab		 Fa	-	Ave	ATION		
	by:	hed by:	hu:	SIGNATURE Relinquished by:					Ł	cl/selt	Date Sampled		Fax # (405)400 - 8488	1-6086 4/1	¥;	INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION	Изн	
			$\left \right\rangle$	ATURE					acol	0616	Time Sampled		12-8488	1	6-202	ORATION		
									<	Soic	Sample Type			- REMARKS	- 101.009	PROJE	SAMPI	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTO
		Ser /	Strais Le	PR					←	∞	# of containers			RKS	101.00989.00003	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	CHAIN O
		bur		PRINT NAME			ļ				TPH-Diesel				4	NO NO	ature	FO
				NAN	 		 	 			TPH-Gasoline						17	S
		l		E		 	 	<u> </u>			BTEX by 8021B					GALLERS		
						 					VOCs by8260						$\left \right $	DY
		-				 	 				SVOCs by 8270	NAL	2 - 2 -),	
~			5	\square			 	<u> </u>	e_	\bigtriangledown	HFS HVOCs 638760	ANALYSES REQUESTED			CODO HILON-INI	2		X 4
		J.	R		70		 		 $\left \right\rangle$			RE			187,00	PO#		
		po ho		COMPANY	Samp	 	 				· •	QUE			200U) #	/	0
				PAN	ples							STEI					-	と
						 			 					<u>F</u>	Ru	ğ o	ן ר	126,
					eceived	 	 						Vill c)ispo	Sh ch	Stand	33	N°
		St	c/±	P	 ed at	 							Return samples Will call with in	AMP se af	arges		TURNA	
		1	21/25/13	DATE	4								 Return samples Will call with instructions 	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Prospose after 30 days	auth	□ Standard (2 Weeks) ATRUSH <u>∂4 - Hes</u>		~
ŀ	-+	-		$\left - \right $							Notes		struc	(SPO) days	orize	eks) Per		
		3:05	2:45	TIME	င်						tes		tions	SAL	Rush charges authorized by		TURNAROUND TIME	
			<u> </u>	Г														221